# In the Matter of: 

LabMD, Inc.

May 5, 2015<br>Trial - Public Record Volume 9

## Condensed Transcript with Word Index



For The Record, Inc.
(301) 870-8025 - www.frinc.net - (800) 921-5555


|  | 1313 |  | 1315 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | APPEARANCES: (continued) | 1 | MS. MARSHALL: Erica Marshall, Cause of Action. |
| 2 |  | 2 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Thank you. |
| 3 | ON BEHALF OF TIVERSA: | 3 | Have any of the Cause of Action attorneys filed |
| 4 | LUCAS LIBEN, ESQ. | 4 | appearances? |
| 5 | Reed Smith LLP | 5 | MR. KHETAN: Yes, Your Honor. I believe we all |
| 6 | 225 Fifth Avenue | 6 | have. |
| 7 | Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222 | 7 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. |
| 8 | (412) 288-4041 | 8 | You'll need to come up during the break and |
| 9 |  | 9 | give the court reporter your names. She couldn't hear |
| 10 |  | 10 | you. |
| 11 |  | 11 | MR. KHETAN: Okay. |
| 12 |  | 12 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: First off, I need the lead |
| 13 |  | 13 | attorneys only to approach the bench. |
| 14 |  | 14 | (At the bench, discussion off the record.) |
| 15 |  | 15 | (In open court.) |
| 16 |  | 16 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Let me start |
| 17 |  | 17 | with -- is it "Daugherty" or "Daugherty"? |
| 18 |  | 18 | MR. SHERMAN: Daugherty. |
| 19 |  | 19 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Let's talk about this |
| 20 |  | 20 | Daugherty affidavit which has sprung out of nowhere |
| 21 |  | 21 | here. |
| 22 |  | 22 | I have pending a number of motions pertaining to |
| 23 |  | 23 | an affidavit supposedly executed by LabMD president |
| 24 |  | 24 | Michael Daugherty on or about April 17, 2014. |
| 25 |  | 25 | I have pending complaint counsel's motion to |
|  | 1314 |  | 1316 |
| 1 | PROCEEDINGS | 1 | compel production of that document and an opposition |
| 2 | - - - - | 2 | from respondent. |
| 3 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Call back to order Docket 9357, | 3 | I have respondent's motion to reconsider an |
| 4 | In Re LabMD. | 4 | order granting the motion to compel in part, requiring |
| 5 | It's been a while. I'm going to take | 5 | an in camera review in my chambers. |
| 6 | appearances of the parties. We'll start with the | 6 | And then I have complaint counsel's motion for |
| 7 | government. | 7 | in camera treatment. |
| 8 | MS. VANDRUFF: Good morning, Your Honor. | 8 | The motion for in camera treatment states that |
| 9 | Laura VanDruff on behalf of complaint counsel. | 9 | respondent is unopposed, but it's unclear to me, does |
| 10 | With me today is Jarad Brown and Alain Sheer and | 10 | that mean respondent concurs with all the relief |
| 11 | our technical support, Jon Owens. | 11 | requested in that motion for in camera treatment? |
| 12 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. For the respondents? | 12 | MR. RUBINSTEIN: Your Honor, Reed Rubinstein. |
| 13 | MR. SHERMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. | 13 | Without getting too much into the background, |
| 14 | William Sherman from the law firm of | 14 | respondent is prepared to produce the affidavit for your |
| 15 | Dinsmore \& Shohl on behalf of the respondent. | 15 | review and for your determination as to whether or not |
| 16 | To my left is Mike Daugherty, owner of LabMD. | 16 | the pending objections are appropriate. |
| 17 | To his left is my law partner, Reed Rubinstein. | 17 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: So the -- you will then file a |
| 18 | And to his left is our associate, Sunni Harris. | 18 | notice to withdraw on your motion to reconsider. |
| 19 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Is there anyone here from | 19 | MR. RUBINSTEIN: With the understanding that, |
| 20 | Cause of Action? | 20 | yes, we will produce the affidavit to you -- this is the |
| 21 | MR. SHERMAN: Yes, there is, Your Honor. There | 21 | result of communications and correspondence the parties |
| 22 | are several lawyers here from Cause of Action. | 22 | have had with the House counsel with respect to their |
| 23 | MR. MASSARI: Patrick Massari, Your Honor. | 23 | claim of legislative privilege. We are prepared to |
| 24 | MR. KHETAN: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm | 24 | produce the document to you for your review and |
| 25 | Prashant Khetan. | 25 | determination. |


|  | 1317 |  | 1319 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Now, it sounds | 1 | MS. VANDRUFF: Your Honor, if I can request |
| 2 | like we are going to have to get into the weeds | 2 | clarification, are you ordering that that be produced |
| 3 | somewhat. | 3 | within the hour to your chambers? |
| 4 | You're still going to maintain it's privileged | 4 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: I didn't really have to order |
| 5 | even though I have a representation from the government | 5 | it. They agreed to do it. |
| 6 | that the attorney for the Ethics Committee of the House | 6 | MS. VANDRUFF: I just want to be clear for the |
| 7 | is not asserting the privilege in this proceeding. | 7 | record. |
| 8 | MR. RUBINSTEIN: With the understanding that if | 8 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: No need to order when I have a |
| 9 | you determine it is a document that should be produced, | 9 | volunteer. |
| 10 | that it will be given in camera treatment. That at | 10 | MS. VANDRUFF: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 11 | least is my understanding of House counsel's position as | 11 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: The Army way. |
| 12 | it was communicated to me in a phone call. | 12 | Let me talk a little bit about rebuttal, since |
| 13 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: So the nonopposition only goes | 13 | I'm at a disadvantage here, the attorneys know what |
| 14 | to me reviewing the document. | 14 | you're planning this week, but I do not. |
| 15 | MR. RUBINSTEIN: That's correct. | 15 | My position on rebuttal is, as it's always |
| 16 | And if you should determine that it is | 16 | been, if any party wishes to offer a rebuttal witness |
| 17 | appropriate to be produced, then my understanding -- | 17 | in this case or offer rebuttal evidence, the request |
| 18 | and please, Counsel, correct me if I'm wrong -- is that | 18 | shall be made in writing in the form of a motion to |
| 19 | the affidavit will be designated in camera going | 19 | request a rebuttal witness or rebuttal evidence as soon |
| 20 | forward. | 20 | as possible. |
| 21 | MS. VANDRUFF: That's the relief sought, | 21 | That motion shall include the name of any |
| 22 | Your Honor, in the complaint counsel's unopposed motion | 22 | witness being proposed or a detailed description of the |
| 23 | for in camera treatment, correct. | 23 | rebuttal evidence being offered. |
| 24 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: But that doesn't get us to the | 24 | Next is the most important part. |
| 25 | merits. You're still going to assert your objection | 25 | That motion shall also include a cite to the |
|  | 1318 |  | 1320 |
| 1 | that it should be -- should not be produced. | 1 | record by page and line number to the evidence that you |
| 2 | MR. RUBINSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor. We would ask | 2 | intend to rebut. That way, I have no misunderstandings. |
| 3 | that you review the affidavit, and we're prepared to | 3 | I don't have to go from memory. |
| 4 | produce that to you today whenever you should ask us to | 4 | The motion shall also demonstrate that the |
| 5 | do so. | 5 | witness the party seeks to call has previously been |
| 6 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: And if I determine that it | 6 | designated on the witness list or that the evidence the |
| 7 | should be produced, where are we then? | 7 | party seeks to introduce has been previously listed on |
| 8 | MR. RUBINSTEIN: Then it will be designated | 8 | the exhibit list, unless good cause can be demonstrated |
| 9 | in camera and we'll provide a copy to complaint counsel. | 9 | as to why such exhibit could not have been previously |
| 10 | MS. VANDRUFF: And Your Honor, complaint counsel | 10 | listed or a witness could not have been previously |
| 11 | would request, without getting into the merits because | 11 | listed in this case. |
| 12 | of witnesses who are present in the courtroom, but that | 12 | And I suppose, after we hear testimony from |
| 13 | the court conduct that examination as quickly as | 13 | Mr. Wallace, I'll ask complaint counsel if they want to |
| 14 | possible because it may be relevant to today's | 14 | pursue rebuttal and how much time they need to file a |
| 15 | proceeding. | 15 | motion. |
| 16 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: This might seem obvious, but | 16 | And if the respondent just absolutely must do |
| 17 | when I read the letter from House counsel referring to | 17 | it, I will allow a reply or opposition to their rebuttal |
| 18 | the affidavit, I just want to make real sure, we are | 18 | request, but there will be a very short time fuse on |
| 19 | talking about the exact same affidavit? | 19 | that as we're trying to move along. |
| 20 | MR. RUBINSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor. | 20 | Any questions on that? |
| 21 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: How soon could you have that | 21 | MR. SHERMAN: No questions, Your Honor. |
| 22 | delivered to room 110? | 22 | MS. VANDRUFF: No, Your Honor. Thank you. |
| 23 | MR. MASSARI: Within the hour, Your Honor. | 23 | Is Mr. Wallace here? |
| 24 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Thank you. | 24 | MR. SHERMAN: He is, Your Honor. |
| 25 | All right. Thank you. | 25 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Is he in the courtroom? |

MR. SHERMAN: He is, Your Honor.
Would you like for me to point him out?
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Mr. Wallace? MR. WALLACE: Yes.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Welcome. Thank you. It's been
a long and winding road, but here we are.
And your attorney is here.
MS. BUCHANAN: Yes, Your Honor. Mary Beth Buchanan from the law firm of Bryan Cave and my associate, Jacquelyn Schell.

MS. SCHELL: Good morning, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Good morning.
And you have filed an appearance in the case?
MS. BUCHANAN: Yes, Your Honor, we have.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Thank you.
MS. BUCHANAN: And we also have a pending
motion before the court to ask the court's
permission --
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Whoa. I've got that on my agenda. I'll get to that.

Thank you. You can have a seat.
MS. BUCHANAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: It's been a while, so I'm going to bring everybody up to speed on where we are.

Pursuant to a September 29 order requiring
testimony under grant of immunity, Mr. Richard Wallace, formerly of Tiversa, has been ordered to appear to testify at this evidentiary hearing. His testimony will be in public session, absent a showing under rule 3.45 that all or part of his testimony should be given in camera treatment.

Also pursuant to the September 29 order and pursuant to the October 9 order and the authorization granted by the attorney general of the United States on November 14, 2014, Richard Wallace shall have immunity, under 18 United States Code Section 6002, in giving testimony or other information that he has refused to give on the basis of the privilege against self-incrimination.

Mr. Wallace will be called to the stand on direct by respondent; is that correct?

MR. SHERMAN: That's correct, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: When respondent has finished its direct examination, we will be in recess to allow complaint counsel to depose Mr. Wallace pursuant to the December 8 order. And these are all 2014. Complaint counsel's deposition of Mr. Wallace shall not exceed two hours without further order from the court.

MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, I have a question concerning the scope of that deposition.

Is the scope of the deposition following
Mr. Wallace's direct examination limited to the subject matter of his direct examination?

JUDGE CHAPPELL: I don't have that in front me, but I believe that was covered in the order I issued limiting that deposition.

It was requested of me that the deposition of Mr. Wallace was only for cross-examination. Is that correct? To allow proper cross-examination, that was the purpose of the request.

MS. VANDRUFF: That is the purpose, Your Honor. And I have Your Honor's order in front of me.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Well, you know, we don't have to go that far. They have two hours. They have two hours.

Do you intend to go beyond the scope of direct?
MS. VANDRUFF: Without hearing the direct, Your Honor, I don't know the scope of the deposition.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Does anyone have a copy of that order?

MS. VANDRUFF: Your Honor, with respect to the scope of complaint counsel's deposition, of course it may be necessary for complaint counsel to ask questions that relate to Mr. Wallace's credibility.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Credibility is always within
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the scope of cross.
MS. VANDRUFF: Thank you for that clarification.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Credibility, bias, impeachment, always within the scope.

Does that help?
MS. VANDRUFF: That does help, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Beyond that, I'll refer you to the order I issued with the limitations on the deposition.

MR. SHERMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: And there will be no other limitations other than I've already expressed in that order. That matter is dealt with, previously.

MS. VANDRUFF: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. SHERMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Pending motion.
I have pending before me an unopposed motion to allow Mr. Wallace's counsel to engage in a redirect exam of Mr. Wallace after the conclusion of complaint counsel's cross-exam, provided that complaint counsel and respondent's counsel can thereafter reexamine Mr. Wallace based on the testimony adduced in the redirect questioning by Mr. Wallace's counsel.

|  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | Is my summary of the motion correct? |  |
| 2 | MR. SHERMAN: To my understanding, Your Honor. | 2 |
| 3 | MS. VANDRUFF: Complaint counsel agrees, | 3 |
| 4 | Your Honor. | 4 |
| 5 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: And as I've previously noted, | 5 |
| 6 | Mr. Wallace's counsel has filed an appearance in this | 6 |
| 7 | matter. | 7 |
| 8 | Pursuant to commission rules 3.42(c) and | 8 |
| 9 | 3.43(d), the unopposed motion is hereby granted. A | 9 |
| 10 | written order confirming this ruling will issue within | 10 |
| 11 | the next day or so. | 11 |
| 12 | Mr. Sherman, do you intend to call any witnesses | 12 |
| 13 | in addition to Mr. Wallace? | 13 |
| 14 | MR. SHERMAN: We do not, Your Honor. | 14 |
| 15 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Thank you. | 15 |
| 16 | I've made a number of evidentiary-type rulings, | 16 |
| 17 | in the months since we were here, regarding various RXs | 17 |
| 18 | and CXs. | 18 |
| 19 | Are there any questions or clarification needed | 19 |
| 20 | on any of those rulings? | 20 |
| 21 | MR. SHERMAN: No, Your Honor. | 21 |
| 22 | We would just -- as we've indicated to | 22 |
| 23 | complaint counsel that certain documents that were the | 23 |
| 24 | subject of respondent's motion to admit certain | 24 |
| 25 | documents from the Oversight Committee's letter, that we | 25 |

Is my summary of the motion correct?
MS. VANDRUFF: Complaint counsel agrees,
Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: And as I've previously noted, Mr. Wallace's counsel has filed an appearance in this matter.

Pursuant to commission rules 3.42(c) and 3.43(d), the unopposed motion is hereby granted. A ritten order confirming this ruling will issue within

Mr. Sherman, do you intend to call any witnesses
MR. SHERMAN: We do not, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Thank you.
I've made a number of evidentiary-type rulings, in the months since we were here, regarding various RXs

Are there any questions or clarification needed on any of those rulings?

MR. SHERMAN: No, Your Honor.
We would just -- as we've indicated to
complaint counsel that certain documents that were the
documents from the Oversight Committee's letter, that we
a motion, and by order dated March 12, 2015, in camera treated was granted to a number of provisional exhibits.

If those exhibits are offered into evidence, please identify them as in camera subject to the March 12 order.

MS. VANDRUFF: I understand, Your Honor.
It's complaint counsel's understanding that respondent wishes to use portions of certain of those documents during his examination, and I defer, Your Honor, without having to -- we can address that now or we can address it during the examination.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Have you conferred on how you're going to handle this?

MR. SHERMAN: We have, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Is there a disagreement?
MR. SHERMAN: Yes, there is.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: I'll handle the disagreements.
MS. VANDRUFF: Well, I don't know that it's a disagreement, Your Honor.

MR. SHERMAN: I don't know that it's a disagreement.

We conferred concerning the 1718 File.
Mr. Wallace, since we last were before Your Honor, produced two iterations of the 1718 File. We intend to
intend to, as per the court's order, lay the proper foundation for admission of those exhibits, certain of those exhibits. Complaint counsel is aware of which exhibits we intend to comply with the court's order by laying a correct foundation.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: With a witness?
MR. SHERMAN: Yes, sir.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Other than Mr. Wallace?
MR. SHERMAN: No, sir.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. Which is why you said no to any other witnesses.

MR. SHERMAN: That's correct, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Thank you. Thanks for letting me know that.

Anything further?
MS. VANDRUFF: Not from complaint counsel, Your Honor. Thank you.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Let's talk about in camera issues.

By a February 19, 2015 order, the parties and nonparties were directed, "If a party or nonparty has material that has been or will be offered into evidence, the deadline for filing a motion for in camera treatment is February 24, 2015."

Pursuant to that order, complaint counsel filed
introduce those 1718 Files into the record, but we do not intend to display those files in toto. We do not intend to display any page of those files which contains PII or PHI. We only intend to display the cover sheet so that Mr. Wallace can identify it for what it is.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: These are different documents than we've seen before.

MR. SHERMAN: They are the same document. They are produced from a different source.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: But they're identical to documents that have been granted in camera status?

MS. VANDRUFF: And Your Honor, I think that the response to that question needs to be elicited from Mr. Wallace as opposed to characterized by Mr. Sherman.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: In the event there are documents that I would call related to, springing from, fruit of a document, for example, 1718 File, that are somewhat different, if they would fairly come under the in camera ruling, then bring that up, and we'll give them an identifier, like if it was RX 54, it would be RX 54-A, so we're very clear on the record.

I don't need to go into another analysis for in camera if the document is very similar but in some respects different.

Is that clear?
MS. VANDRUFF: I think it is, Your Honor, although the conference that Mr. Sherman and I had this morning, complaint counsel doesn't necessarily have any concerns about the single page that Mr. Sherman intends to use being granted in camera treatment, if that's helpful to the court.

