## Toms, Michael S., Sheriff

From:

Toms, Michael S., Sheriff

Sent:

Wednesday, October 07, 2015 1:39 MITTOMS

To:

Keteles, Brett, Sheriff

Subject:

FW: not in his box

I'm unable to find the memo or a copy. Here are the nuts and bolts of what information was in it.

In 2006, two (2) surveillance cameras, commonly referred to as "remote pole cameras" were installed to record criminal activity occurring in our jurisdiction. I believe, but cannot be certain that those cameras were installed at E. 14<sup>th</sup> and 159<sup>th</sup> Ave. and 159<sup>th</sup> Ave. and E. 14<sup>th</sup> (meaning these were the first two camera installed in 2006. There are actually cameras at those spots).

In 2007, five (5) more cameras were installed. Those cameras were located at:

Coelho Dr. and Mooney Ave. Ashland Ave. and E. 14<sup>th</sup> Ashland Ave. and Delano St. 159<sup>th</sup> Ave. and Liberty Ave. A Street and Princeton St.

Those cameras were paid for with "county" funds not UASI funds. Supervisor Miley was involved in the process. Commander Amaral should know the background on the funding.

In early 2014, we discovered that five of the seven cameras no longer worked. The remaining two were reaching the end of their service life. The company that installed them went out of business, so there was no way to get them repaired and it wouldn't have been worth the cost.

A request for \$130,000 dollars was made and approved in the 2014-2015 ETS budget to replace the cameras and the camera systems. The actual cost came to about \$61,400 dollars. It was decided to add three cameras to the system in areas that now experience high criminal activity. Two of the cameras have been installed and the third will be installed by the end of the month. They are located in the following locations:

Bockman Rd. and Hesperian Blvd. Meekland Ave. and Blossom Way Redwood Rd. and Castro Valley Blvd.

The cost to add those cameras is about \$30,900 dollars. Again, these cameras were paid for with county funds.

There are signs placed at all locations notifying the public that they are entering an area with surveillance cameras.

The cameras record but aren't monitored. Typically when something happens we review the recording at a later time. If a supervisor is at ETS they have the ability to access the cameras to watch a live view of activity being recorded. Access is password protected. The recordings are kept for seven (7) days and then are self-purged by the system. If we want to keep a recoding we have to transfer it to a DVD. The DVD would be placed into evidence.

The purchase of the new cameras and the replacement of the old cameras would have required the Board of Supervisors' approval due to the cost. So Finance should have a Board Letter. I'm sure there is also some formal documentation for the purchase of the original seven cameras in 2006/2007.

This process was completely transparent to the Board and public.

From: Toms, Michael S., Sheriff

Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2015 12:47 MITTOMS

**To:** Keteles, Brett, Sheriff **Subject:** RE: not in his box

I'm in text contact with Colby. I'll let you know what he tells me soon.

From: Keteles, Brett, Sheriff

Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2015 12:39 MITTOMS

**To:** Toms, Michael S., Sheriff **Subject:** not in his box

**Brett Keteles, Assistant Sheriff** 

Alameda County Sheriff's Office 1401 Lakeside Drive 12<sup>th</sup> Floor Oakland, CA 94612 BKeteles@acgov.org

Office: 510-208-9964