U.S. Department of State

CERTIFICATE TO BE ATTACHED TO DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE ACCOMPANYING REQUISITIONS IN THE UNITED STATES FOR EXTRADITION

AMERICAN FOREIGN SERVICE

Mexico City, Mexico, January 10th, 2011
Place and Date *(mm-dd-yyyy)*

Edward J. McKeon, Minister Counselor for Consular Affairs
Name, Title

[illegible] America at Mexico, D.F., Mexico

[illegible] the annexed papers, being Supporting Documentation

[illegible] upon an application for the extradition from the United States of America

Bruce Ainsley Beresford Redman,

charged [illegible] crime of Aggravated Homicide

[illegible] committed in the United Mexican States

are [illegible] authenticated so as to entitle them to be received in evidence for similar purposes by

the [illegible] the United Mexican States,

[illegible] 18, United States Code, Section 3190.

[illegible] hereunto sign my name and cause my seal of office to be affixed

[illegible] 10 day of January, 2011
Month and Year

Signature

Edward J. McKeon, M.C.C.A.
Type Name and Title of Certifying Officer of the United States of America.

DS-96
04-2007

UNITED MEXICAN STATES)
FEDERAL DISTRICT)
CITY OF MEXICO) SS:
EMBASSY OF THE UNITED STATES)
OF AMERICA)

Before me, LUKE SCHTELE VICE CONSUL, Consul of the United States of America at Mexico, D. F., Mexico, duly commissioned and qualified, personally appeared Nadia Alcaraz Torres who, being duly sworn deposes and says as follows:

1. My name is Nadia A. Alcaraz Torres and I reside at Mexico City
2. I have been familiar with the English and Spanish languages for the past 15 years, I made the annexed translation from Spanish to English. The said translation is to the best of my knowledge and belief a true and exact translation of the original document.

And further deponent saith not.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day JAN 10 2011.

LUKE SCHTELE
VICE CONSUL

Consul of the United States
of America

UNITED MEXICAN STATES)
FEDERAL DISTRICT)
CITY OF MEXICO) SS:
EMBASSY OF THE UNITED STATES)
OF AMERICA)

Before me, LUKE SCHTELE, VICE CONSUL, Consul of the United States of America at Mexico, D. F., Mexico, duly commissioned and qualified, personally appeared Georgina Y. Trujillo Velasco. who, being duly sworn deposes and says as follows:

1. My name is Georgina Yasmín Trujillo Velasco and I reside at Mexico City.

2. I have been familiar with the English and Spanish languages for the past 14 years, I made the annexed translation from Spanish to English. The said translation is to the best of my knowledge and belief a true and exact translation of the original document.

And further deponent saith not.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day JAN 10 2011

LUKE SCHTELE
VICE CONSUL

Consul of the United States
of America

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

UNITED MEXICAN STATES
VS
BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN.

CRIMINAL CASE: 216/2010 OF THE SECOND TRIAL COURT IN THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO.

SWORN AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF FORMAL EXTRADITION REQUEST

The undersigned, Graciela Lopez Cruz, under oath to tell the truth, states as follows:

I am a citizen of the United Mexican States, working in Mexico City, Federal District, with a Law degree, a graduate from the Law Department of the Autonomous University of Puebla and professional license to practice Law registered in the archives of the General Division of Professions of the Ministry of Public Education, of legal age, with 10 years of professional practice, domiciled at Avenida Paseo de la Reforma number 211 second floor, colonia Cuauhtemoc in Mexico City, Federal District.

Since August 1, 2003, I have been working as an Agent of the Federal Public Prosecutor, currently assigned to the General Division of Extraditions and Legal Assistance of the Office of the Assistant Attorney General of Legal and International Affairs in the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic.

As a legal professional and carrying out the aforementioned duties, I have full knowledge of Federal Criminal Law and Federal Criminal Procedure in Mexico.

Currently, amongst my duties, I have been entrusted to carry out and monitor international extradition proceedings filed by the Mexican government. Therefore, I have full knowledge of international criminal law, and in compliance with my duties and under oath to tell the truth, I manifest that, in this General Division of Extraditions and Legal Assistance, there was opened the international extradition file of **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN.**

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE IN THE MEXICAN LAW
(STATE OF QUINTANA ROO)

In Quintana Roo, Mexico, the Public Prosecutor is solely responsible for exercising criminal action. The purpose of this action is, in accordance to the law of said State, requesting enforcement of the penalties set forth in the criminal laws, and requesting release of the accused persons.

Criminal proceedings are initiated from the moment in which an official of the Public Prosecutor or any of their assistants have knowledge of a fact that may be considered to be a crime.

Judicial Police officials and officers have the obligation to investigate ex-officio[1] those state crimes of which they have notice. Any person who knows of the commission of a crime which should be pursued ex-officio has the obligation to notify the same to the Public Prosecutor and, if urgent, to any police officer or official.

Accusations and complaints may be formulated verbally or in writing. If the accusation or complaint is verbal, this shall be noted in the record formulated by the official who receives the same.

If the officials of the Public Prosecutor have knowledge of the probable existence of a crime which must pursued ex-officio, they shall provide protection and aid to the victims; prevent the loss, destruction or alteration of the prints or traces of the criminal conduct, the instruments or objects or effects of the crime. Furthermore, the officials shall obtain knowledge about the persons who were witnesses, prevent hindrance to the investigation, and prevent continuing commission of the crime.

The agent of the Public Prosecutor who initiates a preliminary investigation may summon and make appear, before them those persons who in any manner participated in or appear to have useful knowledge or information concerning said crime so as conduct to render a statement regarding the criminal conduct under investigation.

[1] These are crimes which the Public Prosecutor may start investigating just after knowing by any means about them and their commission.

The respective record shall contain the name of the person who mentioned the person who is to be summoned or the reason why the official carrying out the proceeding deemed it convenient to summon said person.

Immediately after the preliminary investigation discloses that the requirements set forth in article 16 of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States have been met in order to detain a person accused, the Public Prosecutor shall exercise criminal action, classifying the crime or crimes which at the latter's discretion are constituted and describing the conduct which falls into the criminal definition, as well as the legal provisions which stipulate the latter and are the basis of the investigative power. The Public Prosecutor shall place the detainees in custody of the authority with competent jurisdiction, in the corresponding installations.

In exercising the criminal action, the Public Prosecutor is responsible for furthering the commencement of judicial proceedings, requesting the orders for preliminary appearances and arrest warrants, which are appropriate. Furthermore, the Public Prosecutor is responsible for requesting the precautionary seizure of assets intended to redress damages, producing evidence proving the existence of the crimes and the accused persons' responsibility, requesting application of the respective penalties, and, in general, carrying out all the proceedings pertinent directed toward the normal course of the prosecution.

The criminal definition shall be considered proven if the existence of the elements constituting the criminal conduct is justified, as determined by the criminal law. The preceding does not apply to those crimes for which special evidence is otherwise established.

The elements of the criminal definition shall be understood as those which to date have constituted the *corpus delicti*. Therefore, in all the articles of this Code which refer to said concept, this denomination shall be considered equal to the elements of the criminal definition.

In case of a homicide, the criminal definition shall be considered proven with the inspection and description of the corpse made on the terms of the foregoing articles, and

with the expert report issued by the physician who practiced the autopsy and stated the condition of the corpse, and the causes of death.

In order to prove the criminal definition, the agent of the Public Prosecutor is entitled to use the broadest activities to use, in the latter's discretion, the pertinent investigative means. This applies despite that said means are not set forth in the law, provided that the same are not prohibited by the selfsame law.

The instruments and objects or effects of the crime, as well as the preceding on which there are found traces of the crime, or may be related to it, shall be seized whether by collection, judicial seizure or merely by placing them in custody and under the responsibility of a person. The latter person shall attempt that the preceding are not altered, destroyed or lost.

In accordance to the preceding article, an inventory of the items seized shall be formulated. Said inventory shall include a description which allows the identification of the items at any time.

Identification of the body shall be made in compliance with the following rules:

I. The identification may be made by any legal evidentiary means;
II. Should it not be possible to identify a body by any legal evidentiary means within the twelve hours following the time at which the body was removed, the body shall be placed for public view in the installations allocated for such purpose during a twenty-four hour term. The preceding does not apply if, according to a medical expert opinion, such exposure endangers general public health;
III. If the corpse's face is disfigured and it is difficult to identify, the corpse's face shall be reconstructed, provided that it is possible to do so;
IV. Should it not be able to identify the corpse, photographs of the same shall be taken, a copy of which shall be added to the investigation. Other copies of said photographs shall be placed in public places, along with all information useful to identify the corpse. Furthermore, all the persons who had known the deceased shall be urged to appear before the requesting authority so as to provide a statement regarding the identification of the body;
V. The clothing of the corpse shall be thoroughly described in the file. Said clothing shall

be kept in deposit and shown to identification witnesses.

After a thorough inspection and description is made by the Judicial Police official carrying out the preliminary proceedings and by a medical expert, the Public Prosecutor may deliver the corpse to whoever claims it. The Judicial Police officer and the medical expert shall specify the place where the corpse was placed under the custody of the competent authority and, if appropriate, remove the body to the place where autopsy would take place.

It is strictly forbidden to arrest any person if no arrest warrant has been issued by a judicial authority with competent jurisdiction in accordance to article 16 of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States. The terms of the foregoing article 16 shall not apply *in flagrante delicto* or urgent cases regarding the commission of serious crimes.

The crimes provided for in the following articles are considered serious crimes: article 86 related to 14 regarding intentional conduct, 87 related to the perpetrator of the crime who provoked the crime, 88, 89, 94, 100 (III), and last paragraph in connection with article 14 regarding intentional conduct, 117, 118, 119, 124, 127, 128, 129 second paragraph, 142 (II) related to 145 (I), (V) (VI) (VII) and (VIII), 148 Bis (II) and (III), 148 Ter (III), 149 Bis, 153 (XV), 156, 159 second paragraph, 171 first paragraph, 172 Bis, 172 Ter, 189 bis, 191, 192, 192 bis, 192 ter, 192 quater, 193 last paragraph, 194 second paragraph, 194 Quinquies, 202, 203, 204 and 268 (I), (II), (III), (IV) and (V) of the Criminal Code for the Free and Sovereign State of Quintana Roo.

When the requirements of constitutional article 16 have been met, at the Public Prosecutor's request, the Judge shall issue an arrest warrant against the accused person.

The arrest warrant shall contain a concise list of the facts which give rise to the same, its legal grounds and the provisional classification of the criminal conduct, and it shall immediately be remitted to the Public Prosecutor, so that the latter orders the police to execute said arrest warrant.

If there is the intention to arrest any person who location is unknown, the Judge issuing the warrant shall communicate this situation to the Attorney General and the assigned

agent of the Public Prosecutor, so that the Judicial Police may find and arrest said person. Whenever an arrest is made by virtue of a judicial order, the person who executes shall place the detained suspect, without delay, in custody of the corresponding Court, notifying said Court of the time at which the arrest took place.

If the accused person was arrested, detained or voluntarily appears before the authority with competent jurisdiction, the procedure stipulated hereinbelow shall be immediately followed:

I. There shall be recorded the day, time and place of arrest, detention or surrender, as well as the name and position of those who had effected the preceding.
II. The person in question shall be informed of the facts attributed to them and, if applicable, the name of the accuser, as well as of the following rights:

A. The person in question shall be entitled to immediately communicate with whomever they deem it convenient to do so;
B. The person in question shall be entitled to appoint defense counsel on the terms of the preceding article.
C. The person in question shall be entitled to not testify against himself. Consequently, the person in question may not be coerced to render any statement.
D. If the person in question does not speak or understand Spanish sufficiently, a translator shall be appointed, who shall inform said person in question of the rights referred to in this article.
If the person in question is a foreigner, the latter's detention shall be immediately communicated to the corresponding diplomatic or consular representation.

Finally, every accused person shall have the rights granted in their favor by the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States, of which they shall be informed, and shall have the right to have an appropriate defense by a counsel holding a duly registered professional license which proves the latter holds a Law degree. Should the accused person not be able or wish to appoint a defense counsel after he has been requested to do so, a public defender shall be appointed for them.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

GENERAL INFORMATION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

I have knowledge of criminal case number 216/2010, filed against **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**, in the Second Trial Court in the Judicial District of Cancun, Quintana Roo, since the undersigned reviewed and analyzed all the documentation that makes up the criminal process and the proceedings carried out by the investigative agent of the State Public Prosecutor assigned to the Office of the Attorney General of Quintana Roo, which gave rise to the aforementioned criminal case and the arrest warrant.

In criminal case number 216/2010, the person sought is accused of having taken the life of his wife Monica Burgos Beresford Redman by asphyxia on April 5, 2010, inside Hotel Resort Moon Palace Nizuc, located at kilometer 36.5 on the Federal Highway Cancun–Tulum, Quintana Roo.

On April 6, 2010, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** filed report regarding the disappearance of his wife Monica Burgos Beresford Redman. He stated that on April 5, 2010, he saw his wife for the last time approximately between 8:30 and 9:00 hours, since she had planned to do some shopping in Cancun, to go to a hotel's spa and to find a place where their children could swim with dolphins. He stated that he expected her to return around 22:00 hours on that same day; however, this did not occur.

On April 8, 2003, the investigative agent of the Public Prosecutor assigned to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Quinta Roo, was informed by the security personnel of the Hotel Resort Moon Palace Nizuc, located at kilometer 36.5 on the Federal Highway Cancun–Tulum, Quintana Roo, that inside the sewage tank denominated Moon Grand, maintenance supervisor Enrique Arena Lopez had found the body of a lifeless person. This was the body of Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.

The agent of the Public Prosecutor exercised criminal action against **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN,** before the Second Trial Judge in the Judicial District of Cancun, Quintana Roo. After considering that the evidence offered was sufficient to prove the materiality of the act and the probable responsibility of the accused person, on May 29, 2010, the latter judge **issued an arrest warrant against him on aggravated homicide, crime which is provided for by article 86 related to 106 (I), paragraph two and four,**

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

related to 14, paragraph two, and penalized with imprisonment by article 89, all said provisions of the Criminal Code for the State of Quintana Roo in force when the facts took place. The arrest warrant remains enforceable and executable for the aforementioned crime. **EXHIBIT 1**

CRIME AND APPLICABLE PENALTY

CRIMINAL CODE FOR THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO

SECOND TITLE
THE PUNISHABLE FACT
CHAPTER I
DEFINITION AND MODALITIES

ARTICLE 14.- The crime can be perpetrated intentionally, negligently or recklessly.

A person acts intentionally if knowing the objective circumstances of the fact criminally defined by law, said person intends or accepts the result considered unlawful by Law.

A person acts negligently if such person commits an act criminally defined by law which was foreseeable and which the person failed to foresee or foresaw trusting that he could impede it, thus contravening a civic duty that he could and should have performed according to his personal circumstances and conditions.

A person acts recklessly if the perpetrator causes a result criminally defined by law which is more serious than the one intended or accepted, provided that the crime is committed negligently.

CHAPTER III
PERPETRATION AND PARTICIPATION

ARTICLE 16.- The responsible persons for a crime are:

I. Those who commit the crime by themselves.
II. Those who commit the crime jointly with another perpetrator;
III. Those who commit the crime by means of a third person;
IV. Those who intentionally persuade another to commit it;

V. Those who intentionally assist or help the perpetrator to commit the crime; **VI.** Those who assist the perpetrator after the crime is perpetrated fulfilling a promise made before the crime was committed; and

VII. Those who intervene with others in the perpetration of the crime even if there is no proof concerning which of them produced the resulting action.

SECOND BOOK

SPECIAL SECTION

FIRST SECTION

CRIMES AGAINST INDIVIDUALS

FIRST TITLE

CRIMES AGAINST LIFE AND PHYSICAL WELLBEING

CHAPTER I

HOMICIDE

ARTICLE 86.- Whoever takes the life of another shall be penalized with six to eighteen years of imprisonment.

ARTICLE 89.- The perpetrator of aggravated homicide as defined in article 106 shall be penalized with twelve to thirty years of imprisonment.

CHAPTER V

GENERAL PROVISIONS FOR THE CRIMES OF HOMICIDE AND BODILY INJURIES

ARTICLE 106.- Homicide and bodily injuries are defined as aggravated:

I. If committed by means of malice aforethought, lying in wait, unfair advantage, or treachery;

There is malice aforethought if the offender has premeditated the commission of the crime of homicide or bodily injuries they intend to commit.

There is unfair advantage if the offender does not incur risk of death or injury by the victim.

There is lying in wait whenever the victim is intentionally taken by surprise by means of threats.

There is treachery if the trust promised to the victim, or the tacit trust that the victim should expect due to kinship, gratitude or friendship or any other relation which inspires trust with the offender is breached.

The applicable penalty for the contravention of article 106 of the Criminal Code for the State of Quintana Roo is from twelve to thirty years imprisonment.

The texts of the legal provisions, including the applicable penalty, are attached hereto as **EXHIBIT 2.** Each of these laws was duly passed and was in force when the crimes were committed and when the arrest warrant was issued against the accused person. The violation of any of these laws constitutes a crime in accordance with the provisions of the United Mexican States and is penalized with an imprisonment penalty whose maximum term shall not be less than one year.

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

SIXTH TITLE
STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS
CHAPTER I
GENERAL PROVISIONS

ARTICLE 76.- The statute of limitations extinguishes the criminal action and the penalties, it is personal and for it to take place the elapsing of time set forth by law is enough. Regarding the measures taken for non-chargeable persons, the provisions set forth in Article 78, paragraph four, and Article 84, second paragraph, of this Code shall be applicable.

The status of limitations shall be determined ex officio or at the request of a party.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

CHAPTER II

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS OF THE CRIMINAL ACTION

ARTICLE 77.- The term of the statute of limitations of the criminal action shall be continuous and it shall be counted:

I. From the moment in which the crime was perpetrated, if the crime is instantaneous;

II. From the day the last execution or omission act was carried out, if the crime was just attempted.

III. From the day the last criminal act was perpetrated, if it is a continuous crime.

IV. From the day the conduct stopped being carried out, if the crime is permanent.

ARTICLE 78.- The criminal action prescribes in a term equal to the arithmetic mean of the prison term established by law for that crime, but under no circumstance shall it be less than three years.

If the crime is penalized solely with a fine, the criminal action shall prescribe in one year. If in addition to imprisonment, the crime is penalized with another or an alternative penalty, the imprisonment penalty is barred by the same statute of limitations as applicable to the criminal action.

In all other cases, the criminal action shall prescribe in two years.

ARTICLE 81.- If a prior decision or finding from the appropriate authority is necessary in order to exercise or continue to exercise the criminal action, the statute of limitations shall not start running until such requirement is met.

The statute of limitations of the criminal action shall be interrupted by the proceedings that are carried out in order to investigate the crime, notwithstanding that said proceedings are not carried out against any determined individuals since the perpetrators are unknown.

If the proceedings are suspended, the statute of limitations shall begin to run again the day following the day that the last proceeding was carried out.

The statute of limitations shall also be interrupted if the accused commits another crime.

ARTICLE 82.- The provisions set forth in the preceding article do not include the cases in which the proceedings are carried out after half of the necessary term for prescription has elapsed. In such cases, the statute of limitations shall continue running and shall not be interrupted, unless the accused is arrested.

I have also included as **EXHIBIT 2** the true and exact legal provisions which govern the statute of limitations to prosecute **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** for the crime attributed to him.

I have fully reviewed the statute of limitations provisions and the prosecution of **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** is not barred by said law. Attached hereto as **EXHIBIT 3** you will official letter number PGJE/DP/SPZN/1980/2010 dated December 4, 2010, by means of which the Assistant Attorney General for the State of Quintana Roo informed that the arrest warrant is enforceable and executable, and that the statute of limitations term runs on **April 8, 2031.**

RELEVANT FACTS

BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN is accused that on April 5, 2010, inside the Hotel Resort Moon Palace Nizuc, located at kilometer 36.5 on Federal Highway Cancun-Tulum, Quintana Roo, he took the life of his wife Monica Burgos Beresford Redman by asphyxia.

