

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 15-1461****September Term, 2015****FCC-80FR79136****Filed On:** March 7, 2016

Global Tel\*Link,  
Petitioner

v.

Federal Communications Commission and  
United States of America,  
Respondents

-----  
Centurylink Public Communications, Inc., et  
al.,  
Intervenors

-----  
Consolidated with 15-1498, 16-1012,  
16-1029, 16-1038, 16-1046, 16-1057

**BEFORE:** Tatel, Brown, and Griffith, Circuit Judges

**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the motions for stay, the oppositions thereto, and the replies; the motion of the State of Oklahoma for leave to file a motion for stay and the oppositions thereto; and the motion of Network Communications International for leave to file an amicus curiae brief in response to the motions to stay, it is

**ORDERED** that the motion of Oklahoma for leave to file a motion for stay be denied. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the motion of Network Communications International to file an amicus brief in response to the motions to stay be denied. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the motions for stay be granted in part and denied in part. The motions are granted as to the provisions of the Federal Communications Commission's "Second Report and Order and Third Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking," FCC 15-136 (Nov. 5, 2015), regarding 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.6010 (setting caps

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 15-1461**

**September Term, 2015**

on calling rates) and 64.6020(b)(2) (setting caps on fees for single-call services). With respect to these provisions, petitioners have satisfied the stringent requirements for a stay pending court review. See Winter v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc., 555 U.S. 7, 20 (2008); D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 33 (2016). The motions are denied in all other respects.

**Per Curiam**

**FOR THE COURT:**

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Robert J. Cavello

Deputy Clerk