

JOHN McCAIN, ARIZONA  
ROB PORTMAN, OHIO  
RAND PAUL, KENTUCKY  
JAMES LANKFORD, OKLAHOMA  
MICHAEL B. ENZI, WYOMING  
KELLY AYOTTE, NEW HAMPSHIRE  
JONI ERNST, IOWA  
BEN SASSE, NEBRASKA

THOMAS R. CARPER, DELAWARE  
CLAIRE McCASKILL, MISSOURI  
JON TESTER, MONTANA  
TAMMY BALDWIN, WISCONSIN  
HEIDI HEITKAMP, NORTH DAKOTA  
CORY A. BOOKER, NEW JERSEY  
GARY C. PETERS, MICHIGAN

# United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON  
HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6250

KEITH B. ASHDOWN, STAFF DIRECTOR  
GABRIELLE A. BATKIN, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

August 7, 2015

The Honorable Karol Mason  
Assistant Attorney General for the Office of Justice Programs  
United States Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, DC 20530-2001

Dear Ms. Mason:

The Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs is conducting oversight of the Department of Justice's efforts to combat sexual assault by ensuring that state and local law-enforcement have resources to test sexual assault kits. According to recent reports, tens of thousands of sexual assault kits remain untested at the state and local level.<sup>1</sup> I request your assistance in better understanding what steps the Department is taking to allocate funding and establish national protocols to alleviate the backlog of untested sexual assault kits.

Rape is a heinous—and, unfortunately, too prevalent—crime in the United States that law-enforcement agencies have long struggled to stop. In 2013 alone, it is estimated that there were over 300,000 victims of rape and sexual assault.<sup>2</sup> Survivors suffer not only physical injury but also severe and long-lasting mental trauma.<sup>3</sup> According to the Department's National Institute of Justice (NIJ), 37 percent of rapes that were reported to the police resulted in criminal prosecution for the alleged rapist, and only 46.2 percent of those prosecuted were convicted of a crime.<sup>4</sup> Compounding this problem is the fact that thousands of sexual assault kits used to identify perpetrators remain untested throughout the country.<sup>5</sup> This backlog delays justice to the victims of these horrible crimes and, in some cases, may allow innocent men—convicted without forensic evidence—to remain in jail.

The Department has acknowledged the problem of untested sexual assault kits for years.<sup>6</sup> However, while there is bipartisan support to address the backlog, the Department has delayed developing national protocols for collecting forensic evidence relating to sexual assaults and has

---

<sup>1</sup> Steve Reilly, *No Action on Rape Kits Despite New Laws, Federal Money*, USA Today, July 17, 2015, <http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/2015/07/17/1b--rape-kit-funding-not-being-spent--fix-problem/29902283>.

<sup>2</sup> Jennifer L. Truman & Lynn Langton, *Criminal Victimization, 2013*, Bureau of Justice Statistics 2 (September 2014), <http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/cv13.pdf>.

<sup>3</sup> Patricia Tjaden & Nancy Thoennes, *Extent, Nature, and Consequences of Rape Victimization: Findings From the National Violence Against Women Survey*, Nat'l Inst. of Justice 33 (Jan. 2006), <https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/210346.pdf>.

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*

<sup>5</sup> *Untested Evidence in Sexual Assault Cases*, Nat'l Inst. of Justice, <http://www.nij.gov/topics/law-enforcement/investigations/sexual-assault/Pages/untested-sexual-assault.aspx> (last visited July 22, 2015).