The United Mexican States shall prove its case against **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** through witness statements, ministerial attestations, investigation by Judicial Police Officers from the State of Quintana Roo, expert opinions on chemistry, forensic medicine and criminalistics.[2]

On April 6, 2010, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** filed, before the Agent of

[2] The evidence presented before the Second Criminal Trial Court Judge of the Judicial District of Cancun, Quintana Roo, includes the legal grounds of all the investigation against the accused. This request contains only the statements and documents relevant to establishing the probable responsibility of BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN. Some of the

the State Public Prosecutor, a report regarding the disappearance of his wife Monica Burgos Beresford Redman: He stated that the last day he saw her was on April 5, 2010, between 8:30 a.m. and 9:00 a.m. since she had planned to do some shopping in Cancun, go to a spa of the hotel and find a place where their children could swim with dolphins. He expected her arrival around 22:00 p.m. that same day. **EXHIBIT 4**

On April 8, 2010, Enrique Arena Lopez stated before the Public Prosecutor that he was maintenance supervisor of the hotel Moon Palace Nizuc Resort, and that on April 6, 2010, he was informed by the supervisor of housekeepers of a guest who was missing in the facilities of the hotel. In like manner, he noticed the security personnel of the hotel was getting together to locate the missing person, that in that moment he found out said person was Monica Burgos, who was a Brazilian national.

Later, on April 8, 2010, approximately at 9:20 hours, Enrique Arena Lopez began his search in the hotel, specifically in the area of the sewage treatment plant; he noticed there was a lid of the cistern which was around 30 centimeters separated from its base; he went closer and saw part of a corpse floating there, he immediately called the Security Chief and the General Manager (later, it was corroborated that the lifeless corpse belonged to whom during lifetime was named Monica Burgos). **EXHIBIT 5**

On April 8, 2010, before the Public Prosecutor, Bertha Angelica Arroyo Ramirez stated that she has worked at RESORT MOON PALACE NIZUC for 8 years as a "concierge" (sic), and her job is to assist guests in their complaints, doubts or suggestions about the service provided in the facilities of the hotel Resort Moon Palace Nizuc. Bertha Angelica Arroyo Ramirez stated that on April 5, 2010, approximately at 8:30 hours, the guests of room 7806 with last name Cook, which was an English family, and told her they wanted to present a complaint since the guests in room 7816 were making a lot of noise, such as screams, crying and arguments since 6:00 hours, that these terrible noises had woken up their children.

Bertha Angelica Arroyo Ramirez corroborated that the guests of room 7816 were Monica Burgos and **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**, both American, in that moment Mr. Cook reiterated that his children had heard a lot of noise in room 7816, even that one of his children made certain the noise came from that room. Bertha Angelica Arroyo

witness statements have been edited so as to include the relevant facts of their testimony.

Ramirez reported what had occurred to the security staff of the Hotel so that they carried out the corresponding investigations.

In like manner, she called room 7816 and **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** answered, she told him about the Cook guest's complaint regarding noise and racket occurring in his room to which **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** textually answered her: "YES, IT'S TRUE, I WAS ARGUING WITH MY WIFE ON OUR CHILDREN'S BEHAVIOR, BUT IT WON'T HAPPEN AGAIN". **EXHIBIT 6**

On April 7, 2010, Erick Uriel Gonzalez Reyes stated before the Public Prosecutor that he worked at the Hotel Moon Palace Nizuc in the area known as Moon Grand, that on April 4, 2010, approximately at 20:30 hours, he was performing his duties onboard a golf cart with two of his workmates near the access to the restaurant "Los Tacos". Erick Uriel Gonzalez Reyes saw that Monica Burgos walking to the restaurant along with two young women, before entering, they met with **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN.**

Erick Uriel Gonzalez Reyes stated that when he was at approximately six meters close to them, he realized that Monica Burgos and the person sought were arguing, that Monica Burgos started crying and they both continued to argue for about ten minutes, when he saw this, he did not leave, he only moved to an area or jardinières. Erick Uriel Gonzalez Reyes observed as **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** tried to physically attack Monica Burgos, but when this individual realized he was being watch, he stopped. That was the last time he was Monica Burgos.

Erick Uriel Gonzalez Reyes, through a photograph recognized **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** as the person who on April 4, 2010 argued with Monica Burgos at the entrance of the restaurant "Los Tacós". **EXHIBIT 7**

On April 8, 2010, before the Public Prosecutor, Rodolfo Mogo Almeida stated that he has been working in the hotel denominated Moon Palace as a security assistant for the last 11 years, he stated that security procedures regarding the guests' registries in the hotel are efficient because each guest must be registered upon entering or exiting since there is only one access to the hotel which is by the federal highway where the barrier and the security booth are located to give access to or let vehicles pass.

In like manner, the license plates of each vehicle are registered as well as the color of the vehicle. The passengers are asked where they go and just the persons wearing bracelets, reservation forms or if they say they are going to pay for a "DAY PASS" may enter the hotel and are monitored. Rodolfo Mogo Almeida stated that the facilities are approximately two kilometers away from the booth and the policy regulations of the hotel do not allow any person to pass walking or riding a bicycle. The number of persons inside each vehicle is counted and registered.

Likewise, the exit is also very strict, the security personnel is obligated to register each person that leaves the Hotel by means of their bracelet, and in case the guest does not have one, they are asked their room number and the person is located on a list provided by the reception of the hotel, the persons leaving the hotel for the airport go through the same control procedure. Rodolfo Mogo Almeida clarified that the only exit through the beach is located in Nizuc Park which is more than 5 kilometers away, then this exit is quite difficult due to the distance. Also it is not easy to exit out through a vessel because the Navy registers the persons who board ships at the dock. **EXHIBIT 8**

On April 8, 2010, Carlos Mario Vazquez Olan stated, before the Public Prosecutor, that he works as a male housemaid at the Hotel Resort Moon Palace Nizuc, that on April 5, at about 8:30 hours, he went to room 7816 to do the cleaning of the day, but he realized that the door knob had the "do not disturb" card on, also known as the "privacy card", so he went to clean the other rooms.

Around 14:00 hours on that same day, Carlos Mario Vazquez Olan observed that the person sought left room 7816, so he approached him asking him if he wanted him to clean his room and **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** answered him he did not. Later at 15:00 hours, he reported to the office of the housekeeper that room 7816 had the "privacy card" on. Finally, he added that at 17:00 hours he called again the office of the housekeeper since room 7816 still had the "privacy card".

On April 7, 2010, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** stated once again before the Public Prosecutor that on March 31, 2010, arriving from Los Angeles, California, United States of America, he arrived to the city of Cancun, Quintana Roo, along with his family, his wife, Monica Burgos Beresford Redman and his two children, [redacted] aged 5, and [redacted] aged 3, both with the last names Burgos Beresford Redman. They went to the

Hotel Resort Moon Palace Nizuc, which is located at kilometer 36.5 on Federal Highway Cancun-Tulum, Quintana Roo, where they had booked room number 7816.

BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN, among other things, stated that he had suffered the injuries he had on the back of his neck, on his hands and feet from using a lifejacket and climbing a rocky wall in an underground river.

BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN stated that the night of April 4, 2010, he and his wife were talking about the activities they would have the following day, that is to say, on April 5 of that year, which gave rise to an argument, which took place because his wife Monica Burgos was angry because she wanted to have a day for her saying that when they were at home, he would go to work all day long and she stayed with the children therefore, she wanted them to trade places and that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** stayed to take care of their children [redacted] and [redacted], he clarified there were no yelling or punches.

BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN added that that night he could not sleep well since he had a stomachache and he constantly went to the bathroom; his son [redacted] and his wife Monica Burgos woke up at approximately 5:00 hours on April 5, 2010; his son, his wife and he himself stayed awake until 6:30 hours, while his daughter [redacted] slept. He stated that during the time they stayed awake, they played "Mater" which consists in crashing against the wall using the bed or any other object, to which his son screamed and laughed during the game. In like manner, they played pretending to be Japanese people and during this time they made a lot of noise, since they jumped on the floor and on the bed, then they fell asleep.

Around 8:00 hours his wife Monica Burgos left the bed; getting ready since she would do some shopping, go to a spa and go to find a place where their children could swim with dolphins, she left the room at 8:30 hours and it was the last time he saw her.

During that day **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** stayed with his children but when he noticed that his wife did not return, he started to get desperate; he fell asleep with his children. Approximately at 23:00 hours, he woke up and saw his wife Monica Burgos had not arrived, not being able to communicate with her since she had forgotten her cell phone. Around 5:00 hours on April 6, 2010, he fell asleep.

On that same day, approximately at 7:00 hours, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** called the lobby of the Hotel to inform the disappearance of his wife Monica Burgos but they told him he had to go to the area of customers service; when he was there, the person sought showed them photographs of his wife Monica Burgos to know if the hotel's employees had seen her, to which they told him he had to go to the Public Prosecutor's Office to inform about disappearance of his wife He stated that approximately at 11:00 hours when he arrived to the Public Prosecutor's Office, he was told that since the disappearance of his wife had taken place recently, he had to wait longer. Therefore, he decided to go to the American consulate to inform about the disappearance where he was told he had to file the corresponding report before the competent authorities; therefore, on that same day, he filed the report about his wife's disappearance.

In addition to the foregoing, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** stated he called Jeane Z. Burgos, sister of his wife, Monica Burgos, who lived in Los Angeles, California, United States of America to inform her about her sister's disappearance. Later, on April 7, 2010, he printed photographs of his wife, Monica Burgos, and placed them at plaza Kukulcan.

In like manner, he stated that during his matrimony with Monica Burgos he had problems, because, according to his statement, his wife had met a person and that had occurred approximately 5 or 6 years before. That during their matrimony, they had acquired a life insurance policy of which Monica Burgos was the beneficiary and would receive USD $5'000,000.00 (FIVE MILLION DOLLAR, U.S. CURRENCY 00/100) if the person sought died. In the opposite case, if his wife died, his children would receive USD $500,000.00 (FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLAR U.S. CURRENCY 00/100) each; **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** was not a beneficiary of any insurance policy.

In that moment, the Public Prosecutor asked the person sought about a guest in the Hotel who had reported having heard screams in room 7816; the person sought stated he did not know anything about this since the only screams coming from that room were when his son, his wife and he were playing; therefore, on that same day at 9:00 or 9:30 hours, he received a call from the concierge of the hotel who informed him there had been a complaint reporting noise coming from his room. The person sought apologized and

explained that while he was playing with his son they were screaming and making noise, but that would not happen again and that he never told the concierge he was fighting with his wife (a fact that contradicts with his initial statement and the concierge's statement).

BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN accepted to have a love relationship with Joy Pierce, who he has known for about 10 years, and that they have been working together for about 5 years. His wife Monica Burgos knew it because she had found him with her, besides she checked his telephone and found messages he sent to and received from Joy Pierce, for that reason they had a quarrel and he had to move to his parent's house. In February 2010, his wife Monica Burgos along with his two children went to Hawaii, United States of America; they came back four days later. After talking about their differences, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** apologized to Monica Burgos, she accepted his apologies; they decided to go on this vacation trip to celebrate his wife Monica Burgos' birthday.

At the end of his statement, the Public Prosecutor informed Bruce Gordon, Consular Agent of the United States of America that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** would be placed under his care and that he would have to accompany and present him as many times as that authority requests it so, thus informing the person sought that he should not leave the city of Cancun, Quintana Roo. **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** and Bruce Gordon signed in acknowledgment at the end of the record. **EXHIBIT 9**

On April 8, 2010, an opinion on the physical condition of **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** was carried out by the Expert on Forensic Medicine assigned to the Division of Expert Services of the Office of the Attorney General for the State of Quintana Roo, in which it was determined that the person sought was conscious, calmed, well oriented in time, place and space, cooperated properly during his questioning and external physical examination; in like manner, he presented an excoriation in the posterior part of the neck, dermoexcoriation on both middle fingers, an excoriation in left leg and another in the right ankle. **EXHIBIT 10**

On April 8, 2010, Jeane Ferreira Burgos stated that she is the sister of Monica Burgos Beresford Redman, who approximately a month and a half before told her she had discovered that her husband **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** had an affair

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

with another woman named JOY PIERCE, since she had found in the person sought's electronic mail several messages they were sending each other containing love words or poems. In like manner, Monica Burgos overheard telephone conversations between **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** and Joy Pierce.

Jeane Ferreira Burgos stated that Monica Burgos from that moment on she did not trust her husband; Monica Burgos told her that in an e-mail the person sought wrote to Joy Pierce "THAT HE DID NOT WANT TO BE WITH MONICA ANY LONGER AND HE WAS GOING TO SEPARATE FROM HER TO BE TOGETHER WITH HER." Later, she told her that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** had apologized for cheating on her and had told her he would never do that again. However, since Monica Burgos did not trust him anymore, she went to the banking institution where she had deposited her money and withdrew everything so as to transfer the money to another account in a different bank which was not known by **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN.**

Monica Burgos found again an electronic mail of the person sought to Joy Pierce, telling her she was the love of his life and to give him a second chance; therefore, she decided to ask **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** for a divorce, telling him that if he gave her the divorce, they would split in equal parts the money that was in the bank and in case he did not want to give her a divorce, she would keep everything for her as compensation for all the things that had taken place.

Jeane Ferreira Burgos stated that her sister Monica Burgos told her that she had also discovered through a receipt or bank statement which arrived at the domicile she has in Los Angeles, California in the United States of America that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** had made a bank transfer through which he had withdrawn a large amount of money from the account they both had, so as to give it to his father named David Peter Beresford Redman with the purpose of investing such money in the stock exchange. This surprised her very much.

Due to this situation, Monica Burgos asked her sister Jeane Ferreira Burgos to make a reservation for her in the Hotel Outrigger Reef on the Beach in Hawaii, United States of America, from March 5 to March 8, 2010, because she wanted to go on vacation with her two children, [redacted] and [redacted] with last names Beresford Redman. Monica Burgos told her that before the trip she would change the locks of the house so that her husband

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN could not enter because she feared that he would take documents she kept in the house.

Once Monica Burgos came back from Hawaii, United States of America told her sister Jeane Ferreira Burgos that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** was trying to win her heart again and to rebuild family union, the person sought proposed to her to go on a trip, Monica Burgos proposed a cruise but the person sought suggested to go on a vacation trip to Mexico, specifically to Cancun, Quintana Roo. Jeane Ferreira Burgos stated she received a telephone call from her sister Monica Burgos, she told her that things between her and her husband **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** were not going well since she had once again found messages between the person sought and Joy Pierce.

On April 6, 2010, Jeane Ferreira Burgos received a phone call from **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** who informed her sister Monica Burgos was missing since April 5, 2010. Jeane Ferreira Burgos called Elizabeth, the nanny of [redacted] and [redacted], to tell her about Monica Burgos' disappearance, to which Elizabeth told her that Monica Burgos and **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** would argue every day and night, that such fights were every time more serious, which made [redacted] and [redacted] nervous.

Elizabeth also told her that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** had convinced Monica Burgos to buy a life insurance policy to protect [redacted] and [redacted] in case any of them died. The person sought asked Jeane Ferreira Burgos to go to his parents' house pick up [redacted] birth certificate because her passport had expired and he had to renew the passport so that she could leave Mexico and return to the United States of America (it should be noted that this demonstrates that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** intended to flee from Mexico accompanied by his children). **EXHIBIT 11**

On April 20, 2010, Ely Ferreira Burgos appeared before the Public Prosecutor with the purpose of showing the documentary evidence which consists in:

1) Monica Ferreira Burgos' birth certificate;
2) Monica Burgos and **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**'s Certificate of Marriage;

3) Document consisting in a statement duly accompanied with an apostille and with its due translation into Spanish;

4) An e-mail written in English;

5) A letter from **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** addressed to Joy Pierce duly accompanied with an apostille and its due translation into Spanish;

6) The answer of **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** duly accompanied with an apostille and its due translation into Spanish;

7) A document consisting in a statement duly accompanied with an apostille and its due translation into Spanish;

8) An e-mail written in English;

9) A document duly accompanied with an apostille related to the statement of Norma Ahlf dated April 12, 2010, in which she stated she works at the restaurant Zabumba since 2001, that she was a friend and right-hand woman of Monica Burgos at the Restaurant, therefore, the latter really trusted in her. Monica Burgos told her when she was pregnant with [redacted] that she had found a receipt of the purchase of a very expensive ladies watch. Monica Burgos went to the store and found out that the person who had bought the watch had been her husband **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN.** However, there was a lady who came by the shop, returned the watch and kept the reimbursement of it. The saleswoman of the shop described the woman who had returned the watch as Joy Pierce, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN's** assistant.

Monica Burgos started suspecting there was something strange in the relationship between Joy Pierce and the person sought, but he never admitted it, since he always described her as a friend and work mate. Since **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** used to travel because of his work, one day Monica Burgos decided to travel to Costa Rica where the person sought was working to surprise him, but when she arrived, the person sought did not want to be with her and rejected her presence, telling her that he was very busy.

Norma Ahlf stated that in 2009, Monica Burgos told her **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** had started to behave verbally aggressive and very impatient when the family was gathered together, not only at home, but everywhere. In like manner, she stated she had seen **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** some Friday nights at the restaurant Zabumba during the last months; he sat in the darkness when very loud music was playing, reading a book, as if he were in his own world, disconnected from what

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

happened in the restaurant, with the exception of times when he would notice a beautiful woman. He would put the book away and find the manner to approach and flirt with the woman; Monica would observe all of this.

On Thanksgiving Day in 2008, Norma Ahlf and her husband went to Monica's home where there was a party and **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** had invited some producers and colleagues from work. **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** gathered apart with his own group, completely ignoring everyone else at the party. Norma Ahlf stated that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** had always addressed to her in a soft and moderate voice. However, the last times he talked to me on the phone looking for Monica, he was rude and yelled.

Furthermore, she also saw him being extremely rude with Monica Burgos. Subsequently, when the divorce rumors became a reality between Monica Burgos and **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**, the person sought offered her a reconciliation trip. Monica Burgos chose Dubai as destination, but he denied, since he stated it was very expensive and he had a meeting on April 7, 2010; he offered Cancun, Quintana Roo. Thus, Monica Burgos called Norma Ahlf and told her that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** was making reservations at that same moment, since she was hearing the conversation and the package would cost $7,000.00 (SEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 00/100), so Monica Burgos was surprised because during his 13-year relationship the person sought never made reservations, to what he said that there is always a first time for everything in life, and that he was making an effort.

10) Document duly apostilled relating to the statement made in English by Carmen Lucia Matos Palmieri, duly apostilled and with its translation to Spanish, in which she stated to be a very close friend of Burgos' family for around more than 20 years and that she has never known **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** very well since she never had a conversation with him, since the relationship was limited to a greeting and some brief comments. Also, that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** and Monica Burgos were completely in love and were happy in the beginning of their marriage, until Monica found out that the person sought spent hours using sexual telephone services; at that time, he did not work and she used to support him economically, so she got disappointed in him, and upon confronting him, he denied it, saying he would never do something like

that, but when Monica Burgos showed him the evidence, he cried, apologized on his knees saying he was bored at home.

She also stated, among other things, that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** was quiet, reserved, and many times he preferred to stay in his bedroom than to enjoy his family and friends, and he rarely showed love for his wife Monica Burgos; that it was difficult to identify **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**'s emotions, since his face was always expressionless. He had very few friends who rarely socialized with Monica Burgos and whenever he invited them home, they isolated themselves from the rest of the guests, as if he did not want her to get involved with his very few friends.

11) Duly apostilled document relating to the statement by Silvianeide Barbosa Campbell, dated April 12, 2010, in which she stated that she met Monica Burgos 12 years ago, that the first time she heard that Monica Burgos had marital problems was due to the collection of some telephone bills for calls at a sexual services line; the price was extremely high. She felt humiliated by the situation and her worry grew when she decided to give **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** a surprise at his job in Costa Rica, bur her visit was annoying for him. Then, Monica Burgos started to suspect the person sought did not want her to visit him at work because Joy Pierce was always with him.

