

**NCAA Violations  
University of Tennessee  
May 2015 - January 2016**

Submission Date	Decision Date	RSRO Case #	Level	Sport(s)	Type	Sub-Case	Bylaw(s)	One-Sentence Summary	Discovery	Description	Mitigation	Institutional Action	SEC Action	NCAA Action
05/21/15	05/26/15	831640	III	WRO	Eligibility	Student-Athlete Statement	12.7.3.2	A walk-on student-athlete participated in a countable athletically-related activity prior to completing/signing the NCAA Drug Testing Consent Form.	A Compliance Office staff member discovered the violation when an assistant coach inquired as to whether the student-athlete was eligible to travel for an upcoming training trip. At that time, the institution discovered that the student-athlete had not completed/signed the NCAA Drug Testing Consent Form.	Two assistant rowing coaches permitted a walk-on student-athlete (SA) to practice prior to completing the NCAA Drug-Testing Consent Form. On Oct. 24, 2014, the SA was added to the rowing team, but the Compliance Office did not receive notification of her addition. Twelve days later, on Nov. 4, 2014, the SA quit the team.	The SA did not compete and quit the team less than two weeks after being added to the roster.	The Compliance Office provided rules education to the rowing coaching staff and established a new policy/procedure for adding SAs to a roster outside of a designated tryout period.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.
05/21/15	06/04/15	831643	III	MFB	Recruiting	Publicity	13.10.2.4	A prospective student-athlete was acknowledged by the crowd while entering a men's basketball game during an official visit to the institution.	A Compliance Office staff member entering behind the group saw the prospective student-athlete responding to the crowd.	During an official visit to the institution, a prospective student-athlete (PSA), his mother, a host student-athlete (SA), and several institutional staff members entered the institution's basketball arena prior to the start of a men's basketball game. The head football coach, who was ahead of the group, waved and recognized the crowd. Despite his separation from the group, this cheering inadvertently may have drawn attention to the PSA.	Visits to basketball games by football prospects are carefully scripted to avoid attention or publicity of PSAs. Precautions include taking the most direct route to the arena from the football facility; entering through the least trafficked entrance (handicapped entrance); taking the least trafficked route from arena entrance to the seats, avoiding concourses and donor amenity areas; seating PSAs near athletics department staff members, all of whom are able to permissibly speak to PSAs during visits; avoiding routes used for pregame festivities or walks; entering the venue prior to tipoff to avoid commotion or undue attention; removing credentials from PSAs to reduce attention on them; having a compliance staff member present for all activities; and instructing the PA announcer and video board operator to avoid recognizing/showing PSAs. The coach made no effort to draw attention to the PSA.	The Compliance Office provided rules education to the football coaching staff and refined procedures for transporting PSAs to the arena. The staff is not permitted to have communication with the PSA or his family until the evening prior to start of the National Letter of Intent signing period, and is subject to a reduction of available in-person recruiting days by 5 percent during the spring evaluation period.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.
05/21/15	05/26/15	831688	III	WBB	Awards and Benefits		16.02.3	Multiple women's basketball student-athletes were permitted temporary access to a suite during a softball game on the institution's campus.	A Compliance Office staff member who was at the game witnessed the student-athletes gain access to the suite.	The mother of a softball student-athlete (SA) purchased a suite for her daughter's last home series at the institution. Several women's basketball SAs attended the final game of the series on May 3, 2015 to support their fellow SAs and friends on the softball team. While at the game, the women's basketball SAs saw the softball SA's mother and sister in the suite - both of whom they had a prior relationship with through their friendship with the softball SA - and approached them to say hello. The women's basketball SAs exited the suite at the direction of the Compliance Office staff member after a short exchange of greetings with the softball SA's mother and sister.	The women's basketball SAs (approximately eight) did not watch the game from the suite. They were only present long enough to say hello to the mother and sister of the softball SA with whom they have a prior relationship. Access to suites at the institution's softball stadium is available in the same fashion as access to general seating and is not monitored by security.	The involved women's basketball SAs will be required to pay \$15 - the value of the difference between suite ticket (\$25) and general seating (\$10) to a charity of their choice.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.
