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## I. STATEMENT OF FACTS AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

"I rarely stop for lunch."<br>Donald Trump, The Art of the Deal, page 1 (Random House, 1987).

Twenty-five years ago, developer Donald Trump admitted that he places little value on taking time to eat during the work day. Mr. Trump not only stated this on the first page of his autobiography but also admitted to having no concern for when he ate:
"3:00 p.m. I ask Norma Foerderer, my executive assistant and the person who keeps my life organized, to bring me lunch: a can of tomato juice. I rarely go out, because mostly, it's a waste of time." (Id. at p. 7.)

These values - along with Donald Trump's perpetual quest to brand his businesses as "the best" - led to a culture at the Trump National Golf Club, owned and operated by Defendant VH Property Corporation ("Trump"), in Rancho Palos Verdes that flouted California's law requiring meal and rest breaks. Since Trump bought the public golf club in 2002 Trump discouraged, intimidated, or outright forbade its hundreds of non-exempt employees from enjoying the 30 minute meal periods or 10 minute rest breaks that California law requires according to settled law and the California Supreme Court's recent decision in Brinker Restaurant Corp. v. Super. Ct. (2012) 53 Cal.4th 1004.

How did this happen? Admittedly, Trump's employee handbooks gave lip service to the law's requirements about meal and rest breaks. But these policies were a sham; just inert words on paper. Instead of breathing life into them, Trump made business decisions that ensured that the law's requirements (and Trump's own stated policies) would not be enforced. ${ }^{1}$

Perhaps most importantly, Trump never employed mangers with expertise in human resources and California law. Neither the general managers during the relevant period (Donald Trump regularly tells them - wait for it - "You're fired!") nor its "director of human resources" had either a degree, certificate or any meaningful formal study in California employment law or human

[^0]resources. ${ }^{2}$ Nor did any of these persons - or the department heads/managers under their supervision - receive any regular HR training beyond seminars (typically two hours) that the company's insurance broker provided 3-4 times a year. ${ }^{3}$ Testimony from these persons - as well as multiple former employees (and Plaintiff Dave Perry) who complained to management about not getting meal or rest breaks - confirms that the general managers and HR department did not know the law about meal and rest breaks. ${ }^{4}$

In addition, Mr. Sperandeo - the person in charge of human resources at Trump - paid no attention to meal or rest break issues. In fact, he did not consider them his responsibility. [Sperandeo Depo., pp 62:4 13.] Instead, HR policy was dictated by New York lawyers working in-house at the Trump Organization. None are licensed to practice law in California. [Sperandeo Depo.,109:9-

```
111:21; Cowan Decl., |/ 15, Ex. N.]
```

Similarly, in 2006 or 2007 Trump stopped using a California lawyer for its "on call" employment law advice. Instead, it relied on New York lawyers at the Trump Organization. ${ }^{5}$ Plus, while it had a California lawyer "on call," Trump discouraged its HR department from using this lawyer unless it was an emergency. Otherwise, managers called a non-lawyer insurance broker for legal advice. [Sperandeo Depo., pp. 100:13-103:8; 105:2-13.]

As a result, Trump's "human resources department" (and the general managers that relied on it) had - at best - a flawed misunderstanding of the law. They thought that because Trump (1) kept

[^1]Plaintiffs' Joint Notice of Motion and Motion for Class Certification; Memo of Ps \& As; Supporting Declarations
its workers on the clock (paid) from their shifts' start to finish (Sperandeo Depo 135:17-136:16; 146:8-12), and (2) provided free food (of varying quality and limited quantities), Trump had no duty to give its employees 30 minutes for meal breaks or 10 minutes for rest breaks. Nor was there an understanding that meal breaks had to begin within five hours of an employee starting work.

Along with this misinformation, there existed at Trump a relentless pressure to provide a "world class" atmosphere that lived up to the brand that Donald Trump tries to attach to his name. And like many businesses, Trump obsessed about keeping its expenses as low as possible. This meant, among other things, not scheduling enough employees in the restaurants and kitchen and outside services departments so that employees could have a co-worker cover for them when it was time for a break. [It also apparently meant not hiring an HR director who had proper qualifications.]

The result was a culture in which employees who worked at Trump were either prohibited or discouraged/intimidated from taking meal or rest breaks. Trump's managers constantly denied break requests because the club was too "busy" at that time. One memo from a general manager (Ex, 6, p. 3) expressly stated that customers came first, ahead of their breaks. And manager Joel Kim retaliated against valets who asked for a break with "hard duty" assignments that involved more work and discomfort, or scheduling such offenders to work at inconvenient and less desirable times.

At best, breaks were allowed on an ad hoc basis - but always for less than the legally required 10 minutes for a rest break and 30 minutes for a meal break. When employees did receive permission to go the bathroom, eat or smoke a cigarette, the message was always the same: "Hurry up and get back to work as quickly as you can." Managers even interrupted employees who were eating (with food on their plates) or on a rest break and sent them back to work before their 30 or 10 minutes were up - and without even asking if the employees had received their full break.

It is hardly surprising to learn that before this lawsuit was filed, Trump had no system and never conducted an audit to ensure that its employees were getting their breaks (or a fair opportunity to take them). [Sperandeo Depo 159:11-161:11; Amini Depo. 120:6-121:21, 127:19-128:24.]

Unlike many wage and hour cases, there should be little meaningful dispute about these facts. Aside from the $20+$ current and former employee declarations that accompany this motion, many former managers of Trump have testified how they and other managers discouraged or intimidated
employees from taking 30 minute meal breaks and 10 minute rest breaks. Sue Kwiatkowski, Kevin Hooker, Chuck West, and Haley Strozier tell a compelling story. Their testimony corroborates the testimony of the Plaintiffs, the other non-exempt employees, Mr. Sperandeo and manager Joel Kim.

These facts compel a finding that this lawsuit warrants class certification on these "break" issues - on which Trump bears the burden of proof (at least as to meal breaks) because it has no records showing that its employees "clocked out" for their meal breaks. Each of the well-known factors that the Court is to consider regarding certification militates in favor of certification. ${ }^{6}$

There is numerosity (hundreds of aggrieved current and former employees, whose numbers and identities already have been ascertained from Trump's records). Common issues of fact and law (managers and employees alike have testified how employees were always pushed to keep their breaks as short as possible) exist and predominate because all of these employees suffered the same treatment from the same managers, which in turn flowed from the same corporate culture and the same incorrect belief that employees did not have to receive 30 minute meal breaks if they were being paid for the time they spent eating. Similarly, typicality exists: Plaintiffs Lucy Messerschmidt and Dave Perry's claims (and testimony) about being denied their meal and rest breaks are consistent with the testimony of all the other employees (and managers) about how breaks were discouraged and employees were always told to keep them as short as possible.

Importantly, the California Supreme Court's decision in Brinker confirms that certification is appropriate. Trump no doubt will oppose this motion with a stack of declarations from its current employees (most of whom surely had concerns about keeping their jobs, as is the case with most current employees and given the threats that some Trump employees received from their managers about talking about this lawsuit) and will argue that this conflict in evidence precludes commonality because it requires individualized determinations. But Brinker made clear that, to the extent issues of

[^2]commonality overlap with the merits to be adjudicated at trial, the Superior Court invariably should find in favor of certification. Brinker, supra, at 1023

For these reasons and more (infra), Plaintiffs Lucy Messerschmidt and Dave Perry ("Plaintiffs") respectfully submit that the Court should certify the class claims and appoint Plaintiffs as the representatives and their lawyers as joint class counsel.

## II. CLASS CERTIFICATION STANDARDS

"[T]his state has a public policy which encourages the use of the class action device."
Richmond v. Dart Industries, Inc. (1981) 29 Cal.3d at 473.
When considering whether to certify a lawsuit as a class action, the Court should focus on whether the asserted theory of recovery is amenable to class treatment. Ghazaryan v. Diva Limousine, Ltd. (2009) 169 Cal.App.4th 1524, 1531. The Court should not inquire into the legal sufficiency of the complaint's claims. See, e.g., Brinker, supra, at 1023 ("A class certification motion is not a license for a free-floating inquiry into the validity of the complaint's allegations; rather, resolution of disputes over the merits of a case generally must be postponed until after class certification has been decided.").

Typically, courts decide certification by analyzing the pleadings and the plaintiffs' supporting declarations, with any doubt resolved in favor of certification. Richmond, supra, 29 Cal.3d at 473, 478. The complaint's allegations are assumed to be correct for certification purposes. LaSala v. American Savings \& Loan Ass'n (1971) 5 Cal.3d 864, 869. Because the issue of class certification is primarily a question of law involving the application of a legal standard to a set of largely undisputed facts, the Court should presume that Plaintiffs can prove the facts their complaint alleges.

Code of Civil Procedure § 382 authorizes a class action when "the question is one of a common or general interest, of many persons, or when the parties are numerous, and it is impracticable to bring them all before the court." To get certification, a party must establish the existence of both an ascertainable class and a well-defined community of interest among class members. ${ }^{7}$ Linder v. Thrifty Oil Co. (2000) 23 Cal.4th 429, 435.

[^3]California courts have long encouraged class actions when the allegations disclose a systematic course of dealing that affects all class members in the same manner and has resulted in the withholding of monies in which all class members have an interest. ${ }^{8}$ Accordingly, lawsuits for systematic violations of wage-and-hour laws are appropriately certified as a class action. See, e.g., Prince v. CLS Transportation, Inc. (2004) 118 Cal.App.4th 1320, 1328. ("[W]age and hour disputes (and others in the same general class) routinely proceed as class actions.")

## III. THE COURT SHOULD CERTIFY THE CLASS BECAUSE ALL FACTORS EXIST

 Numerosity, ascertainability, typicality, adequacy, superiority, and commonality all exist.
## A. Numerosity

Numerosity means that the class is sufficiently numerous such that joinder is impracticable. See C.C.P. § 382. No set number is required. Rose v. City of Hayward (1981) 126 Cal.App.3d 926, 34. Here, numerosity is satisfied because it is impractical to bring before this Court all of the hundreds of Class Members that exist in either proposed definition ( 751 current and former employees vs. 611). ${ }^{9}$ See Miller v. Woods (1983) 148 Cal.App.3d 862, 73; Newberg on Class Actions, (3rd ed. 1992) §3.05 ("[A]s few as 40 class members should raise a presumption that joinder is impracticable."); Rose, supra, 126 Cal.App.3d at 932.

## B. Ascertainability

The ascertainability of a proposed class is a simple matter, which is "determined by examining the (1) class definition; (2) size of the class; and (3) means available for identifying class members." Reyes v. Board of Supervisors (1987) 196 Cal.App.3d 1263, 1271.

The proposed class is ascertainable. It consists of all persons employed by Trump as nonexempt hourly employees in California during the Class Period.

Alternatively, Plaintiffs propose a class consisting of all persons who are or were employed
a fair and efficient adjudication. Richmond, supra, 29 Cal .3 d 462 at 470 . Individualized measures of damages are not unusual in class actions, and common questions on liability are not overshadowed by individual damage issues. B.W.I. Custom Kitchen v. Owens-Illinois, Inc. (1987) 191 Cal.App.3d 1341, 1354.
${ }^{8}$ "The only requirements are that common questions of law and fact predominate and that the class representatives be similarly situated." Classen v. Weller (1983) 145 Cal.App.3d 27, 46 (internal citations omitted).
${ }^{9}$ See Trump's Responses to Perry's Special Interrogatories, Set Three, attached to Orshansky Decl as Ex. B.
by Trump as nonexempt hourly employees in California during the Class Period and who worked in one or more the following departments: Outside Services/Valet, Kitchen, Food \& Beverage Front of the House, Deli, Sales, Golf, Banquets, and Security. This alternative class is limited to employees in departments of Trump's organization whose function consists in providing service to customers. ${ }^{10}$

Trump categorizes its employees as exempt and nonexempt. ${ }^{11}$ The identity of Class Members is readily ascertainable. Trump maintains records for all its employees going back to the beginning of the Class Period. ${ }^{12}$ Therefore the number and identity of Class Members can be determined through a review of Trump's records. See Rose, supra, 126 Cal.App.3d at 926.

## C. Typicality

A plaintiff's claim is "typical" if it arises from the same practice or course of conduct that gives rise to the claims of the other class members and if his or her claims are based on the same legal theory. Classen v. Weller (1983) 145 Cal.App.3d 27, 47.

Here, Plaintiffs' claims about breaks are typical of those alleged on behalf of the proposed class. Dave Perry was employed as a valet from 2006 to 2008 , see Perry Decl. q 2, and Lucy Messerschmidt was employed as a hostess from 2006 through April 2008. (Messerschmidt Decl. 氏 4.) Each was non-exempt - and accordingly a member of the proposed.

Moreover, Plaintiffs suffered the same injuries in the same way as other Class Members. Each worked shifts over five hours without being provided with uninterrupted 30 minute meal periods, and Mr. Perry worked shifts greater than 10 hours without being provided with uninterrupted

[^4]second meal periods. (Perry Decl. ffl 3-8; Messerschmidt Decl. बf 7-8.) Neither was provided with paid 10-minute rest breaks per four hours of work or major fraction thereof. (Ibid.) Rather, Plaintiffs were subjected to the same unlawful policies and practices as every other Class Member. ${ }^{13}$

## D. Adequacy

The adequacy requirement is met by fulfilling two conditions: (1) the named plaintiffs must be represented by counsel experienced and qualified to conduct the pending litigation; and (2) the named plaintiff's interests cannot be antagonistic to those of the class. McGhee v. Bank of America (1976) 60 Cal.App.3d 442, 450-51. Each exists here.

First, Plaintiffs' lawyers specialize in employment law. They have years of experience prosecuting and defending class actions, particularly over meal and rest periods. They have been certified as class counsel by courts in dozens of cases and have achieved significant results, including multiple seven-figure settlements. They have meaningful trial and appellate experience. They have earned professional commendations such as AV ratings and being designated Southern California Super Lawyers. (Orshansky Decl., passim; Cowan Decl. IT 16-24.)

Second, Plaintiffs' interests are co-extensive with those of the class. Plaintiffs are Class Members, have suffered the same injuries, alleged the same claims, and advanced the same theories applicable to other Class Members. Their interests are aligned with the class. ${ }^{14}$

Moreover, since the start of this litigation in December 2004 Plaintiffs have proved their commitment to this case and zealously advocated on behalf of the class. ${ }^{15}$
${ }^{13} I . E_{0}$, the same corporate culture that frowned upon and discouraged meal and rest breaks, the same employee handbooks, the same lack of instruction and training about breaks, the same misinformation about California law, the same retaliation experienced by other Class Members for exercising their rights, the same prohibitions and restrictions affecting meal and rest periods, and the same timekeeping practices. (Perry Decl., passim; Messerschmidt Decl., passim.; Sperandeo Depo. Tr, 135:17-24 (testifying that no Class Members clocked out for meal periods before April 2009);)
${ }^{14}$ Indeed, Plaintiffs are ideally suited to serve as class representatives because both were exposed to Trump's unlawful policies and practices over several years and both had the courage, while still employed, to assert their rights (and then were fired in retaliation). (Perry Decl. ๆf 13, 15, 16; Messerschmidt Decl. ๆ 8 ; Plumley Decl. ๆ 7.) Indeed even Trump's current Director of Outside Services, Joel Kim, referred to Mr. Perry as an exceptional employee, good for employee morale, and a "pillar" of the club. (Kim Depo., Ex. 67.)
${ }^{15}$ Both Plaintiffs have helped counsel respond to multiple rounds of discovery. Both have submitted to multi-day depositions, and they have also attended all or most of the other depositions taken in this case so that they could help their lawyers cross-examine witnesses. Plus, Plaintiffs they have been a continual source of information about the policies and practices of Trump, the organization of the club, the identity of witnesses, the existence of documents, industry generally, and so forth. (Perry Decl. If 18-21; Messerschmidt Decl. 9T13-16.)

Plaintiffs' Joint Notice of Motion and Motion for Class Certification; Memo of Ps \& As; Supporting Declarations

## E. Superiority

When considering class certification, the Court should determine if a class action would be superior to other means for a fair and efficient adjudication of the claims alleged. If a plaintiff's claims can be adjudicated in a single proceeding (thereby saving time, reducing waste, and limiting duplication of effort), class certification is superior to individual litigation. Vasquez v. Superior Court (1981) 4 Cal.3d 800, 816.

Here, all of the factors militate in favor of class treatment because "there exists the possibility of repetitious litigation." Richmond, supra, 29 Cal .3 d at 469.

An additional consideration is damages. Where, as here, individual damages tend to be (relatively) small, the class action device is the most feasible method of recovery for many class members. See, e.g., Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Superior Court (2003) 29 Cal.4th 1096, 1131; Gentry v. Super. Ct. (2008) 42 Cal.4th 443,458 (observing that even individual claims as high as $\$ 37,000$ may be better suited for class treatment). Class actions are most advantageous "when numerous parties suffer injury of insufficient size to warrant individual action and when denial of class relief would result in unjust advantage to the wrongdoer." Under such circumstances the class action is often the only effective way to halt and redress such exploitation. Linder, supra, 23 Cal.4th at 446.

Here, Class Members' individual meal and rest breaks claims are too modest to justify the costs of individual adjudication. This economic reality results in a windfall for Trump.

Plus, certification is appropriate when, as here, substantial duplication of legal and judicial resources will result if the class is not certified. The class-action mechanism gives the Court the ability to decide a number of common issues for hundreds of plaintiffs "in one stroke." Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes (2011) -U.S. -, 131 S.Ct. 2541, 2551.

## F. Commonality

The Court should grant class certification when questions common to all class members predominate over questions affecting only individual members. ${ }^{16}$ Importantly, "Individual issues do not render class certification inappropriate so long as such issues may effectively be managed."

[^5] determination" of whether a class action is appropriate. City of San Jose v. Superior Court (1974) 12 Cal. $3 \mathrm{~d} 447,460$.

[^6]Richmond, supra, 29 Cal.3d at 473.
Central to this inquiry is the defendant's conduct towards the class members. Vasquez, supra, 4 Ca 1.3 d at 810-811. In the employment context, where an employer's conduct is uniformly directed at a class of persons through centralized administration, the defendant's professed policies create a classwide impact satisfying the commonality requirement for class certification. Stephens, supra, 193 Cal.App.3d at 421. The critical inquiry is "whether the theory of recovery advanced by the proponents of certification is, as an analytical matter, likely to prove amenable to class treatment." Brinker Restaurant Corp. v. Super. Ct., supra, at 1021. Thus a common question predominates when "determination of its truth or falsity will resolve an issue that is central to the validity of each one of the claims in one stroke." Wal-Mart, supra, 2551. See also City of San Diego v. Haas (Jun. 29, 2012) 2012 WL 2476876 , *18.

Here, Trump has admitted that it had centralized employment policies applicable to all Class Members, specifically with regard to meal periods and rest breaks ${ }^{17}$, and that they never changed during the class period. ${ }^{18}$ These centralized, constant policies have spawned theories of recovery that, if litigated as a class, would determine the legality of Trump's wage-and-hour practices as to all Class Members "in one stroke."

## 1. Common Questions re Not Providing Meal Breaks

California employees are entitled to meal periods of at least 30 minutes before exceeding five hours of work period and, if they work more than 10 hours in a day, to a second meal period of at least 30 minutes. Labor Code § 512; ${ }^{19}$ Industrial Welfare Commission (IWC) Wage 5-2001(11); Brinker, supra, 53 Cal.4th at 1041. During meal periods employees must be relieved of all work duties: "Unless the employee is relieved of all duty during a 30 minute meal period, the meal period shall be considered an 'on duty' meal period and counted as time worked. IWC Wage 10-

[^7]2001(11)(A). For each missed/deficient meal period, an employer must pay an additional hour of compensation (known as premium pay). Labor Code § 226.7. ${ }^{20}$

To comply with these laws, employers must keep accurate records showing when the employee begins and ends each work period. IWC Wage Order 10-2001(7)(A). Failure to do so creates a (rebuttable) presumption that meal periods were not provided. See Brinker, supra, 1053 n. 1 (Werdegar, J., concurring).

Recently, the Supreme Court clarified the standard for determining if an employer complied with the law. In Brinker, the court repeatedly acknowledged that California law "guarantees" employees the right to a meal period. See, e.g., id. at 1046 ("[A] first meal period is guaranteed after five hours of work, while a second meal period is required only after 10 hours of work.") (Emphasis added). This guarantee puts an affirmative obligation on employers to "relieve" employees of their job duties so that they can take a meal period. "Employers must afford their employees uninterrupted half-hour periods in which they are relieved of any duty or employer control and are free to come and go as they please." Id. at 1037 (emphasis added).

In framing a test to determine if meal periods are "provided" under Labor Code $\S \S 226.7$ and 512 , the Brinker court rejected a standard of just making meal breaks "available" in favor of the "relieve" standard: an employer does not provide a meal period unless it actually "relieves its employees of all duty" and "relinquishes control over their activities." Id. at 1040.

Equally important is the employee's absolute freedom to leave the premises during his or her meal period-otherwise the employee is not "at liberty to use the meal period for whatever purpose he or she desires[]" but instead remains within the employer's control. Id. at 1017, 1036. And it is this fundamental principle that California break law seeks to protect: the employees' right to be free from the employer's control. ${ }^{21}$

[^8]In sum, Brinker laid out the following test: "[T] he wage order's meal period requirement is satisfied if the employee (1) has at least 30 minutes uninterrupted, (2) is free to leave the premises, and (3) is relieved of all duty for the entire period." Brinker, supra, at 1040 (emphasis added).

Mindful of the subtle influences an employer may have on its employees, Brinker also barred employers from "exerting coercion against the taking of, creating incentives to forego, or otherwise encouraging the skipping of legally protected breaks." Ibid. For example, an employer may not pressure employees from taking meal periods through ridicule or reprimand. Ibid.

For the following seven reasons (at least), common questions of law and fact predominate about whether Trump provided Class Members with meal periods as required under law.

## i. Common Questions re: Trump's Written Employment Policies.

First, the legality of Trump's written meal-period policy is a common question. The handbook states that meal breaks may occur "within the first five and one-half hours of their work schedule., ${ }^{, 22}$ Trump admits it gave this manual (or versions of it) to all Class Members and that it applies to all of them. (Conforti Depo. Tr. 96:12-18, 106:5-24; 126:5-127:2) In fact, Trump admits that this written policy was the principal document through which the company communicated its meal-break policy to Class Members. In the words of former General Manager Dave Conforti, it was "gospel." (Conforti Depo. Tr. 132:7-14.) ${ }^{23}$

But this written policy is unlawful on its face because it states that a meal break may be taken at any time before the employee works five and a half hours. Cf. Brinker, supra, at1041 (meal breaks must be given before exceeding 5 hours). And this is how Trump's managers-including head of HR for most of the class period-understood it. (Sperandeo Tr. 152:14-19.) In other words, Trump's policy authorizes late meal periods. Although Trump may disagree with the legality of this policy, whether the policy is unlawful on its face gives rise to a common legal question. See Linder ve Thrifty Oil Co. (2000) 23 Cal.4th 429, 439-440, 97 (certification question is "essentially a procedural one that does not ask whether an action is legally or factually meritorious.")

[^9]
## ii. Common Questions Arise re: the Prohibition of Offsite Meal Periods.

Second, common questions arise because Trump has a policy prohibiting Class Members from leaving the premises of Trump National Golf Club without telling management. (Conforti Depo. Tr., Ex. 30; Sperandeo Tr. 141:21-142:2, 188:3-21.) Class Members were never told that they had an absolute right to leave the premises during their meal breaks. (van der Goes Depo. Tr. 181:611; Thatcher Decl. ๆ 3.) On the contrary, Trump required them to eat only in a "designated area" at the club. ${ }^{24}$ And they could not leave the premises without prior approval or notification. ${ }^{25}$

Trump strictly enforced this policy. For example, both Mr. van der Goes (who, recall, was Trump's GM from June 2003 to January 2008) and Mr. Conforti (Trump's GM January 2008 to February 2011) reprimanded and suspended named plaintiff Dave Perry for leaving the property during a "food run," i.e., a make-shift meal period whereby one employee would pick up food from a local restaurant and bring it back for everyone else, who would consume it while on duty or bolt it when they were out of the view of customers. (Ex. 32; van der Goes Depo. Tr. 80:14-81:4, 222:1-16; Perry Decl. [T 12-14.) And eventually, Mr. Conforti fired Mr. Perry for the same reason. (Conforti Depo. Tr., Ex. 33 \& 34.) Trump also fired other Class Members "in [the] quest to uphold and enforce company policy., ${ }^{26}$ (See Kim Depo. Tr., Ex. 67 (e-mail from Kim to Conforti advising
${ }^{24}$ Conforti Depo. Tr. 126:5-128:1 and Ex. 26, "Trump National Golf Club, Food and Beverage Orientation Manual, 2008, p. 9.
${ }^{25}$ Trump's then-GM David Conforti testified as follows:
Q. And to your knowledge, were employees allowed to leave the property in order to take their breaks? A. Yes.
Q. Were they required to get authorization from their supervisor prior to leaving the property? A. It was preferred.
Q. How was that preference communicated to employees?
A. Just verbally, just telling them.
Q. Would employees have to request authorization prior to actually taking a meal break?
A. No.
Q. So employees could take a meal break whenever they wanted to?
A. Yes.
Q. So the authorization was only required to leave the premises?
A. Yes.
(Conforti Depo. Tr. 206:22-207:14.) (Emphasis added.) See also Conforti Depo. Tr. 206:22-207:5; Kim Depo. Tr. 59:1960:6; van der Goes Tr. 80:15-81:4; Plumley Decl. § 3; Reyes Decl. § 3; McDowell Decl. ๆ 4; Alberto-Alvarez Decl. $\uparrow$ 3.)
${ }^{26}$ Trump also continued this requirement even after the instant lawsuits were filed. In an April 16, 2009 memo to Class Members, (then) GM David Conforti stated that Class Members were required to advise their supervisors if they were going to leave the premises during their meal periods. The memo states, "You may leave the club if you wish [during
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against terminating Perry.)
Even if (as Trump will likely contend) Class Members only needed to communicate their intent to leave the premises, this requirement nevertheless subjects the employee to the control of the employer and prevents him or her from "attending to any personal business he or she may choose during the unpaid meal period." Brinker, 1036 (emphasis in original). ${ }^{27}$ Whether this policy violates California law raises a common question perfectly suited for class adjudication.

## iii. Common Questions re: Whether Trump "Relieved" Class Members to Take Meal Breaks

Third, common questions arise regarding whether Trump actually relieved Class Members of all work duties so they could take their meal breaks where Trump put the burden on Class Members for taking the breaks but kept them in the dark about the company's policies and the legal requirements. Trump - which thought a 30 minute meal break was not mandatory because it kept its workers on the clock and provided free food - had no system for actually relieving employees. Trump did not schedule meal breaks or stagger or overlap shifts, even though the manual states that some meal periods would be scheduled. ${ }^{28}$ Nor did Trump's managers tell Class Members during their shifts to take their meal breaks or inquire whether Class Members had taken their meal periods. ${ }^{29}$
your meal periods], but we expect you to let your supervisor know if you are going to be leaving the property." (Id. See also Alberto-Alvarez Decl. ๆ 4.) The italicized statement implies that Trump always had a policy of requiring Class Members to obtain authorization or advise their supervisors before leaving the to leave the property, then they were never provided a compliant meal period in which they were "free to leave the premises" of the employer and "come and go as they please." Brinker, 1036-1037. Therefore, even if Class Members sometimes or even regularly took 30 minutes meal periods (as Trump's self-serving declarations from current Class Members will doubtless state), these were still noncompliant meal periods because Class Members were not free to come and go as they pleased
${ }^{27}$ Consider the employee who does not initially intend to leave the premises but then decides, while he is taking his meal period, that he would like to do so or he is called away to attend to a personal matter. According to Trump's policy, this employee must interrupt his meal period to hunt down his supervisor to give notice that he is leaving the premises.
${ }^{28}$ Conforti Depo. Tr. 206:13-18; Kim Depo. Tr. 19:14-20:12; van der Goes Depo. Tr. 221:15-20; Amini Depo. Tr. 125:16-21, 126:14-127:6 (testifying that even the schedules that were created after lawsuits were filed included only recommended, not mandatory, meal times); Liu Decl. § 5; Bolsajian Decl. ๆ 7; Hooker Decl. ๆ 6 ; Kwiatkowski ๆ 5; Perry


Regarding the statements in the handbooks that some meal periods would be scheduled, see Employee Manual (2003 ed.), p. 8 (DLM00054) (stating that "[s]ome employees may be scheduled for a normal one (1) hour lunch period"), Ex. 1 to Cowan Decl.; Employee Manual (2006 ed.), p. 13 (DLM00017) (same), Ex. 2 to Cowan Decl.
${ }^{29}$ Liu Decl. ๆ 6; Kim Depo. Tr. 47:5-11; 50:4-23; Hooker Decl. ๆ 11; Perry Decl. ๆ 5; Plumley Decl. ๆ 5; Trump’s Second Suppl. Rsp. to Perry's Special Interrogatories, Set Two, p. 10 (acknowledging that "class members were responsible for
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Laisser-Faire Approach. Allegedly, Class Members could take meal breaks "whenever they wanted." (Conforti Depo. Tr. 207:9-11.) Trump managers took this hands-off, laissez-faire approach even when they knew Class Members were not taking their meal periods but instead were eating on duty. For example, as previously noted, Class Members would go on food runs so that Class Members could eat as they worked. Trump managers knew about this practice but did not take corrective action. ${ }^{30}$ So the onus was on Class Members (though no one ever told them this) to inform management if they were not getting their meal periods-after all, "it was their rights that were being ... violated."31 Cf. Cicairos v. Summit Logistics, Inc. (2005) 133 Cal.App.4th 949, 962 (holding that "defendant's obligation to provide the plaintiffs with an adequate meal period is not satisfied by assuming that the meal periods were taken.") "[T]he employee should know to take their [sic] breaks," said Ms. Amini. (Amini Depo. Tr. 138:16-139:3.)

Lack of Communication and Clarification. Concurrently, Trump failed to communicate its meal-break policies or the legal requirements to Class Members. All Trump did was distribute the employee manual, which as noted was unlawful. ${ }^{32}$ Cf. Cicairos, supra, at 962 (finding meal-period violation where employer simply relied on provisions of collective-bargaining agreement). At best, the manual's meal-period section is ambiguous because it does not state when during the five-and-ahalf period meal breaks must begin. Trump did nothing to clarify this, or have any system to ensure Class Members took their meal periods before exceeding five hours of work. ${ }^{33}$
complying with Defendant's meal break policy regardless of whether they were specifically instructed to do so during a shift).
${ }^{30}$ Director of Outside Services Joel Kim, who manages the valets and other Class Members in the Outside Services Department, even acknowledged that he saw Class Members eating at the valet station more times than he could count. (Kim Depo. Tr. 54:10-55:2.) "The [meal] breaks were always available," Mr. Kim retorted, "but [Class Members] would take it upon themselves . . . to take a [meal] break." (Kim Depo. Tr. 50:8-14.)
${ }^{31}$ van der Goes Depo. Tr. 167:23-168:7, 168:16-23; Amini Depo. Tr. 132:21-133:18, 137:13-25 ("They know that and they are the ones that if they need coverage, they have to mention that to the supervisor as well."), id. at 148:19-149:1 (testifying that Class Members are responsible for manning their posts and finding a manager to relieve them to take a meal or rest break.)
${ }^{32}$ Kim Depo. Tr. 192:9-13; van der Goes Depo. Tr, 121:9-122:6, 147:14-148:25; Amini Depo Tr. 138:16-139:3; Thatcher Decl. 1 3; Sperandeo Tr. 164:22-165:2.
${ }^{33}$ See, e.g., van der Goes Depo. Tr. 88:21-89:15, 170:21-171:3; Sperandeo Tr. 159:11-15; Kwiatkowski Decl. § 5 ; McDowell Decl. $\ddagger$ 3; McDowell Decl. $\|$ 3; Alberto-Alvarez Decl. $\uparrow 3$ ) "At no time ... did any manager ever reference the company's employee handbook," said Class Member Maral Bolsajian, who worked at Trump from 2007 to May 2010.

This failure to explain when Class Members must take their meal periods virtually guaranteed noncompliance because, Trump being a customer-based business with the added self-induced pressure to live up to the "brand" that Donald Trump seeks to maintain, the press of work (and pressure from managers) invariably kept Class Members from taking time meal periods.

Trump did not, before the instant lawsuits were filed, explain this written policy to Class Members, distribute any memoranda or documentation explaining the company's meal-period policy or even tell Class Members about their right to take a meal period. ${ }^{34}$ Indeed, even after the lawsuits were filed all Trump did was simply copy the text of the handbook verbatim into a memo and gave it to Class Members. (Conforti Depo. Tr., Ex. 29.) Later in 2009, when management tried to give further explanation, it omitted key information about meal-period time. ${ }^{35}$

Lack of Training or Instruction. Nor did Trump provide Class Members with training or instruction about meal periods or company policy to Class Members (e.g., how to handle work flow and ensure coverage through staggering shifts). ${ }^{36}$ In fact, managers never brought up the subject of meal breaks. (See, e.g., Liu Decl. ๆ 5; McDowell Decl. ๆ| 3; Hooker Decl. ๆ11; Perry Decl. \|T 5-6)

Trump also took no action to ensure that the policies stated in its manual - Trump's wage-and-hour "gospel"-were enforced. (van der Goes Depo. Tr. 122:7-16; Sperandeo Tr. 159:11-15.) Because it provided free (but unpalatable)food and Class Members remained clocked in while they ate, Trump did not guarantee them a full 30 minutes, and in fact the expectation was that they would return to work as soon as possible. Even Trump's policy prohibiting Class Members to leave the

[^10]premises without authorization was not communicated to Class Members (aside from Conforti's April 16, 2009 memo. (Kim Depo. Tr. 64:9-18.) These omissions created uncertainty, and employees left the premises during their meal periods at their peril, as Mr. Perry's retaliatory termination proved.

Ignorance of Management. This lack of communication or instruction is not altogether surprising because Trump's managers - from the department heads to the GM to the head of the HR Department-did not know the law about meal or rest breaks. ${ }^{37}$ Management, including GMs, deferred compliance issues to "human resources," see, e.g., van der Goes Tr. 70:18-71:2 ("I would have to defer that to Tom Sperandeo"). But HR director Tom Sperandeo himself did not know the law, did not find HR issues particularly interesting, and did not consider enforcing compliance with California break-time laws to be among his duties.

Authorization Requirement. Furthermore, although Class Members were allegedly responsible for taking their meal periods "whenever they wanted to[,]" Trump made them get permission whenever they wanted to take a ( 30 minute) meal break. ${ }^{38}$ Authorization was not always forthcoming. Employees were often denied even short breaks because no one could cover them. (See, e.g., Bolsajian Decl. वf 7; Perry Decl. ๆ 3, 5.) So Class Members were responsible for not only taking their own meal periods but also finding their own coverage-or else had to miss their breaks.

Plaintiffs contend that making Class Members responsible for taking their meal periods violated California law, which per Brinker requires affirmatively relieving employees of their job duties to take a meal break and attend to whatever personal business they wish, especially where the employer keeps its employees in the dark about the company's meal-break policy or legal

[^11]requirements. Under Brinker, during every qualifying shift an employer must affirmatively relieve its employees by instructing or informing them that they may stop working to take a meal break. At minimum, Brinker requires the employer to clearly tell employees of the meal-break policy and/or explain the employees' legal rights if the employer makes them responsible for taking their meal periods; otherwise employees would have no way of knowing under what circumstances they may stop working to eat, run errands, etc. Keeping employees in the dark fails to meet this duty.

## iv. Common Questions re: Discouraging Meal Periods.

Fourth, common questions arise regarding whether Trump - as a result of its ignorance of the law and its corporate culture to live up to Donald Trump's expectations -- pressured or intimidated Class Members from taking their meal periods. See id. at 1036. Plaintiffs respectfully submit that the evidence supporting this contention (e.g., every submitted declaration from former managers and current and former employees) overwhelming supports such a finding. ${ }^{39}$ Indeed, one Trump memo (Exhibit 6) given to employees stated in part the following: "Please know that [g]uests come first ALWAYS NOT YOUR MEALS!"

## v. Common Questions re: Short, Interrupted Meal Periods.

Fifth, common questions arise regarding whether Class Members were afforded only short or abbreviated meal periods. As noted, Class Members regularly rushed through their meal breaks pursuant to routine instructions from managers to work as soon as possible - or immediately. Managers also sometimes interrupted employees while they were still eating. ${ }^{40}$
${ }^{39}$ Trump's corporate culture discouraged meal periods as being unproductive and inefficient. For example, managers who observed Class Members eating or taking a break would routinely tell them to get back to work. (Kwiatkowski Decl, 17.) The golf club is an expensive, "high end" establishment, see Sperandeo Tr. 48:4-8, and because it is associated with the name Donald Trump, there was an expectation that it would provide a superlative, world-class experience for guests that would live up to "the Trump standard" (van der Goes Depo. Tr. 182:12-184:1 \& Ex. 6, p. 3 (stating that if Class Members do not live up "Trump standard" their shifts would be cut)), and "provide the service that is synonymous with Trump." (van der Goes Tr. 52:22-53:4. See also Kim Depo. Tr. 146:13-147:4; Sperandeo Tr. 122:8-123:15, 123:20125:2; Plumley Decl. $\mathbb{1} 8$; Reyes $\mathbb{\|} 5$; Thatcher $\uparrow$ 8.) "There was an atmosphere at Trump, coming from managers, that if you were to take a break when the restaurant was busy, you were not a good worker," (Liu Decl. ๆ 4.) Long-time manager Sue Kwiatkowski confirmed the "attitude" at Trump that "to eat or smoke a cigarette were privileged.
(Kwiatkowski Decl. 15 .) Employees who complained or tried to exercise their rights were often punished. Perry Decl.

${ }^{40}$ See, e.g., Liu Decl. ๆा 7-10; Bolsajian Decl. ITI 5, 6, 10; Hooker Decl. ๆ 10 ; Perry Decl. ๆा 6; Plumley Decl. qा 6, 9; Reyes Decl. ๆ\% 4, 7; Thatcher Decl. ๆT 4, 7, 9; McDowell Decl. ๆ6.)
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To minimize break time, managers often arranged or allowed food runs, as described above. ${ }^{41}$
Moreover, Trump did not instruct Class Members to clock out when they ate or took a break, so Class Members were paid for that time, see Conforti Depo. Tr. 107:18-15; Kim Depo. Tr. 56:2457:11; Thatcher Decl. 910; McDowell 96 , which Trump therefore considered to be time worked subject to its control. For example, one memorandum, titled "On Duty Employee Meal Policy," unequivocally stated, "While in uniform, even during lunch or rest break[s], employees have to stay in their job function roles[.]" (See van der Goes Depo, p. 173 \& Ex. 6, p. 1; emphasis added.) As a result Trump believed that it could limit the amount of time employees spent eating or breaking to less than a full, uninterrupted 30 minutes; and there was pressure on Class Members, because they were on the clock, to return to work as soon as they had finished eating or, as most Class Members testified, bolting their food. ${ }^{42}$ As Mr. Kim unambiguously testified:
Q. Did you ever come to believe that because [Trump] was providing not only free food but also pay for being provided to eat, that it, therefore, didn't necessarily have to let its employees have 30 minutes to eat . . . when they were taking a meal break?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you form that opinion?
A. After my conversation with Thomas Sperandeo.
(Id. at 152:20-153:5.) Recall that Mr. Sperandeo was the head of HR for most of the class period and the wellspring of information about Trump's wage-and-hour obligations. Recall also that Mr. Sperandeo's testimony establishes ignorance of basic employment laws at the heart of the instant lawsuits. Mr. Kim's testimony shows that Mr. Sperandeo communicated his fundamental misunderstanding of California law to the department heads, who did not "guarantee" Class Members meal periods of the minimum requisite amount of time. See Brinker, 1046. The testimony of Class

[^12]Members corroborates this fact. Former GM van der Goes also confirmed that nothing was done to ensure that Class Members got 30 minutes when they ate. (van der Goes Depo. Tr. 168:24-169:7.)

## vi. Common Questions re: Time Records of Meal Periods.

Sixth, common questions arise because Class Members' time records are uniform in not reflecting any meal periods from at least December 2, 2004 to April 2009.43 Indeed, Trump admits that no such records were kept, this was true for all employees, and it never audited whether employees were getting the breaks to which the law entitled them or if the time records were accurate. ${ }^{44}$ Because Trump has also admits that it never paid premium wages for missed meal breaks, damages and liability can easily be determined classwide by using this objective source.

## vii. Common Questions re: Failure to Pay the Premium Wage.

Seventh, common questions arise because Trump never complied with Labor Code § 226.7, which requires paying a premium wage of one hour of pay (at the regular rate) for each meal period that was not provided to an employee. Trump had no procedure for determining whether Class Members were entitled to premium wages, nor did Trump have a procedure for Class Members to report their inability to take a meal period or rest break so that they could obtain this premium wage. (Amini Depo. Tr. 142:11-143:24 (no written procedure for informing manager that did not get RB); Sperandeo Tr. 138:2-19.) Again, Trump management-including the General Manager-were ignorant of the obligation to pay a premium wage and never paid such wages. ${ }^{45}$

## 2. Common Questions of Law and Fact Predominate regarding Trump's Failure to Provide Rest Breaks.

Labor Code § 226.7 provides, in pertinent part, "No employer shall require any employee to work during any $\ldots$ rest period ... If an employer fails to provide an employee a ... rest period ... the employer shall pay the employee one additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of

[^13]compensation for each work day that the ... rest period is not provided." Section 12 of Wage Order 10-2001, the applicable wage order here, specifies that "every employer shall authorize and permit all employees to take rest periods, which insofar as practicable shall be in the middle of each work period. The authorized rest period time shall be based on the total hours worked daily at the rate of ten (10) minutes net rest time per four (4) hours or major fraction thereof." Please note that the wage order calls for 10 minutes of "net" rest time, meaning that the 10 minutes must be consecutive. See Bufil v. Dollar Financial Group, Inc, (2008) 162 Cal.App.4th 1193, 1199. Therefore bathroom breaks under 10 minutes could not be aggregated or counted against rest time.

The Brinker court also recently clarified the rest-break requirement. It held that the total amount of rest time per shift is determined by dividing the hours worked by four hours, rounding down if the fractional part is half or less than half and up if it is more (a "major fraction"), then multiplying the quotient by 10 minutes. Brinker, at 1029. The Brinker court explained the effect of this provision, "Employees are entitled to 10 minutes rest for shifts from three and one-half to six hours in length, 20 minutes for shifts of more than six hours up to 10 hours, 30 minutes for shifts of more than 10 hours up to 14 hours, and so on." Ibid.

Significantly, the Brinker court held that the trial court properly certified a rest-break class where the defendant's policy provided that employees were entitled to rest breaks only for each full four hours worked. Id. at 1033. If the employer's policy does not authorize rest breaks in compliance with these requirements-i.e., per four hours or major fraction thereof-then no individual issues arise regarding whether an employee might have waived his or her rest breaks: "No issue of waiver ever arises for a rest break that was required by law but never authorized; if a break is not authorized, an employee has no opportunity to decline to take it." Ibid.

An employer must notify its employees that employees are authorized and permitted to take a 10 consecutive minute off-duty rest break every four hours or major fraction thereof, and it must instruct supervisory personnel to take steps to provide employees with the opportunity to take the required rest breaks. See Bufil v, Dollar Financial Group, Inc. (2008) 162 Cal.App.4th 1193, 1199.

## i. Common Questions Arise re: Trump's Written Rest-Break Policy.

Common questions predominate on Plaintiffs' rest-break claim for much the same reasons as
for their meal-period claim. As with meal breaks, a common question arises whether Trump's restbreak policy is unlawful on its face. The employee manual states that rest breaks may only occur for each "four (4) hour segment of each workday." ${ }^{46}$ Again, this policy, being part of the employee manual, applied to all Class Members, regardless of department, and it was the principal source through which management understood and communicated the company's rest-break requirements. Cf. Brinker, at 1033 (holding certification appropriate where rest-break policy applied to everybody). Furthermore, Trump's rest-break policy is nearly identical to the rest-break policy certified in Brinker because, like the Brinker policy, it does not authorize Class Members to take meal periods for periods of time that are less than four hours, even though employees are entitled to rest breaks per four hours "or major fraction thereof." IWC Wage Order 10-2001(12). Indeed, management's understanding of the policy is consistent with this incorrect interpretation of the law. (Sperandeo 189:3-7, 279:12-13; Kim Depo. Tr. 66:19-22.) Thus Trump's policy does not authorize rest breaks during shifts less than four hours, nor does it authorize second rest breaks during shifts lasting more than six hours but less than eight, and so forth. Thus Class Members working shifts of 6 hours and one minute would have no idea that they are entitled to 20 minutes of rest. This is precisely the inaccurate uniform policy the California Supreme Court addressed as certifiable. Brinker, supra, at 1033. Because the legality of this policy can be adjudicated on behalf of all employees, common questions predominate.

## ii. Common Questions re: Whether Rest Breaks Were Authorized or Permitted.

Moreover, just as with meal periods, common questions arise about if Trump clearly told Class Members about the permission needed to take rest breaks. Cf. Bufil, supra, 1199 ("The onus is on the employer to clearly communicate the authorization and permission to its employees.")

Here, the analysis is similar to that for the meal period issue. ${ }^{47}$

[^14]Indeed, even after Trump began requiring Class Members to obtain authorization before taking rest breaks, Mr. Kim could not recall a single occasion when a Class Member had asked for a rest break:
Q. Since it's been the policy to require authorization for rest breaks, have you been asked by an employee to take a rest break? ...
A. Rest break? I can't recall at this time.
(Kim Depo, Tr. 72:23-73:4.)
Even after the instant lawsuits were filed, Trump failed to explain the significance of the "major faction" language, and Trump also stated (incorrectly) that Class Members could waive their rest breaks, see Conforti Depo. Ex. 30, p. 2. Brinker, supra, at 1033. As with meal breaks, Trump left everyone in the dark. Cf. Bufil, supra, at 1193.

Again, as with meal periods, Trump was ignorant of California law and received inadequate training, and the topic did not even come up amongst themselves. ${ }^{48}$ Trump took no proactive steps to ensure that managers knew about California rest-break law. (Conforti Depo. Tr. 131:21-132:1; Kim Depo. Tr, 66:19-22.) And Trump's general managers themselves did not make any effort to enforce the provisions of the employee manual, the purported fountainhead of all Trump's wage-and-hour policies. (van der Goes Depo. Tr. 122:7-16.) Consequently, managers could not and did not explain the company's policy or the law to Class Members.

Depo. Tr. 192:9-13; van der Goes Tr. 41:10-18 - which again states the law incorrectly-and left it up to Class Members themselves to make sure they took their rest breaks. (Amini Depo. Tr. 133:19-134:2, 132:21-133:18, 134:16-21,137:13$25,140: 19-141: 20,148: 19-149: 1$; Sperandeo $\operatorname{Tr}$. 191:23-192:18.) "They would come and complain to us if they were not treated right," said Mr. Sperandeo. (Sperandeo Tr. 192:7-8.) But Trump managers never even referenced the handbook in conversations with Class Members, see Bolsajian Decl. $\| 11$, nor did managers inform them that they were authorized or permitted to take rest breaks, see Liu Decl. ๆ 7; Reyes Decl. ๆ 5; McDowell Decl. ๆ 3, much less ask whether they had taken their rest breaks during their shifts. (Hooker Decl. ๆ10; Perry Decl. ๆ 5; Alberto-Alvarez Decl. ๆ 5.) "They were always available," Mr. Kim prevaricated in reference rest breaks, just as he had done in reference to meal periods. (Kim Depo. Tr. 68:19-69:10.) "It was just common knowledge that people would just take breaks whenever they needed to," testified Ms. Amini, Trump's PMQ. (Amini Depo Tr. 109:3-15.)
${ }^{48}$ See, e.g., Conforti Depo. Tr. 107:18-15; van der Goes Tr. 34:5-8, 41:21-42:1; Amini Depo. Tr. 106:21-107:8; Kim Depo. Tr. 163:21-164:6; Hooker Decl. ๆ 13; Kwiatkowski Decl. ITI 5,8 (testifying to lack of training even after she became senior restaurant manager); Perry Decl. 113; Sperandeo 189:3-7, 279:12-13 (confessing ignorance regarding when rest break must be provided.))

## iii. Common Questions Arise re: Short, Interrupted Rest Breaks.

Similarly, common question exist if rest breaks were short or interrupted; in other words, whether Class Members were authorized or permitted 10 consecutive minutes of rest time. Aside from its "dead" handbook, Trump never communicated or explained to Class Members that they were entitled to 10 consecutive minutes of rest time. ${ }^{49}$

And more common questions arise from the dozens of witnesses - former managers, current employees, and former employees - who have testified that rest breaks were rushed - even for bathroom breaks. If managers saw employees on a break, they invariably ordered them back to work without asking how long they had been resting. ${ }^{50}$

## iv. Common Questions re: Discouraging Rest Breaks

Plus, common questions arise from whether through the foregoing actions and courses of conduct (e.g,. denying breaks, instructing that they be kept "short", and ordering employees to "hurry back"), Trump pressured or intimidated Class Members to discourage them from taking rest breaks. Just as with meal breaks, an employer cannot discourage an employee from taking a rest period. Brinker, supra, 1040. Some of the strongest testimony supporting Plaintiff's contention is Joel Kim's contention at deposition that he could not recall a single instance in which an employee asked to take a rest break. (Kim Depo. Tr. 72:23-73:4.) Really? Never?

1. Common Questions re: Failure of to Pay the Premium Wage

Finally, common questions arise over Trump's failure to pay Class Members Labor Code $\S$ 226.7 premium wages for each day requisite breaks were not provide. (See § III(F)(1)(vii), supra.)

## IV. DERIVATIVE CLAIMS FOR PAYSTUB AND WAITING-TIME PENALTIES

Plaintiffs' claims for failure to provide meal and rest periods also give rise to claims for inaccurate wage statements per Labor Code § 226(e) and Labor Code § 203 waiting-time penalties.

Labor Code § 226(a)(9) requires an employer to furnish each of its employees with an

[^15]accurate, itemized statement (i.e., a paystub) in writing showing each employee's gross and net earnings for that particular pay period. If Trump failed to give compliant meal and rest periods and provide premium pay, its wage statements were inaccurate because they did not itemize premium wages owed to Class Members - thereby entitling the Class to Labor Code § 226(e) penalties.

Furthermore, Labor Code $\S 203$ states that if an employer fails to provide all owed wages when employment ends (immediately when it fires someone or within 72 hours of resignation), then the employee's wages must continue as a penalty for up to thirty (30) days. Here, waiting-time penalties are also triggered by Trump's alleged failure to provide meal and rest periods. The premium wage owed to employees under Labor Code § 226.7 for an employer's failure to provide meal or rest periods is a wage and not a penalty, See Murphy v. Kenneth Cole Productions, Inc. (2007) 40 Cal.4th 1094, 1114. Consequently, if Trump is found liable for pay Class Members the premium wage for failure to provide meal and rest periods, then it will also, ipso facto, have failed to pay all wages due and owing to Class Members whose employment with Trump has ended.

## V. CONCLUSION

It is a shame that Donald Trump forgot to follow his own philosophy and hire "best of breed" managers to run his golf club. Had he done so - and not skimped on using California lawyers - this lawsuit might not have arisen.

For the reasons set forth herein, Plaintiffs Lucy Messerschmidt and Dave Perry respectfully submit that the Court should grant their motion for class certification.

Respectfully submitted,
DATED: July 20, 2012 THE COWAN LAW FIRM


Attorneys for Plaintiff Lucy Messerschmidt and the Proposed
Class Class

DATED: July 20, 2012 ORSHANSKY \& YEREMIAN LLP

## By Anthy, Oretands / JWC

Attorneys for Plaintiff Dave S. Perry and the Proposed Class

Charles West

## Declaration of Charles West

1. My name is Charles "Chuck" West. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I am a former employee of the Trump National Golf Club ("Trump") in Rancho Palos Verdes. I started working there in about June 2005 as a food server in the club's restaurant, and I later was promoted to restaurant manager. I held that job for approximately 2 years until I was laid off in March 2008.

## Hiring Lucy Messerschmidt and the good job she did

3. 1 am the person who hired Lucy Messerschmidt to work as a hostess. I did so because Ms. Messerschmidt came across in her interview as professional and conscientious and made a terrific impression. This was important for at least two reasons: (a) I was looking to hire someone who would be more dependable than some of the younger employees then employed as hostesses, and (b) Ms. Messerschmidt was willing to work a weekday morning shift that we were having a hard time filling.
4. Ms. Messerschmidt turned out to meet or exceed all of my expectations. She was exceptionally reliable, highly professional and did a superb job. It also was my opinion that she went above and beyond the call of duty in her position. For this reason, I concurred in the decision to promote her to head hostess.

## Hiring and scheduling young, attractive hostesses to keep Donald Trump happy

5. One of my duties as restaurant manager was scheduling the hostesses. At some point afier 1 hired Lucy Messerschmidt and before December 2007, Trump's general manager Mike van der Goes told me that the club needed to hire young, attractive women to be hostesses and that he would need to meet all such job applicants first to determine if they were sufficiently pretty.
6. On at least one other occasion, I was told by another manager (whose name I don't remember) to make sure that whenever Donald Trump was on the premises, the on-duty hostess (the first person Mr. Trump would see when entering the clubhouse) was a young, attractive woman. I had heard from other colleagues not only that Mr. Trump
preferred employing good-looking young people but also that he did not like seeing employees who were old or fat.
7. The actions of Mr. Trump that I observed were consistent with what I heard about him from the club's other managers. For example, on one occasion, Mr. Trump saw a young, attractive hostess working named Nicole (I forget her last name, but she was Caucasian with blue eyes, dark hair and a slender build), and directed that she be brought to a place where he was meeting with a group of men. After this woman had been presented to him, Mr. Trump said to his guests something like "See, you don't have to go to Hollywood to find beautiful women." He also turned to Nicole and asked her "Do you like Jewish men?"

## Knowingly denying full 30 minute meal breaks

8. On several occasions, I went to our human resources department to discuss the issue of employee breaks. Each time, I met with the human resources director Mariela Farias or Tom Sperandeo (and on at least one occasion both of them). I did this because the club was not allowing its restaurant employees to take a full, unfettered 30 minute meal breaks, and I was concerned that this policy violated California law. Ms. Farias told me that if the company gave a paid meal, it was not required to give an unpaid meal break where people could clock out for 30 minutes.

## Not allowing full 10 minute rest breaks either

9. To the best of my knowledge, Trump had no official policy establishing the right of its restaurant employees (or others for that matter) to take scheduled rest breaks. Nor was there any specific policy to ensure that a hostess (or other restaurant employee) could have someone cover for her while she took a full 10 minute rest break.
10. As a general matter, I would cover for a hostess if she asked to take a quick break (e.g.. to go the bathroom), and I witnessed other managers do the same - but on each of those occasions we always passed along an implied message that the hostess should hurry up. I never communicated or conveyed in any way that the hostesses were entitled to take a full 10 minutes (and never saw another manager do that). Instead, my message was to the contrary because there was an unspoken rule that had been passed down to me that
bathroom or ،oking breaks were to be conducted as . . .ckly as possible. Although it was common for smokers to go outside and take a cigarette break if they could get someone to cover their tables, it was always made clear that they needed to hurry. I remember hearing other managers in the restaurant utter words to the effect of "make it fast" or "come back as fast as you can"when being asked by other employees to take a rest or smoking break.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Califormia that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on MarchZQ 2009 in San Pedro, California.


Hayley Strozier

## Declaration of Hayley Strozier

1. My name is Hayley Strozier. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I am a former employee of the Trump National Golf Club ("Trump") in Rancho Palos Verdes. I started working there in 2001 as the banquet manager, and later became the director of catering, a position I held uatil the termination of my employment in January of 2008. Inow work at the Doubletree Hotel in San Pedro.
3. During most if not all of my employment at Trump, one of my colleagues was a woman named $\square$. Initially Ms. $\square$ was an "executive coordinator" whose general duties consisted of helping the catering department and the club's general manager. Later, she worked as the club's restaurant event manager from about 2004 through (at least) the time that iny employment ended.
4. Ms. was a highly competent and professional employee. She also was a large woman. I would describe her as being significantly overweight.

## Being told to fire because Donald Trump dislikes fat people

5. At some point in approximately 2003, Vincent Stellio - a vice president of our company - instructed me to fire Ms. Mr. Stellio told me that I should do this because "Mr. Trump doesn't like fat people" and that be would not like seeing Ms. $\square$ when he was on the premises (her office was towards the front of the catering office area).
6. I refused. I told Mr, Stellio that I did not care if Mr. Trump disliked fat people and that I was not going to fire Ms. ased on her appearance given how talented and valuable an employee she was. Mr. Stellio said something like "You'll probably get in trouble for this." I told him that I did not care.

## Being told a $2^{\text {pd }}$ time to fire Ms. Solis

7. Approximately 9-12 months later, the club's general manager Mike van der Goes carce to me and said that it would be in my best interest to fire Ms. Mr. van der Goes told me that he wanted me to do this because of Ms appearance and the fact that Mr, Trump didn't like people that looked like ber. I asked Mr. van der Goes if I could
"sleep" on his comments.
8. The next day I told Mr, van der Goes that I would not fire Ms because of the way she looked even if Mr. Trump disliked or was hostile to people who were overweight or did not meet his standards of beauty physical. I also said something to the effect of "You can fire both of us if that's what it takes."
9. Within about a week, Mr. van der Goes returned and announced he had a plan of hiding Ms. whenever Mr. Trump was on the premises. This made me even more angry, and I told Mr. van der Goes that I was disappointed in him as a man and as a father. I also said that I wanted my position on this issue noted in my personnel file so that there would be a record of what had happened in case I was later fired. Mr. van der Goes said this was OK. I then spoke with Tom Sparandio (the club's controller, who was also in charge of human resources) and told him about my request that this be recorded in my personnel file. I also made this request to Mariella Farias in human resources, Donald Trump's orders to fire female hostesses who were not pretty enough
10. In some respects, these instructions to fire Ms. ecause of how she looked did not surprise me. I had witnessed Donald Trump tell managers many times while he was visiting the club that restaurant hostesses were "not pretty enough" and that they should be fired and replaced with more attractive women. Initially, I heard Mr. Trump say this almost every time he visit the club (which was perhaps four or five times a year). Later, he made these comments less frequently because the club's managers knew about this "attitude" or tendency of his and capitulated to it by changing the schedules of our employees so that the most attractive women were scheduled to work when Mr. Trump was scheduled to be at the club.

## Rushed 10 minute meal breaks for hostesses

11. It was my experience that the employees who worked as hostesses in the dining room did not get or take 30 minutes for their meal breaks. During my last few years of employment there, hostesses regularly would ask me to cover for them at their station so they could eat something. Usually, they took no more than 10 minutes - and no one ever took a 30 minute break. [I wouldn't have agreed to cover for them if they needed
me to do it for a half hour.] This would happen at least 1 or 2 times a week. When I would get these requests and watched the hostesses going off to eat, they always came across as acting with a sense of urgency even though food was prepared in the kitchen specially for them and they would not need much time to get it,

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on February $\qquad$ , 2009 in San Pedro, California.


## Declaration of Kevin Hooker

1. My name is Kevin Hooker. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so, would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I am a former employee of the Trump National Golf Club. I originally worked at the club (including when it was the Ocean Trails Golf Club) as a waiter/food server, and I was promoted to bartender in 2004. I held that position until being promoted to being the club's restaurant manager in approximated June 2008. I resigned my employment in approximately December of 2008 because I was unhappy with my job.
3. So that the Court can have a mental image to accompany my testimony, below is a recent photograph of me.

4. At all times, including when I became a manager, there was a culture at the Trump National Golf Club that prized excellence and service and the quality of what we offered to the public and our customers over everything else. "This is Trump; everything must be superior" would be a fair characterization of the attitude that was communicated to us and existed at the club.

## No full meal or rest breaks

5. Throughout my employment as an employee (as opposed to being the restaurant manger), I typically worked six hours per day or more. As a bartender, I was either not allowed or discouraged from taking take 30 minute meal breaks or 10 minute rest
breaks. This also was true for the other restaurant employees whom I saw and with whom I interacted.
6. Because I have worked in restaurants since my youth (I still work in a restaurant today). I know that it is customary in this business to schedule employee shifts in a way that allows for "phase in" time (i.e., some overlapping shifts) so that the employees can take rest breaks without service to the customers suffering. Nothing like that ever happened at Trump National Golf Club. There never was any such scheduling (either when I was a bartender or a manage) and I never heard anyone talk about such scheduling (i.e., "breaking in" employees).
7. When I was a bartender at the Trump National Golf Club, the longest meal break I ever took was about twenty minutes and the longest rest break I took was probably five minutes. No one was ever available to cover my spot at the bar, and it was my understanding from the lack of anyone with bartending skills who could cover my duties for me and the "always got to be on call and working your hardest" culture at Trump that my breaks had to be for the absolute shortest period possible or else I would be subject to consequences/reprimand/discipline.

## Hearing about dirty looks from managers for taking a break

8. I don't remember when, but employees Heather Thompson and Courtney Divoren each told me (separately) about receiving dirty looks from managers when they were seen taking a break.
9. When I was a bartender, my managers included Sue Kwiatkowski, Chuck West. Hayley Strozier, and Luis Estrada. I once heard Ms. Kwiatkowski tell other employees who were taking a break something to the effect of , "Hey Gang, let's get back to work." Ms. Kwiatkowski had not asked whether these employees had received their full 10 minutes or 30 minutes (I can't remember if they were having a rest or meal break). I generally knew Ms. Kwiatkowski to be a pleasant and nice person, and I do not know if she had been trained on this issue or knew how long breaks were supposed to be or how employees should be treated with respect to their taking breaks.
10. In fact, I never heard any manager at Trump ask employees if they had completed their full 10 minute rest break or 30 minute meal break before directing them to get back to work. I also have no idea if any of the other managers were ever trained about the law's requirements regarding meal and rest breaks, or if they appreciated the consequences of their actions.

## No training or discussion about ensuring employees got their full breaks

11. Throughout my employment at Trump (both as a bartender and manager), no one ever talked to me about the importance of making sure that non-exempt employees received their full rest breaks or meal breaks. Nor did anyone ever talk (either to me or in my presence) about the importance of encouraging employees to take full 10 minute rest breaks or 30 minute meal breaks.
12. As the restaurant manager, I was nominally in charge of the kitchen, although the chef supervised its workers. But because I would have occasion to go into the kitchen from time to time to follow up on things or help out, I know from having seen the way it was run and interacting with the chef and other employees in the kitchen that the kitchen workers also did not receive the opportunity to take 10 minute rest breaks or 30 minute meal breaks and suffered from the same pressure/culture that dissuaded me and the food servers and busboys from being able to do so.
13. I tried to be more generous/considerate to the employees under me when I was the club's restaurant manager. Nevertheless, because (a) I did not know about the law on these points and (b) the club's culture and the pressure on me from the managers above me to have everything running at a top level, I still ended up pushing or causing the employees under my direction to take rest breaks that were shorter than 10 minutes and meal breaks that were shorter than 30 minutes.

## The scheduling of young, pretty employees when Mr. Trump was on the premises

14. Throughout my employment, I noticed that whenever Donald Trump was on the premises, there typically were younger and prettier hostesses working. I also heard female employees discussing how this was the case.
15. There was a great deal of extra pressure whenever Mr. Trump was on the premises. Everything had to be perfect. It was a real "show" whenever he was there.

## Joey Kim's promotion to manager

16. Finally, at some point while I was working at the club, Joey Kim was promoted to being a manager who supervised the valets. Although I did not interact with Mr. Kim much, it was clear from when I did hear him and the valets interact that they were under his direction or authority and that he was a real manager with managerial responsibilities.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on October $/ 4.2011$.


Stacia Solis

## Declaration of Stacia Solis

1. My name is Stacia Solis. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I am a former employee of the Trump National Golf Club ("Trump"), where I worked from approximately July 2001 through May 2008. My job ended when I was laid off with about seven other managers.
3. During the first part of my employment at Trump, I was an "executive coordinator" and my duties consisted of helping the general manager in the catering department. Between approximately January 2004 and May 2008 I was the restaurant event manager, with duties that consisted primarily of helping to book and coordinate private parties.
4. During the time that I was the restaurant event manager, Lucy Messerschmidt worked as a hostess in the restaurant.
5. During the time I was the restaurant event manager, I would sometimes see employees taking smoking breaks on the club's loading dock, but these breaks were usually short perhaps 3-5 minutes.
6. During my employment, I often heard employees gossiping about which person would be serving Donald Trump when he ate in the club's restaurant. In my experience, it was always a good looking woman. Sometimes the waitress assigned to serve Mr. Trump was attractive (or "hot") but not one of our better waitresses.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on March __, 2009 in Long Beach, California.


## Sue Kwiatkowski

## Declaration of Sue Kwiatkowski

1. My name is Sue Kwiatkowski. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I am a former employee of the Trump National Golf Club in Rancho Palls Verdes. I worked there initially from about February 2001 (when it was the Ocean Trails Golf Club through about October 9, 2009. During my employment, I worked as a food server, restaurant manager and senior restaurant manager. My managerial duties included supervising the food servers, hostesses, runners and bus boys.
3. Below is a recent photo of me (so that the Court can have a mental image to accompany my testimony):

meal and rest breaks
4. During the time that I worked as a server, I was never told or encouraged by management to take the full 30 minute meal breaks or 10 minute rest breaks that I now understand California law entitles employees to receive who have worked a certain number of hours in a day. If a customer needed service and I was on a break, I had to hurry back to work even if my meal break had not yet reached 30 minutes or a rest break had not yet been 10 minutes.
5. When I became a manager, I received no training about employee rights regarding meal or rest breaks. It was my impression that the attitude at the Club was that breaks to eat or smoke a cigarette were privileges (in part because we provided free food). As a result, I never had a policy or plan by which I had employees schedule their breaks or
otherwise $\mathrm{co}_{\llcorner }$sunicated to them that they were entitle. .o take a full (paid) 10 minute rest break for every four hours they worked or a full 30 minute meal break after having worked six hours.
6. I remember on some occasions telling hostess Lucy Messerschmidt to hurry up from a break, although I do not remember how long she had been on the break.
7. When Donald Trump was on the premises, I and the other managers did not schedule any breaks for the staff. This was for several reasons. First, we were concerned about meeting Mr. Trump's high performance standards. Second, I (and the other managers, with whom I discussed this) knew that Mr. Trump did not like to see employees standing or sitting around doing nothing and that if he did he might conclude that we were overstaffing.
8. I also never received any training or education about meal or rest breaks after I became senior restaurant manager - at least until January 2009 (which was shortly after Lucy Messerschmidt's lawsuit was filed). Had I been taught what the law requires, I would have made sure that employees were educated that they had the right to take their breaks and would have worked to prevent actions and policies that prevented or discouraged/dissuaded employees from taking their breaks.
9. Throughout my employment, the Club's policy always required having a hostess present at the hostess station at the front entrance.

## Staffing Pretty Employees When Donald Trump Was At the Club

10. Donald Trump always wanted good looking women working at the club. I know this because one time he took me aside and said "I want you to get some good looking hostesses here. People like to see good looking people when they come in.'
11. As a result of this and other comments by Mr. Trump, I and the other managers always tried to have our most attractive hostesses working when Mr. Trump was in town and going to be on the premises. [I myself was not responsible for scheduling the hostesses, but I sometimes discussed it with the other managers.]
12. I cannot think of any women over age 40 who ever worked when Mr. Trump was on site other than Lucy Messerschmidt and perhaps a hostess named Maral (whose last
```
name I cannc member).
```

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on October 16, 2009 in Santa Monica, California.


Tanuja Khartri

## Declaration of Tanuja Khatri

1. My name is Tanuja Khatri. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I am a former employee of the Trump National Golf Club in Rancho Palls Verdes, California. I worked there initially from about November 2007 (when I was 16) through approximately November 2009 (when I took a leave of absence due to my spending a semester studying in Paris, France). During my employment, I worked primarily as a hostess.
3. Below is a recent photo of me (so that the Court can have a mental image to accompany my testimony):

4. When I worked the night shift, I usually was scheduled to work from 3 p.m. to 9 p.m. but in fact often worked much later because we were not allowed to leave until all customers had finished eating in the dining room. When I worked on the weekends, my schedule typically was 7 am. to 3 p.m.
5. When I was hired in the fall of 2007, neither of the managers with whom I met (or any other manager at a later time) told me anything about taking the 10 minute rest breaks or 30 minute meal breaks to which that I understand employees in California are entitled based on the number of hours worked in a day. The only topic discussed was the employee dress code. Either manager Chuck West or manager Sue Kwiatkowski gave me a copy of the club's employee handbook, but it was done in a perfunctory way and without any instructions or message. This experience significantly contrasted with my experiences at other jobs, where I was told to read and study the employee handbook
and perhaps. en be quizzed on it.
6. Working at the Trump National Golf Club was a high pressure job. There was always a message from our managers that we had to provide the best/top dining experience. As a result, the pressure was always on. This was even more true when Donald Trump was on the premises.

## rest breaks

7. Before Lucy Messerschmidt filed her lawsuit in December 2008, I never received the opportunity to take a 10 minute rest break. If I was the only hostess working, manager Sue Kwiatkowski would sometimes ask me if I needed a bathroom break. If I said "yes", she would agree to cover my station for me (there was a policy that the hostess podium at the front of the club by the front door was never to be unattended) but at the same time would tell me through her words, tone or body language to "hurry up." As a result, the longest rest break I ever took before this lawsuit was filed was about 3 minutes. But I estimate that this happened only about $25 \%$ of the time that I was working alone at the hostess station. The other $75 \%$ of the time, Ms. Kwiatkowski would not ask me about a break - with the result being that I would work a full shift without getting a rest break of any kind.
8. If I had another hostess on shift and working at the hostess stand with me, no manager would ask if I needed her (or him) to cover and we could go to the bathroom on our own. But because of the constant message conveyed from management about the need for "perfection" and the potential for discipline if we fell short or were perceived to be "slacking off," I would still rush back to my station as soon as possible (as opposed to taking a full 10 minute break).

## Meal breaks

9. Before Lucy Messerschmidt filed her lawsuit, no one ever told me that I had the right to a full 30 minute meal break on days when I worked 5 or 6 hours or more. Although Trump would provide me and the other employees with leftover food (usually $1-2$ days old) to eat, the managers also always pressured us to eat as quickly as possible and return to work. On multiple occasions, managers approached me while I was eating
(with food c. .ny plate) and asked "are you done?" It . said "yes," I was told "go back to work" without any inquiry about whether I had received 30 minutes to eat and rest, Managers who did this to me include Sue Kwiatkowski and Jennifer Brennan.
10. To the best of my memory, the longest break I ever got to eat a meal before Lucy Messerschmidt filed her lawsuit was about 20 minutes.
11. At one point, I worked as a "busser" for about three months. I did so at my request because I hoped it would lead to a promotion to being a food server and having the chance to make tips. During this time, I also did not receive the rest or meal breaks to which I understand the law entitled me, and my managers hurried and pressured me and my "bus boy" colleagues to "work, work work" in generally the same way as when I was a hostess. Suffice it to say, we were discouraged from taking any more time than was necessary to eat or go to the bathroom (or take a break for anything else, like smoking a cigarette or making a personal phone call).

## Trump's new policies after Lucy Messerschmidt filed her lawsuit

12. After Lucy Messerschmidt filed her lawsuit, there was a change in how Trump treated us regarding meal and rest breaks. The employee schedule started including a designated time to eat a meal. And, all of a sudden our managers started approaching us and asking if we had taken our 10 minute break yet. If we said "No," we were told to take it. Nothing like this ever happened before Ms. Messerschmidt's lawsuit.
13. After Ms. Messerschmidt filed her lawsuit, $I$ attended an employee meeting at the club in which general manager David Conforti sarcastically said something to the effect of "If you don't like the lack of flexibility now in your schedules with when you get to take. a break, thank your former colleagues here."
14. Throughout my employment, the Club's policy always required having a hostess present at the hostess station at the front entrance.

## Staffing Young, Attractive Employees When Donald Trump Was At the Club

15. It was my experience that the most of the hostesses were young, attractive women. Once, and before Lucy Messerschmidt filed her lawsuit, manager Chuck West told me that Donald Trump "likes to see fresh new faces" at the club.
16. I also const. .y heard from my co-workers about hov. Jonald Trump liked to see younger women working at the Club.
17. It was my experience that whenever Donald Trump was at the club on days that I was working there, most of the female employees working were the younger and prettier ones. I did not see that all the younger and more handsome male employees also were working when Mr. Trump was at the club on days that I worked.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration March 28, 2011.

Tanuja Khatri

Andrew Plumley

## I, Andrew Plumley, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts herein. and if called as a witness I could and would competently testify to them.
2. I was employed by Trump National Golf Club ("Trump") in 2006 as a valet. My shifts usually lasted about six to eight hours. I typically worked about four days a week.
3. Throughou my emplor ment I did not receive all my meal and rest breaks. I do nor recall being provided any traming or instruction about the company's policy regarding ineal and rest breaks or the law. I was informed by co-workers when I was hired, that I needed to get permission to take a meal or rest break or leave the premises of the golf course.
4. I knew that Trump provided free fond but sometimes it was all gone by the time my fellow co-workers and I would arrive. Oceasionally, however. I did eat this foad. Before ] did so. I had to ask my manager, Joey Kim, for pemission to go, and it was understood that I had to come back as fast as possible. and we often finished eating in well under 30 minutes.
5. Meal and rest breaks were not scheduled. I do not recall ever being told when to rake meal or rest breaks. Moreover, we were olten too husy to take meal or rest breaks because of the flow of customers. and at such times taking a meal or rest break would have hurt the quality of service to our guests. I do not recall ever being asked by my manager if I had received my meal or rest breaks.
6. I asked to take a break on several occasions, and my manager told me to hurry back or to make it as quick as possible. Occasionally. Joey Kim. my manager, would tell me that I couldn't take a break if business was too busy, Even if permitted, I often felt ridiculed by sighs and dirty looks when I asked to take a break.
7. A co-worker named Dave Perry also worked as a valet. He was a knowledgeable and steadfast worker. Mr. Perry was very outspoken about California law and our right, as employees, to take meal and rest breaks. He informed me that 1 did not need to ask for permission from management to use the restroom.
8. My manager seemed obsessed with the fact that this was Donald Trump's golf course with the bolief Mr. Trump wouldn't like it if he saw employees sitting around because he would think the golf course was inefficient and overstaffed.
9. When I did take a meal break. it was onten rushed. I ate as quickly as possible, in ordel to quickly return to work. I never had a full, uninterrupted 30 minutes to eat or a full, uninterrupted 10 minutes to take a rest break. Mr. Kim gave the impression that it was unacceptable to stand around to rest or eat. He nften asked me why I was standing around. Sometimes when there wasn't any food available at the club, my co-workers and I would arrange food runs where one person would pick up food at a nearby restaurant and bring it back for everyone else. Sometimes managers organized these food runs, assigning one employee as the food-runner. Sometimes they would participate in the food run. As soon as we got the food, everyone ate it as they worked or momentarily ducked out of view of customers in order to discreetly cat before returning to work.
10. I do not recall ever being instructed to clock out for meal breaks. I do not recall clocking out for them. My manager never asked me whether my time records were accurate or whether the fact that I had not recorded a meal break was accurate.
11. I did not receive one hour's pay for each meal or rest break 1 missed.
12. As a valet, I regularly received tips, which were pooled among employees. During my employment I noticed that my manager would share in the tip pool, and because he would work more hours than everyone else. he would get the largest share of the tips.
13. I also incurred expenses on the job for which I wasn't reimbursed. Although Trump gave me the first one free, the shirt degraded in the summer. I needed to get new ones, and those 1 had to buy myself. I bought two shirts.
14. 1 often used my cellphone for business purposes. My manager and other employees would often depend on cellphones to communicate regarding job tasks, verify we were on the premises. and for scheduling shifts.
15. I was unaware of Trump's reimbursement policy: no one ever told me that I could be reimbursed for the expenses I incurred.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 13 day of July, 2012, al Los Angeles. California.


Cynthia Reyes

## I, Cynthia Reyes, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts herein, and if called as a witness I could and would competently testify to them.
2. I was employed by Trump National Golf Club ("Trump") in 2008 as a flouter sous chef for the restaurant and banquets. I was interviewed by three people: David Conforti, the acting executive chef, and another person. I was promised an hourly wage of $\$ 19$ per hour and after three months a raise to $\$ 25$ per hour. Instead 1 was only paid $\$ 12$ per hour. My shifts usually started at 8 or $9 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. (and sometimes earlier), and ended at around $8 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. (and sometimes later). I worked on average four days a week.
3. Throughout my employment I did not receive all my meal and rest breaks. I was not aware of a break room at the golf club. I was never provided any training or instruction regarding the company's policy regarding meal and rest breaks or the law. I was aware through attempts to leave the golf course to get lunch however, that at no time could I leave the premises of the golf course during my shift without permission from my supervisor.
4. My supervisor told me that Trump provided free food but I was not told when and where to get it. I brought lunch from home. I always finished eating within about 15 minutes because it was busy and my supervisor rushed me back to work.
5. Trump never scheduled meal or rest breaks, staggered shifts, or instructed us how to stagger shifts ourselves. No one ever relieved employees to take meal or rest breaks. No one ever told us when we could take ineal or rest breaks. Moreover, we were often too busy to take meal or rest breaks because of the flow of customers, and at such times taking a meal or rest break would have hurt the quality of service to our guests. Even when it was slower, my supervisor would continually give us tasks to perform that made taking meal or rest breaks difficult. My supervisor never asked me whether I had received my meal or rest breaks.
6. I heard managers talking about how Trump wouldn't like it if he saw employees sitting around.
7. When I did eat, it was rushed; I ate as quickly as possible and returned to work. I never had a full, uninterrupted 30 minute to eat or a full, uninterrupted 10 minutes to take a rest
break. I was aware of some of my co-workers going on food runs where one person would pick up food at a nearby restaurant and bring it back for everyone else. Sometimes managers organized these food runs, assigning one employee as the food-runner.
8. I never received the meal break premium of one hour's pay for each meal or rest break I missed.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this $11+2$ day of July, 2012, at LCNG VEACHI , California.


Damion Liu

## Declaration of Damion Liu

1 My name is Damion Liu. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so, would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I was employed as a busser at the restaurant at the Trump National Golf Club from approximately 2007 to about 2009. I typically worked seven or eight hours in a shift. The managers I dealt with were Marto Murillo. Chuck West, Louis Estrada, and Sue Kwiatkowksi.
3. So that the Court can have a mental image to accompany my testimony, below is a recent photograph of me.