On another occasion, Monica Burgos found a receipt for the purchase of a watch that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** bought for Joy Pierce; he apologized to her saying that it had been a gift from the group, so Monica asked him to not work anymore with Joy Pierce; however he never stopped working with her. Monica Burgos told Silvianeide Barbosa Campbell she felt that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** was not respecting her, so they started to fall apart. He started to be busier and did not have time for her or the children.

Silvianeide Barbosa Campbell stated that the problems she remember most was when Monica Burgos started to record the phone calls made by **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**; she always confronted him about those phone calls so that he would confess that he was having an affair, but he always denied it. At some point the person sought told her that Joy Pierce was simply a very special friend.

Subsequently, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** told Monica Burgos that it was a one time event only, and admitted to having had a relationship with Joy Pierce for a year and a half; from then on, the marital relationship was never the same. There were moments at which Monica Burgos thought he was telling the truth, that the affair had come to an end, but she wound up finding out he was lying to her.

Silvianeide Barbosa Campbell stated that Monica Burgos told her that she felt helpless and needed to take revenge on **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**, deciding to withdraw an amount of money from the bank. Monica Burgos told him that if he wanted to see the money they would have to divorce and he would obtain half of the money. Thus, Silvianeide Barbosa Campbell told Monica Burgos that it was suffered enough, that she should punish him and kick him out of the house. Two hours later, she called her and told her she had packed part of his clothes in plastic bags and put them outside of the house.

Silvianeide Barbosa Campbell added that she always felt uncomfortable around **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**, since on one of Monica's birthday, he harassed her and tried to kiss her, reason due to which she felt really surprised; she asked him to get away and told him he was crazy. Silvianeide Barbosa Campbell observed that in the relationship between Monica Burgos and the person sought he never wanted to socialize with her friends, since every time there was a party or a social reunion he always locked in his room. Silvianeide Barbosa Campbell stated that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** always wanted to control the situation and made the others do what he wanted. He always wanted to favor [redacted] and he treated [redacted] as if he were not his son.

In the children's activities, Monica Burgos had to convince **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** to participate, and whenever he went with them, he looked unhappy, as if he was uncomfortable. Monica Burgos was scared of the fact that her children would grow up without their father, for such reason, she wanted to save her marriage. Silvianeide Barbosa Campbell advised Monica Burgos to go to a marriage guidance counselor, and they did so. Finally, she stated that one year ago Monica Burgos was pregnant and excited about it, but **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** did not want another child, so Silvianeide Barbosa Campbell took Monica Burgos to an abortion clinic and he did not go with her so, she underwent the abortion by herself.

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

12) Document duly apostilled relating to the statement by Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar dated April 12, 2010, in which she stated to be the sister of Monica Burgos, that Monica Burgos had met **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** at Zabumba Restaurant and she liked him a lot; he was a student so she used to support him economically before they got married, and she also used to pay all the house expenses so **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**'s parents helped her pay her credit cards.

Sometime after they got married, Monica Burgos found out that the person sought was using sexual services on a telephone line. The bills for the telephone bills were very high, so she sent them to **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**'s mother so that she paid them.

Carla Burgos Bastelaar stated that Monica Burgos told her that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** had called at a sexual service telephone number and left the telephone connected to that line all day long, so the bill collection was for more than USD$5,000.00 (FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 00/100) and he did not pay them because he did not have money, so he was almost arrested by the police.

In 2004, when Monica Burgos was pregnant with her first daughter named [redacted], she found out that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** had bought an expensive watch for USD$5,000.00 (FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) for a woman with whom he was working. The person in the store described the person sought as the purchaser and described the woman who went to return the watch for money, description which coincided with Joy Pierce's physical features. Monica Burgos was upset and sad and when she complained, he told him it was a gift from all the team, but that he had paid it and that she was only a friend. Monica Burgos was sad because **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** had never given her anything, not even a ring, or a watch, or something for a value higher than USD$500.00 (FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 00/100).

BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN continued working with Joy Pierce, although Monica Burgos did not agree, she did not want her marriage to be over. Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar asked Monica Burgos if he was seeing somebody else, but she did not want to know anything about it, nor did she want to know that.

Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar stated that when she still lived in Brasil having already started her separation proceedings, Monica Burgos tried to convince her to move to Los Angeles, California, United States of America, and that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** would get her a job. When Monica Burgos got pregnant with his second child, [redacted] Carla Burgos Bastelaar decided to move in with them.

The first month that Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar lived in the house of Monica Burgos and **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**, they went to a party with his co-workers; Monica Burgos said she could not go because she was working at Zabumba. Upon arriving at the party, Carla Burgos Bastelaar observed how Joy Pierce jumped into **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN's** arms and he carried her with her legs around his waist, circumstance that surprised Carla Burgos Bastelaar a lot. Joy Pierce stayed very close to him acting with certain intimacy.

Subsequently, Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar moved to the house of Monica Burgos and **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**, and they in turn moved to another house in Palos Verdes, California, United States of America. **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** was always distant, he was never home, and even if he was, he never communicated with the people. He was kind, but he did not express his feelings.

Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar noticed more changes in **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** since looked distant and very different. **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** went to Detroit, United States of America for a month, to work on a program he was producing, and Monica Burgos complained that he did not call her or the children, so he wanted to go visit him and take the children with her, but person sought refused since he said he was busy.

Monica Burgos knew that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** was paying USD$500.00 (FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) per day for a hotel room so that Joy Pierce could stay with him in Detroit, United States of America. Thus, Monica Burgos told him not to employ Joy Pierce anymore, but he did not agree with it.

Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar stated that Monica Burgos got pregnant for a third time, but **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** told her he did not want to have more children, and that she had to have an abortion, she was not happy about the situation, but she did it.

BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN started to behave verbally abusive to Monica Burgos, since he used to tell her she was very fat, that he did not see her as his woman anymore, and that her vagina had stretched out, so she was thinking of having surgery done. Also, he did not have sexual relations with her anymore. As of January 2010, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** was never home; he behave strangely, so Monica Burgos decided to investigate what was actually going on with him and started to check on the computer the telephone calls made by the person being sought to know who he was calling.

On February 14, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** called Joy Pierce around ten or more times. Monica Burgos installed an audio recorder in his car through which she found out that he was having an affair with Joy Pierce and that the person sought kept on lying to her saying he used to visit her at home to talk only as a friend.

Joy Pierce got a job at **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN's** office to make castings with a salary of USD$57,000.00 (FIFTY-SEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 00/100). Monica Burgos was sure that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** was having an affair with Joy Pierce, so one night she told him that they should be friends, that she wanted him to be happy and that if he loved Joy Pierce, he should go with her and she wanted them to divorce; this way she could also have a life with somebody else. Immediately, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** started to cry like crazy and told Monica Burgos he loved her and he wanted to continue with her.

Monica Burgos told her sister Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar that he appeared to behave to her as it was in the beginning when they met, and that he begged her to go back with him. When Joy Pierce was going to start working at **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN's** office, Monica Burgos told him she would work with him, so Joy Pierce was surprised to see her in his office. Monica Burgos still had the recorder in **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN's** car, recording everything he said on the phone, so

she heard the recording where Joy Pierce asks the person sought why Monica Burgos had been in the office. He apologized for her being there, and told her that he had already informed Monica Burgos about their relationship and that he wanted to divorce, but that she told him she still loved him and she did not want to divorce.

Monica Burgos was very angry because **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** continued lying and kept up a double life and confirmed he had a sexual relationship with Joy Pierce.

That same night, the person sought begged Monica not to go to the office the following day, so she accepted and she would only go at lunch time. In the morning, Monica Burgos went to the bank and withdrew all the money of her jointly-owned bank account and left a letter in the children's school saying that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** could not pick them up because he was being an abusive father to them. Later, she went have lunch with the person sought and asked him what he thought about Joy Pierce, recording his answer, in which he told her that he did not like her, that she was ugly, and that he loved Monica.

Monica Burgos went to her house, changed all the locks, put **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN's** clothes in plastic bags outside the house. Furthermore, when he called her, she played the recording where he was telling Joy that Monica Burgos knew he and Joy Pierce were in a relationship and that he had asked her for a divorce. At the same time, she sent a recording to Joy Pierce where **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** said that he did not like Joy Pierce, that she was ugly and that he loved Monica Burgos.

Monica Burgos asked **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** to go somewhere else because she did not love him anymore and she wanted a divorce. He spent the night at his father's house and repeated that he did not want to divorce, that he loved Monica Burgos and that he wanted them to take therapy with a marriage counselor. He sent a letter to Monica Burgos wherein he told her how much he loved her. Afterwards, Monica Burgos went to Hawaii, United States of America, with her two children. During her stay in the aforementioned place, she did not answer the phone calls from **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN.** However, he constantly sent her text messages, telling her how much he loved her and that he wanted to be with her.

When Monica Burgos returned from Hawaii, United States of America, she and **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** got back together again because he told her that he had really broken up with the woman. Two days later, Monica Burgos found an USB memory stick in the backpack of the person sought, which contained a love letter addressed to Joy Pierce that had been written some minutes after he had been sending love text messages to Monica Burgos in Hawaii, United States of America.

Thus, she decided to get divorced, but **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** told her that it was not a love letter, but a letter to tell her that he was breaking up with her. Therefore, Monica Burgos told him once more that they should get divorced, but he refused. She decided to tell him that they would separate and go to therapy for a year, but **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** would pay for the house and register the house in the name of Monica Burgos.

So they started to attend therapy with a counselor twice a week and **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** went home to see their children. However, one day in the visit he made to his children he was in a hurry to leave, Monica Burgos thought he was going out with somebody. As a result, she was very jealous and she told him to stay in the guest room; they got back together once more.

Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar added that when Monica Burgos changed her house's locks, she had put many documents in boxes and shipped them to her sister Jeane Ferreira Burgos. The latter had some plane tickets to go to Brazil in order to celebrate Monica Burgos' birthday. However, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** did not want to go to Brazil because he wanted to travel with her and their children. Therefore, the accused person decided that he wanted to go to Cancun, Quintana Roo, but Monica Burgos did not want to go there. Nevertheless, on Sunday, March 28, 2010, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** bought a trip to Cancun, Quintana Roo, with his money. He specifically asked for a room with jacuzzi.

Monica Burgos commented to Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar that she was thinking that she was in a movie because he had told her to obtain a life insurance policy for them both in case they died during the trip.

On the next day, Monica Burgos called Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar and told her that **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** wanted to pick up the box with documents that was at Jeane Ferreira Burgos' house. However, she told him that the documents were at Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar's house. The latter stated that upon arriving at her house with her son Nicolas, she noticed that somebody was rudely knocking on the door. When she asked who was it, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** answered it was him. Then she opened the door and he immediately asked about the boxes, to which Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar said that her sister Monica Burgos had taken them. He answered angrily that she had not taken them, turned around and slammed the door shut.

Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar was very scared since **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** seemed like a caged animal; therefore, she called her sister Monica Burgos more than twenty times. When she picked up the phone she told her that she should not get closer to **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**, but Monica Burgos laughed and talked to him about what had happened.

13) Duly apostilled document regarding the statement of Raquel Urey dated April 12, 2010, by means of which she stated that she had known Monica Burgos since 1993. Likewise, she stated that she has always attended the social events of Monica Burgos and **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN.** The latter always had a face without expression, nobody was able to know what he was feeling, it was unknown whether he was happy or sad. She never saw the person sought making any demonstration of love toward Monica Burgos, he was very cold. **EXHIBIT 12**

By means of Investigation Report dated April 8, 2010, signed by Juan Gabriel Chuch May, Officer of the Judicial Police of the State of Cancun, Quintana Roo, the latter stated that when he was investigating the facts, he interviewed **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** (Saul Alfredo Novelo Chi, intervened as a translator). When he informed the person sought of the reason for his interview and of the facts under investigation, **RUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** stated that on April 5, 2010, he had had a serious argument with his wife Monica Burgos due to the behavior of their children around 8:30 hours. Regarding his wife's disappearance, he stated that he would provide information but in the presence of his lawyer and his country's consul. He added that he would not make any further statements.

It should be noted that at first glance he presented some excoriations on the finger of both of his hands, on the back part of his neck, behind his right ear and tibia of his left leg. Said injuries were apparently inflicted by fingernail scratches. Upon asking **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** about said injuries, he stated that he would not provide any further information. **EXHIBIT 13**

By means of Ministerial Attestation to the Corpse and Removal Proceeding dated November 8, 2010, carried out by the Agent of the Investigative State Public Prosecutor, the manner in which the lifeless body of Monica Burgos was found is described. Furthermore, it was ordered to seize the passport with number [redacted] issued by the United States government in the name of **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN,** which to this date is in custody of the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Quintana Roo. **EXHIBITS 14 and 15**

There is also filed the Field Criminalistics and Photography Expert Report dated April 8, 2010, signed by the Expert in Criminalistics assigned to the Division of Expert Services of the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Quintana Roo. By means of said expert report, it is pointed out that on the aforementioned date, the expert went to the Hotel Resort Moon Palace Nizuc, located at kilometer 36.5 on the Federal Highway Cancun–Tulum, Quintana Roo. In the said place, elements of the Mexican Red Cross were safeguarding the place and maneuvering to recover the body, which was in a sewage cistern located in the Hotel's facilities.

The corpse was found partially submerged and in a supine position, the head pointing towards south, the lower limbs were extended and pointing towards north, not being able to see the position of the upper limbs due to the color and thickness of the liquid. Likewise, there were no visible distinguishing marks due to the advanced state of putrefaction and saponification. However, external wounds were observed in the right temporal region. There were found belongings consisting of a brown-blue purse which contained diverse documents as well as a blue wallet, which in turn contained diverse identification and business cards as well as coins of different value.

Regarding the on-site inspection carried out using the basis and techniques of Field Criminalistics, the following was observed 1) that the area where the corpse of Monica

Burgos was found had been duly sealed off and cordoned off; 2) red liquid stains, apparently blood that had been spilled on the east wall of the top green area; he then took samples thereof for future study. Likewise, the decorative plants located in the top level jardinières were stepped on; 3) the jardinière presents a footprint apparently made by tennis shoes; 4) later on, at 11:15 hours on April 8, 2010, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**, Ministerial Personnel, Judicial Police Personnel, as well as hotel personnel entered the room 7816 of the Pelicano Complex, and had at sight two passports on top of a table, one in the name of **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** and the other one in the name Monica Burgos Beresford Redman, taking photographs of them and remaining under the custody of the Public Prosecutor; 5) in the terrace area there were several clothes, amongst them t-shirts set on the back of the chairs, standing out an orange t-shirt since it had been recently washed and because a dark stain could be seen on the front left side; 7) during the development of the proceedings, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** was present at all times, who suffered from excoriations on the upper part of his right ear, right side of his neck, back of the neck, middle finger of the right hand, middle finger of the left hand, left side of the pectoral region, and middle third of left leg, it can be inferred these bodily injuries were caused during a fight. **EXHIBIT 16**

By means of the necropsy required by law carried out on April 8, 2010, by Roberto Rios Ponce, Expert in Forensic Medicine assigned to the Division of Expert Services of Office of the Attorney General of the State of Quintana Roo; it was concluded that Monica Burgos died due to asphyxia through suffocation. The protrusion of the tongue, the total congestion of the lungs, and the cyanosis with pericardial hemorrhage of the heart is clear signs of asphyxia. The event probably took place on April 5, 2010, at approximately 23:40 hours at the water sump located in the facility of the Resort Moon Palace Nizuc hotel, which is located at kilometer 36.5 on the federal highway Cancun-Tulum, Quintana Roo. **EXHIBIT 17**

On April 8, 2010, there was carried out the Expert Opinion on Forensic Chemistry by an expert on Chemistry assigned to the Division of Expert Services of the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Quintana Roo. It was proceeded to practice a hematic tracing in 1.- a gray duct tape, used in plumbing, BERRY, PLASTICOS CORPORATION brand, 2.- An orange sport T-shirt, NIKE brand, size XL, 3.- a white T-shirt, GAP brand, size XL, 4.- four swabs contained in a test tube and each of them contained samples

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

gathered from the jardinières of the hotel.

It was concluded that the red stains in the swabs to be identified, they are blood since the identification of the present of traces of hemoglobin (globular protein structurally formed by pirrolic rings with an iron central heteroatom which is the main blood pigment, which evidently indicates the presence of blood; therefore, the expert informed that the aforementioned bemolized blood stains are insufficient to define the blood type, by the method of absortion-elusion method, and that in this moment the chemical area of this Office of the Attorney General does not have the technology in molecular biology to carry out a specialized test on genetics with the purpose of establishing a DNA analysis, if the aforementioned stains identified in the swabs come from the deceased. In conclusion, he referred that the textiles and duct tape presented in photograph in this document do not have traces of hematic content and/or hair. **EXHIBIT 18**

On April 9, 2010, a ministerial proceeding was carried out in room number 7816 of Resort Moon Place hotel. Experts in chemistry applied the substance known as "luminol" to different parts of the room and they observed that on a white cylindrical pillar the substance reacted positively to the "iron ion", that is to say, it could be observed the liquid reacted to the contact with small particles of iron contained in human hemoglobin, likewise the substance reacted positively on a green metallic banister which is in front of the room and which goes along the hall and the stairs. **EXHIBIT 19**

An Expert Opinion on Forensic Chemistry was carried out on April 12, 2010, by the expert on Chemistry assigned to the State Coordination of Expert Services of the Attorney General's Office of the State of Quintana Roo, wherein he stated that on April 9, 2010, he legally appeared at 9:00 P.M. on April 9, 2010, at the Resort Moon Palace Nizuc hotel, which is located at kilometer 36.5 on the federal highway Cancun-Tulum, Quintana Roo; so as to carry out a hematic trace inside and outside room 7816 which was divided in 4 areas for the searching and gathering of possible items of evidence, which are **Area 1:** Interior of the bathroom where upon applying "Luminol" reagent on the floor and walls of the inner area of the bathroom one could observe chemiluminescence on the floor around the drainer, indicating a group of stains which were insufficient to confirm the presence of blood.

Area 2: The base of the column of the wash basin, the washbasin and the adjacent area

where upon applying Luminol reagent on the column of the washbasin, the washbasin and adjacent area there was chemiluminescence indicating the presence of the following stains: **Sample 1:** A group punctiform stains located in the external surface of the inferior part of the column, 21.5 cm from the floor of the room; **Sample 2:** A group of punctiform stains located on the external surface of the inferior part of the column 3 cm from the floor; **Sample 3:** A group punctiform stains located in the external surface of the inferior part of the column, 10 cm from the floor; **Sample 4:** A group punctiform stains located in the external surface of the inferior part of the column, 17 cm from the floor. **Sample 5:** A group punctiform stains located in the external surface of the superior part of the column, 147 cm from the floor. **Sample 6:** A group punctiform stains located in the external surface of the column, 132 cm from the floor. **Sample 7:** A group punctiform stains located in the external surface of the column, 40 cm from the floor located on the right side of the washbasin.

Area 3: The beds and the middle of the room where upon carrying a macroscopic inspection and using ultraviolet light the following was observed: **Sample 8:** Irregular reddish stain located on the surface of a white pillow placed in the superior right part of the bed located in the right side of the room. **Sample 9:** Hair located on the surface of a white pillow placed on the superior left of the bed located on the right of the room. **Sample 10:** Hair located on the surface of a white pillow placed on the superior left of the bed located on the right of the room. **Sample 11:** Irregular stain located on the corner of a brown piece of wooden furniture located 39 cm from the floor and 19 cm from the wall. **Sample 12:** Irregular stain located on the corner of a brown piece of wooden furniture located 47 cm from the floor and 30 cm from the wall. **Sample 13:** Irregular stain located on the wall on the left side of the room located 197 cm from the floor. **Sample 14:** Irregular stain located on the surface of the soles of a brown pair of sandals brand "crocs" placed by the shower of the room. **Sample 15:** A piece of fabric located on the surface of a black piece of clothing (Boxer) placed on the bed on the left side of the room and;

Area 4: The outer part of the room where upon conducting macroscopic inspection the following was observed: **Sample 16:** Irregular reddish stain located in the upper of a green handrail 1.41 m from the floor and 1.97 m from the access door of the room. **Sample 17:** Irregular reddish stain located in the upper of a green handrail 1.41 m from the floor and 2.80 m from the room. **Sample 18:** Irregular reddish stain located in the upper of a green handrail 1.41 m from the floor and 3.79 m from the room.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Therefore it was concluded that:

First: On the floor and around the strainer there were results presumptively positive for the identification of blood, however, confirmation was not possible since the samples were insufficient. **Area 1;**

Second: In the samples marked as **1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7** previously described and the reason of this analysis the results were POSITIVE for blood identification. **Area 2;**

Third: The samples marked as **16, 17 and 18 in Area 4**, previously described and also motive for this analysis the results were positive for the presence of human blood.