05/22/15	06/02/15	831690	III	MFB	Recruiting	Official Visits, Publicity	13.10.2.1	A current student-athlete publicized a prospective student-athlete's visit to the institution's campus via Twitter.	The Conference Office notified the institution's Compliance Office of a picture posted on Twitter that featured a prospective student-athlete on the institution's campus.	A prospective student-athlete (PSA) made an official visit to the institution on Jan. 16, 2015. The PSA had a previously established relationship with a current football student-athlete (SA), who initially enrolled at the institution less than two weeks prior on Jan. 7, 2015. The SA was the student host for another football PSA making an official visit on the same weekend as the aforementioned PSA and was present for all scheduled activities surrounding the official visits that weekend. At some point over the course of the official visit, the SA posted a picture on Twitter that featured the PSA and identified him by name.	The SA and PSA had an established relationship prior to committing to attend the institution. Due to his established relationship with the PSA, the SA saw no harm in posting a picture of his friend during a visit to campus. The SA enrolled at the institution on Jan. 7, 2015 and the PSA took an official visit to the institution on Jan. 16, 2015. The SA had only been on campus as an enrolled student for 12 days prior to the official visit and he had not yet received rules education on recruiting and publicity (rules education with mid-year enrollees had not yet occurred). Additionally, the SA was the student host for another football PSA taking an official visit on the same weekend. Therefore, he was present during the activities scheduled for all PSAs on an official visit during the weekend of Jan. 17, 2015.	The Compliance Office provided rules education to the involved SA in addition to all other football mid-year enrollees. The institution requested relief from the minimum SEC penalties.	The Southeastern Conference granted the University's request for relief from the standard minimum penalty due to the unique circumstances surrounding the violation.	In addition to the actions already taken, the institution should be required to have the posting removed from Twitter.
05/21/15	06/02/15	831692	III	MFB	Awards and Benefits		16.11.2.1	A walk-on student-athlete was provided access to complimentary admissions to a home football game after the expiration of his temporary certification.	A Compliance Office staff member discovered the violation upon examining the player-guest pass list at the conclusion of the game.	The Compliance Office provides weekly eligibility reports to the Ticket Office and other necessary parties each week during the football season to ensure that all participating student-athletes (SAs) are eligible to do so, or in this case to show which SAs are eligible to receive the benefits of an eligible SA. In this instance, the Compliance Office sent an eligibility roster to the Ticket Office indicating three SAs who were not eligible to receive additional complimentary admissions because their temporary certification period had expired. Two of the three SAs had not requested final amateurism and the third was awaiting certification by the NCAA Eligibility Center. Despite being listed as ineligible to receive additional complimentary admissions, one of the ineligible SAs was given the full allotment of complimentary admissions for the institution's home football game on Oct. 10, 2014.	The Ticket Office was provided with the necessary information to cross-check every SA receiving complimentary admissions. The report provided to the Ticket Office clearly indicated that the SA who received additional complimentary admissions was not eligible to receive such benefits. The SA is no longer a member of the team (as of May 21, 2015).	The Compliance Office provided rules education to the Ticket Office staff and administrative assistants who help facilitate complimentary admissions at home football games. Further, the institution declared the SA ineligible and will seek reinstatement should he attempt to rejoin the football team for the 2015-16 season.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.