## Lack of 30 Minute Meal Breaks or 10 minute Rest Breaks

4. I never received a 30 minute meal break or a 10 minute rest break while working at Trump. The managers always gave off the impression that the employees taking a break to eat or rest was a luxury that was afforded only when there were no customers or the restaurant was slow. There was an atmosphere at Trump, coming from the managers, that if you were to take a break when the restaurant was busy, you were not a good worker. The managers made the schedules and decided which shifts each employee got. From what I observed, the managers gave more (and at better/more desirable times) shifts to those employees whom they labeled hard working (meaning, in part, that the
employee did not take breaks when the restaurant was busy).
5. The restaurant was busy most of the time when I worked. When the restaurant was busy. our work was very fast-paced. The table bussers had to not only clean the tables. but also make cappuccinos, get coffee for customers, and similar tasks. If we were to take a rest or meal break when it was busy, the waiters could get overwhelmed, the customers wouldn't get their coffee orders filled, and new customers could not be seated at the dirty tables. The work level was so high that, without someone to cover my duties, I couldn't take a break without negatively affecting the productivity/profitability of the entire restaurant. I knew the managers did not want that. However. the managers did not set up any system so that my workload could be covered when it was busy, allowing me to take breaks. Therefore, the only alternative was to not take breaks unless the restaurant was slow. I was never told by a manager that I could clock out and eat a meal if I wanted to or that I had a right to paid rest breaks.
6. Even when business was slow enough to take breaks, I never took a 30 minute meal break because I was afraid to do so as a result of the consistent message I received from my managers based on what they did (and didn't) say to me, I never heard a manager tell me or other employees to take a break. Nor did a manager ever encourage me (or anyone in my presence) to take a break. Rather, my managers consistently communicated to us that they never wanted us 10 sit idle, even if the restaurant was not busy. For example, if there were not many customers, managers would give us tasks to do. Or, when it was slow on the floor, managers often said to me, "If you're not doing anything behind the scenes, we want you on the floor." I heard managers Sue Kwiatkowski, Chuck West, Marto Murillo, and Louis Estrada all say "We want you on the floor" or "we need you on the floor" many times. The managers regularly told us in staff meetings (all managers usually attended staff meetings) that we had to keep the standards associated with the "Trump" name. They further communicated that having multiple employees on the floor at all times was a way to show excellent customer service in accordance with the "Trump" name. In my experience, that was unheard of.

It was my experience that if a manager saw employees on the loading dock (one location we were permitted to eat or smoke). especially if there was any work at all that could be done, they would tell the employee(s) to get back to work.
7. For example, one day I was eating a quesadilla quickly at the loading dock. Manager Marto Murillo approached me and said something like, "We need you on the floor right now." I had to stop eating and go back to work immediately. Mr. Murillo never said anything to me about getting continue my break at a later time or take a break when it wasn't busy. Nor did he acknowledge the fact that I had a right to a certain period of time to eat or rest. As a result, I worked through the rest of that shift with no breaks.
8. When it was busy at Trump, I typically took no break except to go to the bathroom (as quickly as possible) or to take two to three minutes to eat a piece of bread. In my experience, the only reason a manager ever came to the loading dock area was to summon employees back to work. The loading dock was where the employees usually went to take breaks, so managers frequently went out there to tell them to get back to work. In my experience, they never asked if we were on a break (or when it would be over). Instead, they just told us to return to work.
9. I am not a smoker, but a few times, I went outside and smoked a cigarette just so I could get a break. It was my experience that managers sometimes reacted with more understanding if an employee was seen smoking a cigarette than just taking a break to rest. If I went outside just to sit and rest, I expected that the managers would have said something to me like, "What are you doing? You're just sitting there." That is the way 1 heard them react previously.
10. Sometimes, the managers would let us eat if the restaurant wasn't busy, but it was always really quick. I would eat in five to ten minutes and return back to work. When the restaurant was busy and I or other employees were hungry, we would have to wait until it slowed down.
11. There were many days when the restaurant was busy for the entire shift. On those days, I usually just pushed through and got some food to eat for lunch or dinner after my shift
ended (I got no rest or meal breaks for my entire shift). I typically worked four days a week and frequently Friday and Saturday would be two of those days. When I worked Friday or Saturday shifts. it was very rare that I would get a break to eat during my shift at all. I would make sure to get something to eat on my way to work on Fridays and Saturdays because I knew that I was unlikely to get the opportunity to eat during my shift as I would typically get no breaks of any kind. Otherwise, if it was busy, I would just "power through" my shift and did not ask for a break. I did not do so in these situations because I was intimidated ask the managers because it was clear to me based on their consistent attitudes that taking a break was frowned upon. I expected that if I asked for a manager for a break, he or she would either say "no" or say "yes" but perceive me as a slack employee and punish me by scheduling me for less/less lucrative shifts.

## An Emphasis on Women Being Attractive at Trump

12. During my employment at Trump, there were several times when I was working and Donald Trump visited. I noticed that on days when Mr. Trump was going to visit, there were more attractive, younger women working. The same thing happened when Tiger Woods was scheduled to be there - and I saw that the younger, more attractive female servers were assigned to serve him.
13. The hostesses at Trump generally speaking were young and attractive. Most of the hostesses were in their late teens or early twenties. I knew that Lucy Messerschmidt was a hostess and regarding her age, she was in the minority (i.e. one of the few hostesses who appeared to be in her forties or older.)
14. I was a busser at Trump for two years and would regularly asked to be promoted to server (this was important to me as I had a young child at the time). I was consistently passed over for this job while young attractive women were hired even though (as I later came to learn from them) they often had less restaurant experience. Most of the new servers I saw that were hired were young and attractive women, and I often saw that they had to be trained for a week (which I considered to be much more time than an experienced employee like I would have needed).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregong is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on May 31


## Dave Perry

## Declaration of Dave S. Perry

1. My name is Dave S. Perry. I have personal knowledge, and if called upon to do so I would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I am a former employee of the Trump National Golf Club ("Trump") in Rancho Palos Verdes. I worked there from approximately January of 2006 through September 4, 2008. During my employment, I worked as an "outside service attendant," also called a "valet." My dutics generally consisted of helping guests (e.g., greeting guests, carrying or checking in golf bags, parking the cars of guests), opening up the club for golfers in the early morning, helping coordinate and manage the "teeing off" of threesomes or foursomes, and performing miscellaneous tasks that included manual jobs like picking up golf balls and moving furniture.
3. Throughout my employment at Trump I rarely, if ever, took full, uninterrupted 30minute meal breaks or paid 10-minute rest breaks. No one ever explained Trump's policies to me when I was hired or at any time throughout my employment, or my right to take meal and rest breaks. I was given a copy of the employee manual, which mentioned meal and rest breaks in a general sort of way but provided little or no guidance about the specifics of when and how to take them, and Trump management never even referred to it. In fact, I was given no instruction of any kind about meal or rest breaks, not from the General Manager or the director of my department, nor from HR, nor from any of my managers or supervisors, nor from anyone else lor that matler. And I soon discovered why the subject was considered taboo: you were expected to keep working.
4. I learned this the hard way and have been living with the consequences ever since. Trump had absolutely no system in place for actually breaking employees. Breaks were never scheduled, employees' shifts were never phased in or staggered to ensure coverage for full breaks, and managers never brought up the subject. Instead of breaking, you were expected to be at your post or performing job duties at all times. As a result, if a manager caught me or another cmployee on a break (whether a meal or rest break), we almost always would get in trouble (rcprimanded or disciplined).
5. This "no break" policy was repeatedly borne in upon me. My immediate supervisor was a man named Joey Kim. On what I think was my second day of employment, I had worked about seven hours without a break. I asked Mr. Kim if I could take my lunch break and eat because I was hungry. Mr. Kim looked at me and said in an incredulous tone, "What do you mean?" When I explained how I had been working for seven hours and wanted to have a chance to eat and rest before my shift ended, Mr. Kim ridiculed me in front of the other employees by sarcastically announcing to everyone that I was going to be allowed to take my meal break. On other days, however, Mr. Kim was not so "kind" - and refused to let me take a meal break.
6. Throughout my almost three years of employment managers never approached me (or my colleagues, based on what I saw or what I heard from them) to ask if we wanted or needed to lake our breaks. Invariably, if 1 or other employees with whom I worked approached a manager and asked to take a meal break because we were hungry, we were told "later" without any specifics of when that time would be, and almost never came back to relieve me.
7. These actions and attitudes by the managers at Trump created a feeling of constant pressure for us to get back to work even when given an opportunity to eat. No one ever said anything to the effect of "see you in 30 minutes" or "see you in 10 minutes" or otherwise indicated that we were welcome to take the full breaks that California law entitles us to take (something I know from having researched this issue myself on the Internet). Even when given the opportunity to eat the food that Trump had prepared (either an employee meal or leftover brunch food from the Sunday buffet), I and my co-employees had to wolf it down because of the pressure to get back to work.
8. Nor was there a place were we could take a 10 minute rest break or 30-minute meal break and relax without fear of being yelled at or disciplined by a manager. There was an employee break area, but heaven help us if we were there taking a break and someone needed us. Plus, we always were required to carry a radio or our cellphones and respond immediately if catled. (Saying something like "l'm on my break and will be with you in $\underline{X}$ minutes" was not an option.) As a result, I and the other employees with whom I worked invariably would have to

Sneak away to eat and hope that none of our supervisors caught us in the act. On days when there was a major event and I worked 14 or 16 hours, this "no break" policy was especially physically challenging.
9. In order to continue working while on duty we would also sometimes go on "food runs." where one employee would pick up food from a local restaurant and bring it back for everyone else, who would consume it while on duty or wolf it down when we were out of the view of customers. Managers knew that employees were going on these food runs rather than laking regular 30-minute meal breaks because they would sometimes organize the food runs or make food runs themselves (occasionally returning with cold food). These food runs varied in length but were essentially part of our job duties because we were bringing back food for a number of people and again because managers themselves sometimes told us to make the food rubs.
10. I soon came to understand, however, that even the food runs were a sort of luxury that could not be indulged without management's express sanction. On February 14, 2008 I was working an afternoon and evening shift on the golf range and in the clubhouse. Al about 7 p.m. 1 was approached by another employee named Braulio Hernandez about doing a food run for pizza and sandwiches at a restaurant in the area. Mr. Hernandez asked me to make the tood run, and I consented.
11. When I returned, Mr. Conforti was in front of the clubhouse looking real angry. When he saw me he said, "You have no idea about the conversation we are going to have tomerrow." I tried to talk to him and find out what he was upset about, but Mr. Confort refused to speak to me.
12. The next day my supervisor Joey Kim directed me to the office of Mr. Conforti. There, he and Mr. Conforti handed me a document to sign stating that I was being suspended without pay for five days for having left the premises without authorization. I objected and iold them that this was unfair because the only reason that I left the premises was that there was no fixed or reliable policy for eating and taking breaks even though California law expressly requires that employees working a full day must receive a 30 minute break to eat.
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13. When I said this, General Manager David Conforti appeared to be taken aback. Mr . Conforti said, "I'm not really sure what California law is on breaks." He then asked me what I thought he should do because employee tips would go down if more employees were hired so that there was staff available to cover for others during breaks. I said words to the effect of "If that's the case, so be it. People will see the position for what it really is." Mr. Conforti then said he preferred the existing staffing policy, which worked us harder but also resulted in greater tips. I said something to the effect of "You can keep the status quo, but then my suspension is unfounded."
14. Mr. Conforti did not directly respond to this. Instead, he said something about having already spent too much time discussing this issue and that he had to go and tend to other matters. My suspension stood, however, and I missed five days of work as a result (and so lost. out on money that I needed because I am paying my way through college).
15. I also have been retaliated against for objecting to Trump's policy about breaks. In January 2008 my immediate manager Mr. Kim approached me and asked what I thought of him as a manager. The question took me aback at first, but I had to respond, so I responded truthfully; I said that I had a problem with the fact that he took a share of our tips and did not allow us to take the rest and meal breaks that California law requires. Mr. Kim walked away without responding. Shortly thereafter, he changed my schedule and effectively "banished" me by making me spend the next two weeks working on "the range" (the part of the premises that is several hundred yards from the clubhouse), an assignment requiring considerable physical labor with no customer contact and thus no opportunity to earn tips. Because I had a knack for getting bigger tips than my colleagues, the result was that I (and the others with whom I shared tips) made less money during these five days.
16. The final retaliation I suffered was on September 4, 2008, when I was fired for making a food run at about 7:45 a.m. I had opened up the club at 4:30 or 50 'clock a.m. No other valets were on duty until Stuart Sato arrived at $6 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. I was scheduled to work until 1 pm (an 8 hour day) and, looking at the tee-sheet, saw that golfers were scheduled to start arriving for teeolf at around 9 a.m. After that it would get too busy for me to make a food run, so this was the $-4$.
only time I could leave without being unavailable to greet or help golfers. I waited until after Mr. Sato arrived and then made a quick food run. It was still real early, and no managers were on the property that I knew of. I dashed out and back in about 15 minutes, returning to the club as quickly as I could. When I returned Stuart said that Mr. Conforti was there and wanted to see me in his office. I went there immediately, and Mr. Conforti, looking stern and cold, handed me a piece of paper. "Sign it-and get out!" he said, jerking back his thumb and arm. That was my last day of work. My employment at Trump was terminated.
17. After my termination I searched for attorneys and eventually retained my current counsel because I was informed and believed that they had experience in employment litigation. and especially class action experience. My attorncys explained to me the responsibilities of being a class representative, and I volunteered to assume those responsibilities because I knew what Trump was cloing to its employees was wrong and that it would continue to scoff the law until someone stopped it. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the declaration of the duties I agreed to assume as a representative of the class upon retaining counsel.
18. I understand that as a named plaintiff I am serving as a representative of a class of nonexcmpt hourly employees who have worked at Trump since approximately December 2, 2004 to the present. I have no interests that are adverse or antagonistic to the class. On the contrary, I believe that I am ideally suited for this role.
19. As I previously mentioned, Trump's managers did not provide employces any instruction about Trump's policies or our rights, so I took it upon myself to research the law on the Internet and consult with attorneys. Armed with this knowledge I had a better understanding of my rights and wasn't afraid to assert them, even though I have suffered the consequences in the form of retaliation, suspension, and termination. I also informed my co-workers about their right to) take meal and rest breaks, and I encouraged them to exercise those rights. So this class action is a natural outgrowth of my experiences at Trump.
20. Throughout this lawsuit I have been ready, willing, and able to discharge the duty to the class. I spent well over 100 hours on this case. I have met with my attomeys on numerous occasions, including several face-to-face meetings and lengthy telephone calls, to discuss this
case. I have told them everything I knew about the club, my employment, and the service industry generally; identified witnesses; assisted my attorneys in responding to Trump's copious written discovery; and produced documents. I also attended three mediations, including one in San Francisco, and several depositions that took place across the Southland so that I could provide detailed and immediate information to my attomeys during the mediations and as they conducted their examinations: And 1 will continue to discharge my duties as a representative on behalf of the class.
21. In sum, I have stood up for my rights and those of my co-workers, both during and after my employment at Trump, and I have been intimately inyolved in this case. Moreover, the individual claims I have alleged in this lawsuit directly relate to the unlawful meal and rest break practices that affected all hourly employees because Trump essentially fired me for taking a break. Consequently my own experiences bolster the class claims, I understand that any settlement or dismissal of this action must be in the best interests of the class and will be subject to Court approval.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Califormia that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on July 18, 2012 in San Pedro, California


Exhibit A

## DUTIES OF A CLASS REPRESENTATIVE

1. A class representative represents the interests of all members of his/her class in litigation to recover money damages or obtain injunctive relief for the class.
2. The class usually consists of all persons who are similarly situated with respect to a common course of conduct or practice. In other words, all those persons who are affected by the challenged behavior.
3. A class representative always considers the interests of the class just as he/she would consider his/her own interests and in some cases must put the interests of the class before their own interests. This means that you are a champion of the class or fiduciary litigant.
4. A class representative always actively participants in the lawsuit, as necessary, by, among other things, answering interrogatories, producing documents to the defendants. and giving deposition and trial testimony if required. You may be required to travel to give such testimony.
5. A class representative recognizes and accepts that any resolution of the lawsuit, by dismissal or settlement, is subject to Court approval, and must be designed in the best interest of the class as a whole.
6. A class representative is not required to be particularly sophisticated or knowledgeable about the subject of the lawsuit. However, a class representative should follow the progress of the lawsuit and should provide all relevant facts to the attorneys for the class.
7. A class representative volunteers to represent or champion many other people with similar claims and injuries because of the importance of the case and that necessity that all class members benefit from the lawsuit equally; the savings of time, money and effort should benefit all parties and the Court. Class actions are an important tool to assure compliance with the law even where an individual's losses may be relatively small.

I have reviewed and acknowledge my duties as a class representative.

Dated:


Dwayne McDowell

## t, Dwayne McDowell, declare as follows:

1. Thave personal knowledge of the facts herein, and if called as a witt cess $I$ could and would competently testify to them.
2. I was employed by Trump National Golf Club from 2004 to 2005 a: a security guard. My shifts usually started at 11 p.m. and ended at 7 arm. I worked on avera; ; 3 days a week.
3. Although I wanted to take breaks, I did not receive meal and rest be saks. The company never scheduled meal or rest breaks, staggered shifts, or instructed us ho fo stagger shifts ourselves. No one ever relieved employees to take meal or rest breaks. No ne ae aver told us when we could take meal or rest breaks.
4. I was ordered to patrol the premises and stay in certain areas at all 1 mes. I was instructed by my supervisor that at no time could $T$ leave the premises of the golf $c$ purse.
5. I was aware that the company provided food to employees only if there was a major event and a surplus of food remained after the event was over. Most of the ime I brought food from home and ate while working, usually within 15 minutes. I never had a tull, uninterrupted 30 minutes to eat or a full, uninterrupted 10 minutes to take a rest br ak
6. I was never instructed to clock out for meal breaks. Since I could t take breaks, I never clocked out for breaks. My supervisor never asked me whether my time rec ards were accurate or whether the fact that I had not recorded a meal break was accurate.
7. I never received premium pay equal to one hour's pay for each me: I or rest break i missed.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 16 day of July, 2012, at firmuthorne, California.


## Gail Doner

## Declaration of Gail Doner

1. My name is Gail Doner. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so. would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I am a former employee of the Trump National Golf Club ("Trump"). I worked as a food server in the club's Pacific Café restaurant (the "formal" dining room) from about April 2007 to about April 2011. Initially, I usually worked from 4:00 p.m. until closing. and typically worked six to eight hours each shift. Later, my starting time typically became 6 p.m. I had multiple managers throughout my time at the Club.
3. Below is a recent photo of me (so that the Court can have a mental image to accompany my testimony);


## Management's message to us

4. When I was hired at the Trump National Golf Club, and throughout my employment there, my managers emphasized to me and my colleagues the importance of providing a high level of service and a fine dining experience to our patrons. They also regularly told me (and other employees) that we were lucky to be employed at the Trump National Golf Club. As a result, the message I took from them was that we would get fired if we failed to provide great service.

## 10 minute rest breaks

5. Throughout my employment at Trump, I never heard any manager or supervisor talk about 10 minute rest breaks. In fact, I was never even aware that we were supposed to receive such breaks after working about four hours. The general impression I received from my managers was that we were allowed to take rest breaks only if we had time. If we did not have time (meaning that the restaurant was busy and service would suffer),
we were not allowed to take breaks at all. Generally, we never had time to take breaks during the dinner hours because it was almost always busy then.

## 30 minute meal breaks

6. Nor were I (or any of my co-workers to my knowledge) allowed or able to take 30 minute meal breaks during the first part of my employment. Typically, when I arrived in the mid to late afternoon, leftover food sometimes would be available for me and the other employees (usually sitting in a chafing dish), and I could eat it if 1 wanted to do so as long as it did not make me late to start my shift. But there was no structured opportunity to take a 30 minute meal break after I had worked 4 or 5 hours (or more). My managers never invited me to take a 30 minute meal break (or a shorter one, for that matter), asked if I had taken a meal break, or encouraged me to take a meal break. These omissions, combined with the constant emphasis from management on giving a high level of service to the restaurant's patrons, caused me to think that I would be disciplined or fired if I were to take a 30 minute meal break or otherwise do anything that compromised the level of service.
7. At some point in what I think was 2009, the club started telling us to take 30 minute meal breaks and having us clock out.

## Trump's employment decisions based on appearance and age

8. I am a 60 -year-old woman. I was always a dedicated food server and believe that I was at the top of my game when I worked at the Trump National Golf Club. Before working at Trump, I had spent about 20 years working for wine distributors as a sales representative. As a result, I have eaten in fine restaurants around the world, and had some sense of how others provided service in such an environment.
9. A few months before I stopped working at Trump, I began being scheduled for fewer shifts. I had initially worked three to four shifts a week. But as time went on, my schedule was cut back to two times a week, and then to one shift, and so on. Plus, at the end I was almost always scheduled for the 6:00 p.m. shift. This was the latest that a server could be scheduled for the dinner shift. But often when I arrived, the managers told me they did not need me because they already had enough servers for the night.

This scheduling happened although I was an excellent server and my performance remained excellent. It did not appear to me that this reduction in shifts was happening to any of the younger, more attractive female food servers.
10. Eventually, Trump stopped scheduling me for any shifts - thereby effectively firing me. I chose not to fight to get my job back because by that point I was fed up with the toxic environment and the way that I was treated.

## The employment of young, pretty hostesses

11. The hostesses that I interacted with at Trump invariably were young (they appeared to be between 18 and 22), attractive women. As a server, I was often frustrated with these younger hostesses because they could not understand how to seat guests so that the work would be staggered among servers. They also did not know how to stagger reservations so that all of the restaurant customers would not be seated at the same time. We servers often joked about the resulting problems caused by the hostesses, calling it "the tsunami" (referring to a huge influx of customers at one time and the resulting logistical problems for us and the kitchen). In my experience, these pretty, younger women usually were not competent but were kept anyway. I also notice that the hostesses that were the youngest and prettiest always got the best shifts.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on October $\qquad$ , 2011.


Irvin Alberto-Alvarez

## I, Irvin Alberto-Alvarez, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts herein, and if called as a witness I could and would competently testify to them,
2. I was employed by Trump National Golf Club in 2007 and again in 2012 as a food runner. My shifts usually lasted from five and one-half hours to eight hours. I worked on average five days a week.
3. Although 1 wanted to take my meal and rest breaks, I did not receive all my meal and rest breaks. When 1 was hired in 2007 I was never provided any training or instruction whatsoever regarding the company's policy regarding meal and rest breaks or the law. In 2012, when I was re-hired, I was told by management that I only qualified for a meal break if I worked at least six hours.
4. While an employee in 2007 no one ever told me that I was free to leave the premises of the golf course. However, in 2012 I requested to leave the premises, but my supervisor did not allow me to leave,
5. During my time at the club meal or rest breaks were never scheduled, neither in 2007 nor in 2012. Even on days in which I scheduled to work five and one-half hours, I occasionally worked six or more hours because of the flow of work, to clean up, or because I had to continue working until I was relieved by another food runner. My manager never asked me whether I had received my meal or rest breaks.
6. Both in 2007 and in 2012. when I did eat, I ate as quickly as possible. and returned to work. In 2012, and only on weekends, did I receive 30 minutes to eat.
7. In 2012, my supervisor also told me that I had to take rest breaks. He said that I was entitled to a rest break for every four hours of work. Even after he told me that rest breaks were required, he still discouraged me from taking them, telling me to hurry up or make it fast. Moreover, the breaks 1 took were never 10 consecutive minutes; I was occasionally allowed to run to the bathroom, but I was never gone 10 whole minutes together.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 16 day of July, 2012, at Sem Peon. California.

> Irvin Alberto-Alvarez

Jameson Morris

## Declaration of Jameson Morris

1. My name is Jameson Morris. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so, would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I worked as outside services/valet at the Trump National Golf Club ("Trump") in approximately the summer of 2008 for about two or three months. I generally worked eight hour shifts, four to five days a week. My direct supervisor was Joey Kim. David Conforti was the general manager when I worked at Trump.
3. So that the Court can have a mental image to accompany my testimony, below is a recent photograph of me.


## Contact by a Trump Lawyer

4. About two months ago, I was contacted by a female lawyer, whose name I think was Jill Martin. At the beginning of our conversation, the lawyer did not clearly identify herself as an attorney representing Defendant Trump National. I thought she represented the people (Plaintiffs Lucy Messerschmidt and Dave Perry) who had filed the lawsuit and I talked to her for that reason.
5. During this phone call, I told the lawyer that I was glad this lawsuit about rest/meal break violations is happening because this was something I and my co-workers had discussed doing when I worked at Trump. At which point, the lawyer said something to the effect of "I probably should tell you that I'm representing the golf club and not the parties that filed the lawsuit."

## Lack of 10 Minute Rest Breaks

6. I never received any ten minute rest breaks while working at Trump. It was my experience based on what I saw and heard that if I or any of the other valets wanted a rest break, the only way to get one would be to sneak away for a few minutes. If we were caught taking a rest break (of any length), our direct supervisor Joey Kim would tell us to go back to work because it was "really busy." The impression I got from Mr. Kim is that he did not like us to take breaks. Mr. Kim's primary stated excuse for us to keep working without a rest break was that there were many celebrities or high profile people around so we need to be working. He said this in my presence many, many times.
7. On at least one occasion upon returning from a quick rest break, Mr. Kim found out 1 had taken a break and threatened to fire me for doing so. I also heard him make similar threats to other valets. Generally, when Mr. Kim made this threat to other valets, I do not know if the other valets were on a rest break or a ( 30 minute) meal break, but 1 saw valets return to work right away or return a few minutes later after being threatened by Mr. Kim.
8. About two weeks after I started working in the outside service/valet department, I went to General Manager David Conforti and complained to him about the lack of 30 minute meal breaks and 10 minute rest breaks. [I knew about my right to such breaks from having worked for big companies like Starbucks that were very focused on such things.) Mr . Conforti said something to the effect of "Joey has been working here for about eight or nine years; he runs his own show, and I don't want to step in." And the meeting ended on that note - with nothing changing afterwards during the remainder of my employment.

## Lack of 30 Minute Meal Breaks

9. I also never received a full 30 minute meal break at Trump. The meal breaks were more like 10 minutes (at most). 1 was always under pressure from management to eat as fast

I could and hurry back to work. There was no clocking in and out for meal breaks.
10. Mr, Kim often use the same excuse (that a high profile person was coming and he needed everyone back to work) to justify not allowing a full 30 minute meal break.
11. As a result, I and the other employees working in the outside services/valet department were only able to make "food-runs" (that is, have one of the valets leave the premises to get food for the rest of us).
12. Mr. Kim told me that the free buffet offered by Trump to its employees was off limits to outside services/valet employees. So, I would buy food from the outdoor café located on the premises if it was not possible for one of us to make a food-run. Mr. Kim also apparently did not condone this practice because he said it was unprofessional for us to use the services that were for the guests.
13. When I was able to get a few minutes for lunch, I ate in random places like in the golf carts. To my knowledge, there was no designated area for us valcts to have an uninterrupted meal.
14. Based on the words and actions of Mr. Kim, I believe that it was definitely part of Trump's culture not to allow employees 10 minute rest breaks or 30 minute meal breaks. Rather, the company had a culture of trying to keep its employees working as much as possible.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on July 18, 2012,


Jason Eidet

## Declaration of Jason Eidet

1. My name is Jason Eidet. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so. would and could competently testify to the following:
2. From about November 2007 through September 2010, I worked in outside services at the Trump National Golf Club ("Trump") in Rancho Pales Verdes. My job duties were to valet park cars and to help with set up for golf tournaments and special events. I usually worked an eight hour shift, five days a week. I had various supervisors throughout my time there, but my main supervisor was Joey Kim.
3. So that the Court can have a mental image to accompany my testimony. below is a recent photograph of me.


## Lack of 30 minute meal breaks

4. During my entire time working at Trump until at some point after Dave Perry filed his lawsuit in 2009. I did not receive full 30 minute meal breaks.
5. During my initial training, my manager Joey Kim told me and two other people being trained with me that "there are no breaks" but that we could eat during "food runs" subject to his permission. The tone that Mr. Kim set by his words and actions combined with the lack of staffing (there was no one to cover us when we went on break) - created a clear message that I and my co-workers were to eat as quickly as possible and then immediately return to work.
6. Trump made food available to the employees daily at about 10:30 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. When this happened, I and the other valets could take a quick break to go grab some food, but invariably took it back to our stations and ate there because if we did not our managers could construe our actions as slacking off or otherwise not working.
7. The only time I was able to go offsite to get food was when my manager expressly allowed me to drive to a nearby restaurant and get food for myself and the other employees that worked with me.
8. When a valet returned from a food run. we would take turns eating (one at a time) as quickly as possible and then go straight back to work so the other valets could have a chance to eat too. It was my impression from how our manager treated us and how everyone else acted that you didn't want to be caught sitting around digesting or relaxing, even if you had spent fewer than 30 minutes on a meal break (which was al ways the case), because there was a risk of being yelled at, disciplined or even fired. I and my co-workers were well aware (and we talked about it) how Donald Trump is famous for firing people.
9. Because of the foregoing environment that our managers had created, I never asked for 30 minutes to eat or to go off-site during a meal break for personal reasons (as opposed to a communal food run) because I thought it would make me look bad. There was an atmosphere of fear around work. In fact, there was a stretch of a few months when someone got fired every week. You didn't want to be caught looking like you were not working because then you could be the next one fired. In fact, the only co-worker I knew that tried to take 30 minute lunch breaks was Dave Perry, and it was my impression that he was fired as a result.

## Lack of 10 minute rest breaks

10. I also never received 10 minute rest breaks for the entire time I worked at Trump (not even after the Dave Perry lawsuit was filed in 2009). My job was physically demanding. I was on my feet most of the day and would run to and from the cars as we parked them. I also had to do a lot of heavy lifting. For example, in the morning shift
(beginning at 5:30a.m.) the first person to get to work had to fill a 30 gallon garbage can with ice and take it to each golf cart to fill it. The filled can weighed about 50 to 60 pounds. We also had to hammer poles in the ground and carry tables, chairs, ice chests and so on.
11. Towards the end of my shift, I regularly was exhausted and my feet hurt. I would want to sit down and take a rest break but couldn't. I never felt that taking a break was an option for me because it was something that just was not done - and no manager ever encouraged or talked about our taking them (even after the Dave Perry lawsuit in 2009), I very rarely took any rest breaks - maybe one or two times per week and only when we weren't busy.

## Retaliation by management

12. One of the reasons that we never asked for breaks or tried to take them without approval was fear of retaliation by our manager. There was something referred to by the valets as the "shit list." If you did something that our manager Joey Kim didn't like, you would get on his "shit list," which would cause him to give you fewer hours or the less desirable shifts (e.g., 5 a.m. to 1 p.m., which involved heavy lifting (as I described earlier) and having to get up in the middle of the night), and Mr. Kim would treat you with a different attitude: he would be harder on you. For example, one of the valets, Matt Sullivan, was someone I knew was on Mr. Kim's "shit list." Whenever Matt would request a certain day off, he invariably would find himself scheduled to work on that day. He and I talked about this. There were several things that we knew would get you on the "shit list," but the major offenses included: (1) calling in sick when you were scheduled, and (2) taking breaks. All of the valets knew that we would be punished if we did these things, because we had seen it happen to other valets, and so we didn't attempt to take breaks when we needed or wanted them.

## Tip Sharing by Joey Kim

13. Joey Kim was my manager. He hired me and did the scheduling when I was working at the Club. He did not wear the uniform that all of the valets wore and he generally did
not valet park cars. There were a few occasions where Mr. Kim did valet a car, but it was for fun rather than work purposes. Several times when a particularly nice and fast car would come to be valeted, Mr. Kim would run out and take the car so that he could drive it to the spot. Other than this, I never saw Mr. Kim park a car.
14. For the entire time I worked at Trump's - almost three years - it was my understanding that Joey Kim was a part of the tip sharing pool. On several occasions, I calcuiated the tips for the valets (this would be done by whoever closed that evening). The person closing would add up the tips and all of the hours worked by everyone in the pool, and divide that to come up with a certain dollar amount per hour. [Example: If 5 people worked for 8 hours and made $\$ 80 \mathrm{in}$ tips, 40 hours total $/ \$ 80=\$ 2 / \mathrm{hr}$ worked for each employee.] Each time I did the tip calculations, Joey Kim was included in the tip sharing pool. Under the amount of tips contributed to the tip sharing pool, Mr. Kim's amount contributed would generally be $\$ 0$ and he would receive the same hourly amount of tips as all of the other valets. This was particularly frustrating because Mr. Kim often worked more than an eight hour day, so on those days he would take home more in tips than the valets who actually worked to get the tips.
15. About a year into working at Trump, I and several other valets, brought up the tip. sharing issue to the HR representative Tom Sparandeo. He printed something out for us -I believe from the Califormia Labor Code - saying that managers can tip share under certain circumstances. But, the circumstances referred to in the paperwork he gave us did not apply to our situation. Mr. Sparandeo didn't address our concerns any further.
16. Other employees still working at Trump have told me that at some point an in-house lawyer started working at the Club and, at that point, Joey Kim was removed from the tip sharing pool.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on December _, 2011.


Jessica Lesure

## Declaration of Jessica Lesure

1. My name is Jessica Lesure. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so. would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I am employed as a hostess at Trump National Golf Club ("Trump"), and was hired in about June of 2007. I have had many managers as a hostess at Trump, including Louis Estrada, Sue Kwiatkowski, Chuck West, Marial Perrin, Jennifer Brennan. Matt Christopher, and Kevin Hooker. I usually worked six to hour shifts. three to five times a week.
3. So that the Court can have a mental image to accompany my testimony, below is a recent photograph of me.


## Lack of 30 Minute Rest Breaks

4. When I began working at Trump, I did not receive 30 minute meal breaks (or the opportunity to take them). Trump did provide an employee meal (usually one was between 10:00 and 11:00 a.m. and one was between 4:00 and 5:00 p.m.). Those breaks, however, were never 30 minutes. Whenever I and my fellow employees were eating the provided food, managers invariably came in and said, "Hurry up, we need you on the floor," and we would have to rush through eating. [I don't remember which managers
said this; there were multiple managers that did it.] I was able to take five to ten minutes to eat only a couple of times a week. Only on rare occasions did I get 15 minutes to eat before being told to go back to the floor by a manager. 1 don't remember ever getting more than 15 minutes to eat. The resulting message was that meal breaks should always be as quick and short as possible.
5. When I did not get to eat the provided meal, if I was hungry, I would have to find someone to cover for me at the hostess podium. If there was another hostess working with me. one covered while the other one went and ate quickly. If I was the only hostess on shift, I had to ask a manager if I could leave. When there was no one to cover, I would not ask for breaks to eat. Instead, I would ask only for a short break to go to the bathroom. When a manager covered for me to go to the bathroom, he or she would typically say to me, "Ok. hurry up." Therefore, if a manager was covering for me, I went to the bathroom quickly and came back, making sure not to be gone for more than two or three minutes. According to the managers, it was not acceptable to leave the hostess stand unattended. The managers communicated to me that they didn't want me to be gone long when they were covering. So, even if I was hungry, I could not take a long enough break to get something to eat when I was the only one on shift. The only breaks I took when I was the only hostess were to go to the bathroom. On those occasions, no manager ever asked me if I needed a break or told me that I could take a break, even if I was working alone.
6. In the beginning I did not receive any training from management about taking meal or rest breaks. In fact, I did not even know I was entitled to a 30 minute meal break. After a few years, things changed. It was explained to me and other employees (I believe sometime in 2009) that we were entitled to a 10 minute rest break and a 30 minute meal break. The managers häd us sign a paper saying that we were explained the rules regarding breaks. Before that meeting, I was never told by managers about our ability to take breaks. Now. we have to take the 30 minute break if we work for more than six hours (we have to take it before our fifth hour). We can only waive our meal break if
we work less than six hours. The way the breaks are handled now is drastically different than it was when I started. The managers now come to the hostess stand and ask me if I'm hungry, need to go to the bathroom, or need a break, especially when I'm working alone. The managers never did this before things changed in 2009.

## Lack of 10 Minute Rest Breaks

7. Similarly, we never received the opportunity to take 10 minute rest breaks before 2009 , When two hostesses were working together, one of us would cover while the other one would sneak a break to go do whatever she needed to (bathroom, grab something to eat, etc.). When there was no other hostess working, I had to ask a manager to cover if I wanted to take a break. Usually, if I was working alone, I could only take quick breaks to use the restroom. There were many times when I couldn't find a manager to cover (because the location is big and they are often in meetings). When this happened and I really had to go to the bathroom, I would have to leave the podium empty. If a manager ever caught me or another hostess with the podium empty, he or she would reprimand us for leaving the podium empty and tell us that we couldn't leave the podium until we found a manager to cover. If I couldn't find the manager, I was expected to wait until a manager came up or until another hostess started her shift before leaving go to the bathroom. When the managers did cover for me, they never told me that I was entitled/allowed to take a 10 minute rest break. Instead, they made it clear that I should do whatever I needed to do as quickly as possible and get right back to the hostess stand so that the managers could return to their jobs.
8. If it was very busy, the managers made clear by their words and tone that they expected hostesses to work through our shifts with no breaks. The message they communicated, was that the restaurant comes first regardless of whether someone is hungry or anything else - like taking a legally mandated break. For example, once I and some other employees were sitting by the loading dock. A manager (I don't remember who) came out and said, "Come on you guys. the restaurant's busy; hurry up, get inside." No manager ever asked if I was on break or if I had received 10 minutes.

## The Trump Culture

9. Management always emphasized that the Trump name was held to a higher standard of service and that all employees should be mindiul of who they are working for.
10. It was conveyed to me that the Trwnp name entailed top notch service, that "all hands are available," and thal the guests' needs always supersedod whatever needs or rights we employees may have had.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and oorrect and that I exeouted this declaration on July $\| 8,2012$.


John Marlo

## Declaration of John Marlo

1. My name is John Marlo. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so, would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I was a server at the Trump National Golf Club ("Trump") for about six months in 2007. I worked in the fine dining section of Trump, which is located upstairs from the main restaurant and the lounge. I also worked some shifts in the main restaurant. I typically worked seven to nine hour shifts, four to five days a week. My direct supervisors were Louis Estrada and Sue Kwiatkowski (I'm not sure if Mr. Estrada had the official title of manager or not, but he acted as the supervisor of all of the servers in the fine dining section upstairs).
3. So that the Court can have a mental image to accompany my testimony, below is a recent photograph of me.


## Lack of Ten Minute Rest Breaks

4. I never was able to take a ten minute rest while working at Trump. I fact, I rarely took a break at all other than to go to the bathroom. No manager ever told me that I could take a break. I frequently saw Mr. Estrada tell other employees who had left the floor to take a break that they were not supposed to leave the floor. I specifically remember one instance where a server (I can't remember his name but he was a tall guy with blonde
hair) left the floor to go to the bathroom and was gone for about ten minutes. I overheard Mr. Estrada reprimand the server for leaving the floor saying. "you have to stay on the floor." The server responded by saying something like, "Well. we're supposed to have a break and we're not getting it." On another occasion when I was working in the downstairs restaurant, I heard from my co-workers that one of the servers got written up by a manager because he ran out to smoke a cigarette and got caught. I remember Sue Kwiatkowski walking around asking people where the server had gone, I heard him defending himself to the managers and remember that he was red in the face, which I noticed whenever smokers would try to take a smoke break. If a manager found them taking a break they would get nervous and flushed as if they were caught doing something bad. If a server left the floor to take a break and something went wrong with his or her table. I saw the managers reprimand the server. This was because the managers communicated to us that our tables were our responsibility. Wanting to be considered a good employee, I could never take a break while I had tables, because if something went wrong, it would be seen as my fault.
5. From what I saw, the servers that took rest breaks were passed over for shifts more frequently than those who didn't. Managers told us that assignment of shifis was based on performance. "Performance" included being on the floor all of the time. which was one of the things the managers always stressed we had to do. The favorite employees that got the best/most shifts were the ones that never took breaks of any kind. Servers that took breaks of any length were more often told by managers "we don't need you tonight." I saw that even those servers that never took rest or meal breaks. but took more than one or two bathroom breaks during a shift would be less likely to be put on the next shift than those who didn't. I noticed that the favored servers with the best shifts typically took no breaks even to use the bathroom or might take one bathroom break during an eight hour shift. I also saw several servers that started working. took breaks during their shifts. and were let go shortly. I specifically remember hearing Mr . Estrada say "he's not going to be hear long," about one of the servers that was taking
breaks.
6. Because I saw that the servers that took the least breaks were treated the best by management, I never took breaks except to go to the bathroom (which I did as quietly as possible). Preferably, I would go to the bathroom before my shift and hold it until absolutely necessary so that I wouldn't have to stop working at all during my shifts. The servers could not use the customer bathroom upstairs and had to run to the locker room downstairs. The distant meant that a trip to the bathroom may take five minutes or so. When I did go to the bathroom, I ran as fast as I could because I saw what happened to the other servers that took too long.

## Lack of $\mathbf{3 0}$ Minute Meal Breaks

7. I never received a 30 minute meal break while working at Trump. I never got any breaks to eat, and based on what I saw, none of the other servers in fine dining did either (there were about five servers working upstairs on any given shift). After our shift ended, I and the other fine dining servers usually ordered food from the kitchen. Mr. Estrada often placed the order.
8. In order to be considered a top employee based on the standards my managers had laid out, if I was hungry, I just had to suck it up. I did not take a real break to eat no matter what. I would often bring a protein bar to work in my pocket. When I had a moment, I would sneak to the back shove it in my mouth. Frequently, when I went to the back, I saw other servers eating old food off of the customer's plates as they were cleaning them, just so they wouldn't starve during their shifts.

## Discriminatory Treatment of Employees Based on Appearance

9. One day at Trump I saw an employee that was visibly upset and teary eyed and began talking to her (I don't remember her name as I didn't know her well). She worked as a busser or another less lucrative position and wanted to become a server. She told me that she was upset because a manager had told her that she couldn't be a server because of she had acne on her face. According to her, she was qualified for the job and wanted it, but couldn't get it solely because of her acne. I remember being horrified. I believe

that she quit soon after because she was so upset.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Califomia that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaratian on June 282012.


Jose Detres

## Declaration of Jose Detres

1. My name is Jose Detres. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I am the assistant executive pastry chef at the Beverly Hills Hilton hotel. I also am a former employee of the Trump National Golf Club.
3. Here is a recent digital
can "see" me while considering my
 photograph of me so that the Court testimony.
4. I was employed as a pastry chef at the Trump National Golf Club ("Trump") from approximately 2006-2007. During the time that I worked there, I typically worked between 8 and 12 hours a day.
5. Throughout my employment there, no manager at the Trump National Golf Club ever said anything to me (either individually or in a group meeting) about employees being entitled to take either a 30 minute break to eat a meal or a 10 minute (paid) rest break for every four hours worked.

## Forbidden or discouraged from taking 10 minute rest breaks

6. Throughout my employment, I was not allowed or able to take 10 minute rest breaks. This was because despite what may have been printed in an employee handbook, the culture at the Trump National Golf Club was to discourage me and the other employees from taking rest breaks and to make us return to work as quickly as possible (as opposed to after enjoying a 10 minute break). When I needed to go to the bathroom or wanted to take a smoking break (I am a light cigarette smoker), my manager invariably would either say "not now" or "hurry up" or words to this effect. When I did take a bathroom or smoking break, my manager (pastry chef Ron Swartz) invariably would seek me out
within a few minutes and direct me to return to work.
7. Another other supervisor/manager during my employment was a kitchen sous chef named Joe, whose last name I forget. Joe was a yeller and screamer. He often would not let me take a break if I asked to do so. Other times, if I went outside and was away from my station for more than five minutes for a smoke or a bathroom break, Joe would come looking for me in a loud, unpleasant way that made clear to me that it was not OK to take a rest break for more than a few minutes - and certainly not for 10 minutes.

## Not being allowed to take 30 minute meal breaks

8. During my employment, I also never was allowed to take a 30 minute meal break. Although the club typically provided leftover food for me and the other employees to eat, managers always rushed/hurried us to eat quickly and get back to work. I certainly experienced this from my supervisor Joe. I typically was able to spend about 10 minutes eating a meal. Sometimes, I had take my plate back to my station to eat so that I could consume the rest of my food and not have my boss yelling at me about getting back to work.
9. I quit my job in 2007 voluntarily. I did not like how I was being treated.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on December 6, 2011.


## Leea Sarmiento-Guiterrez

1. My name is Leea Sarmiento. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so. would and could competently testify to the following:
2. My maiden name is Leea Gutierrez. I changed it to Leea Sarmiento in 2008 when I got married.
3. From about November 2005 through May 2007. I was a server/bartender at the restaurants inside the Trump National Golf Club ("Trump") in Rancho Pales Verdes. I typically worked 6 to 8 hour shifts, 3 to 5 days a week. My immediate supervisor was Sue Kwiatkowski.
4. So that the Court can have a mental image to accompany my testimony, below is a photograph of me.


## Lack of 30 minute meal breaks

5. I was never able to take a full 30 -minute meal break (and never clocked out for lunch) during the approximately 18 months that I worked at Trump. Normally, I would show up early, eat the food that had been prepared for the employees (around 4:00 p.m.), and then clock in for work. I did this because I had to make sure to have something to eat
because I knew (based on my experiences) I would not get a meal break during my shift. Many of the other servers would also come to eat before their shift started (I would see them). I was never told that I was allowed to take a meal break or any break. A few times, I took a short break and went to the loading dock. Other servers would also sometimes go out there to eat a piece of bread or smoke a cigarette. When managers saw us at the loading dock they invariably told us that we needed to get back to our stations. The managers repeatedly told us we needed to be "present" at our stations, so that if a customer wanted something, we were immediately available. We could never take lunch breaks during our shifts because we always had tables that we were waiting on and couldn't leave them given (a) the absence of anyone to cover for us and, (b) our instructions from the managers not to leave tables unattended, During our shifts, we were allowed to order food off of the restaurant menu for ourselves, but we weren't supposed to eat it until we finished with our tables. That would usually be almost at the end of my shift, around 8:30 p.m.

## Being denied a meal break even while pregnant

6. I was pregnant for part of the time I worked at Trump. I worked on Thanksgiving day while I was about five months pregnant. On that particular day, I had not been able to make sure that I got a lot to eat before I started my shift, because it began earlier than usual. I began work at around 1:00 p.m. that day and ended up working until 11:40p.m. After I had been working for several hours, I began get very hungry and start to feel weak. At around 6:45 p.m. (after I had been working for almost six hours) I told one of the managers that I was very hungry and asked if I could take a break and go get some food from the kitchen (I can't remember which manager I asked because there were
several managers working there for the holiday, but it was a man). I asked if I could just have something quick and small like some soup, just so that I could get something in my stomach. The manager said "no." By that point, I was almost in tears because I was so hungry and felt so helpless that I wasn't allowed to stop working and go get some food so that I could feel better. I told my co-worker Christina, "I feel like I'm going to pass out." Luckily, my co-worker went over to the executive chef, Garret (I don't remember his last name), and asked him to help me. He pulled me aside, gave me some food, and let me eat it in his office. I don't even think the managers knew that happened (I rushed to eat as quickly as possible so I wouldn't be spotted as missing and get in trouble). After that night, I felt even more intimidated to ask the managers for meal breaks.

## Lack of 10 minute rest breaks

7. I don't remember ever taking a full 10 minute rest break while working at Trump. I never asked my managers for rest breaks their words and attitude intimidated me and I thought that there was no point in asking because they would say "no." Because we didn't even receive 30 minute lunch breaks to eat, a 10 minute break just to rest or go to the bathroom seemed like a ridiculous request. No one ever encouraged us to take a rest break, and as discussed below, we were always pushed to keep any kind of break as short as possible.

## Having to "Sneak In" Breaks

8. Because we did not receive 30 -minute meal breaks or 10 -minute rest breaks, the other servers and I would "sneak in" three or four minute breaks during our shift when it wasn't too busy. We would usually take those few minutes to shove some food down our throats before we got back to work. Because the employee break-room was too far
away for us to have time to go to during the few minutes we would "steal" for ourselves (it was downstairs), no one ate in it. The only other place that the managers would allow us to go was the loading dock, where we had to stand right next to a bunch of trash cans. I and my co-workers (we talked about this) always felt like we had to sneak around. At times, our managers would come to the loading dock and tell me and whoever was out there to, "go back to your tables." It was clear from their body language and tone of voice that they were unhappy to find employees eating at the loading docks when they were on shift.

## Hiring/giving shifts based on age and looks

9. As a server, I knew many of the other servers and hostesses at the restaurant. I noticed that the older female hostesses and servers tended to get put on the morning shifts. The morning shifts are not as good for servers because the customers usually order cheaper meals, so servers make fewer tips. I believe this was donc because the clientele tended to be older during the day but more young and hip around dinner time.
10. When Donald Trump would visit the Club and eat in the restaurant, I saw that younger more attractive women were chosen to serve Mr. Trump. At the time that I worked at Trump, I was between 25 and 27 years old and considered by others to be attractive. I served Trump more than once. There were times when I heard the older or less attractive servers ask a manager to serve Trump (I particularly remember Linda, but can't remember her last name) and they would be told "no." I also don't remember ever seeing a man serve Trump at the restaurant.

## Retaliation for asking about breaks

11. Once during an employee meeting I raised my hand and asked the managers "Isn't there
a break policy?" The managers (there were several managers there, including the general manager Mike van der Goes) responded hazily. I continued to press them, to the point that the discussion became heated and it felt very awkward in the room, and I never received a clear answer. It appeared to me that they did not know what either company policy or California law was regarding employee breaks. After the meeting, my co-workers who had been there with me (Ryan Diaz and others) asked me why I spoke up. Those co-workers communicated to me that they expected that I would be retaliated against for standing up for the employees.
12. About a year later, I was fired. I always got the feeling that the real reason for my termination was the fact that I had stood up for myself and the other employees by speaking out about breaks. I was fired after an incident where the management had changed the policy regarding phone usage. Previously, the policy was that the telephone near the hostess stand could be used by employees in important/emergency situations. While I was out on maternity leave, the management put out a memo saying that we could not use that phone anymore. But because I was out, I never received or saw that memo. After I returned to work, a family emergency arose one day, and I used the "hostess phone" to try to resolve it. The manager at the time, David Conforti, began to write me up for using the phone, and when I tried to explain to him what happened, he fired me for "insubordination." After three years of
working at Trump without problems, it was unbelievable to me that I would be fired for such a thing and I think that my questioning of their treatment of us regarding breaks was the true reason they let me go.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on December $\qquad$ , 2011.


Lucy Messerschmidt

1. My name is Lucy Messerschmidt. I am over 18 years old and have personal knowledge of and would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I am the plaintiff and class representative who filed the first of these two consolidated lawsuits seeking class action status over meal and rest break violations at the Trump National Golf Club. The facts below are the foundation for the subject class claims.
3. I am a former employee of Defendant VH Property Corp., which is the entity through which Donald Trump operates his Trump National Golf Club in Palos Verdes. I worked at the golf club as a hostess for several years. I was fired after I complained about age discrimination (i.e., not being scheduled to work when Donald Trump was on the premises because of my age and Mr. Trump's known preference for young, pretty women in the hostess position) and not being allowed to take meal or rest breaks,
4. I worked as a hostess from about August or October 2006 through the end of April 2008. [My recollection was that I started working at Trump in August, but documents I have reviewed or been shown during this lawsuit suggest I did not start working until October.] Initially I typically worked a six hour shift, but in the latter part of my employment I typically worked eight hour shifts. I made \$10/hour.
5. My duties generally consisted of standing by the front door of the club, greeting guests and seating them, answering phone calls (and taking reservations), running errands for managers, and performing other miscellaneous tasks. I was repeatedly told by managers that the hostess podium should never be left unattended.
6. So that the Court can better consider my testimony (and also see where I was based when working), inserted below is a photograph taken of me by the hostess station at the Trump National Golf Club.

7. When I started working at Trump, I received no training or instruction from any manager about my rights to 30 minute meal breaks or 10 minute rest breaks. No manager ever discussed such rights or the process by which I could take breaks of such lengths. No manager ever discussed such rights throughout my employment, and I never heard from any other employee of a manager saying such things.

## Being denied the meal and rest breaks that California law requires

8. Throughout my employment, my supervising managers denied me (and - based on what ! saw and heard - the other hostesses, servers and kitchen/restaurant workers) permission to take either the 30 minute meal breaks or the 10 minute rest breaks to which I understand we were entitled legally based upon how many hours we worked.. Sometimes. I was not allowed to take a break at all if no one was available to cover the hostess station for me - and so I would work eight hours without a meal or bathroom
break. Other times, a manager would cover for me but always with the instruction or understanding that I was to hurry and be as quick as possible so that they could return to their other duties. No manager ever said anything like "It's time for your lunch: see you in 30 minutes" or "Take your rest break now; see you in 10 minutes." Because managers always communicated that breaks were to be kept as short as possible, the message that I received (and which I understood from my conversations with them that my fellow hostesses and other servers and restaurant/kitchen workers received) was that we were to be as quick as possible when eating, going to the bathroom, or whatever else required a break and then get back to work.
9. In addition, I learned that one co-worker who complained about not getting breaks was assigned fatiguing work (cleaning chairs in the restaurant) that neither I nor any other hostess (to my knowledge) had ever been assigned to do before. To me. it seemed like punishment/retaliation for complaining.
10. I believe my claims about being denied rest and meal breaks as provided by law are typical of those of the members of the Classes that I seek to represent. I am a member of the putative Classes and possess the same interests, suffered the same injuries, and allege identical violations as the other putative Class Members.
11. I never received any premium pay for a missed rest break or meal break.
12. I will adequately represent all of the interests of the members of the Classes, which consist of all persons who are similarly situated with respect to Defendant Trump's common course of conduct and practice regarding meal and rest breaks. I have no interests which are inconsistent with any Class Member.

## Putting the interests of the class ahead of my own

13. I will consider the interests of the members of the Classes just as I would consider my own interests and understand that I must put the interests of the members of the Classes before my own interests. Throughout this lawsuit, I have declined to discuss settlement of my individual wrongful termination claims unless and until the class claims are resolved (thereby protecting against any argument or suggestion that I may try to
compromise the class's interests in retum for getting a more favorable persona settlement than otherwise might be obtainable.) have volunteered to reperewe and champion the interests of many other people whit h similar clams because I thoth the cate is important, that it is shameful how Trump treated me and us other hard worn me non. managerial employees is shameful, and that it is important that all Class Members heneti from the law equally:
14. I believe that the savings of time. money and effort resulting from certifying this- bLiss wit benefit all parties and the Court.

## My participation in this lawsuit

15. I have substantially participated in this lawsuit. I have attended four of the five depositions that my lawyer (Jeffrey Gowan) and the lawyers for Plaintiff Date Perm hate taken (thereby being available to answer my lawyer's questions and le lp ens costume the witnesses), and I fino attended the deposition of Dave Perry. I have been copied by Trump if did not attend the deposition of Joseph l "Joey" Kim, the former manager ot Dave Perry and someone with whom I never interacted other than his saying "ha" to me when entering the clubhouse.] I have attended each of the three mediation (including the most recent one in San Franciscoi I also have helped my law yer respond an w rate: discover? and helped him contact or meet with witnesses/putative class memines, is ill continue to participate in this lawsuit, up and through the time of judgment and wi!! provide trial testimony, if required.
16. I recognize and accept that any resolution of this lawsuit by either settlement or d.ancosi
 subject to court approval

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on July 19, 2012
 fury Messerschmidt

Maral Bolsajian

## Declaration of Maral Bolsajian

1. My name is Maral Bolsajian. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I worked as a hostess at the Trump National Golf Club from about 2007 until May 2010.

## My schedule as a hostess at the Trump National Golf Club

3. When ! was first hired, I worked approximately six hours a day from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. This period overlapped with Lucy Messerschmidt also working as a hostess there. After Ms. Messerschmidt lost her hostess job, I was given her schedule and started working 8 hours a day from 7 a.m. to $3 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. on Mondays through Thursdays.
4. Throughout my employment as a hostess at the Trump National Golf Club, my managers consistently either forbade or dissuaded me from taking the 10 minute rest breaks and 30 minute meal breaks to which I now understand I am entitled to receive under California law.

## Trump's culture and practice of denying or discouraging meal \& rest breaks

5. Throughout my employment, no manager ever encouraged me to take a 30 minute meal or a ten minute rest break. Instead, I would get short, rushed breaks only if I requested one - and the message I received upon making such a request was that it was unwelcome and an impediment to the club's business operations. This atlitude or "corporate culture" discouraged me from even requesting a break unless I really, really needed to eat or go to the bathroom (or do something else of a personal nature like a phone call to a family member).
6. Before Ms. Messerschmidt's lawsuit was filed, my former manager Sue K wiatkowski typically would respond to my requests to go to the bathroom (I typically needed to use it by 1 p.m. each day) with words to the effect of "go take a break but make it fast". Ms, Kwiatkowski's tone invariably was nice (she is a very pleasant person) but also made clear that I was to hurry and had to return to my duties as swiftly as possible. Ms. Kwiatkowski invariably made similar statements if I asked to take a meal break. Regardless of whether the manager I talked to was Ms. K wiatkowski or someone else. I
was always told essentially to "hurry". Neither Ms. Kwiatkowski or any other manager ever told me anything to the effect of "okay see you in 10 minutes when your rest break is over" or "see you in half an hour when you are done with your lunch."
7. After Lucy Messerschmidt stopped working at the club, I often was not allowed to take any break because I was told that I could not leave my station unattended. Sometimes. when I needed to take a break and asked to do so, I was told that no one was available to cover for me and I had to remain at my station and could not take the break.
8. Invariably, I would take only about five minutes to eat and would do so while standing outside the kitchen in the enclosed outdoor area by the facility's trash cans. This location was where employees were suppose to eat or take their breaks. Because the restaurant served lunch to the public from 11 a.m. to 3 p.m., we were not allowed to take a full meal break during that time (regardless of whether other people might have been able to cover for us).
9. Jennifer Brennan is another manager who always told me to "hurry up" when I was taking or requesting a rest break (typically to use the bathroom) or meal break. Like Ms. Kwiatkowski, her tone usually was nice but also firmly conveyed that I had to be as quick as possible and then return to work. As a result, I always was pressured to take less than 10 minutes for bathroom breaks and less than 30 minutes for a meal break.

I0. As a result of the environment and culture that I have just described, I think it is accurate to say that I was rushed during my breaks virtually every single day I worked at Trump. I never got a full 10 minute rest break or a 30 minute meal break,
11. At no time that I worked for the Trump National Golf Club did any manager ever reference the company's employee handbook. The handbook may have physically existed, but as far as I am concerned and as far as I experienced, its contents regarding breaks and discrimination existed solely on paper and were created solely for corporate purposes. To my knowledge, it had no affect on how I and my fellow employees were treated or how the club was run.

## Food servers $\&$ kitchen workers being denied breaks

12. It was also my experience that up until the time that Lucy Messerschmidt filed her lawsuit (and perhaps for some months thereafter) that other workers at the Trump National Golf Club such as food servers also were not allowed - or would be dissuaded - from taking full meal and rest breaks (e.g., 10 minute rest breaks and 30 minute meal breaks). More specifically, I and the other hostesses would sometimes be directed to walk around the club to perform a task (e.g. finding someone or delivering a message). As a result, I regularly heard employees complain about not being allowed to take a meal or rest break. I also regularly heard managers tell food servers either to "hurry up" with a break (even if the person was just starting to take it) or simply that they could not take a break. Managers whom I heard make such statements include Sue Kwiatkowski and Jennifer Brennan.

## Trump's hostility to having to let us take meal \& rest breaks

13. After Lucy Messerschmidt filed her lawsuit, Trump eventually started ensuring that I and the other employees received and took our breaks.
14. One day the club's time card machine was not working when I tried to clock-out for lunch. As a result, I was threatened with a disciplinary write-up even though I had tried to clock-out and had reported the malfunction to the club's human resources department.
15. I later attended a staff meeting about the company's new mandatory policy of using the time clock. During the meeting, manager Tom Sperandio (I think he was the controller) said in a hostile voice something to the effect of "there is one person who is doing this to us and ruining it for everyone else." I understood Mr. Sperandio to be referring to the club's change in policy of now requiring its employees to take meal and rest breaks and to clock out during meal breaks.

## Being told to not work because Donald Trump wanted younger women working

16. One day in the Spring of 2008 , Manager Brian Wolbers called me into his office and told me that Donald Trump was coming to town. He then said something like "I want
you to take 3 days off and you can make it up on the weekend. You and Lucy [Messerschmidt] can take 3 days off. You know how Trump is." I told Mr. Wolbers that Donald Trump had never met me and I did not understand why he would not want me working while he was there. Mr. Wolbers said something like "You can make it up Maral. He wants younger fresh faces." I told Mr. Wolbers "Maybe I'm too old for this job." He then said "Oh no, you're beautiful. Don't worry about it." Later, I learned from Lucy Messerschmidt that she had complained to the club's general manager David Conforti and also to assistant general manager Lili Amini about being taken off her regular schedule because Donald Trump was going to be in the club and wanted to see young women working while he was there (as opposed to Ms. Messerschmidt, who was in her 40s). Ms. Messerschmidt later told me that a change had been made as a result of her complaint and that "we're working." And in fact I did end up working while Donald Trump was in the club and got to meet Mr. Trump for the first time.

## Donald Trump's lack of professionalism and intrusive comments

17. I later met Donald Trump approximately 5 or 6 more times. Although I am a grown woman in my forties, Mr. Trump regularly greeted me with expressions like "how's my favorite girl?" Later, after he learned (by asking me) that I was married - and happily so - he regularly asked "are you still happily married?" whenever he saw me. Mr. Trump would also regularly ask me to pose with him for photographs. I found these actions inappropriate and uncomfortable - but felt I had little recourse given that Donald Trump is not only the head of the company but also one of the most powerful well- known people in the United States. In short, I consistently found Mr. Trump to be overly familiar and unprofessional.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the tate of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration oh/Novernber

Mariana Sanchez

## Declaration of Mariana Sanchez

1. My name is Mariana Sanchez. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so, would and could competently testify to the following:
2. My current employer is the Trump National Golf Club, where I as a hostess in the dining room. At the moment, I am not working while out on maternity leave.
3. I started working as a hostess at the Trump National Golf Club in about either February or March 2007. Towards the end of the year, after I complained to management about not having enough trained hostesses working with me, managers started telling me (and other hostesses in my presence) that we were not allowed to take either rest breaks or meal breaks even though we were working eight hour shifts. Our standing instructions were that someone (and preferably more then one hostess) was to be at the podium at all times. Managers who told me this included Jennifer Brennan, Chuck West, and Sue Kwiatkowaski. At one point, I asked about this "no breaks for hostesses" rule and managers Chuck West and Sue Kwiatkowaski each told me that it had always existed but that the Club had not always enforced it consistently.
4. While I worked at the Club, management typically allowed most employees to take a meal break between 4 and 4:30 in the afternoon. Hostesses, however, were not allowed to take such breaks because (according to management) we had to be up front in case anyone came into the dining area.
5. As a result, and especially before I became pregnant, I would often work eight hours straight without getting either any paid ten minute breaks or an unpaid thirty minute meal break. On the occasions where I really needed to use the bathroom. I would have to get special permission to do so from a manager, which invariably came with a warning to be quick. As a result, such emergency bathroom breaks invariably were less than five minutes.
6. The Trump National Golf Club's prohibition against breaks was enforced even when other hostesses were working and able to "cover" the station. For example, one afternoon in approximately March of 2008, I and co-hostess Lauren Smith decided to take a break during the employee meal period. We were hungry and tired, and a third
hostess (a woman named Alicia whose last name I do not know but who appears to be of Hispanic ancestry) was on duty with us that day. She also was fully trained. Manager Jennifer Brennan saw me and Lauren Smith taking our meal break, approached and scolded us, and told us never to do this again.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California hat the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on July $\qquad$ . 2008 in Los Angeles, California.


Matthew Lostritto

## Declaration of Matthew Lostritto

1. My name is Matthew Lostritto. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so. would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I worked as a bus hoy and food runner at the Trump National Golf Club ("Trump") from around March of 2007 to early 2009. I generally worked six to seven hours, four to five days a week. The managers that I dealt with most were Sue K wiatkowski, Jennifer Brennan, and Brian Wolbers. David Conforti was the general manager when I worked at Trump.
3. So that the Court can have a mental image to accompany my testimony, below is a recent photograph of me.


## Lack of 10 Minute Rest Breaks

4. I did not receive regular ten minute rest breaks while working at Trump. The managers at Trump frequently emphasized in staff meetings that because we are associated with the "Donald Trump" name, the standards are higher, especially regarding customer service. As a result, the focus of the managers, conveyed by their words and actions, was on serving the customers instead of the needs or rights of the employees. The managers repeatedly told me and the other bussers that we should always be on the floor (the floor of the restaurant where customers were seated) when we weren't busy with a task in the back (the bussers did tasks like fill water and slice bread in a back area of the restaurant, which was separated from the floor). Managers never told me that I was entitled to a break or encouraged me to take a break. The only way I was able to take a break was if I asked a manager or took it upon myself to sneak a break in when the
restaurant was slow. Quite often, when I was in the back area, a manager came to the back. If the bussers were in the back but not working, the managers shooed us out saying, "Get back on the floor." I regularly saw and heard about managers going looking for an employee if they noticed he or she was not on the floor for a more than a few minutes. Several times when I was at the loading docks trying to take a short break, Jennifer Brennan came out and said to me and the other employees things like, "What are you doing here?" and "You need to get back in there." The message that I got from the way the managers reacted to our taking breaks was that breaks were not a right of the employee.
5. When the restaurant was busy, it was even more difficult to lake breaks. There was no way for the bus boys or food runners to take a break without the work product suffering (there was no system for coverage set up and it was too busy to let the work go undone for more than a minute or two without consequences). Because the standards at Trump were so high, the managers communicated to us that any decline in work product was unacceptable. When the restaurant was busy. I rarely got any break, other than to go to the bathroom. This was true even if the restaurant was busy for the entire shift.
6. Because the restaurant was frequently busy for entire shifts, there were some employees that took breaks once or twice in a shift when they needed to eat, smoke. or rest. They did this even though they knew it was frowned upon. The employees that frequently took breaks were scheduled less often. I heard multiple employees complain that their shifts were cut after a manager saw them go on breaks the week before.
7. Once, I had to call in sick when I was scheduled to work. After I called in sick that day. I wasn't schedule again for about two weeks. Other than that time, I generally was always scheduled for four to five shifts a week. After that, I realized that if I wanted to be scheduled for work and be able to earn my living, I always had to work whenever I was scheduled.
8. Based on how I saw management treat employees who took breaks. I feared that they would punish me with less or less lucrative shift assignments if I took breaks.

Therefore, when the restaurant was busy, I never took them. I always worked through my shift with no breaks when it was busy. I never saw a food runner or busboy get punished for taking a bathroom break as long as it was quick. So, if I had to go to the bathroom, I ran and went quickly. But, other than to go to the bathroom, I didn'I take breaks at all on busy days. It was so busy that I could not take any break (other than to go to the bathroom) on the majority of the days I worked.
9. Around the time that I was leaving, the management drastically changed the way they treated breaks. They informed us that we were entitled to rest and meal breaks and began issuing mandatory meal breaks.

## Lack of 30 Minute Meal Breaks

10. I almost never received a 30 minute meal break at Trump. I got no meal break or rest break of any kind on more than half of the shifts I worked. When I did receive any break, I typically received 10 or 15 minutes break when the restaurant was slow. It was possible to take a 30 minute meal break only on very rare occasions.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on July $L \leq, 2012$.


Neil Iacono

## Declaration of Neil Iacono

1. My name is Neil lacono. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so. would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I was employed at the Trump National Golf Course ("Trump") from 2006 to about June of 2010. Initially, I was a player host (also called a "Marshall"). My duties generally consisted of ensuring that things ran smoothly for golfers on the club's golf course.
3. In April 2007, I became a substitute starter (I worked on days that the regular starter did not), and my primary duties involved getting golfers started on their play at the appropriate time (according to scheduled tee times on a given work day).
4. Throughout my employment, I typically worked five to six hours a day. but sometimes worked up to eight or nine hours. I usually worked two days a week. My supervisor was Mike Gainey for approximately the first two years and Joey Kim for the last two years.
5. So that the Court can have a mental image to accompany my testimony, below is a recent photograph of me.


The "Dead" Policies in the Employee Handbook regarding Rest and Meal Breaks
6. When I started working at Trump, I received an employee handbook. I read through it. It contained language stating that employees were to receive 10 minute rest breaks and 30 minute meal breaks. But that language might as well as never existed because - as I am going explain now - the managers at Trump did nothing to carry out or enforce such
policies until after lawsuits had been filed against the club regarding meal and rest breaks. Plus, my managers consistently acted in ways that prevented or discouraged me and my co-workers from being able to take such breaks. These policies may have existed on a piece of paper, but beyond that they were bogus; a fiction.
7. I note that before lawsuits were filed regarding this issue, no Trump manager ever talked to me (either alone or with co-workers) about being entitled to take 10 minute rest breaks or 30 minute meal breaks.

## Trump's Message That Not Meeting Performance Standards Would Result in Discipline

8. Throughout my employment, the club (through its managers) regularly made clear to me and my co-workers that we were expected to perform at a very high level because of the "reputation" or "brand" that Trump was intent of maintaining for high performance or superiority/quality. Part of the message we received was that employees who failed to perform to the expected standards would be disciplined or fired because the expected standards were taken yery seriously and there would be consequences if they were not met. During my employment 1 learned of employees in different departments being fired for performance reasons. And, of course. 1 and my co-workers were aware about Donald Trump being on the television show "The Apprentice" and that his "tag line" was telling people "You're fired." The result of all of these facts was an environment that I considered stressful and which I know (from talking with co-workers) that other employees considered stressful.

## No 10 minute rest breaks as a Player Host/Marshall

9. As a player host (a "Marshall"). my overriding duties included driving on the golf course, monitoring and attending to the needs of golfers, and making sure that everything was going as it was supposed to (according to policy and procedure).
10. All of the club's golf carts had GPS monitoring, which helped me manage back-ups on the golf course. There was also a monitor at the club’s Pro Shop that could access the cart locations,
11. Throughout my employment as a player host, I would be asked to go to particular hole
locations on the golf course to solve a problem, etc,, and while I did so my manager. Mr. Gainey, and other employees could monitor my location from the Pro Shop. If I did not get to a location fast enough to suit a manager (e.g., Mr. Gainey), I would be contacted on the radio and asked to explain/justify the perceived delay.
12. These repeated actions (and the regularly communicated message that not performing to management's expectation would result in discipline) made clear to me that if my cart were stopped either for more time than a manager deemed reasonable or because I wanted to take a break, I could be reprimanded, suspended, or, if it happened often enough, even fired. Therefore, I never took rest breaks while employed as a player host/Marshall.

## No 10 minute rest breaks as a Starter

13. In April 2007, I became a starter. My duties were to check-in and accommodate all golfers playing at the club's golf course on a given work day. In this job. I was on call from the time that I reached my work station until the last players left the course (usually at the end of my shift - sometimes later if so directed by my manager). I was unable to take a break because I invariably was needed at my post - almost continuously - most of the time.
14. For example, in the summer months, I would start work at $6 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and my shift typically ended at approximately 2 p.m. On most days, tee times would be booked back-to-back from $6 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. until my shift ended. There was no break scheduled into the tee-times roster for me to take either a 30 minute meal or a 10 minute rest break.
15. Because the club also accepted "walk-on" golfers, there always existed the potential that I could have a customer at any moment. My manager, Mr. Gainey, told me it was my responsibility to make sure that all persons playing the club's golf course were accommodated and had every amenity they needed. I could never be away from my podium without backup coverage because a scheduled or walk-on player could come at any moment and it was my sole responsibility to take care of them as the starter. There was usually no one that could cover for the starter because the only other person in the
area was the person running the pro shop (which was usually just one person. sometimes two people and they could not leave the pro shop). Nor did Mr. Gainey. Mr. Kim, or any other manager ever say that $I$ could ask them to cover for me so that I could lake a break.
16. When I was a starter, we were not allowed to use the bathrooms in the main room of the clubhouse. Instead, 1 and my co-workers had to go to an outside bathroom which was further away. Because it required a few minutes to get there and back. and because there was usually no one to cover my post, if I had to go to the bathroom I often had to wait until activity slowed down. When that finally happened, I would hustle to the bathroom and return to my post as fast as I could. No manager ever encouraged me to take a 10 minute rest break. In fact, I was never encouraged or invited to take any rest break, let alone a 10 minute break.
17. Even when the course was slow, I did not feel comfortable taking a rest break or full meal break because the words, tone and actions of my managers conveyed a message that we should always be working. Several times, I saw and heard managers Mike Gainey and Joey Kim approach employees whom they saw sitting down and resting and question them about why they were not working. Their words and tone intimated that they must be lazy if they weren't "busy". Nor on these occasions did Messrs. Gainey or Kim ever ask if the employees were taking a rest break. My manager. Mike Gainey. told me repeatedly that our "number one" priority was to provide for our guests (and neither he nor any other manager ever said anything about making sure that we were comfortable or rested or otherwise happy - at least not until months after lawsuits were filed). The managers created an atmosphere in which the message was that employees should always be working. If a manager saw employees taking breaks while on shift, their word and tone communicated that they were not doing what they were supposed to be doing. As a result, even if I was not busy with my regular tasks, 1 always found work to do somewhere else and did not take rest breaks ( 10 minute or otherwise) for fear of being reprimanded.

## No 30 minute meal breaks as a Player Host or Starter

18. Nor was I able to take 30 minute meal breaks as either a player host/marshall or a starter. Instead. I always ate my meals in the golf cart while working, I never took formal meal breaks because (a) as a player host/starter I had been told by management that if someone called me on the radio, I had to be available, and (b) as a starter I always had guest tee times to cover and had to be prepared for a walk-on at any time. And as an added pressure, I was responsible for having all players sign a liability release form before playing the course. If I took my meal break, and a player started golfing before I could require him or her to sign the release, there was a risk of legal trouble for my employer, and that I could be reprimanded as such. I had been instructed to always get a signed release and understood that I could be disciplined for not doing so. As I testified before, there was usually no one to cover for me if I was not working.
19. Because I did not get a real meal break as a starter, I often would radio my co-workers and ask them to place an order at the club's "grill" restaurant for me. When my food was ready, I would quickly go over there and get it (sometimes I would ask a co-worker to bring me the food because I lacked the time to get it); then I would eat quickly at my station while continuing to work. I never got to spend 30 minutes eating away from my work station. Nor did I ever spend 30 minutes being relieved from my duties and using that time to get or eat food.
20. As I remember, it was not until the last few months of my employment that I received a formal memo from David Conforti (dated April 15, 2009) stating what breaks I could take and making it clear that I could actually take them. Only then did our managers start telling us that we could take 30 -minute meal breaks. For the years before that, no supervisor/manager ever told me I was entitled to breaks. Accordingly, mid-2009 was the first time that I was allowed to take a 30 -minute meal break. But I still never got a 10 minute rest break for the entire time I worked at Trump, and my managers still never took any steps to encourage or allow such breaks.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on December $\mathbb{4}^{\boldsymbol{T H}}, 2011$.


> Neil Iacono

Timothy Thatcher

## 1, Timothy Thatcher, declare as follows:

1. I have personal knowledge of the facts herein, and if called as a witness I could and would competently testify to them.
2. I was employed by Trump National Golf Club from 2006 to 2007 as an outdoor services assistant. My responsibilities included valet, cleaning carts, and setting up for events and tournaments. My shifts usually lasted about 8 hours. I worked from four to five days a week.
3. Although I wanted to take meal and rest breaks throughout my employment, I did not receive all my meal and rest breaks. My managers never said anything to me about the club's meal and rest break policies or about legal requirements; they didn't tell me to clock out for my meal periods. All they did was give me an employee handbook, but I didn't know whether there was anything in it about breaks, no one ever told me. No one ever told me that I was free to leave the premises of the golf course for breaks.
4. Although the company provided free food, I was usually too busy to eat it, Occasionally, however, I did eat this food. Before I did so, I had to ask my manager. Joey Kim, for permission to go, and it was understood that I had to come back as fast as possible. I was not relieved of duty for 30 minutes; I always rushed to consume food, usually getting only 10-15 minutes.
5. There was never a set schedule for meal or rest breaks. No one ever told us when we could take meal or rest breaks. Moreover, we were often too busy to take meal or rest breaks because of the flow of customers.
6. My manager never asked me whether I had received my meal or rest breaks.
7. The company discouraged employees from taking meal and rest breaks. I asked to take a break on several occasions, and my manager told me to hurry back or to make it as quick as possible.
8. My manager insisted that because this was Trump's golf course it had to be topnotch and he was concerned that if Trump observed employees eating or resting, Trump would not be pleased.
9. When I did eat, it was rushed; I ate as quickly as possible, scoffed my food, and returned to work. I never had a fill, uninterrupted 30 minutes to eat or a full, uninterrupted 10 minutes to take a rest break.
10. Because I was never instructed to clock out for meal breaks and did not receive meal breaks, I never clocked out for them.
11. My manager never asked me whether my time records were accurate or whether the fact that I had not recorded a meal break was accurate.
12. I never received premium pay for any of the meal or rest breaks I missed.
13. One of the perks of being a valet was that I got tips. Valets pooled tips, but unfortunately managers, who worked more hours than we did, took part in our tip pool, and since they also worked more hours than the valets, they would also get more of the tips than us.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct

Executed this / 3 day of July, 2012, at Torrance, California.


Timothy Thatcher

Carla Gonzalez

## Declaration of Carla Gonzalez

1. My name is Carla Gonzalez. I have personal knowledge and if called upon to do so, would and could competently testify to the following:
2. I am bi-lingual in Spanish and English (Spanish was the first language in my home growing up), and $I$ am studying to be a court-certified interpreter. I am employed as a legal assistant for Cron, Israels and Stark, which is in the same building and on the same floor as The Cowan Law Firm,
3. I sometimes work as an interpreter for Jeffrey Cowan and The Cowan Law Firm (e.g., when he needs to communicate with a Spanish-speaking person).

## Contacting a Trump employee who refused to talk due to threats of retaliation

4. In approximately early October 2011, Mr. Cowan retained me to contact a putative class member, whose contact information I understood had been obtained in discovery in this lawsuit, who spoke primarily Spanish and very little English. At some point around 5:30 pm or 6 p.m., I telephoned this man with Mr. Cowan's (then) law clerk/assistant Jennifer Dooley (whom I understood from having socializing with her over meals is licensed to practice law in New York and Florida but not California) so that I could question him for Ms. Dooley. When I reached him, I identified myself and Ms. Dooley as persons calling on behalf of the lawyers representing Lucy Messerschmidt in her lawsuit against the Trump National Golf Club for its failure to allow meal and rest breaks. This man told me in Spanish that although he and his colleagues also had been denied such breaks, he did not want to talk to me because he was worried about the Trump National Golf Club retaliating against him by firing him. As a result, the call did not go any further.
5. At some point within a few days after October 4, 2011, Mr. Cowan or Ms. Dooley told me that Mr. Cowan had talked with the witness/putative class member through a relative (who speaks good English) and that the witness had changed his mind and agreed to be interviewed and give a statement for use in this lawsuit.

## Continued fear of retaliation by the witness

6. I and Ms. Dooley then again telephoned this witness - but he said that after further consideration he was again unwilling to talk or give a statement because he needed his job and was too afraid of losing it.
7. I have reviewed Jeffrey Cowan's October 17, 2011 and November 18 letters to Jill Martin and Glenn Briggs about this incident (Exhibits $\qquad$ and $\qquad$ to this motion). They truthfully and accurately recites what happened with respects to the conversations that I (and Ms. Dooley) had with this witness - whom I am not identifying at the direction of Mr . Cowan to protect his privacy and ensure that he does not suffer any retaliation.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on July 16, 2012.