Fourth: On the floor of the outer part of the room (by the side of the door frame) **Area 4** there were results presumptively positive for the identification of blood. **EXHIBIT 20**

By means of an Expert Opinion on Forensic Genetics carried out on April 27, 2010, by the expert on Forensic Genetics assigned to the State Coordination of Expert Services of the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Quintana Roo for the purpose of issuing an expert opinion to compare and determine if the samples described hereof correspond to one and the same person's genetic pattern: **1)** Sample Code 23MI8076 marked as **trace 1** gathered from the gardens of Facer Nizuc of Moon Palace Hotel consisting of one swab with a hematic stain, packaged in a test tube. **2)** Sample Code 23MI8077 marked as **trace 2** gathered from the gardens of Facer Nizuc of Moon Palace Hotel consisting of one swab with a hematic stain, packaged in a test tube. **3)** Sample Code 23MI8078 marked as **trace 3** gathered from the gardens of Facer Nizuc of Moon Palace Hotel consisting of one swab with a hematic stain, packaged in a test tube. **4)** Sample Code 23MI8079 marked as **trace 4** gathered from the gardens of Facer Nizuc of Moon Palace Hotel consisting of one swab with a hematic stain, packaged in a test tube. **5)** Sample Code 23MI8080 marked as **trace 5** consisting of hemolyzed blood from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman packaged in a test tube with purple lid. **6)** Sample Code 23MI8081 marked as **trace 6** gathered from the room marked with number 7816 in the Moon Palace Hotel consisting of one white t-shirt, GAP brand, size XL, and with small dark brown stains of irregular shape on the front and back, packaged in a paper bag. **7)** Sample Code 23MI8082 marked as **trace 7** gathered from the room marked with number

7816 in the Moon Palace Hotel consisting of one orange t-shirt, NIKE brand, size XL, with white-like and dark stains of irregular shape, packaged in a paper bag. **8)** Sample Code 23MI8083 marked as **trace 8** consisting of extended of oral exudates collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman in one piece of FTA paper with two useful circles containing two stains, brown and green color, packaged in transparent plastic bag. **9)** Sample Code 23MI8084 marked as **trace 9** consisting of extended of vaginal exudates collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman in one piece of FTA paper with two useful circles containing two stains, brown and green color, packaged in transparent plastic bag. **10)** Sample Code 23MI8085 marked as **trace 10** consisting of light color hair threads with small red tissue fragments adhered to the threads, corresponding to the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman packaged in transparent plastic bag. **11)** Sample Code 23MI8086 marked as **trace 11** consisting of a fragment of tissue about 4 by 4 centimeters, dark brown color with light yellow liquid with odor seemingly ether, taken from the heart biopsy (coronary) collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman, packaged in a plastic jar with red lid. **12)** Sample Code 23MI8087 marked as **trace 12** consisting of a fragment of tissue about 5 by 3 centimeters, dark brown color with light yellow liquid with odor seemingly ether, taken from the lung biopsy (coronary) collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman, packaged in a plastic jar with red lid. **13)** Sample Code 23MI8088 marked as **trace 13** consisting of a fragment of tissue about 5 by 1 centimeter, black and white color with light yellow liquid with odor seemingly ether, taken from the scalp biopsy collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman, packaged in a plastic jar with red lid. As well as Sample Code 23MI8246 consisting of a fragment of bone (rib) 28 centimeters long corresponding to the left tenth rib collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman, packaged in an ice bucket brand Coleman, blue color and white lid.

It was concluded that: One: The genetic profiles obtained using IDENTIFILER system from the biological samples marked with code numbers 23MI8080, 23MI8083, 23MI8084, 23MI8085 and 23MI8246 belong to a woman who in her lifetime was named Monica Burgos Beresford Redman. Two: In the samples marked with code numbers 23MI8076, 23MI8077, 23MI8078, 23MI8079, 23MI8081, 23MI8082A, 23MI8082B, 23MI8082C, 23MI8082D, 23MI8086, 23MI8087 and 23MI8088, no biological elements were identified, due to which it is not possible to obtain a genetic profile from these samples; and Three: The genetic profiles were kept in the database in this laboratory for future comparisons.

EXHIBIT 21

Expert Opinion on Forensic Genetics carried out on April 27, 2010, by the expert on Forensic Genetics assigned to the State Coordination of Expert Services of the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Quintana Roo for the purpose of issuing an expert opinion to compare and determine if the samples described hereof correspond to one and the same person's genetic pattern: **1)** Sample Code 23MI8089 marked with number 8 consisting of small brown reddish stains on a pillow case. **2)** Sample Code 23MI8090 marked with number 16 consisting of green-light brownish stains on a FTA paper card. **3)** Sample Code 23MI8091 marked with number 17 consisting of green-light brown stains on a FTA paper card; and **4)** Sample Code 23MI8092 marked with number 18 consisting of green-light brownish stains on a FTA paper card which were compared with Sample Code 23MI8080 marked as trace 5 consisting of hematic liquid taken from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.

It was concluded that: 1) The genetic profile found in blood stains on a pillow case with internal code 23MI8089 **belongs to a man.** 2) The genetic profiles compared in blood stains on a pillow case with internal code 23MI8089 is different to the genetic profile found in the samples with internal code 23MI8080, oral mucosa exudates stains with internal code 23MI8083, vaginal mucosa exudates stains with internal code 23MI8084, pilose elements with internal code 23MI8085 and the rib fragment with internal code 23MI8246 belonged to the now deceased person Monica Burgos Beresford Redman. 3) In the green and light-brown blood samples on FTA cards with internal code 23MI8090, 23MI8091 and 23MI8092, **biological elements to carry out a comparison were not identified. EXHIBIT 22**

Expert Opinion on Wounds Mechanics carried out on May 13, 2010, by the Official Expert in Medical Examination assigned to the State Coordination of Expert Services of the Attorney General's Office of the State of Quintana Roo, informing that she had at sight the body of the now deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman at 13:00 hours on Monday April 12, 2010, and she concluded regarding the wounds presented in the aforementioned deceased person that:

FIRST: She had wounds in the face, which, given their shape, they correspond to those produced by direct contusion mechanism compatible with those produced by a fist. The

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

observed wounds in the gluteus region were produced by a contusion by projection mechanism when her gluteus region was in touch with a hard surface, i. e. a bath tub-jacuzzi; given the color of these wounds, they were caused ante-mortem and had a 2 to 3 days evolution. The wound damage of about 3.5 centimeters) in right parietal-temporal was caused by direct contusion mechanism applied with force and direction and they are compatible with a hard and solid object of irregular edges, i. e. a metallic pipe, a baseball bat or a stick.

SECOND: The review of the corpse showed macroscopic signs of mechanic asphyxia; the modality cannot be established since we lack medical information such as the microscopic tests of the muscles of the neck, carotid arteries and the cut of hyoid bone as well as the studies of the lungs and heart. **EXHIBIT 23**

Official letter number INM/DRQR/OD/1508/2010 dated June 1, 2010, wherein the Regional State Delegate of the National Immigration Institute informed that there is no record of **BRUCE BERESFORD AINSLEY REDMAN** leaving the United Mexican States, therefore said authority could not inform if the person sought was still in Mexican territory. Likewise and derived from the fact that such person entered the country as a tourist, there is no migratory file that registers a domicile within Mexican territory for his location.

Furthermore, in regards to any migratory process carried out by **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN,** it is informed that in said Regional Delegation there is no record of the same, and that after the search carried out in the system, it was observed that the aforecited person is American, with date of birth April 20, 1971, and that he entered the country on March 31, 2010, through the International Airport in Cancun, Quintana, Roo. **EXHIBIT 24**

Duly apostilled document related to the statement of **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** dated May 26, 2010 submitted in support of the Ex Parte Request presented by his parents David Beresford Redman and Juanita Beresford Redman which admits that the first named person returned to the United States of America on May 23, 2010 (without complying with the order of the Mexican authority to not leave the city of Cancun, Quintana Roo). **EXHIBIT 25**

There are submitted the identification proceedings made by Jeane Ferreira Burgos on

April 12, Tim Blixseth on April 14 and Maria Beatriz Oaxaca on May 2 all the aforementioned dates correspond to the year 2010. The aforementioned people identified **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN.** These statements were gathered by the FBI in English and they were requested by the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic through an informal international assistance request. **EXHIBIT 26**

IDENTIFICATION

BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN is an American born on [redacted] 1971, in New York, United States of America; the names of his parents are David Peter Beresford Redman and Juanita Louis Beresford Redman.

I have attached to the present affidavit as **EXHIBIT 27**, the record of the identification proceedings carried out before the Federal Public Prosecutor assigned to the General Division of Extraditions and Legal Assistance of the Office of Attorney General's of the Republic, by means of which Jeane Ferreira Burgos identified without fear of being mistaken, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** as the husband of her sister Monica Burgos Beresford Redman for the last 11 years. She identified the aforementioned as the one appearing in photo number 5 from a set of photographs showing six male persons numbered from 1 to 6.

CONCLUSION

With the aforecited evidentiary elements interrelated amongst themselves, it is possible to prove the intention of **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** to kill his wife Monica Burgos, given that he knew his conduct was unlawful, because he had the time necessary to plan the way he was going to perpetrate the homicide, to premeditate the unlawfulness of his conduct, the necessary way and means to perpetrate it, and likewise he knew he was going to cause her death.

Since he knew the harm he was going to cause, he perpetrated his intention. Moreover, due to his profession as a television producer, he was able to conceal the necessary details which would hide important evidence which would have incriminated him.

The reasons for presuming the alleged responsibility of **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD**

REDMAN are related to the contradictory statements he made during the investigation, regarding the reason why a lot of noises were heard from his room on February 5, 2010, the crying of a mature woman and noises as if things in his room were being dragged. The preceding was to the point that it alarmed the guests of the room below who made a report against him. And upon being questioned in this regard, he first stated that he and his wife were arguing about the behavior of their children and later he stated that they were playing games.

Likewise, according to the statements made the person sought behaved aggressively towards his wife, and he was even just about to hit her on April 4, 2010, inside Resort Moon Palace Nizuc hotel after a quarrel and he did not do it only because he was being watched by the hotel employees.

It should not be overlooked, that the extreme security measures of the Resort Moon Palace Nizuc hotel made difficult for an outside person to go up to the rooms to commit a crime or to the sewage cistern to throw the corpse of Monica Burgos.

BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN presented bodily injuries in the back part of the right ear, right part of the neck, posterior part of the neck, middle finger of the right hand, middle finger of the left hand, left pectoral region and middle third of the left leg and it can be inferred these bodily injuries were caused during a fight. He explained those injuries in an implausible form by using his creativity.

Furthermore, the person sought accepted several times that he had been unfaithful, problems in his marriage related to money, purchase of life insurance policies before his trip to Cancun and quarrels with Monica Burgos. Specifically, he accepted he had quarreled with her one day before the day she probably died. Likewise, he was the last person that who saw her alive and his lack of concern regarding her disappearance meant that he did not notify she was missing until April 6, 2010.

Most importantly is that he tried to flee the administration of justice given that he knew there was a criminal investigation commenced against him and he was one of the persons involved, without a passport and contravening the order of Mexican authorities, he stealthily left the country and took refuge in the territory of the United States of America.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

The present sworn affidavit supports the formal request presented by the Government of Mexico in regards to **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**, therefore we respectfully consider that the corresponding Court shall find enough evidence to grant his extradition to Mexican territory.

The foregoing for all due legal purposes.

Mexico, Federal District, on December 2, 2010.

NAME AND SIGNATURE
FEDERAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
ASSIGNED TO THE GENERAL DIVISION OF EXTRADITIONS
AND LEGAL ASSISTANCE

[an illegible signature]

GRACIELA LOPEZ CRUZ

FORMA CG-1 A

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

[SEAL:
MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR,
GOVERNMENT UNIT,
UNITED MEXICAN STATES,
MEXICAN COAT OF ARMS]

021263

CARLOS ARMANDO REYNOSO NUÑO, HEAD OF THE GOVERNMENT UNIT OF THE MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR, PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 12 (IX) OF THE INTERNAL REGULATIONS OF THE MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR, **CERTIFIES** THAT GRACIELA LOPEZ CRUZ WAS A PUBLIC PROSECUTOR OF THE FEDERATION IN THE SERVICE OF THE GENERAL DIVISION OF EXTRADITIONS AND LEGAL ASSISTANCE OF THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE REPUBLIC ON DECEMBER 2, 2010, AND THAT THE SIGNATURE APPEARING ON THE PRESENT DOCUMENT IS HER OWN.

MEXICO CITY, FEDERAL DISTRICT, DECEMBER 2, 2010.
REGISTRY **No. 21711**
TAX PAID $0.00
TAX EXEMPT

[an illegible signature]
SIGNATURE

CODE: **DPKDAEZC3**

THIS MINISTRY DOES NOT ASSUME ANY LIABILITY AS FOR THE CONTENTS OF THIS DOCUMENT.

*ADE/RCG/CARN

[SEAL:
UNITED MEXICAN STATES.
(Mexican Coat of Arms)
MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS,
CUAUHTEMOC DELEGATION]

[SEAL:
UNITED MEXICAN STATES.
(Mexican Coat of Arms)
MINISTRY OF FOREIGN
AFFAIRS
CONSULAR SERVICE
AUTHENTICATIONS]

F.8

No. 477623

THE MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS CERTIFIES: THAT ***CARLOS ARMANDO REYNOSO NUÑO WAS HEAD OF THE GOVERNMENT UNIT OF THE MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR ON*** DECEMBER 2, 2010, and that the preceding signature is his own.

Mexico, Federal District, DECEMBER 9, 2010.

BY RESOLUTION OF THE MINISTER
The Delegate of the
Ministry of Foreign Affairs
[an illegible signature]
Myrna Granados Hernandez

THIS MINISTRY DOES NOT ASSUME ANY LIABILITY AS FOR THE CONTENTS OF THE DOCUMENT BEING AUTHENTICATED

EXHIBIT 1

SECOND CRIMINAL TRIAL COURT. – Cancun, Quintana Roo, on May 29th, 2010. - - -

forensic medical expert of the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic, dated May 13, 2010, which is found in the record of the proceedings. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

- - - **D).–** The opinion in Field Criminalistics and Photography signed by UIS MARTIN ROMERO PADILLA, expert of the Office of the Attorney General for the State, dated April 8, 2010, which is found in the record of the proceedings.- - - - - - -

- - - **E).–** The appearance of ENRIQUE ARENA LOPEZ, dated April 8, 2010, which is found in the record of the proceedings. -

- - - **F).–** The appearance to identify the body of JEANE FERREIRA BURGOS, before the agent of the state public prosecutor, dated April 8, 2010, which is found in the record of the proceedings.- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

Republic, dated May 12, 2010. - - -

objective circumstances of the fact criminally defined by law, said person intends or accepts the result considered unlawful by Law."; Strictly speaking, article 71 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in force for the State establishes: **"The criminally defined conduct is proven by justifying existence of the elements that define the criminal conduct in accordance to the criminal law, except in those cases in which special proof is required."** Given that the case before us falls into the last of the predicates, we shall adhere to the special evidence rule; and to this end it is necessary to note what is established by the predicate norms of article 74 of the Criminal Procedure code, which is the following: **"In cases of homicide, the criminally defined conduct is proven by the inspection and description of the body done on the terms of the preceding articles, and with the opinion of the Medical Expert performing the autopsy and who declares the State of the body and the causes of death."** Having established the preceding regarding the aforecited criminal definition the following elements of the criminal concept at issue in the present matter are derived: **a).–** Perpetrator without a specific capacity; **b).–** Depriving a human being of life; **c).–** That said deprivation of life is the result of an external cause attributable to another, **d).–** That the perpetrator acted with unfair advantage and treachery. Elements that are proven with the **Ministerial Attestation to and Removal of the Corpse** proceedings which were carried out April 8, 2010 by the ministerial authority, which then legally appeared at the facility of the Moon Palace Hotel, located at the 36.5 kilometer along the federal highway Cancun-Tulum. Once they reached the area known as Moon Grand and after reaching a building known as "PERICOS," also known as building 79, in Fase Nizuc, they met with the Head of the Homicides Division of the Judicial Police for the State, Idelfonso Sanchez Chan, as well as with the Judicial Police Officer for the State in charge of the

proceeding, Juan Gabriel Chuc May, who escorted them to the back of a one-story building, salmon color, which is a wastewater treatment plant; at the back end of such story was located a sump of wastewater and behind it a street was located. Facing towards the sump from the street, it is noticed that it has four entrances and two wastewater treatment pumps. The first two entrances are located horizontally at the left end, and the other two entrances are located at the right end, each one located vertically next to a wastewater treatment pump. Forthwith, we walk towards the right horizontal entrance which was surrounded by personnel of the Red Cross, commanded by Jeremy Smith who put on a yellow plastic suit, an oxygen tank, and a mask; then, using a metallic ladder he submerged his feet into the aforementioned access and from that location he pull out, firstly, a leather-zipper purse, brown and black color. Forthwith, it was attested to have at sight the lifeless body of a FEMALE person, partially submerged, her face pointing towards the south and her feet towards the north, her limbs aligned to her body. Her body presents a chop wound of approximately 8 x 2 cm at the right temple; her body was found fully unclothed and swollen. The hair belonging to the frontal part of the skull was pulled out, the fingernails and toenails were painted red. It presents signs of real death, no pulse, and has a temperature lower than that of the one carrying out this proceeding. It shows signs of cyanosis, saponification, and putrefaction. Due to her physical characteristics, she is approximately 42 years-old, medium build, approximately 1.74 meters tall, and has light brown complexion, large light brown eyes, medium lips, narrow eyebrows, oval chin, medium forehead, wavy light chestnut hair, straight nose, no tattoos, no scars, no distinguishing marks, no amputations, no deformities, does not have a disability, no moles, and no prosthesis. Once on the surface, the forensic medicine expert and personnel of the Red Cross transferred the lifeless body. Afterwards, with the purpose of

learning the truth about the facts, the acting personnel appeared at the front of the Electrical Power Sub-station on the south end which faces the front of building number 78, also known as Pelicanos building of the fase Nizuc. At such location, a yellow sign which textually reads "ECOLAB, central chemical service center for rodents 037" was located at the wall of a jardinière, along with blood stains which were attested to by the acting personnel. The Criminalistics expert, Luis Romero Padilla, took the corresponding samples thereof. We observe footprints on a small white wall, attesting that such expert took photographs; then they went up a metallic ladder that was located inside the electrical power sub-station at its south end, then they observed that on top of where they found the blood stains there were some damaged plants and vegetation, amongst them, a purple plant known as *Bella en Barco.* Forthwith, the acting personnel appeared at room number 7816, located inside building number 78, known as Pelicanos building of the Fase Nizuc, which in turn is located straight across from the electrical power sub-station on its south end. The abovementioned room is located at the second level of a three-story building, salmon color, which displays the number 78. Such room has a wooden, brown color door that has a metal lock which opens only by using a keycard. After the hotel personnel opened it, members of the Judicial Police for the State entered the room and found on the left a closet with a safe, on the right it was located the bathroom and the vanity; on the front, there were two beds with bed sheets and pillows, across from the beds there was a white hot tub, and an apothecary table which contained the following: **1.-** An original passport, number 038332149, issued by the U.S. government in the name of Bruce Ainsley Beresford Redman, **2.-** An original passport, number [redacted], issued by the U.S. government (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) in the name of Monica Burgos Beresford Redman, **3.-** Original migration form FM-T, number 01 [redacted]