MR. SHERMAN: Well, I don't mean to sound flip. Why would you have a concern with a single page being granted in camera treatment when I think the issue that we're trying to address is whether or not it's necessary to go in camera for Mr. Wallace to identify the cover page of the document, state what it is and then --

JUDGE CHAPPELL: I see. We're talking about two different things. We're talking about a document that's been granted in camera treatment and we're talking about an in camera proceeding where we clear the courtroom. And the general rule there, when in doubt, we clear out.

But if the attorneys are aware where we are and the witness is advised, don't go into anything that's protected without letting us know, then we can keep the public in the courtroom.

And is Mr. Wallace aware of the information
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that's been given in camera treatment?
MR. SHERMAN: I don't know. I've not been able to speak to Mr. Wallace --

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Mr. Wallace --
MR. SHERMAN: -- given the type of immunity that he has.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: -- if you or your attorney -if you need to answer a question and you or your attorney feel like it's getting into an area that might be in camera or kept private, just let us know that we may need to have this answer given in private, and we'll determine it at that time. All right?

MS. BUCHANAN: I think one of the issues, Your Honor, is that we do not know precisely which documents he's seeking in camera treatment for.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Then the attorneys questioning the witness are on guard. If the witness goes into an area that might be in camera, let me know.

We try to make the hearing and proceeding public to the extent possible, but we don't want any mistakes. We can't unring the bell if something comes out in open court. And there is always someone from the press in the courtroom. And we invite them, bring them on, but there are certain things that shouldn't be disclosed.

Any other questions before we hear Mr. Wallace?
MR. SHERMAN: The only other -- there's a couple of small matters.

I am told that Mr. Wallace is hard of hearing, so I am going to be speaking probably directly into the microphone when addressing him. And I would, you know, suggest and implore complaint counsel to do the same, as well as the court, may it please the court.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Would it help to question the witness from the middle of the courtroom?

MS. BUCHANAN: Yes, Your Honor, it would.
Mr. Wallace also reads lips, and so when you're questioning the witness and any of the parties, if they can directly face him, he can hear out of his left ear, and so he is very hard of hearing and if counsel could look directly at him when they're asking questions.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Unfortunately, the acoustics are not that good. They were, however, state of the art when this building was built in 54 A.D., but it's difficult to hear in the courtroom.

With that, call your next witness.
MR. SHERMAN: One other matter, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right.
MR. SHERMAN: Mr. Wallace's counsel has requested that she be allowed to sit at counsel table
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while Mr. Wallace is being questioned. We have no objection to that, but it's your courtroom, Judge.

MS. VANDRUFF: And there's no objection from us.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Do you want to sit over here (indicating)?

MS. BUCHANAN: I'm happy to sit anywhere.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: I mean, have you chosen a desired location?

MS. BUCHANAN: Well, I actually was thinking the witness stand was --

JUDGE CHAPPELL: It's over here (indicating).
MS. BUCHANAN: Oh, okay. Well, then sure, the other side is actually more convenient.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Our dock is over here (indicating).

So if you want to give her a chair or if she wants to -- whatever you guys want to do is fine.
(Pause in the proceedings.)
MR. SHERMAN: One other housekeeping matter, Your Honor.

If there are any witnesses in the courtroom, I would request sequestration of any other witnesses, particularly those who may be called in rebuttal or those who have testified before. I don't know that

|  | 1333 |  | 1335 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | there are. | 1 |  |
| 2 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: The rule has just been | 2 |  |
| 3 | invoked. Anyone who knows they're going to be a | 3 |  |
| 4 | witness in this proceeding needs to leave the | 4 |  |
| 5 | courtroom. | 5 |  |
| 6 | MS. VANDRUFF: Your Honor, may I approach? | 6 |  |
| 7 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Off the record? | 7 |  |
| 8 | MS. VANDRUFF: We can do it off the record or | 8 |  |
| 9 | in camera. I don't think it's appropriate to do it in | 9 |  |
| 10 | open court. | 10 |  |
| 11 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Come on up. | 11 |  |
| 12 | MS. VANDRUFF: Thank you. | 12 |  |
| 13 | (At the bench, the following discussion was held | 13 |  |
| 14 | off the public record.) | 14 |  |
| 15 |  | 15 |  |
| 16 |  | 16 |  |
| 17 |  | 17 |  |
| 18 |  | 18 |  |
| 19 |  | 19 |  |
| 20 |  | 20 | (In open court.) |
| 21 |  | 21 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Are there any Tiversa employees |
| 22 |  | 22 | in the courtroom? If so, please stand and identify |
| 23 |  | 23 | yourselves. |
| 24 |  | 24 | MR. LIBEN: Your Honor, my name is Lucas Liben. |
| 25 |  | 25 | I'm outside counsel for Tiversa. There are no Tiversa |
|  | 1334 |  | 1336 |
| 1 |  | 1 | employees in the courtroom this morning. |
| 2 |  | 2 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Thank you. |
| 3 |  | 3 | (At the bench, the following discussion was held |
| 4 |  | 4 | off the public record.) |
| 5 |  | 5 |  |
| 6 |  | 6 |  |
| 7 |  | 7 |  |
| 8 |  | 8 |  |
| 9 |  | 9 |  |
| 10 |  | 10 |  |
| 11 |  | 11 |  |
| 12 |  | 12 |  |
| 13 |  | 13 |  |
| 14 |  | 14 | (In open court.) |
| 15 |  | 15 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Go ahead, Mr. Sherman. |
| 16 |  | 16 | MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, I will withdraw my |
| 17 |  | 17 | motion for sequestration of the witnesses at this time |
| 18 |  | 18 | with a reservation of rights to remake the motion should |
| 19 |  | 19 | circumstances change. |
| 20 |  | 20 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. And I'll request that |
| 21 |  | 21 | counsel for Tiversa inform the court if any employees of |
| 22 |  | 22 | your client enter the courtroom. |
| 23 |  | 23 | MR. LIBEN: Absolutely, Your Honor. |
| 24 |  | 24 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Thank you. |
| 25 |  | 25 | Mr. Sherman, call your next witness. |


| 1337 |  | 1339 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. SHERMAN: Rick Wallace. | 1 | U.S. Army? |
| 2 | - - - - | 2 | THE WITNESS: Yes. Uh-huh. |
| 3 | Whereupon -- | 3 | BY MR. SHERMAN: |
| 4 | RICHARD EDWARD WALLACE | 4 | Q. Which employee from Tiversa contacted you? |
| 5 | a witness, called for examination, having been first | 5 | A. Bob Boback. |
| 6 | duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: | 6 | Q. And who is Bob Boback? |
| 7 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 7 | A. He was the CEO or still is the CEO as far as I |
| 8 | BY MR. SHERMAN: | 8 | know. |
| 9 | Q. Mr. Wallace, for the record, could you state | 9 | Q. And did Bob Boback describe for you what he |
| 10 | your full name. | 10 | wanted you to do in the course of your employment with |
| 11 | A. Richard Edward Wallace. | 11 | Tiversa? |
| 12 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: If at any time you don't hear a | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | question, just let us know. | 13 | Q. What did he say? |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 14 | A. They arranged for me to travel from Illinois out |
| 15 | BY MR. SHERMAN: | 15 | to Pittsburgh, where there were two meetings that I had |
| 16 | Q. And you can hear me okay? | 16 | with Bob and then also the rest of the executive team, |
| 17 | A. Yeah. | 17 | and I would be hired as a forensic analyst. |
| 18 | Q. Mr. Wallace, are you a former employee of a | 18 | Q. And what was your understanding of what a |
| 19 | company known as Tiversa? | 19 | forensic analyst at Tiversa would be required to do? |
| 20 | A. Yes, I am. | 20 | A. A forensic analyst at Tiversa would not be |
| 21 | Q. When did you begin your employment with | 21 | limited to but that one function would be to look |
| 22 | Tiversa? | 22 | through data that has been downloaded and ticket it for |
| 23 | A. July of 2007. | 23 | clients, meaning write up a one-page narrative |
| 24 | Q. When did you end or did your employment end | 24 | normally, where the information is found, what type of |
| 25 | with Tiversa? | 25 | information it is and who the disclosing source could |
|  | 1338 |  | 1340 |
| 1 | A. Did I do what? | 1 | possibly be. |
| 2 | Q. When did your employment end? | 2 | Q. In your meetings with Tiversa prior to |
| 3 | A. February of 2014. | 3 | employment, was Tiversa's business explained to you; in |
| 4 | Q. When you began your employment with Tiversa -- | 4 | other words, did they explain what Tiversa was in the |
| 5 | well, how were you contacted to -- strike that. | 5 | business of doing? |
| 6 | How did you find out that there was an | 6 | A. Yes. Data security company. |
| 7 | employment opportunity at Tiversa? | 7 | Data security. |
| 8 | A. I was mentioned in a news article out of | 8 | Q. And being a data security company, they did |
| 9 | Fox News Chicago, and employees at Tiversa saw that I | 9 | what? |
| 10 | was quoted in that article and they made contact with | 10 | A. They would scour peer-to-peer networks and |
| 11 | me. | 11 | download information that's available on predominantly |
| 12 | Q. What was the substance of that article? | 12 | the Gnutella network back in those days. |
| 13 | A. It was the ability to find and expose data, PII, | 13 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: I just want the record to be |
| 14 | that is loose on peer-to-peer networks. | 14 | clear. |
| 15 | Q. And so you were the subject of an article based | 15 | Is that what you did or what Tiversa did? |
| 16 | on your ability to find PII on peer-to-peer networks? | 16 | THE WITNESS: Is that what what? |
| 17 | A. Yes. Uh-huh. | 17 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: What you just described, is |
| 18 | Q. And were you finding PII on peer-to-peer | 18 | that what your job was or is that what Tiversa did? |
| 19 | networks for any particular purpose at that time? | 19 | THE WITNESS: Tiversa's platform was a series of |
| 20 | A. At that time, no, other than, prior to being | 20 | algorithms that allowed the entire peer-to-peer network |
| 21 | mentioned in this article, my wife was in the Army -- | 21 | to be captured not going any deeper into any computer |
| 22 | she was a major in Germany -- and we were looking for | 22 | system but just has more breadth. |
| 23 | soldiers' information that has been inadvertently | 23 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: So we're probably going to hear |
| 24 | exposed. | 24 | more about what your job was. |
| 25 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: She was a major in the | 25 | Was there anyone else at Tiversa doing what you |

did at the time?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Who was that?
THE WITNESS: There was Keith Tagliaferri. He was an analyst. We were just basically the only two analysts at that time. The other people were sales and support and executive level.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: So there were two Tiversa employees, one being you, doing basically the same job.

THE WITNESS: Right.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Thank you.
BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. Can you describe how you did your job when you got to Tiversa, what did you do?
A. When I was first brought on, we were preparing for a congressional hearing, and I was told to basically use any and all means available to find information that would be relevant for that hearing.
Q. What kind of information was relevant for that hearing?
A. Everything from health insurance information to, you know, PII, Social Security numbers, basically anything that should not be out, you know, on these networks.
Q. Is it safe to assume that you did that and you
provided that information to whomever was testifying at the congressional hearing for Tiversa?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And who testified at the congressional hearing for Tiversa?
A. That was Bob Boback, our CEO.
Q. Did you attend the hearing?
A. I did not.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Let me ask a question. Sometimes I wait until the end, but there are certain phrases of things I need to understand. We've been waiting a long time for Mr. Wallace, so I have a few things I just need to understand.

I've heard you talk about viewing, searching and downloading. In the context of your job at Tiversa, tell me what each term means, "downloading," "viewing" and "searching." Did you do all of these or do they mean the same thing? Tell me what they meant in the context of your work.

THE WITNESS: There were multiple positions -or multiple activities under my position. One of them would have been, you know, using a standard, off-the-shelf peer-to-peer client, such as LimeWire or BearShare or Kazaa or Morpheus, any of those that are, you know, affiliated with the Gnutella network. I would
be able to use those clients to supplement other information that Tiversa's system possibly hadn't downloaded.

So it would be just another tool to supplement the information that Tiversa would have in the data store.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Who made the decision of what to download?

THE WITNESS: That would be the person sitting at the keyboard, so me.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Did you have a set of written parameters like if you find this, you download it, or how did that work?

THE WITNESS: No. Because it would be very difficult to know what's inside of a file prior to downloading it. You know, it could be a file titled, you know, ABC123, and inside of that file could be several thousand Social Security numbers or it could be, you know, a child's homework, so you wouldn't really know what you're downloading until you open it up and review the data.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: So when you did a search, to do a view, you would have to download; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: What you would do is you would issue a search, for example, whatever type of
information you're looking for. You would -- if we were looking for insurance information for a healthcare company, I might use the name of that company, I might use "insurance," I might use "report," anything that would generate a file to download or would be able to identify an exposed file at -- on one of these networks.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: And once you downloaded a file, what did you do with it? Did you decide that, okay, this is worth something and then you tell Mr. Boback?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: How did that process work?
THE WITNESS: Basically, I worked very closely at the time with Bob Boback. If it was something of -significant in nature, then I would definitely go to Bob and say this is what we have, you know, and he would make the decision at that point how to best monetize that information, whether it be giving it to a salesperson or him calling the company directly.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Thank you. BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. So, Mr. Wallace, when you were viewing files, is it correct to say that when you were viewing files on the network, you were not actually viewing the content
$\longrightarrow 1346$
complete search like a Google search of the Internet or did you only search peer-to-peer networks?

THE WITNESS: Normally only peer-to-peer networks. However, if there was not enough information to identify who the possible source of the files are, then you might go to Facebook and see if they, you know -- if you have meta data, you might be able to go find their Facebook profile or a news article or something like that on Google that would help you identify the person that the -- is the source of the information.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: So am I correct that the first
broad net you cast was a peer-to-peer search only?
THE WITNESS: That is correct, yes.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: And then you would drill down if need be.

THE WITNESS: And then drill down from there, yes.

BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. After the testimony at the congressional hearing for which you provided some documentation, did there begin to be communications between Tiversa and the FTC?
A. Yes.
Q. How soon after the congressional hearing did

## these communications begin?

A. I couldn't say for sure, but I would venture to speculate maybe around two months after.
Q. And were you present during these communications?
A. Yes.
Q. And how often were these communications occurring once they began?
A. There were different things happening, so sometimes there would be communication that was quite frequent, other times, you know, maybe weekly.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: For the record, you asked him a question about after the congressional hearing. Have you established on the record when that began? BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. The congressional hearings that you believe I'm talking about occurred in 2007, shortly after you began working at Tiversa; correct?
A. That's correct. July 2007.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Just so I'm clear -- I'm asking you this -- these letters from Chairman Darrell Issa, the letters that we got, that all began in 2007?

MR. SHERMAN: No, sir.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: This is a different hearing?
MR. SHERMAN: Yes, sir.
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JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Let's just keep the record clear then.

MR. SHERMAN: I understand.
BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. You said sometimes the communications between Tiversa and the FTC were weekly; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Were there times when they were more frequent than that?
A. There were times when I was working on a project specifically for the FTC that there might need to be several calls in a short period of time to clarify, you know, rectify, explain.
Q. And was that during the period two months after the 2007 congressional hearings or was that at some later time?
A. It was at a later time. I couldn't say specifically.
Q. So let's talk about the period more closely related to immediately after the 2007 congressional hearings.

Correct me if I'm wrong. I believe your testimony is that there began to be communication between Tiversa and the FTC approximately two months after those hearings took place. Correct?
-
1
A. Yes, approximately.
Q. And during that time, the communications were how frequent?
A. It was hit-and-miss.

We did, you know, receive a visit from some individuals from the FTC where we were able to showcase, if you will, the technology and type of information that's available on these networks, but it wasn't -you know, there was a process there where there were some legal hurdles, from what I understand, that had to be dealt with prior to the FTC using the data we could provide.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: When you say you got a visit from the FTC, where did these visits take place, city and town -- I mean, city and state?

THE WITNESS: What was it?
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Where did the visits take place, what city and what state?

THE WITNESS: Cranberry Township at Tiversa's -this is prior to Tiversa buying the building in Pittsburgh.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: In Pennsylvania?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. You indicated that you participated in these
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communications beginning in 2007; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the subject matter of those communications? What did you talk about?
A. We talked about information that was available on these networks.

You know, there's always the big wow factor when people would visit our facility, like, you know, my gosh, I can't believe that this information is available for anyone to download.

Then it -- it went from there to providing information that only met a certain threshold that was relatively fluid at the beginning, but we were able to work through it.
Q. So are you saying that the FTC began requesting information that met a certain threshold?

MS. VANDRUFF: Objection, Your Honor. This is respondent's witness. I'd ask that he not lead the witness, please.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: That was a good example of a leading question. Sustained.

I know you were clarifying, but it was leading.
BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. Did the FTC begin requesting information that met a certain threshold?