## Anthony J. Orshansky, Esq.

## DECLARATION OF ANTHONY J. ORSHANSKY

I, Anthony J. Orshansky, declare:

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice before all courts of the State of California. I have personal knowledge of the facts herein, and if called as a witness I could and would competently testify to them.
2. I am a graduate of Loyola Law School and a partner at the law firm of Orshansky \& Yeremian LLP, counsel of record for the Plaintiff Dave S. Perry ("Perry") on behalf of himself and others similarly situated.
3. I am the attorney who has been primarily responsible for the pursuit of the Plaintiff's claims on behalf of the putative class against Defendant VH Property Corp. dba Trump National Golf Club ("Defendant").
4. I have been practicing law since 1998. During my career I have represented employees in numerous class-action lawsuits involving wage-and-hour violations, and I have obtained favorable results against a range of employers, including Fortune 1000 companies, in state and federal courts across California. My firm has also successfully defended wage-and-hour class-action lawsuits.
5. My firm is well qualified because of our experience, knowledge, and resources to act as counsel and represent the proposed class in this action. A substantial percentage of our practice is devoted to litigating wage, hour, and working-conditions violations, and the bulk of these cases are class actions, particularly over meal and rest breaks. All of the lawyers in our firm are members of the Labor and Employment Section of the State Bar of California and/or the California Employment Lawyers Association, and we attend employment-related conferences and symposia.
6. Furthermore, my firm and I have been certified as class counsel by courts in dozens of cases and have achieved significant results, including multiple seven-figure settlements. There follows a list of only some of our cases in which we have been certified as class counsel: Milford v. ADT Security Services, Inc. (CACD CV08-2236; class size approx. 2,000; lead counsel); Beal v. Activision, Inc. (LASC BC348560; class size approx. 2,000; co-counsel);

Davies, et al. v. Godiva Chocolatier, Inc. (LASC BC429547; class size approx. 1,801, cocounsel); Fast, et al. v. Hilton Hotel Corporation (LASC BC409467; class size approx. 1,700, lead counsel); Alexander v. DS Waters of America (CACD CV09-03384; class size approx. 1,400; lead counsel); Dupont v. Innovative Dining Group (LASC BC391240; class size approx. 1,400, lead counsel); Fluke v. RFG Oil, Inc. (LASC BC403354; class size approx. 1,400; lead counsel); Urena v. Camachos Restaurant (LASC BC365913; class size approx. 887; lead counsel); Callela v. Dolce Group (LASC BC364711; class size approx. 600; lead counsel); Vasquez v. The Hollywood Pig $n$ Whistle LP (LASC BC335075; class size approx. 516; lead counsel); Goldman v. Aorla Restaurant Operating LP (LASC BC379688; class size approx. 300; lead counsel); SinkCrilly y. Centex Homes (CACD CV09-2476; class size approx. 250; lead counsel); Garcia v. California Credits Group (LASC BC353213; class size approx. 160; lead counsel); Afanasyev v. Miller Infiniti, Inc. (LASC BC350788; class size approx. 160; lead counsel); Morris v: Gymboree, Inc, (LASC BC393270; class size approx. 150; lead counsel); Ortega v. AJC Sandblasting, Inc. (LASC BC378806; class size approx. 140; lead counsel); Galavis v. Patina Restaurant Group, LLC (LASC BC375225; class size approx. 10,000; co-counsel); Lulejyan v. Jim Falk Motors of Beverly Hills, Inc. (LASC BC398459; class size approx. 72; lead counsel); Rylko v. The Griddle Café, Inc. (LASC BC386126; class size approx. 70; lead counsel); Healy v. Siemens IT Solutions and Services, Inc. (Santa Clara Superior Court 108CV113479; class size approx 60; lead counsel).
7. In addition, my partner and I have earned professional commendations, including being named Southern California Super Lawyers. Moreover, we have also successfully prosecuted appeals both before Califormia appellate court and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.
8. In sum, Class Counsel are experienced in employment class-action litigation, and they are adequate to represent the proposed class in the instant action.
9. A true and correct copy of Defendant's Second Supplemental Responses to Perry's Special Interrogatories, Set Two, is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
10. A true and correct copy of Defendant's Responses to Perry's Special Interrogatories, Set Three, attached to hereto as Exhibit "B."
11. A true and correct copy of Defendant's Responses to Perry's RFA's, Set One, is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 19th day of July, 2012, at Encino, California.
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GLENN L. BRIGGS (SBN 174497)
HODEL BRIGGS WINTER LLP
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Suite 1400
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JILL A. MARTIN (SBN 245626)
c/o Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles
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F: (310) 265-5522
Attomeys for Defendant
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SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DAVE S. PERRY on behalf of himself and others similarly situated. Plaintiff.

## v.

VH PROPERTY CORP., a Delaware corporation doing business as TRUMP NATIONAL GOLF CLUB, and DOES 1 to 50, inclusive.

Defendants.

Case No. BC403087
(Originally assigned case No. BC408999 prior to consolidation)

ASSIGNED TO HON. MARK V. MOONEY, DEPT. 68

DEFENDANT VH PROPERTY CORP.'S 2ND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET TWO

Trial Date: None Set
Complaint Filed: March 5, 2009

PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiff, DAVID S. PERRY
RESPONDING PARTY: Defendant, VH PROPERTY CORP., dba TRUMP NATIONAL GOLF CLUB

SET NUMBER: TWO

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Sections 2031.210, et seq., Defendant VH Property Corp., dba Trump National Golf Club (hereinafter "Defendant"), hereby provides supplemental responses to Plaintiff Dave S. Perry's (hereinafter "Plaintiff") Special Interrogatories (Set Two) as follows:

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Defendant has not completed its investigation relating to this action, has not completed discovery in this action, and has not completed preparation for trial. As discovery proceeds, facts, information, evidence, documents and things may be discovered which are not set forth in these responses, but which may have been responsive to these Special Interrogatories. The following responses are based on Defendant's knowledge, information and belief at this time and are complete based on Defendant's best knowledge at this time. Furthermore, these responses were prepared based on Defendant's good faith interpretation and understanding of the individual Interrogatories and are subject to correction for inadvertent errors or omissions, if any. Defendant reserves the right to refer to, to conduct discovery with reference to, or to offer into evidence at the time of trial, any and all facts, evidence, documents and things developed during the course of discovery and trial preparation, notwithstanding the reference to facts, evidence, documents and things in these responses.

Defendant assumes no obligation to voluntarily supplement or amend these responses to reflect information, evidence, documents or things discovered following service of these responses. Nevertheless, these responses are given without prejudice to subsequent revision or supplementation, including objections, based upon any information, evidence and documentation which hereinafter may be discovered.

## DEFENDANT'S GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Defendant interposes the following general objections to Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories. These objections are made to the Special Interrogatories in general and to each of the specific responses which are set forth below.
I. Defendant objects to Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories as a whole, and to each Interrogatory contained therein, to the extent that they request information that is protected from $-2-$
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disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity.
2. Defendant objects to Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories as a whole, and to each Interrogatory contained therein, to the extent that they request information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected person's constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality. Defendant further objects to Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories as a whole, and to each Interrogatory contained therein, to the extent that they seek private, privileged, and confidential commercial, financial, and/or proprietary business information.
3. Defendant objects to Plaintiff's Special Intertogatories as a whole, and to each Interrogatory contained therein. to the extent that they are overbroad as to time and scope, and/or unduly burdensome and oppressive.
4. Defendant objects to Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories as a whole, and to each Interrogatory contained therein, to the extent that they are irrelevant and immaterial to the pending action and are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories as a whole, and to each Interrogatory contained therein, to the extent that they are vague and ambiguous, and/or unintelligible, in the context of this matter.
5. Defendant objects to Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories as a whole, and to each Interrogatory contained therein. to the extent that they seek information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant.
6. Defendant objects to Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories as a whole, and to each Interrogatory contained therein, to the extent that they violate the Code of Civil Procedure section 2030.060(d) in that the interrogatories are not full and complete in and of themselves.

## DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S

## SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES

## INTERROGATORY NO. 142 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 1):

Did YOU schedule or coordinate the meal periods of CLASS MEMBERS during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD?

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 142 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 1):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financtal and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 142 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 1):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds: Yes, during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD, each department head was responsible for determining the appropriate practice for the "scheduling" or "coordinating" of meal periods for employees within their respective department. How each putative class member's meal break was "scheduled" or "coordinated" varied from person to person, day to day, and department to department. INTERROGATORY NO. 143 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 2):

Describe how YOU scheduled or coordinated the meal periods of CLASS MEMBERS during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 143 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 2):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery ol admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time:
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of whioh would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 143 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 2):

Subject to and without waiving its objections. Defendant respond that during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD, each department head was responsible for determining the appropriate practice for the "scheduling" or "coordinating" of meal periods for employees within their respective department in order to comply with Defendant's meal and rest period policy as stated in its handbook. How each putative class member's meal break was "scheduled" or "coordinated" varied from person to person, day to day, and department to department, INTERROGATORY NO. 144 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 3):

IDENTIFY ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR responses to the last two interrogatories.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 144 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 3):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody. or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, Iinancial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would conslitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 144 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 3):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that due to the overbroad nature of this interrogatory it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive documents. Nevertheless, Defendant responds that its meal period policy during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD can be found in its employee handbook, previously produced by Defendant as documents Bates stamped DLM00005-000046 and 00047-00081. INTERROGATORY NO. 145 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 4):

IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS having knowledge of YOUR responses to the last three interrogatories.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 145 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 4):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time:
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 145 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 4):

Subject to and without waiving its objections. Defendant responds that due to overbroad nature of this interrogatory, it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive individuals.
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Nevertheless, Defendant responds that the General Managers of Defendant during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD include: Mike Vandergoes and David Conforti. INTERROGATORY NO. 150 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 9):

Were CLASS MEMBERS responsible for ensuring that they took their own meal periods during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD, as for example when YOUR managers, supervisors, or agents did not tell them when during their shifts they could take their meal periods?

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 150 (MISNUMBERED AS

 INTERROGATORY NO. 9):Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time:
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 150 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 9): <br> Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD putative class members were responsible for complying with Defendant's meal break policy regardless of whether they were specifically instructed to do so during a shift. How each putative class member complied with Defendant's meal break policy varied from person to person, day to day, and department to department. <br> INTERROGATOR NO. 151 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 10):

State ALL facts RELATING TO YOUR response to the last interrogatory.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 151 (MISNUMBERED AS

 INTERROGATORY NO. 10):Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession. custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 151 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 10):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD, putative class members were required to comply with Defendant's meal period policy as provided in its employee handbook, which states:

Non-exempt employees MUST take a meal period of not less than one half hour within the first five and one-half hours of their work schedule. Some employee may be scheduled for a normal one (1) hour lunch period. Employees on a daily work schedule of six (6) hours or less may waive their unpaid meal period by mutual written consent with their supervisor.

How each putative class member complied with Defendant's meal break policy varied from person to person, day to day, and department to department. INTERROGATORY NO. 152 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 11):

IDENTIFY ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR responses to the last two interrogatories.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 152 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 11):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial. financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 152 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 11):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that due to the overbroad nature of this interrogatory, it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive documents. Nevertheless, Defendant responds that its meal break policy during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD can be found in the employee handbooks previously produced by Defendant, documents Bates stamped DLM00005-000046 and 00047-00081.

INTEROGATORY NO 153 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 12):
IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS having knowledge of YOUR responses to the last three interrogatories.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 153 (MISNUMBERED AS

INTERROGATORY NO. 12):
Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession. custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is prolected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality:
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 153 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 12):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that due to overbroad nature of this interrogatory, it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive individuals. Nevertheless, Defendant responds that the General Managers of Defendant during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD include: Mike Vandergoes and David Conforti.

INTERROGATORY NO. 154 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 13):
Were CLASS MEMBERS permitted to take meal periods without receiving permission or authorization from their superiors (i.e., YOUR managers, supervisors, and agents) during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD?

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 154 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 13):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion:
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attomeyclient privilege and/or attomey work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 154 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 13):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds: Yes.
INTERROGATORY NO. 155 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 14):
State ALL facts RELATING TO YOUR response to the last interrogatory,

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 155 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 14):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORX NO. 155 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 14):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD, it was Defendant's policy that putative class members follow its meal period policy as stated in its employee handbook.

INTERROGATORY NO. 156 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 15):
IDENTIFY ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR responses to the last two interrogatories.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 156 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 15):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attomeyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 156 (MISNUMBERED AS

 INTERROGATORY NO. 15):Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that due to the overbroad nature of this interrogatory, it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive documents. Nevertheless, Defendant responds that its meal period policy can be found in its employee handbook, previously produced by Defendant as document Bates stamped DLM00005-000046 and 00047-00081.

INTERROGATORY NO. 157 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO, 16):
IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS having knowledge of YOUR responses to the last three interrogatories.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 157 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 16):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the allomeyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050,

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. $15^{7} 7$ (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 16):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that due to overbroad nature of this interrogatory, it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive individuals, Nevertheless, Defendant responds that the General Managers of Defendant during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD include: Mike Vandergoes and David Conforti.

INTERROGATORY NO. 158 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 17):
Explain how CLASS MEMBERS received permission or authorization for taking meal periods when their superiors (i.e., YOUR managers, supervisors, and agents) were not available (e.g., they were somewhere out on the course) during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD,

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 158 (MISNUMBERED AS

INTERROGATORY NO. 17):
Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial. financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyelient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 158 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 17):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that this interrogatory is not applicable, as during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD, it was not Defendant's policy to require putative class members to receive "permission" or "authorization" before taking a meal break. The details regarding how each putative class member took a meal break varied from person to person, day to day, and department to department.

INTERROGATORY NO. 159 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 18):
State ALL facts RELATING TO YOUR response to the last interrogatory.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 159 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 18):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession. custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commerciaf, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality; -20-
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(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 159 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 18):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that this interrogatory is not applicable, as during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD, it was not Defendant's policy to require putative class members to receive "permission" or "authorization" before taking a meal break. The details regarding how each putative class member took a meal break varied from person to person, day to day, and department to department.

INTERROGATORY NO. 160 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 19):
IDENTIFY ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR responses to the last two interrogatories.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 160 (MISNUMBERED AS

 INTERROGATORY NO. 19):Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
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(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attomey work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 160 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 19):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that its meal period policy can be found in its employee handbook, previously produced by Defendant as document Bates stamped DLM00005-000046 and 00047-00081.

INTERROGATORY NO. 161 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 20):
IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS having knowledge of YOUR responses to the last three interrogatories.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 161 (MISNUMBERED AS

 INTERROGATORY NO. 20):Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
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(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession. custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attomey work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 161 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 20):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that due to overbroad nature of this interrogatory, it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive individuals. Nevertheless, Defendant responds that the General Managers of Defendant during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD include: Mike Vandergoes and David Conforti.

INTERROGATORY NO. 162 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 21):
Did CLASS MEMBERS, other than PERRY, ever go on FOOD RUNS without permission or authorization from YOUR managers, supervisors, or agents during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD?

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 162 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 21):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 162 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 21):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds: Yes.
INTERROGATORY NO. 163 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO, 22):
State ALL facts RELATING TO YOUR response to the last interrogatory.

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial. financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attomeyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050,

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 163 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 22):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD, it was aware that there were occasions in which employees went on food runs regardless of whether they informed their supervisor beforehand.
INTERROGATORY NO. 164 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 23):
IDENTIFY ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR responses to the last two interrogatories.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 164 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 23):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession. custody or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 164 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 23):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that aside from any disciplinary action forms that may exist in individual employee personnel files, which Defendant objects to producing on the grounds that doing so would violate the individual's right to privacy and is overly burdensome and unduly oppressive, Defendant responds that after a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, Defendant is unable to locate any responsive documents.

INTERROGATORY NO. 165 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 24):
IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS having knowledge of YOUR responses to the last three interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 165 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 24):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 165 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 24): <br> Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that due to overbroad nature of this interrogatory, it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive individuals, Nevertheless, Defendant responds that the General Managers of Defendant during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD include: Mike Vandergoes and David Conforti. INTERROGATORY NO. 167 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 26):

Did Joey Kim ever go on FOOD RUNS for CLASS MEMBERS during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 167 (MISNUMBERED AS

 INTERROGATORY NO. 26):Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 165 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 26):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds: Yes.
INTERROGATORY NO. 168 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 27):
State ALL facts RELATING TO YOUR response to the last interrogatory.
RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 168 (MISNUMBERED AS
INTERROGATORY NO. 27):
Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 168 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 27):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that Joey Kim on numerous occasions during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD went on FOOD RUNS,

INTERROGATORY NO. 169 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 28):
IDENTIFY ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR responses to the last two interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 169 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 28):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would conslitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 169 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 28):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry, Defendant is unable to locate any responsive documents.

INTERROGATORY NO. 170 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 29):
IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS having knowledge of YOUR responses to the last three interrogatories.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 170 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 29):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(i) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 170 (MISNUMBERED AS

 INTERROGATORY NO. 29):Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that due to overbroad nature of this interrogatory, it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive individuals. -32-
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Nevertheless, Defendant responds: Joey Kim. Mr. Kim can be contacted through counsel for Defendant.

INTERROGATORY NO. 171 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 30):
Did ANY of YOUR managers, supervisors, or agents ever go on FOOD RUNS for CLASS MEMBERS during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD?

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 171 (MISNUMBERED AS

 INTERROGATORY NO. 30):Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody. or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise,
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attomeyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 171 (MISNUMBERED AS

 INTERROGATORY NO. 30):Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds: Yes.
INTERROGATORY NO. 172 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 31):
State ALL facts RELATING TO YOUR response to the last interrogatory.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 172 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 31):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject manter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 172 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 31):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that it is aware that its employees, including members of its management staff, picked up food and brought it back to the Club for themselves and/or other employees during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD.

INTERROGATORY NO. 173 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 32):
IDENTIFY ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR responses to the last two interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 173 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 32):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession. custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attomey work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 173 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 32):

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that after a diligent search and reasonable inquiry, it is unable to locate any response documents.

## INTERROGATORY NO. 174 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 33):

IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS having knowledge of YOUR responses to the last three interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 174 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 33):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 174 (MISNUMBERED AS

 INTERROGATORY NO. 33)Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that due to overbroad nature of this interrogatory, it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive individuals. Nevertheless, Defendant responds that the General Managers of Defendant during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD include: Mike Vandergoes and David Conforti.
INTERROGATORY NO. 180 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 39):
Describe how YOU trained or instructed YOUR managers, supervisors, and agents to comply with California wage-and-hour laws during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD. RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 180 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 39):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 180 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 39)

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that to the extent that this request refers to California's meal and rest break laws, all management staff during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD was required to understand and implement the policies provided in the employee handbook.

## INTERROGATORY NO. 181 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 40):

State ALL facts RELATING TO YOUR RESPONSE to the last interrogatory.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 181 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 40):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter
of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial. financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attomeyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 181 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 40)

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that it was its policy during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD that all management staff was required to understand and implement the policies provided in the employee handbook.

INTERROGATORY NO. 182 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 41):
IDENTIFY ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR responses to the last interrogatory.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 182 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 41):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant:
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 182 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 41)

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that due to the overbroad nature of this interrogatory, it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive documents. Nevertheless, Defendant responds that its meal and rest break policy during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD can be found in the employee handbooks previously produced by Defendant, documents Bates stamped DLM00005-000046 and 00047-00081.

INTERROGATORY NO. 183 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 42):
IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS having knowledge of YOUR responses to the last three interrogatories.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 183 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 42):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
-41.
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 183 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 42)

Subject to and without waiving its objections. Defendant responds that due to overbroad nature of this interrogatory, it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive individuals. Nevertheless, Defendant responds that the General Managers of Defendant during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD include: Mike Vandergoes and David Conforti.

## INTERROGATORY NO. 184 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 43):

Describe how YOU made sure YOUR managers, supervisors, and agents complied with California wage-and-hour laws during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD,

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 184 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 43):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad; -42-
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by Califormia Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 184 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 43)

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that it was its policy during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD to inform its employees of its policies through its employee handbook and utilize its disciplinary practices in the event it became aware of a failure of an employee to follow its policies.

INTERROGATORY NO. 185 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 44):
State ALL facts RELATING TO YOUR response to the last interrogatory.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 185 (MISNUMBERED AS

 INTERROGATORY NO. 44):Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
-43-
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(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons* constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 185 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 44)

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that it was its policy during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD to require employees to follow its policies as provided in its employee handbook and to utilize its disciplinary practices in the event it became aware of a failure of an employee to follow its policies, In its employee handbook, Defendant specifically
references the "refusal or inability to comply with club rules, policies or procedures" as a violation of the Club's work rules for which an employee is subject to discipline.

INTERROGATORY NO. 186 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 45):
IDENTIFY ALL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR responses to the last two interrogatories.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 186 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 45):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession. custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons ${ }^{*}$ constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 186 (MISNUMBERED AS

 INTERROGATORY NO. 45)Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds that due to the overbroad nature of this interrogatory, it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive documents. Nevertheless, Defendant responds that its meal break, rest break, and discplinary policies during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD can be found in the employee handbooks previously produced by Defendant, documents Bates stamped DLM00005-000046 and 0004700081.

INTERROGATORY NO. 187 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 46):
IDENTIFY ALL PERSONS having knowledge of YOUR responses to the last three interrogatories.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 187 (MISNUMBERED AS

## INTERROGATORY NO. 46):

Defendant objects to this Interrogatory:
(a) on the grounds that it seeks information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence;
(b) on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous;
(c) on the grounds it is burdensome, oppressive, and overly broad;
(d) on the grounds that it is overly broad as to time;
(e) to the extent that it seeks information that is not within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant;
(f) on the grounds that it is argumentative;
(g) on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion;
(h) to the extent it seeks Defendant's private, confidential commercial, financial and/or proprietary business information and trade secrets that is protected from disclosure under case law, statute, regulation, order or otherwise;
(i) to the extent it seeks information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected persons' constitutional, statutory and/or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality;
(j) to the extent it seeks information that is protected from disclosure by the attorneyclient privilege and/or attorney work-product doctrine; and
(k) on the grounds that it exceeds the limit of 35 interrogatories set by California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030.030 without a sufficient declaration pursuant to Section 2030.050.

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 187 (MISNUMBERED AS INTERROGATORY NO. 46)

Subject to and without waiving its objections. Defendant responds that due to overbroad nature of this interrogatory, it is impossible to identify all potentially responsive individuals. Nevertheless, Defendant responds that the General Managers of Defendant during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD include: Mike Vandergoes and David Conforti,

DATED; June 10, 2011


PROOF OF SERVICE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is One Ocean Trails Drive, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275.

On June 10, 2011, I served the following described as DEFENDANT VH PROPERTY CORP.'S $2^{\text {nd }}$ SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET TWO on the interested parties in this action:
[XX] by placing the true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes, addressed as stated on the following mailing list:

Jeffrey W. Cowan, Esq.
The Cowan Law Firm
1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200
Santa Monica, CA 90401
Anthony J. Orshansky, Esq.
Orshansky \& Yeremian LLP
16133 Ventura BIvd., Suite 1245
Encino, CA 91436

## [XX] (VIA U.S. MAIL)

[] I deposited such envelope in the mail at I.os Angeles, California. The envelope was mailed with postage fully prepaid.
[xx] As follows: I am "readily familiar" with Trump National Golf Club's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U,S. postal service on the same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Rancho Palos Verdes, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after day of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Executed June 10, 2011 at Rancho Palos Verdes, California.
[XX] (STATE) I declare under penalty under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and accurate.
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## SUPERIOR OOURIOF THEST ITEOFCMIFORNA


D. AVE S. PERRY on behalf of himself and others similarly situated. Plaintiff.
V.

VHPROPERTY CORP. a Delaware
corporation doing business as IRUMP NATIONAL GOLF CIUB, and DOIS 1 to 50. inclusive.

Defendants.
$\qquad$

PROPOI XI)IN(iPNRI
RESPONDING PARIV:

SFF NIMBER:
Three
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Paintill. D. \v11)S PIRRY

NATIONAL. GOI.I: CLUB

Case No. BC 403087
(Originally assigned case $\mathrm{No} \cdot \mathrm{BC}+08004$ prior to consolidation)

ASSI(GNED TO HON MARK V MOONI: DEPV: 68

Trial Date: None Set
Compliant Filed: March j. 2014y

## DEFENDANT VH PROPERTY CORP.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S SPECIAI. INTERROGATORIES, SFI THIREF

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Sections 2031.210. et seq. Defendant 1 il Property Corp. dba Trump National Golf Club (hereinafter "Defendant"), hereby responds to Plamiff Dave S. Perry's (herematter •Pamtiff) Special Interogatories (Sel I hrew av follons

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Defendant has not completed its investigation relating to this action. has not completed diseovery in this action, and has not completed preparation for trial. As discovery proceeds. facts. information. evidence, documents and things may be discovered which are not set lorth in these responses, but which may have been responsive to these Spectal Interrogatomis. The following responses are based on Defendant's knowledge, information and belief at this time and are complete based on Delendant's best knowledge at this time. Furthermore, these responses were prepared based on Defendant's good faith interpretation and understanding of the individual Interrogatories and are subject to correction for inadvertent errors or omissions. if ans befendant reserves the right to refer to, to conduct discosery with reference 10 . or to ather intor evidence at the time of tritl, any and all faets, evidence. documents and thinges developed durme the course of discovery and trial preparation. nomithstanding the reference to facts, widence. documents and things in these responses.

Defendant assumes no obligation to voluntarily supplement or amend there responses wh reflect information. evidence. documents or things discovered following service of these responses. Nevertheless, these responses are given without prejudice to subsequent revision or supplementation, including objections, based upon any information. evidence and documentation which hereinafter may be discovered.

## DEFENDANT'S GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Defendant interposes the following general objections to Plaintill s Spectial Interrogatories. These objections are made to the Special Interrogatories in generat and to cach of the specific responses which are set forth below

1. Defendant objects to Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories as a whole and wewh Interrggatory contained therein. 10 the extent that they request intormation that is protected from
disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the atomey work product doctrine and or any wher applicable privilege or immunity.
2. Defendant objects to Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories as a whole. and to each Interrogatory contained therein. to the extent that they request information, the disclosure of Which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of the affected person's constitutional. statutory and or common law rights to personal privacy and confidentiality:
3. Defendant further objects to Plaintiff s Special Interrogatories as a whole and (w) each Interrogatory contained therein, to the extent that they seek private, privileged. and contidential commercial. Financial. and/or proprietar? business information.
4. Defendant wbjects to Plaintitis Special Interrugatories as a whole. mid to cuch Interogatory contained therem, to the extent that they are overbroad as to time and scope. and on unduly burdensome and oppressive.
5. Defendant objects to Plaintili's Special Interrogatories as a whole. and to sach Interrogatory contained therein, to the extent that they are irrelevant and immaterial to the punding action and are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence Defendant further objects to Plaintift's Special Interrogatories as a whole, and to cach Interrogatory contained therein. to the extent that they are vague and ambiguous. and or imintelligible, in the contest of this mater.
6. Defendant wbiects io Plaintift's Special Interrogatories as a whole. ind to exch Interrogatory contained therein, to the extent that they seek information that is not within the possession. custody, or control of Defendant.
7. Defendant objects to Plantifts Special Interrogatories as a whole and to cath Interrogatory contained therem. to the extent that they violate the Code of Civil Procedure Section $2030.060(\mathrm{~d})$ in that the interrogatories are not full and complete in and of themseltes.
 "YOURS," or "RESPONDING PARTY" on the grounds that it is overbroad in that it includes entities other than VH Property Corp.. the entity to which Plaintiff propounded these Special Interrogatories. To the extent that VH Property Corp. responds to an interrogatory it dues so

[^16]merpreting "DEFENDANT." Y()U." "YOUR." "YOURS," and "RESPONDIN(: PARIY क mean VH Property Corp and no other entity or indivictual.

## RESPONSES TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES

## SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 20t:

Identity by name each department or subdivision of VII PROPERTY (O)RP that emploved CLASS MEMBERS during the RELFVANT TIME PERIOD.

## RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 204:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that as defined by Plaintiff and in the context of this Request. the term "CLASSS MEMBF:RS" is vague, ambiguous, and overhroad and thereby renders this Request unintelligible. Defendant further objects on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "department" and "subdivision."

Subject to and without waiving its objections. Defendant responds that it records hourlypaid employees (excluding employecs who worked in non-Club related positions. such as positions related to construction of homes) as working in one of the following deparaments: ford \& Beverage from of the house: Kitchen; Administration; Golf; Outside Services; Banquets: Course Maintenance/ Creenskepers: Habitat/Landscaping: Deli; Club house mamenance: Security: and Sales.

## SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 205:

For each deparment or subdivision identified in YOUR response to the prevers interrogatory, state the total number of CLASS MEMBERS that YOU employed in that department or subdivision during the RELEVANT TIME PERIOD.

## RESPONSE TO SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 205:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that as defined by Plaintilf and in the context of this Request the term "CI.ASS MEMBERS" is vague, ambiguous, and nerermoth and thereby renders this Request unintelligithe. Defendant lurther objects on the groumb that it is vague and ambiguous as to the terms "department" and "subdivision."

Subject to and without waiving its objections. Defendant responds that the cstmated number of hourly-paid employees who have worked in the deparments identified in Response in Special Interrogatory No 204 sinee December 2. 2004 are:

Food \& Beverage front of the house: 215
Kitchen: 107
Administration: 5
(rislf: 29
Outside Services: 113
Banquets: 58
Course Maintenance/ Greenskeepers: 71
Habitat/Landscaping: It
Deli: 37
Club house maintenance: 35
Security: 52
Sales: 15

DATED: August 1 . 2011

vtorneys for Detendate
VH PROPERTY (O)RP

## VERIFICATION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA (cOUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

1. Lili Amini, declare as follows:

I have read DEFENDANT VH PROPERTY CORP.'S REPSONES TO PLAINTIFF DAVE S. PERRY'S SEPCIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET THREE.

I am the General Manager of Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles. a party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason. 1 am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.

I declare under penalty! of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August $\qquad$ .2011. at Rancho Rales Ferdes. California


## PROOF OF SERVICE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF I.OS ANGELES

I am a resident of the State of Calitornia. over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the


On August $\frac{12}{2}, 2011.1$ served the following described as DEFENDANT 1 it
PROPERTY CORP' 'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S SPECIAL
INTERROGATORIES, SET THREE on the interested parties in this action:
|XX| by placing the true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes. addressed ats stated on thei following mailing list:

Jeffey W Cowan Esy<br>The Cowan Lay. Firm<br>1541 Ocean Avenue. Suite 200<br>Sunta Monica. CA 90401<br>Anthony J. Orshansky. Esq. Orshansky \& Yeremian LLP<br>16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1245<br>Encine. CA 91436

|XX| (Y'A U.S. MAIL)
II I deposited such envelope in the mail at Los Angeles, California. The envelope was mailed with postage fully prepaid.
[xx] As follows: I am "readily lamiliar" with Trump National Golf" ('lub', practicic. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it irould hel deposited with U.S. postal service on the same day with postage thereon tollt prepind , in Rancho Palos Verdes. California in the ordinary course of business. I am atime that on motion of the party served. service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date on postage meter date is more than one day after day of deposit for mailing in athidanil
Executed August 2.2011 at Rancho Palos Verdes. Califormia.
[XX] (STATE) I declare under penalty under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and accurate.

Exhibit C

GLENN L. BRIGGS (SBN 174497)
HODEL BRIGGS WINTER LLP
8105 Irvine Center Drive
Suite 1400
Irvine, CA 92618
T: 949.450 .8040
F: 949.450 .8033
JILL A. MARTIN (SBN 245626)
e/o Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles
One Ocean Trails Drive
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275
T: (310) 303-3225
F: (310) 265-5522
Attorneys for Defendant
VH PROPERTY CORP., dba TRUMP NATIONAL GOLF CLUB

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DAVE S. PERRY on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, Plaintiff,
v.

VH PROPERTY CORP., a Delaware corporation doing business as TRUMP NATIONAL GOLF CLUB, and DOES 1 to 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. BC403087
(Originally assigned case No. BC408999 prior to consolidation)

ASSIGNED TO HON. MARK V. MOONEY, DEPT. 68

DEFENDANT VH PROPERTY CORP.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS, SET ONE:

Trial Date: None Set
Complaint Filed: March 5, 2009

## PROPOUNDING PARTY:

RESPONDING PARTY:

Plaintiff, DAVE S. PERRY
Defendant, VH PROPERTY CORP., dba TRUMP
NATIONAL GOLF CLUB
ONE

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 2033.210, et seq., Defendant VH Property Corp. ("Defendant"), hereby responds, for itself alone and no other entity, to Plaintiff Dave Perry's ("Plaintiff") Request for Admissions, Set One propounded as follows:

[^17]
## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

These responses are made solely for the purposes of this action and on behalf of Defendant alone and no other entity or person. Each response is subject to all objections as to competence, relevance, materiality, propriety, and admissibility, and all other objections and grounds which would require the exclusion of any statements contained herein, if such statements were made by a witness present and testifying in court, all of which are expressly reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial.

The information contained in each response is based only upon the information currently available to Defendant. Defendant's investigation and discovery in preparation for trial is continuing and will continue throughout this action. Additional investigation may disclose further information relevant to these responses, including information obtained by Defendant from Plaintiff or third parties. Defendant's responses to these requests are made without prejudice to its right to introduce any and all documents and other evidence of any kind in this action. Defendant responds to these requests as it understands them and reserves the right to provide different responses if Plaintiff later provides a different interpretation. Defendant is not making any incidental or implied admissions. The fact that Defendant has answered part or all of any request is not intended and shall not be construed to be a waiver by Defendant of all or any part of any objection to any request.

Discovery in this matter is ongoing. Defendant fully reserves its right to alter, amend, supplement or otherwise revise these responses if, for any reason, such revisions or supplements become appropriate or warranted.

## GENERAL OBJECTIONS

The following general objections apply to each Request for Admissions. The assertion of the same, similar, or additional objections to an individual request does not waive any of Defendant's general objections set forth below.

1. Defendant objects to the Request for Admissions generally, and to each Request for Admission specifically, to the extent they are in contravention of and/or expand upon the requirements of the California Code of Civil Procedure or other applicable rules or law.
2. Defendant objects to the Request for Admissions generally, and to each Request for Admission specifically, to the extent they seek information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney-work-product doctrine, and/or other applicable privileges.
3. Defendant objects to the Request for Admissions generally, and to each Request for Admission specifically, to the extent they seek confidential, trade secret, proprietary, financial, or commercially sensitive information, the disclosure of which would constitute an invasion of the constitutionally-protected right of privacy or could result in substantial competitive injury to Defendant or breach by Defendant of an obligation to another to maintain such information as confidential.
4. Defendant objects to the Request for Admissions generally, and to each Request for Admission specifically, to the extent that they call for information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
5. Defendant objects to the Request for Admissions generally, and to each Request for Admission specifically, to the extent that they are vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and/or unduly burdensome.

Subject to the foregoing General Objections, which are incorporated into each specific response below, Defendant responds, for itself alone, as follows:

## RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 CLASS MEMBERS did not clock out for any meal periods.
["CLASS MEMBER" means all persons who are or have been employed as nonexempt hourly employees by YOU in the State of California.
"DEFENDANT," "YOU," "YOUR," "YOURS," or "RESPONDING PARTY," refers to Defendant VH PROPERTY CORP.]

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase
"meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt employee from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5; 2009 YOU had a policy or practice of not requiring CLASS MEMBERS to clock out for meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is compound.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009, it had a practice of not requiring inon-exempt employees to clock out for breaks.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Outside Services/Valet Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONINO. 3:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Outside Services/Valet Department employee from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009.
making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in its Outside Services/ Valet Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Kitchen Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt Kitchen Department employee from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in its Kitchen Department didInot clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REOUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Food \& Beverage Front of the House Department did not clock out for any meal periods,

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION|NO. 5:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt Food \& Beverage Front of the House Department employee from March 5,

2005 to March 5, 2009, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in its Food \& Beverage Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Deli Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt Deli Department employee from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in lightlof the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that iwo or more CLASS MEMBERS in its Deli Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7 :

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Sales Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt Sales employee from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009, making this request
unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in its Sales Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Golf Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8 :

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt Golf Department employee from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in its Golf Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Security Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9 :

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt Security Department employee from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009,
making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in its Security Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Banquets Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt Banquets Department employee from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in its Banquets Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Course Maintenance/Greenskeepers Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt Course Maintenance/ Greenskeepers Department employee from March 5,

2005 to March 5, 2009, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in its Course Maintenance/ Greenskeepers Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12 :

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2005 CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Club House Maintenance Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt Clubhouse Maintenance Department employee from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in its Clubhouse Maintenance Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13 :

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Habitat/Landscaping Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt Habitat/Landscaping Department employee from March 5, 2005 to March

5,2009, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in its Habitat/ Landscaping Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Admit from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Construction Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt Construction Department employee from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in its Construction Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15 :

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Administration Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt Administration Department employee from March 5, 2005 to March 5,

2009, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in its Administration Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REOUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 YOU required CLASS MEMBERS to get permission from authorized supervisors before taking meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that due to the overbroad definition of CLASS MEMBERS, it is impossible to respond to this request because each supervisor for each department was responsible for deciding whether to require CLASS MEMBERS to obtain authorization before taking a meal period. Defendant further objects on the ground that this interrogatory is vague and ambiguous in its entirety.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, to the extent this request is seeking an admission that Defendant had a policy of requiring all non-exempt employees during the four year period identified to obtain permission before taking a meal period: Deny.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Admit that from March 5, 2005 to March 5, 2009 YOU required CLASS MEMBERS to get permission from authorized supervisors to leave the premises of Trump National Golf Club during their meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant further
objects on the grounds that "Trump National Golf Club" is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant also objects on the grounds that due to the overbroad definition of CLASS MEMBERS, it is impossible to respond to this request because each supervisor for each department was responsible for deciding whether to require CLASS MEMBERS to oblain authorization before leaving the premises of Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles. Defendant also objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous in its entirety.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, to the extent this request seeks an admission that during the four year period identified, Defendant had a policy of requiring all non-exempt employees to get permission before leaving the premises of Defendant for a meal period: Deny.

DATED: July 12,2012

## VERIFICATION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
) ss
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
)

I, Lili Amini, declare as follows:
I have read DEFENDANT VH PROPERTY CORP.'S RESPONSES TO
PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS, SET ONE.
I am the General Manager of Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles, a party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 12,2012 , at Rancho Palos Verde California.


## PROOF OF SERVICE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is One Ocean Trails Drive, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275.

On July 13, 2012, I served the following described as DEFENDANT VH PROPERTY CORP'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS, SET ONE On the interested parties in this action:
[XX] by placing the true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes, addressed as stated on the following mailing list:

Jeffrey W. Cowan, Esq.<br>The Cowan Law Firm 1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200<br>Santa Monica, CA 90401<br>Anthony J. Orshansky, Esq.<br>Orshansky \& Yeremian LLP<br>16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1245<br>Encino, CA 91436

## [XX] (VIA U.S. MAIL)

[ ] I deposited such envelope in the mail at Los Angeles, California. The envelope was mailed with postage fully prepaid.
[xx] As follows: I am "readily familiar" with Trump National Golf Club's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on the same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Rancho Palos Verdes, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after day of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
Executed July 13, 2012 at Rancho Palos Verdes, California.
[XX] (STATE) I declare under penalty under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.


Jeffrey W. Cowan, Esq.

1. I Jeffrey W. Cowan declare that I am licensed to practice law in the State of California and also am admitted to practice in the District of Columbia (where I now am on inactive status). I practice law under the name The Cowan Law Firm.
2. I am trial counsel for Plaintiff Lucy Messerschmidt in this lawsuit. I have attended every deposition in this lawsuit and have either drafted or helped draft every document filed in this action. Accordingly, I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and, if sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.
3. Attached hereto as Exhibit D are true and correct copies of pages 1, 7, and 146-147 from Donald Trump's autobiography The Art of the Deal ( $1^{\text {st }}$ published in 1987).
4. Attached hereto as Exhibit E are true and correct copies of pages 1, 19-20, 47, 50, 54-$60,64,66-69,72-73,95-99,105-106,116-117,130-133,146-147,151-153,163-164$, 191-192, and deposition transcript corrections from the certified transcript of the deposition of Joel "Joey" Kim.
5. Attached hereto as Exhibit $F$ are true and correct copies of pages 1, 19-22, 25-28, 36, $42-43,45,48-50,52-56,58-60,62-64,66,68,71-72,75-77,79,81-83,85-86,89,92-$ $93,96-103,105,109-115,117-119,122-125,132-138,141-146,150-157,159-161$, 164-167, 171, 173, 177, 180, 182-183, 188-189, 190-192, 194, 205,-207, 231, 267-270, 275-276, 279 from the certified transcript of the deposition of Tom Sperandeo.
6. Attached hereto as Exhibit G are true and correct copies of pages 1, 57-59, 96, 106-107, $111-116,118-119,122,124,126-128,130-132,206-208,210$ from the certified transcript of the deposition of David Conforti.
7. Attached hereto as Exhibit H are true and correct copies of pages 1, 103-104, 106-107, 109, 112-113, 120-121, 125-128, 130-134, 137-143, 148-149 from the certified transcript of the deposition of Lili Amini.
8. Attached hereto as Exhibit I are true and correct copies of pages 1, 23, 34, 41-42, 52-53. $70-71,80-81,88-89,108,113-114,121-122,131,133-134,136,141-144,146-148$, 152-153, 156-157, 165, 167-171, 181-184, 221-222 from the certified transcript of the
deposition of Mike van der Goes.
9. Attached hereto as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of Defendant's response to Plaintiff's $1^{\text {st }}$ set of Requests for Admission.
10. Attached hereto as Exhibit K is a true and correct copy of Defendant's response to Plaintiff's $1^{\text {st }}$ set of form interrogatories (general).

## Witness intimidation at Trump

11. I have personally telephoned many dozens of prospective class members (and interviewed dozens as well), and my staff has also called these persons for me. In approximately 2011, my (then) assistant, who is a licensed lawyer in two jurisdictions outside California, telephoned a putative class member (using information provided by Defendant Trump). Because this person spoke primarily Spanish, I engaged a translator named Carla Gonzalez (see her concurrently filed declaration) to help my law clerk/assistant interview this person. The translator reported that this employee said he could confirm the break violations that are at issue in this lawsuit but was unwilling to talk to me or get involved by signing a statement because he is a current employee, needed to keep his job, and had been told by his supervising manager that employees who talked about the lawsuit would be disciplined or fired. I later spoke to this worker's son, who shortly thereafter told me that his father had changed his mind. But when I arranged for Ms. Gonzalez to help my assistant interview the father again, he again declined to participate on stated grounds of fear of retaliation.
12. Attached hereto as Exhibit L is a true and correct copy of my October 17, 2011 letter to Trump's lawyer Jill Martin.
13. Attached hereto as Exhibit $M$ is a true and correct copy of Jill Martin's October 21, 2011 letter to me, and my November 18, 2011 reply to her.
14. I cross-examined Trump manager Joel "Joey" Kim at his June 22, 2012 deposition. Although Ms. Martin had claimed in her letter that all employees had been told that there were free to talk to plaintiff's counsel about this lawsuit without fear of retaliation, Mr . Kim (who is manager but also a putative member of the class for a portion of his
employment) testified that no one had ever communicated such a message to him. [See pages 114-117 from the Kim Depo.]
15. For this reason, and to the extent the Court is concerned by either the quantity of declarations Plaintiffs have submitted or the quantity of declarations that Trump may submit in opposition, we ask that it consider this testimony and weigh whether Trump has tampered with potential witnesses and to what extent such tampering should impact the Court's findings of fact.

## Trump's use of New York lawyers not licensed in California

16. I have searched the California State Bar's membership records for the names of the three in-house lawyers at The Trump Organization in New York that Tom Sperandeo testified were giving legal advice to Trump about employment law matters. None is listed as being licensed to practice law in California. Attached hereto as Exhibit N are true and correct copies of the search results for the names Bernard Diamond, Jason Greenblatt and Michael Boccio. I also ask that the Court judicially notice that these persons have never been licensed to practice law in California.

## My academic and professional qualifications

17. I am a 1991 graduate of the UCLA School of Law, and I practiced law from the fall of 1992 through August 2001 at Kendig \& Ross in Century City, where I was an associate before making partner. Thereafter, I was Of Counsel to Hennelly \& Grossfeld in the Pacific Palisades from October 2001 through September 2003. Both of my prior firms had "AV" ratings and practiced complex business litigation and employment law. At those firms, I defended class action lawsuits (and either got them dismissed or settled for nuisance dollars).
18. Since starting my own firm, I have expanded my practice to include the prosecution of class action lawsuits. I was plaintiff's co-counsel of record (with Andrew Friedman of Helmer Friedman) in the wage and hour class action lawsuit entitled John Joseph Saint John v. Tatitlek Support Services, USDC Case No. ED-CV08-1909-JZ (Rzx). That lawsuit - which included claims for the failure to allow meal and rest breaks - resulted
in a $\$ 2.2$ million settlement, which the Hon. Jack Zouhary approved in late 2011.
19. I also have taken (and continue to take) MCLE programs about class action lawsuits. These include a February 23, 2007 program of 6.25 hours sponsored by the Los Angeles County Bar Association, and a two day program on May 17 \& 182012 sponsored by CLE International. In addition, other MCLE programs I have attended over the years. included presentations or discussions about class action issues, including programs sponsored by the Los Angeles County Bar Association's Employment Law Section (e.g., a one hour presentation entitled "Ex Parte Communications in Class Actions: What Did You Say?" from the March 31, 2010 LACBA Employment Law Symposium).
20. Since 2003 I have had my own "AV" rating from Martindale Hubbell, and I have been named a "Southern California Super Lawyer" every year since 2007.
21. I have successfully litigated civil jury, bench trials and arbitrations to verdict (and won)
22. I also have prosecuted misdemeanor jury trials to verdict since 2001 as a pro bono deputy Los Angeles City Attorney, a deputy Inglewood City Attorney, and a deputy Ventura County D.A. through the Los Angeles County Bar's Trial Advocacy Program.
23. I have argued multiple cases before the $2^{\text {nd }}$ District Court of Appeal, including two cases that resulted in published appellate decisions.
24. In addition, I have accepted invitations and spoken to lawyers at MCLE programs about employment law and discovery issues.
25. In light of the foregoing facts and professional experiences, I respectfully submit that I am qualified to serve as class counsel in this lawsuit.
26. This case has been consolidated with the related Dave Perry lawsuit. Mr. Perry's lawyers Orshansky \& Yeremian and I agreed to collaborate and prosecute the class claims together as co-counsel. Accordingly, I respectfully ask the Court that it appoint my firm and Orshansky \& Yeremian as joint class counsel.
27. Attached as Exhibits 1 and 2 respectively are true and correct copies of the 2003 and 2006 Trump National Golf Club employee handbooks, which were marked (as exhibits 1 and 2 in this case) and authenticated at multiple depositions in this case, including
those of Mike van der Goes, David Conforti, Lili Amini and Tom Sperandeo.
28. Attached as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of former Trump general manager Ewa Hyjek's undated memo about "employee meals" that has been marked as Exhibit 6 at deposition in this case (and was authenticated at the deposition of Tom Sperandeo, on pp. 173-176) and previously marked as Exhibit 6 in this case.
29. Attached as Exhibit 8 a true and correct copy of the Trump 2008 "hostess training manual" that was authenticated at the deposition of Tom Sperandeo (p. 171:16-23) and previously marked as Exhibit 8 in this case.
30. Attached hereto as Exhibit 9 are printouts from the Trump National Golf Club website (which I printed and which were marked as Exhibit 9 at deposition in this case). I ask that they be judicially noticed or alternatively admitted pursuant to Evidence Code § 1220.
31. Attached as Exhibit 26 is a true and correct copy of the Trump "Food and Beverage Orientation Manual 2008", which was previously marked as Exhibit 26 at the deposition of David Conforti.
32. Attached as Exhibit 29 is a true and correct copy of the January 8, 2009 Trump memo from Mariela Farias re "lunch breaks," which was marked and authenticated at Exhibit 29 at the deposition of Tom Sperandeo (pp. 158-160).
33. Attached as Exhibit 30 is a true and correct copy of the April 16, 2009 memo from David Conforti re New Time Clocks that was marked as Exhibit 30 at Mr. Conforti's deposition.
34. Attached hereto as Exhibits 32,33 and 34 , respectively, are true and correct copies of the February 14, 2008, September 4, 2008 warning notices that Trump issued to David Perry and the September 5, 2008 termination notice it issued to Mr. Pery. These documents were marked and authenticated at the deposition of David Conforti.
35. Attached as Exhibit 65 is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 65 in this case, a timesheet for Lucy Messerschmidt, which was authenticated on pages 269-270 of the Tom Sperandeo deposition (he testified its absence of time clocked out for meal breaks was
typical of all employees).
36. Attached hereto as Exhibit 66 is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 66 in this case, which was marked and authenticated at the deposition of Joel Kim (pp. 96-96).
37. Attached hereto as Exhibit 67 is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 67 in this case, an email thread between Joel Kim and David Conforti, which was marked and authenticated at the deposition of Joel Kim.
38. Attached hereto as Exhibit 68 is a true and correct copy of the 05-14-09 memo from Joel Kim to "outside service staff" that was marked as Exhibit 68 at Mr. Kim's deposition (and authenticated on pp. 105-106).

1 declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that I executed this declaration on July 20, 2012.


Jeffrey W. Cowan

Exhibit D


## DEALING A Week in the Life

IDON'T do it for the money. P've got enough, much more than I'll ever need. I do it to do it. Deals are my art form. Other people paint beautifully on canvas or write wonderful poetry, I like making deals, preferably big deals. That's how I get my kicks.
Most people are surprised by the way I work. I play it very loose. I don't carry a briefcase. I try not to schedule too many meetings. I leave my door open. You can't be imaginative or entrepreneurial if you've got too much structure. I prefer to come to work each day and just see what develops.
There is no typical week in my life. I wake up most mornings very early, around six, and spend the first hour or so of each day reading the morning newspapers. I usually arrive at my office by nine, and I get on the phone. There's rarely a day with fewer than fifty calls, and often it runs to over a hundred. In between, I have at least a dozen meetings. The majority occur on the spur of the moment, and few of them last longer than fifteen minutes. I rarely stop for lunch. I leave my office by six-thirty, but I frequently make calls from home until midnight, and all weekend long.
It never stops, and I wouldn't have it any other way. I try to learn
that they have opposed me. I'm just looking to hire the best talent, wherever I can find it.
Tony has been helping to coordinate the rebuilding of the Wollman Skating Rink in Central Park, a project the city failed at so miserably for seven years. In June I offered to do the job myself. Now we're ahead of schedule, and Tony tells me that he's set up a press conference for Thursday to celebrate the last important step in construction: pouring the concrete.

It doesn't sound like much of a news event to me, and I ask him if anyone is likely to show up. He says at least a dozen news organizations have RSVPd yes. So much for my news judgment.

2:00 p.m. I get deposed in a lawsuit we've brought against a contractor on Trump Tower. Halfway into the job we had to fire the company for total incompetence, and we're suing for damages. I hate lawsuits and depositions, but the fact is that if you're right, you've got to take a stand, or people will walk all over you. In any case, there's no way I could avoid depositions, even if I never brought a lawsuit myself. Nowadays, if your name is Donald Trump, everyone in the world seems to want to sue you.

3:00 p.m. I ask Norma Foerderer, my executive assistant and the person who keeps my life organized, to bring me lunch: a can of tomato juice. I rarely go out, because mostly, it's a waste of time.

3:15 p.M. I put in a call to Sir Charles Goldstein; he's out, and I leave a message. He's a successful real estate attorney, but not one of my favorites.

I'm pretty sure Charlie Goldstein is from the Bronx, but he's a very pompous guy and has a tendency to act like royalty, so I call him Sir Charles. Over the weekend, I heard that Lee Iacocca had hired Sir Charles to represent him on a deal in Palm Beach where Lee and I intend to be partners. Lee had no way of knowing about my past experience with Sir Charles. A while back, I was in the middle of making a deal with a guy who needed an attorney, and I recommended Sir Charles. The next thing I knew, Sir Charles was recommending to his client that he not make the deal with me. I couldn't believe it!
bigger profit. In addition, I planned to build new suites and restaurants.
Financing, of course, now became my responsibility. The prime rate had been around I4 percent when I first started looking at property in Atlantic City. By mid-1986, it had dropped to 9 percent. My problem with bank financing, even at these lower rates, was that I'd still be required to put myself personally on the line for the money. I didn't find that appealing.
As a result, I decided to seek public financing for the project, through a bond issue. The downside was that I'd have to pay a higher interest rate to attract buyers, but the upside was that once the issue sold out, I wouldn't be personally liable. In the end, Bear Stearns was able to sell an offering for $\$ 250$ million-which not only covered the \$so million cash due to Holiday but also permitted me to pay off the \$170 million mortgage on the building and left me the money to build a suitable parking facility. Interest payments on the financing came to just above $\$ 30$ million a year. That was about $\$ 7$ million a year more than I'd have paid for bank financing, but to me it was money well spent. By relieving me of personal financial liability, it assured I'd sleep better at night.
During this same period, I hired a new general manager for the facility, which I had renamed Trump Plaza Hotel and Casino. I looked first at my best competitors. At the time, Stephen Hyde was executive vice president and chief operating officer under Steve Wynn at the Golden Nugget. Before that, he'd worked at the Sands and at Caesars, both top casinos. When I asked people in town to name the best casino executives, Hyde was always at the top of the list. As soon as we met, I understood why. He had a lot of gaming experience, he was a very sharp guy and highly competitive, but most of all, he had a sense of how to manage to the bottom line. A lot of managers focus on maximizing revenue since that's what gets reported publicly most often. The smarter guys understand that while big revenues are great, the real issue is the spread between the revenues and costs-because that's your profit.

No sooner had I hired Steve than we turned around and hired away a dozen of the best people who'd worked for him over the years, including Paul Patay, the number-one food-and-beverage man in Atlantic City. I have a very simple rule when it comes to management:
hire the best people fromyour competitors pay them moresthan they weresearningeand give thembonuseswandwincentives based on their performance. That's how you build first-class operation.
In 1985, the first full year of operation under Harrah's management, the facility earned a gross operating profit of approximately $\$ 35$ million before interest, taxes, and depreciation. For 1986, Harrah's projected a gross operating profit of $\$ 38$ million. Based on the first five months during which they continued to manage the facility, they were running just slightly under projections.
We took over on May 16. For the full year, our gross operating profit was nearly $\$ 58$ million, or $\$ 20$ million more than Harrah's had projected. This was despite the fact that in June we closed down our existing parking lot to begin construction on the new garage. We're estimating that by 1988 our gross operating profit will reach $\$ 90$ million.
By all rights, that should be the end of the story. However, success running the Boardwalk facility with my own management made me see a broader opportunity. Specifically, I started to look around at other possible deals to buy companies that owned casinos. Holiday Inns was an obvious target. Even after selling me the Boardwalk facility, they still owned three other casinos-one in Atlantic City and two in Nevada-as well as nearly a thousand hotels around the world.

As a result, in mid-August, two months after buying them out in Atlantic City, I began purchasing stock in Holiday. By September 9, I'd purchased nearly s percent of the company, or some one million shares. At that point, I had two basic options: One was to hold the stock as an investment. The other was to go for control.
I had no doubt the company was undervalued. For one thing, because they owned so much real estate, they were entitled to large writeoffs for depreciation. Therefore they reported net profits far below what they were actually able to retain. On the basis of a stock price of \$54 a share in early August 1986, I was in a position to purchase effective control of the company for not much more than \$I billion. In one scenario, for example, I would sell off all of the noncasino hotelsperhaps for as much as $\$ 700$ million-and retain just the three casinohotels, which by themselves were worth nearly that much.

No sooner did word get out that I'd begun accumulating Holiday Inns stock than its price started to rise. I assume arbitrageurs were
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the director of outside services, did you also create the schedules for the valets?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you do that in conjunction with anyone else?
A. No.
Q. When you staffed valets for the shifts while you were the director of outside services, would you indicate in the schedules time periods for meal breaks? MS. MARTIN: Objection. Overbroad, vague. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what time frame you're speaking of. MR. YEREMIAN: Okay.
Q. At any time have you scheduled breaks in the -have you indicated time periods for valets to take breaks in the schedule?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you start doing that?
A. I don't know the exact date.
Q. Is it within the last year?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it within the last two years?
A. I can't recall the -- the approximate time.
Q. Okay.

But it's been at least a year that you've been
doing this?
A. Yes.
Q. When you first became the director of outside services, were you scheduling these breaks .- these meal breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Vague.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: On the schedule for the valets?
A. Time frame in the very beginning?
Q. When you first became the director of outside services?
A. No.
Q. Do you remember when Mike van der Goes left the golf course?

MR. CABADA: Objection. Vague.
MS. MARTIN: Vague.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: Who took over for

Mike van der Goes?
MS. MARTIN: Objection. Vague.
MR. CABADA: Lacks foundation.
(At this point in the proceedings, the
deponent and his attorney are conferring
out of the hearing of the reporter.)
MR. YEREMIAN: Let me clear it up.

```
to take their meal breaks?
```

MR. CABADA: Objection. Overbroad.
THE WITNESS: During that time they would take it during downtime.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: When you were the supervisor, would you tell someone to go on a meal break during downtime?
A. I would imagine yes, I did.
Q. Well, are you guessing or are you - do you remember actually doing that?
A. I don't recall actually doing that.
Q. Okay.

As director of outside services, did you do that - did you inform an outside service attendant to actually take a meal break?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know how often you did that?
A. Frequently.
Q. Was it your practice to tell outside services person to take meal breaks during downtime?
A. In what capacity?
Q. As a director of outside services?
A. Downtime wouldn't be the -- the actual reason why I was, you know, asking to go on a break. It would be the time frame.
Q. So, as far as you can remember, each shift had one supervisor?
A. As far as I could remember, yes.
Q. And it's your testimony that while you were a valet supervisor, you would tell employees when to take meal breaks?
A. Not always.
Q. So the times that you did not tell employees to take meal breaks, how would an employee know to take a meal break?
A. They would -- they would take it upon themselves. The breaks were always available, but they would take it upon themselves to - to $\ldots$ to take a break.

Like I said before, we provided meal ... employee meals at two different times of the day, and they would take it during -- mostly during those times if not -
Q. But what you're saying is that - when you wouldn't tell an employee when to take a break, the employee would use their own judgment to decide when to take a break?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever write up an employee for deciding to take a meal break?
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: Do you recall if it's been your practice since you became director of outside services?
A. Again, $I$ can't recall if -- that time frame.
Q. When employees brought food back from food runs, where did you observe them eating the food?
A. We have a designated break room located downstairs. We have a loading dock over by the security area, they could - they could opt to eat there as well.
Q. Did you ever observe any valets eating in the actual valet area?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Vague.
THE WITNESS: In terms of the valet station?
MR. YEREMIAN: Correct.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: How often would you see that?
A. It would vary.
Q. But it's happened more than once?
A. Yes.
Q. Has it happened more than ten times?
A. That I observed?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Have you observed it happening so many times that you can't count?
A. Beyond ten I can't recall how many times I've seen it.
Q. Did you ever reprimand anyone for eating at the valet station?
A. "Reprimand" in terms of write up?
Q. Write them up?
A. Not that I can recall. Our policy was that we would rather not have anybody eat at the desk or rather inside the designated areas, the break room, for a number of reasons.
Q. Did you ever discuss the topic of food runs with Dave Conforti?
A. Not that I could recall.
Q. Did you ever discuss the topic of meal breaks, in general, with Dave Conforti?
A. The 30 -minute meal break?
Q. Correct.
A. Not that I could recall.
Q. Did you ever discuss the 30 -minute meal breaks with Mike van der Goes?
A. Again, not that $I$ can recall.
Q. Do you recall discussing the 30 -minute meal breaks with Lily Amini?
A. Not that I could recall.
Q. Do you recall discussing the 30 -minute meal
breaks with Tom sperandeo?
A. Yes.
Q. How many conversations do you recall having with him about meal breaks?
A. One in particular.
Q. Do you recall when that was?
A. Year, date, no, I don't.
Q. Was it within the last year?
A. No.
Q. Was it at a time that you were director of outside services?
A. I can't recall.
Q. When did you first become aware of this lawsuit that $M r$. Perry filed against Trump National? MS. MARTIN: Objection. Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: I can't recall where or when I heard about it.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: Was it more than a year ago?
A. Again, I - I can't recall. I'd only be speculating if $I$ said more than a year.
Q. I'm just trying to find out what you know, that's all?
A. Yeah.
Q. Now, that conversation you had with Mr. Conforti about - I'm sorry, with Mr. Sperandeo
about the meal breaks, what do you recall discussing with him about the meal breaks?
A. If my memory serves me correctly, I recall approaching $M r$. Sperandeo and asking about the 30 -minute meal break at which time he -- he informed me that the meal breaks are provided through our employee meals that are put out, I believe, at -- I'm not sure what time they were, but they were put out at certain times of the day where employees can -- staff members can eat. You know, we feed them and they're on the clock as well so that will constitute their break.
Q. Do you remember why you approached him about this issue?
A. No.
Q. Was there anything else you discussed about meal breaks with Mr. Sperandeo in this conversation?
A. No. I approached him with a question about the 30 -minute meal breaks and that was the answer that he gave me was that -- the reasoning is that we are, you know, like I said.

That was pretty much it.
Q. Okay.

Do you recall any other conversations
you've had with any other managerial employees at
Trump National regarding the topic of meal breaks
besides this conversation with Mr. Sperandeo?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever have any conversations with any outside services personnel about the issue of meal breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Overbroad.
THE WITNESS: Not that I can recall.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: Do you recall any outside services personnel asking you questions about meal breaks?
A. Not that $I$ could recall.
Q. Now, when you were a valet supervisor, would you require attendants to receive authorization from you before they could go on a meal break?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Vague.
MR. CABADA: Objection. Overbroad.
THE WITNESS: You'd have to specify what -
what time frame you're talking about.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: I'm talking about when you were a valet supervisor?
A. Valet supervisor? No.
Q. Was there any point in time when you did require valets to receive authorization from you prior to going on meal breaks?
A. Yes.
Q. When did that start?
A. It was implemented by Dave Conforti. As to
time frame, I can't recall the exact time frame.
Q. Did Mr. Conforti communicate this policy to you directly?
A. I can't recall how -- can't recall exactly how he implemented this policy.
Q. Okay. So you don't remember if there was a memo that he distributed or an E-mail?
A. Yeah. I can't recall if it was via memo or -I can't recall exactly.
Q. So did he communicate this policy somehow to you and then you communicated it to valet personnel or did he communicate it directly to everyone?

MR. CABADA: If you know.
MR. YEREMIAN: Yes.
THE WITNESS: I can't recall, yeah.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: So when this policy was implemented to require authorization, how would an employee receive authorization to go on a meal break? MR. CABADA: I'm sorry, can you repeat the question?
(The pending question was read by
the reporter.)

THE WITNESS: They would inform a -- inform a manager, supervisor of their going off site.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: So was this authorization required just to go off site or just to take a meal break of any kind?
A. It was to go on site for a food run.
Q. Okay.

So is it correct to state that the policy was that authorization was required to leave the Trump National Golf Course to go on a food run?
A. Yes. After Mr. Conforti implemented that policy, yes.
Q. Before he implemented that policy, there was no policy requiring authorization to go off site for a food run?
A. Not that I know of.
Q. So to receive authorization, they needed it from a supervisor or a director of outside services?
A. Supervisor, outside services or pro shop staff.
Q. So the director -- yourself, the director of outside services, someone in the pro shop staff?
A. Or manager -- pro shop manager.
Q. Or a valet supervisor?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: How often did these outside service meetings take place?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Overbroad. THE WITNESS: We would try to make it as often as possible. It would vary.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: On a weekly basis?
A. No. We would -- we would shoot for a
monthly - on a monthly basis but it would vary.
Q. Do you recall the topic of getting authorization to leave the premises for a food run being discussed at one of these outside services meetings?
A. I can't recall at this time.
Q. Did you ever personally inform any employees that they were required to have authorization to leave the premises for a food run?
A. It's very possible.
Q. Do you remember doing it?
A. No.
Q. Has any outside service employee ever complained to you about not getting a meal break?
A. Not that I could recall at this time.
Q. So have employees actually asked you for authorization to leave the premises for food runs?
A. Time frame now? Currently?
Q. Well, has anyone ever asked you for permission

MR. CABADA: Okay.
(Lunch recess.)
MR, YEREMIAN: Okay.
Q. Mr. Kim, I just want to remind you you're still under oath?
A. Okay.
Q. Have you taken any medication since this morning that would affect your ability to give accurate testimony?
A. No.
Q. What's your understanding of the law regarding rest periods in California for nonexempt employees?
A. An employee can work up to five hours without a meal period not to exceed five hours before --
Q. Let me just stop you there because you're reciting the meal break law that we talked about before Iunch.
A. Yeah.
Q. What I was asking you was do you know what the rest break rules are for nonexempt employees?
A. Yes. In an eight-hour period you're entitled to two ten-minute rest periods.
Q. Do you know if this policy was communicated to -- strike that.

You testified that that's what your
understanding of California law is. What was the policy at Trump National for rest breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Overbroad as to time.
MR. CABADA: Same objection.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: What was the policy for rest breaks for nonexempt employees during the period of time that you've been the director of services?
A. The two ten-minute breaks?
Q. Right.
A. I'm sorry, repeat the question one more time. I'm sorry.
Q. My question is simply that what is the Trump National policy for nonexempt employees with regard to rest breaks since you've been director of operations?
A. They receive two rest periods, rest breaks, after the third hour or -. I'm sorry - before the meal period and after the meal period.

MS. MARTIN: And I'm just going to do a belated objection here of director of operations? I don't think he ever testified he was the director of operations.

MR. YEREMIAN: I misstated.
Q. Since you've been director of outside services, that's been the policy?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that policy any different when you were a valet supervisor?
A. No, not that I know of.
Q. And when you were an attendant, was it the same policy?
A. I believe so.
Q. Are outside service personnel required to get authorization before taking a ten-minute rest break?
A. Currently?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Who can they get authorization from?
A. They will inform the outside service coordinator or myself that they will be taking a ten-minute break.
Q. Can they also get that authorization from the pro shop manager?
A. Yes.
Q. When did they start -- when did the outside service personnel -- when did that requirement start for them to obtain authorization to take their ten-minute rest break?
A. I can't recall at this time what time frame that took place.
Q. Okay.

But do you recall that it was a different policy at some point in time where they didn't require authorization?
A. It's --they -. they were always available, the breaks, but now it's just more formalized.
Q. Okay.

So before this authorization policy came into effect, could an outside service personnel take a rest break whenever they wanted to?
A. Yes.
Q. Were there any rules about where they could take these breaks, in what location of the golf course?
A. Currently?
Q. Yes.
A. Currently it has to be in a rest designated area, either the break room or the loading dock area where we have chairs and tables but not the workplace.
Q. So just to understand your testimony, you can take a rest break in the loading dock area or you said the break area?
A. The break room; correct.
Q. Okay.

Can you take a rest break at any other location on the grounds of the golf course?
A. We have a park that's outside the clubhouse
topic of rest breaks?
A. I believe we discussed it in our valet outside service meetings as well.
Q. And -- when you say you believe, do you actually remember discussing it in your meetings or you think you may have?
A. Yes, I remember.
Q. Were these meetings taking place when you were a valet attendant?
A. I can't recall at this time. It was many years ago.
Q. Do you recall if those meetings took place when you were a valet supervisor?
A. Again, I can't recall that time frame whether they were taking place or not.
Q. Do you remember whose idea it was to start having these meetings with the outside services personnel?
A. No. I do not.
Q. Was it Dave Conforti's idea? MR. CABADA: Objection. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I can't recall.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: Since it's been the policy to require authorization for rest breaks, have you been asked by an employee to take a rest break?
A. A rest break or a meal break?
Q. Rest break?
A. Rest break?

I can't recall at this time.
Q. Has anyone ever complained to you about not being able to take rest breaks?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any complaints, in general, from any outside service personnel regarding the ability to take a rest break?
A. $\quad \mathrm{NO}$.
Q. Now, during a typical workday -- well, I'll back up.

Do you have an office at the Trump National
Galf Course?
A. Yes.
Q. Where is that office located?
A. It's - location-wise?
Q. Okay. That's a bad question. Let me back up.

Is it located inside the clubhouse?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

Is it on the same story as the entrance to the
clubhouse?
A. No.
Q. Do you remember where it took place?
A. I can't recall at this time.
Q. Do you remember who initiated the meeting?
A. I believe I did.
Q. Do you remember why?
A. It was concerning a -- it was an attempt on my part to help sway Mr. David Conforti's decision to terminate Mr. Perry on -- I just felt that he was a-he was a good employee. I know that we were approaching our very busy season at the time.
Q. So you're saying that in this meeting you tried to convince $M x$. Conforti to change his mind about terminating Mr. Perry?
A. Yes.

MR. YEREMIAN: I'm going to hand you a document that we're going to mark as Exhibit 66.
(The document referred to was subsequently marked by the Deposition Officer as Plaintiff's Exhibit 66 for identification and is annexed hereto.)
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: Please review Exhibit 66, Mr. Kim.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Kim?
A. I do.
Q. Did you create this document?
A. Yes.
Q. And this document basically explains the meal break policy of Trump National on November 24, 2009?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you distribute this document to all outside service personnel?
A. Yes.
Q. Are there like mailboxes?
A. I posted it -- I posted it on the valet desk in a memo -- copies.
Q. Was that your standard practice to post memos on the valet desk?
A. Yes.
Q. Is this the only written communication - let me ask it another way. Are there any other written communications other than this one between you and outside service personnel regarding the issue of meal breaks?
A. Not that I -- not that I know of.
Q. Why did you write up this memorandum?
A. Eor clarification purposes.
Q. Was there a problem with meal breaks before you wrote up this memorandum? MS. MARTIN: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: It was so that I could
communicate to the staff that the breaks -- it was imperative that the breaks happen before the five-hour marker.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: Was it your idea to draft this document?
A. I can't recall at this time.
Q. Did you consult anyone before you drafted this document?
A. Yes.
Q. Who?
A. The controller at the time, Thomas Sperandeo, and $H R$.
Q. Did they tell you what to put in this? Did they tell you what to put in this?
A. Yes.
Q. Did they type this up for you or did you type it up yourself?
A. I can't recall who typed it up at this time.
Q. The sixth bullet point down, it says:
"Always notify your department supervisor and co-workers before leaving your post for your 30 -minute break."

Is that the authorization policy that you were

```
referring to earlier that you said Dave Conforti
instituted?
```

MR. CABADA: Objection. Misstates the testimony.

THE WITNESS: Repeat the question, please.
MR. YEREMIAN: Sure.
Q. The sixth bullet point, you see what it says; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that bullet point accurately reflect the authorization policy we discussed earlier today that you said Dave Conforti instituted at the Trump National Golf Course?

MR. CABADA: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: Seeing this memorandum, does this jog your memory about when Mr. Conforti announced the policy that required authorization to leave the premises?
A. No.
Q. You believe he may have announced that policy prior to this memorandum?
A. I can't recall.

It's possible.
Q. And if he did, do you know how he would have
communicated that policy to everyone?
MR. CABADA: Asking for speculation.
THE WITNESS: No.

MR. YEREMIAN; Let's take a five-minute break. (Recess.)
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: So, Mr. Kim, Exhibit 66 in front of you you testified is a memorandum that you distributed to outside service personnel; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, what caused you to draft this memorandum? MR. CABADA: Objection. Asked and answered. MS. MARTIN: Join.

THE WITNESS: I wanted to clarify to the staff the appropriate times to take their meal period and focusing more on the five-hour marker, assuming they're working an eight-hour shift, and informing them that .of the waiver of policy that they can work up to six hours if they opt to sign a waiver.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: Why did you believe the staff needed clarification on the meal break policy?
A. Well, as stated in the manual, I just wanted to --

I'm sorry, can you restate the question?
Q. Sure.

Why did you believe that the staff needed a
"Although I am in the process of rebuilding, losing a pillar at this time will be concerning." You're referring to Mr. Perry there as a pillar?
A. Let me see. Let me read this.

Yes.
Q. Okay.

Now, in that previous sentence where you've said, we've lost a good amount of solid staff in our quest to uphold and enforcing company policy, do you remember specifically, you know, what staff members you had lost?
A. No.
Q. Do you remember what policies were violated?
A. No.
Q. Okay.

You can hand that back to the court reporter.
MR. YEREMIAN: This is Exhibit 68.
(The document referred to was subsequently
marked by the Deposition Officer as
Plaintiff's Exhibit 68 for identification
and is annexed hereto.)
THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. YEREMIAN: Okay.
Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Kim?
A. I do.
Q. What is it?
A. It's a memo concerning reminding the staff about our meal breaks $\ldots$ meal periods, and $\ldots$ and taking the meal period on time, the extent of the meal period, and so forth.
Q. Iou drafted this?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that your handwriting at the top?
A. It appears to be, yes.
Q. Is that around the time that you believe that you drafted this memo?
A. It's possible.
Q. And this was directed to outside staff?
A. Yes.
Q. And was this posted on the outside staff desk?
A. Yes.
Q. Why did you write this memo?
A. We -- we - according to the timesheets, some staff members were not taking their breaks. Some of them were taking less than 30 minutes. Some were taking a lot longer than 30 minutes so felt it was necessary to draft up a memo.
Q. Okay.

And I'm asking you what facts have you experienced or observed that cause you to have that feeling?
A. I couldn't say.
Q. Thank you.

Are you aware of other occasions where managers or other persons speaking on behalf of the Trump National Golf Club have told the employees there, "Nothing will happen to you if you speak to anyone about this lawsuit?"
"This lawsuit" referring to the two cases that were brought by my client, Lucy Messerschmidt, and Mr. Yeremian's client, Mr. Perry, that have been consolidated into one case?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever heard indirectly that messages were sent out by the Trump National Golf club to its employees or managers "Nothing will happen to you if you discuss this case"?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any conversations that have taken place among management as to whether such a message should be sent out?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. May call for attorney-client privilege information.

THE WITNESS: No.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: You're considered a department
head; correct?
A. The head pro is the department head.
Q. You're under the head pro?
A. Yes.
Q. To your knowledge, when there are meetings between the general manager and the department heads, are you included or are you not invited to participate in those meetings? MS. MARTIN: Objection. Lacks foundation, THE WITNESS: It varies.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: So sometimes you do get to sit in on those meetings and sometimes you believe such meetings occur without your participation; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

What have you done to prepare for today's deposition?
A. Nothing.
Q. Have you met with Mr. Cabada? MS. MARTIN: Objection. Asked and answered.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: By me?
A. Yes.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. COWAN: Off the record.
(Recess.)
MR. COWAN: Back on the record.
Q. Now, so, Mr. Kim, at some point you - on the time that you really aren't able to estimate as to when it happened, you became the supervisor for the valets and the outside service staff, and that was the first time when you were made or given supervisory or managerial responsibility; right?
A. Yes.
Q. You didn't have any such responsibilities until you got this promotion; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. What training did you receive when you got this promotion so that you would know properly how to supervise the people under you?

MR. CABADA: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: "Training" is such a broad term.
I mean -- I -- I -

MR. COWAN: Let me be more specific.
Q. When I say "training," I mean specific education that the golf club, whichever one it was you can remember the year that you got this promotion, gave you so that you would know how to be a manager who was
acting effectively and complying with club policy and, you know, being a good manager.

Did they give you any classes? Did they send you to classes in conjunction with your promotion?
A. Periodically they did have classes.
Q. No. I'm asking a different question. Not classes for all the managers and department heads and supervisors. I'm talking now for you specifically when you got this promotion. Did they send you to any classes?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Vague, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Not that I can recall.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Who would have been the supervisor -- let me back up.

Were you replacing someone or did you become the supervisor in addition to others?

MR. CABADA: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
MS. MARTIN: Asked and answered.
THE WITNESS: I can't recall how that transitioned at that time.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Was there someone who had been the supervisor for outside services who, you know, let you shadow him or her for a day or two so that you would understand what it means to be a supervisor?
A. Not that I could recall at this time.

MR. CABADA: A belated objection. Vague and ambiguous as to the last question.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: When you were the valet supervisor, you were scheduling the other valets and outside services staff with the help of Scott Yarnell and Braulio Hernandez; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Were they the other supervisors?
A. Yes.
Q. They had been the supervisors before you? In other words, they were senior to you? They had the job longer when you got the promotion?
A. I can't recall the -- I can't recall who got promoted first or if we got promoted consecutively.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Did either of them help teach you how to be a supervisor?

MR. CABADA: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: No.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: When you became the director of outside services, did the Trump National Golf Club or Ocean Trails, whichever organization it was, specifically give you any trainings for this new job? MR. CABADA: Objection. Overbroad, vague and ambiguous, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Specifically me?
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Yes.
A. Not that I could recall at this time.
Q. Did anyone take you under his or her wing for a few days to sort of show you the ropes about what it meant to be the director of outside services?
A. I can't recall.
Q. Do you have any understanding - let me back up.

Earlier today you testified that as the director of outside services at some point you started scheduling meal breaks for the employees under your supervision; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember whether you started doing that before or after Dave Perry filed his lawsuit?
A. I can't recall at this time.
Q. Do you remember having any conversations with other managers, including the general manager or people in $H R$, about the need to do this because lawsuits had been filed?
A. No.

MR. CABADA: Objection. Compound.
MR. COWAN: It's actually disjunctive.
MR. CABADA: That's fine.
A. Yes.
Q. Able to resume and give your best testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you need to change any of your answers from earlier?
A. Not at this time.
Q. Okay.

Would you agree that the culture at the
Trump National Golf Club was one of wanting to provide a superior world class experience for guests?

## MS. MARTIN: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: There was a notion that .-
especially because the club had Donald Trump's name on it it needed to be the best so it would live up to Mr. Trump's brand; correct?

MR. CABADA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: And it was your perception that you were -- you and the other managers and employees were tasked with creating this experience for the guests of having a superlative experience that would match up with the Trump brand of being the best possible; right?
A. Well, I like to think we all try our best.
Q. Right.

But the goal was to provide this experience that would be the best possible at a golf club living up there for - to the Donald Trump name; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that meant that employees needed to be .I'm sorry -- that the guests needed to come first; correct?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Vague.
THE WITNESS: Come first in regards to customer service?
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Yes, in terms of customer service?
A. Yes.
Q. An employee couldn't simply walk away from a guest in the middle of providing service because he or she wanted to eat or take a rest break; right?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Calls for speculation.
MR. CABADA: Join.
MS. MARTIN: Incomplete hypothetical.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: As you understood it with respect to the employees who you supervised, if they were in the middle providing service to a guest they couldn't simply walk away because they wanted to eat or take a rest break; correct?

MR. CABADA: Same objections.

MR. COWAN: Okay.
Q. Did you ever talk with anyone in the $H R$ department and have discussed in that conversation the idea that there were special rules or conditions that applied to the employee meal breaks because the club was making free food available?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. MR. CABADA: Join. THE WITNESS: I had a discussion with Tom sperandeo concerning the breaks.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: And did Mr. Sperandeo talk about the fact that free food was made available?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he tell you? MS. MARTIN: Objection. Asked and answered. MR. CABADA: Join. THE WITNESS: He said that the employees are supplied or given employee meals at certain times of the day and they get paid while they're on their breaks.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: And did he say what the implications of that were?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Vague.
THE WITNESS: "Implications" as?
Q. BY MR. COWAN: In other words, because the employees get this free food and because they get paid
and don't clock out, therefore, what, if anything, did Mr. Sperandeo ... what conclusion did Mr. Sperandeo present?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
MR. CABADA: Join.

THE WITNESS: Due to the fact that we supply the food and that they're getting paid on the clock While on their break, that constitutes their break.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Did he say anything about whether that affected about how long the break could be?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever have an understanding about

Whether the fact that the break involved -- that there was free food and employees didn't have to clock out affected how long the meal break could be?