NCAA Violations  
University of Tennessee  
May 2015 - January 2016

Submission Date	Decision Date	RSRO Case #	Level	Sport(s)	Type	Sub-Case	Bylaw(s)	One-Sentence Summary	Discovery	Description	Mitigation	Institutional Action	SEC Action	NCAA Action
07/21/15	08/03/15	835425	III	SWM	Recruiting	Recruiting Materials	13.4.1	An assistant swimming and diving coach had e-mail correspondence with the parent of a prospective student-athlete prior to Sept. 1 at the beginning of her junior year in high school.	The assistant coach self-reported the violation to the Director of Compliance.	The institution's assistant swimming and diving coach received an e-mail from the Director of Swimming for American College Connection regarding a prospective student-athlete (PSA) who planned to visit the institution on her own and was interested in meeting with the institution's coaches. The e-mail indicated that the PSA would graduate from high school in May of 2017, but this was (mistakenly) initially overlooked by the assistant coach, who responded to the e-mail by providing his contact information to pass along to the PSA. The PSA subsequently called the assistant coach and left a voicemail to which the assistant coach responded with an e-mail to the PSA's parent's e-mail address stating, "I received your voicemail but due to NCAA rules, I cannot call you back. Please give me another call or e-mail me and we can work out the details of your visit." The PSA's parent responded to the assistant coach's e-mail confirming a date and time to meet.	No actual correspondence was made between the assistant coach and the PSA; it was all directed at the PSA's parent.	The Compliance Office provided rules education to the involved assistant coach. The institution is prohibited from providing additional recruiting materials, including questionnaires and general correspondence, to the PSA until 60 days following the first permissible date for distributing recruiting materials.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.
08/07/15	08/21/15	836065	III	WTE	Playing Seasons	Skill Instruction	17.02.18, 17.22.6.1.1	A Media Relations student intern posted a photo on Twitter of a student-athlete engaging in a voluntary workout session with a coach during the summer.	A Compliance Office staff member discovered the impermissible tweet while reviewing the feed of the institution's Compliance Office Twitter account.	A Media Relations student intern posted a photo of a women's tennis student-athlete (SA) engaged in a voluntary, individual workout session with the head women's tennis coach. The SA initiated a request for the workout that was photographed. The intern was at the institution's outdoor tennis courts when the workout took place. The intern did not ask his supervisor (Assistant Director of Media Relations) prior to tweeting the photo from the women's tennis Twitter account and was not aware that NCAA rules prohibited him from doing so. The Media Relations department removed the photo/tweet immediately upon request by the Compliance office.		The Compliance Office provided rules education to the Media Relations staff and student workers/interns.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.
08/21/15	09/02/15	837054	III	SWM	Recruiting	Unofficial Visits	13.12.1.5	The head diving coach arranged a tour of the institution's School of Engineering for a prospective student-athlete while she was attending camp at the institution.	An e-mail reminder was sent from the Compliance Office to all coaches on June 26, 2015 in regard to an Official NCAA Interpretation about the impermissibility of campus tours during camps and clinics. Upon reading the e-mail, the head diving coach discovered his mistake and responded to the e-mail to self-report the violation.	Prior to the prospective student-athlete (PSA) arriving on the institution's campus to attend diving camp from June 7 to 12, 2015, the PSA's parent e-mailed the head diving coach, asking if her daughter could meet with someone in the School of Engineering and tour the program's facilities. The head diving coach subsequently set up a meeting and tour with a Dean in the School of Engineering. The meeting and tour took place on June 11, 2015.	The head diving coach did not participate in or conduct the tour of the School of Engineering. No actual recruiting advantage was gained as the tour would have been permissible if the PSA or her parent arranged it directly through the School of Engineering rather than the head diving coach (available to all prospective students).	The Compliance Office provided rules education on camps and clinics (and associated activities) to the head diving coach.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter. Relief from the standard penalty was provided due to the factual circumstances of this case.
09/18/15	09/23/15	842758	III	WBB	Recruiting	Telephone Calls	13.1.3.1	An assistant women's basketball coach placed a phone call to the parent of a prospective student-athlete prior to the first permissible date to do so.	The assistant coach self-reported the violation to the Director of Compliance.	Believing she was calling the father of a 2017 prospective student-athlete (PSA), an assistant women's basketball coach mistakenly placed a phone call to the father of a 2018 PSA at 9:28 pm on Sept. 1, 2015. The call lasted three minutes. After exchanging pleasantries and having a brief, generic conversation, the man on the other end of the call mentioned his daughter. It was at this point that the assistant coach realized that she was not speaking with the father of the PSA whom she intended to call. After learning who she was speaking with and recognizing that the father's daughter was a 2018 PSA, the assistant coach ended the conversation. Upon hanging up, the assistant coach reviewed her contacts and determined that she had mistakenly saved the PSA's father's contact information under the wrong name.	The intent of the assistant coach was to contact the father of a 2017 PSA on the first permissible date to do so, not that of a 2018 PSA. The assistant coach mistakenly saved the PSA's father's contact information under the name of a 2017 PSA. The call was brief, lasting only three minutes.	The Compliance Office provided rules education to the involved assistant coach. The assistant coach was prohibited from making telephone contact with any PSA for 14 calendar days. Further, telephone calls from any institutional staff member to the involved PSA and/or her parents will be prohibited for 60 days following the first permissible date for telephone calls (Sept. 1, 2016).	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.