## A. Yes.

And Bob Boback did not feel comfortable providing information to the FTC under Tiversa's name. At the time, there was talk of a possible acquisition, that Tiversa would be acquired by another large identity theft company, so we didn't want to muddy the waters at the time, so that extended the whole process.
Q. You mentioned the FTC visiting Tiversa in Pennsylvania; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall what year that occurred?
A. That would have been the fall or winter of 2007.
Q. So that was after the congressional hearings and testimony that we have been talking about?
A. Yes.
Q. When did the FTC begin requesting information of a certain threshold, as you described?
A. It was after another entity was set up that a formal request could be made from the FTC to Tiversa. That's when that threshold and different types of information were gathered up and, you know, put together.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: I have a question.
Do you know who initiated the contact or
communications with Tiversa and the FTC?
THE WITNESS: Well, it was Bob Boback was testifying along with -- and I'm not -- I don't remember her name, but it was some executive from the FTC at the same hearing.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: So there would have been a meeting at the hearing.

THE WITNESS: They were -- both Bob and the lady from the FTC were testifying at the same hearing.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: But you're not sure who suggested meeting, whether it was the FTC or Tiversa.

THE WITNESS: No. I don't know.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Off the record.
(Discussion off the record.)
Go ahead.
BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. Mr. Wallace, do you know what a civil investigative demand is?
A. Yes. I'm familiar with that.
Q. And how are you familiar with what that is?
A. That is a document that came from the FTC to -well, there was some talk about it being issued to Tiversa. We backed out of that process and accepted it through another company.
Q. What other company accepted the civil

| 1353 |  |  | 1355 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | investigative demand? | 1 | (Pause in the proceedings.) |
| 2 | A. The Privacy Institute. | 2 | Do you still need to approach? |
| 3 | Q. Do you know whether the Privacy Institute | 3 | MR. SHERMAN: If he can identify what it is, we |
| 4 | existed prior to the talk of issuing the civil | 4 | will not. |
| 5 | investigative demand to Tiversa? | 5 | BY MR. SHERMAN: |
| 6 | A. No, it did not. | 6 | Q. Mr. Wallace, can you identify what that document |
| 7 | Q. So is it your understanding that the | 7 | is? |
| 8 | Privacy Institute was established for the sole purpose | 8 | A. It looks to me like it's a redacted spreadsheet |
| 9 | of receiving the CID from -- the civil investigative | 9 | that would have information from LabMD in their |
| 10 | demand from the FTC? | 10 | insurance aging file. This probably came from a list |
| 11 | MS. VANDRUFF: Objection, Your Honor. Leading. | 11 | that we used for IRCs they're called, incident response |
| 12 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Any response? | 12 | cases. |
| 13 | MR. SHERMAN: I can rephrase the question. | 13 | MS. VANDRUFF: Your Honor, I'm sorry to |
| 14 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Thank you. | 14 | interrupt the witness, but his testimony was predicated |
| 15 | BY MR. SHERMAN: | 15 | with that it probably did, and I'd ask that the witness |
| 16 | Q. What is your understanding as to why the | 16 | be restricted to what's within his personal knowledge as |
| 17 | Privacy Institute was established? | 17 | opposed to his speculation. |
| 18 | A. It was a way to protect Tiversa from knowingly | 18 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: That's sustained. |
| 19 | giving other entities information because, like I said, | 19 | MS. VANDRUFF: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 20 | at the time there were some talks about an acquisition. | 20 | MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, may we approach? |
| 21 | Q. Did you do anything in order to help the | 21 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Yes. |
| 22 | Privacy Institute respond to the civil investigative | 22 | (At the bench, the following discussion was held |
| 23 | demand? | 23 | off the public record.) |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 |  |
| 25 | Q. What did you do? | 25 |  |
|  | 1354 |  | 1356 |
| 1 | A. I collected companies' information and the | 1 |  |
| 2 | actual files that were associated, burned those to | 2 |  |
| 3 | discs, and they were provided in compliance with the | 3 |  |
| 4 | CID. | 4 |  |
| 5 | Q. Did you provide anything else in response to the | 5 |  |
| 6 | CID? | 6 |  |
| 7 | A. Not that I'm aware of. | 7 |  |
| 8 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: I just want to be clear of | 8 |  |
| 9 | something. This is not something within my purview. | 9 |  |
| 10 | Was there only one civil investigative demand | 10 |  |
| 11 | that we're talking about? Was there only one sent to | 11 |  |
| 12 | Tiversa? | 12 |  |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: Yes. Only one that I'm aware of. | 13 |  |
| 14 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Thank you. | 14 |  |
| 15 | BY MR. SHERMAN: | 15 |  |
| 16 | Q. Is there a page on the screen in front of you, | 16 |  |
| 17 | Mr. Wallace? | 17 |  |
| 18 | A. Yes. I can't really tell what it is, but there | 18 |  |
| 19 | is. | 19 |  |
| 20 | I'm familiar with what that is. | 20 |  |
| 21 | MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, can we approach the | 21 |  |
| 22 | bench? | 22 |  |
| 23 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Yes. But whoever is displaying | 23 |  |
| 24 | the document can increase it to 100 percent. You can | 24 |  |
| 25 | make it larger. | 25 |  |


|  | 1357 |  | 1359 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 1 | Q. What is an IRC? |
| 2 |  | 2 | A. An incident response case. It would be if |
| 3 |  | 3 | you -- if an individual or a company has a data breach, |
| 4 |  | 4 | their information, as the analysts are going through |
| 5 |  | 5 | data, they would enter it into a database or a |
| 6 |  | 6 | spreadsheet so that the salespeople or Bob or whoever |
| 7 |  | 7 | would be able to make the phone call to describe the |
| 8 |  | 8 | problem that they're having and then offer them |
| 9 |  | 9 | remediation services. |
| 10 |  | 10 | Q. So the document that you have in your hand was |
| 11 |  | 11 | created in response to the CID? |
| 12 |  | 12 | A. It began as a spreadsheet for the IRCs but was |
| 13 |  | 13 | then copied and pasted for response to the CID, yes. |
| 14 |  | 14 | And this is a working copy as well. |
| 15 |  | 15 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: You said that if there was a |
| 16 |  | 16 | data breach, the analysts would -- |
| 17 |  | 17 | THE WITNESS: Pardon me? |
| 18 |  | 18 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: You said that if there was a |
| 19 |  | 19 | data breach found, the analysts would create an IRC? |
| 20 |  | 20 | THE WITNESS: You would take the information -- |
| 21 |  | 21 | that's where this came from. |
| 22 |  | 22 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: And the analysts would be you |
| 23 |  | 23 | or the other name you gave me earlier? You were the two |
| 24 |  | 24 | analysts? |
| 25 |  | 25 | THE WITNESS: Right. |
|  | 1358 |  | 1360 |
| 1 |  | 1 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Is there something else you |
| 2 |  | 2 | wanted to say? |
| 3 | (In open court.) | 3 | THE WITNESS: Or if there's a salesperson that's |
| 4 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Mr. Wallace, we've had an | 4 | in the data store looking around, maybe they would find |
| 5 | objection which I sustained. You're allowed to testify | 5 | a company that's on here, they would put the information |
| 6 | to what you know, what you saw, what you did. And maybe | 6 | on there, the amount of people affected, the type of |
| 7 | inadvertently, because you're not an expert witness, you | 7 | information it was, the file title. The only thing that |
| 8 | were talking about something probably was or might be. | 8 | is not on here is the IP address. |
| 9 | Let's stick to what you know for certain and no | 9 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: And you referred to something |
| 10 | speculation. | 10 | called a data breach. |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 11 | What would be a data breach that would create |
| 12 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Thank you. | 12 | this IRC? |
| 13 | MR. SHERMAN: May I approach the witness, | 13 | THE WITNESS: It would be any of these |
| 14 | Your Honor? | 14 | individuals who the analyst would come across their |
| 15 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Yes. | 15 | information and a way for us to monetize and sell our |
| 16 | BY MR. SHERMAN: | 16 | services, whether it be data monitoring, a takedown |
| 17 | Q. Mr. Wallace, I've just handed you what's been | 17 | notice that we could issue to an ISP. |
| 18 | marked as RX 551 for identification purposes only. | 18 | The IRC is different than -- it's more of a |
| 19 | I'll ask that you take a look at that document | 19 | one-off, if you will. Rather than purchasing a |
| 20 | and tell me whether or not you recognize it. | 20 | monitoring contract over an extended period of time, |
| 21 | A. Yes, I do. | 21 | maybe this company only has one file with 5,000 people's |
| 22 | Q. What is it? | 22 | PII it's about and they just need the name of the person |
| 23 | A. This began as a list for IRCs and was the | 23 | that is exposing it. |
| 24 | information that was provided to the FTC in response to | 24 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: You might have misunderstood my |
| 25 | the CID. | 25 | question. |

At the time you and the other analysts were doing this job, what was considered to be a data breach? You said you would look at a data breach. What was a data breach? What would constitute a data breach?

THE WITNESS: There was no guideline. It was based on what the analyst or the salespeople that were in the data store, what they would constitute as information that should not be available publicly.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: And you used the word I think "monetize"?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Something that could be monetized?

THE WITNESS: We -- early on, we were having problems at Tiversa, we were having problems selling a monitoring contract, so we started contacting individual companies when information came out, and you would be able to charge them a lesser amount than a yearlong contract, just basically a one-off to take care of that problem right then.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Thank you. BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. So, Mr. Wallace, without naming any of the companies on that list, does this represent -- and I
think you've already testified to this -- does this represent the list that you created or compiled to respond to the CID?
A. Yes.
Q. Approximately how many companies appear on that list?
A. I believe there were eighty- -- like 89 I want to say.
Q. Was there a criteria for which companies should appear on that list?
A. There is.
Q. And what is it?
A. That was 100 individuals' PII. That was the threshold, if you will.
Q. And who determined that threshold?
A. I am not sure. I know it came -- I received the threshold from Bob Boback.

## Q. And so is it fair to say then that each

 company's name who appears on that list had PII exposed for over 100 people?A. No. I mean, I can see that that's -- there are some on here that only have ten people exposed.
Q. Why does their name appear on the list?
A. In order to basically get the most bang for our buck.
Q. Why does their name appear on the list?
A. So that the FTC would contact them and notify them of a data breach and hopefully we would be able to sell our services to them.
Q. Did someone tell you to put their name on the list?
A. Yes.
Q. Who?
A. Our CEO, Bob Boback.
Q. Why?
A. To use -- to be able to use any means necessary to let them know that an enforcement action is coming down the line and they need to hire us or face the music, so to speak.
Q. Did you, at the time this was created, have information on companies who fit the threshold but whose names do not appear on that list?
A. Yes.
Q. Why does their name not appear on the list?
A. The list was scrubbed of all clients in the past and future clients that we felt that there might be,
you know, the prospect of doing business with them. Their information was removed.
Q. Clients of Tiversa?
A. Yes.
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Q. Who made the decision to remove their names from the list?
A. Bob Boback.
Q. In response to a question that the judge asked you, you indicated that there was an effort to monetize this information. Do you recall saying that?
A. Yes.
Q. How did Tiversa monetize the information that they would gather from the peer-to-peer networks?
A. Either by selling a monitoring contract which would look for a certain amount or a certain number of keywords over a certain period of time or an IRC, which would be, again, like a one-off, that you would just take care of that, you know, that breach or that problem at that given point.
Q. Were you aware of whether every company that Tiversa contacted accepted the offer to do business with Tiversa?
A. Did you say did every company accept it? No.
Q. When a company refused to do business with Tiversa, did Mr. Boback have a certain reaction to that?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that reaction?
A. Usually it would be something to the effect of

|  | 1365 |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | they -- you know, they -- I've heard this said many, | 1 |
| 2 | many times, that, you know, you think you have a problem | 2 |
| 3 | now, you just wait. | 3 |
| 4 | It would -- their information would then | 4 |
| 5 | proliferate over these networks, actually in our data | 5 |
| 6 | store, but we would make it look like data had spread to | 6 |
| 7 | multiple places to then follow up with that company | 7 |
| 8 | again and try to get them to do business again. | 8 |
| 9 | Q. Are you aware of whether or not LabMD agreed or | 9 |
| 10 | refused to do business with Tiversa? | 10 |
| 11 | A. I think initially I don't think that there was | 11 |
| 12 | a I don't think that they did not want to do business | 12 |
| 13 | with Tiversa initially, and I think that as the | 13 |
| 14 | communication advanced back and forth from Bob and | 14 |
| 15 | different people with LabMD, I think that that's when | 15 |
| 16 | they decided that they did not want to do business with | 16 |
| 17 | Tiversa. | 17 |
| 18 | Q. Did Mr. Boback have a reaction to LabMD's | 18 |
| 19 | decision not to do business with Tiversa? | 19 |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 |
| 21 | Q. And what was that reaction? | 21 |
| 22 | A. Do I say it? | 22 |
| 23 | MS. BUCHANAN: Answer the question. | 23 |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: He basically said f--- him, make | 24 |
| 25 | sure he's at the top of the list. | 12 |
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## BY MR. SHERMAN:

## Q. What list?

A. This list in my hand (indicating).

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Is there an average contract that you can tell me what -- what would be the cost of a contract for a company?

THE WITNESS: It would depend on the size of the company. Some of the larger financial companies we were selling monitoring services for, you know, in the million dollar price range, or a small mom-and-pop company, you know, might be in the low thousands per month.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: That's a million per month?
THE WITNESS: A million per year. That was one of our largest contracts.

BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. You testified earlier that when a company would refuse to do business with Tiversa, somehow their information would proliferate.
A. Yes.
Q. What do you mean by that?
A. Basically what happened would -- there needed to be a reason for Bob or somebody at Tiversa to contact that individual again or that company, so in order to use the -- you basically say that your file spread to a
bad guy's IP address at, you know, Apache Junction, Arizona or wherever you could find a bad guy to put the file there as far as the system sees it, but it's really -- no data is transferring.
Q. Can you explain to us --
A. Pardon me?
Q. Can you explain to us how you would make it appear as though the data had proliferated?
A. Sure.

So as we talked about earlier, if you use a stand-alone client like a LimeWire or Kazaa or BearShare or whatever you have to supplement the data store with information, there is a folder that I would direct -- or that I would put files in that would show up in the data store, you know, with Coveo or whatever application you're using to have a front end. It would show up just like it was downloaded from that IP.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Let me get this straight.
So it was your job, number one, to make it look like it was proliferated, but you also did --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: -- spread the document out there.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: You made it look like it and
you actually did it.
THE WITNESS: Pardon me?
JUDGE CHAPPELL: You actually did it. You actually made it available around the Internet in peer-to-peer --

THE WITNESS: No. No. We would only make it appear to have been downloaded from a known bad actor.

So if you have an identity thief in Arizona, say, for example, we already know law enforcement has already dealt with that individual. We know that the IP is dead. We know that the computer is long gone. Therefore, it's easy to burn that IP address because who's going to second-guess it.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: So to boil this down, you would make the data breach appear to be much worse than it actually had been.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Go ahead.
BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. Is there a document on your screen,

Mr. Wallace?
A. Yes.
Q. I submit to you that what's on your screen has
been marked as CX 19 and has been admitted into evidence in this case.
-

## Do you recognize that document?

A. Yes, I do.
Q. What is that document?
A. That is a list of IP addresses that was created in the November 2013 time frame of Bob came to me and basically said that him and LabMD are having it out, there's -- I didn't really follow the whole legal proceedings, but I knew that there was some bad water there. And Bob said that under no circumstances can the insurance aging file appear to have come from a 64 IP or in the Atlanta area.

These IPs that are used here, these are all identity thieves that was provided from me to Bob.
Q. How do you know these are identity thieves' IP addresses?
A. Because you can look in the data store and see what files they downloaded and what files they're reexposing. And plus I worked with law enforcement, so I'm very familiar with all four of these.
Q. So the purpose of creating the document in front of you was what?
A. That was after Bob came to me and said that under no circumstances can the insurance aging file originate from a Georgia IP address or an Atlanta area IP address. And in addition to that, he told me to

## 1370

find an individual in San Diego to include with this list.
Q. To your knowledge, was the insurance aging file belonging to LabMD ever found at any of these IP addresses on this list?
A. No, it was not.
Q. Where was the insurance aging file that belonged to LabMD found?

MS. VANDRUFF: Objection.
THE WITNESS: It was on our workstation.
MS. VANDRUFF: Mr. Wallace, excuse me. I'm sorry.

Mr. Wallace may be competent to answer that question, but I believe that Mr. Sherman needs to lay the foundation first.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: The question regarding where the insurance aging file that belonged to LabMD was found?

MS. VANDRUFF: Correct. How Mr. Wallace would have personal knowledge of that fact.

MR. SHERMAN: I'll lay a foundation,
Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Go ahead.
Before you do that, Mr. Wallace, you've used the term "data store."

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: "Data store," what does that mean?

THE WITNESS: It is a depository of ICE long servers that as data is pulled in from different networks or peer-to-peer networks, it's stored in the data store.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Was it something on your computer, your server at Tiversa?

THE WITNESS: Yes. It would be accessible from a workstation at Tiversa. There are several workstations.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: And what was in the data store?
THE WITNESS: That would be hard copies of files that were downloaded from the Gnutella network.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: This would not be where these IP addresses would be located.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: It would be or would not be?
THE WITNESS: It would be.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: So that was also there, where a file could be located, as well as the actual file?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. Mr. Wallace, during the course of your
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employment at Tiversa, did you find the LabMD insurance aging file?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. How did you find that file?
A. I was looking, using a stand-alone desktop
computer, looking for a health insurance company who we were providing data services for. Again, I was using that to supplement the -- Tiversa's Eagle Vision, is what it's called or what the secret sauce is, so I was using that just to look and see if there's information that our systems were not downloading or not catching.
Q. And in doing that, you -- did you come across the insurance aging file?
A. Yes.
Q. And where did you find the insurance aging file?
A. That was in Atlanta.
Q. And were you able to then capture the IP address?
A. Yes. Basically, I downloaded the insurance
aging file, saw that it was something of interest for
sure, browsed the host and downloaded the additional files that were at that IP.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Let me talk about the data store again.