MS. MARTIN: Objection.
MR. CABADA: Compound.
MS. MARTIN: Vague.
THE WITNESS: Can you rephrase it? Repeat it?
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Did you ever come to believe that because the Trump National Golf Club was providing not only free food but also pay for time being provided to eat, that it, therefore, didn't necessarily have to let its employees have 30 minutes to eat -MR. CABADA: Same objection.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: -- when they were taking a meal break?
A. Yes.
Q. When did you form that opinion?
A. After my conversation with Thomas Sperandeo.
Q. And as best you can remember, when this - let me rephrase that.

When this conversation took place, who was the general manager?
A. I can't recall at this time.
Q. Was Mariela Farielas present when that conversation took place?
A. Yes.
Q. Did she say anything to either confirm or disagree with Mr. Sperandeo's statement?
A. Confirmed.
Q. She did?
A. (No audible response.)
Q. What did she say, as best you remembered, to commuicate to you that she was confirming what Mr. Sperandeo had said?
A. After asking Mr. Sperandeo, he then asked Mariela and Mariela concurred that that is true.
Q. Okay.
So she -- so basically -- let me make sure I've
what you're able to give me here today?
A. No.
Q. Thank you.

Have you ever overheard Dave Conforti speaking
about the issue of meal breaks?
MS. MARTIN: Objection. Vague, overbroad. MR. CABADA: Join.

THE WITNESS: Not that $I$ could recall at this
time.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Have you ever heard

Mike van der Goes talking about the issue of meal breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Same objections.
THE WITNESS: Not that I could recall at this
time.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Did you ever hear about

Lily Amini talk about meal breaks?
MS. MARTIN: Same objections.
THE WITNESS: Not that $I$ could remember at this time.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Did you ever hear either Mr. Conforti, Mr. Van der Goes or Ms. Amini talk about rest breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Same objections.
THE WITNESS: Not that I could recall at this
time.

MR. COWAN: Okay.
Q. Did you ever hear Mariela Farielas talk about meal or rest breaks other than what you've already testified to a few minutes ago?
A. Not that I could recall at this time.
Q. You previously testified -- let me back up. Mr. Yeremian at one point earlier today was asking you about outside service employees complaining to you about not getting a meal break, and you've testified that you didn't remember any such events. Then you testified that you have been asked for permission to take such a break and you said you never denied it, at least that's what my notes reflect.

My question for you is: Are you sure that you have never told an employee they couldn't take a meal break or that you just don't remember?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Asked and answered, vague.

MR. CABADA: Join.
THE WITNESS: I don't remember but it's highly unlikely.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Is it possible that somebody came to you and said, "Can I take a meal break?" and your answer was "Not now, you can have one later"?
of how long your breaks were -- your rest breaks?
I'm sorry, I'm getting tired.
What did they do as to keep track of how long your meal breaks were?

MR. CABADA: Objection. Speculation.
MS. MARTIN: Join.

MR. COWAN: I'll withdraw and rephrase.
Q. At the time that you were a valet at the Trump National Golf Club, to your knowledge, what were your supervisors doing to keep track of how long your meal breaks were?
A. I can't recall at this time.
Q. Do you remember whether, to your knowledge, there was any system in place so they could keep track as to how long a break you were taking?
A. I can't recall at this time.
Q. As you sit here today, can you remember what your supervisors were doing to communicate to you that you could take 30 minutes for a meal break?
A. I can't recall at this time.
Q. As you sit here today at this time, can you remember what your supervisors were doing to communicate to you that you were entitled to take a ten-minute rest break when you were - you know, when it was your turn, when it was appropriate to take one?
A. I really --

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Vague.
THE WITNESS: I can't recall at this time.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: So you have no memory as to what your supervisors were doing to make sure you understood that for every four hours you worked you could take a full ten minutes for a rest breaki correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And is it true that at the time that you were a valet, the only communication of any kind that you received in writing about taking rest breaks or meal breaks was the information in the employee handbook?
A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.

MR. COWAN: I don't have any further questions.
MR. YEREMIAN: Neither do I.
MS. MARTIN: No questions.
MR. CABADA: I have no questions,
MR. COWAN: So let me propose the stipulation.
So when the court reporter finishes preparing the transcript, she will send it directly to Mr. Kim's lawyer at which point she will be relieved of her duties under the Code of Civil Procedure.

At that point, Mr. Kim - well, let me think about this for a minute because that's going to be two weeks from now, probably we're at the end of June.
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Exhibit F
Q. Tell me what kind of classes in Human Resources you took at George Southern University.
A. Some were like collective bargaining, like unions and stuff like that. It's been many years ago, but that's one of them that comes to mind, because I was going to focus on where my dad's career was .- he was working for production -- and going from there.
Q. I am sorry; what was that about your father?
A. My career was going towards working for a production company.
Q. When you say "production," you mean --
A. Manufacturing.
Q. Oh, different terminology out here in

Los Angeles.
A. Yeah.
Q. How many business classes do you think you took in the course of getting your degree, as opposed to liberal arts classes, like math and science and literature and history and government?
A. I don't know. I don't remember.
Q. How many classes relating to employment law issues did you take, other than collective bargaining?
A. Couldn't tell you.
Q. Do you have any idea at all?
A. I would be speculating.
or breaks?
A. No.
Q. Was there anything you did to make sure you were staying on top of developments in federal or state law about discrimination in the workplace?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad.

Just to be clear, we are talking about while he was at Goodyear?

MR. COWAN: Yeah, we are talking about while you were at Goodyear in Georgia, back then.

THE WITNESS: You are talking about on my own? BY MR. COWAN:
Q. On your own.
A. On my own, none.
Q. At the time you were working as a store manager in Georgia, to your knowledge, were there requirements under either federal or state law that employees receive meal or rest breaks?
A. I don't recall, but I would think that there were because we had to make sure they took their Iunches.
Q. So you remember monitoring if employees were getting an opportunity to take Iunch?
A. Yes.
Q. Anything else?
A. Doing payroll and anything else they needed me to do.
Q. Tell me all your responsibilities involving payroll at the Ocean Trails Golf Club.
A. It was collecting the time punches through ADP and calling them in over the phone and -- so that way, you could process payroll, so I was actually processing payroll.

There was only like fifty employees at that time.
Q. Did your responsibilities include making sure or monitoring that the workers were getting their meal or rest breaks?
A. No.
Q. Did they involve managing or supervising employees in any way?
A. No.
Q. You were strictly just processing and handling the payroll, getting it done?
A. Yes.
Q. How long did you hold this staff accountant position where you were doing general accounting and the payroll responsibilities that you just described?
A. That position grew to -- as they opened up and
than giving people who became employed an employee handbook that contained this language in it?
A. Nothing else I did.
Q. So by approximately 2000 -- I remember your testimony you don't remember exactly when it happened -- but you think sometime around '99 or 2000, you became the controller at the Ocean Trails club?
A. Right.
Q. To what extent did your duties as controller include Human Resources responsibilities?
A. Can you be a little clearer on that?
Q. Sure.

Let's back up.

You have worked at a number of clubs, and you later assumed responsibility for Human Resources at the Trump National Golf Club, right?
A. Correct.
Q. So when I say "Human Resources," do you understand I am talking about issues regarding the treatment and management of employees?
A. As far as my role was to sit in if someone was being disciplined or something like that, I would sit in as a witness.
Q. At Ocean Irails?
A. At Ocean Trails.
Q. Other than being the designated witness who had to sit in when someone was getting wood-shedded or fired or otherwise disciplined or counseled for whatever reason, did you have any other involvement with Human Resources?
A. Just making sure that their paychecks were processed, and if they had any keys or anything else of company property, that we got that back from them.
Q. To be clear, your payroll processing simply involved taking the data that somebody else was giving you and processing it, not questioning or analyzing the data?
A. Correct.

The managers would sign off on all that stuff, would process it.
Q. Ocean Trails was, at some point, acquired by Donald Trump's company, VH Property Corporation, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And at the time it was acquired, you were still the controller of the Ocean Trails Club?
A. Yes.
Q. Had your duties changed in any way or were they still as you just described a moment ago?
A. Still the same.
Q. As best you remember, when did the Trump
to be private.
Q. Got you.

Was it your understanding that when Trump bought Ocean Trails that it was going to be keeping its existing staff, or were you worried about everybody being let go and there being a massive turnover?
A. I always worried about that, but they let us know right there that he didn't have anybody to take our place, so he kept us all on staff.
Q. Who told you that?
A. Actually, they did, when they came in and bought us; they had a big meeting.
Q. And when you say "they," I am asking who are the individuals you remember speaking or communicating that to you?
A. There was a $V P$ that came down that was in charge of building the course and finishing it, and his name was Vinnie Stillio.
Q. So you kept your title, and at that time was Ocean Trails -- let me back up.

Tell me what kind of offerings Ocean Trails had.

In other words, were there -- was it open for business for people to come and golf a certain number of holes?
the best golf club of its kind, as opposed to simply providing good Customer Service?
A. Yes, even before he bought us.
Q. That was part of the culture, trying to be the best?
A. Yes. Our prices dictated that.
Q. Were the prices high end?
A. Yes.
Q. After Trump bought the Ocean Trails Club and became the owner, did you become aware of any changes in emphasis or priorities?
A. No.
Q. Was anything different, other than the person to whom you reported and the name on the paychecks you received?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad.
THE WITNESS: The only thing different was that
we had more money to -- you know, for repairs.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Let's come back for a second -- I will get back to that in a second. We got off on a little bit of a tangent. We were talking about your employment history.

Trump becomes -- you are the controller at the Ocean Trails club; Trump buys it; you retain your position as controller.
At some point,
responsibilities change?
A. Only on my own, as far as taking on more responsibility, as far as like computers and being the IT person -- the in-house IT person.
Q. When did you, on your own initiative, assume responsibility for the $I T$ and computer issues?
A. Probably since he bought us, over the next three or four years.
Q. So bit by bit, you voluntarily assumed more responsibility?
A. Yes, in order to save money and have a faster response time.
Q. Anything else?
A. Not that I can remember.
Q. At some point, did you assume responsibility
for Human Resources functions?
A. Yes.
Q. When did that happen?
A. When the general manager, Ewa Hijak, left.
Q. Do you know why she left?
A. She was terminated.
Q. Do you know why?
A. No.
Q. Do you remember when that was?
A. That was July of 2003.
Q. So, in fact, only about a year to a year and a half after Trump bought the Ocean Trails Golf Club, you assumed Human Resources responsibilities?
A. Correct.
Q. Tell me how that came to be after general manager, Ewa Hijak, was fired?
A. There was no one else to take care of it, so the experience of me doing health benefits, Workers' Comp and all that stuff, I was just the next person in line to take over or the rightful person that could take over.
Q. Did you volunteer for this or did somebody from the Trump Organization say, essentially, "Tom, you are up"?
A. Pretty much, I am up.
Q. Who told you that?
A. Just the New York lawyers and counsel and just the management in New York.
Q. Who told you specifically that you were going to be assume that responsibility?
A. The general manager at that time.
Q. Who was that?
A. His name was Craig Samandil.
Q. He was in New York?
with Vinnie Stillio in finishing building the course.
Q. Was he originally based out of New York?
A. He was working for the mortgage company, credit

Swiss First Boston.
Q. So to your knowledge, he was not an employee of the Trump Organization or VH Property Corp.; he worked for this other mortgage company?
A. Right.

Mr. Trump hired him, and then he came out to work at our golf course to finish the construction with Vinnie, because we had a landslide.
Q. Then, when his contract ended, he was gone?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you have any idea where he went?
A. I think he went back to New York.
Q. So Craig Samandil told you at some point in about July of 2003 that you were going to assume responsibility for Human Resources at the club?
A. Correct.
Q. At that time, had you received any training in Human Resources or employee issues beyond what you have already told me about?
A. No.
Q. Did the Trump National Golf Club arrange for you to receive any training when they gave you this
additional responsibility?
A. Yes.
Q. What training?
A. We had brokers that were coming in from our insurance companies that were giving all of our managers training on various topics throughout the years.
Q. What was the name of the insurance broker?
A. I guess it was $\cdots$ when Mr. Trump bought us, it was S \& K Financial, so her name was Toni Shibayama.
Q. Sorry, the woman --
A. Toni, $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I}$.
Q. Last name?
A. Shibayama.
Q. Your best guess at how to spell that name?
A. I have no clue. $S-Y-M-I$ don't have any idea. It's $S$ \& $K$ Financial is the name of her company.
Q. Was she the broker or the person who was giving the presentations?
A. Both.
Q. These presentations pertained to --
A. Anywhere from coaching to sexual harassment required training to whatever we asked her to do; she would cover those topics, from discipline, progressive discipline, anything else like that.
Q. Did you ever learn what her qualifications were to give those kinds of presentations?
A. No, I don't remember.
Q. Did you ever hear that she was a lawyer?
A. No, she's not a lawyer.
Q. Did you ever hear that she had studied those topics in college or obtained some sort of certification in them?
A. She does have a certification. I don't remember what certifications they are, in HR. There is a certification.
Q. So she obtained some sort of degree or certificate regarding $H R$ topics, to your understanding?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. How many hours of training did you receive? MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad as to time.

MR. COWAN: Let me rephrase.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. When you were given this new responsibility of Human Resources, approximately how many hours of training did you receive at or around the time that you assumed these responsibilities?
A. We tried to do at least quarterly training, if not more.
Q. Thank you. I am asking something a little different; I'm sorry I didn't make it more clear.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. I understood you to say just now that as a general matter, the company was getting some sort of training every quarter.
A. Correct.
Q. So about every three months, there's some sort of presentation for the managers.
A. Right.
Q. My question is a little different.

Because they are putting you in charge of Human

Resources and giving you responsibility for making sure that all the rights of employees are being protected and properly carried out, did they give you any immediate training so that you would be able, if not on day one, at least within the first couple of weeks, have some knowledge and education so you could properly do your job?
A. No. It was just experience that I already had from the years, you know, going through the company, being there.
Q. So you then began -- you were able to participate in this quarterly training that was being provided, but there was no special training given to

```
you beyond that; is that correct?
```

A. Correct.
2. Before you assumed this responsibility, you were still the controller at VH Property.

So were you getting exposed to this quarterly
training, anyway?
A. Yes.
Q. At this quarterly training, was there ever homework given?
A. Yes.
Q. So the quarterly training would consist of somebody from $S$ \& $K$, this woman, Toni Shibayama, showing up and giving you a presentation that lasted how many hours?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad as to time.
THE WITNESS: It just varied.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. What was the typical range as to how long her presentations lasted?
A. About two hours.
Q. So sometimes a little more, sometimes a little less but, typically, she would show up and give a two-hour presentation and leave, and you folks would go back to your regular routine, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Which one?
A. I don't remember the name of the law firm, but the lawyer's name was Paul Fleck.
Q. Was Mr, Fleck's law firm counsel for the Trump National Golf Club?
A. They have in the past, yes.
Q. Were they a law firm that you would deal with if legal issues came up?
A. At the beginning, yes.
Q. That changed at some point?
A. Yes.
Q. When?
A. Probably in '06, '07, right around in there.
Q. How did it change?
A. We had more access to counsel in New York.
Q. So you started consulting with New York
lawyers?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever learn that those New York lawyers were also licensed to practice law in California?
A. I don't know.
Q. I am not asking you for the specifics of any conversation, but did those lawyers say things to you -did you ever hear those lawyers discuss California statutes?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, attorney/client
privilege.
Don't answer that.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Did you ever hear those lawyers discuss

California cases?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, attorney/client
privilege.

Don't answer.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Do you have names of any of the lawyers in

New York that you used to speak to for legal advice
after you stopped using Mr. Fleck's law firm?
A. One was Bernie Diamond.
Q. Who?
A. Bernie Diamond.
Q. Anyone else?
A. Jason Greenblatt.

Is that how you say his name?
Q. Anyone else?
A. Michael Boccio; is that his name?
Q. Anyone else?
A. I believe that's it.
Q. To your knowledge, were these lawyers in-house with the Trump Organization?
A. Yes.
Q. How often at these presentations that $S$ \& $K$ would put on for you would you receive literature that you would then take home and have occasion to study?
A. She always gave us stuff to refer back to, resources.
Q. And did you?
A. Sure.
Q. Where did you keep that literature?
A. At my desk.
Q. What happened to it after you left Trump?
A. I guess it's still there.

MS. MARTIN: Is this a good time to take a
quick break?

MR. COWAN: Let's take a break now.
(Recess taken.)

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. We took a brief break.

Mr. Sperandeo, are you still feeling well?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you need or want to change any of your answers?
A. No.
Q. Did your responsibilities as the -- let me back up.
payroll, the processing and shopping payroll companies.
Q. Anything else?
A. No.
Q. So it is accurate to say -- and tell me if it's not -- that the Human Resources responsibilities that you assumed in approximately July of 2003, when you became the director of $H R$ at Trump National Golf Club, were Workers' Comp issues, payroll issues and health insurance issues; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. When you say "payroll," what does that mean?
A. That is the processing -- I had a payroll person, and I would ensure that she did her job and would check after what she was doing, as far as collecting all the punches, the clocks, the -- I would say making sure that the checks get out on time, distributed to the managers, any issues with the clocks, missing punches, stuff like that.
Q. What do you mean by "issues with the clocks"?
A. Making sure that they have -- we have power outage, make sure they have battery backup.

We had two clocks; making sure they all work.
One was Ethernet and one was modem.
Q. In other words, making sure that the clocks were working, mechanically?
A. Correct.
Q. Would it be accurate to say - and tell me if it's not -- that your payroll responsibilities consisted of making sure that whatever data you had was collected and processed correctly and that checks were given to the employees in a timely way?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you now described all of your HR responsibilities?
A. Yes.
Q. And that remained the case throughout your employment at Trump National Golf Club?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the next change in your employment history?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, can you --
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Well, you told me that you became, first, the controller because you kept your job when Trump bought Ocean Trails.
A. Correct.
Q. In approximately July of 2003, you were made the director of Human Resources, as well as the controller, after Ewa Hijak left?
A. Right.
Q. I know at some point, you left Trump, and I also know from a little snippet you gave me that at some point, you became involved with the coast Guard, so there are some developments you haven't told me about yet.

What comes next?
A. I quess the Coast Guard. I got in in 1998; I can tell you that.
Q. In 1998, did you apply to become a reserve member of the coast Guard?
A. Yes.
Q. Why did you did that?
A. Because I was already a Coast Guard auxilliarist, which was the volunteer portion, and as a reservist, I would get paid; and also, if I stayed in long enough, I could get retirement, along with some other benefits.
Q. When did you become a Coast Guard auxiliary volunteer?
A. '95.
Q. Why did you do that?
A. Because I had a boat, and I was -- our insurance company wanted us to have a safe boating course. It was just another avenue to use my boat, to
Q. Anything else?
A. Security, port security.
Q. Anything else?
A. No.
Q. You left the Trump National Golf Club when?
A. May of 2010.
Q. Did you quit or were you fired?
A. I was terminated.
Q. Do you know why?
A. No.
Q. Were you told why?

MS. MARTIN: Objection - I am going to object, right to privacy. His reasons for termination aren't relevant to this lawsuit, at least in the way you are asking the question.

So you don't have to answer that.
MR. COWAN: We will agree to disagree, but I
will ask the question in different ways and see if I can
get your lawyer to assuage her concerns and withdraw her objections.
Q. Who told you that you were being fired?
A. The general manager.
Q. Who?
A. Lily.
Q. Did she tell you if it was her decision or
A. I don't know. I am kind of indifferent about that.
Q. At the time that Trump told you that you were being fired, were you happy working there?
A. Yes.
Q. So you would have kept the job if it were up to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you resent Trump in any way for having fired you?
A. No.
Q. It's not affecting your willingness to tell the truth either way, is it?
A. No.
Q. Did you receive any severance from Trump in conjunction with being fired or any separation agreement you may have signed at that time?
A. Yes.
Q. How much? MS. MARTIN: Objection, right to privacy. Don't answer that question. And right to settlement communication -. privilege protected settlement communication. MR. COWAN: There's no privilege pertaining to that in this context; you know that.

When you were told by Lily Amini in May of 2010 that you were being fired, were you given any opportunity to argue your case and persuade them that the decision was wrong?
A. No.
Q. Were you given any opportunity to make new information available to them, so they could independently reconsider their decision?
A. No.
Q. Were you given -- were you invited to provide them with additional information that might allow them to reconsider?
A. No.
Q. Would you have liked an opportunity to present additional facts to them that might give them grounds to reconsider their decision to fire you?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. I had been there a long time, so I figured I have Trump on my resume and time to move on.
Q. Do you think it was helpful to have Trump on your resume, since you had held a high-level managerial position there?
A. Yes.
Q. You believe you could get a positive reference
from Trump?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any concern that your testimony in this lawsuit may affect the kind of references that you get in the future?
A. No.
Q. I am not asking for the specifics, but you were in a sufficiently comfortable position that you were not panicked by the motion notion of being fired and suddenly not having a paycheck in the next time period; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You were in a position where you could be out of work for a little while, anyway, and not suffer financially, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now let's go back to the issue of the training and education that you have received regarding California law with respect to employees since you moved out here through May of 2010.

I am going to try and -- I don't want to duplicate your testimony, obviously, for all kinds of reasons, efficiency and otherwise, so let me make sure we are on the same page. I will sort of recapitulate, and then I will pick up where we were.
Q. Tell me all the things that were covered during these risk management presentations.
A. Basically, going through the clubhouse and making sure it was safe, as far as trips and falls and other stuff.
Q. Anything else?
A. No.
Q. Anything pertaining to how employees were to be paid?
A. No.
Q. Anything pertaining to how they were to get their breaks?
A. No.
Q. Anything pertaining to discrimination?
A. No.
Q. But those topics started being covered to some extent after Trump bought the club, and its insurance broker arranged for presentations; is that right?
A. Right. That was part of being a broker, that we had this free training.
Q. So we are back to Ms. Shibayama and her presentations.

I want you to tell me all the things, all the
topics that she addressed during these presentations that she would give for approximately two hours every
three months or so.
A. Like I said before, it was basically coaching, sexual harassment, disciplinary procedures.

That's all I can remember.
Q. Does coaching mean talking to employees and trying to motivate and encourage them to do a better job, or something else?
A. Correct.

And also, if they weren't doing what they were supposed to be doing, as far as coming in late, stuff like that, how to correct that employee's behavior.
Q. Sexual harassment means being taught what sexual harassment is and what to do if you believe it's happening?
A. Correct. It's the state-mandated training that is required.
Q. Is it your understanding that sexual harassment is prohibited by the state and federal civil rights laws?
A. Correct.
Q. Other than what we have -- you have just described and I have summarized, is there any other discussion or areas covered when the talks were about sexual harassment?
A. Basically, when -- how often, every two years,

I believe, and whenever we have a new manager come in, we need to set them up to have sexual harassment training.
Q. You understood it was the mandatory training that California law at some point required for companies that have more than -- it's either fifty or a hundred employees; I forget --
A. Yes.
Q. -- for the managers?
A. Yes.
Q. And this training was provided only to the managers, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. It was not made available to the rank and file, the hourly workers?
A. Correct.

MS. MARTIN: Objection, calls for speculation.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. To your knowledge?
A. To my knowledge.
Q. You were the director of HR.

As the director of $H R$, if presentations were being made available to nonmanagerial employees, you would have known about it, right?
A. Yes.
A. As far as like theft. That would be immediate termination, stuff like that.

It's up to the discretion of management or Trump to decide, determine that.
Q. Tell me all of the things that would fall into the "stuff like that" category besides theft.

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad, vague and ambiguous.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. As you understood it.

MS. MARTIN: I think he answered the question that it was discretionary. So it can't be all the things. He would have to come up with a speculative list of every single thing anybody could ever do to be fired.

MR. COWAN: Ms. Martin, that's coaching, and it's not appropriate.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the way I would look at it. It would be hard to determine exactly what was.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. You told me that you were given training about progressive discipline, and that was a policy that the club generally followed --

MS. MARTIN: Objection, misstates his
controller, did you have the authority to fire people, to your knowledge?
A. No.
Q. Who did have that authority?
A. General manager.
Q. Anyone else?
A. He had to approve it.
Q. Excuse me?
A. He had to approve it.
Q. So the only person who had the authority to fire people without getting permission from anyone else, as you understood it, was the general manager of the Trump National Golf Club?
A. Correct.
Q. What was said to you in these training sessions about progressive discipline?
A. It was basically to be fair with the employee, to make sure that we got everyone's side; do an incident investigation; and then just depending on what it was, if they keep -- if they kept the same problem over and over and over, that it would go from verbal to written to suspension to termination, or it just depends on what we saw fit, as long as we were being consistent with each employee.
Q. Was it your understanding that that procedure
was to be followed, assuming non-extreme circumstances, theft or violence or something like that, because it was fair to the employee or just to protect the company, or something else?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: Basically, it's to be fair to the employee, protect the worker and protect the company, both.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Would you say, as you understood it, the Trump National Golf Club was equally interested in protecting its employees from unfairness, as well as protecting its own interests?
A. Yes.
Q. When Ms. Shibayama gave these presentations, would she typically cover one topic at a time?
A. Whatever we requested her to do at that time. When we set up the training, it would be, you know, we wanted to have .- we have a - we have new managers, and we wanted them to be trained on this topic.
Q. But she's coming in every quarter.

What I am trying to find out is, would there be sessions where she would come in for her two hours and talk about coaching and sexual harassment and progressive discipline, or was it typically one topic?
A. It's usually one topic.
Q. So -- would it typically rotate where there would be coaching, and then three months later, sexual harassment, and then three months later, discipline, and then the cycle started over again, or would there sometimes be the sexual harassment, two sessions in a row because of manager turnover, or something else like that?
A. It varied.
Q. So you might have the cycle rotation going on, and then you might have some repeats?
A. Just as needed, yeah.
Q. Any other training in legal issues pertaining to employees that you received at the Trump National Golf Club that you have not already told me about?
A. Not that I can remember.
Q. Did you also at some point start receiving education or training about the laws regarding employees from the Coast Guard?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you learn from the Coast Guard?
A. Basically, it's -- you know, the civil rights training; we have mandated training yearly, and that involved sexual harassment, civil rights, suicide prevention and a bunch of other security training.
Q. That was after my client, Lucy Messerschmidt, was gone from the Trump National Golf Club, right?
A. I presume so.
Q. Was this after Dave Perry was gone from the Trump National Golf Club?
A. I don't remember when he left.
Q. I am not Mr. Perry's lawyer, but I just looked at his complaint.

So if I told you that he was let go in approximately September of 2008 , would that ring a bell?
A. That sounds about right, probably.
Q. So at that time, you were still the beneficiary of live instruction from the Coast Guard?
A. I would say yes.
Q. I would like you to tell me all the topics and issues that the Coast Guard covered when it gave you training about civil rights.
A. From what I can remember, it's basically -it's working with your co-workers, in that respect, because we don't do hiring and firing in the Coast Guard. It's respecting everybody's religion, and now it's with gay and gender issues and stuff like that.
Q. Anything else?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. Can you think of anything that would help you remember?
A. It's mostly just gender and -- there's not much age discrimination, because we don't do hiring and firing. It's all just basically working and being politically correct with each other, respecting each other, because there's different nationalities in the Coast Guard.
Q. Anything else you can think of?
A. No.
Q. So you have now told me the gist of all the training you received from the Coast Guard about civil rights?
A. Yes.
Q. At any time, have you ever received any training about retaliation?
A. From Toni.
Q. When?
A. That would be part of her progressive discipline.
Q. What did she tell you?
A. She would tell you that once you discipline someone, that you can't retaliate against them. Especially we had an open-door policy that if they came and talked to HR and made a complaint about that
Q. Did you have an understanding that Donald Trump is an officer of VH Property Corporation?
A. Yes.
Q. Is he the president?
A. Yes.
Q. Is he also a member of the board of directors, to your knowledge?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, lacks foundation,
assumes facts. MR. COWAN: I am asking. THE WITNESS: I don't know.

BY MR. COWAN :
Q. To your knowledge, between the time you became the controller through September of 2008 , were there officers or directors in New York who supervised or needed to review or approve, after the fact, personnel decisions by the club's general manager?
A. Can you say that, again?
Q. Sure.

Do you know whether at any time from the time you started working as the controller through september of 2008, that the general manager had to get permission from New York before he or she could fire anyone?
A. only top management, managers.
Q. What positions fall into the top management
A. Who, Mike's?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. You had no opinion, one way or the other?
A. He had already been a general manager prior.
Q. I understand. I am -- you know, people hold
all kinds of jobs, and sometimes they are extremely well-suited and qualified for them, and sometimes they are not and they got the job for the wrong reasons.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Which is why I asked if you had any opinion of any kind, once you started working with

Mr. Van der Goes in his position of his being the general manager, about whether he was qualified for the job?
A. I would say he was qualified for the job.
Q. Do you think he was a good general manager?
A. I think he was a great general manager.
Q. Why do you think he was a great general manager?
A. He is a people person.
Q. So he was friendly and outgoing and interacted well with the other employees?
A. Very well, yes.
2. Any other reason you think he was a great
general manager?
A. He worked well with Mr. Trump.
Q. That's clearly important.

Any other reasons?
A. He worked well with the public. He knew customer satisfaction.
Q. Have you now told me all the reasons that you thought Mr. Van der Goes was a great general manager?
A. The majority of them that I can remember.
Q. Take your time and tell me all the ones you can think of.
A. That's about all I can think of right now.
Q. Do you want a minute to think further?
A. No, not really.
Q. Would you agree with the statement

Mr. Van der Goes delegated all HR responsibilities to you?
A. No.
Q. As you understood it, what $H R$ responsibilities did he involve himself with?
A. Well, he was -- as general manager, as part of managing, he was using $H R$ responsibilities at all times.
Q. To your knowledge, did he concern himself with scheduling?
as you knew him?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: It's hard to say.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Conforti say, "I am wrong"?
A. Sure.
Q. Any other ways you can describe his management style?
A. No.
Q. You were also controller for a time -- let me back up.

When you left, was Mr. Conforti still the
general manager or had Lily Amini assumed the position?
A. Lily had assumed it.
Q. How would you describe her management style?
A. Actually, I wasn't there long enough to see it.
Q. Was she the -- she was the assistant general manager while you were there as well, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. How would you describe her management style then?
A. I am not sure, because she didn't really make too many decisions without David. David made all the decisions. She was still learning.
Q. Do you have an opinion as to who was a better general manager, Mike Van der Goes or David Conforti?
A. No.
Q. As you sit here today and you look back, who do you think was the better GM?

MS. MARTIN: Asked and answered.

You can answer the question, if you can.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, just -- they both had their
strengths and both had their weaknesses. They both performed their job.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. If you owned a golf club and you were going to pick someone to run it, who would you pick of the two?
A. I would say Mike,
Q. Why?
A. I just liked his -- I prefer his management style.
Q. Would David Conforti consult with you about HR matters before making decisions?
A. Yes.
Q. Would he consult with anyone else?
A. Mariela, who was the payroll and HR manager.
Q. Mariela Farias?
A. Yes.
Q. What is your understanding about what her
training was in $H R$ ?
A. Everything through Toni and what I taught her.
Q. So her knowledge consisted of what she learned from you, as well as what she learned from these presentations put on by Toni Shibayama; is that correct?
A. Correct, and she also went to Paul Fleck's training with me yearly.
Q. Other than these training sessions, to your knowledge, she had no education or formal training in employment law or Human Resources matters; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. The presentations you attended with Paul Fleck, they were once a year?
A. Correct.
Q. Where were they held?
A. Irvine.
Q. Would he give you literature?
A. Sure.
Q. Did it include cases?
A. Yes.
Q. Did it include statutes?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you read them?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you identify any of the statutes that he gave you?
A. No.
Q. Do you remember what they pertained to?
A. One was the - he gave us updates yearly, because we went a few years. Update the stuff every year.

The last one I remember was the Brinker's case.
Q. The Brinker decision by the court of appeal?

A, Yeah.
Q. Not by the California Supreme Court?
A. It was a few years ago, so it was before this
recent decision, whatever that was.
Q. That's an appellate decision you remember?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Do you remember him giving you any statutes?
A. No.

I don't know what a statute is.
Q. A statute is a law that the legislature passes or the congress.
A. Okay.
Q. Which can pertain to anything from rules of the road, which are like the Vehicle code, to the criminal
laws prohibiting battery or prostitution or murder or anything else you can think of, to regulating taxes.

MS. MARTIN: For example, just to maybe help you understand a Iittle better, like the Labor Code; a Labor Code section that provides law or something like that.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
He probably did, but I don't remember the specifics.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. You don't remember any of them at all, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. At all times that you were the director of Human Resources, was there a lawyer available to you to answer questions about employment law if something hit your radar and you weren't sure what to do?
A. As far as I recall, not until Bernie Diamond, and that was like in 2007.
Q. Before that time -- let me back up.

Mr. Diamond was available to you or to the general manager or to any of the other department heads, to your knowledge?
A. Correct.
Q. And before that time, if there was an issue that popped up and you were -- to your knowledge, the

GM, weren't sure what to do, who would you go to for legal advice?
A. We would go to Toni, and she had resources that she could get answers, if she couldn't answer our questions, or attorneys.
Q. Would she give you the answer or would she put you in touch with the lawyer?
A. She would give us the answer.
Q. What kind of legal issues was she giving you advice about in response to your questions to her?
A. Basically, if we had a certain employee that we wanted to hire -- actually fire, she would make sure that we covered all the bases.
Q. Anything else?
A. I can't remember.
Q. You said, as best you remember, Bernie Diamond became the lawyer available to you to answer questions in approximately when?
A. I believe 2007 was the first time we spoke with him.
Q. When did you start attending these presentations by Paul Fleck?
A. Pretty much when we got Toni on board, when Mr. Trump bought us.
Q. To your knowledge, was Mr. Fleck available to
answer questions or someone else at his firm?
A. To us, yeah, he was available, but there would -- you know, there would be a charge.
Q. Were you told that it wasn't okay to incur charges to get answers to legal questions about employees?
A. If we had a legal issue that was pressing that we needed a lawyer, yes.

If we were looking for advice and interpretation of a law, we would go through Toni, and it would be free.
Q. What, in your mind, distinguished a situation where you needed legal advice from a lawyer, as opposed to getting advice about the law from someone who is not a lawyer, like this insurance person, Toni Shibayama?
A. Just making sure we were following the California labor laws as far as following the progressive, what we were following in our handbook and interpreting our handbook.
Q. I am not sure I got that. Let me see if I understood your question.

If I didn't, you tell me. I am not trying to put words in your mouth.

If you had a scenario where you needed or wanted interpretation about something in the employee
handbook, which, in turn, to some extent codified California and federal law, you would get in touch with her, and if it was some other legal issue, you would get in touch with the lawyer directly; is that right?
A. Right.

If we wanted to make sure we were consistent with how we were treating other employees, we would contact Toni.
Q. Otherwise, you would get in touch with someone from Mr. Fleck's law firm?
A. No, we would contact Mr. Fleck directly, but we didn't have an issue before then.
Q. Were you ever cautioned to make sure you didn't spend too much money on legal fees?
A. No. There were never any issues to worry about that. It never came up.
Q. Did you ever learn of any admonitions from anyone in the Trump Organization not to spend too much money on lawyers, getting advice about how to comply with the law?
A. At the very end, there was legal concerns as far as money.
Q. What were those concerns?
A. Bills. Just that he $\cdots$ New York wanted us to be able
A. Yes.
Q. Before that conversation, were you ever aware of any concerns being expressed about how much money the company was spending on lawyers?
A. That's always a concern.
Q. Why do you say that?
A. Because we have lawyers for property tax; we have lawyers for real estate issues, all that stuff.
Q. So as you understood it, throughout your employment at Trump National Golf Club, there was a concern about the company spending too much money on lawyers; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And you understand that money can be spent on lawyers in essentially two ways.

Number one, you get advice to keep you out of trouble; and, number two, after a problem develops; is that right?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Would you say that's a fair characterization?
A. I would say you are missing three, that in order to do certain things, real estate procedures of selling and stuff like that, you have to have lawyers.
Q. Right, you need a lawyer to help negotiate the
Q. That's not been produced today, correct?
A. No.
Q. Did you bring a copy?
A. No.

MS. MARTIN: And we are objecting to production
of that document as a privileged and confidential
settlement communication.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Would it be fair to say that when you were the director of Human Resources and the controller, that you were responsible for setting the $H R$ policies for the Trump National Golf Club?
A. No, I was not.
Q. Who was?
A. The attorneys in New York, corporate.
Q. And that would be Bernie Diamond, Jason Greenblatt and Michael Boccio?

MS. MARTIN: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Correct.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. So to be clear, the only lawyers you are aware of irn New York who were giving advice or involved in the management and operation of the Trump National Golf Club were Bernie Diamond, Jason Greenblatt and Michael Boccio, correct?
A. That's were the only ones I had access to and heard their names.
Q. I understand there might have been others, but those are all the ones you are aware of?
A. That I am aware of, correct.
Q. You never heard any other names?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. So although you had the title of director of Human Resources, in fact, the HR policy was being set in New York by lawyers Bernie Diamond, Jason Greenblatt and Michael Boccio, as you understood it, and not by you, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Were you responsible for enforcing the companies that these New York lawyers were establishing for the Trump National Golf Club?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I -- can you be more specific?

MS. MARTIN: I think you just asked -- you said, "the companies," and I think you meant, "the policies."

MR. COWAN: I might have misspoken. It's happened before and will probably happen, again. Let me try that, again. Thank you for telling me $I$ wasn't
clear.
Q. Were you responsible for enforcing these company policies being established by the foregoing lawyers in New York that you just identified?
A. Correct.
Q. So it was your job to implement them and carry them out?
A. I was making sure that the managers, working along with the general manager, enforced and carried out our policies.
Q. Right.

General gives an order or the president gives an order, and then the general and the generals below him and the colonels and everyone else, as it goes down the command chain, is in charge of implementing them and carrying them out?
A. Correct.
Q. So you were -- depending on how you want to torture this analogy -- in charge of implementing policies being established by New York, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. During the time you were the director of Human Resources for the Trump National Golf Club, did your management style change in how you worked to make sure that the club's policies were being implemented and

```
effected?
```

MS. MARTIN: Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: That's an opinion. I don't know.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. I am asking you, based on what you did.

In other words, in the beginning, were you sort
of acting one way, and then at some point you switched and started implementing different procedures or processes or checks and balances?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, if you want to be more specific. I don't really know how to answer that. BY MR. COWAN ;
Q. Well, I can't be more specific now because I don't know what you did.
A. Right.
Q. I am asking, if $I$ were to put it very simply, did you do your job differently at one time, and then another way later?
A. As far as I am concerned, I didn't, but ..
Q. That's fine.
A. -- that's the interpretation from someone else.
Q. I am asking about your interpretation. I am looking for your testimony.
A. Yeah.
Q. So from your perspective, you did your job basically the same all throughout the time you were the director of Human Resources?
A. Yes.
Q. Very helpful; thank you, sir. So tell me all the things you did as a general or colonel who is in charge of making sure that the managers and supervisors below you are carrying out these policies that have been established. Tell me everything you did to make sure that was happening.

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad, vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: That would be a lot of things.
I can give you some examples.
BY MR. COWAN :
Q. Well, I want to talk about it in a broader, more conceptual way.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Your managers -- your department heads and the other managers, they get the policies -- they were told about the policies, right?
A. Right.
Q. Were the policies, for the most part, all set out in the employee handbook?
A. Correct.
Q. Occasionally, there would be another kind of memo, as well?
A. Correct.
Q. And that would be given to the department heads and the other managers?
A. Correct.
Q. Other than giving them these memos or handbooks, tell me everything you would do to make sure that they then carried out these policies that were set forth on these pieces of paper.
A. Basically, a lot of stuff had to have signatures, so as accountants and stuff, we made sure that all the documents, if it was something an employee had to sign, that we had it signed, that we put everything in their employee files.

We made sure that they communicated with the staff, held their staff meetings. When we have our staff meetings, stuff was brought up and discussed, anything changes.
Q. Anything else?
A. If Mariela ever got any feedback from the employees, that we would communicate it to the managers or the GM and make sure any changes or people were notified in a better fashion or whatever.
Q. Anything else?
A. I think that sums it up.
Q. During this time you were the director of

Human Resources for the Trump National Golf Club, did you join any professional associations for $H R$ professionals?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Just didn't have time.
Q. Which part of the job, as the controller and HR director, did you find more interesting, the controller side or the director of HR side?
A. Controller side.
Q. Did you subscribe to any journals for $H R$ professionals?
A. We joined the California Chamber of Commerce and they had -- we would get our labor law guides from them.
Q. The club joined?
A. Right.
Q. I am asking something a little different. Did you, personally, join any -- sorry -- did you, personally, subscribe to any HR journals?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever set up any kind of audits to
A. Not that I can remember.
Q. I just mentioned the fact that there were employee handbooks. We will get to them specifically but, as a general proposition, do you know who wrote those employee handbooks?
A. No.
Q. Who gave them to you?
A. New York.
Q. Did you have an opportunity to have any input in their creation or content?
A. No.
Q. You were just .- it was just given to you and said, "Here is the employee handbook; go run with it"?
A. Correct.
Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. To your knowledge, were you or whatever general manager was in effect at the time given the opportunity to review the handbook and communicate to New York, "I don't like this; I think this part could be said better;
that part should be different"?
A. I think when Jill came, we did.
Q. But before that?
A. Before that? I don't think the handbook $\cdots$ the handbook was -- wasn't changed that often. It wasn't
jointly -- was changed every year.
Q. All the more so. If it's being changed less frequently, you could argue that it's even more important to get it right.

Again, my question is .- I may not have asked it very well or maybe you just didn't understand it .-.
A. Right.
Q. -- are you aware of any opportunities that were given to you or to the general manager, to your knowledge, for input to communicate suggestions or requested changes?
A. We could always do that if we felt it was needed to, but we didn't really have any issues with it.
Q. So to your knowledge, neither Mr. Conforti nor Mr. Van der Goes nor Craig Samandil had ever had concerns or questions or suggestions about the employee handbook; is that right?
A. Not that I can remember.
Q. On a related point, you are aware of the website for the Trump National Golf Club?
A. Yes.
Q. To your knowledge, who wrote the copy for that?
A. I think we had a third-party company that did
it for us.
Q. Do you know who gave them the ideas and concepts that were expressed?
A. The general manager.
Q. Do you know which general manager was responsible for creating the first draft of the Trump National Golf Club website?
A. Way back then, we had a -- way back then, we had a marketing director, and he probably worked with the general manager.

I am just surmising; I am not sure.
MS. MARTIN: Only if you know.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Do you know whether Donald Trump ever reviewed or had input on the text that's on the Trump National Golf Club website?
A. I don't know.
Q. Who would know, to your knowledge?
A. General manager. They would deal directly with him.

MR. COWAN: It is about 12:50. I am at a point where I could stop for lunch. We could go further or we could break now, depending on how you and Mr. Sperandeo are feeling.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. I understand. You mentioned that -- you said about Ocean Trails, that they tried to have an emphasis on a fabulous, superior kind of --
A. Resort.
Q. -- experience for people who played there.
A. Right.
Q. Before the Trump Organization, Mr. Trump bought the club, were you Eamiliar with Donald Trump?
A. Yes.
Q. You understood him to be a successful and wealthy entrepreneur who put his name on things, tried to hold them out as being the best?
A. Yes.
Q. You understood him to be someone who likes to market his business ventures and real estate properties as providing the most superior kind of experience possible for people?
A. Yes.
Q. The best money can buy?
A. Yes.
Q. And good value for what you spent.
A. Yes.
Q. Expensive, but worth it?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you say that attitude and those -- that kind of goal and strategy existed throughout the time that you were working at the club while it was owned by Trump?
A. Yes.
Q. As a result, would you agree that there was a constant emphasis on striving to obtain the highest possible level of service and quality for guests?
A. Yes.
Q. And that attitude extended to the restaurants of the golf club as well, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it extended also to the golfing experience, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you ever -- let me back up.

How often would you interact with Donald Trump,
himself?
A. Very rarely, if at all.
Q. Over the years that you worked for the organization while it was owned by Trump, how many times, as you can best estimate, did you actually interact with Donald Trump?
A. I would say about five times.
Q. How many times, excluding those five times, did
you have occasion to hear Mr. Trump talking to employees of the club, whether it was managers or otherwise?
A. A few times.
Q. Does "a few" mean two or three or a half a dozen or something else?
A. I would say about half a dozen.
Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Trump give talks or share remarks to employees where he generally talked about how he wanted things to be at the club?
A. I never heard him talk and address the employees. That's about it. Yeah.
Q. What kind of remarks did you hear Mr. Trump make?

In other words, what were the topics he was discussing?
A. Service.
Q. What about service?
A. Just as you explained, top-notch service, his name, marketing his name.
Q. Anything else?
A. That's about it.
Q. So the only thing you can remember overhearing Mr. Trump discussing with other managers or employees at the club were the issues of service and how it needed to be top-notch and commensurate with the reputation he has
tried to attach to his name?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Trump express concern about making sure that the employees were treated well?
A. I think that's part of his policy in his handbook and stuff like that.

MR. COWAN: Move to strike as nonresponsive.
Q. I am asking something a little different.

I am asking: Have you ever heard words come out of his mouth where he talked about that concern?
A. About making sure that his employees are treated correctly?
Q. Yes, expressing concern that his employees are being treated well or correctly, as the law requires?
A. I would say yes.
Q. Tell me about that.

When did you hear him say that?
A. We had a manager's meeting in 2010 down at Mar Lago, where he addressed all the managers of all his properties, and he was talking about service and treating his customers well and treating his employees well.
Q. And that was the first time you heard him give remarks like that?
A. Directly.
was tracked using a timecard involved people clocking in with a code?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.
MR. COWAN: Let's back up.
Q. One of the issues in this case is the time that your hourly, nonexempt workers were employed, and whether they, based on the amount of time they worked, got meal or rest breaks to which they were entitled.

Do you understand that?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. You have read the lawsuit before, right?
A. Right.
Q. I want to talk to you very briefly about the timekeeping systems at the club. Others have testified about this, and I just want to try to summarize the testimony and confirm your understanding that what's been said before is consistent with your recollection.
A. Okay.
Q. Trying to speed things up a little bit.

All of the nonexempt employees had to clock in
and out every day, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And there was a time clock by the security guard at the entrance by the loading dock by which they clocked in and out every day, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. The employees would have a particular code that was assigned to them, and they would have to input that code when they clocked in or clocked out, right?
A. Besides a fingerprint.
Q. Yeah, their fingerprint, and I think there was a code?
A. A code.
Q. Different one for each person.

So the fingerprint is a check from somebody
else being given a number and clocking in and getting hours reflected to which they weren't entitled, correct?
A. To prevent buddy-punching.
Q. Yes.

What were the names of the timekeeping systems that were used while you were the director of $H R$ ?
A. It was ADI time and then also ADP.
Q. So first one and then the other?
A. ADP.
Q. Which one came second?
A. ADI.
Q. And these systems applied for all employees.

There wasn't one for one set of departments and
a different system for the others, correct?
A. Right.

Even exempt employees had to clock in and out,
too.
Q. The records were stored in electronic form?
A. Correct.
Q. Hard copies were also printed out?
A. Right.
Q. And they were kept where?
A. In accounting.
Q. For how long?
A. Indefinitely.
Q. To your knowledge, the hard-copy records are
still in the accounting office from 2004?
A. We go back seven years, I think we are required to keep them.
Q. Your understanding is you would keep them for seven years.
A. Correct.
Q. After that, the hard copies could be destroyed?
A. Correct.
Q. The electronic copies were kept anyway, or were they destroyed as well?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, misstates his
testimony.

I don't think he ever testified they were
destroyed.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know -- I think
I -- they were -- they changed systems.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Let's clarify.

You said you kept them for seven years.
What would happen after seven years?
A. Electronically, they would still stay there.

They should still be on the server or something, but I don't know if the server is still there. We have changed servers, so it may have got lost that way.
Q. So your understanding, subject to actually keeping the computer equipment, the records would still be there, but the hard copies were destroyed after seven years?
A. Correct.
Q. During the time -. from the time you became the director of $H R$ through approximately April of 2009 , employees would clock in the start of the day and then clock out when they left, without clocking out for a meal break, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And that was for all employees, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you have an understanding as to why, up
until approximately April of 2009, there wasn't a policy of having employees clock out when they took a meal break?
A. Because they were paid for that meal break.
Q. Whose decision was it, to your knowledge, to have this policy or practice of not having people clock out for their meal breaks because they were being paid?
A. That goes way back to the very beginning of when that place was first started, it's been that way,
Q. So that was the status quo?
A. Yes.
Q. That's the way it was when it was Ocean Trails?
A. Correct.
Q. And when it became Trump National, it just stayed that way?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you remember there being any reviews or analysis of the club's procedures and policies with respect to timekeeping for employees and breaks, once Trump bought the club?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad.
THE WITNESS: If it was, I wasn't involved in
that.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. So you have no recollection of anyone from the

Trump Organization, whether it was lawyers or HR department or otherwise, sort of coming in and doing a review of how things were being done and saying, "Practices 1, 2 and 3 are fine, but 4,5 and 6 are a problem; we need to change these things"?
A. I don't know; I wasn't involved in that.
Q. As best you recall, everything stayed the way it had been; the only difference was now the club is owned by Trump through the VH Property organization, as opposed to the prior owner?
A. Correct.
Q. At any time before this lawsuit was filed on December 2, 2008, did anyone ever tell you or suggest to you that it might be problematic to have a policy by which people weren't clocking out, so you would have a way to track whether or how much of a meal break they were getting?
A. No.

MS. MARTIN: I am going to object here to make
sure you don't disclose any attorney/client
communications, if they existed.
You can answer, as long as you don't.
THE WITNESS: Yeah.
No.
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BY MR. COWAN:
Q. And you are not aware of any situation in which any audits or reviews were done by anyone from the Trump Organization or any outside law firm to sort of check and make sure that the club was doing what it needed to do to comply with California law?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, asked and answered. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: What was the question, again?
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Up until the filing of this lawsuit, you are not aware of any reviews or audits that were done, either by people directly in and employed by the Trump Organization or an outside law firm, to monitor what was happening and make sure there were no violations of California law or otherwise acts or omissions that might create problems for the club, correct?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, asked and answered.
THE WITNESS: I would say no.
BY MR. COWAN :
Q. You are agreeing with me?
A. No audits were done.
Q. Right.

That, by the way, was the scenario I talked
about at the start of the deposition, where I think you
as an employee of the club or thereafter, not to
disclose that information.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Before this lawsuit was filed, you understood that employees had to get at least 30 minutes for a meal break?
A. Right.
Q. What was your later understanding?

MS. MARTIN: Same objections and, again, to the
extent that you can answer without disclosing what you may have discussed or learned from counsel.

THE WITNESS: Then I can't answer that.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Did your initial understanding that meal breaks had to be at least 30 minutes involve any other details about those breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Did you have any understanding of what employees could or could not do during their breaks?
A. Certain employees, depending on their job positions and customer service, the length of their break, they couldn't leave the property because they
couldn't get back in time. So that's why we paid them. And we also provided a free meal.
Q. Did you have an understanding that providing them a free meal affected an employee's rights towards a meal break?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Had nothing to do with that. BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Before this lawsuit was filed, you understood that whether or not the club gave the employee a free meal or the opportunity for a free meal didn't change whatever rights they might have with respect to a meal break; is that right?
A. What it meant was that there was not time enough in 30 minutes to leave the property and be back in 30 minutes to get a meal, so, therefore, we provided a free meal.
Q. Did you understand that employees could always pack a snack lunch and bring it along with them?
A. Correct.
Q. And you understood that employees, therefore, wouldn't need to leave the premises as far as going to a restaurant or store that sold food?
A. If they didn't pack a lunch, they would have to.
Q. Right, but if they did pack a lunch, they wouldn't have to go that far?
A. It was voluntary, their choice.
Q. The food that was provided was essentially
left-over food from the brunch or other food that was on hand being made available for the employees, right?
A. Sometimes.
Q. And other times, what was it?
A. Hamburgers, hotdogs, pasta.
Q. So it was -- was it using whatever supplies were on hand to provide a relatively inexpensive lunch for the employees?
A. Correct. It was not left over.
Q. So if either Mike Van der Goes or David Conforti testified that the food made available for the employees was typically left-over food, would you say he was wrong?
A. Depends on what you call "left over," I guess.
Q. All right.

You understood that there were no controls on how much food was made available for the employees, correct?
A. Say that, again.
Q. Food wasn't rationed to the employees, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So there were occasions when the food might have run out, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And especially if you were providing left-over food from a Sunday brunch or from some other meal, correct?
A. Sometimes they would make more.
Q. But sometimes they ran out, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you understood -- and -- you also understood that if an employee, for whatever reason, had brought a sack lunch or otherwise had made other arrangements, including having someone come meet him or her and bring in lunch, they then would have had the opportunity to qo take 30 minutes walking in the neighborhood and getting off the premises and getting some exercise and fresh air, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And yet there was a policy in place that people had to get permission to leave the premises; is that right?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, assumes facts.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Is that right?
A. Yes and no.
Q. Tell me how it's right and tell me how it's wrong.
A. They could leave. They let us know if they were going to take longer than 30 minutes.
Q. And if they weren't going to take longer than 30 minutes, if they just were going to take 30 minutes, did they have to tell anybody before they left?
A. They had to get permission to go on their lunch break, so that there was coverage.
Q. So they had to get -- so it was club policy that an employee had to get permission from a supervisor to take a break?
A. Right.
Q. But then, once they were on break, they didn't need permission if they chose to leave the premises or not; they just had the responsibility of being back in 30 minutes; is that right?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad.
THE WITNESS: That is correct.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Before this lawsuit was filed, did you have any understanding as to what the consequences were if an employee was not given the opportunity to take a break that was at least 30 minutes -- a meal break, we

```
are talking about?
```

A. Correct, yes.
Q. What was your understanding of what the consequence was?
A. We had to pay them an hour penalty.
Q. You understand that's also called premium pay?
A. Yeah.
Q. To your knowledge, did anyone at the - on the management side of the Trump National Golf Club believe that the premium pay consequence didn't apply if the employees were being paid for a meal period?
A. That was our understanding.
Q. So it was your understanding that if the employees didn't have to clock out and were being paid, there would be no consequence if they received a meal break that was less than 30 minutes; is that correct?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, misstates his
testimony.

MR. COWAN: That's what I am trying to find out.

THE WITNESS: Our belief was that they were taking 30 minutes.

BY MR. COWAN :
Q. But I asked something different.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Did you ever hear that she was under the impression that people didn't have to get a full 30 -minute break because they were being paid for the time?
A. No.
Q. Any reason to believe she would say such a thing?
A. No.
Q. Mariela Farias started working for the Trump National Golf Club when?
A. I don't know. She started in our Maintenance Department. I am not sure what year that was. It was over ten years ago.
Q. What was she doing before she got promoted into HR?
A. She was working in our Maintenance Department as a secretary.
Q. From 2004 onwards, who would you say had a better knowledge of California and federal law regarding obligations towards employees, you or Mariela Farias?
A. I would say myself.
Q. Would you say your knowledge was substantially better than hers about these issues?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you believe she had the ability to step up and do your job if you somehow became unavailable?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad, vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: It depends on when you are talking, what date.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Let me rephrase that.

I am talking about your $H R$ responsibilities, not your accounting duties.
A. Okay.
Q. With respect to your duties as director of $H R$, in 2004, do you believe if something happened to you, whether you suffered a terrible illness, were out for an extended period of time or hit by a bus, whatever, that Ms. Farias could have assumed your duties competently?
A. $\quad$ No.
Q. Do you think she could have done it in 2005?
A. No.
Q. 2006?
A. No.
Q. 2007?
A. No.
Q. 2008?
A. Possibly.
Q. 2009?
A. Yes.
Q. So by 2009, for sure, you think she could have stepped up and taken over for you; she had learned enough on the job?
A. Yes.
Q. To your knowledge, did she belong to any professional organizations for HR professionals?
A. She started, but I don't think she ever got certified.
Q. When did she start, to your knowledge?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Before the filing of this lawsuit, did you have an understanding as to when a meal period had to be provided?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that understanding?
A. After five hours of work.
Q. After five hours of work?
A. If they worked five hours -- if they were scheduled for five hours or more, they were entitled to a lunch break.
Q. Did you have an understanding as to when, though, that meal period had to be provided?
A. In the middle.
Q. Right in the middle?
A. As close to the middle as possible.
Q. Did that understanding ever change?
A. No.
Q. What was your understanding as to the consequence of what would happen if they didn't get a meal period to the middle of the break -- sorry - of the scheduled day?
A. Depends on how many hours they worked. They could waive it.
Q. I understand that, but let's assume there's no waiver.
A. Okay.
Q. By the way, are you aware of employees who ever signed waivers?
A. Yes.
Q. How many?
A. I can't begin to tell you.
Q. What is your best estimate?
A. During the last few years that $I$ was there, it was a good amount.
Q. Are you aware of any employees who signed waivers before these two lawsuits were filed?
A. No.
Q. So setting aside the waiver issue, do you have an understanding of what the consequence was if an employee who had not signed a waiver didn't get a meal break during the middle of the daily shift?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, assumes facts not in evidence.

THE WITNESS: Did they get a meal break, period? They didn't get --

MS. MARTIN: I think you are confusing him.
MR. COWAN: Let me rephrase this.
Q. My question is: Do you know what the financial consequence, if any, was to the club if an employee worked a shift -- could be eight hours, could be six, whatever it was -- and didn't get a meal break in the middle? Maybe got it at the very end of the day.
A. There would be no financial.
Q. To your knowledge, was that the understanding, also, of Ms. Earias?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, calls for speculation.
THE WITNESS: Her understanding?
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Yeah.

Do you know whether she had that same
understanding?
A. Yes.
Q. The two of you talked about it?
A. Yes.
Q. So far as the two of you understood it, there's -- the law has this goal that someone is supposed to be able to take a break during the middle of their shift, but if it's missed, as long as they get a break at the end of the day, there's no consequence; is that correct?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, incomplete hypothetical.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, just depends -- as far as I know, that wasn't happening.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Excuse me?
A. As far as I know, that wasn't happening.
Q. That's not my question.

My question is: Is it accurate that your understanding and that of Ms. Earias, because the two of you talked about it, was that if an employee didn't get a meal break until the end of his or her shift, as opposed to being in the middle, that there would be no financial consequence to the club?
A. Sounds hypothetical. I wouldn't have that conversation.
Q. Mr. --
A. As far as $I$ know, it never happened, so $I$ can't have a hypothetical question about a conversation with them.

MS. MARTIN: You are asking him about an understanding that you haven't established that he's even -- how can he testify to what Mariela Farias did if he hasn't .-

MR. COWAN: He just testified a moment earlier that he and Ms. Farias --

MS. MARTIN: He testified they both had .they had mentioned that there were no financial consequences, so he's already answered that question.

MR. COWAN: Correct, which means --

You know, do you -- do you have -- is your screen translating this already?
(Discussion held off the record.)

MR. COWAN: I am going to try to clean this up without having to try to hunt down the right spot in the record. We are going to try to do it a little differently.
Q. So it was your understanding that if an employee didn't get his or her break at the middle of the shift but later got one at the end, that there would be no financial consequence to the club, and it would
not have to be responsible for any premium pay to the employee, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Did that belief ever -- did that belief or understanding ever change?
A. No.
Q. Did you understand that it was a 30-minute meal period for every five hours that were worked?
A. I believe, so after like ten hours or something, they are entitled to another one.
Q. So you understood if somebody worked a 10-or 11- or 12-hour shift, they are entitled to two meal breaks?
A. Right.
Q. What was your understanding as to when an employee could waive a meal period?
A. If their shift was no longer than six hours.
Q. Did you understand how that waiver could take place; in other words, in what form?
A. They had to sign it.
Q. In writing?
A. Correct.
Q. And you understood it could be revoked?
A. Well, they signed it -- we had them sign it every time.
A. That'sit.
Q. Is it accurate to say that there was no policy set up to insure that employees were getting breaks that were at least 30 minutes for meals?
A. I know, before I left, that some of the managers were actually scheduling their breaks on some employees where there was customer service.

Other departments, they would actually shut down, and they had a certain time that they took their meal break every day.
Q. But before these lawsuits were filed, is it accurate to say that there were no policies in place to Insure or monitor that the employees were actually getting at least 30 minutes to eat?
A. Correct.
Q. When did policies get implemented to make sure that employees were getting at least 30 minutes to eat?
A. At direction from our attorneys.

MS. MARTIN: He said "when."

THE WITNESS: When?
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. When?
A. Probably when these were filed.
Q. If I showed you a document that's previously been marked as Exhibit 29, which is a memo from Mariela

Earias to employees, with your name cc'd on it, talking about meal breaks and rest breaks, do you know whether this memo -- sorry -- such policies were implemented before or after this memo was sent out?
A. What was the question?
Q. The question is: Did you implement policies to monitor and make sure that employees were getting at least 30 minutes to eat after this memo was sent out or before, if you can remember?
A. They signed the employee manual. It states in there.
Q. No, I am asking a different question. You just testified that at some point after the lawsuits were filed, you started implementing procedures to monitor and make sure that the employees were getting at least 30 minutes to eat.
A. That's clocking out, where we changed the policy and clocking out.
Q. That was the procedure that was implemented.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And that was done on or about the date of this April 16, 2009 memo that's marked as Exhibit 30?
A. Yeah, that's when we had the new time clocks, software.
Q. So the procedure that was implemented to make
sure that employees were getting at least 30 minutes to eat was making them clock out for their meal breaks which, in turn, was done at some point on or after April 16, 2009, once you put in time systems that allowed you to do that; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. At any time before the filing of this lawsuit, did any department head or manager ever approach you with concern about employees getting their breaks, meal or rest breaks, as required by California law?
A. No.
Q. Other than insuring that your managers attended -- when I say -- let me back up.

The programs that were put on by your
insurance broker, $S$ \& $K$, were for the department heads and managers, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And below them, you had supervisors who, in turn, had some supervisory authority for nonexempt workers, right?
A. Correct.
Q. To your knowledge -- let me back up.

The supervisors did not get to attend these programs, correct?
A. They did.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. My question is more focused.

These memos really pertain to the fact that
people have to take meal breaks and rest breaks under certain conditions, right, and what is being done to monitor and enforce that.

In other words, it's educating employees about their rights; would you agree with that?
A. I don't know if that's -- say anything about their rights.
Q. Exhibit 29 is telling people that they have to take a break.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you agree?
A. Yep.
Q. It doesn't tell them where or how and doesn't remind them to clean up; it's just spelling out, "You got to take these breaks," correct?
A. Correct. This is regarding the employee -referring back to the employee manual?
Q. Yes.

To your knowledge, was any similar memo ever issued to the employees while you were the director of HR, before this one in January of 2009?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous,
asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Not that I remember.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Is it accurate to say that before this memo was sent out in January of 2009 , that the only step that the club took to educate its employees about their rights under the law to meal and rest breaks was giving them copies of the employee handbook which, in turn, had discussions about those rights?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, calls for speculation.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know. I am not aware of any.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Based on what you know, the only thing that the club did -- before this memo that's marked as Exhibit 29 was issued in January of 2009 , the only thing the club did to educate its employees about their rights to meal and rest breaks was giving them a copy of the employee handbook which, in turn, discussed them, correct?
A. Correct, as far as the club. But each department head held their own trainings and part of their scheduling, that scheduled the meal breaks and rest periods and stuff like that, and that was handled at their level.
Q. That goes to schedule. I am talking about educating employees in terms of telling them --
A. Well, when you are doing your scheduling, that's when you are educating them. Because they are scheduled, their meal breaks, and they have to rotate taking their meal breaks. That's done on a weekly basis --
Q. Understood. I am not trying to argue with you. I am trying to make sure I am understanding what you are saying.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Is it correct that your belief or contention is that employees could infer or draw lessons about their rights to breaks, based on hearing conversations and observation and discussions about how they are to be scheduled?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, misstates his
testimony.

THE WITNESS: No.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. So how would they get educated by participating in the scheduling process?
A. Because they would have to schedule their breaks around each other, to make sure there's coverage on the floor.
Q. To your knowledge --
A. Of the restaurant.
Q. To your knowledge, what in that scheduling process educated them to the fact that they were entitled to a meal break of at least 30 minutes and a rest break of at least ten minutes based on the amount of time worked?
A. The manager telling them they needed to go to lunch and also when the food was provided for in the kitchen at the two meal periods.
Q. Anything else?
A. That's it.
Q. Exhibit 29 was issued as a result of the Lucy Messerschmidt lawsuit that was filed, correct?
A. It was a reminder, yes, about policy.
Q. Whose decision was it to issue this memo?
A. I am not sure.
Q. Did you review it before Ms. Farias sent it out?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you help rewrite it?
A. I corrected any spelling errors.
Q. Was it shown to a lawyer before it was sent out?
A. I don't remember.

New York who you know were involved in this, you have no understanding about who would be -- who would have knowledge of this, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Is the same true for Exhibit 2, which is the employee manual that was put into effect, according to its cover, in January $2006 ?$
A. Correct.
Q. Trying to save a little time --
A. Sure.
Q. -- all that stuff --
A. Correct.
Q. Everything we just talked about for Exhibit 1 applies to Exhibit 2?
A. Correct.
Q. The document previously marked as Exhibit 8 is a hostess training manual, with a date of 2008 on the front.

Did you have any involvement in the preparation
of this document?
A. No.
Q. Do you know who did?
A. Brian Wolbers.
Q. What was Mr. Wolbers' position at the time?
A. $F$ and $B$ director.
Q. Let me show you a document previously marked as Exhibit 6, which is, at least on the first page, a memo from Ewa Hijak. Do you see this?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Do you remember this document?
A. I remember seeing it, yeah.
Q. Did you ever have any conversations with her
about its preparation for contents?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever talk with anyone about this
document?
A. Probably her.
Q. Sounds like you are speculating.
A. Yeah, I am speculating. It's years.
Q. I understand.
A. Yeah, I don't remember.
Q. Not significant enough to stand out in your
mind?
A. No.
Q. You are agreeing with me?
A. Correct. It doesn't say policies or procedures.
Q. There's a little bit of a mystery to this document. If you look at the bottom, you will see there
Q. But didn't the club have some way to back up its hard drive, so it was protected in case a computer crashed?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. So where --
A. The backups were oniy -- the longest backup -we had back-up tapes, and they would be -- the most would be a year or six months, because we changed them on a daily basis.
Q. How many other food and beverage managers issued memos like this?
A. Probably each one did.
Q. Are you aware of when this document stopped being given out to the club's employees?
A. That particular document?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. Are you able to give me any estimate as to what time this stopped being given out?
A. That particular document?
Q. This one.
A. I would say when the next manager came in.

Each had their own that they would put out, their own procedures.
Q. Was this document available so that a manager

MS. MARTIN: What's the question?

MR. COWAN: The question was -- which resulted in your comment about do you even know what the policies in here are: Were the policies and values reflected in this document always in effect, from the time you worked for Trump as the director of Human Resources?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: Yes. I would say yes.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Thank you.

Would you say that the policies and values reflected in this document were also applied to or were expected of the other employees, even if they weren't given memos like this?
A. The physical stuff, as far as facial and stuff Iike that, facial hair.
Q. Did you ever have occasion to read the wage order that applies to restaurants?
A. I don't remember.
Q. I am going to show you a copy of that wage order that was previously marked as Exhibit 16.

I just want to know whether, during the course of the time that you were the director of Human Resources at the Trump National Golf Club, you ever

We were recreational something else. We were under a different code. I don't think that's the correct one for us.
Q. Did you ever read the wage order that you believed did apply to the restaurant?
A. No. We did it as a complete -- as a club. We were recreation and parks or something.
Q. So if I told that you this wage order that discusses the public housekeeping industry includes restaurants, nightclubs, taverns and similar establishments where food is either sold or in liquid form is prepared and served to consumers, would that help refresh your memory about whether this is the correct wage order?
A. This is Greek to me. I have never seen this in my life.
Q. Okáy.

During the time you were the director of Human Resources at Trump, did you ever hear of any complaints from any employees about being the victim of discrimination, other than any reports, complaints you may have had about my client, Lucy Messerschmidt?
A. No.
Q. So you weren't aware of any complaints by any employees, other than Ms. Messerschmidt, involving
Q. To your knowledge, were any writings ever - let me back up.

Before these lawsuits were filed, to your
knowledge, did Trump ever disseminate to its nonexempt employees, writings telling them that they were entitled to an hour of premium pay if they didn't get a meal period or a rest period?
A. No writings, no.
Q. Are you aware of the employees ever being told that verbally before these lawsuits were filed?
A. No.
Q. To your knowledge, were these employees told that after these lawsuits were filed?
A. No.
Q. Do you know why?
A. They would take advantage of it and purposely do that.
Q. I know you said before that your interactions with Donald Trump were limited, but did you ever hear him make any comment about the fact that he thought it was important that the employees get all the wages and benefits to which they were entitled?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever hear anyone else in his organization say that?
A. No.
Q. After these lawsuits were -- after the first lawsuit was filed, was any investigation conducted independently -- not at the request of a lawyer -- to determine if there might be a basis for the allegations about people being denied the opportunity to get a full 30-minute meal break or a ten-minute rest break?
A. No.
Q. To your knowledge, were the only such investigations that were performed done at the result of counsel?

MS. MARTIN: Just to object here, just make sure you don't disclose any attorney/client privilege communications.

You can answer as to whether -- you can respond as to whether or not there were any investigations done not at the request of counsel, which you have already answered.

So if there's something you did at the request of counsel, then you don't need to testify about that.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Did you participate in any investigations that were done after this lawsuit was filed about whether employees were being denied the opportunity for
one on the floor. We had to make sure we had ample coverage.
Q. So in the same way that employees needed permission from a supervisor to take a meal break --
A. Correct.
Q. -- on the premises, they also had to get permission to make a food run and leave because, either way, you had to know who was there and who wasn't?
A. Correct, because if we got a rush, we needed to know where everybody was.
Q. Then you could call them back to make sure that there was no problem with the service, correct?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Yeah. BY MR. COWAN:
Q. But your understanding, that's why - that was the purpose of this rule, so you would know where people were, so if all of a sudden there was a rush, you could call people and say, "We have just been slammed; we need you to come back and take care of these people"?
A. Correct.
Q. Let's talk briefly about rest periods.

First of all, during the time you worked as the director of $H R$ at Trump, did your understanding about what California law requires about periods ever
change?
A. No.
Q. What was your understanding about what the law requires for periods?
A. You get one rest period in the morning and you get one rest period in the afternoon on an eight-hour shift.
Q. What was your understanding as to what someone was entitled to for a rest break if he or she worked fewer than eight hours?
A. They would get one, depending on how many hours they were scheduled.

If they were scheduled for a meal break, then they would get two.

If they were scheduled not for a meal break, then they would get one.
Q. Anything else?
A. No.
Q. To your understanding, was that ten minutes supposed to be uninterrupted?
A. Correct, and away from their area.
Q. What was your understanding about what the consequence would be if someone was not given the opportunity to take at least a ten-minute rest break?
A. I believe it was one hour paid.
Q. Premium pay?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Same consequences if they missed a meal break?
A. I believe so.
Q. That was your understanding at all times?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Were employees allowed to take a shorter break to go to the bathroom if they had to, in addition to their rest break, as you understood company policy to be?
A. Sure.
Q. So regardless of whether or not they had a rest break, all of a sudden they got to go, they can go?
A. If nature calls, yes.
Q. Did they need permission from a supervisor, as you understood it, to go to the bathroom?
A. If they were in a position where it would leave no one there to take care of the customers, yes.
Q. Is it correct that as was the case with meal breaks, the only -- up until the time this lawsuit was filed, the only thing that was done to educate employees about their rights to a rest break was put it in the employee handbook and then giving them a copy of the handbook?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, misstates his testimony about what was done with respect to the meal breaks. BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Is that correct?
A. Each manager would manage their department and educate their employees on that.
Q. Let's back up.

Up until the time this lawsuit was filed --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- other than putting it in - a discussion about rest breaks in the employee handbook, there were no other writings or other written documents given to employees to educate them about their right to rest breaks, correct?
A. Correct. There's nothing in those memos that you are showing me.
Q. So it is your testimony that the only other thing that was done was that individual managers had a responsibility to verbally make sure people understood they were entitled to take a rest break; is that correct?
A. Correct, and the procedures of how to do it.
Q. Is it correct that the club never took any steps on its own to independently monitor the department heads or managers to make sure they were
actually carrying this out and educating their subordinates about their rights to rest breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, calls for speculation about what anybody did besides himself.

THE WITNESS: As far as we were concerned in $H R$ and accounting, monitoring was the employee, itself. They would come and complain to us if they were not treated right.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. So as you -- so as far as you are aware, there were no other steps being taken by management to monitor or supervise the department heads or the other managers to make sure they were actually verbally reminding or educating the employees about rest breaks, and you were relying on employees to come and complain if they believed there was a problem; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Thank you.
A. If there had been an issue before.
Q. Thank you.

Based on what you said I want to confirm, before this lawsuit was filed, you were not aware of any complaints by an employee about being denied the opportunity to take a rest break; is that correct?
A. Correct.
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever socialize with him at the club?
A. No.
Q. Never played golf?
A. No.
Q. Never ate with him?
A. No.
Q. Do you have an opinion, based on your own interactions directly or indirect interactions, a general manager or somebody else comes to you and says, "I just met with Mr. Trump; he says we need to do $A, B$, C," whatever it is, communicating instructions, do you have an opinion as to his management style being one of a hands-on or hands-off delegator?
A. He is a hands-on.
Q. He would get involved in decisions about detailed things?
A. Carpet, chairs, decorating, mostly.
Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Trump talk about things he said in the past, but no longer believing them to be true?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know. I can't answer that.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Trump repudiate any of his past statements or his beliefs?
A. Like change his mind?
Q. Yeah, or saying, you know, "20 years ago, I believed X or Y , but today, I don't anymore"?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Trump say anything about having a belief that employees should be paid generously, so they would be grateful and motivated to always do their best?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Trump express concern about trying to keep payroll costs as low as possible?
A. Yes, but not directly from him because I didn't talk to him, but that was conveyed from New York, the Accounting Department there.
Q. Who in the Accounting Department?
A. Jeff McConney and Allen Weisselberg.
Q. When were those concerns expressed to you?
A. Budget. Budget time.
Q. In what years?
A. Every year.
Q. So for every year that you were there, there was always a message being passed on to you from the
general manager, "Hey, accounting says that we need to do our best to keep the costs down"?
A. All expenses.
Q. Are you aware that Mr. Trump is known for having a penchant or a taste for good-looking women?
A. $\quad \mathrm{NO}$.
Q. Are you aware that he bought the Miss Universe pageant?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware that he's been known over the years for having dated some very attractive, high-profile women?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware that he has always been publicly involved with women who are very glamorous?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, assumes facts. BY MR. COWAN:
Q. To your knowledge, has he ever been publicly involved with a woman who wasn't very good looking?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: I don't know; Barbara Walters is not good looking to me, but he hangs out with her. BY MR. COWAN :
Q. I mean romantically involved.
A. We need to look into it.
Q. When she used the word "harassing," did that have any particular connotations or concerns for you?
A. If it was sexual harassment, yes.
Q. Are you aware of any other kinds of harassment?
A. Yeah.
Q. What other kinds of harassment are you aware of?
A. Bullying.
Q. Any other kinds?
A. Sarcasm.

There's many.
Q. Anything else?
A. No.
Q. Those are all the kinds of harassment of which
you are aware; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Including anything that might be actionable under the law; is that right?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.

MR. COWAN: I am talking about your understanding.

THE WITNESS: My understanding, yes.
A. Yes.
Q. What was your understanding?
A. That anything signed, that they are entitled to.
Q. Did you have any other understanding?
A. That's the only one I recall.
Q. Had you ever read Labor Gode sections 226 (a)
through (b)?
A. I don't know. I don't remember.
Q. As I recite it to you, it doesn't stand out in your mind?
A. Have you read it to me yet?
Q. I'm saying: As I mention the name to you, it doesn't stand out in your mind?
A. No.
Q. You have no idea what it pertains to, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you check with a lawyer or anyone else before sending your response to Ms. Messerschmidt on June 10, 2008, as reflected in Exhibit 25?
A. No.
Q. Has it ever come to your attention that, in giving the reply that you did, you violated Ms. Messerschmidt's rights under the Labor Code to see or get copies of her payroll or time records?

MS. MARTIN: Objection.

Don't answer to the extent your response would involve any communications you have had with an attorney.

THE WITNESS: NO.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. As you sit here today, do you believe that the response you gave to Ms. Messerschmidt on June 10, 2008 was appropriate?
A. Yes.
Q. If you could do things over, would you give the same response or a different one?
A. Same.
Q. Is it your understanding that Ms. Messerschmidt originally filed a claim with the Labor Commissioner?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you participate in any hearings involving that claim?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it a settlement conference or something else?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, BY MR. COWAN:
Q. You went to a hearing?
A. Yes.
Q. What was it?
A. It was discussing this right here (indicating).
Q. It was discussing?
A. The check.
Q. At that hearing, did you say anything to the Labor Commissioner about how Ms. Messerschmidt's employment had ended?

A, I don't remember.
Q, Can you think of anything that would help you remember?
A. No.
Q. I will show you a document that we will mark next in order as Exhibit 65 .
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 65 was marked for identification.) BY MR. COWAN:
Q. It's Bates stamped DLM 00477 and constitutes -it says it's an employee timesheet for Lucy Messerschmidt for a period of December 24, 2007 through January 6, 2008.

Do you recognize this as, in fact, being a timesheet for Ms. Messerschmidt that the Trump National Golf Club generated?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree that this is a typical timesheet for Ms. Messerschmidt and the other employees during the period that you were the controller?
A. Yes. MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad.

BY MR. COWAN:
Q. So up until the time that you switched over in April of 2009 to a system that allowed people to clock in and out for their meals, the way this shows entries of somebody clocking in and then clocking out with a and then a calculation as to how many regular hours they worked and whether there was any overtime pay would have applied to anyone at the club; is that right?
A. Correct.

MR. COWAN: I need to take a break for a minute. Let's go off the record.

MS. MARTIN: We can go off the record.
(Discussion held off the record.)
MR. COWAN: All right, we have now taken a short break.

Back on the record.
Q. Do you need to change any of your testimony, sir?
before taking meal periods?
A. It was already in place before he became general manager or head pro.
Q. Before the lawsuits were filed, was it your understanding that employees -- nonexempt employees were entitled to premium pay if the employee took a meal period after the fifth hour of work?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I already answered that
question.
BY MR. KACHADOORIAN:
Q. Could you answer it again for me, please?
A. What was the question?
Q. Was it your understanding that before the lawsuits were filed, nonexempt employees at Trump National Golf club were entitled to premium pay if the employee took a meal period after the fifth hour of work?
A. No.
Q. They were not entitled to premium pay?
A. No, I didn't know that.
Q. You did not know that they were entitled to premium pay?
A. Not after five hours.
Q. What is your understanding as to when an
employee -- let me rephrase that.
Before the lawsuits were filed and you were director of $H R$ at Trump National Golf Club, what was your understanding as to when employees were entitled to premium pay?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, asked and answered.
We've been going at this for quite a while, and he's already answered all of these questions earlier today.

MR. KACHADOORIAN: I just think that the testimony was a little unclear, and I am trying to make it clear.

THE WITNESS: They are available to premium pay if they didn't get a break or they got less than 30 minutes.