09/21/15	09/28/15	840510	III	MBF	Recruiting	Off-Campus Contacts	13.02.5.2, 13.1.1.1	An assistant football coach had an impermissible off-campus contact with a junior prospective student-athlete during the spring evaluation period.	The institution was informed of the violation by the NCAA Enforcement Staff.	An assistant football coach had an impermissible off-campus contact with a junior prospective student-athlete during the spring evaluation period.	The face-to-face encounter was not prearranged between the assistant coach and the high school coaches, a third-party or PSA. The face-to-face encounter occurred at the suggestion of high school head coach. The face-to-face encounter did not result in more than a minimal recruiting advantage. The institution and assistant coach acknowledge that a conversation in excess of a greeting occurred with the PSA on the campus of the high school.	In agreement with the NCAA, the institution will impose the following: (1) Applicable rules education will be provided to all coaches and support staff; (2) One game suspension for the involved assistant coach; (3) Involved assistant coach will be suspended from off-campus recruiting activities for the first 30 days of the Fall 2015 evaluation period; (4) 10-day reduction of Spring 2016 evaluation days; and (5) Involved assistant coach will be withheld from off-campus recruiting activities during the first five days of the Nov.-Dec. 2015 contact period.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.

NCAA Violations  
University of Tennessee  
May 2015 - January 2016

Submission Date	Decision Date	RSRO Case #	Level	Sport(s)	Type	Sub-Case	Bylaw(s)	One-Sentence Summary	Discovery	Description	Mitigation	Institutional Action	SEC Action	NCAA Action
10/12/15	10/15/15	845246	III	SWM	Recruiting	Publicity	13.10.2.1	A swimming and diving student-athlete retweeted a comment about a prospective student-athlete verbally committing to attend the institution.	The Conference Office notified the institution's Compliance Office.	A swimming and diving student-athlete (SA) retweeted a tweet originally posted by SwinSwam that commented about the verbal commitment of a 2016 prospective student-athlete (PSA) to the institution.		The SA deleted the retweet. The Compliance Office sent an e-mail to the swimming and diving coaching staff to remind them and asking them to remind the team that tweeting and retweeting about PSA's commitments is impermissible recruiting publicity. The Compliance Office will provide additional rules education to all swimming and diving SAs at the End of the Fall team meeting.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.
10/12/15	10/20/15	845223	III	WGO	Amateurism	Promotional Activities	12.5.1.1	The Media Relations department published a release on the institution's athletics website and an event guide about an upcoming competition that included a graphic in which eight women's golf student-athletes appeared alongside a product with which a commercial entity is associated in addition to a standalone logo of the commercial entity.	The Conference Office notified the institution's Compliance Office of the website release graphic via e-mail. The institution's Compliance Office discovered the additional publication (e.g., event guide) through subsequent interactions with the Media Relations staff.	The Media Relations department published a release on the institution's athletics website and an event guide about an upcoming women's golf tournament (e.g., Mercedes-Benz Collegiate Championships) that included a graphic in which eight women's golf student-athletes (SAs) appeared alongside a Mercedes-Benz logo.	The violation occurred because of a misunderstanding regarding the proper application of NCAA legislation by the director for sales & marketing and the media relations student assistant responsible for the release and event guide. The featured women's golf SAs were unaware of their placement on the graphic.	The graphic was removed from the release on the institution's athletics website and all social media links to the release were updated. The event guide will no longer be distributed. The director for sales & marketing and the media relations student assistant responsible for the release/guide were provided rules education on the proper application of NCAA Bylaw 12.5.1.1.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.