You were talking about you would make it appear

## 1374

that way in the data store so, if there was ever an audit or, you know, somebody was catching on, the data would be there if you -- Coveo is basically a front end for the data store. It's like a Google site, so you could type in there "insurance aging" and it's going to come up with a list of IP addresses along with the file, date and time.

So in order to have that displayed, it needs to be inside the data store and indexed.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: In the scenario you just gave me for fictitious Company B, when Mr. Boback told Company B that, that was untrue.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. So let's look at the document that's on your screen.

The first set of numbers on the first horizontal line of information, what is that number?
A. That is an IP address.
Q. The second set of numbers, what is that?
A. That is the -- would be the date and time modified or downloaded.
Q. The third set of numbers after the "at" symbol?
A. That would be the file title and the way that it would be saved in the Tiversa data store with the IP
that a file was proliferated when it actually wasn't.
Could you tell by looking at your data store where the file actually had been seen or downloaded from as well as these IPs you had created to make it appear to be worse?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Because the folder where I would add that information to or the -- prepend the IP address to the file title, it would go into a separate folder that was called Input From Lab, so it wasn't stored in the normal directories that the rest of the files would be.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: So you could -- you knew exactly where the file had been found, but how did you then show that to -- let's say Company B didn't want to have a contract and you were told to make it look like the file was all over the Internet.

How did you show that information to Company B? How did you demonstrate that?

THE WITNESS: Usually it would be after the fact, Bob would make contact with the company, without coming to me or coming to anyone else first, and say, you know, your file has spread to three additional IP addresses, it's in Europe and Nigeria and Poland and who knows.

So then it would be up to me to make it appear
address prepended to the file title.

## Q. I think you skipped a couple.

Right after the "at" symbol, what is that?
A. That is the time.
Q. The time of what?
A. The time of the modification. It's a date and time of when the file was either modified or downloaded.
Q. And the following numbers after that, what is that?
A. That is the IP address on the front with the file title. That is exactly how it would be indexed in our data store so that the IP addresses would show up properly. That's why they're in brackets, the IP address.
Q. Okay. So if someone were to go to Tiversa's data store around the time that -- shortly after this document was created and they searched the 173.16.83.112 IP address, would they find an indication that the insurance aging file was downloaded from that IP address?
A. Yes. It will be in the Input From Lab directory.
Q. How did that information come to be there?
A. Pardon me?

## Q. How did that information come to be there in the data store --

A. It would be --
Q. -- under that IP address?
A. It would be from me inputting it in there.

So you have your Eagle Vision system that is automatically creating directories and saving data, files, if you will, and then there's the other half of it, which was a scratch drive, basically my drive, where I could deposit files with a modification date to make it look like on the main screen that, yes, it came from this IP address; however, if you were to go look at the file individually, you would see that it was put in there from the input.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Hold on a second.
This IP address, let's say the line 1 , 173., et cetera, are you familiar with that IP address, the first line?

THE WITNESS: Yes. 173.16.83?
JUDGE CHAPPELL: What is that site?
THE WITNESS: That is a -- it's important to understand, IP addresses are only leased for a certain period of time.

In 2008, this IP address went back to a known identity thief in Apache Junction, Arizona. Right now,

|  | 1377 |  | 1379 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | this IP address resolves to Chicago and it's a complete | 1 | represent? |
| 2 | separate, you know, different computer. | 2 | A. I still didn't hear you. |
| 3 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: I'm just trying to clarify | 3 | Q. What does the second set of numbers -- |
| 4 | this. | 4 | A. Oh, second set. |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. | 5 | Q. -- represent? |
| 6 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: If I understood you correctly, | 6 | A. That is the date, the date and time of the |
| 7 | it was not true that the file was at this IP address. | 7 | modification or download. |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: That is correct. | 8 | Q. And then the third line of information, the |
| 9 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: And if I were Company B in my | 9 | third? |
| 10 | earlier scenario, do I have any way to go to | 10 | A. That would be the file title as it would appear |
| 11 | Apache Junction and see if they've downloaded my data? | 11 | in the data store for any input. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: We would see that in our -- in our | 12 | Q. And is it true that you, Rick Wallace, went into |
| 13 | real data store, we would show -- like, for example, | 13 | Tiversa's data store and entered this information under |
| 14 | with this one, this individual had over -- I was very | 14 | the 68.107.85.250 IP address to make it appear that that |
| 15 | familiar with this guy. He had over 3,000 tax returns, | 15 | file was found there? |
| 16 | and he was zipping them up and selling them. Therefore, | 16 | MS. VANDRUFF: Objection, Your Honor. Leading. |
| 17 | we knew that he was a bad actor, and it made it easy to | 17 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Yes. Beginning with "is it |
| 18 | put this file there, so to speak, even though he never | 18 | true" pretty much indicates it's leading. |
| 19 | had it physically on that computer, but we made it | 19 | Sustained. |
| 20 | look -- appear like he did. | 20 | MS. VANDRUFF: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 21 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. So if I follow you | 21 | BY MR. SHERMAN: |
| 22 | correctly, you never -- the file was never actually at | 22 | Q. So the information that appears on the second |
| 23 | Apache Junction. | 23 | line? |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: No. | 24 | A. Pardon? |
| 25 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: But I, Company B, had no way of | 25 | Q. The information that appears on the second line |
|  | 1378 |  | 1380 |
| 1 | ever verifying that or knowing that. | 1 | of this exhibit? |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Right. | 2 | A. Okay. Yes. |
| 3 | BY MR. SHERMAN: | 3 | Q. You're familiar with that information; correct? |
| 4 | Q. For the other three IP addresses and line of | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | information on this document the same is true as for the | 5 | Q. Did you place that information in Tiversa's data |
| 6 | first line, that you put this information into Tiversa's | 6 | store? |
| 7 | data store under these IP addresses for the purpose of | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | making it appear that the insurance aging file was found | 8 | Q. And why did you place that particular |
| 9 | there. | 9 | information in Tiversa's data store? |
| 10 | A. That is correct. | 10 | A. Again, this was after Bob came to me and said |
| 11 | MS. VANDRUFF: Objection, Your Honor. Leading. | 11 | that we needed a new spread on the insurance aging file |
| 12 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: That's sustained. | 12 | because there were some things going on between LabMD |
| 13 | I'll disregard the response to that question. | 13 | and Tiversa and in no way, shape or form could it ever |
| 14 | Do you want to rephrase? | 14 | have been found in Atlanta. There's something to do |
| 15 | MS. VANDRUFF: Thank you, Your Honor. | 15 | with Bob claiming that we never connected to an IP -- to |
| 16 | MR. SHERMAN: Yes, sir. We'll move through it. | 16 | a LabMD computer. |
| 17 | BY MR. SHERMAN: | 17 | Q. And is that true, that Tiversa never connected |
| 18 | Q. Line 2 on CX 19? | 18 | to a LabMD computer? |
| 19 | A. Uh-huh. | 19 | A. That is not true. |
| 20 | Q. What does the first set of numbers represent? | 20 | Q. The third line of information on CX 19? |
| 21 | A. That is an IP address. | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. The second set of numbers? | 22 | Q. Oh, by the way, was the insurance aging file |
| 23 | A. Pardon me? | 23 | ever found, to your knowledge, at 68.107.85.250? |
| 24 | Q. I'm sorry. | 24 | A. No, it was not. |
| 25 | The second set of numbers, what does that | 25 | Q. The third line of information on CX 19, are you |


|  | 1381 |  | 1383 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | familiar with that information? | 1 | that. |
| 2 | A. That is also a known person who -- called an | 2 | Was there a LabMD data store? |
| 3 | information concentrator or an identity thief, someone | 3 | THE WITNESS: Was there? |
| 4 | who is downloading information that's out there in the | 4 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: A LabMD data store. |
| 5 | wild that's available. | 5 | MR. SHERMAN: May I, Your Honor? |
| 6 | Q. And did you place this information in Tiversa's | 6 | THE WITNESS: I'm not sure -- |
| 7 | data store? | 7 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Go ahead. |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | BY MR. SHERMAN: |
| 9 | Q. And the purpose of placing this information in | 9 | Q. The question was: Was there a LabMD data |
| 10 | Tiversa's data store was for what? | 10 | store? |
| 11 | A. Because Bob had came to me, explained that we | 11 | A. No. LabMD's data, I believe that there were |
| 12 | had to have spread on these files and had to move it off | 12 | 19 files total. They were all put in their own |
| 13 | of the IP address that would emanate from and, you know, | 13 | directory on the data store along with millions of other |
| 14 | in Atlanta. | 14 | IP addresses. |
| 15 | Q. And so that's what you did; correct? | 15 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: And these -- what is this |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | document number on the screen? |
| 17 | Q. The fourth line of information, are you familiar | 17 | MR. SHERMAN: CX 19. |
| 18 | with that as well? | 18 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: CX 19, these four IP addresses |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | were created by you, and they're actually -- for all |
| 20 | Q. And did you place this information in Tiversa's | 20 | practical purposes, they're fake, as far as the aging |
| 21 | data store? | 21 | file was not found on these three IP addresses; |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | correct? |
| 23 | Q. And why did you place this information in | 23 | THE WITNESS: On all four of them. |
| 24 | Tiversa's data store? | 24 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: And you created all four of |
| 25 | A. It was just another IP address that was | 25 | these at whose request? |
|  | 1382 |  | 1384 |
| 1 | available that you could see was a bad actor. | 1 | THE WITNESS: At Bob's. |
| 2 | Q. If someone then goes into Tiversa's data store | 2 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Bob Boback requested that. |
| 3 | and they see this information, what are they led to | 3 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 4 | believe? | 4 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: How was this information |
| 5 | A. That the file was -- that the file would have | 5 | presented to LabMD? |
| 6 | emanated from that IP address. It would -- it would | 6 | THE WITNESS: It never was presented in -- |
| 7 | show up in a way, if you search for that IP address, | 7 | other than I typed it up and I think it was either |
| 8 | where it would be a laundry list of files and insurance | 8 | e-mailed or -- I'm not really sure. But I know that |
| 9 | aging would show up in that list based on an IP search. | 9 | the actual file was never -- the actual files that were |
| 10 | Q. If you do an IP search of what? | 10 | doctored up were never provided to LabMD. They just -- |
| 11 | A. Of the data store. | 11 | I just had to put them in the data store so they would |
| 12 | Q. Tiversa's data store? | 12 | look real. |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: But again, if LabMD couldn't |
| 14 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Who has access to the data | 14 | access the data store, what was the point? |
| 15 | store? | 15 | THE WITNESS: Because if there was ever an |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Pardon me? | 16 | audit or if somebody were to come in and say, Hey, |
| 17 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Who has access to the data | 17 | you know, show me a bad guy at 173, here he has already |
| 18 | store? | 18 | been prosecuted by law enforcement and we know the IP is |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: Basically every employee at | 19 | dead, I would be able to show, wow, look at this. It |
| 20 | Tiversa. | 20 | was basically for the wow factor. |
| 21 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Did LabMD have access to the | 21 | One thing I would like to mention is the date |
| 22 | Tiversa data store? | 22 | and the time was also adjusted on each file, so it was |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Did who? LabMD? No. No. We | 23 | very difficult at times and time-consuming because I had |
| 24 | would -- | 24 | to go backwards, like on the 11-5-2008 at 11:26 p.m., |
| 25 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: I'm sorry. Let me restate | 25 | that file, the modified date on that had to be changed |

to reflect the same time frame when actual downloads were happening from that IP address.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Go ahead.
BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. You mentioned the word "spread."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. What does that mean?
A. That would be where a file is available and it appears to have been downloaded and being reshared to the network by multiple people.
Q. Isn't that a point of CX 19 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Wallace, have you ever traveled to

Washington, D.C. to meet with the FTC?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you do that?
A. I would say it would have been -- it would have been after the CID was issued, but I'm not sure of the exact date.
Q. Would it also have been after the list of companies was provided pursuant to the CID?
A. Yes. That was the purpose of the meeting, was to clarify the -- how I put the data together, how it would correspond with the list and the actual file.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Is there any dispute as to this
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issue? If not, may he place the witness?
MS. VANDRUFF: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I don't understand the question.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Is there a dispute as to when he came to visit with the FTC?

MS. VANDRUFF: I don't believe there's another witness who has testified about when he came to meet with the FTC, so I actually -- I don't --

JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right.
BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. You testified that the purpose of the meeting was to discuss the information provided pursuant to the CID; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall who was at the meeting?
A. There were multiple people. I mean, I don't -I don't remember specific -- I do remember Alain was there.
Q. Alain who?
A. Alain Sheer.
Q. How long did the meeting last?
A. Gosh, it's been so long ago. A couple of hours maybe.
Q. And was there any discussion of particular companies that appeared on the list? And -- and don't
name them if there was.
A. Well, all of them would have been discussed. I mean, it was something where you could look at the list and then say okay, this is a file that corresponds with this entry.

## Q. Was LabMD specifically discussed?

A. Was LabMD on the list?
Q. Were they specifically discussed that day, if you remember, at the meeting with the FTC?
A. I don't remember.
Q. How did you get to D.C.?
A. There was a previous commitment that we just worked in an afternoon meeting. There was I believe four of us that came from Tiversa.

## Q. Who traveled to D.C. from Tiversa?

A. Bob Boback was driving. I was in the car, Anju Chopra and Keith Tagliaferri.
Q. Following the meeting, did the people from Tiversa have discussions about the meeting?
A. Yeah. I mean, we -- Bob spoke to me about next steps on the way home.
Q. And what were the next steps?

MS. VANDRUFF: Object to the extent that it's being offered for the truth of the matter asserted.

MR. SHERMAN: It's background as to what the
next steps were, Your Honor. It's not based on the truth of what --

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Not for the truth?
MR. SHERMAN: It's not for the truth.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Overruled.
MR. SHERMAN: He said what the next steps were, and I want to know what was discussed.

MS. VANDRUFF: Your Honor, I'm sorry. Just to be clear, the testimony is permitted but not admitted for its truth; is that correct?

JUDGE CHAPPELL: He said it's not for the truth.
Therefore, by definition, it is not hearsay.
MS. VANDRUFF: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. You said there were next steps discussed.

What were the next steps discussed?
A. Bob had indicated to me that the files needed to have spread on them, you know, basically look for them and see if they are available at other IP addresses, and if they're not, make them appear to have -- you know, be at different IP addresses.
Q. In taking the next steps following the meeting with the FTC, did you search for the insurance aging file associated with LabMD?
A. I did not.