<sup>6</sup> *Id.*

not adequately overseen grant awards intended to alleviate the backlog.<sup>7</sup> When asked about the delay in developing the national protocols, the Department informed Congress in December 2014 that the protocols “presented many challenges” and that a steering committee of stakeholders met once and “came to consensus on the need to identify best practices, protocols, statutes and policies currently in existence throughout the country.”<sup>8</sup> Despite recognizing this need, the steering committee reportedly has not met since March 2014—and it will not have a final report prepared for the Department until mid-2016.<sup>9</sup>

In addition, the Government Accountability Office found in 2013 that the NIJ did not adequately or transparently document its funding decisions for forensic analysis.<sup>10</sup> The Senate Committee on Appropriations further explained in 2011 that NIJ “appears to fritter away forensic and DNA analysis funding by broadly dispersing grants to agencies and entities of dubious merit.”<sup>11</sup> The Committee found NIJ expenditures—intended for forensic analysis—going to polling firms, cell phone technology components, and “entities of uncertain mission that employ heads of influential forensics policy advisory groups.”<sup>12</sup>

Currently there are thousands of sexual assault kits uncounted and untested in both large metropolitan areas—such as Detroit, Michigan—as well as small ones—such as Green Bay, Wisconsin.<sup>13</sup> This backlog delays justice for the victims of sexual assault and may prevent some innocent people from accessing the forensic evidence that could clear their names. For all these reasons, the Department must address the backlog of untested sexual assault kits in state and local law-enforcement agencies across the country.

To assist the Committee in better understanding this important issue, I ask that you please provide the following information:

1. Please provide the total amount that has been spent through the Department’s grant program on DNA testing for sexual assault and rape cases.
2. Please provide a breakdown of the spending through each grant, including but not limited to funds allocated to DNA testing, adequate laboratory facilities, and administrative overhead.

---

<sup>7</sup> Reilly, *supra* note 1.

<sup>8</sup> Reilly, *supra* note 1.

<sup>9</sup> *Id.*

<sup>10</sup> U.S. Gov’t Accountability Off., DOJ Could Improve Decision-Making Documentation and Better Assess Results of DNA Backlog Reduction Program Funds (July 2013).

<sup>11</sup> S. Rept. 112-78, 112th Cong., S. Comm. on Approps., Departments of Commerce and Justice, and Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill, 2012, at 77 (2011).

<sup>12</sup> *Id.*

<sup>13</sup> Steve Reilly, *Tens of Thousands of Rape Kits Go Untested Across the United States*, USA Today, July 16, 2015, <http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/2015/07/16/untested-rape-kits-evidence-across-usa/29902199/>.

3. Please identify the number of grants that the Department has awarded between January 1, 2007, and the present to address the backlog of untested sexual assault kits. For each grant, please provide the following information:
  - a. The recipient of the grant;
  - b. The amount of the grant;
  - c. The purpose of the grant; and
  - d. The date that the grant was awarded.
4. In light of the Department's longstanding acknowledgement of the backlog of untested sexual assault kits across the United States, please explain why it has taken so long to develop national protocols to alleviate this problem.
5. Please identify the members of the Department's steering committee of stakeholders to develop national standards for collecting forensic evidence relating to sexual assaults.
6. Please provide the schedule of meetings for the Department's steering committee of stakeholders to develop national standards for collecting forensic evidence relating to sexual assaults.
7. Please produce any reports, memoranda, or other documents created by the Department's steering committee, the National Institute of Justice, or the Office of Justice Programs referring or relating to the backlog of untested sexual assault kits.
8. Please provide a full listing all DOJ grant programs that allow the use of federal funds to conduct forensics works and DNA testing that could be used to alleviate the rape kit backlog. For each, please provide the dollar amount of unobligated balances available for each program that have been carried over for five years or more.

Please provide the requested information and material as soon as possible but no later than 5:00 p.m. on August 21, 2015.

The Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs is authorized by Rule XXV of the Standing Rules of the Senate to investigate "the efficiency, economy, and effectiveness of all agencies and departments of the Government."<sup>14</sup> Additionally, S. Res. 73 (114th Congress) authorizes the Committee to examine "the efficiency and economy of operations of all branches of the Government including the possible existence of fraud, misfeasance, malfeasance, collusion, mismanagement, incompetence, corruption or unethical practices . . ."<sup>15</sup> For purposes of this request, please refer to the definitions and instructions in the enclosure.

---

<sup>14</sup> 9 S. Rule XXV(k); *see also* S. Res. 445, 108th Cong. (2004).