BY MR. KACHADOORIAN:
Q. So it was your understanding that if they received a break, a full 30 -minute break at any time during their shift, then they weren't entitled to premium pay?
A. Correct.
Q. You mentioned that -- that the club made food available for employees, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. What times did the club make food available for
employee is entitled to a ten -- while you were a director of $H R$ and before the lawsuits were filed, what was your understanding as to the increment of time that had to elapse before an employee was entitled to a ten-minute rest period?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Once they start their shift. BY MR. KACHADOORIAN:
Q. How many hours does the employee have to work before an employee is entitled to a ten-minute rest period?
A. Maybe three hours; I don't know.
Q. Did you know at the time?
A. Yeah.
Q. What was the basis for your knowledge?
A. It's in the employee handbook.
Q. So just the statement in the handbook?
A. Also, the California Labor Law Guide.
Q. What is that?
A. The California Labor Law Guide?
Q. Are you talking about the Labor Code?
A. No.

It's through the Chamber of Commerce, they have
a California Labor Law Guide. It's a book.
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Q. So with that clarification in mind, let me go back. At any of your clubs prior to Trump, had you had any -- received any training or education in employment law?
A. Yes.
Q. What training had you received?
A. It would have been through -- well, it was through Troon Golf. They have annual GM conferences, so there are human resources breakouts during those.
Q. And you attended them?
A. Yes.
Q. Did any of this occur when you were working for a club that was in California?
A. No.
Q. So whatever training you received while .before Trump while working for Troon would have pertained to either federal employment law or the employment laws of the particular state or jurisdiction where the club was?
A. And Troon Golf policies. They are based out of Scottsdale, but yes.
Q. What kind of training with respect to employment law did you receive when you came to Trump?
A. We would have -- or we had seminars put on by S\&K I think was their name. S\&K Technologies. S\&K
something. And they gave us human resources training. It would have been on discrimination and harassment and lunches and, you know, just some general HR training. It was -- there was one that was for service. There was one that was for -- that was harassment specifically.
Q. Did they give you literature?
A. We got handouts, yes.
Q. What did you do with yours?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Did you keep them?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Was that the kind of thing that you reviewed from time to time?
A. I don't remember.
Q. What kind of training did you receive about meal or rest breaks?
A. None.
Q. What kind of training did you receive while you worked at Trump regarding discrimination on the basis of age?
A. Well, that was part of what I just explained from the $S \& K$ seminars that we would get.
Q. What did they tell you?
A. Well, you can't hire, fire, treat people
differently based on race, color, creed, religion. Common sense stuff.
Q. Did that include age?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you yourself get any training about timekeeping?
A. No.
Q. Did you get any training about what California law requires about reimbursing employees for expenses they incur while doing their jobs?
A. No.
Q. Did you get any training about what the law requires about the sharing of tips or pooling tips?
A. No.
Q. How often were these S\&K presentations?
A. Once a year.
Q. And how long would they last?
A. Pardon me if I sound vague. At least half a day.
Q. Who offered you employment as the general manager at Trump?
A. It was my vice president at the time. His name was Keith.
Q. Keith who?
A. Oh heavens. I can see him. I can't think of
A. Yes, I think so.
Q. How?
A. His was, I think, a little more laid back than mine.
Q. Anything else?
A. No. That's what -- that's what came to mind.
Q. How are you more strict than Mr. VanderGoes with your management style?
A. Well, "strict" is a -- I mean, it's a strong word, but I would say I just believed -- I just -- I believed in accountability.
Q. And that manifested itself how in terms of how you helped run the club?
A. Well, I would remind the staff about policies and procedures that were in place and tell them that, you know, they're choosing to work there, so let's make sure that we're abiding to the best of our ability to the policies and procedures that are in place.
Q. When you said that, which policies and procedures were you referring to?
A. Oh, in general. Geez, frankly, coming to work on time, leaving work, you know, within a few minutes of your scheduled completion time.
Q. As opposed to lingering?
A. Both. Or just leaving sooner than you should
those.
Q. As best you can remember, when did this switch occur?
A. Gosh, I don't know. I don't know.
Q. Let's talk about meal periods for a minute or two. Do you have an understanding what California law provides about meal periods for nonexempt employees, in other words, employees who aren't managers?
A. Yes.
Q. What's your understanding?
A. That they need to start their meal period at the five-hour mark or earlier for a half-hour meal period.
Q. Anything else as to what the - as to your understanding of what the law requires regarding meal periods?

MR. BRIGGS: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. Calls for a narrative.

THE WITNESS: It's to be 30 minutes uninterrupted.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Anything else?
A. No. That's it.
Q. How did you come to acquire that understanding?
A. It's in the employee handbook.
Q. How did you come to understand - let me
rephrase that. Is it your understanding that simply because it's in the handbook it's required by law?
A. That was my assumption, yes.
Q. Did you ever think that perhaps Trump National Golf club was writing policies more generous?
A. Yes.
Q. You thought the policies in the handbook might be more generous than what was required by law with respect to meal breaks?
A. Yes.
Q. Why did you think that?
A. Well, we paid for the meal break. To my knowledge that's not required by law.
Q. Because -- in other words, because people didn't have to clock out, continued to get paid while they were eating?
A. Can you rephrase.
Q. When you say, "We paid for the meal break" .I'Il put it this way: What do you mean by that?
A. To my understanding, and it's ... it's not a deep understanding, the meal break is generally unpaid. So the meal break we offered was paid, so I presume that's counter -- that answers your question as far as whether it answers -- or it corresponds to California law.
if they were longer than - you know, for a time and a half, it was after -- it was at the ten-hour mark.
Q. What do you mean when you say it was at the ten-hour mark?
A. Well, if they were working a shift and a half or overtime or some elongated shift.
Q. Then what?
A. Then they would get a second meal period.
Q. Did you have any understanding as what it meant for the meal break to be uninterrupted?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that understanding?
A. They are to be uninterrupted, so no work.
Q. Any other -- anything else?
A. That's it.
Q. So I think -- tell me if I'm wrong, but I think what you're saying is that you understood that they were to have a continuous 30 -minute meal break that was not to be intruded upon or broken up in any way for any reason. Is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Before the first lawsuit was filed, the Messerschmidt lawsuit, did you have any understanding as to what the consequences were if a company failed to provide a meal break that's required by law?

MR. BRIGGS: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: No.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Did you later acquire an understanding as to what the consequences were if a company failed to allow in one way or another an employee to take a meal break that was required?
A. Generally.
Q. What's that general understanding?
A. There are penalties involved. I couldn't give you more details.
Q. When you say "penalties," what do you mean?
A. I mean, there has got to be a penalty if you're not conforming with the law, so it's something, but I don't know what it is.
Q. So do you have any idea as to whether it means someone goes to jail or has to pay money or has to do something else?
A. Well, it's got to be financial. Why else would the lawsuits be being filed? So it's not jail.
Q. I --

MR. BRIGGS: Altruism.
THE WITNESS: Sorry to be a smart-aleck, but it's kind of --
Q. BY MR. COWAN: So you're assuming that it's
money, but you don't have a specific understanding?
A. Correct.
Q. While you were the general manager, what, if anything, did you do .- and maybe there was nothing because you delegated it to Mr. Sperandeo, but what, if anything, did you do to ensure that the Trump National Golf Club was complying with California law regarding meal breaks?
A. Nothing.
Q. While you were the general manager what, if anything, did you do to make sure that the other managers and supervisors at the club were educated about the club's meal break policies and knew how to enforce them?
A. Well, it was during staff meetings. I think I mentioned before that it's reminders. It's coaching and it's let's make sure we're treating our people well and let's make sure they're getting rests and breaks.
Q. Well, I'm talking specifically about meal breaks. Is this something that you would have said or something else that someone else would have said?
A. Well, what I just told you I would have said. But as -- what I just said I would have told you -told them.
Q. So it's your testimony that you specifically
are stating to these managers let's make sure people get their meal breaks?

MR. BRIGGS: Objection. Misstates his testimony.

THE WITNESS: Exactiy. Let's make sure we're taking care of our people. Let's make sure they're getting their breaks.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: So as best you recall, that's about how it was phrased?
A. More or less, yes.
Q. Anything more specific?
A. No, not that I recall.
Q. Other than ensuring that annual training continued by -- I think it was K\&S about HR matters, was there anything else that you're aware of that was done while you were the general manager of the Trump National Golf Club to ensure that the managers were properly educated and trained about meal breaks?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever have occasion to look at any statutes or government regulations or anything beyond what was printed in the company handbook to educate yourself about the law regarding meal breaks?
A. No.
Q. Did you think it was important that employees
at the Trump National Golf Club receive their meal breaks?
A. Yes.
Q. Why did you think so?
A. Well, everybody needs to have a break. No one that -- I mean, there are very few people out there who can just work through an entire shift and not get to rest and not get to have a break.
Q. You thought it was important for their mental state of mind?
A. For every -- I mean for everything.
Q. So for mental --
A. Physically, mentally, everything.
Q. For mental, physical, and emotional reason, you thought it was important for them to be able to get their meal breaks as required by law, yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever arrange for your managers to specifically hold meetings or seminars to educate employees about the meal break policies?
A. Yes.
Q. When?
A. It would have been after the -- after the lawsuit.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Before the lawsuit was
filed, did you ever take any such steps?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, it was a policy that I inherited, and it was a policy that seemed to be working. We had no complaints. So it was, you know, a not broke, don't fix it type of scenario.
Q. By the way, when you came to join the Trump National Golf Club, was The Apprentice on the air?
A. I believe so.
Q. Had you watched it?
A. No.
Q. At some point did you start watching it?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever watched an episode of the

## Apprentice?

A. Yes.
Q. When did you first start watching it?
A. I watched season 2 back in 2002 or 2001, right around there.
Q. So when you came to work for the Trump National Golf Club, you were aware that Mr. Trump is the - as the principal figure of the organization is a man who is known for telling people "You're fired," right?
A. On TV.
Q. Who in HR?
A. Tom and Mariela.
2. So the three of you decided: We should have a meeting and educate everybody so they'll know what the policies are and know what their rights are?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever hear anybody refer to -- either before the lawsuits or after the lawsuits -- refer to the meal break as a DP?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever heard anyone talk about meal periods being referred to as a DP?
A. No.
Q. While you were the golf pro, are you aware of any wage orders being posted at the club educating employees about what their rights were regarding meal and rest breaks?

MR. BRIGGS: Objection. Lack of foundation. THE WITNESS: There is the large laminated sheet that's produced by -- I mean at least the State, I believe federal as well, that's near the time clock.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Do you know -- was this on the wall at all times that you were working there?
A. As I recall. I got so used to going in and out, I don't recall.
Q. The wall became inadvisable after awhile because you're so familiar with it?
A. Just used to seeing something.
Q. Is that - I'm sorry?
A. Just used to seeing something.
Q. Right. I mean, to some extent that's human nature. So you're saying that after a while you can't tell because it's so familiar, you're not paying attention to it; is that correct?
A. Well, it's there. It's on the door as you leave, as I remember it.
Q. Did you ever read it?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Never took the time.
Q. Why not?
A. Never thought of it.
Q. Before the Messerschmidt lawsuit was filed, did you ever discuss a wage order or any other documents that were posted on the wall with any of your other managers?

MR. BRIGGS: Objection. Lack of foundation.
THE WITNESS: Not that I can recall, no.
MR. COWAN: Off the record for one second.
(Break taken from 2:09 p.m. to $2: 10 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.
ballroom captain.
Q. Anyone else?
A. That's it.
Q. During the time that you were the general manager of the Trump National Golf Club and before the filing of the Messerschmidt lawsuit, were you aware of any nonexempt employee ever receiving premium pay for having missed a meal period?
A. No.
Q. Were you aware of anyone ever receiving premium pay for having missed a meal period before the Perry lawsuit was filed?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware of anyone being voluntarily paid premium pay for missing a meal period after the Perry lawsuit was filed?
A. I mean, I don't recall after. I - I - no.
Q. During the time that you were the general manager of the club and excluding the two lawsuits that were filed, did anyone ever come to you and complain about not being able to take meal breaks?
A. No.
Q. Did anyone ever come and complain to you about not being able to take a rest break?
A. No.
Q. Is it -- would it be accurate to say that up until the Messerschmidt and/or Perry lawsuits were filed that while you were the general manager there were no changes in how things were done when you were in charge as opposed to Mike VanderGoes? Again, just with respect to meal and rest breaks.

MR. BRIGGS: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous. Lack of foundation.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Sure. To your knowledge, as best you're aware during the time that you were the GM at the Trump National Golf Club, did anything change from the way the club had handled meal and rest breaks when Mike VanderGoes was in charge?

MR. BRIGGS: Objection. Lack of foundation. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. COWAN: Can you read my question back. Just the question.
(Record read.)

THE WITNESS: And I had assumed -- for the record I assumed -- I think the first time you said the question it was until the Messerschmidt case, so --
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Yeah. Yes.
A. That's --
breaks in break areas or a break room or in the outside area from the kitchen near the dumpsters and the loading dock?
A. Can you repeat the first part of the question.
Q. Sure. During the time you were the general manager, there were essentially two place where employees could take their meal periods: Number one, in the break room and, number two, outside the side of the building in the area with a couple of tables and where the loading dock and dumpsters are. Is that right?
A. No. That's inaccurate.
Q. Where else could they take their meal break?
A. They could go wherever they wanted. The first place that comes to mind is the park.
Q. Excuse me?
A. The first place that comes ta mind is the park just outside the clubhouse. But they could go wherever they want.
Q. How was that communicated to them?
A. It wasn't. It was, again, a policy that $I$ had inherited.
Q. Well, this policy that you inherited, to your knowledge, how was that communicated to employees?

MR. BRIGGS: Objection. Lack of foundation.

THE WITNESS: Employee handbook. I -- it's a
guess. I don't know.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: How did you come to believe that employees were free to go to the park outside the clubhouse during their meal periods?
A. Well, it was used as an example. They could go wherever they would like, so the park just naturally came to mind.
Q. So that was a hypothetical example that you gave?

MR. BRIGGS: I think he said it was an illustrative example.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a tangible example. It's right there. It's beautiful.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Are you aware of a time or means before the filing of the Messerschmidt lawsuit, either while you were the general manager or the golf pro or at some time earlier, either because you observed it or read about it or heard about it from someone else, that the club communicated to its employees that they could go to this park or anywhere else during a meal period if they so chose?
A. First part of the question again? I'm sorry. MR. COWAN: Would you read it again, please. (Record read.)

THE WITNESS: No.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: So if I understand you correctly, you're testifying that employees were free to go anywhere they wanted during a meal period, including this park outside the clubhouse, but, to your knowledge, it had never been communicated to anyone?

MR. BRIGGS: Objection. Asked and answered.
Argumentative. I'm not sure why you insist on
restating his testimony repeatedly throughout the day.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Is that correct?
A. And can you say it again. I'm sorry. I'm either confused or I'm --
Q. Sure.

MR. BRIGGS: Remember his question is what your
testimony is. So he's actually referring to something you just said here today --

THE WITNESS: Okay.

MR. BRIGGS: -- a couple moments ago.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: I'm substantively
recapitulating.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you understand that when I --
A. Those are great words okay.
Q. .- when I say this I'm substantively recapitulating what you're saying, contrary to what my
minutes.
Q. Is this paid or unpaid?
A. Paid.
Q. And was it to be uninterrupted, or could it be broken up into segments, as you understood it?
A. As I understand it, uninterrupted.
Q. That's always been your understanding.
A. Yes.
Q. And at the club if an employee had to go to the bathroom, did he or she have to do it during a rest break, or could someone run to the bathroom for, you know, 60 seconds, or whatever it is, to go quickly and then still take a ten-minute rest break later?
A. They could walk to the bathroom if they chose.
Q. So a short bathroom break was permitted and in addition to the legally required ten-minute rest break?
A. Or a long one, depending on how nature was taking its course.
Q. So it's your policy that when you were the general manager, bathroom breaks didn't count as rest breaks?
A. Correct.
Q. As provided by California law.
A. Correct.
Q. Was that ever put into a memo anywhere, to your
knowledge?
A. No.
Q. To your knowledge, was that the policy under Mike VanderGoes?

MR. BRIGGS: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: To your knowledge, under Mike VanderGoes was there a policy that rest breaks didn't count as -- sorry -- that bathroom breaks didn't count as a rest break?
A. Yes. That - yes.
Q. Are you aware of anyplace where that was spelled out in writing so employees would understand it, either when Mr. VanderGoes was the GM or you were the GM?
A. No.
Q. Any idea as to why?

MR. BRIGGS: Objection. Calls for speculation.
THE WITNESS: Well, going to the bathroom seems
a natural right, so I didn't figure that needed to be regulated.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: To your knowledge, what was done to make sure that all the other managers and supervisors were aware of this policy that people could go to the bathroom if and when they needed to go and that it would not count as a rest break?
A. Nothing.
Q. Why not?
A. It's going to the bathroom. It's pretty common sensical.
Q. Anything else?
A. No.
Q. While you were the general manager, did you ever conduct a review of the company's employee handbook?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Never thought of it. When I got promoted, I assumed that was -- you know, that was the gospel and that was fine.
Q. Would it be accurate to say, based on your prior testimony, that while you were the general manager you didn't take any specific steps to ensure that your managers and the supervisors were allowing or encouraging their employees to take their legally required rest breaks other than saying during staff meetings let's make sure people are taking their breaks?
A. Correct.
Q. Let's switch gears for a moment. I want to talk about some of your former colleagues. Now, you

MR. COWAN: All right. Back on the record.
Q. Mr. Conforti, do you need to change any of your testimony?
A. No.

MR. COWAN: I believe I'm done.
MR. BRIGGS: Okay.

MR. COWAN: I'm going to turn it over to
co-counsel.

MR. YEREMIAN: Okay.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. YEREMIAN:
Q. Mr. Conforti, you testified earlier that you weren't involved with -- as the general manager of the Trump National, you were not involved with the scheduling of meal breaks for nonexempt employees, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. To your knowledge, who scheduled those breaks for employees?
A. Department heads.
Q. And to your knowledge, were employees allowed to leave the property in order to take their breaks?
A. Yes.
Q. Were they required to get authorization from
their supervisor prior to leaving the property?
A. It was preferred.
Q. How was that preference communicated to employees?
A. Just verbally, just telling them.
Q. Would employees have to request authorization prior to actually taking a meal break?
A. No.
Q. So employees could take a meal break whenever they wanted to?
A. Yes.
Q. So the authorization was only required to leave the premises?
A. Yes.
Q. And during your time as the general manager, did any -- did you hear about any employee complaints regarding the meal break policy? or more generally, did you hear about any complaints regarding the ability to take meal breaks?
A. Not that I recall, no.
Q. Do you specifically recall Mr. Perry complaining about the ability to take meal breaks?
A. No.
Q. Now, were you aware that certain employees were going on food runs?
A. There were some employees who would ask to go on a food run, and it was always a yes. If it wasn't a yes, it was because we might have had a busy time on the tee sheet so we asked them to hold off for a few minutes, and then they would go.
Q. By food run what I'm referring to, and what I assume your understanding, is that an employee would leave the premises with an order for several other employees and go pick up food at a local restaurant and return.
A. Yes.
Q. Was there a practice of employees going on food runs when you were the golf pro?

MR. BRIGGS: Objection. Vague and ambiquous.
THE WITNESS: Probably, yes.
Q. BY MR. YEREMIAN: Do you know which employees would participate in the food run?
A. I don't.
Q. How were you aware that these food runs were taking place?
A. Food wrappers. Seeing them eating a -something from off property.
Q. Did you ever speak to any department heads regarding food runs?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said that you knew food runs were taking place because you would observe wrappers? Where would you see -- I mean, I'm assuming that means trash. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Where would you see that?

A, On golf carts, if that's where they were eating. Sometimes up at the valet stand.
Q. Did that make you -- I mean, did -- if you saw the wrappers at the valet stand, did you wonder if they were actually eating at the valet stand?
A. Well, it's safe to say that they would have been eating at the valet stand. Every now and then I'd see them eating up there and I would -- what's the word I look for? I would -- sorry. I'm looking for the word. I would ask them not to eat up there, but I would see it there from time to time.
Q. Did you ever participate in a food run?
A. Going to get food for them? No.
Q. Did you ever participate in the sense of placing an order with them to bring food for you?
A. No.
Q. Did Dave Perry ever complain to you that Mike VanderGoes punched him in the stomach?
A. No.
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MS. MARTIN: No, that is your question. You asked her "deny." She responded to that question.

MR. COWAN: I don't believe that to be the case. The record will show what it shows.

Q BY MR. COWAN: So during the time in question, was there anything that the club was doing to ensure compliance with California law regarding meal breaks other than number one, putting language in its employee handbooks and number two, having some means you generally testified about where you heard sue Kwiatkowski and Luis Estrada talk to employees about taking breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Overbroad, vague and ambiguous, outside the scope of the deposition notice. If you want to answer in a personal capacity, you can.

THE WITNESS: Supervisors, every department is different so supervisors were in charge of their departments.

So what they would do -- I mean if anybody needed a break, we had employee meals at certain times where people can go and grab something to eat and take their time to eat it.

It was never that nobody knew about this. It was people knew they could go have lunch, they could go first meal break, they could sit in various locations of the club where it is out of the way so they are
uninterrupted.

I mean it is just -- but it was known. So the manager would say, "Did you take your break," or "Do you want to go and take your break now," depending on business levels, and people would go. They would just communicate. The supervisor would communicate with their staff.

MR. COWAN: Move to strike the first part as non-responsive.

Q BY MR. COWAN: I think what you are telling me is that supervisors on an informal basis would communicate with their employees about taking breaks; is that right?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, misstates her testimony and vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: What they would do is they would check in with their staff, their staff would also know that their employee meal twice during the days they are able to go, but at any time there is always a manager there, there was always back-up there so if they were going to leave their post, they are free to ask I want to go have a lunch break or managers would check in with them, did you take your lunch break or did you take your -- did you want to take a break.

It was constant communication all the time.
there a directive from the General Manager or some other high-level manager of the club telling -- specifically telling the lower-level managers to constantly have this dialogue with their supervisees?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, I am assuming we are -- you are now just outside the scope of your PMQ questions so you are asking her in her personal capacity?

MR. COWAN: No. The categories include policies about rest breaks and meal breaks and the practices.

MS. MARTIN: Okay. You are not asking about the policy or practice. You are asking about meetings between managers and what managers told to their people and stuff outside the scope of what a policy, procedure and practice is. You are asking about something completely different.

MR. COWAN: This goes to the implementation of the practice, it is part of the practice.

MS. MARTIN: I disagree with that.
THE WITNESS: Supervisors knew it and they commuicated that to their staff.
Q. BY MR. COWAN: Was there ever -- are you aware of general directive, orders, meetings, instruction that was given by either the General Manager who controlled or some other higher-level manager of the club telling all the lower-level managers be sure and talk
with your employees, your supervisees, on a regular basis about taking their breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad.

THE WITNESS: There is times in our staff meetings where it was brought up just as general conversation with our GM -- with our GM and our restaurant managers, banquet managers.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Who brought it up in 2005 from March onwards during such meetings?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad.

THE WITNESS: It would be usually the General Managers. So Mike van der Goes would -. I remember it being casually -- just remember everyone has to take their meal break, their you know, rest breaks, so it was told.

Q BY MR. COWAN: And were those references including specifically thirty-minute meal breaks and ten -- in other words, in those meetings in 2005, was the manager specifically saying make sure they take thirty minutes per meal break?

A Yes, because that was policy.
Q You are sure -- you have a clear recollection that the discussion was not just about taking a meal

Q In 2010?
A Beginning of 2010, David Conforti.
Q And during these meetings in -- from March of 2005 onward, did you hear Mr. van der Goes specifically referencing the managers that employees should be taking ten-minute rest breaks as opposed to a rest break in general?

A Not specifically ten minutes.
Q Okay. Is the same true for the following years, 2006 and seven and then eight and nine for Mr. Conforti?

A They may have said it, but I don't remember that. It was just common knowledge that people would just take breaks whenever they needed to. They had back-up.

Q Let's pick up on what you said just now, back-up. What practices did the club have as part of its policies to provide for employees to receive their thirty-minute meal breaks and ten-minute rest breaks so that there would be back-up?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad.

THE WITNESS: There was a manager there at all times. If you had one person manning the post, you had the manager step in, that person can go take their break.

A Because they have a phone at their post, they can call -- they have a phone at their hostess stand and they are able to call a manager by dialing an extension. They have all the managers' extensions and they say hey, can you come here and man the post while I take my break, absolutely.

Q What if the managers are away from their desk?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, incomplete hypothetical, calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Not all twelve managers would not be at their desks at a given time.

Q BY MR. COWAN: If they were, then what?
MS. MARTIN: Objection, incomplete hypothetical, calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: They would have a server, they would have a bartender, they could have a runner, they could have a busser.

Q BY MR. COWAN: What if the restaurant is busy and all the servers and bussers are busy?

A Managers are there.
MS. MARTIN: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: If the restaurant is busy, a manager will not be away from that restaurant. A manager will be there.

Q BY MR. COWAN: And was any of this ever spelled out in a written memo to the managers about how they should be providing coverage so that people could take their breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad.

THE WITNESS: They all knew it.
Q BY MR. COWAN: So that is a "no," it was never put out in a written memo?

A Not in a written memo as I knew it.
Q. You talked before about the training programs that $S \& K$ Insurance and Compliance provided. That was for the department head managers?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, outside the scope of the $P M Q$ notice. If you are off the $P M Q$ notice now, can you please establish that because there is no category in here about training received on any topic. So if you would like to talk about training, then let's go ahead and close for now the PMQ.

MR, COWAN: I still think it goes to the issue of policies and practices.

MS. MARTIN: That is not what you are asking about. You are asking about -- specifically about training and that is not on the PMQ notice, so don't answer that.

MR. COWAN: The objection is -- your objection is

A Yes.
Q This is outside the notice, I am asking personal capacity, do you believe this was to be the case in 2000 -- that this was the case in 2004?

A I wasn't there in 2004.
Q From the spring of 2005 onward, did the club ever conduct any audits or checks to make sure that employees were receiving thirty-minute meal breaks as required by law?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Again, this is outside the scope of the PMQ so I assume you are asking her in her personal capacity. There would be no question about audit in the PMQ notice.

MR. COWAN: We can agree to disagree but your objection is absolutely noted.

THE WITNESS: Back in 2005, I am not aware of that.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Are you aware of any audits or inspections that were done in 2006 to ensure that employees were receiving thirty-minute meal periods?

MS. MARTIN: Same objection and vague and ambiguous
as to "audits and inspections."
THE WITNESS: I don't remember anything.
Q BY MR. COWAN: 2007?
A I wouldn't have had that knowledge as the Golf Event Coordinator.

Q Do you have that knowledge now?
A Uh-huh.

Q In 2007, were any audits or inspections done by the club to ensure that its employees were receiving full, thirty-minute meal breaks?

A I know that we relied on our supervisors around that time to make sure that that was happening in their departments, in their respective departments.

Q Anything else?
A As far as I know, no.
Q Was there -- was anything done in 2008 in terms of audits or inspections to ensure that employees were receiving full, thirty-minute meal periods?

MS. MARTIN: Same objections.
THE WITNESS: As far as I know, no.

Q BY MR. COWAN: You have never heard of any such thing?

A About audits?

Q Audits, inspections.

A Not inspections of any kind but people would be taking their breaks.

Q Did you ever monitor employees with a watch to see how long they were taking for a meal break?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, again, outside the scope of the deposition notice. I think you can agree this is in

Q BY MR. COWAN: Do you have an understanding as to why employees are supposed to receive a thirty-minute meal break as established by law?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, same objection.

THE WITNESS: Personally, I believe obviously to get something to eat, to rest, to freshen up, to just take time to relax before they are back on their shift.

Q BY MR. COWAN: You understand the law -- the legislature who wrote the law in California and the courts that interpret it, have found that it is important for people to be able to take that kind of break?

MS. MARTIN: Objection --

Q BY MR. COWAN: You are nodding your head you agree?

A Yes, they should, yes.

Q So at any time from March of 2005 to the present, was there ever a formalized schedule for setting employee meal breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous as to, "formalized schedule having meal breaks." Overbroad.

THE WITNESS: Nothing formalized, no.

Q BY MR. COWAN: So in every instance, it was simply up to the particular managers to allow the employees to take them as circumstances dictated?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: Every supervisor had their own way. Every department is different.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Was there ever a review done in 2000 -- from March of 2005 to the present to make sure that the supervisors were properly scheduling meal breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Again, this is outside the scope of the categories. So if you know in your personal capacity, you can respond but she is not responding on behalf of the company as a $B M Q$ witness and vague and ambiguous as to "review."

THE WITNESS: Yeah, if you can just re -- just let me know the question again, I am sorry.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Was there ever an occasion in March of 2005 to the present where the club, through its managers, reviewed people's schedules to determine if they were conducive for people being able to take meal breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Same objection and overbroad.
THE WITNESS: I know now we put that in their schedules but it is a recommended time, it is not a scheduled time.

Q BY MR. COWAN: When did recommendations start being put in people's schedules?

MS. MARTIN: Same objections.

THE WITNESS: I don't know the first time that that started happening.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Was it after these lawsuits were filed?

MS. MARTIN: Objection.

THE WITNESS: It probably was.
Q BY MR. COWAN: That is your best
recollection?

A Best recollection.

Q Have you ever -- have you ever heard of an occasion in which an hourly employee was denied a meal break to which he or she was entitled?

MS. MARTIN: Objection. Again, we are way outside the scope of policy, procedures and practices. You are now asking about specific instances in her personal knowledge. She is only going to respond in a personal capacity and not on behalf of the company in this - to this question.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Have you ever heard of a situation where an hourly employee -- an hourly employee missed a meal break? Estimate?

MS. MARTIN: Same objection, vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: If they missed it -- they were never denied it but if they ever missed it, I mean that could happen, yes.

Q BY MR. COWAN: And I understand it could. Are you aware of any occasions where it did?

A That they missed it?

Q Where a meal break was not taken for whatever reason.

A Where a meal break was not taken for any reason. The only times I have ever heard of that was if somebody started working but a manager would catch them and say, "Did you take your break? Oh, I forgot. Well, you need to go take it now."

Q That would have -- that would have been after some point in 2009; correct?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, misstates her testimony.
THE WITNESS: I wouldn't put a date on it.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Did that ever happen before either of these two lawsuits were filed?

A It could have.

Q I am asking something different. I am asking if you know of it happening before these lawsuits were filed.

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous as to "happen." I am not sure what we are talking about any more.

THE WITNESS: I -- I don't know.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Are you aware of any occasions
meal breaks; right?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, lacks foundation, mischaracterizes her testimony. Same objections as to the scope, that she is only answering in her own, individual capacity.

THE WITNESS: That is as far as I know, yes.
Q BY MR. COWAN: There is no mention about premium pay in the employee handbook is there, to your knowledge?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, the document speaks for itself, vague and ambiguous as to, "premium pay."

THE WITNESS: I would have to refer back to the employee handbook about that.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Are you aware of the club ever giving out written memos to its employees telling them that if they miss a meal break or a rest break, they are entitled to premium pay?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, same objection as to the scope. She is only answering in her individual capacity.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember that.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Are you aware at any time... this is an individual question -- at any time whether the club conducted an investigation of whether an employee missed a break involuntarily as opposed to voluntarily?

MS. MARTIN: Vague and ambiguous as to,
"investigation." Overbroad as to the scope.
THE WITNESS: I wasn't aware of anything, personally.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Are you aware of any time from March of 2005 to the present where an hourly employee came with a question about meal and rest breaks and was referred to HR?

MS. MARTIN: Again, outside the scope of the PMQ. Please answer in your individual capacity.

THE WITNESS: They would go -- with any kind of question as far as their breaks go, they would go to their direct supervisor.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Move to strike as non-responsive. I am asking if you know whether someone ever was sent to $H R$ for information after they had a question about meal and rest breaks.

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous. Again, not within the scope of the notice. You should answer individually.

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't have known of that. I wouldn't have known of that if they were sent to HR if they were talking to somebody -- if they were talking to their manager, I wouldn't have known if the manager would tell them to go to HR. But HR was always open. If anyone had questions about anything, they are more than
welcome to go to HR .

Q BY MR. COWAN: From 2005 to the present, was there a written procedure that was given to the managexs to follow for them to make sure that employees were receiving their meal or rest breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: A written procedure?
MR. COWAN: Uh-huh.

THE WITNESS: Can you just repeat the question again so I have a clear understanding of the question.
(Record read.)

THE WITNESS: Written procedure? Well, what they -- a lot of it now is the scheduling so they have a recommended time so they would refer back to that to see if that particular employee is taking a break around that time.

But like I said, every department did it differently so it was just common knowledge that that is what had to be done. I don't believe there is anything written, only just the policies in our employee handbook.

Q BY MR. COWAN: So to make sure I understood that correctly, after -- at some point after the lawsuits were filed, the club started putting recommended break times into the schedules, but other than that, you are not aware of anything that would have been giving written
guidance to the managers about making sure their employees were taking their breaks other than what was in the employee handbook?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, misstates her testimony.
THE WITNESS: In 2005, I am not sure what the managers did, so there may have been something. But as far as I know now, this is what we have been doing.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Would you say that in 2005 or let's say from March of 2005 to the present, it was really the responsibility of the employee to make sure that he or she took a meal break?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: The supervisors also had to let them know as well but the employees were well aware of the fact that they had to take their break.

And so I would even see a lot of them ask managers to -- like I said -- man their post or have another person switch off with them.

Q BY MR. COWAN: In 2005 would you say it was really the responsibility of the employee to make sure that he or she received a rest break?

MS. MARTIN: Same objections.

THE WITNESS: Well, they knew they had to but like I said, there was communication with the supervisors and the employees at all times that they have to - hey, do
you want to go on your break now, so it was communication.

Q BY MR. COWAN: So you are saying it was not just the responsibility of the employee?

A It was not just their responsibility but also the supervisor's responsibility.

Q Whose responsibility was it to make sure that the employee received a full thirty minutes for a meal break?

A Well. The employees knew that they had that thirty-minute break. There was also supervisors as well.

Q Whose responsibility was it to make sure that an employee received a full, ten-minute rest break?

A The employees knew that they had the full ten-minute break, supervisors knew that as well.

Q So you are saying it was the responsibility of both?

A Well, it is the responsibility of the employee to know that they have -- they have that ten-minute break just to relax and regroup. That is their ten-minute break.

Q In 2005 was it the responsibility - if the break was -- if there was a problem with getting the break and it wasn't happening, whose responsibility was it to see that the break was received? Employees or the
supposed to be done here at deposition.
THE WITNESS: I am sorry. Would you repeat the question.

> (Record read.)

MS. MARTIN: Same objections.
THE WITNESS: The way the employees also knew that they were supposed to receive their thixty-minute breaks and their ten-minute breaks and supervisors -- yes, they had to make sure that they did receive it.

Q BY MR. COWAN: The same is true for rest breaks; right?

A Yes.
Q So your testimony is that although employees -- according to you -- knew about the policies regarding meal breaks and rest breaks, ultimately the responsibility did lie with the supervisors to make sure they were getting those breaks because they were the ones in a positon of power; right?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, misstates her testimony. She has already answered this question.

THE WITNESS: The employees know that they have to take their thirty-minute meal break and their ten-minute break. They know that and they are the ones that if they need coverage, they have to mention that to the supervisor as well. I mean I believe it is a joint
effort. I personally believe that.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Is that the best answer you can give to my question?

A Yes.

MS. MARTIN: when you get to a good point, can we take a break?

MR. COWAN: Yes, let's take a break.
(Brief recess.)
Q BY MR. COWAN: Okay. Let's go back on the record. We took a short break. You are able to resume your testimony?

A Yes.

Q Do you need to change any of your earlier testimony?

A No.

Q I want to confirm the testimony you have given so far which is there was -- as part of the club's policy regarding providing thirty-minute meal breaks, other than after 2009 scheduling or referencing recommended break times and other than generally instructing its managers - the managers to make sure people were taking their breaks, there was nothing else that was done to make sure that a meal break was being provided for the required thirty-minute period; right?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous,
overbroad.

THE WITNESS: Correct, as the employee should know to take their breaks.

Q BY MR. COWAN: And as for rest breaks, there was nothing done to ensure that the rest breaks were ensured other than a general policy of having the managers check and making sure the employees knew what the breaks were supposed to be; is that right?

MS. MARTIN: Same objections.

THE WITNESS: Yes, but they would - the employees knew. That is why the employees would -- like I said, would take their time to go out, smoke break, sit down, drink, eat. They would also know that as well but nothing else was written as they should know.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Now the club sometimes held special events like Super Bowl parties and celebrity golf tournaments; correct?

A Yes.

Q On days when the club was busy with events like that, people would end up working more than ten hours; right?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad and this is outside the scope of the PMQ notice so you are asking her in her personal capacity so you can only answer in your personal capacity, if you can answer
at all.

THE WITNESS: There were times where yes, they would have to work long hours, longer hours.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Let's talk about rest breaks for a minute. They were paid; right?

A Yes.

Q If somebody needed a quick trip to the bathroom, would that count as their rest break as a matter of club policy?

A No. They are free to go to the bathroom any time they wanted to go to the bathroom.

Q That was true for all employees?
A Yes.

Q So the employee handbook contained a reference to rest breaks; right?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, the document speaks for itself.

THE WITNESS: (No audible response.)
Q BY MR. COWAN: And other than that, other than the handbook and other than the general, informal discussions you have talked about that managers and supervisors would have with the non-exempt employees, there is nothing else that was done to educate them about their right to receive a paid, ten-minute rest break for every four hours of work; correct?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: No, because they knew.
Q BY MR. COWAN: You are agreeing with me?
A That there was nothing in writing other than the employee handbook.

Q The question was a little bit different. I just want to make sure --

A Sure.
Q -- we are on the same page.
A Absolutely.
Q Everything that was done to educate employees about the policy regarding rest breaks consisted of putting it in the handbook, perhaps a general discussion when they received the handbook and then general, informal conversations or interactions with their supervisors; correct?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous and overbroad.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
Q BY MR. COWAN: The rest breaks were never scheduled; right?

A No. They can take rest breaks whenever they needed to rest.

Q Was there ever a procedure for non-exempt
employees to report a missed rest break?
MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad.

THE WITNESS: A procedure to report a missed rest break? No. They would take their rest breaks. There was no ... there was no ... nothing in writing or they had to do anything like that.

Q BY MR. COWAN: I am asking -- you may have misunderstood my question. Let me try again.

A Sure.
Q Let's assume that for whatever reason; okay? An employee missed a rest break, didn't have the opportunity to take it.

Let's set aside why, let's assume the person didn't receive the opportunity to take a rest break, was there a procedure by which he or she could report, hey, I didn't get my rest break?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, incomplete hypothetical.

THE WITNESS: They would report it to their supervisor. They would tell their supervisor hey, I didn't get my break. That is just the hypothetical, I didn't get my rest break.

Q BY MR. COWAN: That was -- that was the case in 2005; yes?

A I believe so.
Q And six?
A Yes.
Q And seven and eight?
A Yes.
Q And nine?
A That is if the employee did not take their rest break which I don't know if they would or they wouldn't at that point.

Q And this -- this policy that they should report a missed rest break whether it was their .whether it was of their own choosing or whether it was because they were denied it, was that in writing anywhere?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, mischaracterizes her testimony.

THE WITNESS: They were never denied it.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Let -- for whatever reason, was the policy that they should report a missed rest break to their supervisor in writing anywhere?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad.

THE WITNESS: In writing? I don't believe there was anything that you had to report in writing.

Q BY MR. COWAN: So to the best of your
caused to cars while they were driving them and parking them?

A No.

Q So nothing was ever deducted from their wages or tips to pay for any damages they might have accidentally caused; is that right?

A No.

Q You are agreeing with me?
A Nothing was deducted.

Q So I want to come back for a minute to the issue of meal breaks and rest breaks and specifically Hostesses and even more specifically, my client Lucy Messerschmidt. Was Lucy Messerschmidt treated any differently from any of the other Hostesses?

A No.

Q So she was treated the same way all the other Hostesses were treated?

A Yes.

Q And when she was a Hostess, was there a fule that she couldn't leave the podium unattended?

A If it was an emergency, obviously but .-
Q Obviously in an emergency?
A But like I said, they would always have somebody there. So it was the responsibility of them to make sure somebody was manning the post and they can
leave the podium.

Q So the rule was that there was always supposed to be -- absent an emergency, earthquake, fire, somebody is dying --

A Bathroom issues.
Q -- absent an emergency, there was always supposed to be at least one Hostess at the podium; correct?

A Yes.

Q And isn't it true that at certain times of the day only one Hostess was scheduled to work?

A Slow times.

Q So on those occasions, a Hostess would have to find somebody who was willing to cover for her, right, if she wanted to leave the podium and comply with - -

A Not willing.
Q -- company policy?
A Not willing, but it was known that if a
Hostess did need to leave, she could call anybody and they were to go to that post to man it, not that they would have to be willing, but if she called me or anybody else, yes, we would go there and have her leave the post.

Q Were there not -- were there not times where
Lucy Messerschmidt would want to leave her post to take a break and couldn't do it right away because you or
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A Yes.
Q How long did you have it?
A I don't recall.
Q What was the next job?
A It was in the golf industry. I want to say 10:34
it was at Via Verde country club.
Q What did you do there?
A I was a cart attendant.
Q So I apologize for this question. I am not a
golfer. What does that mean you did?
A Took care of the golf carts, cleaned them,
washed them, charged them, took care of the members'
bags, cleaned the clubs, put them away.
Q How long did you have that job,
approximately?
A Three years.
Q What was your next job?
A Also a cart and bag attendant at Wilshire
Country Club.
Q For how long?
A Approximately a year.
Q After that?

A I was an Assistant Golf Pro at Industry
Hills.

Q That is a country club?

```
speaking objections really aren't appropriate. Say,
"vague and ambiguous," you can argue it at trial to the
judge is sufficient.
THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Sure. Did you receive any 10:59
training in conjunction with becoming the GM?
    MS. MARTIN: Same objections.
    THE WITNESS: NO.
    Q BY MR. COWAN: After you became the GM, did
you receive any training in being the General Manager? 10:59
    MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous,
overbroad.
    THE WITNESS: I would say, yes.
    Q BY MR. COWAN: What training did you receive?
    A It was through S&K Compliance.
    Q What was that?
    A It was our company we use for HR resources
and in order to make sure that we stayed in conjunction
with the correct laws that govern California wage an
hour.
```

Q So tell me all the training you received from $S \& K$.

A I can't give you specifics because I don't know. I think that to the best of my recollection it was from breaks, when to take breaks, hiring, firing, last

A What we can provide free of charge, what the 11:11 employees are expected to purchase on their own.

Q What were you taught?
A Anything with a logo, because it can't be used anywhere else for employment. You cannot make that 11:12 individual pay for those uniforms. They can be requested to purchase their own slacks, shoes and belts.

Q Were you taught anything else?
A Not that I recall.
Q What did you ever learn about -- during the 11:12 course of this training, either at Trump or other employers in California -- about rest periods?

A We are informed to follow policies.
Q So whatever was in the employee handbook you should follow?

A That would be the policy, yes.
Q That is what they taught you to do?
A Yes.
Q Is the same true for meal periods?
A Yes.
Q As you sit here today, do you remember attending any seminars where any of these $H R$ professionals or whatever other qualifications they had explained to you exactly what the law was regarding meal periods or rest breaks in California?

A No.

Q Did any of the training that you received during the jobs that you had in California pertain to timekeeping?

A Yes.

Q What kind of training did you receive during these jobs you had in California about timekeeping?

A Policies that were -- from my recollection ... relevant to the California state wage and hour laws as to accurate timekeeping for employees.

Q If you explained that to a sixth grader, what would that mean?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, incomplete hypothetical, calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: I would say policies would be
something that is written in the handbook and that you follow in order to correctly and accurately report somebody's time.

The procedure and practices are different from a construction worker to a golf pro to an
independent contractor.
So I think everybody -- every company is a little bit different so that is why I would say that the handbooks pertain to that state's abilities and needs to accurately record time.
say that these priorities were sort of put in order based 11:33 on your sense about how you can best do your job and do everything that was expected of you to be the best possible General Mánager?

A Yes.
Q By the way, when you say -- talk about supervising department heads at Trump National Golf Club, what exactly did that mean?

A One, it was to mean that they stayed within their -- I would say again, customer service and budgeting and employee-related topics.

So is $X, Y, Z$ following the correct protocols with regards to adhering to expenses, is he adhering to the employee manual, is he adhering to HR regulations, is he coming up with new ideas to stimulate business, is he 11:34 being proactive with regards to scheduling if it is down time. If it is raining, obviously, we are not going to have a starter.

Q As best you could tell from the time you worked for Trump National Golf Club, what did you think was the most important thing the club wanted you to do? MS. MARTIN: Objection, calls for speculation.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Let me rephrase that. Based on the communications you had with Mr. Trump and the people working with him at the Trump organization, what
did you come to believe were the most important things
the organization wanted you to do?
A Make it the best it can be, to provide the service that is synonymous with Trump.

Q Would that have been okay if the club were
also losing money or only being the best it can be while still being profitable?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, calls for speculation, incomplete hypothetical.

MR. COWAN: I am asking for your understanding.
THE WITNESS: I don't think we ever made money when I was there. So my interpretation of it is Mike, I want the club to do well but likewise, I think that there are expectations that not only the employees but the public who come to visit the facility have with regards to
the name that is attached to the facility.
If you go to the Best Western, your
expectations are not going to be the same as if you go to a Ritz. It is the same hotel, the only thing is that there is a different name to it.

Q BY MR. COWAN: So it was your understanding that the public expects the name Trump to be associated with luxury and high quality and that you needed to maintain those expectations and that standard? Is that right?

THE WITNESS: I might.
Q BY MR. COWAN: What do you think you might
have?
A I think as an employee, you are given a handbook so I am sure that I put that at home just like 12:00 everything else and it could be floating around.

MR. COWAN: Take a break for one second.
(Brief recess.)
Q BY MR. COWAN: Back on the record. We took a short break. Do you need to change any of your

```
testimony, sir?
```

A No.
Q So a moment ago you testified that you
thought it was important for the company to take all reasonable steps to ensure that its employees' rights 12:09 under the law were protected; do you remember that?

A Yes.
Q During the time that you were the General Manager, please tell me all steps that were taken to ensure that the Trump National Golf Club complied with 12:09 California law regarding meal breaks.

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad, calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: I would have to defer that to Tom sperandio who was in charge of $H R$ and $h$ is

```
communications with individuals related to making sure
    12:09
that that was there.
    Q BY MR. COWAN: So would it be accurate to say
that means I don't know because Mr. Sperandio was in
charge of that?
```

A I knew of it ance he did the review and he put it in the policies manual, yes. That is when I saw it.

Q At some point he put it in an employee handbook, a discussion about what the policy was supposed 12:10 to be?

A Yes.
Q Other than that, are you aware of anything else that the club did to ensure that employees' rights regarding meal breaks were protected?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad, calls for a conclusion.

THE WITNESS: Other than the training sessions through $S \& K$ and through the policies that were listed for the department heads to follow, that was my
responsibility to make sure the department heads followed those.

I don't know exactly other than the training with the seminars what else was done individually with him with the department heads.

Q Do you have any reason to doubt his
truthfulness other than any allegations made in his
lawsuit that you do not believe to be true?
MS. MARTIN: Objection, lacks foundation, assumes
facts not in evidence.
THE WITNESS: Again, so much as I had with the
first one with Lucy, but no, I don't.
Q BY MR. COWAN: So based on all the
interactions you had with Mr. Perry while he worked at the club when you were there, you have no reason to doubt 12:21 his truthfulness; is that right?

A No.
Q You are disagreeing with me or you agree?
A I am disagreeing.
Q So based on what Mr. Perry -- based on what
you -- experiences with Mr. Perry, while the two of you were working at the club, why do you doubt his truthfulness?

A I don't think he wanted to get in trouble and he had left his post to go run an errand, food, and it got busy and I ended up helping the valet.

He came back, he tried to disguise it as something different saying that he was not off site and he actually was. So I don't know if that is a one-time occurrence.

> Again, it was -- I think people -- just a

Iittle white lie if you want to callit, but i just didn't want to get -- again, it was just a learning opportunity and he and I spoke about it and it was fine.

Q Anything else?
A No.
Q How do you know that he was not - let me rephrase that. What causes you to believe that Mr. Perry was not being truthful when he said he was not off the premises during the time that you couldn't find him?

A Restate the question one more time.
Q Sure. You said something to the effect - the court reporter can get it precisely -- but you said something to the effect of him lying about being off site, going to get food and not being on the premises and 12:23 not being truthful with you about that.

So my question for you is what caused you to believe that he was not being truthful and that he had left the premises as opposed to still being there but just not being available or found?

A Because we were not able to reach him on the radio.

Q Anything else?
A No.
Q Did you know someone named Maral Bolsajian? 12:24
employment in January of 2008, were there any changes in 01:34 the golf club's policies regarding employee meal breaks or rest breaks?

A To the best of my recollection, no, but I do know that we updated the employee manual to reflect changes in laws for the employees, et cetera, and I don't recall exactly what those changes were.

Q So from the point that you became the Interim General Manager onward, to your knowledge, what was the club's policy about how meal breaks would be scheduled? 01:35

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous as to "club's policy."

THE WITNESS: The policy was actually written down in the employee manual for managers to enforce, for the employee to know what the rights are.

As a service-based industry, I think the policy is there far everybody to know what they are and what their opportunities are. I think the procedures and practices may differ based upon where you worked in the facility.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Do you have an understanding as to what the procedures were in the different departments of the facility when you were the General Manager to ensure that employees got the meal break?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, calls 01:36
for a legal conclusion as to "ensure."
THE WITNESS: Again, going back, the managers have to go by policy and the procedure was different from golf course maintenance to food and beverage, valet for instance if they had a wedding coming in at 5:00 o'clock 01:36 they are going to be busy from $4: 30$ to possibly 6:00 o'clock for stragglers.

So the procedure for that would be different than the grounds maintenance where they are really not in the service business, they are just in the agronomy business so they would always take their break at 11:00 o'clock.

So it fluctuated based upon time and availability but if you did work mare than six hours, you did have to take a thirty-minute break.

Q BY MR. COWAN: The grounds keepers always took a break when?

A I would say between 10:00 and 11:00 or 11:30.
MS. MARTIN: Belated objection, calls for speculation.

Q BY MR. COWAN: You testified a moment ago that the grounds keepers were not service employees. In other words, what they did didn't directly affect or involve interaction with the customers and guests so they could always take their break at a particular time.

Q BY MR. COWAN: You understand what I am
talking about what each department would do to implement --

A I don't think that there was a variation in policy because policy is something that is written, that 02:05 is being requested by the facility to be upheld and implemented.

The practices and the procedures may change from department to department. I didn't see much of a change or variation if you want to call it that from a department head to a manager or supervisor of that department which is just one subordinate lower than that. But obviously, they are getting directions from that manager.

Q So it is your understanding that typically 02:06 whatever procedures or practices a department head created to implement the policies and rules and company handbook, the managers and supervisors acting on your direction would carry them out?

A To the best of my knowledge, yes.
Q Is it accurate to say that the difference between a manager and a supervisor is just sort of one level of responsibility? The manager is in charge of supervisors and non-managerial employees sort of have more people keeping an eye on helping to run?
employees getting rest breaks?

A No.
Q Did you ever talk with him about the issue of employee tips being shared or pooled?

A I know we discussed it, yes. 02:12

Q What did you discuss with Mr. Conforti about the issue of tips being shared or pooled?

A If people wanted to pool them, just to make sure everybody turned it in. We wanted to make sure that everybody was on the up-and-up, don't put the stuff you
want to pool in your right pocket and the stuff that you
want to keep in your ieft pocket.

Q Erom the time that you were the General
Manager at the golf club did you ever talk with
Mr. Conforti about the issue of employees being properly 02:12 paid?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad.
The witness: No.
Q BY MR. COWAN: When you joined the ocean Trails Golf Club, did Joey Kim already work there?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous as to "join."

Q BY MR. COWAN: Let me back up. When you became employed at the Ocean Trails Golf Club, was Mr. Kim already working there?

A Yes.
Q What was his title?
A Just a Valet Attendant.
Q But at some time he got promoted and became
the Director of Outside Services?
A Was it that? I remember he was a supervisor.
Q He became a supervisor?
A Yes.
Q And then was he then promoted?
MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad as to time, vague $02: 13$
and ambiguous as to time.
Q BY MR. COWAN: You understand all my
questions pertain to while you worked at the club?
A Correct. I don't believe that he was in that capacity as Director of Outside Services while I was 02:14 employed there.

Q How would you describe your relationship with
Mr. Kim?
MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad.
THE WITNESS: Friendly.
Q BY MR. COWAN: It was good?
A Uh-huh, yes.
Q Was your relationship with Mr. Conforti good?
MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: I thought it was.
concerns that an employee had and that would go from the 02:24 employee to the manager to the department head to $H R$ to me. So I was not exposed to every little nuance that the facility had.

Q Yes, but I asked a slightly different
question so I am going to move to strike.
A Maybe I am not interpreting it correctly
then.
Q That is possible. It happens all the time. I will technically move to strike as non-responsive and I 02:24 will try again.

Other than monitoring visually the department heads and other than being available to respond to any issues that may have worked their way through the process as set forth in this handbook, was there anything else 02:24
that you did to ensure that the policies of the club as set forth in its employee handbook were actually being followed and carried out?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad, vague and ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: I think that would refer back to the seminars and the meetings that we had with $S \& K$ to always review what was in the employee manual or what the policies are to make sure that we all stayed current with any kind of changes there were.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Anything else that you did
other than what you just told me to ensure that the policies that were established and set out in the employee handbook were actually being enforced?

MS. MARTIN: Same objections.
THE WITNESS: No.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Did you ever meet with any of your department heads and talk to them specifically to find out what they were doing to make sure the policies in this handbook were being carried out? When I say,
"this handbook," I mean whatever employee manual was in effect at the time.

A I don't recall.
Q Can you think of anything that would help you remember?

A No.
Q How much direct contact would you have with the hourly employees?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad.
Q BY MR. COWAN: During the time that you 02:26
worked as the General Manager is what I am talking about.
A Quite a lot.
Q What kind of contact was it?
MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad.
THE WITNESS: From saying good morning to helping

A No.
Q So let's change subjects for a second. I want to talk about the time keeping systems that were used at the club while you were the General Manager. There was a time clock?

A Yes.
Q Was it always the same kind or did it change?
MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
Q BY MR. COWAN: During -- was there a

A Yes.
Q What were the names of the software programs or timekeeping systems that were used while you were the 02:40 General Manager?

A I don't know.
Q Who would know, to the best of your
knowledge?
A Tom Sperandio.
Q To your knowledge was the -- whenever a particular timekeeping program or system was being used, was it in use for the entire club or were there different programs being used for different departments?

A For the entire club.
regards to any nuisances that he would solve while he was 02:43 processing payroll. If people forgot to punch out or forgot to punch in he would be the person that would be the one that would address that with the department heads.

Q Right, but I am talking about something a little different. I am talking about whatever training was provided to the department heads and the managers and the supervisors to make sure that everyone else was doing what they were supposed to do with the club as a whole 02: 43 was properly keeping track and recording everyone's time.

And I asked you what training was provided to these supervisors and managers and department heads and you referenced the employee handbook.

So my question to you again is, other than
giving them the handbook and effectively telling them make sure you understand this and do what is in here, was there any other training given to the department heads, managers and the supervisors about this issue of keeping track of employee time?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad.
THE WITNESS: No.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Where did the club keep its timekeeping records? Were they stored electronically? Were there hard copies printed and kept somewhere? How 02:44

```
were these records stored during the time you were the 02:4.4
General Manager?
    A Electronically and paper.
    Q Both?
    A Yes.
    Q How long were the hard copies kept while you
were the GM?
    MS. MARTIN: Objection, calls for speculation,
lacks foundation.
    THE WITNESS: I want to say seven years.
                                    02:44
                                    02:44
    Q BY MR. COWAN: To your knowledge, was the
electronic data kept indefinitely?
    A Yes.
    Q Do you remember how the employees at Trump
National Golf club would keep track of his or her time? 02:45
    MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous,
overbroad.
    THE WITNESS: Through the time clock.
    Q BY MR. COWAN: Would they have a card that
they used for a particular week or month or something
else?
    A They entered in a pin code and then in, out,
so forth.
    Q So they would use their ID number for
themselves --
                                    02:45

A Marialla Marias, \(M-A-R-I-A-L-L-A\), and the last name is Marias, \(M-A-R-I-A-S\).

Q Is it correct to say - and tell me if it is not -- that because the practice while you were the GM Was not to have employees clock out for meal breaks,
nothing was ever done about the fact that the time records didn't show any meal breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, assumes facts not in evidence. If I recall correctly, he testified he didn't remember if they clacked out for meal breaks. 02: 47
THE WITNESS: Correct, I don't recall.
Q BY MR. COWAN: So are you aware of - I am sorry. I thought you said otherwise. I apologize for that.
\[
\text { Do you have any understanding or recollection } 02: 47
\]
about whether there was a procedure to follow if - when reviewing time records they did not reflect a meal break during the time you were the GM?

A I don't recall.
Q Were you aware of circumstances in which an 02:47
employee's time records would be adjusted?
MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: No.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Do you know whether if an adjustment were made to an employee's time record, there 02:48
their bag down the ramp and say hey, how come you aren't 02:54 helping this guy out? Because I am on my Iunch break.

So we try to get people to be in certain areas
that we knew that they were on their lunch break that they are away from having to do work.

Q Did you have an understanding as to whether or not one of these meal breaks could occur in the middle of a shift?

A Can it occur in the middle of a shift you said?

Q Yes. Did you have an understanding while being the GM at Trump about whether employees should be allowed to leave the premises during a meal break?

A They can leave it, yes.
Q Did you have any understanding about whether 02:55
an employee was ever entitled to a second meal break on the same day?

A I want to say from what I recall that they would be able to waive their second meal break but they have to sign for it.

Q So your recollection is that you understood that people could be entitled to a second meal break?

A Yes.
Q What was your understanding as to how many hours they had to work to be entitled to a second meal 02:55
```

break?

```

A Over ten.
Q And tell me exactly your understanding, if you had one, about when or how an employee can waive a meal break.

A They would have to sign a meal waiver period.
Q This was an understanding you had at Trump as opposed to something you learned later?

A Yes.
Q Was there -- was that something that Trump 02:56 gave its employees an option to do, to your knowledge?

A To waive their second meal?
Q To waive -- was it your understanding they could waive only their second meal or they could waive any meal?
A. They can't waive any meal. They can only waive the second meal. They had to take a thirty-minute break for any hours worked over six.

Q Was there a form in existence at the time that you worked with the club that gave the employees the \(02: 56\) option to waive the second meal?

A I believe there was, yes.
Q So if that existed, that is something that would be in the club's HR files?

A Yes.

Q Do you have any understanding about the term 02:57 called "premium pay?"

A No.
Q Do you have any understanding today as to what "premium pay" means?

A If you were going to ask me for a guess, I would say time and a half.

Q So the answer is .. I am not ..
A Correct.

Q So you are - the answer is, "I am not sure?" 02:57
A That is correct.
Q. Okay. Did you have any understanding as to what kind of compensation an employee would be entitled to if he or she did not receive the meal breaks to which he or she was entitled to under California law?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion, incomplete hypothetical, assumes facts.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Erom the time you were the GM did you ever pull out \(\ldots\) pull up rather \(\ldots\) any of the statutes of the laws that pertained to meal and rest
breaks and just read them or did you just simply rely on what is in the company handbook?

A I don't recall if I did or not.
Q You previously testified that you and the department heads would attend these seminars put on
```

by S\&K but nobody else at the club; do you remember that? 02:58
A Yes.
Q Why was it only you and the department heads
were attending these seminars and not as well the other
managers?
02:58
MS. MARTIN: Objection, lacks foundation, calls for
speculation.
THE WITNESS: Because it ultimately lies as a
responsibility of those department heads to coordinate
with the other managers and supervisors to provide them 02:59
the policies as it relates to the club.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Was there any consideration
that you could have the other managers below the level of
department head attend these seminars as well, but it
didn't make sense because it was too expensive?
A I think it was just more time and coordination because you have to have people on the floor.
Q Was there ever any consideration about holding the seminars more than once --
MS. MARTIN: Objection.
MR. COWAN: -- so that way you could have people attend without being completely understaffed?
MS. MARTIN: Objection, lacks foundation, calls for speculation.

```
is that right?
A Yes.
Q You are saying that the reason other people
didn't go was because of logistical considerations?
```

A As one factor, yes.
Q What were the other factors?
MS. MARTIN: Objection, calls for speculation,
assumes he has personal knowledge.
Q BY MR. COWAN: To your knowledge, what were
the other factors?

MS. MARTIN: If you have any knowledge.
MR. COWAN: That could be construed as coaching. I will ask you please not to do that.

THE WITNESS: The other factor was that we entrusted in our department heads to manage their department.

And so we didn't feel that it was relevant for having a bunch of people there when you could get just as much out of it with regards to department heads being able to transmit that information on a departmental 03:02 level.

Q BY MR. COWAN: During the time you were the GM, did the club ever do an audit to see what percent of its policies were being successfully implemented through its procedures and whether in fact your practice of

```
sending only the department heads to these HR training 03:02
```

programs was working?

A No.
MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad, vague and ambiguous.

Q BYMR. COWAN: So you remember that whatever employee handbook was in effect spelled out the policies about meal and rest breaks for the benefit of the employees; right?

A Yes.
Q And a copy of the handbook was given to each employee?

A Yes.
Q Other than giving the employees a copy of the handbook, what did the Trump National Golf Club do if 03:03 anything, to educate its employees about the policies about meal breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad, calls for speculation.

Q BYMR. COWAN: This is during the time that 03:03 you were the GM.

A We had the labor law posters next to the employee time clock with the employee rights.

Q Anything else?
A Not that I recall.

Q So there were never any seminars or meetings 03:03 that were conducted for the employees as a whole where everybody was told listen, words to the effect of listen, people, we want you to understand this is the club policy about meal breaks or rest breaks. We want you to have 03:04 them. This is what you should be getting. If you are not, come see me, come see this department head, let us know. That never took place, right, to your knowledge?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad, calls for
speculation.

THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge, no.

Q BY MR. COWAN: To your knowledge, were memos ever given out to the employees essentially telling them the same kind of thing? People, we value you. We want you to have your breaks. This is not just some rule in a 03:04 handbook to be ignored. It is a real, grievant policy. Make sure you are getting your breaks, that if your managers aren't letting you get your breaks on a regular, daily basis, come see someone above them. This is what we want you to have. Was that ever done, to your knowledge?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, overbroad, incomplete hypothetical.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall. Not to say it didn't, but like I say, I don't recall.

A They covered the fact that if there are any 03:08 grievances brought forth by an employee or if I want to bring something to $H R$, that it cannot be retaliated upon. So they made sure that I understood as a department head that if $H R$ comes to talk to me about
regards to deduction of hours, to putting him in a
different area of work -- I am sorry -- but you know
what? You are going to be cleaning toilets for the next 03:09
month. So they did stress that as retaliatory actions
and ...

Q Anything else?
A Not that I recall.
Q Do you have any basis for believing that they 03:09 said similar things to every new employee at the club, including the hourly workers?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, lacks foundation.
THE WITNESS: I would say yes because they basically went through each page of the employee manual. 03:09

Q BY MR. COWAN: To your knowledge, did
Mr. Sperandio meet with every new hourly employee?
A No.
Q Did the other -- sorry, I forgot the name:
A Marialla?

Q Marialla, meet every new employee?
A Yes.
Q And your recollection or your understanding
is that she would have given them that admonition because she went through the handbook?

A That is correct.
Q So let's turn to page twenty-one.
A Which exhibit?
Q Exhibit 2. Do you see page --
MS. MARTIN: Are you going by the Bates number? 03:11
MR. COWAN: It is Bates number 21 and it is page 17
of 42 of the handbook, itself.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
Q BYMR. COWAN: A couple things. By the way, looking at the bottom it says 2-25-2009 but the front of 03:11 the book says January, 2006. To your knowledge, does that mean that this handbook that was originally issued in 2006 was somehow later regenerated in 2009?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, calls for speculation.
THE WITNESS: I don't know.
2 BY MR. COWAN: Looking at the bottom of page -- actual 17, not Bates 17, bottom section of that page it says, "Time clocks;" do you see that?

A Yes.
Q There is a discussion in the five paragraphs 03:12

```
any need to make a complaint about unlawful harassment. 03:16
    If you turn to the next page, 42 of 42, top
paragraph, third sentence from the end of the paragraph
see where it says, "You will not be penalized in any way
for reporting harassment either for yourself or to
another person?"
    A Yes.
    Q It says, "Do not assume the club is aware of
your problem. Complaints and concerns about harassment
cannot be addressed unless they are brought to the
attention of management." Do you see that?
    A Yes.
    Q Do you have any understanding about why
language similar to this was not included in the section
regarding meal and rest breaks and clocking in and
clocking out?
    A Again, I don't know if any -- this is a -- I
haven't read the first paragraph but this is against
harassment so I am assuming it is all related to that
harassment.
And again, I don't want to speculate but I haven't read and familiarized myself with this recently to find out if any complaints with regards to employee violations are blanketed in this section anywhere that says, "If there are any employee violations that you see, 03:17
```

that you need to bring it to the attention and we are not 03:17 going to seek retaliatory action towards you."

Q So, "I don't know," is the answer?
A Yes.
Q You would agree that it was important for
hard-working, loyal, employees to be fully compensated and get the benefits under the law; right?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous,
overbroad.
THE WITNESS: I wouldn't classify it just to
hard-working employees.
2 BY MR. COWAN: Any employee?
A Right.
MR. COWAN: All right. Let's take a break.
(Brief recess.)
Q BY MR. COWAN: Back on the record. We took a short break. Mr. van der Goes, are you still feeling we11?

A Yes.
Q Good. Do you need to change any of your
testimony?
A No.
Q Very good. A few moments ago you testified that there were some posters on the wall near the time clock.

```
busy and then time gets away and then the next thing you 03:45
know they never had their ten-minute break; do you
understand that?
    A Could it have happened?
    Q Yes.
    A Yes.
    Q You have observed some employees being told
they can't take their ten-minute break now because it is
too busy; right?
    A Yes.
    Q Did you ever have any occasion when you were
the General Manager to investigate whether an employee
was not getting a meal break by choice or through lack of
choice?
    MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous,
                                    03:46
incomprehensible.
    THE WITNESS: Could you restate that one more time.
    Q BY MR. COWAN: Sure. I am sorry. That was
probably a bad question. While you were the GM, did you
ever have the occasion to investigate whether people
    03:46
weren't getting their meal breaks without their consent?
    A No.
    Q Did any employee ever come to you while you
were the General Manager and complain they weren't
getting their meal breaks or rest breaks?
```

right?
A Yes.
Q A moment ago I asked you about employees getting their breaks and you told me you believe that they were generally always getting the meal breaks and talked about some instances where you observed managers trying to schedule the breaks and telling certain employees you should take a break now before it gets too busy.
MS. MARTIN: Objection.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Do you remember that? Are there any other reasons other than those experiences and the fact there was a policy in a handbook that said you are supposed to get a meal break if you work X number of 03:49 hours that caused you to believe that all the club's hourly workers were getting a meal break as required by the law each day?
A I think we would have been informed by the employee, especially when you abuse the time sheet, if a 03:50 meal break wasn't taken, I think the employees have been cognizant of that fact.
Q You think it was -- strike that. So to some extent, what you are telling me is that you were relying on employees to speak up if their rights were being

```
violated; is that right?
```

MS. MARTIN: Objection, mischaracterizes his testimony.

THE WITNESS: My belief was it was their rights that were being -- to speak up if their rights were being 03:51 violated if it was anything that wasn't correct on their time sheet.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Well, you understand that under the law and under the company policy, they had the right to a meal period after -- an uninterrupted
thirty-minute meal period after they worked a certain number of hours and they would have the right to a paid ten-minute rest break for every four hours they worked; correct?

A Yes.

Q So what you are saying is that you believe that you and the club to some extent were relying on people to complain if these rights were being violated, if there was a problem you would expect them to speak up; correct?

MS: MARTIN: Objection, mischaracterizes his testimony.

THE WITNESS: I guess so, yes.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Did the club, while you were the General Manager have any procedures in place to make 03:52
sure that when an employee was allowed to take a break to 03:52 eat a meal that the person got a full thirty minutes to which they were entitled under California law and according to the handbook?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad, vague and
ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't recall.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Is there anything that would help refresh your memory?

A Maybe reviewing time sheets.
Q It was your understanding that if managers
allowed people to take a break but they only got to have twenty minutes or twenty-five minutes, that was insufficient?

A Yes.
Q To your knowledge was there any mechanism in place to ensure that the employees got the full thirty minutes to which they were entitled for a meal break?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous as to "mechanism."

Q BY MR. COWAN: Was there any process or procedure or special clocks or timers or anything else that was implemented so that there would be a way to make sure that the employees were getting a full thirty minutes when they took a meal break?

Not that I recall, no.
Q Same thing as to a rest break. Was there anything that was implemented in any way to ensure that when an employee was taking a rest break that the person got the full ten-minute break to which they were entitled 03:53 under California law and according to the employee handbook?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Again, I am talking about while you were the General Manager.

A Witness people taking breaks, the ten-minute breaks, yes. Because it is paid for, I don't think that there is any way of tracking it.

Q To your knowledge, there was no mechanism or process of any kind of timing device in place that would 03:54 allow a way to keep track to make sure the employees were getting the full ten-minute rest breaks to which they were entitled; is that right?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q BY MR. COWAN: To your knowledge, was there any process that was in place to make sure that an employee would get a meal break by a certain period of -before they had worked a certain number of hours? In other words, they don't work seven hours before they are 03:54
given a meal break?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Can you think of anything that would help you remember?

A No.

Q What is your understanding as to how the club calculated when a thirty-minute meal period would start?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad, vague and
ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: Like I say, I don't recall if they punched out for those periods but if they did, I think that the time clock would reference that.

Q BY MR. COWAN: Let's assume that they didn't. Let's assume that the employees were not punching out.

What was your understanding whether you got a server in the kitchen, a hostess at the front door or a valet who is out on the golf course as to when a meal period starts or when you start counting thirty minutes?

A When does it start and when ...
Q Uh-huh, if you had an understanding.
MS. MARTIN: Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: I would say $\cdots$ and this is just my analysis and my interpretation of it ... if I were to come to my supervisor and say, "Oh, I am going to take my

THE WITNESS: Not that I am aware of.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Are you aware of the shift ever going beyond ten hours because some special event like a celebrity golf tournament or a super bowl party or some other event?

A Yes.
Q On those occasions, people might work more
than ten hours?
A It could have been, yes.
Q Is there something called the "Concourse de 03:58
Elegance?"
A Yes.
Q What is that?
A A car show.
Q Is that another event where a shift could 03:58
have gone longer than ten hours?
A It may have.
MS. MARTIN: Objection, calls your speculation.
Q BY MR. COWAN: It is a big event at the club?
A It was. I don't know if they still have it. 03:58
Q During the time that you were the General
Manager, the club provided food to the employees?
A Yes.
Q How many times a day would it provide meals?
A Twice.
wasn't where they said, "Hey, I am going to take my lunch 04:09
and I am leaving."

Q So you are telling me that you heard some employees indicate this to you. I am asking a slightly different question now.

I am saying to your knowledge, what was done to make sure all the club's employees knew that if they wanted to leave the premises during a meal pexiod they could without having to get permission from a supervisor or manager?

A I don't know.
Q During the time you were the Generai Manager, did any employees ever complain to you about getting too short a meal break?

A No.
Q Did any of them ever complain to you about
getting -- having a meal break that was interrupted?
A No.
Q Any of them ever complain to you about missing a meal break altogether?

A No.
Q Any employees ever complain to you about the food that was being provided to them?

A Yes.
Q What were the complaints?

$$
04: 10
$$

A Just didn't like it.
Q Did they complain that it ran out?
A Oh, yes.
Q So the complaints were one about the quality and two, the quantity?

A At times, yes. If it was something really good, everybody wanted to go through it.

Q Did anyone ever complain about where they were being told they could eat their food?

A No.
Q Did Dave Perry ever complain to you about any of these things that we have just been talking about?

A Not to my recollection.
Q Did you ever hear that other employees had made complaints about missing a meal period or having too 04:11 short a meal period or a late meal period or interrupted meal period?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, compound.
MR. COWAN: It is actually disjunctive.
THE WITNESS: No.
MR. COWAN: I am going to show you a document, give it to the court reporter when you are done. I am going to mark it as Exhibit 6. It is an Ocean Trails memo to clubhouse hourly employees from Ewa Hyjek, Bates numbers DLM 00109 through 111. This will be Exhibit 6 .

$$
\text { (Exhibit } 6 \text { was marked for }
$$

identification, a copy of
which is attached hereto.)
Q BY MR. COWAN: Ever seen this before?
A Not that I recall, no.
Q To your knowledge, did this - did the policies in this memo remain in effect when you became the General Manager?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, overbroad, vague and
ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: I don't know.
Q BY MR. COWAN: I would like you to take a second and read it and let me know if you see -. recognize any policy specified in this document that you believe stopped being policy at the club after you became 04:14 the General Manager.

MS. MARTIN: Objection, assumes facts that these were policies at the club.

Q BY MR. COWAN: By the way, do you see where the first line in this memo in all caps says, "On Duty 04:14 Employee Meal Policy?"

A Uh-huh.
Q Please read from that point downward.
A (Witness complies.) The second part where it says, "while in uniform," the second bullet point was not 04:15
in effect when I was there.
Q What was done to communicate to the employees that this second bullet point was no longer in effect?

MS. MARTIN: Objection, assumes facts not in
evidence.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Was something done?
A There was a --
MS. MARTIN: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: -- there was a new -- and I don't
have it with me so I don't recall exactly what it said -- 04:16
it just stated where the employee should be so they are
out of sight and not to be interrupted with their lunch
break.
Q BY MR. COWAN: Is this a change in policy or was there a new memo stating this?

A There was a new memo.
Q Who created that memo?
A I believe Tom and I did.
Q Was your name on the memo?
A I don't recall.
Q Is there something you believe was circulated and would have been in the company's files?

A There may have been.
Q Any reason you think it wouldn't have been?
A No.

Q BY MR. YEREMIAN: The sexual harassment complaint?

A Yes.
Q You were unaware of any complaints regarding meal breaks?

MS. MARTIN: Asked and answered.
THE WITNESS: NO, I did not.
Q BY MR. YEREMIAN: You previously testified that you did not have any responsibility to schedule employees?

A That is correct.
Q Who did?
A The department heads and managers were the ones that did the scheduling.

Q Did you ever instruct them how to schedule 05:20 employees?

A No.
Q Do you know if they received any training on how to schedule employees?

A I don't think there was, no.
Q You previously also testified that you had knowledge of these food runs as we referred to them where employees leave the premises to get food and come back and take meal breaks with other employees?
A Yes.

Q Were any - to your knowledge - were any
employees every reprimanded for taking these food runs?
A They were on our -- I remember talking to Evan and Dave reminding them that they can't just take a food run without being on their lunch break.

Q Can you clarify who Evan and Dave are.
A Dave Perry.
Q My client?
A Yes.
Q And who is Evan?
A Evan Hopper.
Q What was his position?
A Just a valet.
Q Did you council them, yourself?
A Yes, just verbally or orally.
Q What led you to council them?
A I had to pick up the shifts and the responsibilities during the time that they were not there in the system and the customer service when I saw that the valet was backed up and I asked, "Where is your staff? I don't know. Who is working with you?" And I remember Dave's situation. He says, "Well I don't know where he is," and he came back.

Q Who did you ask?
A I believe it was Brawley Hernandez.
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DEFENDANT VH PROPERTY CORP.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION, SET ONE
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Complaint Filed: December 2, 2008

## PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiff, LUCY MESSERSCHMIDT

RESPONDING PARTY: Defendant, VH PROPERTY CORP., dba TRUMP NATIONAL
GOLF CLUB
SET NUMBER:
ONE

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 2033.210, et seq., Defendant VH Property Corp. ("Defendant"), hereby responds, for itself alone and no other entity, to Plaintiff Lucy Messerschmidt's ("Plaintiff") Requests for Admission, Set One propounded as follows:

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

These responses are made solely for the purposes of this action and on behalf of Defendant alone and no other entity or person. Each response is subject to all objections as to competence, relevance, materiality, propriety, and admissibility, and all other objections and grounds which would require the exclusion of any statements contained herein, if such statements were made by a witness present and testifying in court, all of which are expressly reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial.

The information contained in each response is based only upon the information currently available to Defendant. Defendant's investigation and discovery in preparation for trial is continuing and will continue throughout this action. Additional investigation may disclose further information relevant to these responses, including information obtained by Defendant from Plaintiff or third parties. Defendant's responses to these requests are made without prejudice to its right to introduce any and all documents and other evidence of any kind in this action. Defendant responds to these requests as it understands them and reserves the right to provide different responses if Plaintiff later provides a different interpretation. Defendant is not making any incidental or implied admissions. The fact that Defendant has answered part or all of any request is not intended and shall not be construed to be a waiver by Defendant of all or any part of any objection to any request.

Discovery in this matter is ongoing. Defendant fully reserves its right to alter, amend, supplement or otherwise revise these responses if, for any reason, such revisions or supplements become appropriate or warranted.

## GENERAL OBJECTIONS

The following general objections apply to each Request for Admission. The assertion of the same, similar, or additional objections to an individual request does not waive any of

Defendant's general objections set forth below.

1. Defendant objects to the Requests for Admission generally, and to each Request for Admission specifically, to the extent they are in contravention of and/or expand upon the requirements of the Califomia Code of Civil Procedure or other applicable rules or law.
2. Defendant objects to the Requests for Admissions generally, and to each Request for Admission specifically, to the extent they seek information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney-work-product doctrine, and/or other applicable privileges.
3. Defendant objects to the Requests for Admissions generally, and to each Request for Admission specifically, to the extent they seek confidential, trade secret, proprietary, financial, or commercially sensitive information, the disclosure of which would constitute an invasion of the constitutionally-protected right of privacy or could result in substantial competitive injury to Defendant or breach by Defendant of an obligation to another to maintain such information as confidential.
4. Defendant objects to the Requests for Admissions generally, and to each Request for Admission specifically, to the extent that they call for information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
5. Defendant objects to the Requests for Admissions generally, and to each Request for Admission specifically, to the extent that they are vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and/or unduly burdensome.