| 1389 |  | 1391 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Well, I did search our data store. However, I | 1 | by Mr. Boback to you? |
| 2 | did not go out and probe the network for the specific | 2 | A. Pardon me? |
| 3 | insurance aging file title, so I did look to see if we | 3 | Q. Was this an unusual request -- |
| 4 | would have picked it up, because we have other | 4 | A. No. |
| 5 | healthcare clients at the time where, because of the | 5 | Q. -- made by -- |
| 6 | file title, we would have downloaded it multiple times | 6 | A. No. It was common practice. |
| 7 | if it was offered up from any IP address. | 7 | Q. Are there any other examples? |
| 8 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: This document on the screen, | 8 | A. Probably every company that we've ever done |
| 9 | CX -- is it 19? | 9 | business with. |
| 10 | MR. SHERMAN: Yes. | 10 | Q. Is it fair to say that in fact that was |
| 11 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: This was created before or | 11 | Tiversa's business model? |
| 12 | after the meeting with the FTC? | 12 | A. There were ways to ensure that we were able to |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: This was created in November of | 13 | constantly provide valuable information to a client, |
| 14 | 2013. This was far after. | 14 | whether it be having a file spread or hanging on to a |
| 15 | BY MR. SHERMAN: | 15 | file for a later date. |
| 16 | Q. The information that's in Tiversa's data store, | 16 | So I guess having the actual file for a later |
| 17 | where does that information come from? | 17 | date is just as valuable as creating spread. |
| 18 | A. Well, I'm not sure what information -- it would | 18 | Q. Mr. Wallace, is there a document on the screen? |
| 19 | come from -- | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Are you asking him about LabMD | 20 | Q. I submit to you that what's on the screen has |
| 21 | or in general? | 21 | been marked as RX 545 for identification purposes. |
| 22 | MR. SHERMAN: I'm asking him in general where | 22 | Do you recognize that document? |
| 23 | does the information that's retained in Tiversa's data | 23 | A. I recognize this incident record, yes. |
| 24 | store come from. | 24 | Q. Is that the type of document that Tiversa would |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: There are two different ways to | 25 | generate in the regular course of its business? |
|  | 1390 |  | 1392 |
| 1 | get data in the data store. Using Eagle Vision, it | 1 | A. Yes. |
| 2 | would automatically download a file based on the file | 2 | Q. Can you -- yes, scroll. |
| 3 | title. Or there's the scratch drive or -- for the input | 3 | Go back to page 1, please. |
| 4 | where somebody like myself who's using a stand-alone | 4 | Mr. Wallace, if you look at the -- well, what's |
| 5 | client, I can insert data in -- you know, legitimate | 5 | an Incident Record Form? |
| 6 | data is what it was -- the purpose was. | 6 | A. That is also referred to as a ticket. It's a |
| 7 | BY MR. SHERMAN: | 7 | deliverable for a company who subscribes to a monitoring |
| 8 | Q. And so based on your review of the data store in | 8 | service. |
| 9 | looking for the insurance aging file, is it your | 9 | Q. And so, Mr. Wallace, if you could read the |
| 10 | testimony that you did not find that it had been | 10 | narrative in the box near the bottom of the screen for |
| 11 | downloaded again from any source into -- | 11 | us, please. |
| 12 | A. That's correct. | 12 | MS. VANDRUFF: Excuse me, Counsel. Are you |
| 13 | Q. -- the Tiversa data store? | 13 | asking the witness to read this into the record? |
| 14 | A. That is correct. | 14 | MR. SHERMAN: Well, he's on the record. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. So that being the case, how did you create | 15 | MS. VANDRUFF: Okay. Well, then, Your Honor, I |
| 16 | spread for the insurance aging file? | 16 | would object on the basis of hearsay and the document |
| 17 | A. I -- like I said, I'm very familiar with these | 17 | speaks for itself and does not need to be read into the |
| 18 | IP addresses -- and there are several more -- that I | 18 | record. |
| 19 | would use not only for LabMD but for other companies as | 19 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Is the document in evidence? |
| 20 | well. Usually it's reactionary after Bob comes to me | 20 | MR. SHERMAN: It is not. It is not. This is |
| 21 | and says, Look, we need this at four different IP | 21 | one of the documents that, pursuant to the court's |
| 22 | addresses and they need to be bad guys and it can't be | 22 | order, we must lay a foundation for. |
| 23 | from a certain area. Then that's when this would be | 23 | And so I'll withdraw the last question and |
| 24 | created. | 24 | rephrase. |
| 25 | Q. Was this an unusual request made by you -- made | 25 | MS. VANDRUFF: Thank you, Your Honor. |


|  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | 1393 |  |
| 1 | BY MR. SHERMAN: | 1 |
| 2 | Q. So, Mr. Wallace, you indicated that you | 2 |
| 3 | recognize this document; correct? | 3 |
| 4 | A. I recognize this document, yes. | 4 |
| 5 | Q. Did you input the information into this | 5 |
| 6 | document? | 6 |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 |
| 8 | Q. And in doing so, you wrote the narrative in the | 8 |
| 9 | Section 4 Incident Summary? | 9 |
| 10 | A. I normally would have, yes. However, I do not | 10 |
| 11 | believe that it ever stated that one file was detected. | 11 |
| 12 | I think that that -- that is not correct. I think it | 12 |
| 13 | has been changed since I would have submitted it to | 13 |
| 14 | CIGNA. | 14 |
| 15 | Q. So CIGNA was a client of Tiversa; correct? | 15 |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 |
| 17 | Q. And they were a client on or about April of | 17 |
| 18 | 2008; is that correct? | 18 |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 |
| 20 | Q. And do you recall generating an incident report | 20 |
| 21 | or ticket for CIGNA concerning the information that | 21 |
| 22 | appears on RX 545? | 22 |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 23 |
| 24 | Q. Your testimony is, however, that you believe | 24 |
| 25 | this document is somewhat different than the information | 25 |

you submitted; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. In what way is it different?
A. There were additional files at the
64.190.82.42 IP address that would identify LabMD as being the source of the insurance aging file.
Q. Would you have included that in the narrative?
A. Yes.
Q. When we look at $R X 545$, in the

Section 2 Incident Information section, do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. It indicates that the date of the incident is 4-18-2008.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. According to the Incident Record Form, what incident occurred on 4-18-2008?
A. Like I had discussed previously or tried to explain -- and maybe I didn't do a very good job -when there's a lot of information for specific companies that we're providing monitoring services for, you don't want to bombard them with a whole bunch of information and then have a dry run with no tickets, so you'd basically stack the information or hang on to it
for a rainy day.
The actual incident on this one I believe happened on the 25th of February of 2008. That was when the actual file was downloaded from the Atlanta IP.
Q. But the report or the incident report -- the Incident Record Form was generated to indicate that the incident occurred on April 18, 2008; correct?
A. Right. That's what I'm reading, yes.
Q. And that information is not true; is that right?
A. It's not uncommon for -- when providing monitoring services for a company, it would not be uncommon to not ticket it immediately and hang on to it.
Q. That's fine, but why then doesn't the form indicate the actual incident date?
A. That would be the date that we would provide this to a client, not necessarily the date of the incident.
Q. Even though the form says that it's the incident date; correct?
A. Right.
Q. So it was a common practice for Tiversa to give false information concerning when and where they found certain documents to their clients.
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A. Yes.
Q. Do you recognize -- in section 3, under IP Address, do you recognize that IP address?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And who does that IP address belong to?
A. I believe it's Cypress Communications.
Q. And under Summary Disclosure Name/ID, why does the name LabMD appear there?
A. Because that is who the data appears to be originating from, a device owned or operated by them.
Q. Does this information indicate that the insurance aging file was downloaded from a computer at LabMD?
A. Yes.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Mr. Sherman, how much more time do you think you're going to need on direct?

MR. SHERMAN: Maybe an hour, 45 minutes.
MS. BUCHANAN: Your Honor, could I suggest a
restroom break. Mr. Wallace is a little uncomfortable.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: That's where we're going.
Why don't we take a short break and we will reconvene at 12:30.
(Recess)
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Before we go back to
Mr. Wallace, let me try to wrap up some of these pending

| 1397 |  | 1399 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | motions. | 1 | (Pause in the proceedings.) |
| 2 | I've reviewed the affidavit and I'm prepared to | 2 | MS. BUCHANAN: Excuse me, Your Honor. May I |
| 3 | make my ruling. | 3 | make a request? |
| 4 | First of all, let me make sure the status is | 4 | When this witness is being questioned with |
| 5 | clear. | 5 | regard to the document in front of him, could counsel |
| 6 | The motion to reconsider is being withdrawn. | 6 | be directed to tell him where he got it, what -- why he |
| 7 | There will be a notice to withdraw filed. | 7 | is -- what is it that he's showing him. Because it's |
| 8 | MR. RUBINSTEIN: That's correct, Your Honor. | 8 | my understanding that these documents came attached to |
| 9 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: So that's off the table. | 9 | a congressional letter, and if he's going to be |
| 10 | I had granted in part the motion to compel for | 10 | questioned about the document, it would be important I |
| 11 | in camera review, which was voluntarily agreed to. I've | 11 | think to tell him where this letter came from to |
| 12 | done that review. What I have pending now after the | 12 | question him about it. |
| 13 | review is my ruling on the motion to compel. | 13 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Which I wouldn't -- I'm okay |
| 14 | I find the document is responsive to discovery | 14 | with that, but I think he's perhaps laying a |
| 15 | requests. I find it is relevant and may not be withheld | 15 | foundation. |
| 16 | on grounds of privilege. | 16 | Are you finished reviewing? |
| 17 | Respondent is ordered to produce it to | 17 | THE WITNESS: Pardon? |
| 18 | complaint counsel immediately. It will be given | 18 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Are you finished reviewing the |
| 19 | in camera treatment, as requested by complaint counsel. | 19 | documents? |
| 20 | Any questions? | 20 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 21 | MS. VANDRUFF: No, Your Honor. Thank you. | 21 | BY MR. SHERMAN: |
| 22 | MR. RUBINSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. | 22 | Q. Mr. Wallace, after having reviewed what's been |
| 23 | MS. VANDRUFF: If I may inquire, is it something | 23 | marked as RX 546, is this the type of document that |
| 24 | that we can receive now? | 24 | Tiversa would create and send to its clients? |
| 25 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: We're all wondering what that | 25 | A. Yes. |
|  | 1398 |  | 1400 |
| 1 | is, Mr. Sherman. | 1 | Q. And the document is titled Forensic |
| 2 | That was an anticipatory delivery. | 2 | Investigation Report for Ticket and there's a ticket |
| 3 | MR. SHERMAN: Someone is thinking ahead of me, | 3 | number; correct? |
| 4 | that's for sure. | 4 | A. Yes. This would be a follow-up to a ticket. |
| 5 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Well, off the record. | 5 | Q. And I think you referred to Exhibit RX 545 as a |
| 6 | (Discussion off the record.) | 6 | ticket, and I can refresh your -- |
| 7 | (Pause in the proceedings.) | 7 | A. I believe so, yeah. |
| 8 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Go ahead. | 8 | MR. SHERMAN: May I approach, Your Honor? |
| 9 | BY MR. SHERMAN: | 9 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Go ahead. |
| 10 | Q. Mr. Wallace, is there a document up on your | 10 | BY MR. SHERMAN: |
| 11 | screen? | 11 | Q. I've just handed you what has been marked as |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | Exhibit 545. |
| 13 | Q. Mr. Wallace, you've been -- you haven't been | 13 | Would you refer to that as a ticket? |
| 14 | handed, but it might be easier if I do hand it to you. | 14 | A. Yes, I would. |
| 15 | Mr. Wallace, up on your screen I'll represent to | 15 | Q. Having looked through Exhibit RX 546, did you in |
| 16 | you is what has been marked as Exhibit RX 546 for | 16 | any way provide any information for this report? |
| 17 | identification purposes at this point. | 17 | A. No. I -- I do not remember ever reviewing |
| 18 | Your Honor, may I approach the witness? | 18 | this. |
| 19 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Go ahead. | 19 | I mean, one thing that I can pick up on right |
| 20 | BY MR. SHERMAN: | 20 | out of the gate, it shows the specifics of this ticket |
| 21 | Q. This might make it easier. | 21 | were reported as follows. It shows 19 total files, yet |
| 22 | A. Yeah. | 22 | in the copy of the write-up it only shows one file |
| 23 | Q. Mr. Wallace, if you could look through each page | 23 | again, so I'm not familiar with this, no. |
| 24 | of what I just handed you, which is marked for | 24 | Q. And where does it say 19 total files on this |
| 25 | identification purposes RX 546. | 25 | document? |
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A. It shows it right below the introduction, is that there's one CIGNA related file and 19 files total.

The other thing that I find shocking is the data -- the date of disclosure, I know it to be February 25, 2008. It's recorded on here as 4-18-2008, yet the front cover of this report shows August 12, 2008, so I don't know. I mean, something is not making sense.
Q. In Tiversa's ordinary course of business, when it would issue a forensic investigation report for a ticket, would that forensic investigation report be closer in time to the date of the incident, in your experience?
A. Especially something this severe as this would be considered, yes.

The idea of having a forensic investigation report is to provide more information when the ticket does not provide enough to cease the disclosure from continuing.
Q. I would ask that you turn to page 3 of Exhibit 546.

Looking at the figure marked 2-1-1, there is a column in that figure that is entitled Proliferation Point.

Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. What is a proliferation point?
A. It would be the same thing as a spread, where the file is available, has been downloaded by another individual, that is available then to be redownloaded from a different IP address.
Q. So the first proliferation point third column has the IP address; correct?
A. The third column, yes.
Q. Do you recognize that IP address?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And what IP address is that?
A. That would be the originating source.
Q. Do you know who was utilizing that IP address at that time?
A. I believe that that was a LabMD-owned or controlled device.
Q. Do you recognize the other two IP addresses below the LabMD address?
A. I do not.

I do recognize the San Diego IP address.
The other, the 64.190.79.36, is probably an IP shift.
Q. And what is an IP shift?
A. An IP shift would be most likely a traveling
computer like a laptop that would access the same ISP, however, would not have, you know, the same IP address all the time. It's not leased or dedicated.

The 68.8.250.203 is a known information concentrator or identity thief and located in San Diego. That is an IP address that was attached to the insurance aging file and put in the data store.
Q. If we go back to page 2 on RX 546, under subsection 1.1, does the same IP address appear under bullet point -- on the second bullet point, Disclosing IP Location?
A. Yes.

MS. VANDRUFF: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'm not clear what counsel is asking. Same as what? We just discussed three IP addresses.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Do you want to rephrase? MR. SHERMAN: Yes. Thank you. BY MR. SHERMAN:
Q. Are you at page 2 of RX 546?

Mr. Wallace, are you at page 2 of RX 546?
A. Yes.
Q. Under section 1.1, do you see the second bullet point?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recognize that IP address under the
second bullet point?
A. Yes.
Q. What does "Disclosing IP Location" mean?
A. That would mean the originating source of this file.
Q. Does that necessarily mean where the source was found or located or viewed?
A. It would be the source that whoever is creating this document would believe to be the originating source.

So it would be an actionable IP, so this forensic report could then be used by CIGNA to go to LabMD and say, Hey, there's a computer at 64.190.82.42 that's disclosing information on our customers or our patients.
Q. Now, earlier you used the phrase "to browse the host."

What does that mean?
A. That would mean that if you find something that would be of interest, you would then look at their shared directory and see all the other files that are available at that IP and at that client.
Q. When you found the insurance aging file at the LabMD IP address, did you browse that host?
A. Yes, I did.

|  | 1405 |  | 1407 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Did you find other documents at that host? | 1 | it's written this way. |
| 2 | A. Yes. | 2 | Q. Do you know whether the FTC ever asked Tiversa |
| 3 | Q. And did those documents help you identify the | 3 | to verify the IP addresses where the insurance aging |
| 4 | owner of those documents? | 4 | file was found? |
| 5 | A. Yeah. Well, it only -- you know, not only did | 5 | A. No. |
| 6 | it support who we believed the originating source was, | 6 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Just so we're clear, you don't |
| 7 | but there were things in there that were confidential to | 7 | know or the answer was no, they did not? |
| 8 | LabMD where only an employee there would have it, user | 8 | THE WITNESS: I am not aware or I was not |
| 9 | names and passwords and things like that in a Word | 9 | present for that conversation. I provided the spread to |
| 10 | document. | 10 | Bob Boback on multiple occasions, and I'm not sure where |
| 11 | Q. And did you download then -- | 11 | he used that information. |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | BY MR. SHERMAN: |
| 13 | Q. -- those documents as well? | 13 | Q. You mentioned that you attended a meeting in |
| 14 | A. Yes, I did. | 14 | Washington, D.C. with the FTC and Bob Boback and a |
| 15 | Q. And when you downloaded those documents, were | 15 | couple of other Tiversa employees. |
| 16 | they then put into the Tiversa data store? | 16 | Were you present in the meeting for the entire |
| 17 | A. Yes, they were. | 17 | meeting? |
| 18 | Q. And in downloading them into the Tiversa data | 18 | A. Yes. I -- yes, I was there for the entire |
| 19 | store, would they carry with them the IP address from | 19 | meeting. |
| 20 | where they were downloaded? | 20 | Q. And you were present in the room for the entire |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | meeting? |
| 22 | Q. So there is, as of the date that you downloaded | 22 | A. As best as I can remember, yes. |
| 23 | not only the insurance file, the insurance aging file, | 23 | Q. During that meeting, did the FTC ever mention |
| 24 | but the other files from LabMD, there is evidence in the | 24 | its capabilities using Interlab or Internet Lab? |
| 25 | Tiversa data store of where those documents were | 25 | A. I believe that that was a -- I believe that that |
|  | 1406 |  | 1408 |
| 1 | downloaded from, the date and time? | 1 | was a way to view files that we had submitted for the |
| 2 | A. Yes. That's -- in this one ticket summary, the | 2 | CID, but I'm not -- it is ringing a bell, but I'm not -- |
| 3 | data store would be wherever the analyst pulled this | 3 | I couldn't say for sure what it is. |
| 4 | information from, where it shows the 19 total files, one | 4 | Q. Did they mention having capability under a |
| 5 | of them is related to CIGNA, the disclosing source, | 5 | program called Sentinel? |
| 6 | severity, and this says the date submitted is 4-18-2008. | 6 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Who's "they"? |
| 7 | That's also the detection date supposedly, according to | 7 | MR. SHERMAN: The FTC. |
| 8 | this. | 8 | THE WITNESS: What was the name again? |
| 9 | One more thing that I find it very interesting | 9 | BY MR. SHERMAN: |
| 10 | is the -- if this was created in 2008, how is the | 10 | Q. Sentinel? |
| 11 | 68.8.250.203 IP address on there when I believe that | 11 | A. I'm not familiar with that. |
| 12 | that was one that I submitted to Bob with the list of | 12 | MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, may we approach? |
| 13 | four in November of 2013. And that is showing a date of | 13 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Go ahead. |
| 14 | 8-5-08, and it's showing that person being an identity | 14 | (At the bench, the following discussion was held |
| 15 | thief or information concentrator, but like I said, if | 15 | off the public record.) |
| 16 | that was submitted in 2013, how could it be on this | 16 |  |
| 17 | document in 2008. | 17 |  |
| 18 | Q. Looking also at the third bullet point under | 18 |  |
| 19 | 1.1 on page 2 of RX 546 ? | 19 |  |
| 20 | A. Okay. | 20 |  |
| 21 | Q. Is it your testimony that the 19 total files | 21 |  |
| 22 | represent the other files that you downloaded from the | 22 |  |
| 23 | LabMD IP address other than the insurance aging file? | 23 |  |
| 24 | A. The insurance aging file would be in the 19-file | 24 |  |
| 25 | total, 18 additional. I'm not sure why that was -- why | 25 |  |