<sup>15</sup> S. Res. 73 § 12, 114th Cong. (2015).

The Honorable Karol Mason

August 7, 2015

Page 4

If you have any questions about this request, please ask your staff to contact [REDACTED] of the Committee staff at [REDACTED]. Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



Ron Johnson  
Chairman

cc: The Honorable Thomas R. Carper  
Ranking Member

Enclosure

**Instructions for Responding to a Committee Request**  
Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs  
United States Senate  
114th Congress

**A. Responding to a Request for Documents**

1. In complying with the Committee's request, produce all responsive documents that are in your possession, custody, or control, whether held by you or your past or present agents, employees, and representatives acting on your behalf. You should also produce documents that you have a legal right to obtain, that you have a right to copy or to which you have access, as well as documents that you have placed in the temporary possession, custody, or control of any third party. Requested records, documents, data, or information should not be destroyed, modified, removed, transferred, or otherwise made inaccessible to the Committee.
2. In the event that any entity, organization, or person denoted in the request has been or is also known by any other name or alias than herein denoted, the request should be read also to include the alternative identification.
3. The Committee's preference is to receive documents in electronic form (i.e. CD, memory stick, or thumb drive) in lieu of paper productions.
4. Documents produced in electronic form should also be organized, identified, and indexed electronically.
5. Electronic document productions should be prepared according to the following standards:
  - a. The production should consist of single page Tagged Image Files (".tif"), files accompanied by a Concordance-format load file, an Opticon reference file, and a file defining the fields and character lengths of the load file.
  - b. Document numbers in the load file should match document Bates numbers and .tif file names.
  - c. If the production is completed through a series of multiple partial productions, field names and file order in all load files should match.
  - d. All electronic documents produced should include the following fields of metadata specific to each document:

BEGDOC, ENDDOC, TEXT, BEGATTACH, ENDATTACH, PAGECOUNT, CUSTODIAN, RECORDTYPE, DATE, TIME, SENTDATE, SENTTIME, BEGINDATE, BEGINTIME, ENDDATE, ENDTIME, AUTHOR, FROM, CC, TO, BCC, SUBJECT, TITLE, FILENAME, FILEEXT, FILESIZE, DATECREATED, TIMECREATED, DATELASTMOD, TIMELASTMOD, INTMSGID, INTMSGHEADER, NATIVELINK, INTFILPATH, EXCEPTION, BEGATTACH.

## **Instructions for Responding to a Committee Request**

- e. Alternatively, if the production cannot be made in .tif format, all documents derived from word processing programs, email applications, instant message logs, spreadsheets, and wherever else practicable should be produced in text searchable Portable Document Format (““.pdf”) format. Spreadsheets should also be provided in their native form. Audio and video files should be produced in their native format, although picture files associated with email or word processing programs should be produced in .pdf format along with the document it is contained in or to which it is attached.
  - f. If any of the requested information is only reasonably available in machine-readable form (such as on a computer server, hard drive, or computer backup tape), consult with the Committee staff to determine the appropriate format in which to produce the information.
6. Documents produced to the Committee should include an index describing the contents of the production. To the extent more than one CD, hard drive, memory stick, thumb drive, box or folder is produced, each CD, hard drive, memory stick, thumb drive, box or folder should contain an index describing its contents.
7. Documents produced in response to the request should be produced together with copies of file labels, dividers or identifying markers with which they were associated when the request was served.
8. When producing documents, identify the paragraph in the Committee’s schedule to which the documents respond.
9. Do not refuse to produce documents on the basis that any other person or entity also possesses non-identical or identical copies of the same documents.
10. This request is continuing in nature and applies to any newly discovered information. Any record, document, compilation of data or information not produced because it has not been located or discovered by the return date, should be produced immediately upon subsequent location or discovery.
11. All documents should be Bates-stamped sequentially and produced sequentially. Each page should bear a unique Bates number.
12. Two sets of documents should be delivered, one set to the Majority Staff and one set to the Minority Staff. When documents are produced to the Committee, production sets should be delivered to the Majority Staff in Room 340 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building and the Minority Staff in Room 346 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building.
13. If compliance with the request cannot be made in full by the date specified in the request, compliance should be made to the extent possible by that date. Notify Committee staff as soon as possible if full compliance cannot be made by the date specified in the request, and provide an explanation for why full compliance is not possible by that date.