Subject to the foregoing General Objections, which are incorporated into each specific response below, Defendant responds, for itself alone, as follows:

## RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

## REQUEST FOR ADMIISSION NO. 1:

Admit that from December 3, 2004 through December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS did not clock out for any meal periods.
["CLASS MEMBERS" means all persons who are or have been employed as nonexempt hourly employees by Defendant VH Property Corporation in the State of California.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMLSSION NO. I:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every non-exempt employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Admit that from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, YOU (defined herein to mean Defendant VH Property Corporation) had a practice of not requiring CLASS MEMBERS to clock out for meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2 :

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds: Admit.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Admit that from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR (defined herein to mean Defendant VH Property Corporation) Outside Services/Valet Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects
on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Outside Services/Valet Department employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in the Outside Services/ Valet Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4 :

Admit that from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Kitchen Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Kitchen Department employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in the Kitchen Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REOUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Admit that from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Food \& Beverage Front of the House Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects
on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Food \& Beverage Front of the House Department employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in the Food \& Beverage Front of the House Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of idays during the four year period identified,

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Admit that from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Deli Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6 :

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for h legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Deli Department employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in the Deli Department did not dlock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Admit that from December 3,2004 to December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Sales Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects
on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Sales Department employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in the Sales Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit that from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Golf Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8 :

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Golf Department employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in the Golf Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit that from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Security Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects
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on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Security Department employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in the Security Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10 :

Admit that from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Banquets Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10 :

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Banquets Department employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in the Banquets Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Admit that from December 3,2004 to December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Course Maintenance/Greenskeepers Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects
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on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Course Maintenance/ Greenskeepers Department employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in the Course Maintenance/Greenskeepers Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified,

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Admit that from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Club House Maintenance Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Clubhouse Maintenance Department employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in the Clubhouse Maintenance Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMUSSION NO. 13:

Admit that from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Habitat/Landscaping Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects
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on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Habitat/Landscaping Department employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in the Habitat/Landscaping Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Admit from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Construction Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Construction Department employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in the Construction Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15 :

Admit that from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, CLASS MEMBERS in YOUR Administration Department did not clock out for any meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15 :

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects
on the grounds that a response to this request would require Defendant to review every time card for every Administration Department employee from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, making this request unduly burdensome, especially in light of the fact that Defendant has previously produced time records for its non-exempt employees.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant admits only that two or more CLASS MEMBERS in the Administration Department did not clock out and in for a meal period on an unspecified number of days during the four year period identified.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Admit that from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, YOU required CLASS MEMBERS to get permission from authorized supervisors before taking meal periods on the premises of Trump National Golf Club.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant further objects on the grounds that "Trump National Golf Club" is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant also objects on the grounds that due to the overbroad definition of CLASS MEMBERS, it is impossible to respond to this request because each supervisor for each department was responsible for deciding whether to require CLASS MEMBERS to obtain authorization before taking a meal period. Defendant further objects on the ground that this interrogatory is vague and ambiguous in its entirety.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, to the extent this request is seeking an admission that Defendant had a policy of requiring all non-exempt employees during the four year period identified to obtain permission before taking a meal period: Deny. REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Admit that from December 3, 2004 to December 2, 2008, YOU required CLASS MEMBERS to get permission from authorized supervisors to leave the premises of Trump National Golf Club during their meal periods.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant further objects on the grounds that "Trump National Golf Club" is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant also objects on the grounds that due to the overbroad definition of CLASS MEMBERS, it is impossible to respond to this request because each supervisor for each department was responsible for deciding whether to require CLASS MEMBERS to obtain authorization before leaving the premises of Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles. Defendant also objects on the grounds that this request is vague and ambiguous in its entirety.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, to the extent this request seeks an admission that during the four year period identified, Defendant had a policy of requiring all non-exempt employees to get permission before leaving the premises of Defendant for a meal period: Deny,

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Admit that before April 20, 2009, you did not require CLASS MEMBERS to clock out when taking a meal break on the premises.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that CLASS MEMBERS as defined is vague, ambiguous, and overbroad. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the phrase "meal periods" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant also objects on the grounds that "on the premises" is vague and ambiguous.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds: Admit.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19 :

Admit that before January 9, 2009, YOU never issued a memo to YOUR non-exempt employees about taking rest breaks.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that the phrase "rest breaks" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant further objects on the grounds that it is overbroad to the extent it calls for a responses as to all non-exempt employees and is unlimited as to time. Defendant also objects on the grounds that it is overbroad and vague and ambiguous with respect to the phrase "about taking rest breaks." Defendant also objects on the grounds thal the phrase "issued a memo" is vague and ambiguous.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds: Deny.

## REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:

Admit that before January 9, 2009, YOU never issued a memo to YOUR non-exempt employees about taking meal breaks.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20;

Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that the phrase "meal breaks" is vague and ambiguous and calls for a legal conclusion. Defendant further objects on the grounds that it is overbroad to the extent it calls for a responses as to all non-exempt employees and is unlimited as to time. Defendant also objects on the grounds that it is overbroad and vague and ambiguous with respect to the phrase "about taking meal breaks." Defendant also objects on the grounds that the phrase "issued a memo" is vague and ambiguous.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds: Deny,

DATED: July $1 \mathbf{2} 2012$
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## STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is One Ocean Trails Drive, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275.

On July 13, 2012, I served the following described as DEFENDANT VH PROPERTY CORP'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION, SET ONE on the interested parties in this action:
[XX] by placing the true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes, addressed as stated on the following mailing list:

Jeffrey W. Cowan, Esq.

The Cowan Law Firm
1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200
Santa Monica, CA 90401
Anthony J. Orshansky, Esq.
Orshansky \& Yeremian LLP
16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1245
Encino, CA 91436

## [XX] (VIA USS. MAIL)

[ ] I deposited such envelope in the mail at Los Angeles, California. The envelope was mailed with postage fully prepaid.
[xx] As follows: I am "readily familiar" with Trump National Golf Club's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on the same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Rancho Palos Verdes, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after day of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
Executed July 13, 2012 at Rancho Palls Verdes, California.
[XX] (STATE) I declare under penalty under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
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VH PROPERTY CORPORATION, doing business as TRUMP NATIONAL GOLF CLUB, and DOES 1 to 100,

Defendants.
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DEFENDANT VH PROPERTY CORP.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FORM INTERROGATORIES - GENERAL, SET ONE

Trial Date: None Set
Complaint Filed: December 2, 2008

PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiff, LUCY MESSERSCHMIDT RESPONDING PARTY: Defendant, VH PROPERTY CORP SET NUMBER: ONE

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 2030.210 et seq., Defendant VH Property Corp. ("Defendant"), for itself and no other party, hereby responds to Plaintiff Lucy

[^18]Messerschmidt's ("Plaintiff") Form Interrogatories - General, Set One ("Form Interrogatories") as follows:

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

These responses are made solely for purposes of this action. Each response is subject to all objections as to competence, relevance, materiality, propriety and admissibility, and any and all other objections and grounds which would require the exclusion of any statements contained herein, if such statements were made by a witness present and testifying in court, all of which objections and grounds are expressly reserved and may be interposed at the time of trial.

The information contained in each response is based only upon the information currently available to Defendant. Defendant's investigation and discovery in preparation for trial is continuing and will continue to and throughout the trial of this action. Additional investigation may disclose further information relevant to these responses, as could information obtained by Defendant from Plaintiff or third parties through additional discovery procedures. Defendant's responses to these Form Interrogatories are made without prejudice to its rights to introduce any and all documents and other evidence of any kind in the proceedings in this action. Defendant responds to these Form Interrogatories as it understands them and reserves the right to provide different responses if Plaintiff later provides a different interpretation. Defendant is not making any incidental or implied admissions. The fact that Defendant has answered part or all of any interrogatory is not intended and shall not be construed to be a waiver by Defendant of all or any part of any objection to any interrogatory.

Discovery in this matter has recently begun and is ongoing. Defendant fully reserves its right to alter, amend, supplement or otherwise revise these responses if, for any reason, such revisions or supplements become appropriate or warranted.

Defendant conducted a diligent and good faith investigation before answering these Form Interrogatories.

## GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Defendant interposes the following general objections to Plaintiff's Form Interrogatories These objections are made to the Form Interrogatories in general, to each and all of the

[^19]individual interrogatories, and are incorporated into each of the specific responses which are set forth below.

1. Defendant objects to the Form Interrogatories to the extent that they seek information that is protected from disclosure by the attorney-client or work product priyileges and refuses to produce voluntarily any privileged or protected information.
2. Defendant objects to the Form Interrogatories to the extent that they purport to require Defendant to disclose information that is not within Defendant's possession, custody or control.
3. Defendant objects to the Form Interrogatories to the extent that they seek information in Plaintiff's possession or information to which Plaintiff has substantially similar access to obtain.
4. Defendant objects to the Form Interrogatories to the extent that they seek information protected by the rights of privacy afforded under both the California and United States Constitutions, including the names, addresses, and other contact information of Defendant's current and former employees.
5. Any inadvertent disclosure of information protected as privileged or subject to Defendant's objections is not intended as a waiver of that privilege, objection or information.
6. Defendant objects to the Form Interrogatories to the extent that they violate Code of Civil Procedure section 2030.060(d) in that the interrogatories are not full and complete in and of themselyes.
7. Defendant objects to the Form Interrogatories to the extent that they are, premature. Defendant has not completed its investigation of the facts relating to this case, and discovery in this matter is ongoing. The following responses are based upon information presently available to Defendant and are made without prejudice to Defendant's right to utilize subsequently discovered facts.
8. Defendant objects to the Form Interrogatories and to each individual interrogatory to the extent that they request the disclosure of trade secret, confidential, competitively sensitive proprietary information, or other information protected from disclosure.

## 3

VH PROPERTY CORP.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FORM INTERROGATORIES - GENERAL, SET ONI
9. Defendant objects to the Form Interrogatories to the extent that they are nor relevant to the subject matter of this litigation and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Subject to the foregoing General Objections, which are incorporated into each specific response below, Defendant responds, for itself alone, as follows:

## RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES

## INTERROGATORY NO. 1.1:

State the name, ADDRESS, telephone number, and relationship to you of each PERSON who prepared or assisted in the preparation of the responses to these interrogatories. (Do not identify anyone who simply typed or reproduced the nesponses.)

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1.1:

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds: Jill A. Martin, c/o Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles, One Ocean Trails Dr., Rancho Palos Verdes. CA 90275, 310-303-3225; Glenn Briggs, Hodel Briggs Winter LLP, 8105 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 1400, Irvine, CA 92618, 949-450-8040. Attomeys for Defendant.

## INTERROGATORY NO. 4.1:

At the time of the INCIDENT, was there in effect any policy of insurance through which you were or might be insured in any manner (for example, primary, pro-rata, or excess liability coverage or medical expense coverage) for the damages, claims, or actions that have arisen out of the INCIDENT? If so, for each policy state;
(a) the kind of coverage;
(b) the name and ADDRESS of the insurance company;
(c) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each named insured;
(d) the policy number;
(e) the limits of coverage for each type of coverage contained in the policy;
(f) whether any reservation of rights or controversy or coverage dispute exists between you and the insurance company; and
(g) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the custodian of the policy,

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4.1:

Defendant objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is duplicative of Fфrm Interrogatory - Employment Law No. 214.1 to which Defendant has previously responded. Defendant further objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information in violation of the right to privacy recognized by the California and federal constitutions and surrounding case law. Defendant also objects to this Form Interrogatory in that it violates the collateral source rule. Defendant also objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is inapplicable to the facts and circumstances of this action, as it erroneously assumes that certain "INCIDENTS" alleged in the Complaint occurred when they either did not occur as alleged or did not occur at all. Defendant further objects on the grounds that the interrogatory seeks information which is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Subject to and without waiving its objections, Defendant responds: See Defendant's response to From Interrogatory Employment Law No. 214.1.

## INTERROGATORY NO. 17.1:

Is your response to each request for admission served with these interrogatories an unqualified admission? If not, for each response that lis not an unqualified admission:
(a) state the number of the request;
(b) state all facts upon which you base your response;
(c) state the names, ADDRESSES, and telephone numbers of all PERSONS who have knowledge of those facts; and
(d) identify all DOCUMENTS and other tangible things that support your response and state the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON who has each DOCUMENT or thing.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17.1:

Defendant objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it is vague and ambiguous with respect to the phrase "facts upon which you base your response." Defendant further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information which violates the rights to privacy and confidentiality of third parties. Defendant further objects to this interrogatory on the ground that
it is overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks the identity of "all" persons or documents that support the denial of a particular request for admission, and to the extent the interrogatory requests that Defendant offer "facts" to support the non-existence of the admissions requested by Plaintiff and denied by Defendant; one cannot offer facts to support circurnstances which never existed. Defendant further objects to this request to the extent that it calls for information protected by the attomey-client privilege, the attorney-work-produet doctrine, and/or other applicable privileges.

Subject to and without waiving of the foregoing general and specific objections, Defendant responds as follows:
(a) Defendant did not respond to the following Requests for Admissions with an unqualified admission: Nos. 1, 3-17, 19-20.
(b) Requests for Admission nos. 1, 3-15; See Defendant's objections to these tequests for the explanation of why an unqualified admission was not made.

Request for Admission no. 16. Defendant denied this request because it did not have a policy of requiring all non-exempt employees during the four years in question to obtain permission before before taking a meal break.

Request for Admission no. 17: Defendant denied this request because it did not have a policy of requiring all non-exempt employees during the four years in question to get permission before leaving the premises of Defendant for a meal period.

Request for Admission nos. 19, 20. Defendant denied this request because priof to January 9,2009 , memoranda was issued by various supervisors of Defendant in which meal and/or rest breaks were mentioned.
(c) Due to the temporal and geographic scope of this interrogatory, it is virtually impossible for it to identify all persons who may have knowledge of the above facts. Defendant therefore specifically reserves the right to call any competent witness in any adjudicatory or discovery proceeding pertaining to this action. Defendant also objects on the ground that this request seeks discovery of information which violates the privacy of third parties. Nonethelss,
such persons include: Mike van der Goes, David Conforti, Lili Amini. These individuals can be contacted through counsel for Defendant.
(d) Defendant refers Plaintiff to the documents it has previously produced.

DATED: July 12,2012

By Sill 1 , Maction
Attomey f $\phi$ r Defendant
VH PROPERTY CORP.

## VERIFICATION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

## )

I, Lii Amini, declare as follows:
I have read DEFENDANT VH PROPERTY CORP.'S RESPONSES TO

## PLAINTIFF'S FORM INTERROGATORIES - GENERAL, SET ONE.

I am the General Manager of Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles, a party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason. I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July $\qquad$ , 2012, at Rancho Pals Verses, California.


## PROOF OF SERVICE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is One Ocean Trails Drive, Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275.

On July 13, 2012, I served the following described as DEFENDANT VH PROPERTY CORP'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FORM INTERROGATORIES - GENERAL, SET ONE on the interested parties in this action:
[XX] by placing the true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes, addressed as stated on the following mailing list:

Jeffrey W. Cowan, Esq.<br>The Cowan Law Firm<br>1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200<br>Santa Monica, CA 90401<br>Anthony J. Orshansky, Esq.<br>Orshansky \& Yeremian LLP<br>16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1245<br>Encino, CA 91436

## [XX] (VIA U.S. MAIL)

[ ] I deposited such envelope in the mail at Los Angeles, California. The envelope was mailed with postage fully prepaid.
[xx] As follows: I am "readily familiar" with Trump National Golf Club's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on the same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Rancho Palls Verdes, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after day of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Executed July 13, 2012 at Rancho Palos Verdes, California.
[XX] (STATE) I declare under penalty under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.


Exhibit L

# The Cowan Law Firm 

1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200
Santa Monica, CA 90401-1204
Telephone: (310) 394-1420
Facsimile: (310) 394-1430
www.cowan-law.com
October 17, 2011
Jeffrey W Cowan
Email: jeffrey@cowan-law.cont

Via Fax to (949) 450-8033 \& E-Mail to gbriggs@hbwllp.com<br>Glenn L. Briggs, Esq.<br>Hodel Briggs Winter LLP<br>8105 Irvine Center Drive<br>Suite 1400<br>Irvine, CA 92618

## Via Fax to (310)265-5522 \& Email to jmartin@trumpnational.com

Jill A. Martin, Esq,
Trump National Golf Club
One Ocean Trails Drive
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275

Re: Lucy Messerschmidt v. VH Property Corp., LASC Case No. BC 403087

Dear Counsel:
In the last two weeks, we have spoken several times with a putative class member employed at the Trump National Golf Club whose contact information you gave us via our Bel Aire agreement.

The employee told me (and my staff) that he had been denied breaks. Nevertheless, he said he would not give us a declaration because his managers at Trump told him (and his co-workers) that they were not allowed to talk to anyone about this lawsuit and that employees would get in "trouble" if they did so. The employee said that he will not risk his job.

You can imagine our concern.
Please advise by October 21, 2011 if you will cause the club by October 27 to disseminate a written memo to all of its current employees telling them in English and Spanish that (1) they will suffer no retaliation of any kind for talking to plaintiffs' lawyers about the facts at issue in this lawsuit and (2) they should bring to the club's general manager Ms. Amini (or the highest ranking manager who is fluent in Spanish) any concerns about retaliation. In addition, we ask

# Glenn L, Briggs, Esq. 

Jill A. Martin, Esq.
October 17, 2011
Page 2
that you provide us with the names of the other $50 \%$ of the club's employees that we have not received so that we can better find witnesses/class members who have not been tampered with.

We have a December 1, 2011 deadline to move for class certification. We need to finish interviewing witnesses and getting their sworn statements.

If we do not have this issue resolved by October 21, we will seek from the Court inter alia such a remedy and/or an evidentiary/issue sanction regarding class certification.

We are generally available to discuss this issue on the phone or in person (perhaps before or after Lili Amini's deposition on October 19?) and look forward to hearing from you.


cc: Anthony Orshansky, Esq.<br>David Yeremian, Esq.<br>Lucy Messerschmidt

## Fax Confirmation Report

COWAN LAW FIRM
3183941430
Oct-17-2011 3.03PM

| Job | Date | Time | Type | Identification | Duration | Pages | Result |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | 10/17/2011 | $3: 02: 55 \mathrm{PM}$ | send | 13102655522 | $0: 40$ | 2 | OK |

The Cowan Law Firm
1541 Ocean Averue, Suite 200
Santa Monics, CA 90401-1204
Telephone (310) 394.1420
Facsimile: (310) 394.1430 mew, cowan-lew, com

October 17, 2011

Glenn L. Briges, Esg.
Hodel Briggs Wiblet LLP
8105 Ivvine Center Dnve
Suite 1400
Irvine, CA 97618

Jill A. Murtin, Esq.
Trump National Golf Club
One Ocean Trails Drive
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275

Re: Lucy Messerschmidt y. VH Properiy Corp, LASC Case No. BC 403087

Dear Counse):
In the last two weeks, we have spoken several tinies with a puraiive class momber eniployed at the Trump National Golf Club whose contact information you gave us vie our Bel Atre agreement.

The employee told me (and my staff) that he liad been denied breaks, Nevertheless, he arid he would not give us a declaration because his managers at Trump fold him (and his co-workers) that they were nol allowed to talk to anyone about this lawsuit and that employeen would get in "trouble" if they did so. The employee said that he will nol risk his job.

## You can imáguc our concern.

Ploase advise by October 21, 2011 if you will cause the club by October 27 to disseminate a written mema to all of its current omployoes telling then in English and Spanish thal (1) they will suffer no retaliation of any kind for talking to plaintiffs' lawyors about the fects ac iseue in this lawsuit and (2) they should bring to the club's general manager Ms. Amini (or the highest ranking manager who is 片ent in Spanish) any concerus about retaliation. In addilion, we ask

## HP LaserJet M2727nf MFP

## Fax Confirmation Report

COWAN LAW FIRM
3183941430
Oct-17-2011 $\quad 301 \mathrm{PM}$

| Job | Date | Time | Type | Identification | Duration | Pages | Result |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | $10 / 17 / 2011$ | $3: 00: 55 \mathrm{PM}$ | Send | 19494508033 | $0: 33$ | z | $0 K$ |

The Cowan Law Firm
1541 Ocean Avenut, Suite 200
Santa Monjes, CA 90401:1204
Telephone: (310) 394-1420
Facaimile: (310) $394-1430$
sunv cower law som
October 17, 2011
leftre) Wh Cown


Via Fax ce (949) $450-8013$ \& E-Mall to gbriges(Phbwillo.som
Glens L. Bnggs, Esq
Hodel Briggs Winter LLP
8105 Irvine Center Drive
Suite 1400
Irvine, CA 92618

## Via Fax le (310) $265-5522$ \& Email to jmerin@Irumpational.com <br> fill A. Martin, Esq.

Trump National Golf Cino
One Ocean Treils Drive
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275

Re. Lucy Messerschmidf v. VH Property Corp, LASC Cise. Vo. BC 401087

Dear Counsel:
In the lost two wooks, we havo apoken several times with a putative class member employed at the Trump National Golf Club whose conlact infornafion you gave us via ous Bel
Aire agreement.

The employet told me (and my staff) that he had been denied breakz, Nevertheless, he sand he would not give us a declaration because his managers at Thimp told him (and his co-workers) that thoy wero not allowed to selk to aryone about this lawsuit and that employees would get in "trouble" if they did so. The eroployee said that be will not ritk his job.

You cen imagine our concern
Please advise by October 21, 2011 if you will cause the club by October 27 to disserninate a wniten memo to all of its current employeos telling them in English and Spanigh that (1) they will suffer no retaliation of any kind for talking to plaintiffs' lawyers about the facts ar issue in this fawsuit and (2) they should bring to the club's general manager Ms. Amini' (or the highest ranking manager who is fluent in Spanish) any concerns about retaliation, in addition, we ask.

Exhibit M

Octuber 21. 2011
Via Facsimile and U.S. Mail
Jeffrey W. Cowan, Esq.
The Cowan Law Firm
1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200
Santa Monica. CA 90401

## Re: Messerschmidt v.VH Property Corp. dba Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles County Superior Court. Case No. BC403087

## Dear Mr: Cowan:

This letter is in response to your letter dated October 17, 2011. In your letter you allege that an unidentified putative class member who you have allegedly spoken with has refused to sign a declaration because allegedly his unidentified "managers" told him and his co-workers that "they were not allowed to talk to anyone about this lawsuit and that employees would get in "trouble' if they did so." Because of this alleged communication, you demand that we send a "curative" memorandum to all current employees and that we produce the names of all pulative class members not previously produced.

We will not agree to your baseless demands. Defendant's management staff was previously instructed that they were not allowed to discuss or comment upon the pending lawsuit in any fashion and that if an employee inquired about the case to respond that they were not at liberty to discuss it. In response to your letter. I personally inquired with all current managers of putative class members who all confirmed that they never made the alleged statement. Accordingly. we are confident that Defendant has not engaged in any improper communications with putative class members.

Perhaps you should consider the possibility that the employee you spoke with simply does not want to provide you with a declaration. Class members have admitted to us various excuses they have given you or your staff for not wanting to talk to you or provide declarations. For example. we are aware of one individual who pretended to be someone else in an attempt to avoid sour persistent telephone calls. Another individual informed us of your attempts to have him sign a false declaration, subsequent to which he simply cut off communications.

[^20]While we are confident that Defendant has in no way engaged in inappropriate conduct, if you still believe the employee you spoke with is telling the truth (as opposed to trying to come up with an excuse to get you to leave him alone), then we ask that you provide us with the names of the managers who allegedly made such statements and the name of the employee so that we can further look into the matter. If we find any substantiation to your claims, we will then be more than happy' to work to remedy the situation.

Very truly yours,
gale. Mate
Jill A. Martin
cc: Glen L. Briggs
Anthony Orshansky


## Trump Dationat Sobf Clude

LOS ANGELES

## FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

| TO: Jeffrey Cowan, Esq. | FROM: Nancy Quach <br> Executive Assistant to Jill A. Martin |
| :--- | :--- |
| COMPANY: | DATE: |
| The Cowan Law Firm | $10 / 21 / 11$ |
| FAX NUMBER: | TOTAL NO. OF PAGES: 3 |
| (310) 394-1430  <br> PHONE NUMBER: PHONE NUMBER <br> (310) 394-1420 (310) 303-3225 |  |
| RE: |  |
| VH Property Corp. Cases | FAX NUMBER |
| (310) 265-5522 |  |

# The Cowan Law Firm 

1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200
Santa Monica, CA 90401-1204
Telephone: (310) 394-1420
Facsimile: (310) 394-1430
www.cowan-law.com
November 18, 2011
Jeffrey W, Cowan
Email jeffrcy@cowan-law.com

## Via Fax to (949) 450-8033 \& U.S. Mail <br> Glenn L. Briggs, Esq.

Hodel Briggs Winter LLP
8105 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 1400
Irvine, CA 92618
Via Fax to (310)265-5522 \& Email to jmartin@trumpnational.com
Jill A. Martin, Esq.
Trump National Golf Club

## One Ocean Trails Drive

Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275
Re: Lucy Messerschmidt v. VH Property Corp., LASC Case No. BC 403087

## Dear Counsel:

I write to address Jill Martin's October 21, 2011 letter, which responded to my October 17 letter about class members still employed at Trump being intimidated by their managers

Ms. Martin's letter fails to address at least three key points. First, the complaint from a current Trump National Golf Club employee about retaliation if he talks to us about this lawsuit was first communicated to my law clerk - not to me. Hence, your latest accusation about how I interview witnesses lacks merit and warrants little discussion.

Second, your client would suffer no meaningful burden in disseminating the requested memos (in English and Spanish) telling employees that they are free to talk to putative class counsel and should report any concerns about retaliation to the club's general manager Thir club's managers have a motive to lie about what they told their club's general manager. Third, the employees who stand to benefit from the prosecution of this ir subordinates - unlike current
-
now. But if mediation fails to settle the to mediate this dispute on December 9 , we will defer it for that the requested memos be issued. If you want we will file papers asking the Court to order
If you want to reconsider or discuss this issue, let me know.
ec: Anthony Orshansky, Esq.


Sending is complete.

| Job No. | 0022 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address | 19494508033 |
| Name |  |
| Start Time | $11 / 18 \quad 12: 10 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Call Length | $00^{\prime} 18$ |
| Sheets | 1 |
| Result | OK |

## The Cowan Law Firm

1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200
Santa Monica, CA 90401-1204
Telephone: (310) 394-1420
Facsimile: (310) 394-1430
www.cowan-law.com

Jeffrey W, Cowan
November 18, 2011
Fimail: jeffrey@cowan-law.com

## Via Fax to (949) 450-8033 \& U.S. Mail

Glenn L. Briggs, Esq.
Hodel Briggs Winter LLP
8105 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 1400 Irvine, CA 92618

## Via Fax to (310)265-5522 \& Email to imartin@trumpnational.com <br> Jill A. Martin, Esq,

Trump National Golf Club
Onc Ocean Trails Drive
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275
Re: Lucy Messerschmidt v. VH Property Corp., LASC Case No. BC 403087 Dear Counsel:

I write to address Jill Martin's October 21, 2011 letter, which responded to my October 17 letter about class members still employed at Trump being intimidated by their managers.

Ms. Martin's letter fails to address at least three key points. First, the complaint from a current Trump National Golf Club employee about retaliation if he talks to us about this lawsuit was first communicated to my law clerk - not to me. Hence, your latest accusation about how I interview witresses lacks merit and warrants little discussion.

Sccond, your client would enfeum

Sending is complete．

| Job No． | 0023 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address | 13102655522 |
| Name． |  |
| Start Time | $11 / 18 \quad 12: 12$ PM |
| Call Length | $00^{\prime} 20$ |
| Sheets | 1 |
| Result | 0 K |

Job No．
0023
Address
13102655522
Start Time $\quad 11 / 18 \quad 12: 12 \mathrm{PH}$
Call Length
00 ＇ 20
Sheets
OK

# The Cowan Law Firm 

1541 Ocean Avenue，Suite 200
Santa Monica，CA 90401－1204
Telcphonc：（310）394－1420
Facsimile：（310）394－1430
www．cowan－law．com
November 18， 2011

Via Fax to（949）450－8033 \＆U．S．Mail
Glenn L．Briggs，Esq，
Hodel Briggs Winter LLP
8105 Trvine Center Drive，Suite 1400
Irvine，CA 92618

## Via Fax to（310）265－5522 \＆Email to imartin＠trumpnational．com <br> Jill A．Martin，Esq．

Trump National Golf Club
Onc Occan Trails Drive
Rancho Palos Verdes，CA 90275
Re：Lucy Messerschmidt v．VH Property Corp．，LASC Case No．BC 403087
Dear Counsel：

I write to addrcss Jill Martin＇s October 21， 2011 letter，which rcsponded to my October 17 letter aboul class members still employed at Trump being intimidated by their managers．

Ms．Martin＇s letter fails to address at least three kcy points．First，the complaint from a current Trump National Golf Club cmployee about retaliation if he talks to us about this lawsuit was first communicated to my law clerk－not to me．Hence，your latest accusation about how I interview withesses lacks merit and warrants little discussion．

[^21]Exhibit N

## ATTORNEY SEARCH

Enter Attorney Name or Bar clamond Govarsearive

## Number

$\ulcorner$ Include similarly sounding names

For more search options, including the ability to search for certified specialists, try Advanced Search.

Your search for diamond returned 89 results.

Search:|
Admission Date

December 1987
March 2009 December 1974

| Diamond, Andrew W | Deceased | 56880 | Canoga Park | December <br> 1973 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diamond, Ann Landy | Deceased | 18584 | Ross | December <br>  |
|  |  |  | 1945 |  |


| Diamond, Barbara Reingold | Not Eligible | 100577 | Los Angeles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diamond, Charles Peter | Active | 56881 | Los Angeles | December $1973$ |
| Diamond, Cindy Ann | Active | 12499 |  |  |

Francisco 1986

Cedar Ridge November 1979

| Diamond, Daniel <br> Cary <br> Diamond, David Alan | Active | 235605 | San Diego | February <br> 2005 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diamond, David | Active | 116339 | Napa | December <br> 1984 |
| Douglas |  |  |  |  |
| Diamond, David <br> Frederick | Active | 410258 | Los Angeles | December <br> 2000 |

Diamond, Deborah Inactive 171309 Los Angeles June 1994

Rose

| Diamond, Donald | Inactive | 34154 | San Rafael | April 1963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diamond, Donna Gail | Active | 125469 | Phoenix | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ |
| Diamond Eric James | Inactive | 129355 | Los Angeles | July 1987 |
| Diamond, Eric John | Active | 273439 | Northridge | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ |
| Diamond Felice Renee | Inactive | 149577 | San Diego | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |
| Diamond, Gary Michael | Resigned | 155028 | Rocklin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 1991 \end{aligned}$ |
| Diamond, Gary Stuart | Active | 84547 | Oxnard | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 1978 \end{aligned}$ |
| Diamond, Gregory | Active | 256598 | Brea | June 2008 |

## ATTORNEY SEARCH

Enter Attorney Name or Bar greenbatt C QinckSerigh

## Number

$\ulcorner$ Include similarly sounding names

For more search options, including the ability to search for certified specialists, try Advanced Search.

Your search for greenblatt retumed 9 results.

Search:
Admission
Date
May 1980
December 1974
Greenblatt, Hank Active 143415 Sacramento

| December |
| :--- |
| Gregory |

Greenblatt: Inactive 80117 San June 1978 Jacquelyn Appel
Greenblatt, Larry M
Deceased 33427 Francisco
Oxnard January 1963
Greenblatt, Marily
Inactive
129836 Boynton
Beach 1987
Greenblatt, Nathan A Activ

## Greenblatt, Russell Not

 EdwardGreenblatt, Stanle Alan
Displaying 1 to 9 of 9 entries

ATTORNEY SEARCH
Enter Attorney Name or Bar boccio cogickserca
Number
$\ulcorner$ Include similarly sounding names
For more search options, including the ability to search for certified specialists, try Adivanced Search

Your search for boocio returned 1 results.

| Name | Status | Number | City | Admission Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Boccio, Rosemary | Inactive | 154663 | Piedmont | December 1991 |

## Displaying 1 to 1 of 1 entries

Exhibit 1

> VH Property Corp One Ocean Trails Drive Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275 (310) $265-5525$

## Employee Manual

March 2003

## INTRODUCTION

VH Property Corp（＂the Club＂）seeks to provide the quality of work which will develop the potential of each employee as well as the Club as a whole．In this regard，this employee handbook is designed to acquaint each employee with the administrative and personnel practices of the Club．These guidelines provide a framework for the fair and equitable treatment of all employees regardless of location，department，manager or supervisor．Each employee should be familiar with the responsibilities and opportunities available to make work as rewarding as possible．

The handbook should be read carefully and clearly understood．Each department head，manager or supervisor will be able to answer，or obtain an answer，to any questions involving interpretation or clarification of these policies and procedures．Bach employee is expected to apply these general policy and procedure guidelines conscientiously in a constructive and supportive manner．

The policies in this manual are only guidelines and the Clab reserves the right to add to， subtract from，or change these provisions in any way，at any time，without being bound to the previously replaced or revised provisions．This handbook is not to be construed as an employment a greement or employment contract and the Club is under no obligation to provide the benefits set forth in this manual if it determines，at a subsequent time，that these benefits are to be reduced or terminated，

## CONFIDENTIAL

## EOUAL EMPLOXMENT OPPORTUNITY

It is the policy of the Club to provide and promote equal opportunity for all prospective and present employees. To this end, we commit ourselves to complying fully with the spirit, as well as the letter, of all applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations implementing the national and state objective of equal employment opportunity for all persons. The employment policies and personnel practices of the Club are intended to ensure that all employees are treated equally and that recruiting, hiring and advancement are accomplished without regard to race, color, religion, gender, sexual orientation, national origin, ancestry, age, disability, marital status, veteran status or other prohibited factors; that decisions on employment are made so as to further the principle of equal employment opportunity and that they involve only job-related requirements for advancement opportunities; and that all personnel actions involving a condition or privilege of employment are administered without regard to race, color, religion, gender, sexual orientation, national origin, ancestry, age, disability, marital status, veteran status or other prohibited factors.

The attitudes and actions of our employees are an important ingredient in the Club's equal opportunity effort. Your full cooperation in upholding this non-discriminatory policy is necessary not only to fulfill our legal responsibilities but also to ensure the future growth and continued success of the Club.

## IMMIGRATION COMPLIANCE

In accordance with the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986, all new employees hired after November 6, 1986 must produce documents specified by the federal govermment in order to establish their identity and authorization for employment in the United States. Failure on the employee's part to produce the legally required documentation or sign the I-9 form within the legally prescribed three (3) business day period shall result in termination of employment.

## AMERICAN WIMA DXSABMITLES ACT COMPLIANCE POLICY

The Club is committed to the employment of qualified individuals with a disability who, with or withont accommodations, can perform the essential functions of the job that the individual holds or wants to hold. Employees with a disability who need reasonable accommodation or believe that they are being discriminated a gainst because of their disability are encouraged to contact Human Resources.

## DRUG FREE WORK PLACE POLICX

The Club recognizes that employee drug abuse interferes with the Club's level of service and can result in costs, delays, and tragedies associated with work-related accidents. As a condition to employment or continued employment, you must refrain from reporting to work or working with the presence of drugs or alcohol in your body.

To address the problem of drug/alcohol abuse and to comply with California's Drug-Free Workplace Act (the Act), the Club a dopts the policies and p rocedures d etailed b elow. T his policy will be administered in accordance with all relevant laws (state and local), including the
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$A D A$, the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, the California Labor Code, the California Workers' Compensation Act, and in a non-discriminatory fashion.

To protect the health, safety, and welfare of all the Club employees, it is the Club's policy that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensation, possession, or use of a controlled substance on any Club property is absolutely prohibited. Controlled substances include, but are not limited to, amphetamines, barbiturates, cocaine, heroin, morphine, PCP, marijuana, hashish, and any other controlled substance listed in Schedules I through V of Section 202 of the Controlled Substance Act, 21 U.S.C. §812, except for medication prescribed by the employee's physician.
Except for defined business related activities, such as wine or other alcohol tasting for educational purposes or to make buying decisions, that are specifically approved by the General Manager or special occasions approved by the General Manager, employees are prohibited from drinking alcohol on Club premises, bringing alcoholic beverages onto the Club premises or removing alcoholic beverages from Club premises. Special care shall be taken during Clubsponsored social events for employees. In these cases, employees and guests below the legal age are not to be allowed to drink alcoholic beverages.

The Club will test for the presence of drugs under the following circumstances: 1) post offer of employment testing, 2) reasonable suspicion testing (including post-accident incidents), and 3) follow-up testing. Such testing will be done in accordance with Califomia law. Refusal to submit to the test or having a confirmed, positive test result will result in immediate discipline, including termination. Any questions about this policy should be referred to Human Resources.

Any violation of the Club's Drug/Alcohol Free Workplace Policy will result in appropriate discipline, up to and including termination.

## HARASSMDENT

Federal and state law prohibit harassment of an employee on the basis of the employee's protected characteristic. Such harassment is unlawful when:

- Submission to the conduct is made a term or condition of employment;
- Submission to or rejection of the conduct is used as the basis for an employment decision affecting an employee; or
- The conduct has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an employee's work performance, or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive work environment.

The Club does not tolerate the harassment of its employees. The term "harassment" includes, but is not limited to, slurs, jokes, threats, remarks and other verbal, graphic or physical conduct relating to an individual's race, color, gender, sexual orientation, marital status, religion, national origin, ancestry, citizenship, age or physical limitations, "Harassment" also includes sexual adyances, requests for sexual favors, unwelcome or offensive touching, and other verbal, graphic or physical conduct of a sexual nature. Further, "harassment" also includes showing or displaying objectionable or sexually oriented objects or pictures in the workplace, requesting
sexual submission as a condition of employment opportunities, and retaliatory conduct against an individual for rejection of unwanted sexual advances or filing a complaint of sexual harassment.

## YIOLATION OF TEIS POLICY WIL SUBJECT AN EMPLOYEE TO CORRECTIVE ACTION, UP TO AND INCLUDING IMIMEDIATE DISCHARGE.

If you feel you are being harassed in any way by another employee, guest, vendor or any other person associated with the Club, you should report the harassment to your manager immediately, If you feel another employee is being harassed and is unwilling to report the harassment, you should report the incidents to your manager. All incidents of harassment will be thoroughly investigated and where appropriate, corrective action will be taken. If you do not feel you can discuss the matters with your manager, please contact either Human Resources or a senior level manager with whom you feel comfortable. You will not be penalized in any way for reporting harassment, either to yourself or another person. Do not assume the Club is aware of your problem. Complaints and concerns about harassment cannot be addressed unless they are brought to the attention of management.

## YIOLENCE IN THE WORKPLACE

The Club strongly believes that all employees should be treated with dignity and respect. Acts of violence will not be tolerated. Any instances of violence must be reported to the employee's manager and Human Resources. All complaints will be fully investigated.

The Club will promptly respond to any incident or suggestion of violence. Violation of this policy will result in disciplinary action, up to and including discharge.

## LIFE THREATENING ILLNESS POLICY

Employees with a life threatening illness or communicable disease will be treated in the same manner as any other employee with an illness or injury, $R$ easonable accommodation will be made for an employee's condition as long as the accommodation allows the employee to perform his/her essential job requirements without imposing an undue hardship on the Club or without creating a direct tbreat to the health and safety of others,

Employees who suffer from a terminal illness or communicable disease will be required to disclose their condition to management if their condition creates a direct threat to the health and safety of others in the workplace. A direct threat oocurs when there exists a significant risk of commanicating or-spreading the-condition-er-infectious-disease-in the-workplaes, Upondisclosure, the Club will examine its duty of accommodation to keep the affected employee at work and to minimize the transmission risk to an acceptable level. The Club may require a statement from the affected employee's treating physician that he/she can safely perform his/her job requirements. The Club reserves the right to have another physician conduct a medical examination of the employee to determine his/her ability to perform the essential functions of the job without jeopardizing the health or safety of others.

Any employee with a temminal ilness or communicable disease is entitled to the confidentiality of his/her medical condition and medical records. The Club will do everything in its power to
maintain the confidentiality of an employee＇s medical status and only disclose information on a need－to－know basis．

## CARE OF FACILITIES

The Club has made a large investment in equipment and facilities to provide each employee with the necessary resources to accomplish his／her duties．

It is the responsibility of management and each employee to treat equipment and facilities with respect and care．Neatness and cleanliness are required to maintain these resources at a level at which the employee can and will be proud．Abuse of equipment and facilities will not be tolerated and may lead to disciplinary action including discharge．

## INTRODUCTORY PERIOD

The first ninety（90）calendar days of employment are an introductory period for all employees． During this ninety－day period，your manager will be closely observing your overall job performance，including ability to learn，work habits，quality of work，and your attendance．This period will also give you an opportunity to evaluate the job you have accepted and confirm that you have made a good decision to join the Club．If a new employee＇s performance is found to be unsatisfactory，that employee may be terminated prior to the completion of the introductory period．Additionally，an employee＇s introductory period may be extended for thirty days（or longer）in cases where improvement to a satisfactory level can reasonably be expected or in positions where the first ninety days do not allow for proper evaluation．While an employee＇s introductory period will usually involve more intense review and discussion than at later stages of employment，neither the nature of the employment relationship nor any limitation on its duration are affected by successful completion of the introductory employment period，

> Employees do not become eligible for any Company benefits, including, if applicable, holiday pay, or group medical, life and long-term disability insurance, until the first of the month following completion of their introductory period and they become regular full-time employees, subject to the other terms and conditions governing such benefits.

Part－time employees and temporary employees are not efigible for Company benefits（except as required by law）．

Part－time employees and temporary employees who become full－time employees start a new 90 calendar day intraductory period and do not become eligible for any Company benefits until the first of the month following completion of their new introductory period and they become regular full－time employees，subject to the other terms and conditions governing such benefits

## EMPLOYEE CATEGORIES

For the purpose of salary administration，there are two categories of employees at the Club as determined by the Wage and Hour Law＇s legal definition．
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Non-Exempt/Hourly Employees - Employees whose wages are paid on an hourly basis and only for hours actually worked. Such employees are entitled to overtime payment in accordance with applicable Federal and State Wage and Hour Laws.

Exempt Employees - Employees who are exempt from the overtime payment and other provisions of the F ederal and S tate Wage and Hour Law. For an employee to be considered exempt under applicable laws and regulations, the nature of the duties, work and salary of such individual must meet the specifications set forth in the law and must be in one of the following categories:
A. Executive (Management and Manager)
B. Administrative (Technical)
C. Outside Sales
D. Professional
E. Computer design and programming

The Wage and Hour Laws strictly regulate exempt and non-exempt categories. The preference of one category over another is not at the Club's discretion and will not be used as a means of "promoting" an employee. Employees should not request to be categorized as exempt or nonexempt due to preference nor should managers offer to change an employee's category as a status enhancement.

## WORKER CLASSIEICATIONS

Workers may be classified as introductory, regular full-time, regular part-time, or contract
personnel as follows:
A. Introductory Employee - An employee in their first ninety days of employment is considered an introductory employee. Under certain circumstances, the introductory period may be extended.
B. Regular Full-Time Employee - An employee who regularly works a minimum of forty (40) hours per week., has completed the introductory period and works year round. Such employees are eligible for a full range of Club benefits.
C. Regular Part-Time Employee - An employee who regularly works less than forty (40) hours per week, has completed the introductory period and works year round. Such
D. Temployees do not qualify for Company benefits (except as required by law). diration-oz-hired-to-cemplete-a-particular-project-er task. Such-mployees-do-not-qualify for Company benefits (except as required by law):
E. Contract Personnel - An individual who works as an independent contractor,

## WORK HOURS, SAIARX AND OVERTIME PREMUMS

## A. WORK HOURS

The Club operates year round, 7 days a week to serve the diverse needs of our guests. Therefore, to ensure adequate coverage at all times, departments have arranged a variety of schedules for their employees.

The Club's policy regarding personnel is to offer competitive starting wages and to maintain a fair and equitable wage stnucture. Wage increases are given when appropriate based upon results of performance evaluations and/or employment status change.

The work week at the Club begins on Monday and ends on Sunday. The scheduled payday is every other Friday.

If there is a discrepancy in your paycheck, advise your department head immediately. Do not call or go to the payroll department. If your department head is not available, contact Human Resources and assistance will be provided.

The Club is unable to make advances on employee paychecks.
C. OVERTIME PREMIUMS

## 1. Hourly and Non-Exempt

Hourly or non-exempt employees are eligible for overtime premiun at one and one-half ( 1.5 ) times their base hourly rate under the following conditions:

Overtime work has been specifically authorized by the direct Supervisor in advance or in writing after the fact. More than eight (8) hours are actually worked by that individual in one work day, or more than forty (40) hours are actually worked by that individual in one workweek, not including sick time, vacation, holidays and time off for personal business and other non-work time.

## 2. Exempt Employee

A salaried exempt employee is not compensated directly for specific overtime hours worked. A salaried employee is paid to accomplish a specific job and his/her salary is based upon the fact that hours in excess of eight (8) per day and/or forty (40) hours per week may be necessary on occasion:-

## D. BREAK TIMES

Non-exempt employees should take a ten (10) minute break near the middle of each four (4) hour segment of each workday.
E. MEAL PERIODS

Non-exempt employees must take a meal period of not less than one half hour within the first five and one-half hours of their work schedule. Some employees may be scheduled for a normal one (1) hour lunch period. Employees on a daily work schedule of six (6) hours or less may waive their unpaid meal period by mutual written consent with their
supervisor,
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## GENERAL WORKRULES AND DISCIPLINARY ACTION

A. GENERAL POLICY ADHERENCE

Policies and procedures are necessary guidelines to provide a basis for fair and equitable treatment of each employee. In addition, these guidelines serve as the basis for a fair relationship between the employee and the Club. It is necessary that these guidelines be applied consistently to each employee regardless of location, department or manager. It is the responsibility of each manager, supervisor and employee to contribute fully toward the achievement of this objective.

## B. DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS

Violations of a ny of the e stablished policies a nd procedures may result in disciplinary action including probation or discharge. The nature of the disciplinary action is contingent upon the facts and circumstances of the individual situation.

## C. WORK RULES

The following employee work rules are not all- inclusive but, by way of illustration only, are some of the events which could result in discipline including discharge. Your department head may establish additional rules and regulations he or she feels are necessary for the orderly fulfilment of your department's responsibilities. These also must be followed. Other actions may also result in discipline including discharge. (It should be noted that the Club and each employee recognize that the employment relationship is for an indefinite period and may be terminated by either party at will.) By providing this list, the Club in no way restricts its legal discretion to terminate employment.
a. Insubordination, refusal or inability to comply with reasonable instructions of supervisors or department heads.. If your supervisor requests you to do something with which you disagree, always follow the instructions at the time and then discuss it later at an appropriate time and location. However, this excludes obedience to demands constituting discrimination, sexual harassment or illegal conduct.
b. Interference or hindrance with shift schedules. Eailure to work on a shift as scheduled or arranging your own shift...replacement without the supervisor's permission. Absence without prior notice to the employee's direct supervisor ("No Call, No Show").
c. Unauthorized use of Club telephone or property and/or guest facilities.
d. Entering the Club more than 7 minutes before your shift begins or leaving the Club more than ? minutes after the end of your shift without the permission of your department head or supervisor. Entering or presence in non-public areas within the Club premises without authorization or just cause.
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e. Not properly safeguarding the keys which have been entrusted to you and any violation of the key control procedures.
f. Theft (unauthorized removal) or misappropriation (unauthorized storage, transfer, utilization or possession) of Club services (i.e., unauthorized telephone calls, laundry/valet, food and beverage), or guest, co-employee or Club property, including items found on the Club premises. All articles found on Club premises must be tumed to your manager.
g. Altering or falsifying a guest check or credit voucher or forging tips through guest checks.
h. Refusal or inability to comply with Club rules, policies or procedures.
i. Poor job performance, including unsatisfactory attitude that detracts from job performance or the efficient operation of the Club.
j. Violation of the Club's harassment policy.
k. Failure to report for duty without a bona fide excuse and proper notification to your supervisor.

1. Failure to comply with established safety and health rules and safe work procedures or engaging in any conduct that creates a safety hazard.
m . Failure to follow grooming and appearance standards.
n. Failure to report a workplace accident immediately. If you are injured on the job, you must immediately report this to your supervisor or Human Resources Manager.
o. Falsely stating claims of injury.
p. Disorderly conduct on the Club premises, including fighting, horseplay or other action that endangers others or Club property.
q. Offensive or disruptive behavior, including threatening employees, using abusive or vulgar language, interfering with others in the performance of their duties, or acting in an immoral or indecent manner on Club property, or while off the Club premises in performance of Club duties,
r. Unauthorized disclosure, discussion, removal or use of Club confidential, information, trade secrets or other proprietary information (including information contained in personnel records).
s. Deliberate omission or falsification of information on employment application, time sheets, production or other Club records.
t. Unauthorized distribution of literature, posting of notices or signs on Club, premises, fundraising, selling lottery tickets or merchandise, or soliciting donations or any other type of money raising on Club premises or during working howrs, unless specifically authorized by the General Manager.
Solicitation of other employees for any purpose or organization during working hours (of either the solicitor or the employee being solicited).
v. Refusal to allow security checks and package inspections.
W. Reading books, magazines, or newspapers while on duty, except where required in the line of duty.
$x$. Loitering, loafing or sleeping on duty,
y. Reporting for duty or working under the influence of intoxicating liquor, marijuana and/or illegal drugs. Bringing, consuming, possessing,
providing, selling, or otherwise using intoxicating liquors, marijuana and/or illegal drugs on Club premises.
$z$. Dishonesty, including but not limited to intentionally not providing full and truthful information when requested by management.
aa.. Gambling on Club premises.
bb . Bringing, discharging, and/or possessing unauthorized firearms or other weapons on Club property or while off Club Property in the performance of Club duties.
cc. Giving or taking a bribe or "kickback" of any nature.
dd. Committing any orime which the Club believes is detrimental to the Club and/or its other employees.
ee. Misappropriation of Club funds or failure to handle funds in accordance with Club guidelines.
ff. Irregular attendance including excessive absenteeism, tardiness and/or unexcused absences.
gg. Failure to report to work after the expiration of a leave-of-absence.
hh. Disloyalty to the Club, including but not limited to, slandering the Club, or acting in such a manner that could damage the Club's reputation.
ii. Unauthorized attendance at guest functions and/or in guest areas, or on the premises including golf course, dining rooms, conference rooms, private rooms, ballroom, guest rest rooms or lounges. No unauthorized social contact will be permitted at any time with Club guests.
jj. Discourteous or inappropriate conduct with guests.
kk. Using guest restrooms or any guest facility except when specifically required by job functions or authorized by management.
2. Gum chewing, tobacco chewing, eating or drinking in any public area of the Club,
mm . Soliciting gratuities from guests or commenting on the amount of a gratuity.
nn. Smoking, or using smokeless tobacco, in any building or anywhere on the property other than in designated smoking areas.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Employees are expected to work for the best interests of The Club at all times. Each employee has an obligation to avoid any activity, agreement, business investment or interest, or other situation which could be construed as a conflict with the Club's best interests or give the appearance of taking money, merchandise or services from a guest or vendor for personal gain (other than reasonable tips for good service when offered by a guest).
-Good judgment will prevent the possibility of a conflict of interest arising. However; if you-are considering any activity or transaction which might cause the appearance of a conflict between personal and Club interests, information about that potential conflict must be disclosed in advance to the General Manager.
Contact your supervisor or Human Resources if you have any questions regarding this matter.

## DUAC EMPLOYMENT

The Club full-time employees are expected to make their employment at the Club a priority over any other employment or self-employment. Such employees may not accept other employment or self-employment without specific prior approval of your supervisor.

## EMPLOYMENT OF RELATIVES

Relatives of employees may be hired but are normally probibited from working in the same department or with any supervisory influence over the other. Certain positions normally may not be held by relatives because of the scope of knowledge and influence involved and the potential for conflicts of interest. A relative is your spouse and anyone related to you or your spouse, such as parent, child, grandparent, grandchild, brother, sister, aunt, uncle, nephew or niece.

## TIME CLOCKS

Time clocks are provided for hourly employees to record the number of hours you work each day. Please check with your Supervisor to determine which clock you are to use. It is mandatory that all hourly employees punch in and out each day that they are working. You are to report to work to your Department on time when scheduled. You should punch in at least three minutes, but no more than seven minutes, before your shift is scheduled to begin. You are to punch out as soon as your shif is done.

Any employee who clocks in or out at any other time, who deliberately falsifies working time or who clocks in or out for another employee is subject to inmediate termination. Any supervisor who falsifies working time for an employee is subject to immediate termination.

If you cannot clock in or out or there is an error due to a malfunction of the clock, notify your Supervisor immediately and he/she will make the adjustment.

You must sign your time sheet at the end of every payroll period. This verifies that you actually worked the hours punched on the clock and no others. If you do not sign your time sheet, your paycheck may be delayed that week.

Under no circumstances is any hourly employee to work "off the clock". If anyone asks you to do so, please advise management immediately.

## ABSENTEEISMX AND TARDINESS

Employees who do not report for work on time, or who miss all or part of a day's work, place an extra burden on their fellow employees as well as the Company. In order to avoid that unfair burden on others, we will follow these guidelines regarding absences and tardiness for exempt and non-exempt employees who have completed the Introductory Period:

1) Employees who are repeatedly tardy and/or repeatedly absent for reasons other than military, jury or approved medical, FMLA or personal leaye of absence will receive a written waming. This applies regardless of whether some or most of the absences are excused,
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2) Failure to show immediate and continued improvement after receiving that waming will be grounds for termination.
3) In addition, a "no show, no call" to your immediate supervisor on a day you are scheduled to work, or any falsification or other serious violation of your attendance obligation to your fellow employees and the Company, is grounds for termination.

This policy should present no problem for any employee who is making a diligent effort to maintain a good attendance record.

## CALL-IN PROCEDURES

If you find it necessary to be absent from work, follow this specific reporting procedure. First, call your supervisor at the beginning of the first day of absence with a full explanation of the reason and expected duration.

Then, unless other arrangements have been made through the supervisor, call again, at or before your normal starting time, every day during the absence. Failure to call on any day will classify your absence as unexcused and will be grounds for termination.

If your supervisor is not available to receive your call on any day of your absence, leave a voice mail message on your supervisor's phone and try calling again during business hours. If you find it necessary to leave work before your shift ends, you must first obtain authorization to leave from your supervisor.

The Club $m$ ay request reasonable verification of your stated reason for being tardy or absent. Falsification or failure to provide requested verification is grounds for termination.

If you miss a scheduled shift without calling in, the Club will treat your absence as a voluntary abandonment of your job, and will terminate your employment.

## COMPLAINX PROCEDURE

The Club recognizes that employees may have questions or problems concerning the Club's policies and procedures. In order to encourage employees to $v$ oice these concerns and try to solve the questions or problems that may arise, we have a formal problem solving procedure, Please follow these steps:

Step 1: You should first request to meet with your immediate supervisor (the person you report to directly). The supervisor will listen and investigate the problem with the intention of finding an agreeable solution for those concemed. In order to facilitate a fair and timely solution, you should contact your supervisor within three days of the o ccurrence that created the question or problem.

If you feel the problem is with your immediate supervisor, you may skip step 1 and start the complaint procedure with Step 2.

Step 2: $\quad$ Request to meet with your Department Head. Your Department Head will review the problem (including any action taken by your inmediate supervisor) and any other relevant facts involved in order to suggest a reasonable solution. In order to facilitate a fair and timely solution, you should contact your Department Head within three days of the occurrence that created the question or problem (if you skipped Step 1) or within two days of your supervisor's resolution (if you followed Step 1),

If you are not satisfied with your Department Head's handling of the problem, you may proceed to Step 3.

Step 3: Request to meet with the Human Resources Administrator. The HR Administrator will review the problem (including any action taken by your Department Head) and any other relevant facts involved in order to suggest a reasonable solution. In order to facilitate a fair and timely solution, you should contact the Human Resources Administrator within three days of the occurrence that created the question or problem (if you skipped Steps 1 and 2) or within two days of your Department Head's resolution (if you followed Steps 1 and 2).

If you are not satisfied with your Human Resources Administrator's handling of the problem, you may proceed to Step 4.

Step 4; Request to meet with the General Manager, or a designated representative, who will thoroughly investigate the problem, review Club policies, procedures, rules and regulations and will make a determination. In order to facilitate a fair and timely solution, you should contact the General Manager within two days of your Department Head's determination.
The General Manager, or his or her designee, may review the matter and offer a final decision.
Time spent by an employee in discussing a complaint with management during normal working hours will be considered hours worked for pay purposes.

If at any time you feel uncomfortable with this process, as it is outlined here, please feel free to contact the General Manager or Human Resources to assist you before taking further action.

Due to the serious nature of sexual harassment, such complaints should be referred immediately and directly to the General Manager and Human Resources.

The Club will not tolerate any form of retaliation against employees who have used the Club's problem solving procedure, In the interest of creating a positive and enjoyable work environment, all employees shall be able to use this procedure without fear of retaliation.

However, it is equally important. to realize that the problem solving procedure will not prevent the Club from faking disciplinary action, up to and including termination, against any individua! under circumstances where the Club deems disciplinary action to be approbriate.
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## UNIFORMS AND PERSONAL APPEARANCE

## A. UNIFORMS

Employees who are issued a uniform are responsible for complying with the Club's uniform policy.
B. PERSONAL APPEARANCE

Departure from conventional Club attire or personal grooming is not permitted, regardless of the nature of the job performed.
(1) Every employee has some contact with the public and therefore represents the Club in appearance as well as by actions. The properly attired employee helps to create a favorable image for the Club. Accordingly, personal appearance shall be governed by the following standards:

Good hygiene and grooming practices are required. A daily bath or shower is a necessity and all employees should report to work freshly bathed. Keep in mind you may be going from a hot latchen to an air-conditioned restaurant. Body temperatures will change quickly and body odors are offensive. Remember, there is no substitute for good deodorant. Bad breath is also offensive and mouthwash should be used in addition to brushing teeth.

Good hygiene and grooming practices are required. Fingeruails and hair must be clean and neatly trimmed at all times.

Beards, goatees, and un-trimmed sideburns are not permitted. Mustaches must be neatly trimmed, Men's hair should not exceed collar length and must be conservatively styled.

Visible body piercing is not permitted for men or women, or ear jewelry for men,
Hair must be neatly anranged and kept from covering eyes, and must be covered $\qquad$ or restrained where required by health code.

If a nametag is issued, the employee must wear theirs at all times while on duty.
Alt-employees-are-expeeted-to-report- to-work-ir-oleant,-reatly-pressed-clothing, clean shoes and clean personal appearance,

Female employees who are not required to wear a uniform may wear suits, dresses, skirts or slacks with blouses or sweaters. "Mini", skirts are not permissible, Revealing clothing does not portray a professional a ppearance and will not be allowed.

No blue jeans or jeans of any color are permitted. Denim outfits resembling blue jeans and jean skirts are also unacceptable. No strapless or backless garments
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may be worn, Fernales must wear the proper undergarments, including a bra and a full or half-slip where necessary, depending on the sheerness of the outer garment. Hose are required with skirts and dresses. Hose or socks are required with pants.

Jewelry: For safety reasons, jewelry should be kept to a minimum. Acceptable jewelry for service employees is a wristwatch and a total of tbree rings. Female service employees are allowed to wear one pair of small earnings (no larger than a dime).

Tattoos: Exposed tattoos are not allowed on any employees.
(2) Supervisors have the responsibility to decide when an employee's appearance is unacceptable. In the event of an unacceptable appearance, the S upervisor may request that the employee retum home to change or take an appropriate corrective action. The employee generally shall not be compensated for any such time away from work, and repeated violations of this Policy will be cause for disciplinary action.

## MSCCELLANEOUS

## A. CLUBHOUSE STAFF BREAK ROOM

For the purpose of control, the break room must remain for use of Club employees only. Your department head must clear any exceptions to this rule in advance. In addition, all employees must adhere to the rules posted in the lunchroom conceming its use.

## B. LOCKERS

Those employees issued lockers are obligated to keep them clean and use them for storage of a change of clothing only. Lockers are not the areas for storage of valuables. Lockers are the property of the Club and subject to inspection by management, and you have no reasonable expectation of privacy with respect to your locker. Unauthorized materials such as alcoholic beverages, weapons, Club property and illegal drugs are probibited.. the Club is not responsible for lost or stolen property:

## D. GIFTS

Gifts from guests of the Club of a vatue exceeding $\$ 25,00$ are not to be accepted by employees of the Club unless approved in writing by the Deparment Head. No employee shall accept any gift from any vendor.

## E. PERSONAL PROPERTY

No backpacks or other bags are to be brought into the Clubbouse by employees. If an employee finds it necessary to bring any bag into the clubhouse, they must leave it (at their own risk) in a locker in the employee-lounge. Employee property may be subject to a search.
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## IF YOU LEAVE EMPLOYMENT

## Clearance Process

a. Clearance of desk, locker.
b. Return of allitems in employee possession such as nametags, locker keys, any property keys, cell phones, radios, uniform, records, manuals, etc, to your Department Head.
c. Settling of any outstanding accounts.

## RESIGNATION POLICY

When an employee d ecides to leave for a ny reason, his/her s upervisor and Human Resources would like the opportunity to discuss the resignation before final action is taken. The Club often finds during such a conversation that another altemative may be better. If, however, after full consideration the employee decides to leave, it is expected that the employee will provide the Club with a written two-week advance notice period (bear in mind that vacation days or personal days may not be inciuded in the two-week notice period). Generally, the employee must work throughout the notice period. If, as sometimes happens, the employee's supervisor believes it advisable for the employee to leave prior to the end of the employee's two-weeks notice, the employee may be paid for the remainder of that period.

## DISMISSALS

Every Club employee has the status of "employee-at-will", meaning that no one has a contractual right, express or implied, to remain in the Club's employ. The Club may terminate an employee's employment, or an employee may terminate his/her employment, with or without cause, and with or without notice, at any time. In addition, the Club may alter an employee's terms and conditions of employment, including an employee's title, duties, benefits, and/or compensation, with or without cause at any time. No supervisor, manager or other representative of the Club has the authority to enter into any agreement for employment for any specified period of time, or to make any agreenent contrary to the above. The only method of modifying an employee's at-will employment status is: by means of a written agreement signed by the Donald J. Trump and the employee. Note: You are not entitled to be paid for any unused, accrued sick time.

## POST RESIGNATION/TERMINATION PROCEDURES

In order to receive a disbursement of any amounts due from the Retirement Plan, the employee is required to complete and sign a-distribution form; which can be obtained from-Human Resources, Specific information will be provided at the exit interview.
Employees may choose the continuation of medical benefits under COBRA. Human Resources will provide specific information at the exit interview.
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Benefits (Life and Medical) end on the last day of the month in which the employee's last day of employment falls. An employee has the option to continue Medical Benefits in accordance with The Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act ("COBRA") and regulations.

Employees leaving the Club must return all Club property, including office keys, club credit cards, name tags, access cards, files, records, manuals, equipment, etc., on or before their last day of employment. If an employee is terminated or has given more than 72 hours notice of his/her intent to leave then the employee will be handed their last paycheck when they leave. Employees giving less than 72 hours notice will be able to pick up their paychecks the following day or request that it be mailed. If there are unpaid obligations to the Club, the final paycheck will reflect the appropriate deductions.

Vacation pay is calculated in accordance with our vacation policy, If the employee took more vacation days than he/she was entitled to under the vacation policy, the time will be deducted from the employee's last paycheck.

## SECURITY

## A. INFORMATION -

All financial, contract, production, and administrative records and information of the Club, its employees, and guests are confidential. Each employee is responsible for making certain that information under his/her control is appropriately safeguarded. An employee will not provide or disclose office or guest information to third parties unless it has been determined that the party has a legitimate right to know and your Supervisor has approved the request.

Authorization by the General Manager must be obtained before written contact is made of any guest, client, purveyor, or vendor (current or prospective),

Any uncertainties should be cleared with the General Manager before such information is provided.

## B. ${ }^{\cdots}$ CONFDENTIALITY

No employee shall, during the term of his/her employment or thereafter disclose to others or use, except as authorized by the General Manager, any of the Club's confidential, technical, or other busioess information. "Confidential, technical or other business information"' shall.mean any information, including lists of the Club's vendors, which the employee has used, learned or contributed during the course of his/her employment, regardless of whether it was written or in other tangible form that (i) is not generally avalable to the public; or (ii) derives independent economic value, actual or potential; from not being generally known to the public or to other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.

- Confidentiality with respect to the personal life and/or business affairs of the Trump family is covered in detail in the "Employee Agreement of Confidentiality". Each
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employee is provided with a copy of this agreement and required to accept and sign it as a condition of employment.

## C. FACLITIES -

Access to non public areas of the Club facilities will be limited to parties with a legitimate reason to be there. Employees are not permitted to have visitors on the premises unless the employee's supervisor has made special arrangements. Each employee should feel comfortable in politely confronting an unknown individual who appears suspicious or in need of direction.

An essential element of the security procedures of the Club is the key control process. As such, employees who have access to Club keys must recognize the importance of properly safeguarding the keys, which have been entrusted to them.

In the event of a breach or threatened breach by the employee of provisions $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ or C of this section, the Club shall be entitled to an injunction restraining the employee from disclosing or using, in whole or in part, such information or from rendering any services to any person, Club, corporation, association, or other entity to whom such information, in whole or in part, has been disclosed or is threatened to be disclosed. Nothing herein shall be construed as prohibiting the Club from pursuing other remedies available to the Club for such breach or threatened breach, including the recovery of damages from the employee.

## D. GUEST PRIVACY -

Bmployees are prohibited from revealing any personal or business information about a Guest.

## E. REPORTING CRIME -

The Security Department attempts to control crime and provide a safe operation. Due to the enormity of the Club, they cannot be everywhere at once, and your help is needed. Follow these simple security measures:

1) Notify Security of any suspicious person.
2) If you are a witness to a crime, relate to security as much specific detail as possible, including a description of the individual and the nature of the crime.

We are contacted daily by the media (television, radio, newspapers, magazines, wire services). They want to know what is happening at the Club and they want comments for their articles or newscasts. It is important that no Club employee other than the General Manager comment to the press on the Club or its guests.
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## INSPECTIONS

The Club respects its employees＂right to privacy．However，for security purposes，we maintain the right to inspect certain access and personal belongings．

Each employee agrees，as a condition of continued employment，to allow management to inspect employee personal property on our premises．Any package brought into or taken out of our premises may be inspected．Our premises and equipment，including Club vehicles，lockers and desks，are subject to inspection at any time．No employee has a reasonable expectation of privacy with respect to any such areas．

Refusal to cooperate in an inspection or search will be considered a violation of your terms of hire and insubordination．

## INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS

From time to time $t$ he $\mathrm{Club} m$ ay be required to conduct internal investigations p ertaining to security，auditing or work－related matters．Employees are required to cooperate fully with and assist in these investigations if requested to do so．

Whenever recessary，in Management＇s discretion，employees＇work areas（i．e．，desks，file cabinets，etc．）and personal belongings（i．e．，brief cases，handbags，etc．）may be subject to a search．Employees are required to cooperate．

Management will generally try to obtain an employee＇s consent before conducting a search of work areas or personal belongings，but may not always be able to do so，

## USE OF CLUB＇S COMPUTER SXSTEM AND SOFTWARE PROGRAMS：

## A．GENERAL

The Club has invested a large sum of money in its computer system and software programs．The information in the computer system represents much work by many employees and is an important component of the Club．In order to protect this valuable information，n o omployee is allowed to bring to work any computer games，hardware， programs or software which is not licensed and registered under the Club name，or install or otherwise use any such items unless they have been approved in advance，in writing， by the General Manager．The reason for this is simple．Outside programs may contain viruses，which can disrupt or destroy our computer system．Bven if you are sure that the program you bring does not contain a virus，and that it would not damage our computer system，you are not to use any outside program without written advance approval of the General Manager，－．．If software－programs are not properly registered there－may be criminal and civil penalties for their use．

In addition，the Club has noted that some computer programs also contain games or programs which are not related to the work of the Club．These programs are not to be played by any employee at any time during the workday．Only computer programs that are directly related to the work of the Club are to be used on Club computers．

The Club has access to the Internet. The Internet may be accessed only for authorized work purposes. There is to be no "surfing" by any employee at any time.

The Club strictly prohibits the use of its computer system to disseminate any material in violation of the Club's policy against harassment, or to access non-work related web pages, sites or material.

No employee is authorized to download or remove any information from the Club's computer system without the express advance pennission of the appropriate supervisor. (See the Club's policy on security.)

B, VOICEMALL
The Club utilizes a voice mail system, which allows callers and employees to leave voice messages. The Club reserves the right to monitor and review all messages left on the voice mail system at any time. All voice messages should be confined to business or work-related matters.

## C. TELEPHONES

Telephone Calls - Personal calls are prohibited, except in an emergency, since it interferes with the flow of business. Employees on duty are not allowed to use the public phones in the lobbies or any guest areas. A phone is furnished inside the staff break room for employee use during breaks or lunch. Only management staff is permitted to carry and use cell phones while on duty. .

## D. RIGHT TO INSPECT

No employee is to have an expectation of privacy with regard to any voice mail messages, email or computer files, and the Club has the right to monitor and review these electronic and computer systems at any time.

## SAFETY AND BCALTH

The Club's goal is to provide a safe and healthy environment for employees. Bach employee is expected to comply with all safety and health requirements whether established by management or by federal, state or local law.
"Eegat drugs" are-those prescribed-by a physician or -over the counter-drugs, which are legally obtained $b$ y the e mployee a nd used for the p urpose for which they were prescribed and sold: Even such legal drugs may affect the safety of the employee or co-workers or members of the public. Therefore, any employee who is taking any legal drug. which might impair safety, performance, or any motor functions, must advise his or her supervisor before reporting to work under such medication. If the Club determines that such use does not pose any safety or customer service risk, the employee will be permitted to work.
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The Club does not expect any employee to take unnecessary risks. Study the job assigned to you and leam the safety rules of your department. In everything you do, make sure that you have observed every reasonable safety precaution.

Most accidents are simple and common, such as slips and falls, cuts, burns, sprains and strains. They are easy to prevent. Observation of the following general rules will prevent many employee accidents;

1. Report all injuries at once to your supervisor.
2. If you are not sure how to do a job safely, ask your department head.
3. Horseplay and practical jokes are prohibited.
4. Put equipment away after use. Don't block passages.
5. Broken chairs, loose and worn carpeting, missing lights and other equipment needing maintenance may cause accidents. Report these along with any other safety hazard at once to your department head.
6. Wear the right clothing for the job.
7. Show other employees the safe way to do the job.
8. If the load seems too heavy to lift safely, get help. When lifting any load, follow the safe lifting practice listed below:
a. Be sure you can handle it alone. If not get help.
b. Face the load squarely.
c. Secure a firm footing with your feet properly spread,
d. Bend your knees.
e. Get a gnip on the load:
f. Keep a straight back and lift by straightening your legs gradually not suddenly.
g. Keep the load close to your body.
h, …...Don't twist your body,
9. Do not carry a load so that it will block your vision. Make sure you can see where you are going.
10. Avoid slippery, wet or greasy floors. Clean up wet or greasy spots as they occur-
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11. Use a ladder to reach things that are up high. See that the ladder is firmly placed. While on the ladder, don't reach too high or too far to one side.
12. Place broken glasses, dishes, etc., in special receptacles designated for this purpose.
13. Do not operate equipment unless properly trained and specifically instructed to do so by your supervisor.

All accidents occurring on the Club's premises or to Club employees, regardless of whether they result in an injury, must be reported immediately to your supervisor. The Club is required to report all work-related injuries as soon as possible. If you are too seriously injured to describe the facts surrounding the accident, it is the responsibility of the supervisor to supply the information to Human Resources.

We all do the best job we can to prevent accidents and injuries at home and at work. If you spot a safety hazard on the job, report it to your supervisor immediately. Workers' Compensation is provided by the Club to pay an employee partial compensation if he or she loses time due to a work-related accident.

If a guest has an accident, you should see that he or she is comfortable and then immediately notify your supervisor, department head, General Manager and the Security Department. Any property damage due to accidents should be reported in the same manner.

## WORKERS' COMPENSATION

The Club is covered under statutory state Workers' Compensation Laws. Should you sustain a work-related injury, you must immediately notify your department supervisor and Human Resources. Should your injury require the attention of a doctor, you should go to the nearest clinic designated by our Workers' Compensation Insurance Carrier.

## HAZARD COMMUNICATION - RIGHT TO KNOW PROGRAM

The Occupational Safety Hazard Administration (OSHA) requires all employees be provided with information of all potentially hazardous substances used in the course of our daily business...

The location of these documents (Material Safety Data Sheets) is in the office of the Golf Superintendent.

## EMERGENCY PROCEDURES:

Adhere to the following procedures in emergency situations;
A. FIRE -- In case of fire or smoke: STAY CALM

1. Pull fire alarm
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2．Dial 911 and give location of fire，remain on the phone to give additional information as required．

3．When the fire department arrives，direct them to the location．
4．Remember，most persons die from smoke and poisonous gases．Stay low to the ground if there is any smoke at all．If you encounter smoke or fire at lower levels， turn around and walk up to clearer air，or use another exit．

5．Be certain to follow instructions of the fire department in order to ensure the safety of all guests and employees．

B．BOMB SCARE OR WARNING
You all know your own work areas，and are usually aware of any unfamiliar items．A bomb may be concealed in a vaniety of items such as a length of pipe，small parcel， suitcase or paper bag．When a suspicious item is discovered，DO NOT TOUCH and report immediately to your manager or security．

## C．GUEST ILLNESS

1．Find a manager and report the situstion．
2．If no manager is available find another employee to stay with the ill guest．Find a phone and dial 911 and describe the situation and your location．Remain on the phone to give additional information as required until the emergenoy operator advises you otherwise．．

3．When the paramedics anrive，direct them to the location．
The most important thing to remember in an emergency is

## DO NOT PANIC！

## EMPLOYEE BENEEITS AND PROGRAMS

As a regular full－time employee you will enjoy an excellent benefits package which is designed to help protect your health，and plan for your future such as：
－Group Medical
－Dental Insurance（optional and paid for in its entirety by the employee）
－Group Life Insurance
－ $401(\mathrm{k})$－After one full year of continuous employment
－Vacation
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- Sick Time

For information relating to the cost of such benefits, eligibility requirements, etc. please contact Human Resources.

## The Club reserves the right to change or cancel any or all of these benefits at any time with such notification as is required by law.

## GROUP MEDICAL AND DENTAL COVERAGE

As a regular full-time employee, you will be eligible for medical and dental coverage under our group insurance plans. You are eligible for coverage the first of the month following 90 days of employment. The details of this plan will be provided to you when you become eligible.

Part-time employees who become full-time employees are eligible for group medical insurance coverage the first of the month following 90 days of transfer to full-time employment.

## GROUP LIFE INSURANCE

The Club offers regular full-time employees an employer-paid basic group term life policy. This becomes effective the first of the month following 90 days of employment.

Part-time employees who become full time employees are eligible for group life insurance coverage the first of the month following 90 days of transfer to full-time employment.

## 401(k) RETIREMENT SAYINGS PLAN

The Club offers its employees the opportunity to save funds toward retirement on a tax-deferred basis. Eligible employees may participate the begioning of the month following one year of employment with VH Property Corp. The Club will make matching contributions in an amount equal to $40 \%$ of your compensation contributed to the Plan but subject to a maximum of $7 \%$ of compensation. Employer matching contributions must be made within prescribed legal time limits and are subject to the vesting provisions of the Plan.

## VACATION POLICY

After a waiting period of six (6) months, full-time employees begin to accrue vacation, No vacation is accrued by any employee during the first six (6) months of employment.

> After the first six (6) months of employment and up until one (1) year of employment, eligible employees will accrue paid vacation at the rate of 3.08 hours for each pay period in which the employee worked or is paid.

Beginning in year two (2) of employment, eligible employees will earn two weeks of vacation ( 80 hours) accrued at the rate of 3.08 hours for each pay period in which the employee worked or is paid. This will continue until the completion of five (5) years of continuous employment.
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Beginning in year six (6) of employment, eligible employees will eam three weeks of yacation ( 120 hours) accrued at the rate of 4.62 hours for each pay period in which the employee worked or is paid.

Summary

## Years of Service

Two (2) - Five (5) years
Six (6) and more years

## Vacation Days

10 days per year
15 days per year

EXAMPLE:
Employee is hired August 1, 2000
Beginning February 1, 2001, the employee begins to earn 3.08 hours of vacation time for each pay period in which the employee worked or was paid.
From February 1, 2001 to December 31, 2001, the employee will have earned nine days of vacation for calendar year 2001.

Vacation pay will be paid on your last nomal payday before the start of your vacation and at your straight time hourly rate of pay as of the last workday before the start of your vacation (for non-exempt employees) or at your current salary as of the last workday before the start of your vacation (for exempt employees).

Vacation request forms signed by the employee, and approved by the supervisor must be submitted, to Human Resources prior to the end of a payroll p eriod and will be paid on that pexiod's regularly scheduled pay day.

If a paid Holiday falls during the employee's vacation, an extra day of vacation will be credited to the employee.

Eligible employees are not permitted to use accrued vacation until they have completed one year. of employment.

Employees are encouraged to take all of their vacation in the year that it is earned. Employees who do not use all of their accrued vacation during the accrual period will be permitted to carry forward-their vacation, subject to the following accrual cap; an-mployee may accrue-a maximum of the employee's annual accrial or ten (10) days of vacation, whichever is greater. If an employee reaches the maximum vacation accrual cap, vacation accraals will cease until such time as the employee takes sufficient vacation to fall below the cap.

## EXAMPLE:

An employee in year seven of employment takes one week ( 5 days) vacation. In year eight of employment, the employee takes two weeks (i.e. 10 days) of vacation.

- Beginning in year nine of employment, the employee will have ten (10) days of accrued vacation, which is less than the employee's annual accrual rate of 15 days per year. The
employee will continue to accrue vacation during year nine until the employee's accrued vacation reaches fifteen (15) days, at which point the employee will cease to accrue vacation. Vacation accrual will begin again once the employee takes a yacation.

Regular part-time and temporary employees are not eligible to earn vacation pay.
The Club may schedule vacation at certain times because of seasonal or other operational reasons, but will try to accommodate employee requests. Vacation requests are to be submitted to your immediate supervisor as early as possible but at least one (1) month prior to the desired vacation date, and are subject to approval of your Department Head. An effort will be made to schedule vacations to meet employee requests as long as it does not interfere with the operational needs of the Club.

If vacation requests are in conflict between two or more employees within a department, the priority will be based on seniority so long as the senior employee's request was received in a timely manner and before the other employee's vacation request was approved. Otherwise, the earliest request will normally be honored.

No payments in lieu of time off are permitted unless agreed to by the General Manager.

## SICK TIME POLICY

Each regular full-time employee begins earning sick leave benefits at the beginning of their employment at the rate of $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ ay for each month worked. H owever, the employee may not begin using this benefit until their six-month anniversary date. Bmployees may accrue up to 12 days sick leave in a 2 year time period. Sick leave accrual ceases at a maximum of 12 days,

Employees may use sick leave benefits only for a bona fide illness, injury, or medical inability to work. ALL employees, exempt and non-exempt, must follow the proper call-in procedures and submit a sick pay request form in order to receive paid sick leave. If your are absent longer then 3 days due to illness, medical evidence of your illness and/or medical certification of your fitness to retum to w ork satisfactory to the company will be required before sick pay will be given... If there is reason to believe that sick leave has been misused, sick pay may not be awarded. The Company does not provide pay in lieu of unused sick leave, upon termination or otherwise:

EXAMPLE:
Employee is hired February 15, 2001
After July 15, 2001 (completion of 6 months of employment) the employee will beeligible to use his or her sick time

Employee is out sick on August 17, 2001
Employee is eligible to use one day of the employee's total of three and one half (3-1/2 days) of sick time
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You may also use up to two（2）of your six（6）days for certain other personal reasons，but approval from your Manager must be obtained in advance．

Regular part－time and temporary employees are not eligible to earn sick leave beneñts．

## HOLIDAYS AND HOLIDAY PAY

An important part of the employee benefit program is paid holidays for employees．Only regular full－time employees are eligible for holiday pay．

The Club recognizes 7 days during the year as Club Holidays．To be eligible to receive these Holidays as paid holidays，you must complete 90 days of continuous employment．
New Years Day
Martin Luther King Day－Floating Holiday－Subject to substitution by your Manager
Memorial Day
July 4th
Labor Day
Thanksgiving Day
Christmas Day
The following conditions apply to the payment of holiday pay：
1．If you are scheduled to work on a holiday，you are paid for the holiday in addition to the hours worked unless the supervisor designates another date．

2．If you are not scheduled to work on the holiday，you receive eight hours pay at straight
time for the day．
3．You must work your regularly scheduled hours on the day before and the day after the holiday in order to be paid for the holiday．If you are sick the day before or the day after the holiday，you are not entitled to holiday pay．The only exception is if you are on vacation．In this case，you receive holiday pay for the holiday and it will not count as a vacation day．

4．You are not paid for the holiday if you do not report to work on the holiday if you are
scheduled to do so．
5．－Exemptemployees－are－not－paid－additionally－for the holidays，but receive their normat pay for the day；whether they are asked to work on the holiday，or not．Because the Club is generally open on holidays，the Club may require certain employees to work on a designated holiday．．．Under those circumstances，the Club，may designate an alternative day off．The immediate supervisor is responsible for electing altemate dates for holidays． The Club retains the sole discretion to determine who must work the holiday and whether an alternative day off，
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## BEREAVEMENT LEAVE

In the event of a death in an employee's immediate family, the Club will allow a maximum of three (3) days off with pay for funeral related leave. Inmediate family includes spouse, child, mother, father, brother, sister, grandparent, grandchild, aunt, uncle, daughter-in-law, son-in-law, mother-in-law, father-in-law, stepchild, stepparent.