|  | 1409 |  | 1411 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 1 | peer-to-peer network that same insurance aging file? |
| 2 |  | 2 | A. Not from any other IP address, no. |
| 3 |  | 3 | Q. Did you ever download the insurance aging file |
| 4 |  | 4 | from any other IP address? |
| 5 |  | 5 | A. No. |
| 6 |  | 6 | Q. In looking at the lab -- or looking in the LabMD |
| 7 |  | 7 | data store, outside of the information that you |
| 8 |  | 8 | admittedly inserted into the data store concerning the |
| 9 |  | 9 | insurance aging file, did you ever find any other |
| 10 |  | 10 | indication in the data store that the LabMD insurance |
| 11 |  | 11 | aging file had been downloaded from some other IP |
| 12 |  | 12 | address? |
| 13 |  | 13 | A. No. |
| 14 |  | 14 | MR. SHERMAN: If I may have a moment, |
| 15 |  | 15 | Your Honor? |
| 16 |  | 16 | MS. VANDRUFF: And Your Honor, before |
| 17 |  | 17 | Mr. Sherman continues, just for the benefit of the |
| 18 | (In open court.) | 18 | record, the document that Mr. Wallace has been shown, |
| 19 | MR. SHERMAN: May I approach the witness? | 19 | while granted in camera status, the single page that's |
| 20 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. | 20 | been displayed in the courtroom does not contain any |
| 21 | BY MR. SHERMAN: | 21 | sensitive personal information, and as we discussed at |
| 22 | Q. Mr. Wallace, you've been handed what has been | 22 | the bench, neither the court nor complaint counsel had |
| 23 | marked as RX 549. I will tell you for the record that | 23 | any concerns about it being displayed. |
| 24 | the entire document is $\mathbf{1 7 1 9}$ pages long. It has been | 24 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Thank you. |
| 25 | granted in camera status, which means that it cannot be | 25 | MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, at this point I would |
|  | 1410 |  | 1412 |
| 1 | disclosed to the public because of the sensitivity of | 1 | request that Exhibits 545 and 546 be admitted into |
| 2 | the information contained therein. | 2 | evidence. |
| 3 | Have you had a chance to look at that document? | 3 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Any objection? |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | MS. VANDRUFF: If you'll bear with me, |
| 5 | Q. Do you recognize what that sheet of paper is? | 5 | Your Honor. |
| 6 | A. Yes. | 6 | (Pause in the proceedings.) |
| 7 | Q. What is it? | 7 | The court's indulgence, Your Honor. |
| 8 | A. It's the insurance aging file. | 8 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. It is in fact the cover sheet of the | 9 | (Pause in the proceedings.) |
| 10 | insurance aging file; is that correct? | 10 | MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, as well as |
| 11 | A. It is the first page of the insurance aging | 11 | Exhibit 549, which is the cover sheet. |
| 12 | report. | 12 | MS. VANDRUFF: Okay. Well, I am pleased to |
| 13 | Q. And you've had an opportunity to look at the | 13 | address these in turn, Your Honor. |
| 14 | entire insurance aging report; is that correct? | 14 | With respect to the document that's been marked |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | for identification as RX 545, Mr. Wallace testified that |
| 16 | Q. And you can identify it upon sight; correct? | 16 | this was a document that had been altered. |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: So you're saying that even |
| 18 | Q. Is that the same cover sheet and attendant | 18 | though it was offered under a business records |
| 19 | insurance aging report that you found at the LabMD IP | 19 | exception, there is indicia of unreliability. |
| 20 | address? | 20 | MS. VANDRUFF: I don't know the basis on which |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | Mr. Sherman is -- has advanced -- |
| 22 | Q. And is that the same insurance aging file that | 22 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Well, we need to know that |
| 23 | you downloaded from the LabMD IP address? | 23 | first if you don't know that. |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | What's your basis for admissibility of 545? |
| 25 | Q. And did you ever in your experience find on a | 25 | MR. SHERMAN: The basis for admissibility is |

that Mr. Wallace, an employee of Tiversa, identified this document as something that he in fact put information in, as something that Tiversa kept and created in the ordinary course of its business and provided to its clients.

He did, however, say that it was different from the document that he actually produced, although the information in it is information that he's familiar with and put into the report.

It is also important I think that it has been mentioned that these documents come from the letter from the chairman of the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and they were produced to that committee by Tiversa, and so to the extent that Mr. Wallace can identify them as business records for Tiversa, I think that they should be admitted, even though he indicates that it was not the business record that he created, although most of the information in there he does recognize as information he put in the business record that he created.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: So did he say the information was incorrect or it's just not the way he would have done the document?

MS. VANDRUFF: Your Honor, I believe it was Mr. Wallace's testimony that this was not a true and

THE WITNESS: Yes. In the first sentence it says one file was detected. I can remember specifically providing a ticket to CIGNA that clearly stated that there were 19 files available at that IP address.

BY MS. VANDRUFF:
Q. So I believe it's your testimony, Mr. Wallace, that the document that's been marked as RX 545 is not a true and accurate copy of the document that was created at the time that you were an employee at Tiversa. Is that correct?
A. That's correct.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Your motion to admit RX 545 is denied.

MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, just in response to that, it never was represented that this exhibit was in fact the exhibit that he created.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Well, what I just heard the witness say, this document is inaccurate. Therefore, it's not coming in.

MR. SHERMAN: Well, under the business record exception, Mr. Wallace, as an employee of Tiversa, can testify that this in fact is the type of business record that Tiversa normally provided to its clients. His knowledge --
accurate copy of the document that he created because he testified specifically --

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Let's do this. He's offered it under business record. If you want to go ahead and question him on that offer, go ahead.

MS. VANDRUFF: Certainly.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. VANDRUFF:
Q. Mr. Wallace, do you have a copy of RX 545 in front of you?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And in section 4 of RX 545, Mr. Sherman had directed your attention to the first sentence. Are you with me?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And after reviewing that sentence, am I correct that it was your testimony that this is not a true and accurate copy of the document that was maintained at Tiversa?

MR. SHERMAN: Objection. Because it
mischaracterizes the question that he was asked.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: Overruled.
MS. BUCHANAN: You can answer the question.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Well, maybe what's going on here is maybe what we have is a failure to communicate.

Mr. Wallace, are you saying this document is inaccurate because it contains information that's false?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: But is it an accurate depiction of the document that was prepared in the normal course of business by Tiversa?

THE WITNESS: No. I believe that the original ticket was altered to show only one file was available at this IP address.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: So you have reason to believe that this is not a normal business document that Tiversa would have in its files.

THE WITNESS: This is a document that Tiversa would have in its files, yes. But it has -- in the section 4 , the incident summary, it describes one file being detected.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. So listen closely.
I think I follow you that you think this
document contains inaccurate information. Correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: But this document as you see it would be in Tiversa's files?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it would be.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: There you go. Therefore, unless you can clarify, I'm changing my ruling.

MS. VANDRUFF: Well, Your Honor, I mean, to the extent that --

JUDGE CHAPPELL: So what he's saying is, the document is not true, but it's a document we maintain in our files. Therefore, it's a business record. It's an accurate depiction of a record in the files of Tiversa, which brings it under the hearsay exception, if I understood the witness.

MS. VANDRUFF: Your Honor --
JUDGE CHAPPELL: You may consult if you need to.
MS. VANDRUFF: I'm sorry, Your Honor?
JUDGE CHAPPELL: You may consult. I'm seeing a lot of people popping up here.

MS. VANDRUFF: I will do that. Thank you, Your Honor.
(Pause in the proceedings.)
Your Honor, for this witness to sponsor the document that's been marked as RX 545 as a business record of Tiversa, he would need to testify on the basis of his personal knowledge that this is a true and accurate copy of the document that was maintained at Tiversa. And I believe that it is his testimony
unambiguously that the document that may have been in the business records has been altered, so I don't believe he can lay that foundation on the basis of his personal knowledge.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: I disagree that the business record exception has a prong that requires him to have personal knowledge of the particular document. That's wrong. He just needs to know it's a document kept in the ordinary course of business, by information transmitted to somebody at Tiversa, that this is what they do, and he's basically told me it may be inaccurate, but this is what they do.

MS. VANDRUFF: Well, I believe what he's told Your Honor is this is the type of document that was created at Tiversa, but because of the discrepancy between the first line in section 4 and Mr. Wallace's testimony, only a custodian of records at Tiversa could testify as to whether or not this document is a business record of Tiversa.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Is this a document that you maintained while you were at Tiversa, this type of document?

THE WITNESS: Yes. This is a standard ticket form for --

JUDGE CHAPPELL: This document as it is, true or
false, is it the type of document, if you went and pulled the file, it would be in there as it exists right here in front of you?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: There you go.
545 is admitted.
(RX Exhibit Number 545 was admitted into evidence.)

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Next objection.
MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, I think the same arguments apply to 546 as well.

MS. VANDRUFF: Your Honor, before Mr. Wallace was even examined about the exhibit that's been marked as 546, his counsel asked that Mr. Sherman describe the document for the witness. The witness was not asked whether this is a document with which he was familiar. Instead, he was walked through information contained in the document and has not indicated that he has any personal knowledge whatsoever of the document that's been marked as RX 546. Therefore, he is not a witness competent to sponsor this document.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: I believe she's correct. I don't think I heard a proper foundation for this document.

MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, he was asked whether
or not this was the type of document that Tiversa created and kept in the normal course of its business.

MS. VANDRUFF: And again, Your Honor, the fact that it is a type of document that Tiversa created is not sufficient to admit -- to lay the foundation to admit the document that's been marked as 546 .

MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, I think also one of the reasons to mention that this was given to the oversight committee, congressional oversight committee, is that that gives it an additional layer of reliability.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: I don't get that. Just because it was given to them, that doesn't convince me it's any more or less reliable. It means it was provided to the committee.

## Anything else?

MR. SHERMAN: Well, yes, Your Honor. I mean, a review of the record -- if that makes a difference, a review of the record will show that he testified that it is the type of document they kept and created in the ordinary course of their business.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Would you like to question him on the foundation?

MS. VANDRUFF: I believe that the foundation is clear that he can't lay it. If you'd like me to examine


|  | 1425 |  | 1427 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 1 | AFTERNOON SESSION |
| 2 |  | 2 | (2:54 p.m.) |
| 3 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Are we in agreement? | 3 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Let's go back on the record. |
| 4 | MS. VANDRUFF: Let me make sure that I | 4 | I believe now we're going to have redirect by |
| 5 | understand what the question is, Your Honor. | 5 | Ms. Buchanan. |
| 6 | If the question is whether counsel for | 6 | MS. BUCHANAN: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 7 | Mr. Wallace may conduct a redirect before | 7 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: And we all agreed to take this |
| 8 | complaint counsel proceeds with its deposition, we are, | 8 | out of order before the cross so the record will make |
| 9 | Your Honor. | 9 | more sense. |
| 10 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. | 10 | MS. BUCHANAN: That's correct, Your Honor. |
| 11 | MS. VANDRUFF: She may conduct that | 11 | And I also spoke with both complaint counsel and |
| 12 | examination. | 12 | respondent counsel to ask if they would have any |
| 13 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Does anyone object to taking a | 13 | objection to my leading Mr. Wallace through a few points |
| 14 | break now, we'll come back and have the redirect, and | 14 | of redirect in an effort to shorten those areas in which |
| 15 | then we'll break for the deposition? | 15 | I can address issues that may not have been adequately |
| 16 | MR. SHERMAN: May I put on the record the | 16 | addressed in the -- in his direct testimony this |
| 17 | renewal of the motion to have RX 546 admitted into | 17 | morning. |
| 18 | evidence. It's being offered not for the truth. | 18 | MS. VANDRUFF: And complaint counsel has no |
| 19 | MS. VANDRUFF: And Your Honor, at this time, I | 19 | objection, Your Honor. The only reason I rise is that |
| 20 | understand the court's position, but complaint counsel | 20 | my LiveNote doesn't appear to be working and I just |
| 21 | renews its objection that Mr. Wallace has not laid a | 21 | wanted to be sure that I got it working before |
| 22 | foundation for this document. | 22 | Ms. Buchanan started her exam. |
| 23 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: If the document is offered not | 23 | (Pause in the proceedings.) |
| 24 | for the truth, then it's by definition not hearsay. I do find it's relevant. Therefore, RX 546 is admitted | 24 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Can we talk about scheduling on |
| 25 |  | 25 | the record. I think you told me that the government |
|  | 1426 |  | 1428 |
| 1 |  | 1 | will not have any idea about rebuttal until after the |
| 2 | (RX Exhibit Number 546 was admitted into | 2 | deposition? |
| 3 | evidence.) | 3 | MS. VANDRUFF: No, Your Honor. I think that |
| 4 | MR. SHERMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. | 4 | prior to our break for lunch I advised you that we would |
| 5 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. We're going to take | 5 | have a much better sense of that after lunch. |
| 6 | a lunch break now. We will reconvene at 2:45. | 6 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. |
| 7 | We're in recess. | 7 | MS. VANDRUFF: I will tell you that that's |
| 8 | (Whereupon, at 1:48 p.m., a lunch recess wastaken.) | 8 | modified slightly in that we will be able to advise |
| 9 |  | 9 | Your Honor with much greater precision after |
| 10 |  | 10 | Ms. Buchanan completes her redirect. |
| 11 |  | 11 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: I'm wondering if we should -- |
| 12 |  | 12 | since you're going to need to request rebuttal in |
| 13 |  | 13 | writing and Mr. Sherman may want to oppose it, I'm |
| 14 |  | 14 | wondering if that's even doable in the next couple days |
| 15 |  | 15 | or if we should just concede we're not going to wrap |
| 16 |  | 16 | this up by the end of the week. |
| 17 |  | 17 | MS. VANDRUFF: Well, Your Honor, from the |
| 18 |  | 18 | perspective of complaint counsel, today's testimony is a |
| 19 |  | 19 | lot to digest, and so it certainly would be helpful to |
| 20 |  | 20 | have time to consider what rebuttal, if any, |
| 21 |  | 21 | complaint counsel wishes to seek leave to present. |
| 22 |  | 22 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. I think what I'll |
| 23 |  | 23 | do now is, why don't we just say we're going to skip |
| 24 |  | 24 | Thursday, we're here today, we're here tomorrow, and |
| 25 |  | 25 | then Friday is available. |

Any objection to that?
MR. SHERMAN: No objection.
MS. VANDRUFF: No objection.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: So everyone can plan ahead, schedule whatever you need to do.

And I know what you said, Ms. Buchanan, but I think Mr. Wallace will be finished tomorrow.

MS. BUCHANAN: Okay.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right?
MS. BUCHANAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: So we will take a break all day Thursday. That way, if you file a written request for rebuttal, you'll have time -- respondent will have time to respond, and then I can make my decision and let you know in time for Friday hopefully.

MS. VANDRUFF: So, Your Honor, just to make sure that I understand, we're seeking time to evaluate today's testimony after we receive a copy of the transcript --

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Yes.
MS. VANDRUFF: -- and to assess what, if anything, requires rebuttal. And to meet Your Honor's standards set forth this morning during preliminaries, we would ask for -- and I understand some scheduling constraints with respect to the bench -- but for,
right now we don't know that you want rebuttal. You may want rebuttal. And if you do, we have a process. And I understand it's going to take time.

MS. VANDRUFF: That's correct, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: So you'll get whatever time is reasonable.

MS. VANDRUFF: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right?
MS. VANDRUFF: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Thanks.
Go ahead.
MS. BUCHANAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. BUCHANAN:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Wallace.

You testified this morning that you were contacted in about 2007 by Bob Boback about a job opportunity with Tiversa; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And he contacted you after he saw you quoted in a Fox News story in Chicago.
A. That's correct.
Q. In this news story that you were quoted in, you talked about the ease at which peer-to-peer networks
you know, as much time as we can have for that, and I don't know that 24 hours is going to be sufficient.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Well, and if it's not and we don't finish this week, then we'll wait a few weeks. And I'm fine with that. I just -- as long as it's been now, let's just get everything resolved. And if that happens by Friday, that's fine; if not, it will be a few weeks later.

MR. SHERMAN: I'd prefer to get things resolved. I understand complaint counsel's concern with reviewing the record. But since we have a say, our say would be to push forward and get this resolved or completed by Friday.

MS. VANDRUFF: And Your Honor, I'm confident that we can file our motion within a week, but I'm not confident that we can conduct the assessment that's necessary so that Your Honor can rule by Friday. And I know that that crunches some other deadlines, and for that I apologize.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. Well, for now, we'll just -- we won't be here Thursday, and then we'll reassess tomorrow after Mr. Wallace is finished.