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

issued by the National Migration Institute in the name of Monica Beresford Redman, 4.- Original migration form FM-T, number 01 [redacted], issued by the National Migration Institute in the name of Bruce Ainsley Beresford Redman. 5.- Gray color duct tape, National TM brand. The preceding objects were secured by the acting personnel with the purpose of carrying out the corresponding legal procedures; moreover, the abovementioned room had beige curtains, a room telephone, and a balcony which had a crystal sliding door, in which two plastic white chairs and a small white plastic table were located. One of the chairs had on it an extra large orange shirt, GAP brand, white color (sic), and a sport shirt, Nike brand, extra large orange color. The preceding items were secured with the purpose of carrying out the corresponding proceedings of this investigation. Finally, the brown-black leather purse with zippers recovered from the sump, in which also the body was found, by the member of the Red Cross, Jeremy Smith, was also secured and it contained the following: a driver's license number [redacted] issued by the State of California, which displays on the bottom left side a photograph, in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, dated July 4, 2008; on its back it can be seen a magnetic band, and a bar code on its bottom left side. An student ID issued by the Camino College, which displays a photograph on the top left side, in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, number [redacted] a magnetic band is on the top along with instructions apparently written in English. Four business cards of the restaurant club zabumba made out of plastic paper, which have on their back the text "live reggae music weekly." Two business cards of the restaurant club zabumba made out of plastic paper, which display the Brazilian flag and the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN on the bottom right corner and below the number [redacted]. An Office Max discount card, black color, which has on its front several

texts in English, while the back is white-colored and has a magnetic band in the middle. A "Starbucks" discount card, green color, which displays on its back the number [redacted] at the top edge and a grey magnetic band at the bottom. A plastic card that has the text "LOEHMANN'S" in yellow color and on the back it has a bar code at the top right corner. A "50% off" discount card in black-red color from JC PENNY. A blue-white color patient identification card from the MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. hospital in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. Three cotton swabs surrounded by transparent plastic and display the text "OB." A 5 cm long lipstick, Whoel Foods brand; two black AAA batteries, ENERCELL brand; a red color plastic crayon; a black pair of headphones, no brand, found inside a plastic bag; an orange package of chewing gum, TRIDENT brand; a black glove, HARBINGER brand; several illegible documents; ten tablets inside a silver package which has the text "7 Days herbal Slim;" $15 Mexican pesos; 22 foreign currency coins of different value; a navy blue woman wallet in white-green-beige color which contains a discount receipt of the *pollo loco* restaurant; a card from the Costco store, black-white color, with the number [redacted] in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN; a white-blue card with a picture at the top left corner in the name of CAMILA BERESFORD REDMAN; a white discount card from the OFFICE MAX store; a brown card with the image of a tiger on its back in the name of MONICA BERESFORD REDMAN, issued by the Los Angeles zoo; a plastic gift card from BARNES & NOBLE and has on its back a magnetic band and on its front the number [redacted] a purple-white plastic card from Palos Verdes Art Center; a discount coupon from the ORGANIC VALLEY store; a black discount card from Office Max; three discount coupons from different store, two in gray color and on in white color; a business card of BRET H. ENGESTON, white color; a

white business card of Children's Medical Group; white business card of the company Punctual Money Transfer; a white card in the name of MONICA BERESFORD REDMAN from JC PENNY'S, a small notebook which displays on its front a photograph of a black-striped tiger; a metallic loop, apparently made out of gold, golden color; a red ink pen with golden trim, OUTRIGGERREEF brand. Next, the preceding objects are secured, ending then the current proceeding; this judging authority grants the abovementioned proceeding evidentiary weight, according to article 129, since it was carried out following the guidelines of articles 130 and 131 of the Code of Criminal Procedures in force. Added to the foregoing is the **Autopsy Expert Report** filed in the records, signed by M.D. ROBERTO RIOS PONCE, Forensic Medicine Expert of the Office of Expert Services of the Office of the Assistant Attorney General, North Zone, which was carried out on April 8, 2010, on the body of a female person named MONICA BURGOS, approximately 40 years-old, which was found on a metallic table, the body lying in a supine position with the thorax and pelvis organs set along the body, the head pointing north, whose measures were 174 centimeters long, 114 centimeters around the thorax, 106 centimeters around the abdomen, heavy build, weighting approximately 115 kilograms, white complexion; red, straight, thin, short, and abundant hair; broad forehead, does not have eyebrows due to putrefaction, large light-green eyes, small straight nose, large mouth, thin lips, full set of teeth, a slightly protruding oval chin, and short, thick neck. Regarding the signs of non-recent, real death: the body was found showing congested conjunctiva, cyanosed nails, fingers, and toes, opaque corneas, bilateral pupil mydriasis, corneal tela, well developed livor signs formed on the anterior wall of the thorax, lingual protraction on a generalized flaccid stage in a descending position, irreversible lack of vital signs, and temperature lower than that of the one carrying out this autopsy. In

regards to the external examination: the body is meticulously examined from head to feet, which shows the following post-mortem sings: well-developed cyanosis on the face, lips, spreading to the neck as well as to the anterior region of the thorax; the oral cavity with lingual protraction; putrid liquid dripping from the nostrils; permeable ear channels, significant generalized subcutaneous cellular emphysema, generalized after-death venomous net; generalized epidermis detachment, bilateral green abdominal stain, actual signs of putrefaction. Moreover, the body shows the following external wounds: a chop wound at the right temporal-parietal region, measuring 8 x 2 centimeters located at 6.5 cm to the right of the middle line of the skull, damaging such region's scalp, wound related to the time and date of her decease. The following was observed while examining the neck and once the three large cavities of the body were opened: in regards to the normochepalic cranium, a cut was made that goes from the mastoid apophysis, lifting the frontal or anterior region of the scalp and pulling it over the face; the occipital or posterior region is lifted and pulled towards the back of the neck, rendering in this way the skull uncovered. The skull was observed showing blood infiltration into the scalp and into the pericranial region underneath the aforementioned wound; however, there were no osseous cranial injuries. Once this cavity was opened, the encephalon and cerebellum were observed in a liquefaction state, showing signs of hemorrhage; once this cavity was cleansed, there were no visible osseous fracture at the base of the cranium. No remarkable signs on the neck, which had a cylindrical shape and where a cut is made, which starts at the symphysis of the chin, passes through the anterior medium line of the thorax, abdomen, and ends at the symphysis of the pubis. A cut was made at the trachea, revealing the neck muscles, which showed no blood infiltration; after opening the trachea, its mucus could be seen uncongested; after opening the

esophagus, its mucus could be seen congested; the cervical portion of the spinal cord was examined, presenting no fracture or dislocation. The thorax was unremarkable in shape and size; at the same cut line described above another cut is made to the thorax muscles, the pectoral and dorsal muscles do not show any signs of injuries; the same thing applies to the spinal column as well as to the costal margin. After opening this cavity, its interior contained the lungs which were completely congested; when making a bilateral parenchyma cut, liquid black blood was observed coming out of them. The heart looked large, totally cyanotic and was covered by a thin layer of fatty tissue, dilated coronary vessels, the cardiac muscle was flaccid and had multiple hemorrhagic areas spread on the surface of such organ; once this organ was opened, the auricle and ventricle cavities showed no injuries but presented black liquid blood, the valves were permeable. The abdomen seemed normal; following the cut line mentioned above, that cavity is opened at the abdomen, which contains the liver, specifically congested and in a putrid state; the kidneys, spleen, and pancreas are also congested and in a state of putrefaction; the preceding organs are unremarkable in terms of shape and size; no data nor anatomomacroscopy exist showing that a pathology was present in such organs. The stomach was empty and its mucus congested, there was no putrid odor due to putrefaction; empty bladder; gravid uterus in a state of putrefaction; the ansae were congested as well as the colon, which contained normal fecal matter, the peritoneum and omenta showed no injuries and were in state of putrefaction. In conclusion, MONICA BURGOS died as a consequence of asphyxia through suffocation, given that the lingual protraction, the total congestion of the lungs, and the hemorrhagic cyanosis of the heart are all clear signs of asphyxia, event that probably took place on April 5, 2010, at approximately 23:00 hours at the water sump located in the facility of the Moon Palace hotel, which in

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

turn is located on the federal highway Cancun-Puerto Morelos in this city. The foregoing is interrelated to the **Expert Report on Injuries**, which was carried out by M.D. Ariadna Mendez Garcia, Official Medicine Expert of the Quintana Roo State Delegation of the Office of Experts Service, Forensic Medicine Division, of the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic, on May 13, 2010, wherein the aforementioned expert advised that on Monday, April 12, 2010 at 13:00 hours in the facility of the Forensic Medical Service of this city, she had at sight the body of the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman, which was examined for external injuries, resulting in: irregular-shaped ecchymosis of 3 x 2 cm in length, black-purplish in color, at the right cheekbone; irregular-shaped ecchymosis of 3 x 2 cm, black-purplish in color, at the left cheekbone; irregular-shaped ecchymosis of 2 x 1 cm, black-purplish in color, at the nasal region; black-purplish ecchymosis of 15 cm at the left buttock; black-purplish ecchymosis of 15 cm at the right buttock; right parietal-temporal wound of 8 x (illegible) cm located at 6.5 cm away from the sagittal medium line with morphologic characteristics; irregular and contused flaps as well as blood infiltration to the pericranial bone underneath the wound. Thus, based on this examination as well as on the autopsy report carried out by M.D. Roberto Rios Ponce, member of the Office of the Attorney General for the State on April 8, 2010, it was concluded that the wounds suffered by the person named MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, which were located on the face and that due to their morphology were produced by a direct blunt force mechanism, comparable to those ones produced by the fist; the wounds located on the buttocks were produced by a striking blunt force mechanism when her buttocks stroke a hard surface, e.g. a bathtub or hot tub. Due to the color of such wounds, it was concluded that they were produced "ante-mortem" and had a two-to-three day evolution. The 3.5 right parietal-temporal wound was produced by a direct blunt

force mechanism, applying both force and direction, and which was compatible with a hard and solid object with irregular edges, e.g. a metallic tube, a bat, or a stick. While examining the body, there were observed macroscopic data of mechanical asphyxia, however, it was not possible to determine its type since medical information was lacking, such as the microscopic studies of the neck muscles, carotid arteries, dissection of the hyoid bone, and lung and heart studies. Added to the above is the **Criminalistics and Photography Expert Report** carried out by official expert, LUIS MARTIN ROMERO PADILLA, on April 8, 2010, who appeared at the 338 km of the Cancun-Chetumal highway, to the south end of the Moon Palace Hotel at an opening located next to the Las Americas ranch, in this city, a green area located inside the abovementioned hotel, where he had at sight two registry lids of a wastewater sump, both painted in green color, where the body of a person was found, partially submerged and in a supine position, the head pointing towards the south, the lower limbs were extended and pointing towards the north, not being able to see the position of the upper limbs due to the color and thickness of the liquid; there were no visible distinguishing marks due to the advanced state of putrefaction and saponification. The body was unclothed and had as belongings a brown-blue purse which contained diverse documents as well as a blue wallet, which in turn contained diverse identification and business cards as well as coins of different value. He mentions that at the moment he showed up at the crime scene, the area had been dully sealed off and cordoned off, he found on the east wall of the top green area red liquid stains, apparently blood that had been spilled; he then took samples thereof for future study in the forensic chemistry laboratory. Moreover, the decorative plants located in the top level jardinières were stepped on, such jardinière presents a footprint apparently made by tennis shoes; then, a photograph thereof is taken. Later on, at approximately 11:15

hours, Bruce Ainsley Beresford Redman, ministerial personnel, judicial police personnel, as well hotel personnel entered the room 7816 of the Pelicano Complex, and had at sight two passports on top of a table, one in the name of Bruce Ainsley Beresford Redman and the other one in the name Monica Burgos Beresford Redman; the terrace area presented several clothes, amongst them t-shirts set on the back of the chairs, standing out an orange t-shirt since it had been recently washed and because a dark stain could be seen on the front left side. Moreover, the bottom right side of the hot tub had on top of it several clothes, standing out among them a gray shirt that has red liquid stains on the front; the abovementioned clothes were packaged and transferred to the facilities of the Public Prosecutor for their study. During the development of the proceedings, Bruce Ainsley Beresford Redman was present at all times, who suffered from excoriations on the upper part of his right ear, right side of his neck, back of the neck, middle finger of the right hand, middle finger of the left hand, left side of the pectoral region, and middle third of left leg. Moreover, the abovementioned expert determined, based on information collected and observed at the crime scene as well as on the position the body of the now deceased MONICA BURGOS was found, that it does not correspond to the original immediate position after the passing; that the death of MONICA BURGOS occurred approximately 72 and 96 hours prior to his intervention. The preceding expert opinion reports gain evidentiary weight, in compliance to article 247, since they were carried out following the requirements established by articles 149, 151, 153, and 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedures for the State in force, since they confirm the absence of life in a human being as well as the causes that led to the death; the preceding is supported by the Non-binding legal precedent from the Ninth Epoch, registration Number 176491, Collegiate Circuit Courts, Weekly Judicial Bulletin of the

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Federation and its Gazette XXII, December 2005, Non-binding legal precedent V.4° 4 K, Page 2745, that literally states: **"EXPERT EVIDENCE. ITS LEGAL NATURE AND SCOPE.** Doctrine, in a manner which coincides with the essence of the legal provisions which regulate expert evidence, has held that expertise (which is appropriately defined as the whole of the activities, experiments, observations and techniques employed by experts to issue their opinion), is a procedural activity performed due to a judicial order (or even a ministerial order), by persons other than those who are parties to the proceeding, who are specially qualified due to their technical, clinical, artistic, practical or scientific knowledge so as to provide the Judge with arguments or reasons to formulate an opinion regarding certain facts whose perception, understanding or scope is outside the realm of aptitudes of common people. Since it is outside therefore it is necessary that specific capacity so as to adequately perceive and correctly verify its relationship with other facts, its causes, its effects or simply so as to evaluate or interpret. In this manner, the expert is the technical assistant of the court in determined matters, and as such, the expert's opinion is an illustrative opinion regarding technical issues and which is issued with the best knowledge and understanding of persons experienced and knowledgeable of subjects that require specialized knowledge, expressed in a logical and reasoned form in such a manner that the expert provides the trier of fact with sufficient evidentiary elements so as to guide the judge's opinion in those matters of which the judge lacks knowledge. This illustrative and guiding character of expert opinions has led the Supreme Court of Justice of the Nation and the various Federal courts to emphasize that expert opinions do not necessarily bind the trier of fact, since the latter has the broadest powers to evaluate the same and assign to this type of evidence the evidentiary effectivity which they in truth deserve, since the judge of the court is constituted as expert of experts, and is able

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

to fairly evaluate the scope of all and every piece of evidence that is found in the record of the proceedings". However, this Authority does take note of the fact that the Expert assigned to the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic, Doctor Ariadna Mendez Garcia has stated in her corresponding opinion that it is not possible to precisely state the modality of the asphyxiation which was observed in the examination of the body, same fact which the Expert from the Office of the State Attorney General doctor Roberto Rios Ponce referred that it was asphyxiation by suffocation, since the expert Mendez Garcia specified that in order to make an accurate statement it was necessary to have the microscopic studies of the carotid artery neck muscles and the dissection of the hyoid bone, as well as a study of the lungs and heart due to the fact that in the portion of technical considerations of her report she clearly stated the characteristic signs of asphyxiation by suffocation, and what the latter provokes and thus concludes that in order to make such a determination is was necessary to have the studies specified in the above lines. However, it is a fact that both Experts in this matter, after having examined the body of the person now deceased coincide in establishing that in effect there exist clear signs of asphyxiation as the cause of death. Likewise there is within records of the present criminal case, the statement made by **ENRIQUE ARENA LOPEZ** who declared before the Public Prosecutor on April 10, 2010, that he has been maintenance supervisor of the hotel denominated Moon Placer Grand located at kilometer 36.5 on federal highway Cancun – Tulum for the last seven years, covering a shift from 7:00 to 16:00 hours. He stated that in April 6, he was informed by the supervisor of housekeepers named Yolibeth, of a guest who was missing in the facilities of Moon Palace Nizuc, without knowing the name of the person. He stated that same day when he was passing by the maintenance workshop, he noticed the security personnel of hotel Moon Palace

Grand was getting together with the security personnel of hotel Moon Palace Nizuc to receive orders of their superiors and he got closer to see what was going on. So, he found out that they were organizing a search squad to locate the missing person. The deponent now knows said person is named MONICA BURGOS with Brazilian nationality and who was guest in the hotel. He stated that on April 8, 2010, around 9:20 hours, he decided to be part of the search squad. Therefore when he began his search in the hotel, specifically in the area of the sewage treatment plant; he noticed there was a lid of the cistern which was around 30 centimeters separated from its base; that is why he went closer and saw that one of two lids located on the left side of the cistern was not properly closed, so he got nearer without moving the lid and took a look. It was then when he saw a corpse floating there. He specifically saw the buttocks of the corpse. Therefore he immediately call the security chief named Armando Cetina who proceeded to notify his superiors which are the chief of corporate security ARTURO ROSALES and the General Manager JOSE ANGEL MEDINA, referring that 40 minutes later, agents of the State Judicial Police and the ambulance of the Forensic Medical Service began arriving at the place of the finding. Hence, he left the place and went back to his operation duties in the aforementioned area. The foregoing statement has evidentiary weight in compliance with the provisions set forth in article 254 in connection with article 250 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in force for the State, so as to corroborate the *corpus delicti* of the crime in question. At last, there is linked the statement made by **JEANE FERREIRA BURGOS** before the Public Prosecutor on April 10, 2010, so as to identify the corpse of the person named during lifetime MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. The person appearing stated to be sister of the deceased and that the moment of her death the victim was 42 years old at, married, a businesswoman, completed University, born in

Brazil, catholic and domiciled at [redacted] Rancho Palos Verdes Estates C.A. She lived with her husband Bruce and their two children named CAMILA and ALEC both with surnames BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. The person appearing stated the deceased was 1.75 meters tall, thin build, light brown skinned, with light chestnut curly hair, thin eyebrows, brown eyes, straight nose, medium size mouth, thin lips, oval shaped chin, with no tattoos, no scars, she did not drink alcoholic beverages and she did not have any disease. She stated she had already rendered her formal statement before the Public Prosecutor in this same date. She presented at this moment the original and copy of the passport issued by the United States of America in favor of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, number [redacted] the tourist migratory form issued by the United Mexican States in favor of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, number [redacted] to prove her legal kinship with the now deceased. Under the same terms **ELY FERREIRA BURGOS** appeared before the Public Prosecutor on April 20, 2010. She stated to be mother of the now deceased, proving her kinship with then documents attached to said statement. She requested the corpse of her daughter MONICA BURGOS to bury her according to her catholic beliefs. The foregoing statements have full evidentiary weight in compliance with the provisions set forth in article 254 in connection with article 250 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in force for the State, since they were rendered by people of legal age, with sufficient capacity and understanding to evaluate the event they witnessed; besides such statements are clear and accurate without doubts or reluctances regarding the substance of the fact and its essential circumstances and that given their personal background, they are considered completely unbiased.- - - - - - - - - - - - - Now then, regarding the elements that provide the criminal definition of the crime in question, consisting taking the life of person due to an external cause,