When a death occurs, notify your supervisor at once with all of the details, including the family member who died and where the funeral will take place. The supervisor should notify Human Resources of the reason and length of the employee's absence.

## IURY DUTY

An employee summoned for jury duty will be compensated in the following manner only if he/she notifies his/her supervisor and provides him/her with a copy of the summons.

During the jury leave, the employee will be paid the difference between jury compensation and The employee's regular pay for up to three days. You must report to work on any day in which you are excused from jury service at a time when there are three (3) or more hours remaining in your daily scheduled shift.

NOTE: If the jury duty falls at a time when the employee cannot be away from work, the court may allow the employee to choose a more convenient time to serve if he/she makes a request in accordance with the court's procedure.

## MLLTTARY LEAYE

An employee who is a member of the United States Army, Navy, A ir Force, M arines, C oast Guard, a member of the National Guard, a member of the Reserves or Public Health Service will, where a specified period of active or reserve duty is mandatory, be granted an unpaid leave of absence in accordance with applicable law.

Upon receipt of orders for active or reserve duty, the employee should notify his/her supervisor, as well as Human Resources immediately and he/she must submit a copy of his/her orders to his/her supervisor and Human Resources.

## PREGNANCX RELATED LEAYE

In accordance with the requirements of state and federal law, an employee affected by pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical condition may take an unpaid leave of absence for a reasonable period of time (i.e. that period during which the employee is disabled on account of pregnancy; childbirth; or-related medical conditions as defined by a physician) not to exceed four (4) months, with full re-employment privileges. The employee may utilize any accrued vacation leave or sick leave during this period of time, An employee will not be entifled to holiday pay and will not accrue additional yacation or sick time when on a matemity leave of absence.

An employee who qualifies for leave on the basis of pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical condition may, if eligible, combine such leave with family medical leave, for total leave of up to
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seven (7) months. However, if an employee combines such leave, the Club will continue to pay for the employee's medical coverage only during the period of qualified family medical leave (a maximum period of twelve (12) weeks).

## FAMILY MEDICAL AND PERSONAL LEAVE OF ABSENCE

In accordance with the terms of the federal Family and Medical Leave Act and the Califomia Family Rights Leave Act, the Club will provide a n e ligible e mployee with up to twelve (12) work weeks of unpaid leave in a twelve (12) month period - (1) for the birth of a child of the employee or the placement of a child for adoption or foster care; (2) to care for the spouse, child, or parent of the employee who has a serious health condition; or (3) because of a serious health condition that makes the employee unable to perform the functions of his or her job.
The relevant 12 -month period during which leave may be taken will be calculated for each employee individually based on a "rolling" 12 -month period measured backward from the date the employee first uses leave under the state or federal Acts.

If an employee qualifies for family or medical leave, The Club will continue to pay for the employee's medical coverage during the leave on the same terms and conditions as if the employee had continued to be employed. The employee will continue to be responsible for copayments, dependent coverage payments, or other payments which are the responsibility of the employee, and must make arrangements for such payment with Human Resources. The employee will be required to pay the Club back for the amount of the medical coverage payments if the employee does not return to his/her job after expiration of the leave.

If an employee qualifies for family or medical leave, the employee will be reinstated to his/her former position (or an equivalent position) upon return from leave; provided, however, that certain "key" highly compensated, salaried personnel may be denied reinstatement if reemployment will cause the Club substantial and grievous economic injury,
Benefit accruals (e.g. vacation, sick leave, holiday benefits) will be suspended during a family or medical leave and will resume upon retum to active employment.

When the leave is "foreseeable", the employee must provide thity ( 30 ) days advance notice, Ifthirty (30) days notice is not practical, verbal notification is required within one to two business days.

The Club may require a certification from a health care provider supporting the reasons for the leave, and may require a second or third opinion.

Provided that the leave of absence does not extend beyond the maximum period allowed, the Club will make a reasonable effort to hold the employee's present position open until he or she retums from the leave of absence, but circumstances may require that the position be filled. In order to protect his/her re-employment rights, the employee must keep the Club informed of his/her-expected date of return to work on a biweekly basis. An employee who fails to keep the Club apprised of his or her expected date of retum, or who fails to return from a leave of absence on the expected date of retum, will be considered as having resigned voluntarily from
employment.

If it is necessary to fill the employee's position, the Club will attempt to find a comparable position with the Club when he or she is able to retum to work.

All requests for leave of absences will fall into the guidelines of the Family Medical Leave Act. A complete copy of the FMLA is available in Human Resources.

Nothing in this section shall be construed to give an employee greater zights than are conferred by the federal Family and Medical Leave Act or the California Family Rights Leave Act.

## MAXIMUM LEAVE POLICY

No leave will be permitted to extend beyond six (6) months, under any circumstances, except as

## PERSONNEL RECORDS

The Club maintains personnel records, the accuracy of which is very important. If the information in your personnel records is incorrect, a problem may arise concerning paytoll deductions, employee benefits, employee verification or other important matters. You must notify Human Resources immediately whenever there is a change in your employee information, including home address, telephone number, marital status, name change, employment benefit beneficiaries, number of dependents, or emergency contact information.

## REDUCTION IN WORK FORCE

It may be necessary to lay off employees for reasons such as loss of business, lack of work, consolidation of jobs, elimination of certain jobs or a part of our business, or any other business reason, $S$ uch layoffs a re $p$ ermanent unless stated in writing to be temporary. The Club will select the person or persons to be laid off based on its judgment of needs and skills. No laid-off employee has any right to be recalled.

## PARKING

Employees are required to observe the Club's parking polioy, which designates certain areas for employee parking. Violation of the parking policy is grounds for disciplinary action.
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## EMPLOYEE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

## INSTRUCTIONS: PLEASE READ THIS EMPLOYEE HANDBOOK CARBFULLY, IF YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND ANY PORTION OF IT, ASK FOR AN EXPLANATION FROM YOUR MANAGER OR HUMAN RESOURCES. RETURN THIS PAGE WITH YOUR SIGNATURE TO HUMAN RESOURCES IMMEDIATELY AFTER YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS HANDBOOK. PLEASE KEEP THE HANDBOOK FOR FUTURE REFERENCE,

I received the Employee Handbook of VH Property Corp (the Club) on the date indicated below. I will carefully read the policies, procedures, and other information contained in the Handbook and will ask Human Resources for an explanation if I do not fully understand them. I agree as a condition of my employment to comply with the policies and procedures which exist now or which may come into existence in the future. I understand that if I do not comply with the policies and procedures, I may be subject to disciplinary action.

The Club reserves the right to modify or terminate any policies or procedures, in whole or in part, at any time, with or without notice. I understand that only the General Manager is authorized to set policy with respect to my employment. The language used in this Handbook is not intended to create, nor is it to be construed to constitute, a contract between the Club and any one or all of its employees.

## AT-WILL EMPLOYMENT AGREEMBNT

The parties hereto agree and understand that there is no agreement by Employer to employ Employee for any definite period of employment, that Employer has employed Employee as an "at-will" employee, and that the employment relationship between the parties is based on the mutual consent of each party. Either of the parties hereto may terminate this relationship for any reason, at any time, with or without cause or waming and with or without notice. In addition, the Employer retains the right to alter Employee's compensation; benefits, title, and/or duties at any time, with or without cause. This Agreement and the employment atwill policy can only be altered, changed or modified pursuant to a written agreement between Employee and the Employer signed by Donald J. Trump, which must identify this Agreement and specify such alteration, change or modification. Except as provided above, this employment at-will-policy-cannot-be-altered, ehangect or-modified in-any mantrer whatsoever by any other writing, oral agreement, implied agreement; or past, cirirent or future policy, agreement, action, practice, course of conduct, manual, transfer, promotion, commendation, award, writing, representation or o therwise. A ny subsequent c hange in the Employee's title or-compensationwill not alter or modify the at-will employment policy described above.

This Agreement contains the entire agreement between Employer and Employee and supersedes all negotiations, proposed agreements, prior representations or commitments, policies, practices, manuals, procedures, writings, representations or otherwise conceming the subject matters of this Agreement. Notwithstanding the above, nothing herein supersedes or
invalidates any written confidentiality agreements between Employer and employee, all of which shall remain in full force and effect.

EMPLOYER
EMPLOYEE
By: $\qquad$
Its: $\qquad$ Signature
Print Name
Dated: $\qquad$ Dated: $\qquad$
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## INTRODUCTION

Trump National Golf Club ("the Club") seeks to provide the quality of work, which will develop the potential of each employee as well as the Club as a whole. In this regard, this employee handbook is designed to acquaint each employee with the administrative and personnel practices of the Club. Each employee should be familiar with the responsibilities and opportunities available to make work as rewarding as possible.

The handbook should be read carefully and clearly understood. Each department head, manager or supervisor will be able to answer, or obtain an answer, to any questions involving interpretation or clarification of these policies and procedures. Each employee is expected to apply these general policy and procedure guidelines conscientiously in a constructive and supportive manner.

The policies in this manual are only guidelines and the Club reserves the right to add to, subtract from, or change these provisions in any way, at any time, without being bound to the previously replaced or revised provisions, except for the employment at-will policy. This handbook is not to be construed as an employment agreement or employment contract and the Club is under no obligation to provide the benefits set forth in this manual if it determines, at a subsequent time, that these benefits are to be reduced or terminated. The current manual supersedes ALL prior manuals will help to eliminate any potential confusion.

## AT-WILL

All employees of the Club are employed "at-will," meaning that the terms of employment may be changed with or without notice, with or without cause, including, but not limited to termination, demotion, promotion, transfer, compensation, benefits, duties, and location of work. There is no agreement express or implied between the Club and its employees for continuing or long-term employment. Accordingly, the Club or the employees may terminate the employment relationship at any time, with or without notice, with or without cause. While supervisors and managers have certain hiring authority, no supervisor, manager, or representative of the Club has any authority to alter the at-will relationship, unless modified by means of a written agreement signed by Donald J. Trump and the employee.

## EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

It is the policy of the Club to provide and promote equal opportunity for all prospective and present employees. To this end, we commit ourselves to complying fully with the spirit, as well as the letter, of all applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations implementing the national and state objective of equal employment opportunity for all persons. The employment policies and personnel practices of the Club are intended to ensure that recruiting, hiring and advancement are accomplished without regard to race, color, religion, sex, gender, sexual orientation, national origin, ancestry, age, physical or mental disability, marital status, military service, or other prohibited factors; that decisions on employment are made so as to further the principle of equal employment opportunity and that they involve only job-related requirements for advancement opportunities; and that all personnel actions involving a condition or privilege of employment are administered without regard to race, color, religion, sex, gender, sexual orientation, national origin, ancestry, age, physical or mental disability, marital status, military service, or other prohibited factors. Additionally, the Club will provide registered domestic partners with all rights and benefits as required by law.

The attitudes and actions of our employees are an important ingredient in the Club's equal opportunity effort. Your full cooperation in upholding this non-discriminatory policy is necessary not only to fulfill our legal responsibilities but also to ensure the future growth and continued success of the Club.

## IMMIGRATION COMPLIANCE

In accordance with the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986, all new employees hired after November 6, 1986 must produce documents specified by the federal government in order to establish their identity and authorization for employment in the United States. Failure on the employee's part to produce the legally required documentation or sign the I-9 form within the legally prescribed three (3) business day period shall result in termination of employment.

For those that are found to have falsified information will not be eligible for employment and will not be considered for rehire,

## AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT COMPLIANCE POLXCY

The Club is committed to the employment of qualified individuals with a disability who, with or without accommodations, can perform the essential functions of the job that the individual holds or wants to hold. The Club will make reasonable accommodations as necessary for all employees or applicants with disabilities, provided that the individual is qualified to safely perform the essential duties of their job and provided that the accommodations do not impose an undue hardship on the Company. Employees with a disability who need reasonable accommodation or believe that they are being discriminated against because of their disability are encouraged to contact Human Resources.

## DRUG AND ALCOHOL FREE WORK PLACE POLICY

The Club recognizes that employee drug abuse interferes with the Club's level of service and can result in costs, delays, and tragedies associated with work-related accidents. As a condition to employment or continued employment, you must refrain from reporting to work or working with the presence of drugs or alcohol in your body.

To address the problem of drug/alcohol abuse and to comply with California's Drug-Free Workplace Act (the Act), the Club adopts the policies and procedures detailed below. This policy will be administered in accordance with all relevant laws (state and local), including the Americans with Disabillities Act ("ADA"), the Califomia Fair Employment and Housing Act, the California Labor Code, the California Workers' Compensation Act, and in a non-discriminatory fashion.

To protect the health, safety, and welfare of all the Club employees, it is the Club's policy that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensation, possession, or use of a controlled substance on any Club property is absolutely prohibited. Controlled substances include, but are not limited to, amphetamines, barbiturates, cocaine, heroin, morphine, PCP, marijuana, hashish, and any other controlled substance listed in Schedules I through V of Section 202 of the Controlled Substance Act, 21 U,S.C. $\$ 812$, except for medication prescribed by the employee's physician. (Employees using medication prescribed by a licensed physician may be required to provide management with proof that such medication is safe to take while the employee is on duty. Management will have sole discretion as to whether or not it will be safe for those employees to remain on duty.)

Except for defined business related activities, such as wine or other alcohol tasting for educational purposes or to make buying decisions, that are specifically approved by the General Manager or on special occasions approved by the General Manager, employees are prohibited from drinking alcohol on Club premises or during working time, bringing alcoholic beverages or illegal drugs onto the Club premises or removing alcoholic beverages from Club premises or being under the influence of controlled substances during working time. Special care shall be taken during Club-sponsored social events for employees. In these cases, employees and guests below the legal age are not to be allowed to drink alcoholic beverages.

Off duty employees must act. with discretion when consuming alcoholic beverages after hours in the dining areas. Off duty employees should refrain from drinking while still on the premises
while in the presence of the customers. This is especially true for those employees in the Club uniform.

The Club will test for the presence of drugs under the following circumstances: 1) post offer of employment testing, 2) reasonable suspicion testing, 3) post-accident testing and 4) follow-up testing. Such testing will be done in accordance with Califormia law. Refusal to submit to the test or having a confirmed, positive test result will result in immediate discipline, up to and including termination. Any questions about this policy should be referred to Human Resources.

Employees who voluntarily come forward to management, prior to a situation requiring testing based upon reasonable suspicion and who cooperate with the Club with regard to treatment, may not be subject to discipline. An employee who requests a leave of absence to enter a drug or alcohol rehabilitation program will be reasonably accommodated with an unpaid leave of absence, as required by law, to enroll in such a program if such an accommodation is not an undue hardship on the Club. Employees voluntarily entering a drug or alcohol rehabilitation program may be required to provide medical validation of satisfactory completion of the program. Employees returning to work following satisfactory completion of a rehabilitation program may be subject to drug or alcohol tests without prior notice for up to one (1) year following the return date. A recurrence of a positive drug or alcohol test following return to work may lead to disciplinary action up to and including termination.

Any violation of the Club's Drug/Alcohol Free Workplace Policy will result in appropriate discipline, up to and including termination.

## PROHIBITION AGAINST HARASSMENT

The Club is committed to providing a workplace free of sexual harassment, as well as harassment based on such factors as race, color, religion, national origin, ancestry, age, physical disability, mental disability, medical condition, martial status, sexual orientation, gender, family care or medical leave status, veteran status, or any other basis protected by federal or state laws. The Club prohibits unwelcome, harassing conduct by employees towards other employees or non-employees with whom the Club has a business, service, or professional relationship, even if such conduct does not rise to the level of harassment as defined by law.

The California Fair Employment and Housing Act and Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act prohibit harassment in the work place. As a preventative measure, the Club will investigate all alleged harassment complaints and take disciplinary action as required to remedy the situation. There will be no retaliation against any employee who, in good faith, files such a complaint.

Sexual harassment is defined by law to include the following:
Unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature when;

1. Submission to such conduct is made either explicitly or implicitly a term or condition of an individual's employment (example: a promise of continued employment or a threat of termination based on submission);
2. Submission to or rejection of such conduct by an individual is used as the basis for employment decisions affecting such individual (example: poor job evaluations or failure to increase income as a result of rejection of such conduct); or
3. Such conduct has the purpose or effect of substantially interfering with an individual's work performance or creating any intimidating, hostile, or offensive working environment (example: lewd comments, dirty jokes, nude pictures, obscene gestures, etc.).

## Complaint Procedure:

If you feel you are being harassed in any way by another employee, guest, vendor or any other person associated with the Club, you should report the harassment to your manager immediately. If you feel another employee is being harassed and is unwilling to report the harassment, you should report the incidents to your manager. All incidents of harassment will be investigated and where appropriate, corrective action will be taken. Investigations will be confidential and information obtained during the complaint procedure and investigation will be only shared with those individuals on a need-to-know basis. If you do not feel you can discuss the matters with your manager, please contact either one of the following Company Compliance Officers: Human Resources, General Manager, or Controller, or a senior level manager with whom you feel comfortable. You will not be penalized in any way for reporting harassment, either to yourself or another person. Do not assume the Club is aware of your problem. Complaints and concerns about harassment cannot be addressed unless they are brought to the attention of management.
Employees who feel they have not received satisfaction after utilizing this procedure may contact the Department of Fair Employment and Housing or the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and seek remedies through these agencies. These agencies are listed in the white pages of the telephone book.

## VIOLENCE IN THE WORKPLACE

The Club strongly believes that all employees should be treated with dignity and respect. Acts of violence will not be tolerated. Any instances' of violence must be reported to the employee's manager and Human Resources. All complaints will be fully investigated.
The Club will promptly respond to any incident or suggestion of violence. Violation of this policy will result in disciplinary action, up to and including discharge.

## LIFE THREATENING LLLNESS POLICY

Employees with a life threatening illness or communicable disease will be treated in the same manner as any other employee with an illness or injury, Reasonable accommodation will be made for an employee's condition as long as the accommodation allows the employee to perform his/her essential job requirements without imposing an undue hardship on the Club or without creating a direct threat to the health and safety of others.

Employees who suffer from a terminal illness or communicable disease will be required to disclose their condition to management if their condition creates a direct threat to the health and safety of others in the workplace. A direct threat occurs when there exists a significant risk of communicating or spreading the condition or infectious disease in the workplace. Upon disclosure, the Club will examine its duty of accommodation to keep the affected employee at work and to minimize the transmission risk to an acceptable level. The Club may require a statement from the affected employee's treating physician that he/she can safely perform his/her job requirements. The Club reserves the right to have another physician conduct a medical examination of the employee to determine his/her ability to perform the essential functions of the job without jeopardizing the health or safety of others.

Any employee with a terminal illness or communicable disease is entitled to the confidentiality of his/her medical condition and medical records. The Club will do everything in its power to maintain the confidentiality of an employee's medical status and only disclose information on a need-to-know basis.

## CARE OF FACILITIES

The Club has made a large investment in equipment and facilities to provide each employee with the necessary resources to accomplish his/her duties.

It is the responsibility of management and each employee to treat equipment and facilities with respect and care. Neatness and cleanliness are required to maintain these resources at a level at which the employee can and will be proud. Abuse of equipment and facilities will not be tolerated and may lead to disciplinary action including termination.

## INTRODUCTORY PERIOD

The first ninety- (90) calendar days of employment are an introductory period for all employees, During this ninety-day period, your manager will be closely observing your overall job performance, including ability to learn, work habits, quality of work, and your attendance. This period will also give you an opportunity to evaluate the job you have accepted and confirm that you have made a good decision to join the Club. Additionally, an employee's introductory period may be extended in cases where improvement to a satisfactory level can reasonably be expected or in positions where the first ninety days do not allow for proper evaluation. During this introductory period, as well as the entire period of employment with the Club, the Club is free to change the employee's terms and conditions of employment with or without notice and with or without cause, including, but not limited to, termination, demotion, promotion, transfer, compensation, benefits, duties, and location of work.

Employees do not become eligible for any Company benefits, including, if applicable, holiday pay, or group medical, life and long-term disability insurance, until the first of the month following completion of their introductory period and they become regular or partial full-time employees, subject to the other terms and conditions governing such benefits.

Part-time employees and temporary employees are not eligible for Company benefits (except as required by law)

Part-time employees and temporary employees who become regular full-time or partial full-time employees are eligible for Company benefits at the beginning of the following month and as they become regular full-time or partial full-time employees i $_{i}$ are subject to the other terms and conditions governing such benefits

## EMPLOYEE CATEGORIES

For the purpose of salary administration, there are two categories of employees at the Club as determined by the Wage and Hour Law's legal definition.

Non-Exempt/Hourly Employees - Employees whose wages are paid on an hourly basis and only for hours actually worked. Such employees are entitled to overtime payment in accordance with applicable Federal and State Wage and Hour Laws. For the purpose of overtime calculations, hours paid but not worked (i.e., vacation, holidays, sick leave) will not be counted,

Exempt Employees - Employees who are exempt from the overtime payment and other provisions of the Federal and State Wage and Hour Law. For an employee to be considered exempt under applicable laws and regulations, the nature of the duties, work and salary of such individual must meet the specifications set forth in the law and must be in one of the following categories:
A. Executive (Management and Manager)
B. Administrative (Technical)
C. Outside Sales
D. Professional
E. Computer design and programming

The Wage and Hour Laws strictly regulate exempt and non-exempt categories. The preference of one category over another is not at the Club's discretion and will not be used as a means of "promoting" an employee. Employees should not request to be categorized as exempt or nonexempt due to preference nor should managers offer to change an employee's category as a status enhancement.

## WORKER CLASSIFICATIONS

Workers may be classified as introductory, regular full-time, partial full-time, regular part-time, or contract personnel as follows:
A. Introductory Employee - An employee in their first ninety days of employment is considered an introductory employee. Under certain circumstances, the introductory period may be extended.
B. Regular Full-Time Employee - An employee, who regularly works a minimum of forty - (40) hours per week, has completed the introductory period and works year round, Such employees are eligible for a full range of Club benefits.
C. Partial Full-Time Employee - An employee, who regularly works a minimum of thirty(30) hours per week, has completed the introductory period and works year round. Such employees are eligible for Medical and Holiday benefits.
D. Regular Part-Time Employee - An employee, who regularly works less than thirty(30) hours per week, has completed the introductory period and works year round. Such employees do not qualify for Company benefits (except as required by law).
E. Temporary Employee - An employee hired for a specified period of time of short duration or hired to complete a particular project or task. Such employees do not qualify for Company benefits (except as required by law).
F. Contract Personnet - An individual who works as an independent contractor.

## WORKHOURS.PAYPERIODS,WAGES,OVERTIME,BREAK TIMES,MEAL PERIODS,

## A. WORK HOURS

The Club operates year round, 7 days a week to serve the diverse needs of our guests. Therefore, to ensure adequate coverage at all times, departments have arranged a variety of schedules for their employees.

## B. PAY PERIODS

The workweek at the Club begins on Monday at 12:00 am and ends on Sunday at midnight. The scheduled payday is every other Friday. If a payday should fall on a Club recognized holiday paychecks will be distributed the preceding business day. If an employee is absent on a payday, it is their responsibility to make arrangements with their supervisor or Human Resources to have the paycheck delivered to them. Otherwise, the paycheck will be held until the employee returns to work. Direct Deposit is offered and recommended by the Club.

If there is a discrepancy in your paycheck, advise your department head immediately. Do not call or go to the payroll department. If your department head is not available, contact Human Resources and assistance will be provided.
The Club is unable to make advances on employee paychecks.
C. WAGES

The Club's policy regarding personnel is to offer a competitive wage structure. Wage increases are given when appropriate and based upon results of performance evaluations $\mathrm{and} /$ or employment status change.
D. OVERTIME PREMIUMS

1. Hourly and Non-Exempt

Hourly or non-exempt employees are eligible for overtime premium at one and one-half (1.5) times their base hourly rate under the following conditions:

Overtime work has been specifically authorized by the direct Supervisor in advance or in writing after the fact. More than eight (8) hours are actually worked by that individual in one work day, or more than forty (40) hours are actually worked by that individual in one workweek, not including sick time, vacation, holidays and time off for personal business and other non-work time.

## 2. Exempt Employee

A salaried exempt employee is not compensated directly for specific overtime hours worked. A salaried employee is paid to accomplish a specific job and his/her salary is based upon the fact that hours in excess of eight (8) per day and/or forty (40) hours per week may be necessary on occasion.

## E. BREAK TLMES

Non-exempt employees MUST take a ten (10) minute break near the middle of each four (4) hour segment of each workday.

## F. MEAL PERIODS

Non-exempt employees MUST take a meal period of not less than one half hour within the first five and one-half hours of their work schedule. Some employees may be scheduled for a normal one (1) hour lunch period. Employees on a daily work schedule of six (6) hours or less may waive their unpaid meal period by mutual written consent with their supervisor.

## GENERAL WORK RULES AND DISCIPLINARY ACTION

A. GENERAL POLICY ADHERENCE

The Club expects all employees to observe certain standards of behavior while at work, These standards are not intended to restrict an employee's legitimate rights, but are for the safety and well-being of all the Club employees. These standards apply equally to all employees.

## B. DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS

Disciplinary action may include, but is not limited to any of the following: verbal warning, written warning, suspension with or without pay, or termination of employment. We will look at how severe the problem is and how often it has happened when deciding what disciplinary action to take. The Club reserves the right to enforce these disciplinary
measures, as it deems necessary.
It must be remembered that the Club employs its employees at-will which permits the Club to change the terms and conditions of employment with or without notice, with or without cause, including, but not limited to, termination, demotion, promotion, transfer, compensation, benefits, duties, and locations of work. Accordingly, either the employee or the Club can terminate the employment relationship at any time with or without cause at either party's option with or without notice.

## C. WORK RULES

The following employee work rules are not all-inclusive but, by way of illustration only, are some of the events that could result in discipline up to and including termination. These examples do not alter the employees' at-will status with the Club. Your department head may establish additional rules and regulations he or she feels are necessary for the orderly fulfillment of your department's responsibilities. These also must be followed. Other actions may also result in discipline up to and including termination.

Grounds for discipline up to and including termination includes but is not limited to the following acts:
a. Failure to work on a shift as scheduled or arranging your own shift replacement without the supervisor's permission. Absence without prior notice to the employee's direct supervisor ("No Call, No Show").
b. Theft (unauthorized removal) or misappropriation (unauthorized storage, transfer, utilization or possession) of Club services (i.e., unauthorized telephone calls, laundry/valet, food and beverage), or guest, co-employee or Club property, including items found on the Club premises. All articles found on Club premises must be turned to your manager.
c. Falsely stating claims of injury.
d. Deliberate omission or falsification of information on employment application, time sheets, production or other Club records,
e. Sleeping on duty.
f. Reporting for duty or working under the influence of intoxicating liquor, marijuana and/or illegal drugs. Bringing, consuming, possessing, providing, selling, or otherwise using intoxicating liquors, marijuana and/or illegal drugs on Club premises.
g. Committing any crime which the Club believes is detrimental to the Club and/or its other employees.
h. Failure to report to work after the expiration of a leave-of-absence.
i. Insubordination, refusal or inability to comply with reasonable instructions of supervisors or department heads. If your supervisor requests you to do something with which you disagree, always follow the instructions at the time and then discuss it later at an appropriate time and location.

However, this excludes obedience to demands constituting discrimination, sexual harassment or illegal conduct.
j. Unauthorized use of Club telephone or property and/or guest facilities.
k. Entering the Club more than 7 minutes before your shift begins or leaving the Club more than 7 minutes after the end of your shift without the permission of your department head or supervisor. Entering or presence in non-public areas within the Club premises without authorization or just cause.

1. Not properly safeguarding the keys, which have been entrusted to you and any violation of the key control procedures.
m. Altering or falsifying a guest check or credit voucher or forging tips through guest checks.
m . Refusal or inability to comply with Club rules, policies or procedures.
o. Interference or hindrance with shift schedules.
p. Poor job performance, including unsatisfactory attitude that detracts from job performance or the efficient operation of the Club.
q. Violation of the Club's harassment policy.
r. Loitering and loafing on duty.
2. Failure to report for duty without a bona fide excuse and proper notification to your supervisor.
3. Failure to comply with established safety and health rules and safe work procedures or engaging in any conduct that creates a safety hazard.
Failure to follow grooming and appearance standards.
v. Failure to report a workplace accident immediately. If you are injured on the job, you must immediately report this to your supervisor or Human Resources Manager.
w. Disorderly conduct on the Club premises, including fighting, horseplay or other action that endangers others or Club property.
x. Offensive or disruptive behavior, including threatening employees, using abusive or vulgar language, interfering with others in the performance of their duties, or acting in an immoral or indecent manner on Club property, or while off the Club premises in performance of Club duties.
$y$. Unauthorized disclosure, discussion, removal or use of Club confidential, information, trade secrets, investigational matters or other proprietary information (including information contained in personnel records).
z. Unauthorized distribution of literature, posting of notices or signs on Club, premises, fundraising, selling lottery tickets or merchandise, or soliciting donations or any other type of money raising on Club premises or during working times in working areas, unless specifically authorized by the General Manager.
aa. Solicitation of other employees for any purpose or organization during working times (of either the solicitor or the employee being solicited) in working areas.
bb. Refusal to allow security checks and package inspections.
cc. Reading books, magazines, or newspapers while on duty, except where required in the line of duty.
dd. Dishonesty, including but not limited to intentionally not providing full and truthful information when requested by management.
ee. Gambling on Club premises.
ff. Bringing, discharging, and/or possessing unauthorized firearms or other weapons on Club property or while off Club Property in the performance of Club duties.
gg. Giving or taking a bribe or "kickback" of any nature.
hh. Misappropriation of Club funds or failure to handle funds in accordance with Club guidelines.
ii. Iregular attendance including excessive absenteeism, tardiness and/or unexcused absences.
ij. Disloyalty to the Club, including but not limited to, slandering the Club, or acting in such a manner that could damage the Club's reputation.
kk . Unauthorized attendance at guest functions and/or in guest areas, or on the premises including golf course, dining rooms, conference rooms, private rooms, ballroom, guest restrooms or lounges. No unauthorized social contact will be permitted at any time with Club guests.
4. Discourteous or inappropriate conduct with guests.
mm . Using guest restrooms or any guest facility except when specifically required by job functions or authorized by management.
nn. Gum chewing, eating or drinking in any public area of the Club.
5. Soliciting gratuities from guests or commenting on the amount of a gratuity,
pp . Smoking, in any building or anywhere on the property other than in designated smoking areas.
१q. Intentionally destroying or damaging Club property or the property of other employees.
$\pi$ Being the subject of excessive gamishments or other actions against the payroll, which involve the Club in the possibility of legal action, as, allowed by law.
ss. Leaving work without permission.
tt. Performing activities other than Club work during working hours.
uu. Carrying unauthorized passengers during working time.
vv. Engaging in relationships with other employees which may be considered a conflict of interest or create problem of supervision, safety, security or morale.
ww. Engaging in behavior that is offensive to other employees, including distributing or displaying offensive materials,

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Employees are expected to work for the best interests of The Club at all times. Each employee has an obligation to avoid any activity, agreement, business investment or interest, or other situation which could be construed as a conflict with the Club's best interests or give the appearance of taking money, merchandise or services from a guest or vendor for personal gain (other than reasonable tips for good service when offered by a guest).

Good judgment will prevent the possibility of a conflict of interest arising. However, if you are considering any activity or transaction, which might cause the appearance of a conflict between personal and Club interests, information about that potential conflict must be disclosed in advance in writing to the General Manager.

Contact your supervisor or Human Resources if you have any questions regarding this matter.

## DUAL EMPLOYMENT

The Club full-time employees are expected to make their employment at the Club a priority over any other employment or self-employment. All employees must submit a written request to your supervisor in order to ensure that a conflict of interest will not arise. Such employees may not accept other employment or self-employment without specific prior approval of your supervisor.

## EMPLOYMENT OF RELATIVES

Relatives of employees may be hired and may be prohibited from working in the same department or with any supervisory influence over the other. Certain positions normally may not be held by relatives because of the scope of knowledge and influence involved and the potential for conflicts of interest. A relative is your spouse, or registered domestic partner, and anyone related to you or your spouse or registered domestic partner, such as parent, child, grandparent, grandchild, brother, sister, aunt, uncle, nephew or niece.

## TIME CLOCKS

Time clocks are provided for hourly employees to record the number of hours you work each day. Please check with your Supervisor to determine which clock you are to use. It is mandatory that all hourly employees punch in and out each day that they are working. You are to report to work to your Department on time when scheduled. You should punch in at least three minutes, but no more than seven minutes, before your shift is scheduled to begin. You are to punch out as soon as your shift is done.

Any employee who clocks in or out at any other time, who deliberately falsifies working time or who clocks in or out for another employee is subject to disciplinary action up to and including termination. Any supervisor who falsifies working time for an employee is subject to disciplinary action $u p$ to and including termination.

If you cannot clock in or out or there is an error due to a malfunction of the clock, notify your Superyisor immediately and he/she will make the adjustment.

You must sign your time sheet at the end of every payroll period. This verifies that you actually worked the hours punched on the clock and no others. If you do not sign your time sheet, your paycheck may be delayed that week.

Rest periods are with pay and are not recorded on the employee's time card. Meal periods are without pay and should be recorded. Under no circumstances is any hourly employee to work "off the clock". If anyone asks you to do so, please advise management immediately.

## ABSENTEEISM AND TARDINESS

Employees who do not report for work on time, or who miss all or part of a day's work, place an extra burden on their fellow employees as well as the Club, In order to avoid that unfair burden on others, we will follow these guidelines regarding absences and tardiness for exempt and nonexempt employees who have completed the Introductory Period:

1) Employees who are repeatedly tardy and/or repeatedly absent for reasons other than military, jury or approved medical, FMLA or personal leave of absence may receive disciplinary action up to and including termination. This applies regardless of whether some or most of the absences are excused.
2) In addition, a "no show, no call" to your immediate supervisor on a day you are scheduled to work, any falsification or other serious violation of your attendance obligation to your fellow employees and the Club, is grounds for disciplinary action up to and including termination.

This policy should present no problem for any employee who is making a diligent effort to maintain a good attendance record.

## CALL-IN PROCEDURES

If you find it necessary to be absent from work you MUST follow this specific reporting procedure. First, call your supervisor at the beginning of the first day of absence with a full explanation of the reason and expected duration.

Then, unless other arrangements have been made through the supervisor, call again, at or before your normal starting time, every day during the absence. Failure to call on any day will classify your absence as unexcused and will be grounds for disciplinary action up to and including termination.

If your supervisor is not available to receive your call on any day of your absence, leave a voice mail message on your supervisor's phone and try calling again during business hours You MUST take personal responsibility to notify BOTH your supervisor and Human Resources (extension 212). If Human Resources is not available to receive your call you MUST leave a message. If you find it necessary to leave work before your shift ends, you must first obtain authorization to leave from your supervisor.

The Club may request reasonable verification of your stated reason for being tardy or absent. Falsification or failure to provide requested verification is grounds for disciplinary action up to and including termination.

If you miss a scheduled shift without calling in, the Club may treat your absence as a voluntary abandonment of your job, and terminate your employment.

## OPEN DOOR POLICY

Relationships can often suffer because people fail to communicate with each other. The Club believes that work-related problems, questions, or complaints can best be resolved by frank and prompt discussion between the eroployee and management. If an employee has a work related issue which needs resolution, the employee should discuss the issue privately with his or her immediate supervisor. If the matter is personal in nature and the employee does not feel comfortable discussing the matter with his or her immediate supervisor, the employee should discuss the matter with the next level of management up to and including the President of the Club. Employees shall be able to address employment related issues with management without fear of retribution.

## UNIFORMS AND PERSONAL APPEARANCE

A. UNIFORMS

Employees who are issued a uniform are responsible for complying with the Club's uniform policy.

## B. PERSONAL APPEARANCE

Departure from conventional Club attire or personal grooming is not permitted, regardless of the nature of the job performed.
(1) Every employee has some contact with the public and therefore represents the Club in appearance as well as by actions. The properly attired employee helps to create a favorable image for the Club. Accordingly, personal appearance shall be governed by the following standards:

Good hygiene and grooming practices are required. A daily bath or shower is a necessity and all employees should report to work freshly bathed. Keep in mind you may be going from a hot kitchen to an air-conditioned restaurant. Body temperatures will change quickly and body odors are offensive. Remember, there is no substitute for good deodorant. Bad breath is also offensive and mouthwash should be used in addition to brushing teeth.

Good hygiene and grooming practices are required. Fingernails and hair must be clean and neatly trimmed at all times.

Beards and un-trimmed sideburns are not permitted. Mustaches must be neatly trimmed. Men's hair should not exceed collar length and must be conservatively
styled.

Visible body piercing is not permitted for men or women, or ear jewelry for men.
Hair must be neatly arranged and kept from covering eyes, and must be covered or restrained where required by health code.

If a nametag is issued, the employee must wear theirs at all times while on duty.
All employees are expected to report to work in clean, neatly pressed clothing, clean shoes and clean personal appearance.

Female employees who are not required to wear a uniform may wear suits, dresses, skirts or slacks with blouses or sweaters. "Mini" skirts are not permissible. Revealing clothing does not portray a professional appearance and will not be allowed.

No blue jeans or jeans of any color are permitted. Denim outfits resembling blue jeans and jean skirts are also unacceptable. No strapless or backless garments may be wom. Females must wear the proper undergarments, including a bra and a full or half-slip where necessary, depending on the sheerness of the outer garment.

Tewelry: For safety reasons, jewelry should be kept to a minimum. Acceptable jewelry for service employees is a wristwatch and a total of three cings. Female service employees are allowed to wear one pair of small earrings (no larger than a dime).

Tattoos: Exposed tattoos are not allowed on any employees.
(2) Supervisors have the responsibility to decide when an employee's appearance is unacceptable. Accommodations to this policy for religious, medical, or other personal reasons may be made at the sole discretion of management and if discussed with your Supervisor. In the event of an unacceptable appearance, the Supervisor may request that the employee return home to change or take an appropriate corrective action. The employee generally shall not be compensated for any such time away from work, and repeated violations of this Policy will be cause for disciplinary action up to and including termination.

## MISCELLANEOUS

## A. CLUBHOUSE STAFF BREAK ROOM

For the purpose of control, the break room must remain for use of Club employees only, Your department head must clear any exceptions to this rule in advance. In addition, all employees must adhere to the rules posted in the lunchroom concerning its use.

## B. LOCKERS

Those employees issued lockers are obligated to keep them clean and use them for storage of a change of clothing only. Lockers are not the areas for storage of valuables. Lockers are the property of the Club and, for security purposes, subject to inspection by management, and you have no reasonable expectation of privacy with respect to your locker. Unauthorized materials such as alcoholic beverages, weapons, Club property and illegal drugs are prohibited. The Club is not responsible for lost or stolen property.
D.

GIFTS

Gifts from guests of the Club of a value exceeding $\$ 25.00$ are not to be accepted by employees of the Club unless approved in writing by the Department Head. No employee shall accept any gift from any vendor. If you should need more information on this policy please review the conflict of interest policy in the handbook.
PERSONAL PROPERTY
No backpacks or other bags are to be brought into the Clubhouse by employees. If an employee finds it necessary to bring any bag into the clubhouse, they must leave it (at their own risk) in a locker in the employee lounge. For security purposes, employee property may be subject to a search.
F. BULLETIN BOARDS

The Club provides bulletin boards in order to keep our employees informed on a variety of subjects. Only authorized personnel are permitted to post, alter or remove anything on these boards. Unauthorized materials will be removed.

## G. SOLICITATION/COLLECTIONS/DISTRIBUTIONS

In order to avoid disruption of Club operations, employees of the Club and outsiders not employed by the Club may not solicit or distribute literature at any time for any purpose during working times in working areas. Non-employees may not solicit or distribute at any time on the premises, including any parking areas, which may be Club property.
Working time includes the working time of both the employee doing the soliciting and distributing and the employee to whom the soliciting or distributing is being directed, Working time does not include break periods, meal periods, or any other specified periods during the workday when employees are not engaged in performing their work tasks.

## i. SMOKING POLICY

The Club has established a non-smoking policy inside any and all of its buildings. The Club permits smoking in outside designated areas only. Employees who smoke in nonsmoking areas may be subject to disciplinary action up to and including termination.

## IF YOU LEAVE EMPLOXMENT

## Clearance Process

a. Clearance of desk, locker.
b. Return of all items in employee possession such as nametags, locker keys, any property keys, cell phones, radios, uniform, records, manuals, etc. to your
Department Head.
c. Settling of any outstanding accounts.

## RESIGNATION POLICY

When an employee decides to leave for any reason, his/her supervisor and Human Resources would like the opportunity to discuss the resignation before final action is taken. The Club often finds during such a conversation that another alternative may be better. If, however, after full consideration the employee decides to leave, it is requested, but not required, that the employee will provide the Club with a written two-week advance notice period (bear in mind that vacation days or personal days may not be included in the two-week notice period). Generally, the employee must work throughout the notice period. If, as sometimes happens, the employee's supervisor believes it advisable for the employee to leave prior to the end of the employee's twoweeks notice, the employee may be paid for the remainder of that period.

## POST RESIGNATION/TERMINATION PROCEDURES

In order to receive a disbursement of any amounts due from the $401(\mathrm{k})$ Retirement Plan, the employee is required to complete and sign a distribution form, which can be obtained from Human Resources. Specific information will be provided at the exit interview.

Employees may choose the continuation of medical benefits under COBRA. Human Resources will provide specific information at the exit interview.

Benefits (Life and Medical) end on the last day of the month in which the employee's last day of employment falls. An employee has the option to continue Medical Benefits in accordance with The Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act ("COBRA") and regulations.

Employees leaving the Club must return all Club property, including office keys, club credit cards, name tags, access cards, files, records, manuals, equipment, etc., on or before their last day of employment. If an employee is terminated or has given more than 72 hours notice of his/her intent to leave then the employee will be handed their last paycheck when they leave. Employees giving less than 72 hours notice will be able to pick up their paychecks the following day or request that it be mailed.

## SECURITY

## A. INFORMATION -

All financial, contract, production, and administrative records and information of the Club and guests are confidential. Each employee is responsible for making certain that information under his/her control is appropriately safeguarded. An employee will not provide or disclose office or guest information to third parties unless it has been determined that the party has a legitimate right to know and your Supervisor has approved the request.

Authorization by the General Manager must be obtained before written contact is made of any guest, client, purveyor, or vendor (current or prospective).

Any uncertainties should be cleared with the General Manager before such information is provided.

## B. CONFIDENTIALITY -

No employee shall, during the term of his/her employment or thereafter discloses to others or uses, except as authorized by the General Manager, any of the Club's confidential, technical, or other business information. "Confidential, technical or other business information" shall mean any information, including lists of the Club's vendors, which the employee has used, leamed or contributed during the course of his/her employment, regardless of whether it was written or in other tangible form that (i) is not generally available to the public; or (ii) derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to the public or to other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.

Confidentiality with respect to the personal life and/or business affairs of the Trump family is covered in detail in the "Employee Agreement of Confidentiality", Each employee is provided with a copy of this agreement and required to accept and sign it as a condition of employment.

## C. FACILITIES -

Access to non-public areas of the Club facilities will be limited to parties with a legitimate reason to be there. Employees are not permitted to have visitors on the premises unless the employee's supervisor has made special arrangements. Each employee should feel comfortable in politely confronting an unknown individual who appears suspicious or in need of direction.

An essential element of the security procedures of the Club is the key control process. As such, employees who have access to Club keys must recognize the importance of properly safeguarding the keys, which have been entrusted to them.

In the event of a breach or threatened breach by the employee of provisions $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ or C of this section, the Club shall be entitled to an injunction restraining the employee from disclosing or using, in whole or in part, such information or from rendering any services to any person, Club, corporation, association, or other entity to whom such information, in whole or in part, has been disclosed or is threatened to be disclosed. Nothing herein shall be construed as prohibiting the Club from pursuing other remedies available to the Club for such breach or threatened breach, including the recovery of damages from the employee.
D. GUEST PRIVACY -

Employees are prohibited from revealing any personal or business information about a Guest.

## E. REPORTING CRIME -

The Security Department attempts to control crime and provide a safe operation. Due to the enormity of the Club, they cannot be everywhere at once, and your help is needed. Follow these simple security measures:

1) Notify Security of any suspicious person,
2) If you are a witness to a crime, relate to security as much specific detail as possible, including a description of the individual and the nature of the crime.
F. MEDIA .

We are contacted daily by the media (television, radio, newspapers, magazines, wire services). They want to know what is happening at the Club and they want comments for their articles or newscasts. It is important that no Club employee other than the General Manager comment to the press on the Club or its guests.

## INSPECTIONS

The Club respects its employees' right to privacy. However, for security purposes, we maintain the right to inspect certain access and personal belongings.

Each employee agrees, as a condition of continued employment, to allow management to inspect employee personal property on our premises. Any package brought into or taken out of our premises may be inspected. Our premises and equipment, including Club vehicles, lockers and desks, are subject to inspection at any time. No employee has a reasonable expectation of privacy with respect to any such areas.

Refusal to cooperate in an inspection or search will be considered a violation of your terms of hire and insubordination.

## INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS

From time to time the Club may be required to conduct intemal investigations pertaining to security, auditing or work-related matters. Employees are required to cooperate fully with and assist in these investigations if requested to do so.

Whenever necessary, in Management's discretion, employees' work areas (i.e., desks, file cabinets, etc.) and personal belongings (i.e., brief cases, handbags, etc.) may be subject to a search for security purposes. Employees are required to cooperate.

Management will generally try to obtain an employee's consent before conducting a search of work areas or personal belongings, but may not always be able to do so,

## USE OF CLUB'S COMPUTER SYSTEM AND SOFTWARE PROGRAMS

## A. GENERAL

The Club has invested a large sum of money in its computer system and software programs. The information in the computer system represents much work by many employees and is an important component of the Club. In order to protect this valuable information, no employee is allowed to bring to work any computer games, hardware, programs or software which is not licensed and registered under the Club name, or install or otherwise use any such items unless they have been approved in advance, in writing, by the General Manager. The reason for this is simple. Outside programs may contain viruses, which can disrupt or destroy our computer system. Even if you are sure that the program you bring does not contain a virus, and that it would not damage our computer system, you are not to use any outside program without written advance approval of the General Manager. If software programs are not properly registered, there may be criminal and civil penalties for their use.

In addition, the Club has noted that some computer programs also contain games or programs, which are not related to the work of the Club. These programs are not to be played by any employee at any time during the workday. Only computer programs that are directly related to the work of the Club are to be used on Club computers.

The Club has access to the Internet. The Internet may be accessed only for authorized work purposes. There is to be no "surfing" by any employee at any time.
The Club strictly prohibits the use of its computer system to disseminate any material in violation of the Club's policy against harassment, or to access non-work related web pages, sites or material.

No employee is authorized to download or remove any information from the Club's computex system without the express advance permission of the appropriate supervisor. (See the Club's policy on security.)

## B. VOICE MAIL

The Club utilizes a voice mail system, which allows callers and employees to leave voice messages. The Club reserves the right to monitor and review all messages left on the voice mail system at any time. All voice messages should be confined to business or work-related matters.

## C. TELEPHONES

Telephone Calls - Personal calls are prohibited, except in an emergency, since it interferes with the flow of business. Employees on duty are not allowed to use the public phones in the lobbies or any guest areas. A phone is furnished inside the staff break room for employee use during breaks or lunch. Only management staff is permitted to use cell phones while on duty..

## D. RIGHT TO INSPECT

No employee is to have an expectation of privacy with regard to any voice mail messages, email or computer files, and the Club has the right to monitor and review these electronic and computer systems at any time.

## SAFETY AND HEALTH

The Club's goal is to provide a safe and healthy environment for employees. Each employee is expected to comply with all safety and health requirements whether established by management or by federal, state or local law.
"Legal drugs" are those prescribed by a physician or over-the-counter drugs, which are legally obtained by the employee and used for the purpose for which they were prescribed and sold, Even such legal drugs may affect the safety of the employee or co-workers or members of the public. Therefore, any employee who is taking any legal drug which might impair safety, performance, or any motor functions, must advise his or her supervisor before reporting to work under such medication. If the Club determines that such use does not pose any safety or customer service risk, the employee will be permitted to work.

The Club does not expect any employee to take unnecessary risks. Study the job assigned to you and learn the safety rules of your department. In everything you do, make sure that you have observed every reasonable safety precaution.

Most accidents are simple and common, such as slips, falls, cuts, burns, sprains and strains. They are easy to prevent. Observation of the following general rules will prevent many employee accidents:

1. Report all injuries at once to your supervisor.
2. If you are not sure how to do a job safely, ask your department head.
3. Horseplay and practical jokes are prohibited.
4. Put equipment away after use. Don't block passages.
5. Broken chairs, loose and worn carpeting, missing lights and other equipment needing maintenance may cause accidents. Report these along with any other safety hazard at once to your department head.
6. Wear the right clothing for the job.
7. Show other employees the safe way to do the job.
8. If the load seems too heavy to lift safely, get help. When lifting any load, follow the safe lifting practice listed below:
a. Be sure you can handle it alone. If not get help.
b. Face the load squarely.
c. Secure a firm footing with your feet properly spread.
d. Bend your knees.
e. Get a grip on the load.
f. Keep a straight back and lift by straightening your legs gradually not
suddenly.
g. Keep the load close to your body,
h. Don't twist your body.
9. Do not carry a load so that it will block your vision. Make sure you can see where you are going.
10. Avoid slippery, wet or greasy floors. Clean up wet or greasy spots as they occur.
11. Use a ladder to reach things that are up high. See that the ladder is firmly placed, While on the ladder, don't reach too high or too far to one side.
12. Place broken glasses, dishes, etc., in special receptacles designated for this purpose.
13. Do not operate equipment unless properly trained and specifically instructed to do so by your supervisor.

All accidents occurring on the Club's premises or to Club employees, regardless of whether they result in an injury, must be reported immediately to your supervisor. The Club is required to report all work-related injuries as soon as possible. If you are too seriously injured to describe the facts surrounding the accident, it is the responsibility of the supervisor to supply the information to Human Resources.

We all do the best job we can to prevent accidents and injuries at home and at work. If you spot a safety hazard on the job, report it to your supervisor immediately. Workers' Compensation is provided by the Club to pay an employee partial compensation if he or she loses time due to a work-related accident.

If a guest has an accident, you should see that he or she is comfortable and then immediately notify your supervisor, department head, General Manager and the Security Department. Any property damage due to accidents should be reported in the same manner.

## WORKERS' COMPENSATION

The Club is covered under statutory state Workers' Compensation Laws. Should you sustain a work-related injury, you must immediately notify your department supervisor and Human

Resources. Should your injury require the attention of a doctor, you should go to the nearest clinic designated by our Workers' Compensation Insurance Carrier.

## EAZARD COMMUNICATION-RIGHT TO KNOW PROGRAM

The Occupational Safety Hazard Administration (OSHA) requires all employees be provided with information of all potentially hazardous substances used in the course of our daily business.

The location of these documents (Material Safety Data Sheets) is in the office of the Golf Superintendent.

## EMERGENCY PROCEDURES:

Adhere to the following procedures in emergency situations:

## FIRST AID

Call 911, the emergency phone number, if required. If an accident or illness should occur, no matter how slight, notify your manager or supervisor immediately so that appropriate medioal treatment can be administered. With the number of reported AIDS cases continuing to rise, it is imperative that employees take extreme care in case of an accident, both on and off the job. The transfer of any body fluid (blood, saliva, urine, etc.) may pass on the AIDS virus. Use caution to avoid contact with these body fluids. Each first aid kit contains plastic gloves, please be sure to use them. If this is not possible, use a strong disinfectant, such as Lysol or liquid bleach, to clean up afterwards. On the job injuries will be handled in accordance with the Workers' Compensation laws. Any employee who is injured while on the job must notify the personnel department immediately to be eligible for coverage provided under the Workers' Compensation Act. As part of our Drug Free Work Place, you may also be required to submit to drug testing if you are injured on the job.
A. FIRE - In case of fire or smoke; STAY CALM

1. Pull fire alarm
2. Dial 911 and give location of fire, remain on the phone to give additional information as required.
3. When the fire department arrives, direct them to the location.
4. Remember, most people die from smoke and poisonous gases. Stay low to the ground if there is any smoke at all. If you encounter smoke or fire at lower levels, turn around and walk up to clearer air, or use another exit.
5. Be certain to follow instructions of the fire department in order to ensure the safety of all guests and employees.

You all know your own work areas, and are usually aware of any unfarniliar items. A bomb may be concealed in a variety of items such as a length of pipe, small parcel, and suitcase or paper bag. When a suspicious item is discovered, DO NOT TOUCH and report immediately to your manager or security.
C. GUEST ILLNESS

1. Find a manager and report the situation.
2. If no manager is available find another employee to stay with the ill guest. Find a phone and dial 911 and describe the situation and your location. Remain on the phone to give additional information as required until the emergency operator advises you otherwise. .
3. When the paramedics arrive, direct them to the location.

The most important thing to remember in an emergency is

## DO NOT PANIC!

## EMPPLOYEE BENEFITS AND PROGRAMS

As a regular full-time or partial full-time employee you will enjoy an excellent benefits package, which is designed to help protect your health, and plan for your future such as:

- Group Medical
a Dental Insurance (optional and paid for in its entirety by the employee)
- Group Life Insurance
- $401(\mathrm{k})$ - After one full year of continuous employment
- Vacation (regular full-time only)
- Sick Time (regular full-time only)

For information relating to the cost of such benefits, eligibility requirements, etc. please contact Human Resources.

The Club reserves the right to change or cancel any or all of these benefits at any time with
or without notification except as required by law.

## GROUP MEDICAL AND DENTAL COVERAGE

As a regular full-time or partial full-time employee, you will be eligible for medical and dental coverage under our group insurance plans. You are eligible for coverage the first of the month
following 90 days of employment. The details of this plan will be provided to you when you become eligible.

Part-time employees who become full-time employees are eligible for group medical insurance coverage the first of the month following 30 days of transfer to full-time employment.

## COBRA

The Federal Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA) gives employees and their qualified beneficiaries the opportunity to continue health insurance coverage under the Club's health plan when a qualifying event would normally result in the loss of eligibility. Some common qualifying events are resignation, termination of employment, or death of an employee; a reduction in an employee's hours or a leave of absence; an employee's divorce or legal separation; and dependent child no longer meeting eligibility requirements. The Club provides each eligible employee with a written notice describing rights granted under COBRA when the employee becomes eligible for coverage under The Club's health insurance plan. The notice contains important information about the employee's rights and obligations.

## HIPPA

HIPAA Special Enrollment (Federal Register Section 54.9801-6T) - The special enrollment rights apply without regard to the dates on which an individual would otherwise be able to enroll under the plan. Special Enrollment periods are to apply to you and/or your spouse and/or your child/ren if you have a new dependent as a result of marriage, birth, adoption or the placement for adoption. Under these rules, a group health plan is required to provide a special enrollment. period for these individuals should they request enrollment within 30 days after a special enrollment event has occurred.

HIPAA Special Enrollment for Declining Enrollment - If you are declining enrollment for yourself or your dependents (including your spouse) and you state in writing that you and/or your dependents have coverage under another group health plan or health insurance coverage as the reason for declining to enroll, then special enrollment-rules may apply to you and/or your spouse and/or your child/ren in the event you and/or your dependents have lost this other coverage due to the loss of eligibility.

HIPAA Annual Open Enrollment - Your plan may offer an Annual Open Enrollment giving you the opportunity to enroll yourself and/or your dependents if you have previously declined/waived coverage for you and/or dependents. Request additional information and forms the Human Resource Department.

## SECTION 125 PLAN

For the benefit of our employees, we have in place a Section 125 Plan. This allows employees who contribute toward the cost of their health insurance to pay on a pre-tax basis. This has the potential to reduce actual out-of-pocket costs by $20 \%$ or more, depending on your particular tax bracket, Election forms for the 125 Plan are available every plan year renewal, and you must maintain this election for one full year, There are certain changes in family circumstances that
the IRS will consider as valid reasons to make mid-year plan changes. You may request additional information on plans eligible for pre-tax contributions from the Human Resource Department.

## GROUP LIFE INSURANCE

The Club offers regular full-time and partial full-time employees an employer-paid basic group term life policy. This becomes effective the first of the month following 90 days of employment,

Part-time employees who become full time employees are eligible for group life insurance coverage the first of the month following 90 days of transfer to full-time employment.

## 401(k) RETIREMENT SAVINGS PLAN

The Club offers its employees the opportunity to save funds toward retirement on a tax-deferred basis. Eligible employees may participate at the beginning of the month following one year of employment with Trump National Golf Club, completing a minimum of 1,000 hours of work and be at least twenty-one (21) years of age. The Club will make matching contributions in an amount equal to $40 \%$ of your compensation contributed to the Plan but subject to a maximum of $7 \%$ of compensation. Employer matching contributions must be made within prescribed legal time limits and are subject to the vesting provisions of the Plan.

## ERISA

As a participant of The Club's plan, you are entitled to certain rights and protections under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). ERISA provides that all plan members shall be entitled to: Examine all plan documents, at the plan administrator's office, without charge. This includes insurance contracts and copies of all documents filed by the plan with the U.S. Department of Labor. Examples of this include detailed annual reports and plan descriptions. You may obtain copies of all plan documents and other plan information upon written request to the plan administrator. The administrator may make a reasonable charge for the copies. You may receive a summary of the plan's financial report. The plan administrator is required by law to furnish each member with a copy of this summary annual report. In addition to creating rights for plan members, ERISA imposes duties upon the people who are responsible for the operation of the employee benefit plan. The people who operate your plan, called "fiduciaries" of the plan, have a duty to do so prudently and in the interest of you and other plan members and beneficiaries. No one, including your employer, may terminate you or otherwise discriminate against you in any way to prevent you from obtaining a welfare benefit or exercising your rights under ERISA. If your claim for a welfare benefit is denied in whole or in part, you must receive a written explanation of the reason for denial. You have a right to have the plan reviewed and your claim reconsidered.

If you have any questions about your Plan, you should contact the Plan Administrator. If you have any questions about this statement or about your rights under ERISA, you should contact the nearest Area Office of the U.S. Labor-Management Services Administration, Department of
Labor.

## VACATION POLICY

After a waiting period of six (6) months, Regular full-time employees begin to accrue vacation. No vacation is accrued by any employee during the first six (6) months of employment.

After the first six (6) months of employment and up until one (1) year of employment, eligible employees will accrue paid vacation at the rate of 3.08 hours for each pay period in which the employee worked or is paid.

Beginning in year two (2) of employment, eligible employees will earn two weeks of vacation ( 80 hours) accrued at the rate of 3.08 hours for each pay period in which the employee worked or is paid. This will continue until the completion of five (5) years of continuous employment.

Beginning in year six (6) of employment, eligible employees will earn three weeks of vacation ( 120 hours) accrued at the rate of 4.62 hours for each pay period in which the employee worked or is paid.

| Summary Years of Service Completed |  | Vacation Days |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Fay Period Accrual Rate |  |  |  |
| First year |  | 5 days per year | 3.08 |
| Two (2) - Five (5) years |  | 10 days per year | 3.08 |
| Six (6) and more years | 15 days per year | 4.62 |  |

EXAMPLE:
Employee is hired August 1,2000
Beginning February 1, 2001, the employee begins to earn 3.08 hours of vacation time for each pay period in which the employee worked or was paid.
From February 1, 2001 to December 31, 2001, the employee will have earned nine days of yacation for calendar year 2001.

Vacation request forms signed by the employee, approved by the Supervisor and General Manager must be submitted, to Human Resources prior to leaving for vacation and will be paid on that period's regularly scheduled payday.

Eligible employees are not permitted to use accrued yacation until they have completed one year of employment.

Employees are encouraged to take all of their vacation in the year that it is earned. Employees who do not use all of their accrued vacation during the accrual period will be permitted to carry forward their vacation, subject to the following accrual cap: an employee may accrue a maximum of 1.5 times the employee's annual accrual or twenty (20) days of vacation, whichever is greater. If an employee reaches the maximum vacation accrual cap, vacation accruals will cease until such time as the employee takes sufficient vacation to fall below the cap.

Partial full-time, regular part-time and temporary employees are not eligible to earn vacation pay.

The Club may schedule vacation at certain times because of seasonal or other operational reasons, but will try to accommodate employee requests. Vacation requests are to be submitted to your immediate supervisor as early as possible but at least one (1) month prior to the desired vacation date, and are subject to approval of your Department Head. An effort will be made to schedule vacations to meet employee requests as long as it does not interfere with the operational needs of the Club.

If vacation requests are in conflict between two or more employees within a department, the priority will be based on seniority so long as the senior employee's request was received in a timely manner and before the other employee's vacation request was approved. Otherwise, the earliest request will normally be honored.

No payments in lieu of time off are permitted unless agreed to by the General Manager.

## SICK TIME POLICY

Each regular full-time employee begins eaming sick leave benefits at the begiming of their employment at the rate of $1 / 2$ day for each month worked (accrues at 1.846 per pay period). However, the employee may not begin using this benefit until their six-month anniversary date. Employees may accrue up to 6 days sick leave in a year. Sick leave accrual ceases at a maximum of 6 days.

Employees may use sick leave benefits only for a bona fide illness, injury, or medical inability to work or to attend the illness of a child, spouse, or registered domestic partner of the employee. ALL employees exempt and non-exempt must follow the proper call-in procedures and submit a sick pay request form in order to receive paid sick leave. If you are absent due to illness, ${ }_{2}$ medical evidence of your illness and/or medical certification of your fitness to return to work satisfactory to the company may be required before sick pay will be given. If there is reason to believe that sick leave has been misused, sick pay may not be awarded, The Company does not provide pay in lieu of unused sick leave, upon termination or otherwise.
EXAMPLE:
Employee is hired February 15, 2001
After July 15, 2001 (completion of 6 months of employment) the employee will be eligible to use his or her sick time

Employee is out sick on August 17, 2001
Employee is eligible to use one day of the employee's total of three and one half (3-1/2 days) of sick time

You may also use up to two (2) of your six (6) days for certain other personal reasons, but approval from your Manager must be obtained in advance.

Partial full-time, regular part-time and temporary employees are not eligible to earn sick leave benefits.

## HOLIDAYS AND HOLIDAY PAY

An important part of the employee benefit program is paid holidays for employees, Only partial full-time and regular full-time employees are eligible for holiday pay:

The Club recognizes 7 days during the year as Club Holidays. To be eligible to receive these Holidays as paid holidays, you must complete 90 days of continuous employment.

## New Years Day

Martin Luther King Day - Floating Holiday - Subject to substitution by your Manager Memorial Day
July 4th
Labor Day
Thanksgiving Day
Christmas Day
The following conditions apply to the payment of holiday pay:

1. If you are scheduled to work on a holiday, you are paid for the holiday in addition to the hours worked unless the supervisor designates another date.
2. If you are not scheduled to work on the holiday, you receive eight hours pay at straight time for the day.
3. You must work your regularly scheduled hours on the day before and the day after the holiday in order to be paid for the holiday. If you are sick the day before or the day after the holiday, you are not entitled to holiday pay. The only exception is if you are on vacation. In this case, you receive holiday pay for the holiday and it will not count as a vacation day.
4. You are not paid for the holiday if you do not report to work on the holiday if you are scheduled to do so.
5. Exempt employees are not paid additionally for the holidays, but receive their normal pay for the day, whether they are asked to work on the holiday, or not. Because the Club is generally open on holidays, the Club may require certain employees to work on a designated holiday. Under those circurnstances, the Club may designate an alternative day off, which must be taken within 30 days. The immediate supervisor is responsible for electing alternate dates for holidays. The Club retains the sole discretion to determine who must work the holiday and whether an alternative day off is granted.

## BEREAVEMENT LEAVE

In the event of a death in an employee's immediate family, the Club will allow a maximum of three (3) days off with pay for funeral related leave. Immediate family includes spouse,
registered domestic partner, child, mother, father, brother, sister, grandparent, grandchild, aunt, uncle, daughter-in-law, son-in-law, mother-in-law, father-in-law, stepchild, and stepparent

When a death occurs, notify your supervisor at once with all of the details, including the farnily member who died and where the funeral will take place. The supervisor should notify Hurnan Resources of the reason and length of the employee's absence.

## JURY DUTY

An employee summoned for jury duty will be compensated in the following manner only if he/she notifies his/her supervisor and provides him/her with a copy of the summons.

During the jury leave, the employee will be paid the difference between jury compensation and the employee's regular pay for up to three days. You must report to work on any day in which you are excused from jury service at a time when there are three (3) or more hours remaining in your daily scheduled shift.

NOTE: If the jury duty falls at a time when the employee cannot be away from work, the court may allow the employee to choose a more convenient time to serve if he/she makes a request in accordance with the court's procedure.

## MILITARY LEAVE

An employee who is a member of the United States Army, Navy, Air Force, Marines, Coast Guard, a member of the National Guard, a member of the Reserves or Public Health Service will, where a specified period of active or reserve duty is mandatory, be granted an unpaid leave of absence in accordance with applicable law.

Upon receipt of orders for active or reserve duty, the employee should notify his/her supervisor, as well as Human Resources immediately and he/she must submit a copy of his/her orders to his/her supervisor and Human Resources.

## PREGNANCY RELATED LEAVE

In accordance with the requirements of state and federal law, an employee affected by pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical condition may take an unpaid leave of absence for the period during which the employee is disabled on account of pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions as defined by a physician not to exceed four (4) months. Additionally, if the healthcare provider certifies a transfer to lighter duty, the Club will attempt to provide light duty if possible. Upon return from the leave of absence, the employee will be returned to her same position; however, in certain circumstances, a reinstatement may be impossible. Such circumstances may include being in a layoff situation, a plant closure, or if the employee's absence would substantially undermine the Club's ability to operate the business safely or efficiently. The employee may utilize any accrued vacation leave or sick leave during this period of time. An employee will not be entitled to holiday pay and will not accrue additional vacation or sick time when on a maternity leave of absence.

An employee who qualifies for leave on the basis of pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical condition may, if eligible, combine such leave with family medical leave, for total leave of up to seven (7) months. However, if an employee combines such leave, the Club will continue to pay for the employee's medical coverage only during the period of qualified family medical leave (a maximum period of twelve (12) weeks).

## FAMLLY MEDICAL AND PERSONAL LEAVE OF ABSENCE

In accordance with the terms of the federal Family and Medical Leave Act and the California Family Rights Leave Act (collectively referred to as "FML"), the Club will provide an eligible employee with up to twelve (12) work weeks of unpaid leave in a twelve (12) month period (1) for the birth of a child of the employee or the placement of a child for adoption or foster care; (2) to care for the spouse, registered domestic partner, child, or parent of the employee who has a serious health condition; or (3) because of a serious health condition that makes the employee unable to perform the functions of his or her job.

The relevant 12 -month period during which FML may be taken will be calculated for each employee individually based on a "rolling" 12 -month period measured backward from the date the employee first uses leave under the FML.

Employees are eligible for FML if they have worked for the Club for at least one (1) year and a minimum of 1250 hours in the 12 months preceding the leave and if they are employed at a work site where 50 or more employees are employed by the Club within 75 miles of that work site.

If an employee qualifies for FML, the Club will continue to pay for the employee's medical coverage during the leave on the same terms and conditions as if the employee had continued to be employed. The employee will continue to be responsible for co-payments, dependent coverage payments, or other payments, which are the responsibility of the employee, and must make arrangements for such payment with Human Resources. Employee's portion of benefit premiums will be due the first of the month to Human Resources. The employee may be required to pay the Club back for the amount of the medical coverage payments if the employee does not return to his/her job after expiration of the leave.

If an employee qualifies for FML, the employee will be reinstated to his/her former position (or an equivalent position with equivalent pay or benefits) upon return from leave provided that such job would have been available had the employee not taken the leave certain "key" highly compensated, salaried personnel may be denied reinstatement if reemployment will cause the Club substantial and grievous economic injury.

Benefit accruals (e.g. vacation, sick leave, holiday benefits) will be suspended during FML and will resume upon return to active employment.

When the leave is "foreseeable", the employee must provide thirty (30) days advance notice. If thirty (30) days notice is not practical, verbal notification is required within one to two business days.

The Club may require a certification from a health care provider supporting the reasons for the leave, and may require a second or third opinion.

Provided that the leave of absence does not extend beyond the maximum period allowed, the Club will reinstate an employee to the same or similar position as required by law. In order to protect his/her re-employment rights, the employee must keep the Club informed of his/her expected date of return to work on a biweekly basis. An employee who fails to keep the Club apprised of his or her expected date of return, or who fails to return from a leave of absence on the expected date of return, will be considered as having resigned voluntarily from employment,
If it is necessary to fill the employee's position, the Club will attempt to find a comparable position with the Club when he or she is able to return to work.

All requests for leave of absences will fall into the guidelines of the Family Medical Leave Act. A complete copy of the FMLA is available in Human Resources.

Nothing in this section shall be construed to give an employee greater rights than are conferred by the federal Family and Medical Leave Act or the CaJifornia Family Rights Leave Act.

## PERSONNEL RECORDS

The Club maintains personnel records, the accuracy of which is very important. If the information in your personnel records is incorrect, a problem may arise concerning payroll deductions, employee benefits, employee verification or other important matters. You must notify Human Resources immediately whenever there is a change in your employee information, including home address, telephone number, marital status, name change, employment benefil beneficiaries, number of dependents, or emergency contact information.

## REDUCTION IN WORK FORCE

It may be necessary to lay off employees for reasons such as loss of business, lack of work, consolidation of jobs, elimination of certain jobs or a part of our business, or any other business reason. Such layoffs are permanent unless stated in writing to be temporary. The Club will select the person or persons to be laid off based on its judgment of needs and skills. No laid-off employee has any right to be recalled.

## PARKING

Employees are required to observe the Club's parking policy, which designates certain areas for employee parking. Violation of the parking policy is grounds for disciplinary action.

The Club is not responsible for damage to you personal auto when you are using it for Company business. The Club will reimburse the employee based on actual miles driven times the rate established by the Club or IRS, whichever is greater, which compensates you for gasoline mileage, wear and tear, and insurance costs associated with the business use of the vehicle.

## MANAGEMENT RIGHTS CLAUSE

For the efficient and smooth operation of the Club, management reserves the right to schedule work and hours, manage the business, and direct the work force as necessary.

In the event of staffing problems, employees may be temporarily transferred to other departments or given additional job responsibilities. Employees are expected to accept these alternate assignments and responsibilities.

## IN CLOSTNG

This Employee Handbook has only briefly described some of the policies, benefits and procedures of the Club. If an employee has any questions, they should approach their supervisor for assistance.

Because conditions change and future work situations are unpredictable, management reserves the right to add, delete, modify or change the contents of this handbook, except for the "at-will" policy. Any future revisions to the statements contained in this booklet will be distributed to all employees as soon as reasonably possible.

The policies and procedures set forth in this employee handbook supersede any prior policies and/or procedures established to the contrary.

## EMPLOYEE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

INSTRUCTIONS: PLEASE READ THIS EMPLOYEE HANDBOOK CAREFULLY. IF YOU DO NOT UNDBRSTAND ANY PORTION OF IT, ASK FOR AN EXPLANATION FROM YOUR MANAGER OR HUMAN RESOURCES. RETURN THIS PAGE WITH YOUR SIGNATURE TO HUMAN RESOURCES IMMEDIATELY AFTER YOU HAVE RECEIVED THS HANDBOOK. PLEASE KEEP THE HANDBOOK FOR FUTURE REFERENCE.
I received the Employee Handbook of Trump National Golf Club (the Club) on the date indicated below. I will carefully read the policies, procedures, and other information contained in the Handbook and will ask Human Resources for an explanation if I do not fully understand them. I agree as a condition of my employment to comply with the policies and procedures which exist now or which may come into existence in the future. I understand that if I do not comply with the policies and procedures, I may be subject to disciplinary up to and including termination.

The Club reserves the right to modify or terminate any policies or procedures, in whole or in part, at any time, with or without notice, with the exception of the at-will policy. I understand that only the General Manager is authorized to set policy with respect to my employment. The language used in this Handbook is not intended to create, nor is it to be construed to constitute, a contract between the Club and any one or all of its employees.

Signature:
Print Name:

## AT-WILL ENPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

The parties hereto agree and understand that there is no agreement by Employer to employ Employee for any definite period of employment, that Employer has employed Employee as an "at-will" employee, and that the employment relationship between the parties is based on the mutual consent of each party. There is no agreement express or implied between the Club and me for continuing or long-term employment. Accordingly, either of the parties hereto may terminate this relationship for any reason, at any time, with or without cause or warning and with or without notice. In addition, the Employer retains the right to alter Employee's compensation, benefits, title, and/or duties at any time, with or without cause. This employment at-will policy can only be altered, changed or modified putsuant to a written agreement between Employee and the Employer signed by Donald J. Trump, which must identify this Agreement and specify such alteration, change or modification. Except as provided above, this employment at-will policy cannot be altered, changed or modified in any manner whatsoever by any other writing, oral agreement, implied agreement, or past, current or future policy, agreement, action, practice, course of conduct, manual, transfer, promotion, commendation, award, writing, representation or otherwise. Any subsequent change in the Employee's titte or compensation will not alter or modify the at-will employment policy described above.

This Agreement contains the entire agreement between Employer and Employee and supersedes all negotiations, proposed agreements, prior representations or commitments, policies, practices, manuals, procedures, writings, representations or othervise concerning the subject matters of this Agreement. Notwithstanding the above, nothing herein supersedes or invalidates any written confidentiality agreements between Employer and employee, all of which shall remain in full force and effect. Employee acknowledges that he or she has read and fully understands and agrees to all of the terms of this Agreement.

## EMPLOYER

EMPLOYEE

$$
\mathrm{By} ;
$$

$\qquad$
Its: $\qquad$

| Signature |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Print Name |  |
| Dated: |  |

your manager, please contact either one of the following Company Compliance Officers: Human Resources, General Manager, or Controller, or a senior level manager with whom you feel comfortable. You will not be penalized in any way for reporting harassment, either to yourself or another person. Do not assume the Club is aware of your problem. Complaints and concerns about harassment cannot be addressed unless they are brought to the attention of management.

Employees who feel they have not received satisfaction after utilizing this procedure may contact the Department of Fair Employment and Housing or the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and seek remedies through these agencies. These agencies are listed in the white pages of the telephone book.

By my signature below, I acknowledge that I have read the above sexual harassment policy and will comply with all of the provisions.

Employee Signature: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

Exhibit 6


Golf Chute at Palau Verite

## Menioramaum

To:
Clubhouse Hourly Employees

| From: | Ewa Hyjek |
| :--- | :--- |
| Company: | Ocean Trails Golf Club |
| Phone: | $310-303-3201$ |
| Fax: | $310-265-5522$ |
| Subject: | Employee Meals |

## ON DUTY EMPLOYEE MEAL POLICY

- All food and beverages consumption by employees must take place in the employee lounge or the kitchen loading dock. Office employees may also eat in their offices if they wish. Other areas, including the loggia, valet stand, storage rooms, hallways, serving stations, kitchen, bar, Pro Shop, Peninsula Grille, Cafe Pacific, Z Deli, Board Room, Ballroom and any other areas used by or visible to our guests are not to be used as employee eating areas.
- While in uniform, even during lunch or rest break, employees have to stay in their job function roles when in guest areas of the clubhouse or in contact with guests. Eating in public areas of the clubhouse while in uniform (even off duty) is not permitted.
- Employees are to eat only during break and lunch, eating at other times is not permissible.
- The employee meals from the kitchen and the employee price meals from the restaurants are for on duty employees only; no vendors, contractors, subcontractors, friends or family are to use this employee benefit.
- There is a small refrigerator and a microwave in the lounge for those who wish to bring in their food and drinks.
- All dirty dishes are to be retumed to scullery area of the kitchen. Managers and supervisors need to ensure that their staff is cleaning up after themselves.
Departments determined to be violating procedures will lose their employee meal privileges.


## Kitchen

- Effective January 6, 2003, Monday through Saturday, from 10:30 am to 10:45 am and from $4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ to $4: 45 \mathrm{pm}$ on-duty staff who work in the clubhouse may obtain from the kitchen, free of charge, employee meals. (Please note that the soup from the soup well and other items on the line are not a part of the employee meal.) After serving themselves, employees are to use the elevator or exit the kitchen through the back door and use the stairway to reach the employee lounge.

Don-kitchen staff may not go into other areas of the kitchen, unless their presence in the kitchen is necessary to the performance of their duties.

## The Dell

- A small employee price ment will be available from the Deli starting at 10:00 am until the Deli closes.
- No phone orders into the Deli. The Deli is not staffed at a level to handle phone orders.
- Avoid using the Deli at busy times. The Deli staff is to always focus on providing service to guests. Be patient if your order takes longer to prepare.
a At this time, reasonable requests for soda from the tap and coffee will remain available and free of charge to employees on duty. No other beverages are free of charge. Some employees have abused the Deli beverage privilege by repetitious requests for large numbers of drinks. If that continues the Deli service to employees will be.discontinued.
- There is no self service for anyone in the Deli. Only Deli employees on duty and managers are allowed in the Deli. Absolutely no other visitors are to go into the Deli.
- All purchases are to be paid in cash at time of purchase.


## Cafe Pacific

- The $50 \%$ off employee discount is no longer available.
- There will be a limited Café Pacific employee price menu available only from 8:00 pm until the kitchen closes.
- Café Pacific employee price menu meals and beverages are not for take out, and can only be purchased by on-duty staff as a shift meal.
- Full pay guests will always be served first,
- All purchases are to be paid in cash at time of purchase.


## ***NEW*** Off Duty Employee Eating Policy

We are implementing a new off-duty employee eating employee that mirrors the policies of the other Trump properties.

- Employees coming to Ocean Trails restaurants as guests during their time off from work need to obtain written permission from their manager and will be treated in the same manner as any other guests visiting Ocean Trails. Blank permission forms are ayailable from the Human Resources.
- Employee menu pricing is not available to off duty employees who are permitted to come to Ocean Trails as guests but a 20\% discount on food (no alcohol) will be given on the employee's meal only.

Violations of the above mentioned policies will result in disciplinary actions.

# WELCOME TO TRUMP NATIONAL GOLF CLUB! HOSTESS INTRODUCTION 

"Good evening, and welcome to Trump National Golf Club!"