MS. VANDRUFF: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: And I think from what I'm hearing from you, you'll have a better idea -- in fact,
could be used to disclose personal identifying information.
A. Yes.
Q. And when he contacted you, did he tell you that he liked this ability of yours to be able to find this information and he wanted to incorporate this into the Tiversa --
A. Yes. I mean, that's where he saw the value in hiring me.
Q. And at the time that you joined Tiversa, you already had in your possession a number of files of personal information that you had discovered on the Internet while doing your own searching prior to even joining Tiversa.
A. Yes.
Q. And in the late 2007 when Mr. Boback was testifying before Congress at a hearing regarding peer-to-peer networks and identity theft, he asked you to help him prepare for that testimony; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you provide him with documents that you had found on the Internet long before ever joining Tiversa?
A. Yes.
Q. And at the time Mr. Boback testified at the congressional hearing, did he tell Congress who had found those documents?
A. Yes. He said that Tiversa's system had downloaded the documents.
Q. And that was not true, was it?
A. No.
Q. The documents, in fact, the majority of the documents that Mr. Boback referred to in his first congressional testimony in 2007 were documents that were identified by you rather than by Tiversa.
A. That's correct.
Q. And I believe that you indicated this morning in your direct testimony that there were other members of the panel who testified before Congress in late 2007 on the topic of identity theft.
A. Yes.
Q. And at that time you were told if the commissioner of the Federal Trade Commission, Edith Ramirez, was also on the panel.
A. I believe that that's who was testifying with Bob. I believe it was Bob Boback, Tom Sydnor from the Patent and Trademark Office, and I believe that it was Edith Ramirez.
Q. Now, you were not at the testimony; correct?
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A. But I was not there. No. I did watch it online.
Q. And you read the transcript of the hearing.
A. Yes.
Q. And you talked to Mr. Boback about how the hearing went; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then following the 2007 FTC hearing,

Mr. Boback began to have some communications with individuals from the Federal Trade Commission.
A. Individuals from where?
Q. From the Federal Trade Commission.
A. Yes.
Q. Now, this morning, during your direct testimony, you made reference to a meeting that was held at Tiversa's offices in the Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania area in which members of the Federal Trade Commission came to visit the Tiversa facilities.
A. That's correct.
Q. And you initially indicated on your direct examination that you thought that that had occurred at some point in late 2007. Is that correct for what you said this morning?
A. I think that it was probably spring of 2008.
Q. And can you describe what the purpose of this
visit was?
A. It was a -- kind of like a show-and-tell, if you will. Basically, we would present our technology to the members -- or the representatives from the FTC, and they would evaluate whether or not they could use it. The main purpose of the meeting, though, was to further investigate, I believe, the examples that were shown at the House oversight hearing.
Q. So the visit to Pittsburgh included a tour of the Tiversa facilities led by Mr. Boback; correct?
A. Right. Yes.
Q. And did it also include a description by Mr. Boback of the forensic capabilities of the computer system that Tiversa operated?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you tell me if there was anything that the FTC was told that day by Mr. Boback regarding the capabilities of Tiversa that was not true?
A. Yes. Well, there -- I couldn't say specifically for that day, but one of the capabilities that we have always talked about at Tiversa is having the ability to record searches and IP address that issue searches, and that's just completely not true.
Q. Now, you also performed a demonstration for the FTC; is that correct?
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A. Yes.
Q. And you showed the FTC how you were able to find personal identifying information, which was referred to this morning as PPI (sic), by a review of peer-to-peer networks.
A. Yes.
Q. And what was the response of the members of the FTC when you demonstrated how easily it was that this information could be found on the Internet?
A. They were very excited to see if there's an opportunity for us to work together.
Q. So following the $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ visit by members of the FTC to Tiversa, you indicated this morning that frequent conversations began to occur between individuals at Tiversa and members of the FTC; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, those conversations were between either Mr. Boback and the FTC or Mr. Kopchack and the FTC, but not necessarily between you and the FTC.
A. That's correct.
Q. But were you present often -- or were you present for some of these communications in that you may have been standing in the room and you overheard conversations on the telephone?
A. Yes.
Q. This morning you testified regarding IRCs that were developed from --
A. Yes.
Q. -- information that is found on the Internet, and you record this information by logging in the company that had the disclosure, what was disclosed, when it was disclosed; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that something that you did as a daily part of your duties at Tiversa?
A. Yes. All the analysts that would review files would update that spreadsheet several times throughout the day as data is found and cataloged.
Q. So would you say that the information that was compiled on these spreadsheets -- was it more information about clients that Tiversa actually had or was it more aspirational with regard to clients Tiversa would like to have?
A. It would be a list of companies that would be put together on a spreadsheet for the simple reason to make a sales call, to make a cold call.
Q. So to be clear, to be clear, Mr. Wallace, your job was to search the Internet to find disclosures of personal information and to log that in; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. When you searched peer-to-peer networks for personal identifying information, at the time you found a file that you wanted to download, would you know where that file came from? Would you have some idea of how that file was disclosed?
A. Yeah. The program that I used was self-modified, and an IP address would definitely display.
Q. So from the very moment or shortly thereafter that you discovered information, you pretty much knew where it came from; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But according to Tiversa's standard business model, when Tiversa would make phone calls to potential clients, what information would they make available to companies that had -- that their information had been detected by you?
A. Usually they would say that the IP address, port, client, any of that information was not recorded as they're not a client yet, and if they would sign on as a client, then that information could be found in databases or somewhere that don't exist.
Q. And was that true?
A. No.
A. That was one of the functions, yes.
Q. And then you would turn this information over to Mr. Boback or to others on Mr. Boback's sales force; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then Mr. Boback and his sales force would use this information to contact these companies whose information was found by you.
A. Yes.
Q. And did you ever participate in these conversations, meaning you personally calling companies and telling them that their information was found somewhere, somewhere out on the Internet?
A. No. I used to, but the last conversation that I had was with the Social Security Administration, and I was accused by Bob of giving them way too much information, not holding back IP addresses that would allow them to function and do work with the information without hiring Tiversa, so I was basically accused of sabotaging a business deal, and that was the end of me reaching out to anyone.
Q. So after that point, you were kept in the back room trolling the Internet, finding the information, and it was left to others to actually make the sales calls.
Q. Can you tell us whether Mr. Boback and his sales staff had much success gaining clients in this manner?
A. Yes.

So the first thing that we would do, like especially with an IRC client, would be -- or a prospective IRC client, would be to strip the IP address off the front and remove any meta data that's in that file that might give that company or organization the ability to shut down the data source without Tiversa's help, so we would make sure that all that went away.
Q. And is that something that you personally did, Mr. Wallace? Did you personally strip the meta data off of --
A. Yes.
Q. -- files so that the originating source could not be detected?
A. Yes.
Q. And would you also maintain other files that would allow you to keep it all straight in your head where these files were actually really found?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, with respect to the 1718 File that we heard so much about this morning, you are the one, the

|  | 1441 |  | 1443 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | analyst at Tiversa, who actually found that file; | 1 | LabMD? |
| 2 | correct? | 2 | A. I would say yes. |
| 3 | A. Yes. I downloaded that file. | 3 | Q. Have you heard conversations in the Tiversa |
| 4 | Q. And at the time you found the file, you also | 4 | offices about contacts that Mr. Boback made with LabMD? |
| 5 | found other documents along with it. | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | A. Yes. But I downloaded the file and the other | 6 | Q. Did LabMD ever hire Tiversa to do anything for |
| 7 | documents on a stand-alone machine. I did not use | 7 | them? |
| 8 | Tiversa's system, so I didn't find it in the data store. | 8 | A. No. |
| 9 | I found it live online. | 9 | Q. So they did not accept Boback's proposal to |
| 10 | Q. But after you found it live online, you | 10 | remediate their problem. |
| 11 | actually inputted that information into the Tiversa | 11 | A. No. |
| 12 | data store. | 12 | Q. Was LabMD ever told by Tiversa where their file |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | had been found on the peer-to-peer networks? |
| 14 | Q. And just to make sure we're clear on exactly | 14 | A. I believe that the initial contact, there was no |
| 15 | what a data store is, Tiversa maintained a record of the | 15 | identifying information as far as the location on it. I |
| 16 | files that it actually found along with files that it | 16 | think it was the usual sales pitch where, if you pay us, |
| 17 | wanted to create the appearance that they were found in | 17 | we can go look, but we don't know right now. |
| 18 | other locations on the Internet. | 18 | And then I think that there was a subsequent |
| 19 | A. Right. | 19 | e-mail that went out. After things went cold, Bob |
| 20 | Q. Now, with respect to the 1718 File, I believe | 20 | reached back out to LabMD that, hey, your files -- |
| 21 | you indicated this morning that you found this file in | 21 | either your files are being searched for or it is being, |
| 22 | February of 2008. Correct? | 22 | you know -- it's spread all over the peer-to-peer space |
| 23 | A. Yes. February 25. | 23 | and you need to remediate it. |
| 24 | Q. And at the time you found that file, is there | 24 | Q. But that wasn't true, was it? |
| 25 | any doubt in your mind that this file was found on a | 25 | A. No. |
|  | 1442 |  | 1444 |
| 1 | LabMD computer in Atlanta, Georgia? | 1 | Q. In fact, the file was never -- never spread |
| 2 | A. Yeah -- well, after I downloaded the file, I | 2 | anywhere on the Internet. |
| 3 | immediately went and browsed the host because I wanted | 3 | A. No. No. The originating source in Atlanta is |
| 4 | to get any other piece of information that would be at | 4 | the only source that it's ever been seen at. |
| 5 | that IP address, because when you pull open a PDF and | 5 | Q. Now, there was a lot of talk this morning about |
| 6 | it's packed full of, you know, 8,000 people's healthcare | 6 | IP addresses that you provided to Mr. Boback, and at |
| 7 | information or however many that are in there, chances | 7 | least four of them were found on a document that has |
| 8 | are there's other information there that would be | 8 | been discussed today as CX 19; correct? |
| 9 | valuable as well. | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. And after you found this file in February 2008, | 10 | Q. Now, these were not the only IP addresses that |
| 11 | did you tell Mr. Boback that you found this? | 11 | Tiversa used to make it appear that files spread to |
| 12 | A. Yes. Within just a few minutes of opening it, | 12 | other locations on the Internet. |
| 13 | he was standing over my shoulder looking at it. | 13 | A. No. |
| 14 | Q. And when you showed this file to Mr. Boback, | 14 | Q. Do you have any idea today of approximately how |
| 15 | what did he do next? Did he do anything himself or did | 15 | many different IP addresses that may have been used by |
| 16 | he direct you to do anything? | 16 | Tiversa to make it appear as though files were spread on |
| 17 | A. He was very excited and told me that he was | 17 | the Internet? |
| 18 | going to take the lead on it. | 18 | A. I would say approximately twenty. |
| 19 | Q. I'm sorry. He was going? | 19 | Q. Twenty? |
| 20 | A. He was going to take the lead on it. He was | 20 | A. Twenty. |
| 21 | going to make contact with LabMD. | 21 | Q. And were there certain IP addresses that you |
| 22 | Q. And do you know if he actually contacted LabMD? | 22 | seemed to use more frequently than others? |
| 23 | A. I would imagine he probably did. I mean, I was | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | not in the room. | 24 | Q. And why was that? |
| 25 | Q. But do you know today whether he has contacted | 25 | A. Like we were talking about this morning, if you |

know that the IP address is dead and there's no computer on the other end of it, especially if law enforcement has already taken action, whether it be somebody who has material that's used to exploit children or, you know, banking information for identity theft or for whatever the reason is, if law enforcement has already acted on it, that computer is gone, so therefore, it's going to be impossible to say was this insurance aging file at 173 in Apache Junction when that's -- like I say, that's long gone, so there's no way to contradict what Tiversa is saying.
Q. Now, just briefly, Mr. Wallace, in addition to the duties that you had in the regular course of your business for Tiversa, did you also from time to time assist law enforcement in different investigations that would give you access to some of these IP addresses?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr. Boback, was he aware that these were IP addresses that --
A. Yes.
Q. -- you had found from known criminals?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, looking at CX 19, Mr. Sherman directed you to a series of questions about the pieces of information contained on this document.
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And the first column contains an IP address; correct?
A. Which one are we looking at?
Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at CX 19 with the list of four IP addresses.
A. Yes. Yes.

MS. VANDRUFF: And Your Honor, if I may, Counsel, are we going to -- do you intend to elicit questions that Mr. Sherman didn't -- answers to questions that Mr. Sherman did not ask?

Okay. Because it sounds like you're asking the same questions.

THE WITNESS: I don't have that because it was on the screen, but yes, the first column would be an IP address. The next would be a date and a time when that file was supposedly downloaded. Then there would be a file title that would have the IP address prepended to it.

## BY MS. BUCHANAN:

Q. The only point that I really want to clarify with respect to this document is that in the third column -- and I know you don't have it in front of you right now -- excuse me. Jackie, would you give this to the witness.

THE WITNESS: I know what it is.

BY MS. BUCHANAN:
Q. Just to be clear, the third column lists a time, like the first one, for example, is 11:26 p.m., the second is $3: 49$ p.m.
A. Yes.
Q. These times do not actually represent when these files were actually downloaded.
A. No. That time -- it was simple to -- it's simple to change them, but it took a lot of keeping track of what times to use because, for example, that 173.16 IP address, the date modified of that file has to correspond with when that IP address was really active.

And the other thing that you have to look for is to make sure that you're not creating a previous exposure before the original source.
Q. And this particular document, CX 19, you compiled this at or around the time of Mr. Boback's deposition in this proceeding; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And he asked you to come up with IP addresses that would relate to locations other than Atlanta, Georgia; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But this is by no means the only set of IP
addresses that you may have ever given Mr. Boback or used on prior occasions.
A. No.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: I have a question.
You told me earlier that you wanted to make sure the IP address was valid at the time you listed in case you were audited.

THE WITNESS: Pardon me?
JUDGE CHAPPELL: In case you were audited, is that what you said, in case of an audit?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Or that way, when you go and you pull up the main screen on any of the operating centers or the user centers, those files will show up as looking like they're coming from that IP address.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: But let's say you gave that IP address to LabMD. They can't do anything with that IP address, can they?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE CHAPPELL: What can they do with the IP address?

THE WITNESS: They would be able to identify where -- what part of the country it's coming out of, what the ISP is, what the carrier is. And if the file actually continued to be disclosed from that IP address and, say, LabMD was not able to find the laptop or find
the station that's broadcasting it, you could contact the ISP and request them to cease service for that ISP -- or for that IP address based on their terms of user -- terms of service user agreement.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: I understand they could trace the IP address, but you were talking earlier about whether they were active or not.

If LabMD had that IP address, could they find out a history of that IP address, whether it was valid and when it was valid?

THE WITNESS: You could do some searching online. Yes.

BY MS. BUCHANAN:
Q. I'd like to direct your attention to

Respondent's Exhibit RX 545, which is the CIGNA ticket that you testified about this morning.
A. Yes.
Q. And with regard to this CIGNA ticket, in the section 4 labeled Incident Summary?
A. Yes.
Q. This summary purportedly indicates that a disclosure of the CIGNA files, which would have contained the same files from the insurance aging file, was found on April 18.
A. Yes.
Q. But that's not correct, is it?
A. No.
Q. And you indicated this morning that it was part of the business practice that information needed to be continually flowing to customers so that they could see that things were being done.
A. Right.

MS. VANDRUFF: And Your Honor, just if I may, while complaint counsel agreed that Ms. Buchanan can examine her client, rehashing this morning I don't think is efficient, so I just want to make sure that
Ms. Buchanan covers areas where there was some confusion.

MS. BUCHANAN: I'm getting to the point.
MS. VANDRUFF: Okay. Thank you.
BY MS. BUCHANAN:
Q. Now, with respect to this particular ticket, it indicates that a disclosure was discovered by Tiversa on April 18, 2008, and you indicated this morning that that wasn't the actual date that it was found.
A. That's correct.
Q. But this ticket that was provided to CIGNA, this ticket that was actually paid for by CIGNA, was supposed to be disclosed to CIGNA in real time as in like right after the disclosure was made.
A. That's correct.
Q. And would it have helped CIGNA to know that the disclosure of their files actually occurred in February as opposed to April so they could have taken some investigation and found the disclosure source for themselves?
A. Right.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: At the time indicated on this document, was CIGNA a client or were they being groomed to be a prospective client?

THE WITNESS: CIGNA was a client, a monitoring client, so we were providing peer-to-peer monitoring services for CIGNA.

But the other thing that we would do is, say, for example, if LabMD did not purchase our services, we could reach out to CIGNA and say, LabMD has disclosed one hundred and -- I forget how -- 113 of your insureds' information, you need to reach out to LabMD, and you know, you could strong-arm people that way as well.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: And why would you do that?
THE WITNESS: If they did not want to become customers.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: To monetize the target?
THE WITNESS: No. What we would do is there
would be a delay before we -- before we give it to somebody else to give Tiversa the chance to reach out to that customer and let them become a customer before going the third way around, before having an existing customer reach out to them.

JUDGE CHAPPELL: So if I understood you correctly, the process you just described would help force LabMD to become a client.