12/17/15	01/03/16	850682	III	WBB	Recruiting	Recruiting Materials	13.4.1	Two women's basketball prospective student-athletes received general correspondence one (1) day prior to the first permissible date to receive recruiting materials.	The Conference Office notified the institution's Compliance Office via e-mail of one of the known recipients. The other was discovered after an internal review of all recruiting materials that were sent out (institution determined that second prospective student-athlete who lives in the same locale likely received the general correspondence early as well).	Two women's basketball prospective student-athletes (PSAs) received general correspondence one (1) day prior to the first permissible date to receive recruiting materials. Per guidance from a local post office indicating that it would take at least four (4) days for mail to reach the West Coast, the institution's women's basketball staff - specifically the assistant to the head coach at the direction of an assistant coach - placed the correspondence in an outgoing mailbox the evening of Wed., Aug. 26 anticipating that it would be sent out the following day. Based on this timeline, the staff anticipated the correspondence to be delivered to the PSAs - both Oregon residents - on Tues., Sept. 1 (the first date permissible date for the PSA to receive recruiting materials). However, unbeknownst to the women's basketball staff, the correspondence was sent out on July 26, which resulted in the PSAs receiving it one (1) day early (Aug. 31).	The staff sought guidance from a local post office to determine mailing time frames for different parts of the country. The local post office indicated that it would take at least four (4) days for the correspondence to reach its intended West Coast recipients. The staff placed the mail in its outgoing mailbox the evening of July 26 believing it would not be sent out until the following day and therefore on schedule to arrive on Sept. 1. However, unbeknownst to the staff, the correspondence was sent out the same day, which resulted in the PSAs receiving it one (1) day early (Aug. 31).	The institution precluded the women's basketball staff from providing recruiting materials to the involved PSAs for 60 days following the first permissible date for distributing recruiting materials (Sept. 1 through Oct. 30). Further, going forward, the institution will not permit the women's basketball staff to send recruiting materials to PSAs until Aug. 30 (prior to junior year) for PSAs in non-border states and Aug. 31 (prior to junior year) for PSAs in states bordering the institution.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.
01/07/16	01/19/16	849814	III	WGO	Recruiting	Recruiting Materials	13.4.1	The assistant women's golf coach had e-mail correspondence with a prospective student-athlete (PSA) prior to Sept. 1 of the PSA's junior year.	The assistant women's golf coach self-reported (via e-mail) to the Compliance Office after discovery that a violation had occurred.	The assistant women's golf coach received an e-mail from a prospective student-athlete (PSA). The assistant coach mistakenly believed that she was a 2017 PSA. There is a PSA that is in the 2018 class with the same first name and a very similar last name (six letters with the three-letter prefix being identical). In her original e-mail to the coach, the PSA stated that she hoped that the assistant coach would be able to follow her play "over the next couple of years." The assistant coach responded to the e-mail, informing the PSA that the program's 2017 recruiting class was already filled. The assistant coach realized that a violation occurred when the PSA responded, indicating that she was a 2018 graduate.	The assistant coach mistakenly believed that the PSA was of age to receive this type of correspondence. The two PSAs' names are very similar. Further, the assistant coach immediately self-reported the violation to the Compliance Office upon discovery of the PSA's age.	In addition to rules education, the institution is prohibited from providing additional recruiting materials, including questionnaires and general correspondence to the PSA until 60 days following the first permissible date for distributing recruiting materials.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.