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

being this the conduct attributable to the intentional conduct and not to a accident or as a consequence of the inexorable law of causation; besides the expert opinions on Field Criminalistics, Photography and Planimetry which are weighed above and wherein said expert concluded that the place where the corpse of Monica Burgos was found, **does not correspond** to the place of her death; given that based on the study of the location, there were inferences indicating she had been taken there. And due to the injuries found in the body of the deceased, it is evident that her death was caused by a criminal conduct. In addition to the foregoing, there is the statement made on April 8, 2010, around 14:28 hours by the **complainant JEANE FERREIRA BURGOS** sister of the deceased, declaring among other things and in relation to the facts in question, that her sister and her husband BRUCE had had some problems in their marriage since her sister the now deceased had discovered her husband had an affair with a person named JOY PIERCE. Therefore the deponent knew through her sister that her brother-in-law had apologized to her sister and that he wanted them to be reconciled; that is why he asked her to take a family trip. Then in March 30, the now deceased called the deponent to tell her she had make a decision with BRUCE and they would come to Mexico on vacation, specifically to Cancun and they would stay at Moon Palace Hotel and they had gotten a room as BRUCE wanted it, that is with jacuzzi. BRUCE would pay for the trip because he was the one who wanted to take the trip instead of taking the cruise as MONICA wanted. They already had the tickets and they would depart the following day; that is in March 31. And that is how she found out they would come on vacation with their children. She stated she had communication with her sister MONICA in Easter Sunday, since she called her by telephone and he told the deponent that things were wrong because she was angry at that moment due to the fact that BRUCE told her JOY PIERCE had sent

him three messages and her sister MONICA asked him to show her the messages but he replied he could not because he had already erase them. The deponent thought her sister was sad because BRUCE was not decided to reestablish their relation. She stated that was the way they ended the conversation and it was until April 6, when she received a phone call from her brother-in-law BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN who informed her that her sister MONICA was missing. That MONICA left the hotel in the morning of April 5, without specifying the exact hour and she had said she was going to do shopping in the city of Cancun and she would come back by the afternoon. Therefore he had stayed to take care of the children. He did not remember the time he got asleep and when we woke up the next day that is in April 6, he noticed MONICA had not arrived to sleep. That is why she immediately asked him if he had already called her sister to find out where she was and what she was doing but her brother-in-law replied MONICA had forgotten her cellular phone. The deponent said that it was not possible if she knew she had left the children in the hotel and her brother-in-law did not say a word. The deponent asked her brother-in-law if they had argued in the morning and replied they had not; therefore they ended the conversation and she went to her house in California. She informed her sister CARLA and the nanny of the children of MONICA named ELIZABETH, who stated that the now deceased and her husband would argue all the time and such fights were very serious, even the children got nervous about it. Thus, the deponent decided to come to this city to know the situation of her sister. She stated her brother-in-law was very relaxed every time they talked, maybe because he was with the children. However she felt the story her brother-in-law was saying was not true. She arrived at this city in April 7, in company of her friend CLAUDIA who was going to help her taking care of the children while she and her brother-in-law BRUCE carried out the search for her

sister. She stated she received in April 6, a phone call from her brother-in-law asking her to go the house of his father to pick up the birth certificate of his daughter CAMILA because her passport has expired and he had to renew it for the girl to leave Mexico and return to the United States. She stated she was interviewed by some police officers when she arrived at Moon Palace Hotel and they asked her if her sister had problems with her brother-in-law BRUCE and she told them what she knew about it. She also stated that the police officers told her they had information indicating that her brother-in-law BRUCE had left the room eleven times by the dawn and he had said that her sister had one of the four cards they had received from the hotel, but they have noticed after their checking that her brother-in-law BRUCE used the four cards even after her sister MONICA had disappeared, as well as there was a report in the hotel made by some guests reporting that a person was yelling for help in April 5, and that an employee had called to the room and her brother-in-law BRUCE answered the telephone and said nothing was happening and everything was fine. Thus, the deponent filed her formal accusation against BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN for the crime of HOMICIDE committed to the harm of her sister MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. The preceding statement has evidentiary weight in compliance with article 254 in connection with article 250 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in force for the State. - - -

HOMICIDE has the aggravating circumstances set forth in article 106 (I) second and fourth paragraphs of the Criminal Code in force. It being that the aggravating circumstance of **unfair advantage** with which the crime in question was committed, that is to say the criminal is in no danger of being killed or bodily injured by the victim which is duly proven within records due to the circumstances of the perpetration of the crime from which it is concluded the perpetrator did not run any risk of being killed or injured by the victim, which is supported by the expert opinions on necropsy and bodily injuries carried out upon the corpse of the victim wherein the traces of violence perpetrated against the victim before her death are evident; such as the ecchymosis found in both cheeks of the face of the deceased, as well as in the nasal area and the ecchymosis found in the gluteus, since it was established that they were caused by punches in the first and second cases and by the contact against a hard surface in the third case. The foregoing is interrelated with the statement of **BERTHA ANGELICA ARROYO RAMIREZ**, who on April 8, 2010, before the Ministerial Authority stated that she has worked at RESORT MOON PALACE NIZUC for eight years as a "receptionist", and her job is to assist guests in their complaints, doubts or suggestions about the service provided in the facilities of the hotel. Her position depends on the area of public relations and is located in the "lobby" with a schedule from seven in the morning to fifteen hours. Regarding the events occurred approximately at eight hours and thirty minutes on Monday April 5, 2010, guests of room seventy-eight zero six with last names COOK, it was an English family formed by two children, a young lady of approximately eighteen and a young man of approximately seventeen years old and both parents of approximately fifty years. She pointed out that the parents are staying in another hotel room. Mr. COOK went to her and complained about the guests staying in room seventy-eight sixteen, which is above his children's room.

They were making a lot of noise, sort of screams, crying and arguments since six hours and these terrible noises woke up his children. Thus, she proceeded to inquire who the guests of this room were and corroborated that it was an American family formed by a woman named MONICA BURGOS and a man named BERESFORD both AMERICAN. Then Mr. COOK stated that his children told him they heard a lot of noise, as well as a middle-aged woman's crying, and it sounded like stuff was being dragged in the aforementioned room. It was COOK's son who told her that he went out of his room number seventy-eight zero six to make certain that the noise came from said room located above, then he went down to tell his parents—who stayed at room number seventy-eight zero six—what happened. Then all of them went down to the "lobby" to report what had just occurred. Therefore, she told them that she would report the incident with the security staff of the hotel so that they started surveiling around the aforementioned room and checked that everything was in order. She asked the COOK if they wanted to file a complaint in writing; however, they said it was not necessary, they just wanted the hotel staff to know about what happened. Then she reported the incident to the SECURITY SUPERVISOR on the phone and told him what happened so that he carried out the corresponding surveillance. Likewise, she called room seventy-eight sixteen and BERESFORD answered. She told the latter person of the guest's complaint about the noise and racket occurring in his room. She asked him if everything was fine, to which she literally said **"YES, IT'S TRUE, I WAS ARGUING WITH MY WIFE ON OUR CHILDREN'S BEHAVIOR, BUT IT WON'T HAPPEN AGAIN".** Then she thanked him and put the phone down. The witness states that the man sounded calmed and while they were talked she did not hear a noise in the room. She said that in the hotel computing system she saw that there were two minors and two adults—the man and his wife. Afterwards, she learned that on

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

Tuesday April 6, 2010, BERESFORD reported MONICA BURGOS was missing and until that day April 8, 2010, approximately at eleven hours in the morning, she learned through NORMA GRANADOS, Nizuc Moon Grand Public Relations Manager, who is her boss, that MONICA BURGOS had been found dead in a sewer. Then, this same person, NORMA GRANADOS, asked her to go to the Public Prosecutor's Office so that she rendered her statement in connection with the facts under investigation. She made it clear that she has stated what she knows for a fact because she personally served the COOK, who presented a complaint of the incident occurred on April 5, 2010, in room seventy-eight sixteen of MOON PALACE hotel. For this reason, she called Mr. BERESFORD—who was guest of the oft-cited room. Likewise, the witness states that the Security Supervisor called LUIS DE LOS SANTOS provided her with a white sheet of paper on which a complaint was written by hand in English against guests in room seventy-eight sixteen. Thus, in this moment she exhibited said document. This statement has circumstantial weigh in compliance with article 250 of the Code of Criminal Procedure since albeit that the deponent does not know for a fact the events occurred, she could directly listen to the voice of persons who referred that on April 5, 2010, around six hours, they woke up because they heard strong noises in the room which was above theirs. She also stated that one of them even told her that he could assure himself that said noises, screams and crying came from room seventy-eight sixteen which, after verifying, said witness corroborated it was occupied by an American family formed by the now deceased, her husband and two minors, and in which the guests asserted that they heard the a middle-aged woman was crying asking for help. Thus, in that context said statement deserves to be deemed reliable since it was rendered by a person of legal age with sufficient capacity and schooling necessary to appreciate the act witnessed, her statement

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

was clear and precise without doubts or reticence which are related to the relevant part of the fact and its essential circumstances, and according to her personal background, she is considered to be fully impartial. Therefore, it is clearly inferred that the aggravating circumstance of unfair advantage evidently exists in the present case since there are persons who refer that they heard the now deceased asking for help when she was being attacked. This allows us to establish that the now deceased, upon finding herself completely vulnerable and defenseless, could only tried to scream for help. Thus, it is appropriate to establish that the attacker was not in any danger to be killed or wounded by the victim since, should it had been the case, what the persons who heard the events heard would have been something more similar to a fight or struggle, which did not occurred in this case, since the witness stated that the persons who heard the now deceased told her that they heard a woman crying and screaming for help. Consequently, the foregoing denotes something more similar to the victim's desperation. In addition, the contusions found in the victim's body prove the fact that they were infringed by a person who was physically superior to her, and due to the magnitude of said beats, it is very likely that they were produced by a male person. Therefore, under these circumstances, it is considered that the aggravating circumstance of unfair advantage has been proven due to the fact that according to the records in the file it is not observed that the perpetrator had been in danger of being injured or killed. Furthermore, it is being stated that there was **treachery** since the perpetrator carried out the illegal conduct taking advantage of a bond of trust that existed. The foregoing is being asserted because of the expert opinions analyzed above, specifically the expert opinion on field Criminalistics, Planimetry and Photography, as well as due to the **Ministerial Attestation to the crime scene** which was carried out on April 9, 2010, approximately at 21:00 hours, in which the acting

authority recorded that it convened at the facilities of the hotel denominated Resort Moon Palace, 36.5 km, Cancun-Tulum road. The authority stated that he in collaboration with Federal Public Prosecutor Guadalupe Cano Zurita, expert in Criminalistics Maribel Martinez y Valle, expert in Photography Gonzalo Mendiola, expert in Chemistry Jorge Barragan, as well as expert in Criminalistics and Photography Luis Romero Padilla and expert Joaquin Luciano Rodriguez, among others, convened at room 7816 of Tucanes building, second floor, of the hotel denominated Resort Moon Palace. This place is cordoned off and in custody of officers of the Municipal Preventive Police. Therefore, it is ordered that room 7816 be opened. It can be observed that the door is apparently made of wood; it is brown with a security peephole on the mid upper part, as well as an electronic security lock. Likewise, on the left side of a the door, number 7816 is attached to the wall, said number is carved over a material similar to wood and framed over an oval gray tile, apparently made out of granite. Upon entering said room, approximately fifteen meters long by twelve meters wide, we can see a bathtub, that is, a Jacuzzi straight at the end of the room and it is apparently made of granite with "glass sides", a king size bed to the right side of the room. Over the bed there are bedclothes and various male and female garments, a gray suitcase and a blue towel. In front of the bed to the left side of the room, there is a mirror and a dresser with various beauty care items. At the initial right side of the room there can be seen a white cylindrical column which is approximately 2 meters high by sixty centimeters wide which leads to a hall from north to south. Said hall has, first, to its right side a sink and a mirror with various personal cleaning items. At the end of said hall there is a bathroom that measures 4 meters wide by two meters long in which there is common furniture in a bathroom. Once we finished the reconnaissance of the place, I ordered to turn off the artificial lights off so that

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

visibility is almost zero. Thus, we started the chemiluminescence test with a substance known as "Luminol". Said substance was applied to different parts of the room and specifically it was applied to the parts of the building such as the column in question. There it reacted positively to the "iron ion", that is to say, the liquid reacted upon being in contact with small iron particles contained in human hemoglobin. Thus, it was decided to apply said substance to different parts of the oft-cited column. First, approximately one meter and fifty centimeters of distance over the column, the second application was made approximately one meter and sixty-five centimeters over the column and the third one was applied approximately one meter and seventy centimeters over the column. Likewise, an application was made on the floor approximately ten meters from the column. Subsequently, the same test was applied with a positive outcome with numbers sixteen, seventeen and eighteen over a green metal handrail which is in front of the room in question and which is placed over all the hall and stairs. In like manner, "Luminol" is applied on the room entrance approximately thirty centimeters far from the room door. After finishing the tests applied to said area, the experts went to the sewer where the corpse of the person who during lifetime was called MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAND was found. There we applied "Luminol" and a positive "iron ion" result was obtained. In like manner, they went to the garden area where blood traces were obtained; however, no evidence was found since the area was altered. Thus, having no further places to study, said proceeding is concluded. The proceeding is completed with photographs taken by Expert Luis Martin Romero Padilla ON April 20, 2010 added to the records of the present case. Said photographs show that inside the room occupied by the now deceased along with her husband and her two minor children, there were apparently blood traces which lead to the balcony that is just in front of the entrance door of said room and

towards a garden area to end until the area around the sewer where the lifeless body of MONICA BURGOS was removed. This Trier of fact grants evidentiary weight to this ministerial proceeding on the terms of article 129 since it was made following the guidelines established by articles 130 and 131, all of the Code of Criminal Procedure in force. Furthermore, this proceeding allows this Authority to establish that inside the room there exist sound inferences that lead to establish that probably the facts in which the now deceased was deprived of life occurred precisely in said place, and then the corpse was taken to the finding place since the approximate date of the victim's deceased was April 5. Thus, it is inferred that due to the fact that there exist references that on said date around six hours in the morning there were persons who heard noises in the now deceased's room, such as screams and a woman crying for help, it is appropriate to establish that it was probably the moment where the now deceased was being attacked. Therefore, since it is the room then occupied by the deceased and her family, and upon lacking any further data which feasibly proves that the privacy in said room had been trespassed, and there is not data which refer that any other member of the family had been injured or attacked, it is evident that the now deceased was inside her room with her minor children and her husband. Therefore, there existed trust derived from said relationship, same trust which was betrayed and which was used to deprive the now deceased of life. Due to the foregoing reasons, it is considered that the aggravating circumstances, which are provided for in section I, paragraphs two and four of article 106 of the State Criminal Code in force, are proven. - - - - - - - - - Under these conditions, the foregoing evidentiary elements, after having been interrelated, are appropriate and sufficient to prove that on April 5, 2010, a male person perpetrated an act whose result and consequences, even when it was prohibited by Law, was accepted by him, since at approximately six hours he

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

deprived a female person of life, same person who was called MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. The occurred because she was beaten with a blunt instrument, but she was mainly asphyxiated, as inferred from the necropsy expert opinion practiced by an official expert, as well as from the expert opinion of the injuries found in the deceased's body. Said opinions coincided upon pointing out that MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN died due to asphyxia. Said data, upon being interrelated, allow this Trier of fact to conclude that the means used to deprive a person of life was criminal and, therefore, it was not a natural cause. In addition to the foregoing, the homicide was perpetrated with the aggravating circumstances of unfair advantage and treachery. First, it was consummated with unfair advantage because the perpetrator was not in risk of being killed or injured by his victim due to the physical superiority of the aggressor. In like manner, there existed treachery because the aggressor and the victim's relationship implied a relationship of trust, of which the aggressor took advantage since the victim did not believe that she could be injured by a person in which she fully trusted. As a consequence, a person's life which is the legally protected right by the State Criminal Code in force suffered an attack. In this manner, the *corpus delicti* of the crime of AGGRAVATED HOMICIDE, which provided for by article 86 in connection with article 106 (I) Second and Fourth Paragraph in correlation with article 14 Second paragraph and penalized by article 89, all of the State Criminal Code in force. - - - - **III.** Therefore, in regard to the probable criminal responsibility of the accused **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** for the commission of the crime o **AGGRAVATED HOMICIDE** to the detriment of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN is proven in the records until the present moment. The foregoing is proven with the content of the proceedings filed in the records which were analyzed in the preceding section, but in order to avoid unnecessary

repetitions these are considered to be reproduced as if literally inserted. However, mainly with testimony rendered by **BERTHA ANGELICA ARROYO RAMIREZ** before the Ministerial Authority on April 8, 2010, in which she stated that she has worked at RESORT MOON PALACE NIZUC for eight years as a "receptionist", and her job is to assist guests in their complaints, doubts or suggestions about the service provided in the facilities of the hotel. Her position depends on the area of public relations and is located in the "lobby" with a schedule from seven in the morning to fifteen hours. Regarding the events occurred approximately at eight hours and thirty minutes on Monday April 5, 2010, guests of room seventy-eight zero six with last names COOK, it was an English family formed by two children, a young lady of approximately eighteen and a young man of approximately seventeen years old and both parents of approximately fifty years. She pointed out that the parents are staying in another hotel room. Mr. COOK went to her and complained about the guests staying in room seventy-eight sixteen, which is above his children's room. They were making a lot of noise, sort of screams, crying and arguments since six hours and these terrible noises woke up his children. Thus, she proceeded to inquire who the guests of this room were and corroborated that it was an American family formed by a woman named MONICA BURGOS and a man named BERESFORD both AMERICAN. Then Mr. COOK stated that his children told him they heard a lot of noise, as well as a middle-aged woman's crying, and it sounded like stuff was being dragged in the aforementioned room. It was COOK's son who told her that he went out of his room number seventy-eight zero six to make certain that the noise came from said room located above, then he went down to tell his parents—who stayed at room number seventy-eight zero six—what happened. Then all of them went down to the "lobby" to report what had just occurred. Therefore, she told them that she would report the incident with the

security staff of the hotel so that they started surveiling around the aforementioned room and checked that everything was in order. She asked the COOK if they wanted to file a complaint in writing; however, they said it was not necessary, they just wanted the hotel staff to know about what happened. Then she reported the incident to the SECURITY SUPERVISOR on the phone and told him what happened so that he carried out the corresponding surveillance. Likewise, she called room seventy-eight sixteen and BERESFORD answered. She told the latter person of the guest's complaint about the noise and racket occurring in his room. She asked him if everything was fine, to which she literally said **"YES, IT'S TRUE, I WAS ARGUING WITH MY WIFE ON OUR CHILDREN'S BEHAVIOR, BUT IT WON'T HAPPEN AGAIN".** Then she thanked him and put the phone down. The witness states that the man sounded calmed and while they were talked she did not hear a noise in the room. She said that in the hotel computing system she saw that there were two minors and two adults—the man and his wife. Afterwards, she learned that on Tuesday April 6, 2010, BERESFORD reported MONICA BURGOS was missing and until that day April 8, 2010, approximately at eleven hours in the morning, she learned through NORMA GRANADOS, Nizuc Moon Grand Public Relations Manager, who is her boss, that MONICA BURGOS had been found dead in a sewer. Then, this same person, NORMA GRANADOS, asked her to go to the Public Prosecutor's Office so that she rendered her statement in connection with the facts under investigation. She made it clear that she has stated what she knows for a fact because she personally served the COOK, who presented a complaint of the incident occurred on April 5, 2010, in room seventy-eight sixteen of MOON PALACE hotel. For this reason, she called Mr. BERESFORD—who was guest of the oft-cited room. Likewise, the witness states that the Security Supervisor called LUIS DE LOS SANTOS provided her with a white sheet of paper