THE WITNESS: Right.
BY MS. BUCHANAN:
Q. You testified this morning that in like the fall of 2009 you traveled to the FTC along with others from Tiversa to discuss the CID that had been produced.
A. Right.
Q. And essentially you were asked to explain how this spreadsheet was constructed and what information was contained on it; correct?
A. Right. Uh-huh.
Q. Can you tell us whether, in addition to
providing the spreadsheet to the FTC, whether Mr. Boback made other use of this list?
A. Yes. This was the master list that we would cold-call people for IRCs off of as well.
Q. And after he actually delivered it to the FTC, did he tell clients that they in fact were aware of
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | their disclosures? | 1 | the first time; correct? |
| 2 | A. Yes. He actually contacted a lot of the people | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | on this list after the FTC was notified that they -- | 3 | Q. And your deposition was noticed back in 2014; |
| 4 | that these companies had a disclosure and would be | 4 | correct? |
| 5 | saying that the FTC is going to be taking action against | 5 | A. It -- I've never been deposed. |
| 6 | you if you don't become clients. | 6 | Q. The parties here to this proceeding wanted to |
| 7 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Does this list have a document | 7 | take your deposition. |
| 8 | number? | 8 | A. Oh, yes. Yes. |
| 9 | MS. BUCHANAN: Yes, Your Honor, it does. My | 9 | Q. And that was in 2014; correct? |
| 10 | apologies. It's RX 551. | 10 | A. Yes. It was around the same time Bob's |
| 11 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Thank you. | 11 | deposition was done as well. |
| 12 | MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, it was not admitted | 12 | Q. And did you ever give a deposition in this |
| 13 | into or even presented for admission into evidence. | 13 | case? |
| 14 | There is a redacted version of the list that is in | 14 | A. Did I ever what? |
| 15 | evidence. The only name that appears on that list is | 15 | Q. Did you ever provide a deposition -- |
| 16 | LabMD. And that is document -- it's 307 I believe. | 16 | A. No. |
| 17 | Yes, CX 307. | 17 | Q. -- testimony? |
| 18 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: So the list you're talking | 18 | A. No, I did not. |
| 19 | about, Counselor, in evidence is a document labeled | 19 | Q. Did you ever have discussions with Mr. Boback |
| 20 | RX 307 which is redacted. | 20 | about you giving a deposition testimony? |
| 21 | MS. BUCHANAN: Correct. Thank you, Your Honor. | 21 | A. Yes. Especially in regard to the LabMD file, |
| 22 | BY MS. BUCHANAN: | 22 | there was a lot of pressure to give false information, |
| 23 | Q. Now, in addition to all the companies that are | 23 | which I just was not willing to do. |
| 24 | listed on this exhibit, which would represent companies | 24 | Q. And so that Mr. Boback specifically asked you to |
| 25 | in which Tiversa would have created the appearance that | 25 | lie to the FTC in connection with your deposition; |
|  | 1454 |  | 1456 |
| 1 | their documents were spread all over the Internet, in | 1 | correct? |
| 2 | addition to these examples, were there other times when | 2 | A. There was not much asking. It was more |
| 3 | Mr. Boback would go out and make statements, then ask | 3 | telling. |
| 4 | you to try to create a scenario that would make it look | 4 | Q. And on this occasion, you finally refused to do |
| 5 | like the information that he was given was actually | 5 | something that Boback asked you to do that you knew was |
| 6 | true? | 6 | wrong. |
| 7 | A. Yes. There were multiple, multiple times. Some | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | of them were very high level, very well publicized. | 8 | MS. BUCHANAN: I don't have any other questions, |
| 9 | You know, one example would be, there was a | 9 | Your Honor. |
| 10 | defense contractor in Washington, D.C., actually western | 10 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. |
| 11 | Virginia, and he was in charge of -- well, he was CEO of | 11 | MS. VANDRUFF: Your Honor, may I ask for the |
| 12 | a company that was working on a project to upgrade the | 12 | court's indulgence for just a moment because I think |
| 13 | cockpit avionics for Marine One. And that file had | 13 | we're going to ask to approach. |
| 14 | already been dealt with by law enforcement, had already | 14 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. |
| 15 | been remediated and taken off-line. The CEO knew about | 15 | MS. VANDRUFF: If I may? Thank you. |
| 16 | it. It was gone. | 16 | (Pause in the proceedings.) |
| 17 | Mr. Boback found out about it sometime later and | 17 | MS. BUCHANAN: I just have actually one |
| 18 | said we need to make hay out of this, so the media was | 18 | follow-up question. |
| 19 | contacted and the story then was that the file had been | 19 | BY MS. BUCHANAN: |
| 20 | found at an Iranian IP address. | 20 | Q. It was your testimony this morning with regard |
| 21 | Q. So basically Mr. Boback asked you to create the | 21 | to the kinds of documents that you found along with the |
| 22 | appearance that the file had been found on an Iranian | 22 | 1718 File from LabMD computers -- and I don't think that |
| 23 | address as opposed to where it was actually found. | 23 | you -- that it was stated on the record what kind of |
| 24 | A. Right. | 24 | documents they were and why you believed that they came |
| 25 | Q. Now, Mr. Wallace, you are testifying today for | 25 | from LabMD. |


|  | 1457 |  | 1459 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. Yes. They were -- several of them were -- it | 1 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Yes. |
| 2 | had the red and white LabMD logo on the top of them. | 2 | MR. SHERMAN: I think that was the plan. |
| 3 | There were -- in the meta data of the Word document it | 3 | (At the bench, discussion off the record.) |
| 4 | clearly showed LabMD. | 4 | (In open court.) |
| 5 | Then there was also a Word document that had | 5 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Mr. Wallace, you're excused. |
| 6 | what an employee for LabMD would use to log in to | 6 | Thank you for your time. |
| 7 | different Web portals for insurance carriers to I | 7 | THE WITNESS: Oh. |
| 8 | believe submit information to it for payment. | 8 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Just like that. No deposition. |
| 9 | Like I say, every single one of the files was | 9 | You're free. |
| 10 | related to LabMD in one way or another. | 10 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |
| 11 | Q. And finally, you made reference -- I had asked | 11 | (At the bench, discussion off the record.) |
| 12 | you whether there were other examples of times in which | 12 | (In open court.) |
| 13 | Mr. Boback would make statements and ask you to create a | 13 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: So, Ms. VanDruff, can you tell |
| 14 | scenario that made it seem as though information was | 14 | us for the record your position on any cross or |
| 15 | found in one place and it was really found somewhere | 15 | deposition of Mr. Wallace? |
| 16 | else. And you made reference to him making statements | 16 | MS. VANDRUFF: Yes, Your Honor. At this time, |
| 17 | about a disclosure of information, that you were | 17 | complaint counsel will not be proceeding with the |
| 18 | directed to make it look like this information was found | 18 | deposition permitted by Your Honor's order, and we are |
| 19 | on an Iranian IP address? | 19 | not conducting cross-examination. |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Then the only |
| 21 | Q. And you made reference to Marine One; is that | 21 | question left to ask is whether Mr. Sherman has |
| 22 | correct? | 22 | follow-up questions based on the redirect of |
| 23 | A. That is true. | 23 | Ms. Buchanan. |
| 24 | Q. And are you referring to the president's | 24 | MR. SHERMAN: I do not have any follow-up |
| 25 | helicopter? | 25 | questions of Mr. Wallace, Your Honor. |
|  | 1458 |  | 1460 |
| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 | There is the issue of the admission of certain |
| 2 | It was a very publicized story. Tiversa, | 2 | documents as exhibits. |
| 3 | you know -- it was very good press for Tiversa. And | 3 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Before that, Mr. Wallace and |
| 4 | believe it or not, it was not easy to find an active | 4 | his counsel are excused. |
| 5 | Iranian IP address that law enforcement couldn't get | 5 | All right. |
| 6 | ahold of. | 6 | MR. SHERMAN: In terms of those documents, |
| 7 | Q. And this is just one of the many -- | 7 | complaint counsel and I have -- |
| 8 | A. This is one of many. | 8 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Do we have exhibit numbers? |
| 9 | Q. -- examples of occasions where you were asked to | 9 | MR. SHERMAN: I think it is Exhibit Number -- or |
| 10 | create a scenario that information was found in | 10 | it should be -- |
| 11 | locations where it never existed. | 11 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Well, there's a chance we will |
| 12 | A. That is true. | 12 | reconvene, if there's rebuttal, we will reconvene, so we |
| 13 | MS. BUCHANAN: I have no further questions. | 13 | may not need to deal with this at the moment. And if |
| 14 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. | 14 | there's no objection -- well, let me get this clear. |
| 15 | MS. VANDRUFF: May respondent's counsel and I | 15 | The government is not in a position to say |
| 16 | approach, Your Honor? | 16 | whether or not they will request rebuttal at this time? |
| 17 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. | 17 | MS. VANDRUFF: That's correct, Your Honor. |
| 18 | (At the bench, discussion off the record.) | 18 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. So we're going to |
| 19 | (In open court.) | 19 | recess here shortly, and then I assume, if you want |
| 20 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: We're going to take a short | 20 | rebuttal, you'll be filing a motion requesting |
| 21 | recess. We will reconvene at 4:00 p.m. | 21 | rebuttal. |
| 22 | (Recess) | 22 | MS. VANDRUFF: Yes, Your Honor. And I would ask |
| 23 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Let's go back on the record. | 23 | for one week to file that motion. |
| 24 | Mr. Sherman? | 24 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Any objection? |
| 25 | MR. SHERMAN: May we approach, Your Honor? | 25 | MR. SHERMAN: No objection to that, Your Honor, |


|  | 1461 |  | 1463 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | if -- if it would then be proper after that week, should | 1 | in camera if we're not referring to a document in open |
| 2 | she -- should the -- should the FTC decide not to put on | 2 | court, we need to go with the standard in camera, so |
| 3 | any rebuttal, then at that time we could deal with the | 3 | we'll need a motion to be filed, and you know the |
| 4 | submission of the exhibit that we were discussing | 4 | guides, the standards, et cetera, that apply to that, so |
| 5 | before. | 5 | we'll need a motion for in camera treatment. |
| 6 | MS. VANDRUFF: And Your Honor, complaint counsel | 6 | And I could rule on that. And I will not be |
| 7 | would be amenable to doing that by consent motion or | 7 | able to close the record until that's resolved. |
| 8 | otherwise. | 8 | So I think I've handled everything I can |
| 9 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: A joint motion. | 9 | today. |
| 10 | MS. VANDRUFF: Well, it would not be | 10 | We will give -- you have a week to file a motion |
| 11 | complaint counsel's motion, Your Honor, but I can see | 11 | for rebuttal or to notify us that you don't intend to |
| 12 | that we would -- | 12 | request rebuttal; right? |
| 13 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: He could offer the attachments, | 13 | MS. VANDRUFF: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 14 | but from what I'm hearing, what I heard in our | 14 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: And you have a week for that. |
| 15 | conference at the bench, you're going to -- these are | 15 | You can get this in camera motion in pretty |
| 16 | going to need to be in camera? | 16 | quickly; right? |
| 17 | MR. SHERMAN: That's correct, Your Honor. There | 17 | MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 18 | is some sensitive information contained in some of the | 18 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: How many pages are we talking, |
| 19 | documents. | 19 | just ballpark? |
| 20 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: So we're going to need a motion | 20 | MR. SHERMAN: 56. 50. |
| 21 | for in camera treatment. | 21 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. Not thousands. |
| 22 | MR. SHERMAN: And we would be willing to make | 22 | MR. SHERMAN: No, sir. |
| 23 | that motion if the court would indulge us to wait until | 23 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: And I believe if the calendar |
| 24 | the FTC has made its decision on rebuttal. Or -- and | 24 | is right that you have until May 12 for your rebuttal |
| 25 | not that that is a mechanism for us making the motion, | 25 | motion. |
|  | 1462 |  | 1464 |
| 1 | we could do it in the meantime. It's -- | 1 | MS. VANDRUFF: Thank you, Your Honor. That's |
| 2 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Is the offer of these exhibits | 2 | exactly what I was counting. |
| 3 | contingent upon rebuttal or not connected? | 3 | MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, the only procedural |
| 4 | MR. SHERMAN: They are not. | 4 | step I think we need to take at this point, having |
| 5 | MS. VANDRUFF: And Your Honor, it would be | 5 | rested our case, we would renew our motion to dismiss. |
| 6 | easier for at least complaint counsel to assess the | 6 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Yes. I have that in writing. |
| 7 | rebuttal to know that respondent has closed its | 7 | MR. SHERMAN: And we would submit that on the |
| 8 | evidence. | 8 | brief that's been submitted already. |
| 9 | I think the only outstanding issue are these | 9 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: I have that in writing. |
| 10 | 18 documents that Mr. Sherman has described. | 10 | So we'll see what develops with the rebuttal |
| 11 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Well, I think you raise a good | 11 | request and the document. Until then -- |
| 12 | point. | 12 | MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, one more thing. |
| 13 | Does respondent rest? Other than these | 13 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. Go ahead. |
| 14 | documents we're talking about. | 14 | MR. SHERMAN: One more thing. |
| 15 | MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. | 15 | I think there's a -- there's a request |
| 16 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. | 16 | Mr. Rubinstein wants to make on the record. |
| 17 | MR. SHERMAN: Respondent rests. | 17 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. |
| 18 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. | 18 | MR. RUBINSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. |
| 19 | MS. VANDRUFF: That addresses my concern, | 19 | This is to give you notice that we will be |
| 20 | Your Honor. Thank you. | 20 | filing a motion with you in very short order, asking |
| 21 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: I'm just trying to figure out | 21 | that you to consider a referral of Tiversa and |
| 22 | how to handle these exhibits if there's no rebuttal and | 22 | Mr. Boback, under 18 U.S.C. 1505, for obstruction of |
| 23 | whether we would need to get together again here for me | 23 | this proceeding. |
| 24 | to wrap everything up. | 24 | Based on the testimony taken in this case, the |
| 25 | Because there's no need for provisional | 25 | document productions and the information obtained from |


|  | 1465 |  | 1467 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | the House Oversight and Government Reform Committee and | 1 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. Until we meet |
| 2 | based on the testimony heard today, we believe there is | 2 | again, we're adjourned. |
| 3 | ample evidence to suggest that Tiversa provided false | 3 | (Whereupon, the foregoing hearing was adjourned |
| 4 | testimony under oath, that Mr. Boback provided false | 4 | at 4:16 p.m.) |
| 5 | testimony under oath, that documents that were | 5 |  |
| 6 | responsive to subpoenas from the government were not | 6 |  |
| 7 | produced or willfully withheld, and that for these | 7 |  |
| 8 | reasons it would be appropriate for this court to ask | 8 |  |
| 9 | for criminal investigation. | 9 |  |
| 10 | And we are going to ask the government to join | 10 |  |
| 11 | us in that motion. | 11 |  |
| 12 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. Let me just tell you, | 12 |  |
| 13 | thanks for the warning or notice, but I'm not going to | 13 |  |
| 14 | accept that orally in open court. That will need to be | 14 |  |
| 15 | done in writing. | 15 |  |
| 16 | MR. RUBINSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor. We will | 16 |  |
| 17 | provide that to you in writing fairly soon. | 17 |  |
| 18 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: All right. | 18 |  |
| 19 | Anything further? | 19 |  |
| 20 | MS. VANDRUFF: No, Your Honor. Just -- except | 20 |  |
| 21 | for just an administrative point. | 21 |  |
| 22 | With respect to cleanup of exhibit lists, | 22 |  |
| 23 | et cetera, is that something that you expect the parties | 23 |  |
| 24 | to resolve or do you want us to present on that at our | 24 |  |
| 25 | next proceeding? | 25 |  |
|  | 1466 |  | 1468 |
| 1 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: I would like for you to work on | 1 | CERTIFICATION OF REPORTER |
| 2 | eliminating any duplicative exhibit, one that's a CX as | 2 |  |
| 3 | well as an RX, so it becomes much easier in posttrial | 3 | DOCKET/FILE NUMBER: 9357 |
| 4 | briefing. And hopefully you can do that without my | 4 | CASE TITLE: LabMD, Inc. |
| 5 | involvement. | 5 | HEARING DATE: May 5, 2015 |
| 6 | MR. SHERMAN: I think we can handle that, | 6 |  |
| 7 | Your Honor. | 7 | I HEREBY CERTIFY that the transcript contained |
| 8 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: And as far as I'm concerned, it | 8 | herein is a full and accurate transcript of the notes |
| 9 | gets no greater weight for one side or the other whether | 9 | taken by me at the hearing on the above cause before the |
| 10 | it's a CX or an RX. It's just an exhibit. | 10 | FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION to the best of my knowledge and |
| 11 | MS. VANDRUFF: And so we can resubmit then, | 11 | belief. |
| 12 | Your Honor, in the coming days? | 12 |  |
| 13 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: I think the best way to do it | 13 | DATED: MAY 6, 2015 |
| 14 | is if we have, for example, a CX 5 and an RX 25 and | 14 |  |
| 15 | they're the same exhibit, then I think create a list | 15 |  |
| 16 | of what you're withdrawing, and in open court you can | 16 | JOSETT F. WHALEN, RMR |
| 17 | say we're withdrawing, for example, RX 25 because it's | 17 |  |
| 18 | the same exhibit as CX 5 , so that the record is clean. | 18 |  |
| 19 | MS. VANDRUFF: Okay. | 19 | CERTIFICATION OF PROOFREADER |
| 20 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: It's better to withdraw than to | 20 |  |
| 21 | add. | 21 | I HEREBY CERTIFY that I proofread the transcript |
| 22 | MS. VANDRUFF: Understood. | 22 | for accuracy in spelling, hyphenation, punctuation and |
| 23 | JUDGE CHAPPELL: Okay. Anything else? | 23 | format. |
| 24 | MR. SHERMAN: Nothing further, Your Honor. | 24 |  |
| 25 | MS. VANDRUFF: Nothing further, Your Honor. | 25 | ELIZABETH M. FARRELL |
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