Tomlinson, Jason

From:

Eriksen, James Paul

Sent:

Tuesday, March 25, 2014 4:27 PM

To:

Saffer, David

Cc:

Tomlinson, Jason; Inman, A. Keith

Subject:

RE: Heuser Documents

Yes, I am fine with this,

Thanks, Jim

James P. Eriksen, J.D. Senior Director of Estate and Gift Planning Health Sciences Campus University of Louisville (502) 852-6954 Cell (502) 523-2788 jim.eriksen@louisvjlle.edu www.uoflplannedalfts.org

From: Saffer, David [mailto:dsaffer@stites.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2014 3:02 PM

To: Eriksen, James Paul

Cc: Tomilnson, Jason; Inman, A. Keith Subject: RE: Heuser Documents

Per the LOI, the amount of the note is "the fair market value of [the] non-managing interest, as determined by a business valuation of [Sapulpa] conducted at the sole cost and expense of [Sapulpa]". I don't think that's been completed yet. I agree that the plan is for the note to be Henry's cost basis but to jump through their tax hoops they will need that valuation. Provided you are ok, I will ask about that valuation and the corresponding amount of the note when I send my comments. Thanks.

From: Eriksen,James Paul [mailto:jim.eriksen@louisville.edu]

Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2014 2:57 PM

To: Saffer, David

Cc: Tomlinson, Jason; Inman, A. Keith Subject: RE: Heuser Documents

Hi David,

The amount of the promissory note is Henry's cost basis or \$3.5mm. Go ahead and add that to your comments. My understanding is that the Phase 1 is in process.

Thanks, Jim

James P. Eriksen, J.D. Senior Director of Estate and Gift Planning Health Sciences Campus University of Louisville (502) 852-6954

Cell <u>(502) 523-2788</u> <u>jim.eriksen@louisville.edu</u> www.uofiplannedgifts.org

From: Saffer, David [mailto:dsaffer@stites.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2014 2:55 PM

To: Eriksen, James Paul

Ce: Tomilnson, Jason; Inrnan, A. Keith Subject; RE: Heuser Documents

Great. Before I send these out I think we should decide next steps. The email from Ted talks about "getting this wrapped up" this week. I don't know what that means given that we don't have an amount for the promissory note or a Phase I, but I suspect someone will start pushing.

Jason: Have you heard from Kathleen about proceeding with this absent a Foundation Board meeting? If not, once [know what wrapping it up means I will email her.

Thanks,

From: Eriksen, James Paul [mailto:jim.eriksen@louisville.edu]

Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2014 2:51 PM

To: Saffer, David

Cc: Tomlinson, Jason; Inman, A. Keith Subject: RE: Heuser Documents

David: Thank you for your responses. For Items 2 & 3, 1 think if you are comfortable with Section 2 I am fine with it. For item 5 (Operating Agreement Para. 8.5), I think we should not request a change but leave it as is.

Thanks, Jim

James P. Eriksen, J.D.
Senior Director of Estate and Gift Planning
Health Sciences Campus
University of Louisville
(502) 852-6954
Cell (502) 523-2788
jim.eriksen@louisville.edu
www.uoflplannedqifts.org

From: Saffer, David [mailto:dsaffer@stites.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2014 2:38 PM

To: Eriksen, James Paul

Cc: Tomlinson, Jason; Inman, A. Keith Subject: RE: Heuser Documents

Jim: Please see my responses in ALL CAPS below. Once you have reviewed, please confirm I am ok to send the comments. Thanks

From: Eriksen,James Paul [mailto:jim.eriksen@louisville.edu]

Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2014 1:05 PM

To: Saffer, David

Cc: Tomlinson, Jason; Inman, A. Keith Subject: RE: Heuser Documents I concur with your amendments to the documents. After reviewing the documents, I have the following comments:

- 1. Nonrecourse Promissory Note: My only comment is that the Note refers to a "Pledge Agreement" (last paragraph) but the agreement attached is titled "Security Agreement". GOOD CATCH; REVISED
- 2. Security Agreement: Under Para, 1, "Grant of Security Interest," the language indicates, inter alia, that the collateral for the note includes "all payments" under the Operating Agreement. It seems this might create a right to the payments that the Foundation receives (1/3 of net operating profits) that are not subject to the Note pay down provision. I recommend that we clarify that monles received by the Foundation under the Operating Agreement are specifically excluded.
- 3. Security Agreement: Under Para, 2, "Rights of the Foundation: Rental Income," I think we need to clarify that the 1/3 of the income and distributions are exempted from the collateral language of Para. 1.
- 4. Operating Agreement: Para, 7.1 (c)(14): The last phrase ("...reasonable.documentation for such expenses.") seems to only qualify subpara. (vi). I suggest amending it to "...reasonable documentation for all such expenses
- 5. Operating Agreement: Para. 8.5: It seems like we need to have the ability to withdraw should we choose to

Thanks, Jim

James P. Eriksen, J.D. Senior Director of Estate and Gift Planning Health Sciences Campus University of Louisville (502) 852-6954 Cell (502) 523-2788 jim.eriksen@louisville.edu www.uofiplannedgifts.org

From: Saffer, David [mailto:dsaffer@stites.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2014 10:16 AM

To: Tomlinson, Jason; Eriksen, James Paul; Inman, A. Keith

Subject: Heuser Documents

Everyone: I have attached my comments to the various documents provided by Ted King yesterday. Please let me know if you have additional comments or questions. Once I have collected all of the comments I will pass them on to Ted.

Thanks.

David E. Saffer Member Direct: 502-681-0547 Mobile: 502-741-3112 Fax: 502-779-8361 dselfer@stites.com

STITES&HARBISON PLLC

400 West Market Street, Suile 1800, Louisville, KY 40202-3352 About Stites & Harbison | Bio | V-Card Please consider the environment before printing this email.

NOTICE: This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain or forward this message or any attachment. Please notify the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments. Noting the transmission of this message or any attachment, nor any error in transmission, constitutes a waiver of any applicable legal privilege. To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding penalties under the internal Revenue Code.