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

on which a complaint was written by hand in English against guests in room seventy-eight sixteen. Thus, in this moment she exhibited said document. The foregoing statement is interrelated with the statement of **CARLOS MARIO VAZQUEZ OLAN** who appeared before the ministerial authority on April 8, 2010 and stated that he works as a male maid at the Moon Palace Hotel located in the Mayan Riviera over the highway Cancun-Puerto Morelos, and on Saturday April 3, 2010 the assistant of the housekeeper, America Carrillo Reyes assigned him the rooms of sections 7810 to 7827 of the first and second levels so as to carry out the respective cleaning duties in a period of time of twenty-five minutes to half an hour, but it is always a priority to clean the rooms that the guests are about to leave and I had to distribute the time among the rooms so as not to have complaints from the guests, therefore, that day from ten to eleven in the morning he started cleaning room 7816 (seventy-eight sixteen) and he did not see anything unusual, everything was just the way they manage the rooms and he stated that he did not know the guests of that room because they were always out of the room, but on cleaning the room he realized that the guests had children because inside the room there were pieces of clothes for girls, as well as for boys, of about three or four years of age, toys and children movies, clothes of the guests, swimsuits, men's Bermuda shorts. On April 5, the year in progress, at about eight thirty in the morning, he went up the building, to room 7816 to clean the room as usual and realized that the door knob had the "do not disturb" card on, also known as the privacy card and then he went to clean the other rooms of the same level and around ten in the morning he was washing the windows of a near room, he does not remember the number, but it was 7814 or 7815 and observed that about eight meters away a guest was coming through the garden and he was with two children, he was carrying one of them in his arms, and he could see that it was a girl and a boy, because they have the

PROCURADURIA
DE LA
REPUBLICA

custom to look into guests' eyes and greet them good morning and the guest greeted back but he mentions that he had not seen those guests before and did not realize what room they entered, but that at approximately eleven on that same day he went to his little car to get towels, the car was just outside the room he was cleaning when "I saw the same guest with the two children that I had greeted at ten in the morning, he was leaving room 7816, after that moment I saw that guest more regularly but without the children" and he did not notice anything unusual in that person since he acted as normal as any person, and he was not nervous, he greeted him on several occasions and he saw that the guest had swimming pool towels since those towels are white and large, and he also stated that from one to two in the afternoon on the same day he was performing cleaning duties in room 7817 when once again he observed that the guest left room 7816 and he approached to him asking him if he desired him to do the cleaning of the room that day and the guest answered that he did not want the male maid to do the cleaning on that day because he said that everything was OK and the witness asked the guest if he needed clean towels and the guest said that he did not, since all the service personnel have the obligation of reporting anything unusual they find, so he had to report to the office of the housekeeper with the secretary Tere Alpuche, at around 3:00 p.m. that day and told her that room 7816 had the privacy card on, this was done so the secretary called the guest and asked him directly if he did not want the cleaning done in his room on that day and the male maid called the office again at 3:20 p.m. to know if they had already contacted the guest of room 7816 and supervisor Angel Loria answered the call and mentioned that they had called room 7816 but that there had been no answer and he told Angel that he was going to leave the cleaning of that room for the last duties of the day since he still had to clean other rooms and at about 5:00 p.m. on that day he called again the office to

inform that the room of guest 7816 still had the privacy card on and Angel Loria told him to have "night courtesies" since he did not clean room 7816 and he did so in section 7810 to 7817 and he did not remember on which room he had the "courtesies" but he only remembers that there had been eight courtesies and he finished at 6:00 p.m. and went back home. And on April 6, the same year, at 11:00 a.m. he cleaned room 7816 and everything was normal just like the previous days and he did not see anything out of the ordinary, dirty towels, beds put together, they were two double beds and the Jacuzzi seemed to have been used but he says that he never saw the female guest, that is, the wife of the guest of that room and then he cleaned room 7800 when at 2:00 p.m. he received a phone call to that room and it was the housekeeper's assistant America Carrillo Reyes telling him that he had to contact the security assistant at the security department and ten minutes after he contacted the security assistant at the security booth and asked what the matter was and she asked him if he knew the guests of room 7816 and then he answered that he had only met the male guest and the children on April 5 at the garden and he was asked if he had seen anything unusual inside the room and he answered that everything was normal and he was asked to fill a report about what he had seen that day in that room and that he had to take it to the security booth and he said he would do it on his way out of the hotel and he hanged up and about twenty minutes that the security guard named Cetina was coming with more guards heading to the ground floor building but he did not what was happening and then he went to Mr. Cetina and he said that the female guest had been reported missing and he was asked again if he had seen anything unusual and he said he had not and wrote his report there and handed it to Mr. Cetina who read it and said that it was OK and the witness said that on April 7, this year, at 3:00 p.m. went to room 7816 to perform cleaning duties but once inside the

room he found odd the fact that everything was tidy, beds were separate, toys were in order, as well as the books over the drawer along with the three binds with movies, and on the previous days all this was in disorder just like a normal vacationer's room and until this day of his statement, April 8, that at 10:20 when he was cleaning room 7705 that he saw his boss Tere Colli and the guest of room 7816 in the company of police officers of the Judicial Police and told him that the cleaning of that room should not be done and that nobody should enter room 7816 until further notice, due to security measures, because this day the room was supposed to be cleared and about five minutes after, America Carillo Reyes told the witness that Mr. Rosales, who is the Corporate Manager of the Moon Palace zone, was looking for him, so he went to him outside room 7816 and he also saw officers from the State Attorney General's Office and the Manager asked his cooperation with a statement before the prosecutor so he went back to finish the cleaning of room 7705 and minutes after, Tere Colli told him he had to come down to the Security Booth and he went there and in order to depart to make his statement telling everything he knows and witnessed in the place of occurrence and that is all he has to state. Also, there is the statement of witness **ERICK URIEL GONZALEZ REYES,** who before the Public Prosecutor on April 7, 2010 stated that he has worked for two years at the Moon Palace Hotel in the area known as Moon Grand, as the person responsible for operation and maintenance of the areas and services of Moon Grand, and states that on Sunday April 4, 2010 at about 8:30 p.m. he was performing his duties onboard a golf car in company of his workmates ELIAS DZUB and JUAN CRUZ near the access of restaurant "LOS TACOS" and on heading towards the area of the workshops he realized that the female guest that he now knows was named MONICA BURGOS and who was missing, was wearing a single-piece black dress, her hair was loose, and she was walking on

that occasion along with two young women of slim body build, slightly taller than she was, and they were about to enter the aforementioned restaurant and just over the entrance they met a male individual, sturdy body build, about 1.80 meters tall, wearing a polo-type shirt, without remembering the color, and the deponent realized that when he was about six meters close to them that the couple was having an argument and almost immediately, MONICA BURGOS started crying and they continued to argue for about ten minutes but that he left the place and went to an area of jardinières and realized that the male individual tried to physically attack MONICA BURGOS on two occasions but he lifted his sight and looked them in front of them, therefore he refrained and because of this, MONICA BURGOS left the place without entering the restaurant heading to building 85 and the two young women remained with the male individual but he did not realized whether they entered the restaurant or not because when he saw that the situation did not become more serious he left the place and mentions that he did not see her again around the hotel, then the Public Prosecutor showed the witness pictures of the murdered victim and her husband BRUCE BERESFORD, whom he fully recognized, stating that she was the same female person he saw at the entrance of the restaurant "Los Tacos" and that he is very similar to the individual that was arguing with the murdered victim. Such statements have evidentiary weight under the terms of Articles 250 and 254 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of the State since they are solid items of evidence because the last witness was present in an argument of the murdered victim and her husband on Sunday April 4, 2010 at around 8:30 p.m. and he even stated that the husband had the intention to physically attack the victim but that he refrained on realizing that they were being watched. Separately, the witness ARROYO RAMIREZ clearly stated having a telephone conversation with the victim's husband named BRUCE AINSLEY

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

BERESFORD REDMAN, derived from the complaint made by some guests that were lodged in the room below the room of BRUCE BERESFORD, and in that conversation, when the complaint was made BRUCE accepted he had an argument with his wife about their children, but that it would not happen again, and this conversation took place around 9:00 a.m. on April 5, 2010, although this witness did not presence what other guests stated about yelling and the screams of a woman asking for help, the witness did listen personally from the alleged liable BRUCE BERSFORD REDMAN accepting he had an argument with his wife, the murdered victim, at the time the guests made their complaint. Also, the witness VAZQUEZ OLAN, stated that on April 5, 2010 only saw the accused in the company of his children, a boy and a girl, and said that during the whole day he had no permission to go into the room occupied by the victim and her family since the privacy card was on the door knob and when he asked the accused BRUCE BERESFORD directly the latter said he did not want the cleaning of the room to be done because everything was fine and that the witness saw the accused leave the room on several occasions but no longer in the company of the children, and that he never saw the wife of the guest and emphasized that on April 7 when he entered the room for the cleaning, the room was already in order and that was strange and the beds were separate and made; and what is evident is that he did not want anybody to enter the room so no one could realize he had committed a crime, at that time the guest had already reported his wife as missing; therefore the cited statements deserve evidentiary weight since they were made by persons of legal age, and mental capacity enough to judge the actions they witnessed, besides their statements were clear and accurate, without any hesitation or reluctance on the matter and its essential circumstances, also their personal background bestows them full impartiality. -

- - - Linked to the foregoing there is the **Investigation Report,** dated April 8, 2010, signed by the Officer of the Judicial Police JUAN GABRIEL CHUC MAY, in which he refers that at about 9:00 a.m. he was informed by ARTURO ROSALES DELGADO, personnel of the Security of the Moon Palace Hotel that inside the sewage pit of Moon Grand, Enrique Arenas Lopez, maintenance supervisor, on opening the pit found a corpse, reason for which said officer in the company Idelfonso Sanchez Chan and Hugo Gaspar Gonzalez Pamplona, commander and officer of the Police immediately appeared in said place where they could see personnel of the Red Cross, who were in charge of taking the corpse out, and in the same place also appeared Carlos Armando Pacheco Celis, Public Prosecutor for Local Matters, accompanied by Luis Martin Romero Padilla, Expert in Criminalistics, stating that it was through the commander of Hotels Area of the State Judicial Police, Saul Alfredo Novelo Chi, that (sic) due to the characteristics of the corpse it could be the missing woman, those facts were reported by the husband himself, BRUCE AINSLEY BERESNFORD REDMAN, informing him that based on the facts and the witnesses he is a suspect of murdering the victim, also states having interviewed BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN being in the company of Saul Alfredo Novelo Chi who was the translator since the interviewed person said he did not speak Spanish, who first said he had had a strong argument with his wife due to the behavior of his children on April 5 about 8:30 a.m. and regarding the disappearance of his wife he said he would give information as long as his lawyer was present and the Consul of his country, and that he was not to give any further information, the Prosecutor mentioned that at simple sight the accused showed excoriations in the fingers of both hands, and the back part of the neck, also behind the right ear and tibia of left leg, wounds that seemed to have been inflicted by fingernail scratches, and on being questioned about it he said he

would not provide any further information without his lawyer and the American consul. Then the Police officer interviewed witness ARROYO RAMIREZ and she said the she had received a complaint by other guests who said they were awakened around 6:00 a.m. on April 5 because of very loud noises coming from room 7816 and that it sounded like yelling and screams from a woman asking for help and she talked herself to the husband of the murdered victim BRUCE BERESFORD through a telephone conversation who acknowledged having had an argument with his wife because of the children's behavior, but that it would not take place again. Lastly, the officer interviewed the Head of the Security Department of the Moon Palace Hotel who said that on April 5 and 6 during the night and the early hours, the accused BRUCE BERESFORD was leaving his room on several occasions and at different times since all those accesses are registered in the lock and that the witness delivered the officer those records, which were attached to his report. From such document it is possible to read that, indeed, during the night of April 5 and the early hours of April 6 the access door of his room registered the opening of the door several occasions and at different times; consequently, said report, even though it has the weight of human presumption, when linked with the witnesses statements and other items of evidence, it acquires evidentiary weight, to prove the alleged liability of the accused, since it is an illustrative report prepared by a police officer with full impartiality of the event, and not existing any evidence that lead to consider that he acted under wrong intentions, or impelled by error, mislead or bribery of any kind, his investigation report is attached to records in a *sui generis* manner, imprinting certainty to the facts under investigation. Especially, the Ministerial Attestation of the Location of the Occurrence on April 9, on the interior of the room 7816 where there were several items of circumstantial evidence leading to believe that the homicide of the victim might have taken place

there, (sic) circumstantial evidence such that when the **Criminalistics report** of April 13, 2010 issued by the Expert in Criminalistics MARIBEL MARTINEZ IBAÑEZ, assigned to the State Coordination of Expert Services of the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic, in which she describes the general characteristics of the Moon Palace Hotel as well as the specifications of the Pelicanos building in whose second floor room 7816 is located, the surroundings of said building and its location with respect to the sewage pit in which the body of the victim was found, where in the pertinent part mentions that while inside the room in question one can see a space without the pertinent preservation and keeping of the location, no cordoning off the location, the front and façade are pointing to the North, on the outside the room it has an oval plate indicating the number, it also has a pedestrian access made of wood with electronic access system (through card that registers the accesses to the room) it is 2.20 meters high and 0.98 meters wide that at the moment of the inspection was closed, it is an irregular-shape building, 2.58 meters high, on entering it the sight is of a place in disorder and dirty, with white floor tile, checked in figures and shape, and furniture and objects proper of a hotel room, beds, closet, T.V. set, a Jacuzzi, a table and two chairs, it is also evident that it was recently occupied because there are pieces of clothing over the bed, shoes, sandals, books, suitcases, sundry documents, food leftovers, items for personal cleaning such as tooth brushes, tooth paste, brush, creams, lotions, soaps, etc. as well as items of personal use like glasses, cell charger, etc. it is important to mention that the Jacuzzi has in the top part, specifically, the two views to the beds, tempered crystal, and in the case, the crystal with view to the bed that measures 1.95 meters high, at the moment of the inspection, was not in a good condition since it was not fixed in the northwestern corner, and the rest of it was in good conditions and measures 2.16 meters long, 1.60 meters wide and 0.85

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

meters high and 0.38 meters high in the crystals. Inside the room there is a closet placed against the eastern wall, in the right there is a concrete column in beige color with reddish stains and on the white wall located in front of this column there are reddish stains, continuing with the same direction there is a cleaning area that consists of a washbasin and a mirror placed against the northern wall and then a bathroom in whose interior there is an area of showers, and the toilet, once a general inspection was made then a meticulous search of evidence started using the technique of conical sweep pattern using as starting point the pedestrian access and moving towards the bottom of the room from left to right, the search and observation was commenced and meticulously started from left to right; there were reddish stains in the beige column in different shapes, dimensions and sizes, the items of evidence were photographed, collected and bagged as **Item 1:** a sequence of reddish stains which were grouped in an amorphous space of 20 cm (centimeters) by 12 cm located at the base of the column in the eastern view; **Item 2:** a sequence of reddish stains which were grouped in an amorphous space of 23 cm by 50 cm located at the base of the column in the southeastern view; **Item 3:** a sequence of reddish stains which were grouped in an amorphous space of 20 cm by 12 cm located over item 1 in the eastern view of the column; **Item 4:** a sequence of reddish stains which were grouped in an amorphous space of 16 cm by 7 cm located 4 cm from the base of the column in the southwestern view; **Item 5:** a sequence of reddish stains which were grouped in an oval space of 103 cm long by 43 cm wide located 18 from the base of the column in the north view; **Item 6:** a shapeless reddish stain of 15 mm (millimeters) by 5 mm located 184 cm from the floor in the north view, it also mentions that on the projection of the north wall on a base of white concrete there were runoff reddish stains in different shapes and sizes, then we photographed, collected and bagged the following items of

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

evidence: **Item 7:** a sequence of reddish stains which were grouped in an oval space of 20 cm by 46 cm located 21 cm from the floor; the following was also found in the pillowslip of the bed closest to the pedestrian access: **Item 8:** in the white-fabric pillowslip measuring 75 cm by 46 cm and red tag with illegible logotype there were two reddish stains of irregular shape 13.5 cm from the close side of the slip; **Item 9:** in the white-fabric pillowslip measuring 75 cm by 46 cm and red tag with illegible logotype there was a dark brown hair of 15 mm located 15 cm from the close side of the slip; **Item 10:** in the white-fabric pillowslip measuring 75 cms by 46 cms and red tag with illegible logotype there were two dark brown hairs, one measuring 13 mm and the other 17 mm the first was dark brown and the latter color (sic) 55 cm from the close side of the slip; also there is a brown piece of furniture placed against the eastern wall of the room measuring 1.08 m high and 35 cm wide with the following items: **Item 11:** recent damage located in the right top front corner, 87 cm from the floor which was photographed; **Item 12:** consists of an irregular stain located on the brown piece of furniture located 39 cm from the floor and 71 cm from the aforementioned corner; **Item 13:** footprint in the northern wall of the room 82 cm from the ceiling and 4.33 m from the pedestrian access; **Item 14:** in the jacuzzi there was a brown used-up pair of sandals with the pattern of the synthetic sole still visible. Continuing with the search, over one the beds there are pieces of clothing of different types, amongst them there is a pair of Bermuda shorts with the inscription "Ralph Laurent" which contained **Item 15:** a fibrous brown object measuring 14 mm which was photographed, collected and bagged. Then the search was focused in finding items on the outside: **Item 16:** dried brownish-red stain of irregular size, located in the upper of the green metallic handrail 1.20 m from the floor and 1.92 m from tower 1; **Item 17:** dried brownish-red stain of irregular size, located in the upper of the green metallic handrail 3.27 m

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

from the floor and 1.92 m from tower 1; **Item 18:** dried brownish-red stain of irregular size, located in the upper of the green metallic handrail 1.20 m from the floor and 79 cm from tower 2; **Item 19:** a sequence of reddish stains which were grouped in an oval space of 73 cms by 76 cms located outside the pedestrian access of room 7816. The conclusion of the expert after the inspection is that the place was not preserved following Criminalistics standards; however, after a possible loss or alteration of significant and sensitive material, the items of evidence gathered were duly photographed, collected and bagged. There is a close link of the aforementioned report with the **expert report of the Forensic Chemist JORGE BARRAGAN VARGAS,** Expert in Chemistry assigned to the State Coordination of Expert Services of the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic dated May 12, 2010 so as to determine the possible presence of blood in room 7816 of the Moon Palace Hotel, therefore the expert appeared on April 9, in the premises mentioned above mentioning that the place was divided into four areas for the search and collection of possible items of evidence, being **area 1,** the interior of the bathroom, **area 2,** the base of the column of the wash basin, the washbasin and the adjacent area, **area 3,** the beds and the middle of the room and **area 4,** the outer part of the room and the results were that: in area 1 on applying Luminol reagent on the floor and walls of the inner area of the bathroom one could observe chemiluminescence on the floor around the drainer, indicating a group of stains which were insufficient to confirm the presence of blood; in **area 2** on applying Luminol reagent on the column of the washbasin, the washbasin and adjacent area there was chemiluminescence and on applying Kastle-Meyer reagent for blood identification in all cases was **POSITIVE; In area 4** on performing a macroscopic inspection we observe that the irregular reddish stain located in the upper of a green handrail 1.41 m from the floor and 1.97 m from the access door of

the room, the irregular reddish stain located in the upper of a green handrail 1.41 m from the floor and 2.80 m from the room and the irregular reddish stain located in the upper of a green handrail 1.41 m from the floor and 3.79 m from the room when using kits ABA card Hema Trace on the samples extracted in buffer solution they resulted **POSITIVE** for the confirmation of human blood. The report also mentioned that on applying Luminol in the outer part of the room there was chemiluminescence in an approximate diameter of 30 cm on the floor by the side of the frame of the door which was insufficient for the application of the Kastle-Mayer reagent therefore, the results are presumptively positive for blood identification. Such reports have evidentiary weight pursuant to article 164 interrelated to article 247, all of them from the Code of Criminal Procedures for the State in force, since the Expert carried out all operations and experiments that his profession or trade required, as well as expressing the facts and circumstances that grounded his opinion. Moreover, in compliance with articles 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, and 254, it is appropriate to grant full evidentiary weight to the legal and human assumptions that were obtained from the inferences filed in the records, given the nature of the facts and the logical and natural bond between the known truth and the one sought after, which leads us to also grant full evidentiary weight to the circumstantial evidence, which is nothing else but the collection of inferences that lead to a conclusion. Hence, the existence of small inferences, found inside and outside the room occupied by the now deceased and her family, is confirmed; such inferences were analyzed by an expert in the matter and clearly determined the existence of human blood; hence, this is a more significant piece of evidence that suggests that after the victim was murdered in her room, the now accused took the body of the deceased out the room and got rid of it by throwing it into a wastewater sump that was at approximately 25 meters away from the building that houses room 7816.