01/11/16	01/12/16	860477	III	WRO	Playing Seasons	Athletically Related Activities	17.1.7.2	The rowing coaching staff required student-athletes to engage in a morning run outside of the declared playing season and within one week prior to the final examination period.	The violation was discovered through regular Compliance Office monitoring procedures.	The institution's rowing staff conducted a required team stretch and run at 6:30 am on Nov. 30, 2015. The activity lasted for 24 minutes and included all active student-athletes (SAs) on the team. During a routine check-in conversation between an assistant coach and a Director of Compliance, the countable activity was mentioned. After reviewing the team's declared playing season, the Director of Compliance notified the head coach that the run was conducted outside of the season, during the institutional week of final examinations, and therefore constituted a violation. The head coach was also notified that she would be required to reduce the team's countable activities by a total of one hour when the academic year resumed.	Despite rules education and a previously submitted playing season declaration form, the coaching staff internally scheduled and believed they could conclude their out of season segment on this day. The staff failed to realize that while this date was prior to the last day of classes for the academic term, Dec. 1, 2015, they were prohibited from having any countable activities that week due to commencement of the final examination period (Dec. 3-10, 2015). It should be noted that no attempt was made by the staff to conceal the countable activity, or circumvent the Compliance Office. The coaching staff was forthcoming and cooperative.	The Compliance Office provided rules education to the rowing coaching staff, and a penalty of one less hour of countable athletically-related activities will be enforced during the first week of classes for the ensuing semester to satisfy the 2-for-1 penalty.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.

NCAA Violations  
University of Tennessee  
May 2015 - January 2016

Submission Date	Decision Date	RSRO Case #	Level	Sport(s)	Type	Sub-Case	Bylaw(s)	One-Sentence Summary	Discovery	Description	Mitigation	Institutional Action	SEC Action	NCAA Action
01/23/16	02/01/16	860399	III	WSC	Recruiting	Publicity	13.10.2	The head women's soccer coach favorited a total of 10 tweets from five prospective student-athletes (PSAs) or parents of PSAs, one club coach, and two high school or club soccer teams.	The Conference Office notified the institution's Associate Athletics Director for Compliance regarding the impermissibly favorited tweets, which were then reviewed and verified for accuracy by the Director of Compliance.	See below	See below	See below	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	No further action should be taken by the NCAA enforcement staff in the matter.
01/23/16		860496	III	WSB	Recruiting	Sports Camps and Clinics, Unofficial Visits	13.4.1, 13.4.1.6	Prospective student-athletes received information related to the institution's athletics program in conjunction with camp logistics prior to the first permissible date to provide general and electronic correspondence.	The conference office notified the institution's compliance office of one of the known recipients. The others were discovered after an internal review of the email correspondence sent to camp participants through the institution's camp management system.	See below	All information included in the camp itinerary was generic in content and format and did not contain recruiting language or solicitation of the PSA to enroll at the institution. The correspondence was not personalized and the same information was received by all camp participants via mass email through the institution's camp management system.	The institution will preclude the softball staff from providing recruiting materials to the involved prospective student-athletes for 60 days following the first permissible date for distributing recruiting materials; 09/01/16 - 10/30/16 (2018 graduates) and 09/01/17 - 10/30/17 (2019 graduates). Further, the compliance office will review all electronic correspondence intended for institutional camp participants prior to its distribution.	The Southeastern Conference has accepted the University's actions and has not imposed any additional penalties related to this violation.	Pending
		847576	III	MBB	Recruiting	Official Visits, Publicity	13.10.2.4	A men's basketball student-athlete publicized a prospective student-athlete's visit to the institution's campus by mentioning the PSA in a post on Twitter.	A Compliance Office staff member was reviewing her personal Twitter account and noticed the tweet by the men's basketball student-athlete.	Upon reviewing her personal Twitter account, a Compliance Office staff member noticed a tweet by a men's basketball student-athlete (SA) that mentioned a prospective student-athlete (PSA) by name and welcomed the PSA to campus. The Compliance Office staff member immediately asked the SA to remove the tweet, explaining that the post was a violation of NCAA rules. The SA had met the PSA prior to tweeting at him and did not see any harm in the tweet. The SA removed the tweet upon request and received an individual rules education session with the Director of Compliance, covering all rules pertaining to social media and prospects.	Rules education, including publicity of PSAs, was provided to the men's basketball team two days prior to the start of the Fall 2015 semester. The involved SA had not yet arrived on campus when this meeting occurred. A separate meeting was scheduled for the PSA with a Compliance Office staff member, but the tweet occurred a few days before the scheduled meeting.	The Compliance Office provided rules education regarding social media, specifically as it pertains to PSAs and recruiting, to the involved SA. Further, a reminder was given to the rest of the men's basketball team in regards to the publicity of recruiting visits.	Pending	Pending