## **Instructions for Responding to a Committee Request**

14. In the event that a document is withheld on the basis of privilege, provide a privilege log containing the following information concerning any such document: (a) the privilege asserted; (b) the type of document; (c) the general subject matter; (d) the date, author and addressee; and (e) the relationship of the author and addressee to each other.
15. If any document responsive to this request was, but no longer is, in your possession, custody, or control, identify the document (stating its date, author, subject and recipients) and explain the circumstances under which the document ceased to be in your possession, custody, or control.
16. If a date or other descriptive detail set forth in this request referring to a document is inaccurate, but the actual date or other descriptive detail is known to you or is otherwise apparent from the context of the request, produce all documents which would be responsive as if the date or other descriptive detail were correct.
17. In the event a complete response requires the production of classified information, provide as much information in unclassified form as possible in your response and send all classified information under separate cover via the Office of Senate Security.
18. Unless otherwise specified, the period covered by this request is from January 1, 2009 to the present.
19. Upon completion of the document production, you should submit a written certification, signed by you or your counsel, stating that: (1) a diligent search has been completed of all documents in your possession, custody, or control which reasonably could contain responsive documents; and (2) all documents located during the search that are responsive have been produced to the Committee.

### **B. Responding to Interrogatories or a Request for Information**

1. In complying with the Committee's request, answer truthfully and completely. Persons that knowingly provide false testimony could be subject to criminal prosecution for perjury (when under oath) or for making false statements. Persons that knowingly withhold subpoenaed information could be subject to proceedings for contempt of Congress. If you are unable to answer an interrogatory or information request fully, provide as much information as possible and explain why your answer is incomplete.
2. In the event that any entity, organization, or person denoted in the request has been or is also known by any other name or alias than herein denoted, the request should be read also to include the alternative identification.
3. Your response to the Committee's interrogatories or information requests should be made in writing and should be signed by you, your counsel, or a duly authorized designee.

## **Instructions for Responding to a Committee Request**

4. When responding to interrogatories or information requests, respond to each paragraph in the Committee's schedule separately. Clearly identify the paragraph in the Committee's schedule to which the information responds.
5. Where knowledge, information, or facts are requested, the request encompasses knowledge, information or facts in your possession, custody, or control, or in the possession, custody, or control of your staff, agents, employees, representatives, and any other person who has possession, custody, or control of your proprietary knowledge, information, or facts.
6. Do not refuse to provide knowledge, information, or facts on the basis that any other person or entity also possesses the same knowledge, information, or facts.
7. The request is continuing in nature and applies to any newly discovered knowledge, information, or facts. Any knowledge, information, or facts not provided because it was not known by the return date, should be provided immediately upon subsequent discovery.
8. Two sets of responses should be delivered, one set to the Majority Staff and one set to the Minority Staff. When responses are provided to the Committee, copies should be delivered to the Majority Staff in Room 340 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building and the Minority Staff in Room 346 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building.
9. If compliance with the request cannot be made in full by the date specified in the request, compliance should be made to the extent possible by that date. Notify Committee staff as soon as possible if full compliance cannot be made by the date specified in the request, and provide an explanation for why full compliance is not possible by that date.
10. In the event that knowledge, information, or facts are withheld on the basis of privilege, provide a privilege log containing the following information: (a) the privilege asserted; (b) the general subject matter of the knowledge, information, or facts withheld; (c) the source of the knowledge, information, or facts withheld; (d) the paragraph in the Committee's request to which the knowledge, information, or facts are responsive; and (e) each individual to whom the knowledge, information, or facts have been disclosed.
11. If a date or other descriptive detail set forth in this request is inaccurate, but the actual date or other descriptive detail is known to you or is otherwise apparent from the context of the request, provide the information that would be responsive as if the date or other descriptive detail was correct.
12. In the event a complete response requires the transmission of classified information, provide as much information in unclassified form as possible in your response and send all classified information under separate cover via the Office of Senate Security.
13. Unless otherwise specified, the period covered by this request is from January 1, 2009 to the present.