Those words will be the very first thing every guest hears as they walk through our front door. You will make the first and last impression on every single patron who decides to join us for whatever reason. Golfers, restaurant patrons, brides, grooms, retiree's, perspective clients, even Mr. Trump himself. We welcome them all to our "home". The same enthusiasm, energy, and warmth you use when you greet someone at your home should be the cornerstone of your greeting here at Trump National.

Those first few moments with our guests are absolutely crucial for setting the tone for their experience, whether they are playing golf, having lunch, attending a wedding reception, or buying a hat in the Pro Shop. Everyone should be treated with equal respect and dignity. Even if someone comes in just to ask a question, your greeting and response should exude elegance, confidence, and kindness. Even just a bright smile as you are greeting guests goes a long way in making first impressions stick.

Our professionalism begins with a friendly greeting, but this is just the beginning. Our knowledge of the facility and the events surrounding it are imperative. ALL questions pertaining to the golf course, pro shop, restaurants, public events, EVERYTHING on our property and under our roof, you must be able to answer quickly and precisely. Any questions that you may need assistance with demands that you know which manager to ask to get promptest response. The more information you know about Trump National Golf Club, the better service we can provide to our guests. Become a Trump National "Ambassador".

You are also making first impressions when you answer the phone. Many people will make a judgment of the facility based on your phone etiquette. You should treat all questions, inquiries, and those seeking reservations with equal importance. You never know the intentions of a caller, so weigh each phone call equally. It may be a bride willing to spend $\$ 100,000$ on a wedding, or an executive looking for a place to hold his yearly golf outing. Before they reach a Catering Manager or The Head Golf Professional, they are making their first impressions through you.

Also, you are directly responsible for taking reservations and seating guests as they arrive. Taking phone reservations requires accuracy and organization. Not only are we gathering information for dinner guests, but also such events as our High Tea Luncheons, our Wine Dinners and Wine Tastings, and special holiday events such as Easter, Mother's Day and Christmas. It is important to copy down all information accurately and with a friendly tone. As these guests arrive, you will escort them with the proper menu to their table or event room. It is important to give each server section an equal share of tables, preventing a server from becoming overwhelmed with too many tables at once. The smoothness of our restaurant operation partly depends on your ability to distribute customers equally out on the restaurant floor.

Your appearance, attitude, and performance must match the standard set at this world-class facility. The elegance of Trump National Goif Club must be part of the way you dress, act, and respond to all situations. We will provide you with the knowledge and the tools to perform
your job to the best of your ability. Through our customer service workshops and the training we provide, we will instill confidence and pride that you can carry with you every day on the job. We want you to acquire a sense of ownership, treating this facility and your job as your own. Remember; elegance, confidence, and professionalism. Those are the standards here at Trump National. Good Luck!

## HOST STAND RULES OF ETTIQUETTE

1. Please be aware that the Host Stand, located inside the front doors of the clubhouse, is visible to all who walk in. The Host Stand should be neat and organized at all times. Try to visualize what the guest see first sees when they walk through the door. Treat this area as you would treat your desk or your office. Professionals stay neat and organized,
2. While at the hostess stand, it is important to be aware of what you say and do, You are visible from the Pro Shop, the Golfer's Lounge, and from above at Trump's. Always act in a calm, relaxed manner. Professionals remain calm in any situation. Also, remember that sound carries. The Hostess Stand is in the front foyer, which is very bright acoustically. Even in hushed tones, people in The Golfers Lounge, Café Pacific, the Pro Shop, and upstairs at Trumps can hear you. Professionals watch their language, and never raise their voices.
3. Personal conversations that include private matters regarding the employee network or the facility should never be discussed, especially in front of customers. Keep your complaints to yourself while at work. Never should any complaint or grievance be made known in public. If you have a problem, find your manager and discuss it in private. Professionals air their grievances in private.
4. At no time should there be any food or beverages at the Hostess Stand. Anytime you need a drink or something to eat, do so in the properly designated areas, during specified breaks. Professionals who work in plain view do not eat in their work area.
5. Cell phones are to be in your purse and set to a quiet tone or on vibrate. A guest should never hear a private conversation you are having on your cell phone or the house phone. If there is a specific reason you need to receive a phone call during work hours (i.e. an emergency situation you need to keep appraised of) tell a manager. Personal phone calls made during work hours, from a cell phone or a house phone is prohibited except in an
emergency,
6. Any personal items such as magazines, makeup, newspapers, or personal reading material should not be present near the Hostess Stand. If you have down time, be pro-active. Confirm reservations, ask a manager or co-worker if you may assist them in some manner, but keep busy.
7. The Hostess Stand is your domain. Treat it like your office. Your presence is absolutely required at all times. If you need to leave for any reason, make sure someone (another hostess or a manager) is present. Guests should never enter the front door without seeing a smiling face to greet them. Professionals never abandon their posts without notifying someone.
8. Please do not make the Hostess Stand a gathering place. Inevitably, employees gather at the Hostess area to chat during "downtime". This is not the first impression we are looking for. If employees or other staff members are in the way, it effectively prevents you from performing your job duties. Kindly ask them to clear the way for guests. Professionals let nothing get in the way of their successful completion of their job.
9. When dealing with taking reservations from our guests, basic information is obtained to ensure we can provide a table for them on any specified night, and inform them of any
changes that they might need to be made aware of. Accuracy in taking this information is crucial. The party's last name must be spelled correctly. If you need help with the spelling, ask them. Phone numbers must be accurate and repeated back to the customer. Party size must be taken precisely. Also, inquire on whether or not it is a special occasion. It personalizes the evening for our guests, making them feel like we are helping them celebrate the occasion.

## TELEPHONE PROCEDURES

## TELEPHONE ETIQUETTE

When you answer the telephone, you are representing Trump National Golf Club. Once again you are making the first impression to our guests, just as if you were greeting them in person. When you answer the phone, our guest is forming an opinion of us as you speak. The promptness of answering the call, the phrasing of your words, and the tone of your voice must make the guest feel comfortable immediately. SMILE through the phone! Maintain a calm tone regardless of how busy you may be. Take the time to give accurate information and end the conversation with a positive tone in your voice. Our goal when using the telephone is to create a memorable guest experience. Many times guests will remark when arriving at the restaurant how nice and courteous the person was who took their reservation. Our telephone etiquette is just one more example of how our style of service sets us apart from the competition.

Your knowledge and awareness of the mechanical process of answering the phone and all phone operations is vital. You should be able to answer the phone, place a caller on hold, transfer a caller to another extension and page an extension. You must get this procedure down by heart and be proficient at it.

In addition, the language and phrasing you use on the phone is critical. The restaurant business is very telephone intense. This involves reservation taking, directions, hours, questions about the menu, and questions about the facility and golf course. You must be proficient and knowledgeable about everything under our roof.

Adding to this intensity is a lobby full of guests waiting to speak with you. How do I graciously juggle the calls and deal with the guests in front of me? This challenge does not have a simple answer. However, keep in mind that if we lose someone over the phone, we won't get a second chance to correct our mistake. When you have a guest on the line and another guest approaches the desk, ACKNOWLEDGE them. With eye contact or gestures, let the guest know you know they are there. People will not tolerate being ignored, but they usually will understand while you finish with a guest on the phone. If a guest on the phone is very inquisitive or is taking up a lot of your time, you may choose to place the caller on hold and find someone else to answer their questions. This way, you'll be able to give your full attention back to your guests in the lobby.

## KEY TELEPHONE ANSWERING STANDARDS

$>$ Answer the phone with the appropriate greeting of the day. "Good Afternoon, Trump
National Golf Club, this is Mary, how may I assist you?"
$>$ Use a sincere, upbeat and enthusiastic voice.
$>$ Smile through the phone.
$>$ Even when it's busy, maintain a calm tone,
$>$ Answer the phone within 3 rings.
$>$ Take the time to give accurate information.
$>$ When placing a caller on hold, listen to why they are calling first and then ask them, "May I place you on hold?" Wait for the response BEFORE placing them on hold. If they simply cannot hold, continue assisting them. Let them know how long you will be before you get back to them.
> Don't forget you put someone on hold.
> Ending a conversation with phrases such as "It's my pleasure" "Certainly" "Absolutely" always promotes an upbeat and positive feeling.
> Ask for help if you need it.
$>$ Always thank the guest for calling, no matter what they called for.

## RESERVATIONS

Reservations are the lifeblood of our business. If we do not book them correctly, we can create havoc at the front desk, on the floor, and in the kitchen. The reservation system is organized by the size of the party and how many parties can be accommodated at certain hours of the day and night. If you are unclear whether or not you have a reservation available in a specific time slot, check first with the manager before booking. Parties over 8 should be referred to the manager each time.

You must know the hours of operation for each facility in order to take a reservation properly. Don't take a reservation unless you are at the desk or are positive that the time requested is indeed available. The reservation sheets are separate for lunch, dinner, and brunch. Each sheet needs the day and date at the top and what period it is (brunch, lunch, or dinner). When taking a reservation, the following information must be included:
$\checkmark$ Date and Time
$\checkmark$ Name
$\checkmark$ Number of guests
$\checkmark$ Phone number
$\checkmark$ Any special instructions (Wheelchair, booth, window, etc.)
$\checkmark$ With special location table requests, please tell the guest that we will do our best to accommodate their needs, but that with a limited number of booths and window tables, we cannot guarantee it.
$\checkmark$ Ask if they are celebrating a special occasion and write it down. The more we know about their evening out, the better we can serve them.
$\checkmark$ Repeat the reservation back to the caller to ensure accuracy. For instance "Mr. Thomas, we will see you on Saturday July 15 at 7:00 P.M., with a party of 4." Repeat special instructions as well.
$\checkmark$ Always thank the caller before hanging up.
In the event that a reservation is not available for the specific time the caller requests, give them their choice of available times. "I'm sorry Mr. Thomas, 7:00 is not available, I have 8:15 or if you would like something earlier, 6:30 is open."

If a guest calls and will be late for their reservation, find out what time they anticipate arriving and make a note by their name. We will hold reservations for 30 minutes; any longer will depend on the discretion of the manager and the circumstances. Inform the manager immediately.

If a guest calls and is late or lost, help them with directions and then find out whom they will be meeting. If those guests have already arrived, inform the caller that you will be happy to tell "Mr. Thomas" that they called and will be arriving shortly. If the other guests have not arrived yet, make a note and tell them as soon as they check in.

Confirming reservations for five or less for the upcoming evening. This should be done during down-time, when we do not have a flow of guests through the door. Your first priority is those being seated during your shift, of course, but when you are not busy, you should be confirming reservations.

Confirming reservations for six or more for the following day. People make reservations and do not show up. For parties of two or four, the impact in the restaurant is negligible. Walk-ins can easily replace a missed reservation by a small party. Larger parties, however, if they do not show up, can tie up tables for hours, and not allow us to seat those who may be waiting to eat. Obviously, confirm all large party reservations during down-time. Our guests at hand come first.

## INFORMATION CALLS

These calls are important. Your knowledge of the entire facility and any questions they may have about Trump National Golf Club may play a role in a guest's decision to come to here. We need to "sell" the caller on why they should be coming to dine with us. Be courteous, friendly, and gracious, and be sure you sound knowledgeable and competent. The following are just some of the things you must know the answer to:

- Address
- Directions
- Hours of Operation
- Special Events, Wine Dinners, High Tea Luncheons
- Knowledge of our menus
- Price ranges
- Names of managers, Chefs, Banquet Director, Owners
- Fax Number
- Credit Cards We Accept
- Emergency Numbers
- Taxi Numbers


## BANQUET SALES CALLS

First, find out approximately how many people they would like to accommodate. For larger parties that require a private room or parties for 20 to 65 people, connect them to Stacia, who can be reached at extension 216. Trump's, our small banquet facility on the second floor, accommodates these types of events. For parties over 65 people, refer them to Monika (extension 227) or Richelle (extension 224). These phone calls could potentially be worth THOUSANDS of dollars. Make sure they are handled professionally.

## MANAGER \& CHEF CALLS

Be sure to know who is on the premises. Nothing is more aggravating than to be put on hold for 2-3 minutes and then to be told "the Chef's not here". Find out who is calling and if possible, the nature of the call. For example, "may I tell Tom who is calling?" Find the Manager/Chef and relay the information that the caller has given you. If the manager is too busy, or otherwise unavailable, take a complete message, using the message pad. Be sure to get the person's name, number, date, time, and nature of call. If the call is for the Chef and is during critical hours (11:30-1:30 OR 6:00-9:00), he/she may be cooking and certainly will not be taking calls. The Chef has a direct line; 310-303-3265 or Ext. 265 when transferring a call.

## CALLS FOR GUESTS

We do not have a paging system; therefore, we need to clearly understand the name of the guest the caller is looking for. Write down the caller's name and find out if the caller knows what room the guest may be in. Write down a full description of the guest we're looking for. You should know if a corporate or wedding function is being held in the Grand Ballroom. If the guest is dining in Café Pacific or The Golfer's Lounge, find out if they had a reservation and under what name. It is also possible that we have their name written on the walk-in list.

## EMPLOYEE CALLS

Calls for staff on duty are not allowed. Take a message and deliver it to the employee when either one of you are not busy. If the caller claims that it is an emergency, check with the manager on duty immediately and get the employee promptly. Phones are for company business and are not to be used by staff for personal calls.

## SEATING OUR GUESTS

When we are ready to show our guests to their table, here are some simple rules to follow:

- Inform the guests that their table is ready and if they would please follow you. Make sure everyone in the party is gathered before you start walking.
- DO NOT RUN AWAY! If you are escorting guests who are elderly or disabled, WALK AT THEIR PACE! Never leave a guest "in the dust".
- Establish a rapport with the guests as you are leading them to their table. For example, "Is this your first time here?" or "Are you celebrating a special occasion this evening?" Any question about the customer that would make them feel welcome is appropriate.
- Know what table you are going to seat them at before you start to move. Never wander. Walk directly to the table using the path of least resistance, Never plow through a busy area.
- ALWAYS seat a guest at the most desirable table. Do not sit them at a table in the back of the room when a window seat is available.
- NEVER seat a guest at a table that is not completely clean or set.
- Pull out chairs for ladies whenever possible. For larger parties, assist those in need first (i.e. elderly, disabled guests).
- Hand menus to ladies first. Even if you have to circle around a large table twice, always make sure the ladies are first.
- If guests are seated and there are members of the party who have not yet arrived, place a menu on top of the place settings that will be used. This tells the server that those place settings are in use, and not to clear them away.
- Before you leave the table, thank the guest for joining us and tell them that you hope they enjoy their meal.
- On your way back to the Hostess Stand, scan the restaurant for any tables that might need bussed, any customers who are glancing around for their server, anything you can do to help your fellow co-workers become aware of something that needs their attention.
- On your way back to the Hostess Stand, gather any and all menus and wine lists "floating" in other locations and put them in their proper space.
- When you reach the Hostess Stand, write down what time you sat the party at their table. This will allow us to estimate how long that table will be occupied on busy nights, and convey to guests how long a wait for a table may be.


## MANAGING THE WAIT

Inevitably, the restaurant will fill and guests will have to wait for a table. This will be the most difficult task that you have to perform. It is a steady balance of optimism and realism. There are a number of factors that you need to weigh when dealing with this situation. People do not like to wait, but as long as we handle things diplomatically and with a smile, guests will respond in kind.

- NEVER specify an exact time (i.e. 7:40). ALWAYS give the guest a range in terms of minutes ( 10 to 20 minutes). Do not use terms like hour, or half an hour when the wait is less than 60 minutes. Perception to the guest is everything, and 30 minutes sounds like less time than half an hour. If the wait is more than 60 minutes, then it is permissible to use the term "hour".
- Guests who make reservations are the first priority. Walk-in guests may have to wait for longer periods of time than guests who made reservations.
- Table turnover can vary, but a good rule of thumb, to be safe, plan on parties of 2 to 4 people to take the table for an hour and a half. Larger parties stay longer, so a 2 to 2.5 hour stay is not uncommon. Never plan on big parties to move through the evening quickly, and never count on the table to open up for guests waiting.
- When creating the wait list itself, mark down the name of the party, whether they are a walk-in or a reservation, and a brief description of the guest who is the "leader" of the party (usually the person that approaches the hostess stand in the first place). Pick an article of clothing which stands out, a plece of jewelry, an unusual hair style, anything that will assist you in finding the party once their table is ready.
- Write down the time of arrival and the time frame of the wait that you told the guest when they arrived.
- When estimating wait time, NEVER low ball the estimate. If we tell them 40-50 minutes and an hour has gone by, we may lose the business altogether. If we tell them 40-50 minutes and 30 minutes later a table is available, we all look good.
- If a guest appears anxious in any way, or if we are APPROACHING the end of the time frame communicated, find a manager. Managers have the authority to mollify a customer through a free drink, or by other means to smooth over the situation. This also shows the customer that they have not been forgotten, and that every effort is being made to serve them.
- All the while, be friendly and professional. Remember, "relaxed elegance"!


## CHECKLIST OF ITEMS AT THE HOSTESS STAND

These are items necessary to have at your disposal during your shift at work. Along with the organizational skills discussed earlier, these items should be stored out of sight and in a consistent place where your co-workers can easily find them:

- Pencils
- Erasers
- Ball Point Pens
- Red and Black China Marker
- Yellow, Pink, and Blue Markers
- 1 Box staple Refills
- 3 Hole Punch
- Pencil Sharpener
- Cloths (to clean menu's)
- Scissors
- Calculator
- Ruler
- Stapler
- Scratch Paper
- Black Sharpie, Medium Point
- Matches
- Rubber Bands
- White Out Dispenser

Certain items that are for our guests and co-workers are stored in the Hostess Stand as well:

- Kid's Menu's and Crayons
- Wedding Brochures
- Special Event Brochures
- Brunch Tent Cards
- Trump Event Tent Cards
- Matches
- Golf Magazines
- Toothpicks
- Magician Tent Cards
- Maps for Customers
- 1 Box Kleenex
- L.ocal Telephone Books
- Management Staff Business Cards

Information about labor and work schedules for management is kept in the SCHEDULING BINDER at the Hostess Stand. Information in this binder includes:

- Current work schedules for hostesses, servers, bussers, and runners
- Schedule Change Forms
- Daily Labor Reports
- Time Off Request Sheets
- Shift Change Logs
- Availability Sheets

The INFORMATION AND FORMS BINDER is also located at the Hostess Stand. The information in this binder is vital to your knowledge of the facility and the surrounding areas. It also contains important forms that you will need on a day to day basis. They include:

- Inquiry Sheets
- Employee Home Phone Numbers
- Facility Information (Facility history, hours of operation, wines, taxi's)
- Hostess Information
- Directions
- Maps
- Blank Credit Card Forms
- High Tea Menu Copies
- Afternoon Tea Reservations
- High tea Credit Card Forms
- Birthday Order Forms
- Special Event Labor Sheet

There are also separate File Folders at your station with forms and information to help assist you during your shift:

- Sushi Menus
- Station Selection Charts
- Inquiry Sheets for Weddings and Special Events
- Old Reservation Sheets
- Daily Labor Sheets
- Small Party Menus
- Calendar Tabs for Binder


## OPENING DUTIES

1. Check messages from the night before. Make sure you review all messages left from the hostesses before you. These messages might directly effect the operations of the facility and the restaurant during your shift. Please make sure all information is passed on to management staff and the appropriate departments accurately.
2. Return Phone calls. The messages left from the previous shift may require you to place phone calls to our guests. Do this first thing. The more prompt the response time, the better service we can provide to our customers.
3. Light the fireplace. It sets the ambiance in the room.
4. Make copies of the menu/make sure menus are clean. After the chef gives you the daily menu, you are required to fill all menu covers with the day's lunch or dinner selections. Make sure the menus are clean, and that the copies of the menu are free of ink blots or blemishes.
5. Check the dining room. Make sure all tables look uniform and clean. The waiters and waitresses are responsible for the set-up of both dining rooms, but a fresh set of eyes may see something that they missed. Know what the tables are supposed look like so you can help out your co-workers.
6. Check the reservation sheet for the day. Let all managers and staffs know what to expect as far as reservation numbers are concerned. If we are going to be busy, prepare everyone.
7. Transfer the schedule and shift changes into the labor log. This must be accurate as well. It allows managers to know at a glance who is working that evening, and who has switched shifts.
8. Be ready 15 minutes before seating begins. Many times customers are early. Be prepared.

## CLOSING DUTIES

1. Empty and clean all menus. Our menus change constantly. It is very important that all menus are pulled after every shift. Incorrect menus in the restaurant can be disastrous. Chances are, nothing will be available from the previous shift's menu. If a guest receives a wrong menu, no one will know until the point of ordering appetizers and entrée's that the
menu is incorrect. This can happen an hour into service, after our guests have studied what they would like to dine on. When they discover that their selections are, in fact, not available, we will have already ruined the evening. Make sure all menus are accurate.
2. Empty the trash. Do not pass this on to the next crew. Do it yourself.
3. Clean your station. Make sure everything is put back in its place so the next crew can easily find everything.
4. Fill out Labor Sheets directly from the Managers schedule. Please make sure this is done accurately. Managers need to know who is working shifts for the following day.
5. Clean off section sheets. Leave things neat and clean for the folks on the next shift.
6. Leave messages out in the open and visible for the next crew. In order to effectively react to whatever messages they need to pass on, make sure all notes and messages are in plain sight. Make sure that any changes in special set-ups for large parties have not changed, and if they have, notify a manager.
7. Do not begin to close down with guests in eyeshot. This makes them feel rushed, Allow them to leave at their leisure, then continue closing for the night.

## NAME RECOGNITION

If you have ever thrown a party or hosted an event, one of your main priorities would be to learn your guest's names. Personalizing the way we address guests is crucial in making them feel relaxed. We would like them to treat our public facility like their own private club, If they have reservations, make sure you are pronouncing their name correctly, and call them by name as often as possible. If they are walk-ins, ask for their name so you can address them in the same manner.

After you have seated the guests, write the name of the party down for the server who will be waiting on them. Again, personalized service is our goal. Referring to the guest by name as often as possible will achieve this. Make sure you pass the name on to the server before they approach the table. We prefer to have our guests approached by the server within 60 seconds, so be prompt.
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Wiblcomib to Thump National Golf Club


Greetings from Donald J. Trump

Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles is the pinnacle of the luxury public gotf experience. With world renowned restaurànts, unmatched service, exclusive amenilies, and the most spectacular panoramic Pacific Ocean views. Trump National has no equal.
ocated on the iuxurious Palos Verdes Peninsula just thirty minutes south of Downtown Los Angeles. Trump National serves as the perfec
busy executive's getaway. Club amenilies are second to none The grand 45,000 square foot clubhouse offers stately locker rooms, a stunning pro-shop. three dining options, secluded conference rooms, and a magnificent grand ballroom

As an avid golier, I am committed to creating a world class course and golf club thal combines the sport's foremost design talent wilh a keen sense of luxury ano exceptional service, Al Trump National Goll Club, a round of golf will never be jusi another round of golf. I look jorward lo seeing you on the course


On-Line Tee Tune Reservations

## Diming

Coservations

## Golf Acadenis: <br> Reservations

## Online Proshop And Gift Ideas

Today's Weather
इस ${ }^{63} 3^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Parly Cloudy / Wind Extended Forecasi

TRUNRCOMITRUNP GOLF
FAQS
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Trump National Goif Club is proud to introduce its new Execulive Chef Jean-Pierre Vincent Jean-Pierre was born in Venezuela; his mother is Cuban and his father is French. With a daily family routine revolving around food and wine it became obvious to him early on that he would pursue a career in the culinary ants and follow a path to becoming a chef

Jean-Pierre is culturally diverse in his style and tood and speaks five languages. He spent several years working in the Mediterranean, including France, Greece, Spain, Morocco, and in various Michelin Star-rated restaurants and hotels in Italy. Domestically, he has worked with world renowned hoteliers such as Ritz-Cartion and Hilton and in 5 Star/5 Diamond and Michelin-rated dining rooms across the east coast. In fact, due to the extensive amount of experience he possessed al such a young age, he was offered the posillon of Chef instructor al Le Cordon Bleu Academy of Culinary Arts,

Trump National is just as exciled and proud to introduce Jean-Plerre's new menus His cuisine is Medilerraneaninfluenced and very culting edge, ulilizing only the freshest imported ingredients while implementing unique preparation techriques Jean-Plerre and Trump National look forward to showcasing a fresh new culinary direction wilh both lhe local communily to provide dining experiences that they can be prouo of, and with our numerous guests from around Los Angeles and around the world to further establish Trump Nalional Golr Club as the pre-eminent dining destination on the west coast

TRUMFCOMITRUMP GOLF
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Online Reservations

Or call (310) 303-3260


Trump National Golf Club proudly offers two unique dining opportunities

The Golfer's Lounqe, the more casual of the two reslaurants, is a periect place to relax after a game of golt and calch the big game

The Café Pacific, an upscale casual dining restaurant, is known for its creative menu that fealures eclectic twists and the freshest of ingredients,

Both restaurants offer world-class dining, an exceptional wine list, and service you would expect with a name like Trump for breakfast, lunch, dinner or in-between. Trump National offers the perfect setting for a casual. gel-together, or a lavish evening out
-All Parties of 8 or More Will Incur an $18 \%$ Gratuity
-Menu avallablity and times are subject to
change due to special events and other functions, Please call 310-303-3260 to ensure availability.

Hours of Operation
Breakfast Served*
7.00am-1700am (Mon. Ihru Sal.)

Lunch Served*
11:00am-3:00pm (Mon thru Sat)
Dinner Served*
5:00pm-9:00pm (Sun, thru Thurs.)

5:00pm-10:00pm (Fri and Sat )

## Bar Menu*

3:00pm-5:00pm (Mon thru Sat)
4:00pm-5:00pm (Sun)
Happy Hour Menu*
4:00pm-7:00pm
(Mon. thru Fri)
Golfer's Menu*
300 pm -close (Mon thru Sun)
Afternoon Tea.
11.00am-200pm (Mon thni Sai)

48 Hour Reservations Are
Necessary
Sunday Brunch*
10:00am - 2:30pm
Reservations Highly
Recommended
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## Entrees

## Breakfast Menu

BLT Egg Sandwich
Toasted Whole Wheat, Applewood Smoked Bacon, Iceberg Lettuce, Vine Ripened Tomatoes, Mayonaise, Two Fried Eggs over Medium, \& served with Fresh Fruit 15

BLTA Sandwich
Toasted Whole Wheat, Applewood Sinoked Bacon, Red Leaf Lettuce, Vine Ripened Tomatoes; Avocado, Mayonaise, \& served with Fresh Fruit

13
Toasted Bagel with House Cured Smoked Salmon
Whipped Cream Cheese, Sliced Cucumbers Red Onion, Capers, Vine Ripened Tomatoes 16

Irish Oatmeal
Golden Raisins, Brown Sugar, Fresh Berries
10
Bisquits \& Gravy
2 Sausage Patties, 2 Eggs, Home Made Sausage Gravy, \& served with Hash Brown Poratoes 11

Fresh Seasonal Eruit Plate with Cottage Cheese
13

## Morning Wrap

Scrambled Eggs, Chorizo, Cheddar Cheese, Applewood Smoked Bacon or Sausage, Hasti Bromm Potatoes. Tomato Cilantro Salsa

12

The following entrees are served with choice of Applewood Smoked Bacon, Pork Sausage, or Jalapeno Chicken Sausage

Buttermilk Pancakes
Two Eggs, Maple Syrup, Whipped Butter, Fresh Berries 14

Stuffed French Toast with Cranberry \& Orange Cieam
Whipped Butter, Maple Syrup, Fresh Berries
14 *Choice of Plain French Toast - 11

Crepes a la Jean-Pierre
Nutella, Bananas, Toasted Hazelnuts. Franjelico Caramel Sauce. Whipped Cream

* Choice of Traditional Crepe Suzette, Berry Crepe or Sayory Crepe

11

## Two Eggs

Hash Brown Potatoes, \& Sourdough or Whole Wheat Toast 10

Boz Skirt Steak and Eggs
Iwo Eggs, Hash Brown Potatoes, \& Sourdough or Whole Wheat Toast 18

Breakfast Croissant Sandwich
Eggs, Choice of Bacon or Ham, Cheddar Cheese, Hash Brown Potatoes \& served with Fresh Fruit 11
'Huevos Ranchero"
Eggs, Beans \& Chorizo, Avocado, Salsa, Crispy Flour Tortilla Shell 11
Add Skirt Steak 18
Florentine Eggs Benedict
English Muffin, Poached Eggs, Country Ham, Spinach, Sauteed Mushrooms, Hollandaise Sauce, Chives, \& served with Fresh Fruit 15

## Omelette

Hash Brown Potatoes \& Sourdough or Whole Wheal Toast
Choice of three of the following: Ham, Applewood Smoked Bacon, Mushrooms. Tomatoes. Cheddar, Swiss, Feta Cheese, Avocado, Spinach, Sweet Peppers, Onions, Jalepenos

12

## Belgian Waffle

Whipped Butter, Maple Syrup, Fresh Berries
11

On the Side

One Egg - 3
Two Eggs - 6
Three Buttermilk Pancakes - 12
Sourdough, Whole Wheat, 5 Grain, English Muffin - 2
Ham, Applewood Smoked Bacon, Jalapeno Chicken Sausage, Sausage Patties - §
Bagel with Whipped Cream Cheese - 4
Hash Brown Potatoes - 4
Fresh Fruit Cup - 4

## Beverages

Coffee- 4.50
Espresso - Regular or Decaf - 5
Cappuccino or Latte - 6
Selection of Napa Loose Leaf Hot Teas - 5 Orange, Grapefruit, Pinapple or Tomato Juice - 4

Soft Drinks, Iced Teas - 3
Milk - 3
Hot Chocolate - 4
Bloody Mary - 10
Mimosa - 11

All Parties of 8 or More Will Incur an $18 \%$ Gratuity
Consuming Raw or undercooked Meats, Poultry, Seafood, Shellfish, or Eggs may increase your Risk of Food Bon
limes
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## Community

Contact Us

## First Courses

# Blackened Chicken Sliders 

Lemon Aioli, Pepper-lack Cheese, leeberg Lettuce.
13
Trump's Famous Calamari
Classic Crispy Calamari with Spicy Chili Aioli
16
Seared Cuab Cakes
Pancetta, Chiok Pea and Leek Cassoulet, Remoulade Sauce 19

Served with Gorgonzola Cheese Dressing Celery \& Carrots; Also offered Billy Ray's BBQ Style 16

## Grilled Chicken Quesadillas

Spicy Jack Cheese, Roasted Red Peppers, Kalamala Olives, Mediterranean Avocado Salad, Lemon Aioli
15

Soups

## New England Clam Chowder

Soup of the Day
Cup 6 - Bowl 9

## Salads

Traditional Cobb Salad
Grilled All-Natural Turkey Breast, Gorgonzola, Bacon, Roma Tomatoes. Cucumbers, Avocado, Hard Boiled Eggs, Choice of Dressing

15
Substitute Lobster 20
Traditional Greek Salad
Marinated Red Onion, Olives, Romaine Lettuce, Roma Tomatoes, Aged Feta Cheese, Preserved Lemon Vinaigrette. Freshr Red Peppers

Add Ahi Tuna 20
http://www.trumpnationallosangeles.com/Default.aspx?p=DynamicMo...
House Mixed Baby Greens
Sliced Tomatoes, English Cucumbers, Balsamic Vinaigrette
13
Ken-San Ahi-Tuna Salad
Mizuna, Spinach, Ponzu Soy-Ginger Vinaigrette, Yellow Bell Pepper, Watermelon Radish, Crispy Won Ton 19
Ken-San Chinese Chicken Salad
Grilled Chicken Breast, Napa Cabbage, Bell Peppers, Radicchio, Carrots, Ice Berg lettuce, Scallions, Sweet Honey-Peanut Dressing in a Wonton Basket
15
Skirt Steak Salad
Thinly-Carved Marinated Skirt Steak, Gorgonzola, Candied Pecans,
Watercress, Romaine Lettuce, Yellow Tomatoes, Craisins, Applewood Smoked Bacon, Raspberry Vinaigrette
20
Arugula \& Baby Watercress Salad
Poached Pear, Gorgonzola, Spiced Candied Walnuts, Passion Fruit Dressing
13
Traditional Caesar Salad
Hearts of Romaine, House-Made Caesar Dressing, Garlic Croutons, Fresh Shaved Pecorino
13
Add Chicken 15 - Add Shrimp 18
The Wedge
Iceberg Lettuce, Marinated Tomatoes, Bacon Bits, Scallions, Gorgonzola, Creamy Blew Cheese
Dressing
13

## Sandwiches and More

*All Sandwiches are served with French Fries, Onion Rings or Fresh Fruit ${ }^{*}$
100z Angus Beef Burger
Add any additional topping for a dollar each:
Cheddar Cheese, Swiss Cheese, Applewood Snioked Bacon, Caramelized Onions, Mushrooms
15
Grilled Cheese Sandwich
Swiss Cheese, Provolone Cheese, Aged Cheddar Cheese, Sourdough Bread
10
House Smoked Pork Cuban Sandivich
Sliced Honey Cured Ham, Swiss Cheese. Dill Pickles, Dijonaisse, House Baked Cuban Bread 13
Grilled Salmon Burger
With Dill Aioli. Red Leaf Lettuce, Marinated Tomatoes, Toasted Sourdough Bread 20
Trump's Slow Roasted Turkey Club Sandwich
Avocado, Applewood Bacon, Red Leaf Lettuce, Sliced Tomato, Mayonnaise, Whole Wheat 15
Grilled Reuben Sandwich
Corned Beef, Sauerkraut, Swiss Cheese, Russian Dressing, Five-Grain Bread
15
Home Made Flat Bread Mediterranean Style Piza
Tomatoes Compote, Feta Cheese, Fresh Arugula, Imported Fine Olive Oil 13
Margherita Style 14 ~ BBQ Chicken 15-Cinco Cames 16

Tuna Melt
Fresh Albacore Tuna Salad, Tomatoes, Swiss cheese, Sour Dough Bread 13

Traditional French Dip
House Baked Baguette, Caramelized Onions, Swiss Cheese, Creamy Horseradish Sauce. Au jus 15

## Entrees

Chef's Daily Lunch Box
Ask Server for Details

Filet Mignon Tips
Marinated Tenderloin Tips, Rigatoni Pasta, Spinach, Portabello Mushrooms, Garlic, Shallots, Red Wine Sauce, Creamy Boursin Cheese

28
Roasted Halibut
Creamy Mediterranean Farro, Spinach, Watermelon Radish \& Tomato Relish
35
Feta-Basil Stuffed Chicken
Linguini Carbonara
25
Beer Marinated Carne Asada (Skirt Steak)
Creamy Corn Risotto, Glazed Broccolini \& Baby Carrots, Crispy Onions, Peppercom Sauce 29

Mr. Trump's Fish \& Chips
Fresh Cod Lightly Battered and Fried Golden Brown, Remoulade
2)
$70 z$ Wood Fire Grilled Salmon
Rock Shrimp Butternut Squash Risotto, Glazed Broccolini, lemon Grass \& Yuzu Sauce 32

Marinated Tempura of Lobster Marinated Lobster Tail Fried to a Golden Brown Crisp. Sauteed Napa Cabbage, Bell Peppers, Coconut Curry Sauce 26

All Parties of 8 or More Will Incur an $18 \%$ Gratuity There is a Split Plate Charge of \$7 \& \$2 For Salads \& Appetizers.

Consurning Raw or undercooked Meals, Poultry, Seafood, Shellfish, or Eggs may increase your Risk of Food Born Bines
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# First Courses 

## Chilled Jumbo Shimp Cocktail

Endive Salad, Pommery Mustard Vinaigrette, Orange Essence, Tangy Cocktail Sauce Suggested Wine Pairing: 42 South Sauyignon Blane-Tasmania, Australia- $\$ 10$ 15

Trump's Famous Calamari
Classic Crispy Calamari with Spicy Chill Aioli Jordan Chardonnay - Russiau River Valley, CA - \$15

Seared Lump Crab Cales
Pancetta, Chick Pea \& Leek Cassouler, Remoulade Sauce Schramsberg "Blanc de Noirs"- Napa Valley, CA- \$15

18

Fresh Steamed Mussels \& Clams
Verrmouth Broth, Garlic Crostinis Henri Bourgeoss Sancerre - Loire Valley, France - 514

18

Fresh Burrata Cheese, Sliced Vine Ripe Tomatoes, Pesto Sauce, Balsamic Reduction Espent Pinot Grigio - Veneto. Italy - $\$ 10$

16
Marinated Tuna Tataki
Ken San Sauce,Crispy Onions, Scallions \& Daikon Salad
Chateau L'Afrique 'Rose ' Provence, France - $\$ 12$
20
Fote Gras Temine a la Jean-Pierre
Toasted Hazelnuts, Ver Jus Pickled Onions, Fig\& Apricot Compote, Port Wine Gelee Chateau Anniche - Bordeaux. France - $\$ 12$
18.

Spicy Ahi-Tuna Tartar
Avocado, Wasabi Cracker, Spicy Cilantro Aioli
Dr Loosen Riesting - Mosel Valley, Germany - \$11
18

## Lobster Tempura

Marinated Lobster Tail Fried to a Golden Brown Crisp, Sautēed Napa Cabbage, Bell Peppers, Coconut Curry Sauce Cakebread Chardonray - Napa Valley. CA - $\$ 20$

26

Oscietra Classic - Russian Sturgeon Caviar loz
Properly Garnished
Taitinger "Brut Prestige" - France - $\$ 20$
145

Truffle \& Beef Agnoloti
Spiced Foie Gras Sauce, Cherries, Port Wine Reduction Four Graces Pinot Norr- Hillametie Vally. Oregon- $\$ 14$

18
Roasted Butternut Squash \& Ricotta Ravioli
Candied Roasted Almonds, Macerated Cranbervies, Balsamic Brown Butter Sauce Louis Latow Pauilly Fuisse-Burgundy. France- $\$ 14$

$$
18
$$

## Soups

Neve England Clam Chowder
Soup of the Day
6 Cup - 9 Bowl

Salads

Ken-San Ahi Tura Salad
Mizuna, Spinach, Ponzu Soy-Ginger Vinaigrette, Yellow Bell Pepper, Watermelon Radish, Crispy Woitoon 18

Spinach \& Frisee Salad
Palm Hearts.Avocado, Cheery Tomaloes,Lardons,Croutons,Grain Mustard Dressing 13

Arugula \& Baby Watereress Salad
Poached Pears, Gorgonzola, Spiced Candjed Walnuts, Passion Fruit Dressing
13
Traditional Caesar Salad
Hearts of Romaine, House-Made Caesar Dressing, Garlic Croutors, Fresh Shaved Peconno
13
House Mixed Baby Greens
Sliced Tomaroes, English Cucumbers, Baisamic Vinaigrente
13

## From the Sea

## Sea Bass en Papillote

Slow Cooked, Braised Red Lentils, Vegetable Matignon, Saffton Consommé Roederer Brut "Estate Rose' - Anderson Valley, CA - \$14

$$
42
$$

Roasted Diver Scallops
Lobster Raviolis,Leek \& Celeriac Puree,Fennel, Roasted Cipollini Orion, Veal Reduction Trefelhen Chardonnay - Napa Valley, CA - $\$ 13$

42
$70 z$ Wood Fire Grilled Salmon
Rock Shrimp Butternut Squash Risoto, Glazed Broccolini, Lemon Grass \& Yuzu Sauce ZD Pinot Noir - Cameros District, CA - \$16

32

## Roasted Halibut

Lemon Thyne Gnocchi, Braised Sea Beans, Refreshing Tomato Fonduc Alana Estate Sauvignon Blanc-Martinborough, New Zealand-512

42

## Seafood Pescatore

Linguinj, Mussels, Clams, Shrimp, White Wine, Garlic, Tomatoes Nipozzano Chianti Riserva - Tuscany Italy - \$12

30

From the Land

Lamb" Tagine"
Brassed Lamb Shank, Couscous, Glazed Vegetables,Abricor \& Fig Compote, Preserved Lemon
Natural Au Jus
Frank Zinfandel - Napa Valley. CA - \$13
45
Grilled 100z House Aged Filet Mignon
Pormme Puree, Shallor Compote, Glazed Haricors Verts, Red Wine Sauce
Heitz Cabernet Sauvignon - Napa Valley, CA - $\$ 20$
45
1402. All Natural New York Steak

Cumin Roasted Fingerling Potatoes, Jumbo Asparagus, Peppercom Sauce Orin Swift "The Prisoner" - Napa Valley. CA - \$18

45

# Executive Chef <br> <br> Jean-Pierre Vincent 

 <br> <br> Jean-Pierre Vincent}

## Sommelier

Thomas Compagnon

## Afternoon Tea

# $\$ 34.95$ Per Person Plus Tax \& Gratuity <br> 48 Hour Reservations Are Necessary <br> 24 Hour Cancellation Policy 

## Home

## About Trump National
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Accommodations
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Holiday Events
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Enjoy an elegant Afternoon Tea surrounded by the most beautiful views of the Pacific at Trump National Golf Club

> Sundurifier douche

Cucumber, Mint Cream Cheese no Seurdough, Curry Chicken, Celery, Mayonnaise an Croissant Smoked Salmon, Cream Cheese, Roasted Red Pepper, Chives on Wheat Proscitua, Swiss, Leauce, Tomato; Grain Mustard on Sourdough, Egg and Watercress: Sandwich

Scones:
Cranoerfir Apricot, Served with Hornemade jam and frei Whipped Cream
Desert
New York Stile Cheesecake, Fresh Fruit Tanta, Freai Lemon Square, Double fudge Chocolate Brownies, white Chocolate Mousse Cup:

Bearrayes
Featuring asedestion of exquisite loose last lexer and only ode cilice of the following: 2 glass of champagne, white wine or theory

## 33695 per coarsen <br> - Pros dat muticit eorstipasio

Reservations: 310.303 .3260 or ww w, uimpnationallosangeles.coni Chemportea sewed from 11 am 102 pm and all reservations are to be made 48 hour in advance with a depositor a credit card Cancellaoon policy is 24 hours in novance.




## Outside Services and Guest Services

# Maintenance Devariment 

Locker Room Attendant (part lime) Night Cleaners

## Execulive Offices

## No positions currently available

## Sales and Catering

No positions currently available

For addilional information, please call us at (310) 265-5000

## TRIJMP GOLE

Selecl Your Daslination
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# Food and Beverage Orientation 

## Manual

2008

## WELCOME TO TRUMP NATIONAL GOLF CL.UB

Congratulations! Welcome to Trump National Golf Club on the Palos Verdes Peninsula. We are thrilled to have you join our team and help create guest experiences that are unrivaled. Our facility and golf course are known the world over, and Mr. Trump, by name alone, has set the standard that we need to meet every day.

As guests arrive at Trump National Golf Club, we welcome them into our "home". Our facilities are open to the public, but we would prefer our guests to treat the restaurant, the golf course, the whole facility as their own "private" club. It is our obligation to make them comfortable and treat them as someone special. When they leave us, we hope our guests will become our ambassadors with tales of terrific food and exceptional service.

It is our job to create an atmosphere that combines entertainment, excitement, energy, and sophistication. This philosophy, intertwined with gracious, attentive service, will put you at the top of your game. Our challenge is to fashion an individual style of service so our guests feel genuinely cared for. Starting a new job is exciting and can be overwhelming. At Trump National Golf Club, we are committed to achieving a standard of excellence for our industry. These standards enable all team members to be proud of their own performances, as well as those of their fellow team members. By exceeding our guest's expectations, they will continue to choose and recommend us to others.

The first step toward achieving these standards of excellence is to know what they are! We have prepared manuals, guides, and written materials to give you a total understanding of what your job entails and what is expected of you. We expect you to focus all your energy and abilities on this training process because your work directly influences our company's reputation. Your complete knowledge of our menu, wine list, and beverages is absolutely necessary in order to provide the ultimate dining experience for our guests. In order to achleve this, we will educate you on every ingredient on our menu, the wines and champagnes we offer, and how the two go hand in hand to increase your bottom line. We will spend as much time as necessary preparing you to be our ambassador, with a strong sense of ownership and pride. You will be thoroughly prepared, feeling comfortable and confident before you see your first guest.

We also are committed to providing the best possible working environment, and to support staff members and guests equally. The goal of our leadership is to remove any obstacles so that you may deliver a warm, sincere, engaging style of service to our guests. In order to maintain an atmosphere where these goals can be accomplished, we provide a workplace that is comfortable and progressive.

## CONFIDENTIAL

Most importantly, we encourage you to give us your ideas and feedback, both positive and negative.

We are glad you have joined us, and hope you will find your work here at Trump National Golf Club to be both challenging and rewarding. Welcome aboard!!

## PROPERTY INFORMATION

## FOOD SERVICE OUTLETS

There are three different food service establishments here at Trump National Golf Club. Café Pacific and The Golfer's Lounge are full service restaurants. "Lina's is a full service snack bar on the lower level, used mainly by our guests on the golf course. Our banqueting facilities include The Grand Ballroom for weddings and banquets up to 300 guests, and Trump's, a small event banquet room (and our most elegant), with capacity for up to 65 guests.

The Café Pacific is our upscale restaurant, serving breakfast, lunch and dinner. Our menu features modern American cuisine featuring smoked steaks, fresh seafood, eclectic salads, and unique appetizers. Café Pacific opens at 6:30 AM and serves breakfast until 11:00AM. Lunch is served from 11:00AM until 3:00PM. Finally, dinner is served from 5:00PM until closing at 9:00 PM Sunday through Thursday and 10:00 PM on Friday and Saturday. The menu will change every few months or so for lunch and dinner.

The Golfer's Lounge offers the same menu and amenities as the Café Pacific, only with a more casual atmosphere. Our golfers and casually dressed guests can feel right at home with our HD T.V.'s to watch sporting events, or relax after a round of golf. The Golfer's lounge also offers a Bar Menu from 3pm to 5 pm in order to accommodate the time gap between lunch and dinner.

## RESTAURANT QUICK FACTS

CORKAGEFEE

- We allow our guests to bring their own wine and champagne not purchased in the restaurant.
- This fee is $\$ 25.00$

CHILDREN'S MENU

- We do offer a children's menu and an activity sheet to take the heat off of Mom and dad!


## HIGH TEA

- $\$ 29.95$ per person
- Provides classic English Tea service, including delicious finger sandwiches, a variety of scones, and homemade desserts prepared by our pastry chef.
- Accommodate parties of 7 or more on Monday through Friday and any number on Saturday. WINE DINNER
- Occurs periodically during the year and the price will vary.
- We feature various wines and wineries from all over the world, in combination with various menus to experiment and match different styles of cuisine with different vintages.


## SUNDAY BRUNCH

- The best brunch along with the best view in L.A.!
- Located in The Golfers Lounge and Café Pacific
- $\$ 64.95$ per person, $\$ 19.95$ per person under 12
- Overwhelming selection of seafood, salads, sushi, breakfast selections, calving stations, homemade desserts, not to mention a chocolate fountain with all the accoutrements.
- 100 guest per seating, with 2 seatings available at 10:00 and 1:00 HOLIDAY BRUNCH
- $\$ 74.95$ per guest and $\$ 24.95$ per child under 12
- An upscale version of our outstanding Sunday brunch, centrally located
- Seatings are at 10 am and 1 pm
- 250 total guest are allowed per seating


## CLUBHOUSE QUICK FACTS

All facilities are open to the general public

## PRO SHOP/GOLF COURSE

- Open daily 6 am
- Dress code for the course requires a collared shirt and no denim
- The course is the first and currently only Donald J. Trump signature design
GREENS FEES MONDAY THROUGH THURSDAY
- $\$ 275.007$ to 10 a.m. (Daily)
- $\$ 215.0010$ to Noon (Midday)
- \$165.00 Noon to 12:50 p.m. (Afternoon)
- $\$ 145.001$ to 3 p.m. (Twilight)
- \$75.00 3:00 to dusk (Sunset)

GREENS FEES FRIDAY THROUGH SUNDAY

- $\$ 375.007$ to 10 a.m. (Daily)
- $\$ 300.0010$ to Noon (Midday)
- \$215.00 Noon to 12:50 (Afternoon)
- $\$ 195.001$ to 3 p.m. (Twilight)
- $\$ 75.003$ to dusk (Sunset)

DRIVING RANGE

- Open daily 7am
- \$22.00 Unlimited Range Ball


## CONFIDENTIAL

## APPEARANCE POLICY

It is important to remember that every staff member's appearance has an effect on the overall image the guests have of Trump National Golf Club. You are a professional. As a professional, you must remember that the first and perhaps most lasting impression that you make on a person is through your appearance. Make it a positive one.

## FEMALE STAFF MEMBERS

- HAIR - Hairstyles and colors must be conservative and professional. Hair must be clean, well kept, and neat. Hair must be pulled back from the shoulders and worn in a manner that does not block vision.
- MAKE-UP - Female staff members may use make-up applied in a conservative, professional manner.
- FINGERNAILS - Fingernails must be clean and appropriate length, not more than one inch from the cuticle. Do not wear unusual, extreme colors or styles of nail polish.
- PERFUMES - Perfumes and fragrances, if used, must be subtle and applied in moderation.
- JEWELRY - Up to two rings per hand are allowed. No necklaces, bracelets, or anklets will be permitted. Earrings are permitted, not to exceed two per earlobe and must be conservative.
- T-SHIRTS - Must not be visible when worn as an undershirt. Do not wear any t-shirts with any type of printing or colors. Undershirts are to be short-sleeve and plain white (not white tank tops as undershirts).
- TATTOOS - Visible tattoos of any kind are not permitted and may not be camouflaged by make-up, bandages, or non-uniform clothing.
- SHOES - All shoes must be clean, polished, and in good condition. Worn out or tarnished shoes are not permitted. No extreme heels (over three inches), sandals, or open toed shoes. Absolutely no black tennis shoes.


## MALE STAFF MEMBERS

- HAIR - Hairstyles and colors must be conservative and professional. No partially shaven heads are allowed.
- FACIAL HAIR - Clean shaven, no shadows or day old growth. Mustaches are allowed if maintained in a clean and professional manner. Beards are not permitted.
- FINGERNAILS - Fingernails must be clean and are not to extend past the fingertips. Only clear nail polish and no nail charms.
- COLOGNE - Cologne and fragrances must be in moderation.

CONFIDENTIAL

- JEWELRY - Earrings may not be worn while on duty. No facial or otherwise visible body piercing allowed. Watch and two rings per hand- no necklaces.
- T-SHIRTS - Must not be visible when worn as an undershirt. Do not wear T-shirts with any type of printing or decoration as this will show through. Undershirts are to be short-sleeves and plain white (not white tank tops as undershirts).
- TATTOOS - Visible tattoos are not permitted and may not be camouflaged by make-up, bandages, or non-uniform clothing.
- SHOES AND SOCKS - Black socks should be worn with black shoes at all times. All shoes must be clean, polished, and in good condition. Worn out or tarnished shoes are not permitted. Absolutely no black tennis shoes.


## UNIFORMS

Maintaining a high standard of grooming and personal hygiene is essential for anyone working in front of the house, but that is only the start. The uniform one wears at work should be worn with pride. Staff members are expected to be in complete, clean uniforms upon arrival and before clocking in. The guidelines are:

## - ALL SERVERS, BUSBOYS, RUNNERS, AND FRONT OF THE HOUSE STAFF MUST ADHERE TO OUR UNIFORM POLICY. THOSE NOT IN UNIFORM WILL BE SENT HOME AND MAY FORFIET THEIR SHIFT IF NOT PROPERLY DRESSED:

- Crisp, clean, pressed black button-down long-sleeve shirt
- Black dress pants
- Clean Black apron
- Black socks
- Black polished dress shoes
- Tie (tasteful, not cartooned)
- ALL BARTENDERS, BAR BACKS, AND FRONT OF THE HOUSE STAFF MUST ADHERE TO OUR UNIFORM POLICY. THOSE NOT IN UNIFORMWILL BE SENT HOME AND MAY FORFIET THEIR SHIFT IF NOT PROPERLY DRESSED.
- Crisp, clean, pressed white button-down long-sleeve shirt
- Black dress pants
- Trump National issued Bartender Vest (optional)
- Clean Black apron
- Black socks
- Black shoes
- Tie


## UNIFORMS FOR HOSTESSES

The first person seen after a guest walks through the front door is the Hostesses. Hostesses provide a first impression of the facility, and can set the tone for the entire evening. It is important that the hostess not only greet and seat the guest in a relaxed, friendly way, but it is also important that they look their best as well. There are no set uniforms for hostesses, but these guldelines must be met:

* Hostesses must wear black, no colors
* No torn or frayed clothing
* Heels are not to exceed three inches
* No low rise pants or slacks
* No low cut shirts or blouses


## ATTENDANCE AND PUNCTUALITY

Attendance and punctuality are important factors for your success within our company. Being on time and ready for work is essential to establish teamwork with others and a sense of ownership for your self. We work as a team and this requires that each person be in the right place at the right time. Basically, when you are late or miss a shift, it hurts the team's ability to succeed.

- If you are sick or have a family emergency, you must notify the Manager on duty at least two hours before your scheduled start of your shift. If you are going to be late, call ASAP with your probable time of arrival.
- Schedules are weekly and will be posted by Friclay of the previous week. It is your responsibility to know your schedule in advance. Do not call in for your schedule. Schedules can change from week to week depending on business. Any schedule requests are just that. We will try to accommodate special requests but cannot and will not guarantee it. Covering a scheduled shift is your responsibility and must be approved in advance by a Manager.
- There will be a shift change $\log$ at the hostess stand. The shift change will be logged with the correct date with the shift times. It must be signed by both staff members and then approved and signed by the manager. Management will determine whether or not the shift change is approved based on what is best for Trump National Golf Club. Ultimately, the person scheduled is responsible for covering the shift. If your replacement does not show up, it will be considered a no call/no show. If no one shows up for the shift, it will be grounds for termination.


## - IF YOU ARE ABSENT FROM A SHIFT AND YOU DO NOT NOTIFY

 MANAGEMENT, THIS IS CONSIDERED A NO CALL/NO SHOWCONFIDENTIAL

## PARKING

Parking is available in the maintenance lot on the left side of Ocean Trails Drive. We are not responsible for loss, damage or theft. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that you lock your vehicle. Shuttle service may be available, but is not provided at all times. Any use of any vehicle (i.e. personal vehicle, golf carts, etc.) outside designated areas is strictly prohibited.

## VISITORS

Staff members are not permitted to have friends or relatives visit them while at work unless they are patronizing the restaurant. At no time should friends or relatives be in the break room, staff locker room, or any back of the house area.

## PERSONAL CALLS/CELL PHONES

No employee shall receive or place personal phone calls on Trump National Golf Club phone lines. Emergencies, of course, are the exception. Cell phone use is prohibited while punched in through the time clock. You may do so in the break room while on a break.

## EMPLOYEE MEALS

Employee meals are provided at no extra charge here at Trump national Golf Club. No eating while you are working, especially in the kitchen. It may only be done while on a break in a properly designated area.

## CONFIDENTIAL
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Please see the below excerpt from the Employee Manual that you signed upon your hiring as it relates to meal and rest periods page 13 of 42 :

## E. BREAK TIMES

Non-exempt employees MUST take a ten (10) minute break near the middle of each four (4) hour segment of each workday.

## F. MEAL PERIODS

Non-exempt employees MUST take a meal period of not less than one half hour within the first five and one-halt hours of their work schedule. Some employees may be scheduled for a normal one (1) hour lunch period, If you leave the premises you are required to punch out. Employees on a daily work schedule of six (6) hours or less may waive their unpaid lunch meal period by mutual written consent with their supervisor.

If there are any issues, concerns, or questions regarding this, or if you have issues or concerns regarding this policy in the future, please notify me immediately so that I may be of assistance.

David Conforti
General Manager/Director of Golf
One Ocean Trails Drive
Rancho Palls Verses, CA 90275
310.303 .3244
$310.265,5522$ ( fax)

## Memorandum

To:
From:
CC:
Date;
Subject:

All Staff Members David Conforti Tom Sperandeo, Maricela Ferias Thursday, 16 April 2009 New Time Clocks

With our new time clocks, we have some new capabilities. One of the things we can now do is ensure that all hourly staff members are getting and taking their proper meal periods as set forth at page 13 of the Employee Manual. Effective Monday, April 20, 2009, we will expect all hourly staff members to start clocking out and in for lunch.

Hourly employees who work 5 or more hours must take a 30 -minute meal period. Meal periods must be at least 30 minutes in duration, and it is our expectation that you will not clock back in or start working again until the completion of a full 30 minutes. There will be a 5 -minute grace period following the expiration of the 30 -minute period in which to clock back in. Lunch periods are to be uninterrupted and duty free. You may leave the Club if you wish, but we expect you to let your supervisor know if you are going to be leaving the property. You are not allowed to miss part or all of any meal period without prior approval from your supervisor or Human Resources.

As for lunch times, effective April 20, food will be available daily from 10:00 to 11:00 am, and from $3: 30$ to $4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$. Other policies regarding the Staff meal such as not taking food home and using the appropriate containers remain in effect.

If you work a shift of more than 5 , but 6 or less, hours, you may agree to waive your 30 -minute meal period waiver and leave the work place 30 minutes early. In order to do 50 , you must have a Meal Period Waiver Agreement that is signed by you and the Club and that is in effect. Similarly, if you work 10 or more hours, you are entitled to a second 30 -minute meal period, which can be waived if you work less than 12 hours, if you have a Meal Period Waiver Agreement that is signed by you and the Club that is in effect, and if you actually took meal period. If you do waive a meal period as set forth in this pan and took your first to take a proper rest period (break) as described below in paragraph, you still are expected take a proper rest period (break) as described below in the next paragraph.

It is not necessary for you to clock out and in for your rest periods. For your rest periods, it is our expectation that you will take a full 10 -minute break for every 4 hours or major fraction thereof that you work. As with meal periods, breaks shouid be duty free and uninterrupted. Also, as with meal periods, you must get prior approval from your supervisor or Human Resources before you ever miss a break.

We will be following up with you to make sure you are taking your meal periods and rest periods. And, we will also be asking you to sign an acknowledgment that you are taking them when you sign your time sheets every two weeks.

If there are any questions in this regard, please direct them immediately to Tom or Mariela. As ever, you may also address any questions with myself or your immediate department heads as well. Thank you for your continued fine efforts thus far for the year as we continue to wade our way through these challenging economic times.
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##  <br> EMPLOYEE WARNING NOTICE

fimpormen DAub PerRy
petra bock ( (0)
Date of Warming


## TYPE OF VIOLATION:



If Violation of Company Policy or Procedure $\square$ Willful Damage to Material or Equipment I) Other

TYPE OF WARNING; $\square$ Written Verbal (Verbal waning does not require employee signature)
SUPERVISOR STATEMENT: Date of Incident $\frac{21}{\text { Mo. }} \frac{141}{\text { Day }} \frac{0 B}{\text { Year }}$ Time $\approx 830$ am. or pom. In the throes of the busiest night of the year thus for for
 cere n assigned sis that the strafe uni more appacanibitel, read to band lo Me trafici , Me. Percy left for at least 12 min ter to me be
 Leaves the property during sech a busy nite.

EMPLOYEE STATEMENT: I agree with Supervisor's statement. I disagree with Supervisor's description of violation for these reascrus: Wail mesticalasalotor date. DP

## ACTION TO BE TAKEN:

D Warring II Probation
$\square$ Suspension Dismissal Other Consequence should incident occur again: No. Perry will be suspended under the week of 25 February. If this happens again, he will be

I have read this Employee Warning Notice and understand it.


## Employee Name - AVID PERRU

## Department <br> $\qquad$ <br> 10

## TYPE OF VIOLATION: <br> $\square$ Attendance <br> $\square$ Lateness or Early Quit

 (1) Written Verbal (Verbal warning does not require employee signature) SUPERVISOR STATEMENT: Date of Incident $\frac{9}{\text { Mo. }} \frac{4}{\text { Day }} \frac{18}{\text { year }}$ Time $7: 30$ Rom or $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}$. Lommi/arinial to work this morning, there were ll car ort 100 it
 ain hats. It necessitated me maine to cover voting p on, th their



EMPLOYEE STATEMENT: $\begin{aligned} & \square \\ & \text { I I agree with Supervisor's statement. } \\ & \text { I disagree with Supervisor's description of violation for these reasons: }\end{aligned}$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$



I have read this Employee Warning Notice and understand it,
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## Employee Time Sheet

## Date Range: $12 / 24 / 2007-1 / 6 / 2008$

| Sompany | 01 | Trump National Golf Club-L.A. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pepartment | 43 | Cafe |

Messerschmudt, Lucy
10\% $\quad 063891$
Clock \#: $\quad 876$


CONFIDENTIAL
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## Memorandum <br> To: All Staff Members <br> From: Joey Kim <br> cc: David Conforti <br> Date: November 24, 2009 <br> Subject: Break time

Valets, Starters and Player Hosts,
A meeting will be scheduled sometime next week concerning our break time format. Until then if you should have any questions please do not hesitate to ask Joey or Human resources.

- 5 hour shift $=$ No 30 minute break required.
- 5 hours - up shift $=$ requires a 30 minute break.
- Must work at least 3 hours of your shift before taking a 30-minute break.
- Must not exceed 5 hours before taking your $\mathbf{3 0}$-minute break. (Suggest taking the 30 -minute break 4 to $4 \frac{1}{2}$ hours into your shift $/$ schedule will reflect your designated break time and any deviations will require a managers approval.
- The WAIVER FORM can only be used if working a shift that is no less than 5 hours and no more than 6 hours (translation, a 5 to 6 hour shift). The waiver needs to be signed each time you chose to use it.
- Always notify your department supervisor and co-workers before leaving your post for your 30 -minute break.
- Anything less than a 30 -minute break is unacceptable (i.e. 24 minutes, 27 minutes, 28 minutes, 29 minutes). An allowance of a few minutes exceeding the 30 minutes is acceptable as long as it is not excessive (i.e. 36 minutes).
- $100 \%$ compliance is expected from $100 \%$ of the entire staff $100 \%$ of the time!
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## Joey Kim

From: Joey Kim [jkim@drumpnational.com]<br>Sent: Thursday, September 04, 2008 5:43 PM<br>To: davidconforti@trumpnational.com<br>Subject: if you have time

Hey David, if we can discuss Kyle Faucette possible full time status. He has been asking me to arrange a meeting. Also, if we can regroup John Sanchez position and pay rate that would be great. John expressed to me the other day that due to the extremely low tip outs lately, he doesn't know how much longer he can stay here. Having said that, 1 feel that this meeting and bump in pay will come at a good time. I cant afford to loose either one of them. In addition, I have given the Dave Perry issue some thought today.... I feel that enforcing policy and procedure is extremely important and little or no mercy should be given for second offenders. However, I also feel that there comes a time when you have to think about what will be better for the facility, team and overall operation not to mention the busy months we have in front of us. We have lost a good amount of solid staff members in our quest to uphold and enforce company policy (that's a good thing and something that never existed during MVDG reign), but I think in this case we are paying too high of a PRICE just to send the message to the team. Accountability is important but crippling the platoon before the battle is HUGE. The team is currently at an all time low as far as experience and quality. Although $I$ am in the process of rebuilding, losing a pillar at this time will be concerning. Please let me know your thoughts ca qhis matter at your soonest. Finally, if we can arrange a meeting with Sanchez and me so we can go over the Concourse details that would be great. I plan to have a outside service meeting after and I will go over the info with the team.

Thanks,
Joey

This e-mail message, and any attachments to it, are for the sole use of the intended recipients, and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution of this email message or its attachments is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the company. Finally, while the company uses virus protection, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. The company accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email.

## Exhibit 68

RE: lunch breaks: Consider this memo to be a plea for help from me to the outside service staff. First of all just as a reminder, that lunch shifts are mandatory and must be for a period of thirty minutes (no more or no less). I need everyone to understand and comply with this procedure without fail. After reviewing the time sheets for a period of two weeks, I've noticed that we have some team members that do one-of-two things: 1.) Fail to take a lunch break, 2.) Take too short of a lunch break, 3.) Take too long of a lunch break. This has to stop now. We are all responsible adults and I feel confident that we can get this right. I would like to be able to trust in each and every one of you that from this day forward, it will no longer be an issue. One might consider wearing a watch and setting the timer in order to avoid this type of violation. Either way, 100\% compliance from this day forward will be required. Any future issues in this matter will need to be addressed with a write-up leading up to termination of employment. Please help me to avoid taking such measures. Taking our lunch breaks is an easy thing to do guys! Thank you very much for your understanding in this matter. JOEY-

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this action; my business address is 1541 Ocean Avenue, Suite 200, Santa Monica, California 90401.

On July 20, 2012 I served Plaintiffs Lucy Messerschmidt's and Dave Perry's Joint Notice of Motion and Motion for Class Certification; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof; Declarations of Plaintiffs Dave Perry and Lucy Messerschmidt and 24 Witnesses and Plaintiffs' Counsel Anthony J. Orshansky and Jeffrey W. Cowan the interested parties in said action as indicated below:

Jill Martin, Esq.<br>Trump National Golf Club<br>One Ocean Trails Drive<br>Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275<br>[Attorneys for Defendant]

I ] [BY MAIL] by placing a copy of said document for collection and mailing on the date indicated above, in a sealed envelope(s), addressed as set forth above, pursuant to ordinary business practices. I am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service at Santa Monica, California on that same day in the ordinary course of business.
[ $x$ ] [BY OVERNIGHT COURIER] I caused to be delivered to and served by overnight courier Federal Express (next day delivery) on all interested parties in said action, the above named document(s) by placing true copies thereof in enclosed sealed envelopes, delivery fees paid or provided for, and addressed as set forth above.
[X] [STATE] I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Califormia that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 20, 2012, at Santa Monica, California.

Tammy Nguyen


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ To make things worse, Trump's policies violated the law about when a rest break is earned by stating that a 10 minute break came with every "four hours" worked, not "major part thereof. It also was wrong about meal break timing.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Tom Sperandeo, the controller and director of HR at Trump (and also in the pre-Trump era when the public golf club was the Ocean Trails Golf Club) testified that he studied "Human Resources" as part of his business major at Georgia Southern University, but could not any identify subject matters covered other than "collective bargaining, like unions and stuff like that." He also testified to taking no steps on his own to keep up with employment law topics (e.g., taking courses on his own, joining a professional organization for HR professionals, or reading HR journals) while he putatively was in charge of HR issues like wage and hour compliance at Trump. And although Mr. Sperandeo testified to getting training in civil rights law while serving in the Coast Guard Reserves, the only kind of unlawful harassment he was able to identify was sexual harassment. See Sperandeo Depo., pp 19:21-22:7; 25:5-28:15; 42:9-43:15; 49:16-22; 50:6-24.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Sperandeo Depo., p. 56:11-25; van der Goes Depo. 41:21-42:1; Conforti Depo. 57:22-59;16 In addition, before 2007 Mr. Sperando attended on an annual or bi-annual a day-long presentation that Trump's initial California lawyer Paul Fleck presented. Sperandeo Depo p. 98:3-23.
    ${ }^{4}$ By skimping this way, Trump deviated from its president's core philosophy, articulated 25 years ago: "I have a very simple rule when it comes to management: hire the best people from your competitors, pay them more than they were earning, and give them bonuses and incentives based on their performance. That's how you build a first-class operation." Art of the Deal, pp. 146-147 (emphasis added).
    ${ }^{5}$ Sperandeo Depo., pp. 58:1-60:1; see also Ex. $N$ to the Cowan Decl.

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ There is numerosity (hundreds of aggrieved current and former employees, whose numbers and identities already have been ascertained from Trump's records). Common issues of fact and law (managers and employees alike have testified how employees were always pushed to keep their breaks as short as possible) exist and predominate because all of these employees suffered the same treatment from the same managers, which in turn flowed from the same corporate culture and the same incorrect belief that employees did not have to receive 30 minute meal breaks if they were being paid for the time they spent eating, Similarly, typicality exists: Plaintiffs Lucy Messerschmidt and Dave Perry's claims (and testimony) about being denied their meal and rest breaks are consistent with the testimony of all the other employees (and managers) about how breaks were discouraged and employees were always told to keep them as short as possible.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ The community of interest requirement involves three factors: "(1) predominant common questions of law or fact; (2) class representatives with claims or defenses typical of the class; and (3) class representatives who can adequately represent the class." Ibid. The court must also determine that a class action proceeding is superior to alternate means for

[^4]:    ${ }^{10}$ California law authorizes the Court to certify classes with regard to particular issues and divide the class into subclasses. See CRC Rule 3.765 (b). A motion for certification is not an all-or-nothing proposition; rather, given the public policy in favor of class actions, the Court should consider creative approaches for bundling claims together for efficient judicial administration: "If the factual circumstances underlying class members' claims differ, or if class members disagree as to the proper theory of liability, the trial judge, through use of techniques like subclassing or [other judicial] intervention, may incorporate the class differences into the litigative process, and give all class members their due in deciding what is the proper outcome of the litigation." Richmond, supra, 29 Cal.3d at 473 ; Aguiar v. Cintas Corp., No 2 (2006) 144 Cal.App.4th 121, 135 (reversing trial court's denial of class certification where commonality, ascertainability, and superiority could be overcome through use of subclasses).
    ${ }^{11}$ See, e.g., Employee Manual (2003 ed.), p. 7 (DLM00053), Ex. 1 to van der Goes Tr., which is attached as Ex. 1 to Cowan Decl.; Employee Manual (2006 ed.), p. 10 (DLM00014), Ex. 2 to van der Goes Tr.
    ${ }^{12}$ See Sperandeo Depo. Tr. 132:19-134:18 (Trump retained hard copies of time records for nonexempt hourly employees for at least seven years, potentially indefinitely for electronic records ; van der Goes Depo. Tr. 133:23-134:13 (same).

[^5]:    ${ }^{16}$ The Supreme Court has recognized that the existence and extent of common questions of law and fact is the "ultimate

[^6]:    Plaintiffs' Joint Notice of Motion and Motion for Class Certification; Memo of Ps \& As; Supporting Declarations

[^7]:    ${ }^{17}$ See, e.g., Conforti Depo. Tr. 96:12-18, van der Goes Depo Tr. 108:1-14, 108:1-20
    ${ }^{18}$ Conforti Depo. Tr. 124:10-17; Kim Depo. Tr. 67:5-68:6; Sperandeo Tr. 112:18-113:5 (head of HR testifying that he discharged his job duties in essentially the same way throughout his employment from 2003/2004 to May 2010).
    ${ }^{19}$ Labor Code § 512(a) provides, "An employer may not employ an employee for a work period of more than five hours per day without providing the employee with a meal period of not less than 30 minutes... An employer may not employ an employee for a work period of more than 10 hours per day without providing the employee with a second meal period of not less than 30 minutes," (Emphasis added).

[^8]:    ${ }^{20}$ Labor Code § 226.7 (a) states, "No employer shall require any employee to work during any meal...period." Labor Code $\S 226.7$ (b) states, "If an employer fails to provide an employee a meal period . . . the employer shall pay the employee one additional hour of pay at the employee's regular rate of compensation for each work day that the meal...period is not provided."
    ${ }^{21}$ See also Murphy v. Kenneth Cole (2007) 40 Cal.4th 1094, 1104. "The meal break is not limited to the right to eat; rather, employees must be free to attend to any personal business they may choose during the 30 -minute period." Ricaldai v. U.S. Investigations Services, LLC (C.D. Cal. 2012) 2012 WL 1900660, *1.

[^9]:    ${ }^{22}$ Employee Manual (2003 ed.), p. 8 (DLM00054), Ex. 1 to van der Goes Tr., Employee Manual (2006 ed.), p. 13 (DLM00017), Ex. 2 to van der Goes Tr. These are, respectively, Exhibits $1 \& 2$ to the Cowan Declaration.
    ${ }^{23}$ Indeed, the Employee Handbook was the principal source through which the managers themselves-including the General Manager of the entire club-formed an understanding of Trump's meal-period legal obligations and allegedly discharged those obligations. (van der Goes $\operatorname{Tr} .41: 10-20,88: 8-20 ; 113: 24-114: 4$.)

[^10]:    ${ }^{34}$ See, e.g., Conforti Depo. 115:18-116:2; 116:9-13; Amini Depo. Tr. 112:19-113:10; Kwiatkowski Decl. If 5; West Decl. If 4; Thatcher Decl. ๆ 3; McDowell Decl. ๆ 3. Moreover, the only memorandum distributed to Class Members before the filing of the instant lawsuit blatantly violated California law. Titled "On Duty Employee Meal Policy," the document inveighed, "Employee Meal is a privilege not a right!" see van der Goes Depo. Tr., Ex. 6, p. 3, and admonished Class Members, "even during lunch and rest break[s], ... to stay in their job function roles." (Id., Ex. 6, p. 1, emphasis added.)
    ${ }^{35}$ For example, an April 16, 2009 memorandum to employees issued by then-GM David Conforti told Class Members that they must take a meal period when they work five or more hours, but the memo neither states that meal periods must begin before exceeding five hours of work nor explains the contradiction between the handbook and Trump's alleged undocumented policy. (Conforti Tr., Ex. 30.)
    ${ }^{36}$ See, e.g., Conforti Depo. Tr. 207:24-208:5; Amini Depo Tr. 103:4-104:7 (stating that Trump never trained employees regarding meal periods but that "I mean it is just - but it was known."); Kim Depo. Tr. 58: 3-11; Kwiatkowski Decl. ๆ 5; Plumley Decl. ๆ 3; Reyes Decl. ๆ 3; Thatcher Decl. ๆ 3; McDowell Decl. ๆ| 3; Alberto-Alvarez Decl. ๆ 3.)

[^11]:    ${ }^{37}$ See, e.g., Conforti Depo. Tr. 107:18-25 (admitting that he does not have a "deep understanding" of California mealperiod law); id. at $114: 20-24 ; 118: 14-119: 17$; van der Goes $\operatorname{Tr} .34: 5-8,41: 21-42: 1,88: 21-89: 15$ ("but if you did work more than six hours, you did have to take a thirty-minute break."), id. at 141:13-18, 141:24-142:2 (stating that Class Members were entitled to second meal periods only after working 10 hours of work, where law requires second meal periods before exceeding 10 hours); Sperandeo Tr. 152:14-19 (testifying that Class Members were entitled to meal period after five hours of work). (Kim Depo. Tr. 130:22-131:13; 132:20-133:3; 163:4-20; van der Goes Depo. Tr, 143:24144:11, 221:15-20; Hooker Decl. \$13; Kwiatkowski Decl. IT 5,8 (testifying to lack of training even after she became senior restaurant manager); Perry Decl. \$13.)
    ${ }^{38}$ Conforti Tr. 126:5-128:1 and 207:9-11 and Ex. 26, "Trump National Golf Club, Food and Beverage Orientation Manual, 2008, p. 9; Kim Depo. 58:22-59:3; id. at Ex. 66; Amini Depo. Tr. 112:19-113:10; Amini Depo. Tr., Ex. 6 (memo disseminated to Class Members enjoining them from "order[ing] food in the middle of your shift without manager's permission."); Plumley Decl. $\mathbb{1 / T 1} 3,4$; Thatcher Decl. \| 4; Sperandeo Tr. 144:21-145:14.)

[^12]:    ${ }^{41}$ See Conforti Depo. Tr. 207:24-208:11; 210:1-17; Plumley Decl. 9 9; Trump's Second Suppl. Rsp. to Perry's Special Interrogatories, Set Two, pp. 28-34 (stating that managers and supervisors such as Mr. Kim made food runs "on numerous occasions" for Class Members), attached to Orshansky Decl. as Ex. A. See also Kim Depo. Tr. 54:10-55:2 and id. at Ex. 68 (memo posted by Kim in November 2010 reminding Class Members not to work during their meal periods))
    ${ }^{42}$ Kim Depo. Tr. 151:24-152:8 ("Due to the fact that we supply the food and that they're getting paid on the clock while on their break, that constitutes their break."); Kwiatkowski Decl. 9 I 5; Plumley Decl. ๆ 4., Sperandeo Depo 135:17-136:16; 146:8-12

[^13]:    ${ }^{43}$ Sperandeo Depo. Tr. 135:17-24; Conforti Depo. Tr., Ex. 30 (instituting policy of clocking in and out for meal periods only as of April 16, 2009, after the instant lawsuits were filed; Trump's Rsp. to Perry's RFA's (Set One), nos. 1-15, attached to Orshansky Decl, as Ex. C; Trump's Rsps. to Messerschmidt's RFA's (Set One), nos. 1-15, 18 and $1^{\text {st }}$ set of form interrogatories, attached to Cowan Decl. as Ex. I-J; van der Goes Depo. Tr. 131:1-25.;
    ${ }^{44}$ Amini Depo. Tr. 120:6-121:21, 127:19-128:24 and 130:7-131:3; van der Goes Depo. Tr. 133:15-134:13 and 136:2023, 146:22-147:3, 156:13-157:2;165:18-22; Sperandeo Tr. 137:12-138:19. See also, e.g., Plumley Decl. ๆ 10; Thatcher Decl. If 11; McDowell Decl. If 6
    ${ }^{45}$ See, e.g. Sperandeo Tr. 146:8-12, 154:11-157:6, 275:14-276:21; Conforti Depo. Tr. 111:22-113:2 and 122:4-9; van der Goes Depo. Tr. 23:16-23 and 143:1-7; Plumley Decl. ๆ 11; Reyes Decl. ๆ 8; Thatcher Decl. ๆf 12; McDowell Decl. ๆf. 7.

[^14]:    ${ }^{46}$ Employee Manual (2003 ed.), p. 7 (DLM00053), attached as Ex. 1 to van der Goes Tr..; Employee Manual (2006 ed.), p. 10 (DLM00014), Ex. 2 to van der Goes Tr.
    ${ }^{47}$ Trump admits that it had centralized employment policies that never changed throughout the class period. As with meal breaks, Trump's managers (Conforti Depo. Tr. 96:12-18, 124:10-17; Kim Depo. Tr. 67:5-68:6; Alberto-Alvarez Decl. 1912 2.) Class Members required training and instruction because the flow of work prevented them from taking rest breaks without hurting the quality of service to customers. (Liu Decl. ๆ 5; Plumley Decl. ๆ 5; Reyes Decl. ๆ 3; McDowell Decl. § 3.) But again, Trump did not provide instruction or training to Class Members regarding its rest-break policy or their legal right to a break (e.g., staggering shifts, scheduling). (Id. at $\mathbb{1} 5$, s.f.; Sperandeo Tr . 191:23-192:18;West Decl. If 4; Hooker Decl. ๆ 6; Kwiatkowski Decl. ๆ 5; Plumley Decl. \| 3; Reyes Decl. § 3; Thatcher Decl. ๆ 3; McDowell Decl. ๆ 3; Alberto-Alvarez Decl. ๆ 3.) Instead, it just gave them the Employee Handbook, see Sperandeo Tr. 191:23-192:18; Kim

[^15]:    ${ }^{49}$ See, e.g., Conforti Depo. Tr. 130:19-131:2; Hooker Decl. If 11; Kwiatkowski Decl. 9ff 5; Reyes Decl. ๆ| 5; Thatcher Decl. 1 3; McDowell Decl. $\mathbb{1} 3$.
    
    
    

[^16]:    -3-
    Defendant's Responsfs to Special Interrogatories. Set Tirfi

[^17]:    VH PROPERTY CORP.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS, SET ONE

[^18]:    VH PROPERTY CORP.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FORM INTERROGATORIES - GENERAL, SET ONE

[^19]:    VH Property Corp.'s Responses to Planntiff's Form Interrogatories - General, Set one

[^20]:    cio Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles, One Ocean Trails Drive, Rancho Palos Verdes, California 90275
    tel: 3103033225
    fax: 3102655522
    jmartin@trumpnational.com

[^21]:    Second．vour client would cuffar