## **Instructions for Responding to a Committee Request**

### **C. Definitions**

1. The term “document” in the request or the instructions means any written, recorded, or graphic matter of any nature whatsoever, regardless of how recorded, and whether original or copy, including, but not limited to, the following: memoranda, reports, expense reports, books, manuals, instructions, financial reports, working papers, records, notes, letters, notices, confirmations, telegrams, receipts, appraisals, pamphlets, magazines, newspapers, prospectuses, inter-office and intra- office communications, electronic mail (e-mail), contracts, cables, notations of any type of conversation, telephone call, meeting or other communication, bulletins, printed matter, computer printouts, teletypes, invoices, transcripts, diaries, analyses, returns, summaries, minutes, bills, accounts, estimates, projections, comparisons, messages, correspondence, press releases, circulars, financial statements, reviews, opinions, offers, studies and investigations, questionnaires and surveys, and work sheets (and all drafts, preliminary versions, alterations, modifications, revisions, changes, and amendments of any of the foregoing, as well as any attachments or appendices thereto), and graphic or oral records or representations of any kind (including without limitation, photographs, charts, graphs, microfiche, microfilm, videotape, recordings and motion pictures), and electronic, mechanical, and electric records or representations of any kind (including, without limitation, tapes, cassettes, disks, and recordings) and other written, printed, typed, or other graphic or recorded matter of any kind or nature, however produced or reproduced, and whether preserved in writing, film, tape, disk, videotape or otherwise. A document bearing any notation not a part of the original text is to be considered a separate document. A draft or non-identical copy is a separate document within the meaning of this term.
2. The term “communication” in the request or the instructions means each manner or means of disclosure or exchange of information, regardless of means utilized, whether oral, electronic, by document or otherwise, and whether face to face, in meetings, by telephone, mail, telex, facsimile, email (desktop or mobile device), computer, text message, instant message, MMS or SMS message, regular mail, telexes, discussions, releases, delivery, or otherwise.
3. The terms “and” and “or” in the request or the instructions should be construed broadly and either conjunctively or disjunctively to bring within the scope of this subpoena any information which might otherwise be construed to be outside its scope. The singular includes plural number, and vice versa. The masculine includes the feminine and neuter genders.
4. The terms “person” or “persons” in the request or the instructions mean natural persons, firms, partnerships, associations, corporations, subsidiaries, divisions, departments, joint ventures, proprietorships, syndicates, or other legal, businesses or government entities, and all subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, departments, branches, or other units thereof.
5. The term “identify” in the request or the instructions, when used in a question about individuals, means to provide the following information: (a) the individual’s complete name and title; and (b) the individual’s business address and phone number.

## **Instructions for Responding to a Committee Request**

6. The terms “referring” or “relating” in the request or the instructions, when used separately or collectively, with respect to any given subject, mean anything that constitutes, contains, embodies, reflects, identifies, states, refers to, deals with or is pertinent to that subject in any manner whatsoever.
7. The term “employee” in the request or the instructions means agent, borrowed employee, casual employee, consultant, contractor, de fact employee, independent contractor, joint adventurer, loaned employee, part-time employee, permanent employee, provisional employee or subcontractor.
8. The terms “you” and “your” in the request or the instructions refer to yourself; your firm, corporation, partnership, association, department, or other legal or government entity, including all subsidiaries, divisions, branches, or other units thereof; and all members, officers, employees, agents, contractors, and all other individuals acting or purporting to act on your behalf, including all present and former members, officers, employees, agents, contractors, and all other individuals exercising or purporting to exercise discretion, make policy, and/or decisions.

# # #