Therefore, all circumstances point at the husband of the now deceased, BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN, as the individual who probably deprived MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN of her life, since there are clear inferences that a quarrel between them took place in their room, place in which the abovementioned BERESFORD REDMAN punched several times the face of the now deceased and subdued her; the preceding is proven by the fact that the victim bore signs of having been thrown against a hard surface, event that caused the ecchymosis on her buttocks; such surface might have been the hot tub inside the room since it could be observed that one of the glass canopies surrounding the hot tub and that faces towards the beds was located in a regular position (sic) since it was ~~not~~ fixed at its vertex. Then he deprived her of her life since it was proven that the cause of death was asphyxia; moreover, it can be concluded that between the 23:00 hours on April 5 and the first hours of the early morning on April 6 was the time interval in which he might have taken out the body of the now deceased from the room in order to throw it into a wastewater sump located relatively close to the building that housed their room. The foregoing is stated since it is filed in the records of the present cause the statement rendered by the citizen **RODOLFO MOGO ALMEIDA** who stated on April 8, 2010, before the Public Prosecutor that: he has been working in Moon Palace Hotel as security assistant for the last 11 years, covering a shift from 8:00 to 19:00 hours from Monday to Saturday. He stated in the hotel they have a very strict and efficient registry regarding the entrance and exit procedures, because each guest may be registered while entering or exiting since there is only one access to the hotel by the federal highway where the barrier and the security booth are located to give access to vehicles. The license plates of each vehicle are registered as well as the color of the vehicle. The passengers are asked where they go and just the persons wearing

bracelets, reservation or "DAY PASS" forms may enter the hotel. Furthermore they are monitored because the entrance is like two kilometers away from the hotel; therefore the regulations of the hotel does not allow any person to pass walking or riding a bicycle, given that each vehicle is registered with the number of persons inside. The exit is also very strict because they are obligated to register each person that leaves the hotel by means of their bracelet, and in case the guest does not have one, they are asked their room number and he is located in a list provided by the reception of the hotel. Hence each person that enters or leaves the hotel is registered and the only exit is through the beach located in Nizuc Park that is more than five kilometers away which is very difficult due to the distance. And it is not either easy to go out through a vessel because the Navy registers the persons who board ships in the dock and said ship is owned by Aqua World Corporation. The witness stated that he just knows the guest they were looking, through the videos they were shown in order to carry out the search. Thus, it is clear that the now deceased on April 5, 2010, never left the facilities of the hotel; given that based on the statements made by the witness, she should have been duly registered. Therefore the statements made by the now accused **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** are implausible, given that he clearly stated on April 6, 2010, before the Public Prosecutor and duly assisted by a translator since he declared he did not speak Spanish that the last time he saw his wife MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, was between the 8:30 and 9:00 hours on Monday April5, 2010. He stated she was planning to do shopping in Cancun and perhaps going to a Spa in the hotel. She also wanted to find where she could find an activity involving swimming with dolphins that may be suitable for her children. He stated his wife was wearing that day a black bag with dark brown motifs. She had 400 dollars in cash and at least a credit card of CHASE SLATE VISA bank. He

declared he expected his wife to be back around 22:00 hours that same day. He stated his wife birthday was in Thursday April 8. He provided the physical characteristics of his wife and that he was wearing a light blue dress and sandals. He showed among other things, five photographs in color where his wife appears. In said appearance the accused reported before the Public Prosecutor the disappearance of his wife, declaring that the last time he saw her was on April 5, 2010, around 08:30 hours. Time when she went to do shopping in the city of Cancun and to do other activities she had planned for that day. However, afterwards the now accused **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** appeared before the Public Prosecutor, this time to render his ministerial statement assisted by a translator because he is not proficient in the use of Spanish, assisted by the lawyer he appointed; who is named EUTIQUIO ALEJANDRO SALAS CASTILLO, as well as accompanied by citizen BRUCE GORDON who stated to be Officer of the Consulate of the United States of America in this city. He basically stated that on March 31, 2010, he arrived to this city from Los Angeles California in company of his wife MONICA and his two children [redacted] (five years old) and [redacted] (three years old); both bearing surnames BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. He declared he was married to her for 11 years. They got a taxi which took them to Moon Palace Hotel where they had a reservation. They arrived at the hotel by the afternoon. They checked in, and then he went to the restaurant of the hotel to have dinner with his family. They went back to their room number 7816 between 21:00 and 22:00 hours. He stated that he and his wife sexual intercourse that night. The first thing he did next day in the morning, was to go with his family to MAYAN GRAND Hotel for the presentation of a time-sharing plan and he had to go back to his room for his identification and he needed it. It was at that moment when he noticed he had left the keys of the room inside of it; therefore the person in the

reception gave him two sets more. Then he went back to the presentation. His wife was signing documents and checking time-sharing's promotions. Between 16:00 and 18:00 hours they took a cab to go back to Moon Palace Hotel. He carried his daughter in his arms because she was asleep. Then they all took a nap, afterwards he and his wife gave the children a bath, and they went down to the restaurant of the hotel to have dinner. They took some pictures inside the restaurant, and then went back to their room and he and his wife had sexual intercourse that night too. The next morning in April 2, they woke up very early around 6:30 a.m., dressed the children and took a bus to Xcaret Park. The tour lasted until 7:30 p.m. and given that their children were tired, they decided to take a taxi and go back to the hotel. Before getting at the hotel, they stopped in a pharmacy and his wife bought a medicine for a vaginal infection and he waited for her with the children in the cab. By the time they arrived at the hotel, their children were sleeping so they had to carry them in their arms to get out of the taxi. It was at that moment that the personnel of the hotel gave a tour through the facilities of the same and after said tour they went to sleep. During the trip they did in the subterranean river in Xcaret, he was holding his son because his son was not swimming despite he was wearing a lifejacket; given that his son was cold, he asked the deponent to go out of the river. Therefore he had to climb the rocky wall while carrying him in his arms and he suffered different injuries in his hands and feet because it was slippery. He stated he got the injuries he has in the back part of the neck two days later in April 4, when they were at the Jungle Tour and the boat stopped and he dived into the water. He went up to the surface because he was wearing a lifejacket and he hit the back part of his head with the rope holding the boat. They returned to their room and he had sexual intercourse with his wife once more. In April 3, they woke up very early again to take the tour that would take them to Xel-Ha, where they

stayed until 5:00 p.m. On the way back to the hotel, his son was very active, thus he played with him to keep him busy until he fell asleep. He had to carry his son when they got at the hotel to take him to the room in company of his wife and daughter. After being for a while in the room, his son woke up; then he gave his son a bath and all of them went down to the restaurant of the hotel located next to the swimming pool to eat something. Afterwards they went to see a show inside the hotel. Then they went to their room but since their children were tired and asleep, he carried one of them and his wife carried the other. They went to the room and he had sexual intercourse with wife again. In Sunday April 4, they programmed the alarm clock to wake up at 8:00 a.m. as far as he remembers but he does not remember the exact time, but he stated when they woke up his wife call the room service but they told her they could not give us service because it was too late. That is why they realized that the time of schedule had made us late for our trip to Jungle Tour. So we took a taxi to go to the place where we boarded a boat. It was there where he suffered an accident while diving into the water. Then they took a taxi and went back to the hotel. They went to their room where their kids wanted to open the door and they were playing opening and closing the door of the room. Then they went down to the swimming pool where he played with his wife and children. Afterwards they went to their room to get a shower. Then they walked down again to the restaurant located next to the swimming pool to eat something, after that they went to see the show presented by the hotel. Then the whole family went to their room and he and his wife had sex again. That night before getting asleep, he and his wife talked about the activities they would have the following day; that is Monday in April 5. The argument took place due to the activities they would do the next day. He suggested taking a rest because the previous days they had had an activity to do and they children would take a rest

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

and his wife got angry because she wanted to have a day for her and that he would stay taking care of the children. The foregoing because when they are home he works the whole day and she stays with the children, and she wanted to trade places and that he would take care of the children. He said there were no punches or yelling. It was just an argument between any regular couple. He finally accepted staying with the children and afterwards they had sex again to finally getting asleep. He could not sleep well that night due to a stomach ache and he was constantly going to the bathroom; that is why his wife and son woke up around 5:00 a.m. and he his wife and his son stood awake until around 6:30 a.m. All this time they were playing a game that consisted of crashing against the wall, using the bed or any other object. His son was laughing and screaming during the game. They were making a lot of noise. They were jumping on the floor and the bed and since his son was the most active, he was the one who screamed most. He stated that day they were not under the influence of any alcoholic beverage. The morning of April 5, after he, his wife and son stopped playing; they all went to bed their son hugged them and the fell asleep. They never talked because they fell asleep. Around 8:00 a.m. his wife left the bed and he and his son stood up to watch a DVD, while his wife was getting ready to take her day free as they had agreed the night before. His wife had told him she would do some shopping, go to a Spa for women and find a place where her children would swim with dolphins. His wife left the room around 8:30 a.m. wearing dark sandals without remembering the color, a light blue dress and a bikini under it, a black and beige bag without knowing its contents; he just remembers she had four hundred US dollars and around one hundred dollars in Mexican pesos because he had given her that amount the night before and she did not ask him more money. She did not speak Spanish perfectly but she would say some words and during the time they were married he did not

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

learn Spanish completely. He said his wife was very jealous and that is why she had gotten angry some times. He stated she was the love of his life and a wonderful woman. He stated that was the last time he saw her. He made clear they never had a fight involving violence. The only thing he remembers is that his wife may have hurt her hands while climbing the wall in Xcaret Park. The last day he saw his wife she was carrying any cellular phone with her, and that he stayed with the children during the whole morning his wife was out. He began getting desperate when he noticed she did not arrive because she had told him she would arrive around 10 p.m., but she always arrived late. He said he was sleeping at 10:00 p.m. together with his children because he was expecting she would wake him up when she arrived since she allegedly did not have the key; however he could not assure if she had a key. He woke up around 11:00 p.m. and noticed his wife has not arrived yet and he felt so distressed but at the same time he knew his wife had a restaurant in California and sometimes she would arrive late. He noticed his wife did not have her cellular phone, so he could not call her. Since he was so worried, he began walking several times around the building where the room was located and one time he crossed the wall where a path to the lobby is found. He never talked to anyone. He entered and left the room many times to check if his wife had arrived. He slept for a while. He fell asleep around 5:00 a.m. He woke up at 7:00 a.m. and used the telephone in the room to call at the lobby. He reported what had happened but the person answering the phone told him he had to go to the Area of Customer Service that is located by the elevators. Therefore he gave the children a shower; he dressed them and went down to the lobby where he began showing photographs of his wife. The personnel of the hotel told him he had to go to the Public Prosecutor's Office located in the Hotel zone to report the disappearance. It was maybe around 11:00 hours and when he arrived there he

was told he had to wait a little longer because the disappearance was taken place very recently. Hence he decided to go to the American consulate to notify them and they told him he had to go to the Public Prosecutor's Office to commence the proceeding which he did and filed the corresponding report. While he was waiting, he called his sister-in-law JEANE BURGOS in Los Angeles, California to inform her that her sister was missing and she began crying. He went back to his hotel and the night of April 7, he printed photographs of his wife and went to place them at Plaza Kukulcan. He declared he and his wife had problems sometimes because she had met a person during their matrimony but that took place like 5 or 6 years ago. They did have life insurances but he is not the beneficiary of any of them. Likewise he stated the Authority informed him of a guest who reported he had heard screams asking for help but he does not know anything about it; since the only screams coming from his room were the ones of his son when he, his wife and his son were playing. He stated in April 5, between 9:00 and 9:30 a.m., he received a phone call from the receptionist of the hotel informing him there was a complaint reporting noise coming from his room. He apologized and explained he was playing with his son and they were screaming and making noise, but that would not happen again and he never told the receptionist he was fighting with his wife. He added he had problems with his wife because she discovered he had a relationship with a person named JOY PIERCE and that he had done this family trip because they wanted to celebrate MONICA's birthday. The Public Prosecutor attested to place at sight of the now accused the objects seized from his room and the ones located at the place of the finding of the corpse of the now deceased, consisting of personal items of the victim. He identified without fear of being mistaken that the aforementioned objects belonged to his wife and she carrying them inside a black and beige bag which she always carried. Then a ring allegedly made of gold was

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

placed at sight of the person appearing and he recognized it as the one his wife MONICA BURGOS was wearing in the ring finger of the left hand. Likewise, at that moment the Public Prosecutor attested to have in his view the bodily injuries presented by the victim at simple sight. Said bodily injuries were: a lineal excoriation by the outer ear behind the right ear; excoriations in both hands; excoriations in the left shin; excoriation in the right ankle. However up to this moment, the accused has not presented any effective evidentiary element to ground his statements in this sense. Therefore his arguments remain isolated and result insufficient to overrule the evidence filed in the investigation against him; such as the fact of the references that exist that from six o'clock in the morning on April 5, the guest in the room below stated that from inside the room in which the person now deceased was lodged along with the husband the person now accused and her two minor children very loud noises were coming as well as the cries of a woman asking for help, the preceding added to the fact that there does not exist any record that the person now deceased left the hotel on April 5 as stated by the person now accused. Furthermore, there is a record that on the night of the 4th of April they were seen having an argument at the entrance to one of the hotel restaurants where the person now accused demonstrated his violent attitude since he had the intention to physically attack the person now deceased and he only restrained himself due to the fact that he noticed that he was being observed by the employees. Moreover, the sister of the person now deceased upon making her statement made reference to having spoken to MONICA on Easter Sunday, that is to say April 4 and in this conversation Monica told her that she was very angry with her husband and that things were not going well, and that this was the last contact that she had with her sister. Finally, with the previously analyzed opinions which establish that in fact there do exist inferences inside room seventy-eight sixteen

and with the traces of violence on the body of the deceased which are all in contradiction to the statement of the person now accused who visibly attempted to appear as if he had a good relationship with his wife the person now deceased and that their stay at the hotel was very pleasant, and so in this sense credit cannot validly be given to the person now accused in accordance to article 232 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in force for the State. In this regard there is applicable Binding Legal Precedent number IV. 2° J/44 upheld by the Second Collegiate Court for the Fourth Circuit, published at page 58, Volume 78, June 1994, Eighth Epoch, in the Gazette of the Weekly Judicial Bulletin of the Federation, under the following heading and text: "**CONFESSION, LACK OF**. If a presumption against the accused may be inferred from a set of circumstances, the accused must provide evidence to prove his or her innocence, and not just make a simple denial by providing an explanation not corroborated with any evidence, since admitting this as being valid would undo the procedure of presumptive evidence and facilitate the impunity of any accused, which would lead to an entire chain of presumptions being rendered as invalid on account of a single declaration, a legal situation that is inadmissible. - - -

room, producing ecchimosis in the gluteal area of the deceased, and finally he took her life by provoking her asphyxiation. The preceding is observed from the opinion of the autopsy practiced by an official expert, as well as from the bodily injuries, which concluded that MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN died due to ASPHYXIATION. This information once interrelated amongst itself allows this judge to reach the conclusion that the means by which her life was taken was conduct which constituted a crime and death was not by natural causes. In addition to the preceding, this homicide has the aggravating circumstances of unfair advantage and treachery since the crime was consummated with an unfair advantage, since the perpetrator did not run any risk of death or injury from the victim due to the physical superiority had by the attacker, and this determination is not hindered by the fact that the person now accused had scrapes on various body parts since these were not necessarily produced as a consequence of the deceased person's defense but instead by a simple reflex reaction of stress produced by the lack of oxygen or even by the maneuvers made at the time that he disposed of the body of his wife. Likewise, there is the element of treachery, since due to the bonds of their relationship, that is to say derived from the relationship between the deceased and the person now accused, she was not afraid of being injured by the latter named person who evidently took advantage of said circumstance thus betraying the trust which the deceased had deposited in the person of the accused and in this manner he took her life. Unlawful conduct which was an attempt against the right legally protected by the State, and which consists of the right to personal health and which falls into the normative predicate stipulated by articles 86, 89 and 106 section I Second and Fourth paragraph of the above referenced article in relation to article 14 Second paragraph all of the Criminal Code in force for the State and which provides for the crime of

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

AGGRAVATED HOMICIDE, so this conduct was carried out by the person now accused and which falls into section I of article 16 of the Criminal Code in force for the State by virtue of the fact that from all of the evidence which has been analyzed this may be concluded. Following this line of reasoning and since the existence of the crime under analysis has been proven and the probable responsibility of **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** for the commission of the selfsame crime has also been proven, since this may be deduced from all of the existing evidence and which has been analyzed and evaluated and after the analysis through means of review which was carried out by this judicial authority regarding exculpatory causes pertaining to the accusation, exculpatory justifications and causes for extinguishing the criminal action and since in this case none of these were proven, the conduct carried out by the accused **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** falls into the provisions of Title Two Chapter III regarding principals and accomplices. Furthermore, there exists sufficient circumstantial evidence to justify that he acted unlawfully and in order to issue an arrest warrant it is not necessary that criminal responsibility be fully proven, in keeping with the binding legal precedents, that literally state:"**ARREST WARRANT, ITS ISSUANCE DOES NOT REQUIRE FULL PROOF OF THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE ACCUSED.-** In order to issue an arrest warrant, there is not required full evidence proving the accused's responsibility, but it is only necessary to meet the requirements of Constitutional Article 16, and that information is included that makes probable the responsibility of the person accused." Legally binding precedent number 619, upheld by the Second Collegiate Court for the Sixth Circuit seen at page 385 of Volume II, Part TCC, Eighth Epoch. From the appendix of the Weekly Judicial Bulletin of the Federation corresponding to the year of 1995." As such the result of the accumulation of evidence that has been analyzed, it may be

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

observed that it is probable that the person now accused carried out intentional conduct which resulted in taking the life of MONICA BERESFORD REDMAN. In this manner since the requirements demanded by article 16 of the Federal Constitution have been satisfied, it is appropriate to issue the Arrest Warrant requested by the Public Prosecutor for **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**, as the person probably responsible for the crime of AGGRAVATED HOMICIDE an offense provided for in article 86 in relation to article 106 section I Second and Fourth paragraphs in relation to article 14 second paragraph and 16 section I and punished by imprisonment by article 89, all of these articles pertaining to the State Criminal Code in force, unlawful conduct which contravenes the right legally protected by the state which consists of life and personal health committed to the harm of the person who during their lifetime answered to the name of MONICA BERESFORD REDMAN, therefore based on articles 102, 103, 104 and 105 of the Code of Criminal Procedures for the State, it should be decided and it is the: ----------

----------**D E C I S I O N:**----------

--- **FIRST.–** The **ARREST WARRANT** requested by the Public Prosecutor **IS ISSUED** for **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** as the person probably responsible for the crime of **AGGRAVATED HOMICIDE** committed to the harm of the person who during their lifetime answered to the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN for the offense provided for in article 86 in relation to article 106 section I Second and Fourth paragraphs in relation to article 14 second paragraph and 16 section I and punished by imprisonment by article 89, all of these articles pertaining to the State Criminal Code in force. ----------

--- **SECOND.–** Let this resolution be notified to the Agent of the Public Prosecutor assigned to this Court, so that through them and by means of the State Judicial

Police, they proceed to Apprehend the person accused **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** and once he has been apprehended, he shall be remitted to the Public Municipal Jail in this City, and immediately put him at the will of this Court.- - - -

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

In printed handwriting: Addy T. Chan Manzanero.

[A SEAL READING: Mexican Coat of Arms, UNITED MEXICAN STATES, STATE JUDICIAL BRANCH, SECONF CRIMINAL TRIAL COURT, CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO]

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

CERTIFICATION

---- Mexico City, Federal District, on December 1, 2010. ----------

--- The undersigned, **Graciela Lopez Cruz**, Federal Public Prosecutor assigned to the General Division of Extraditions and Legal Assistance dependent on the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic, in compliance with articles 21 and 102 "A" of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States; articles 2, 4 (III) and 10 (X) of the Organic Law of the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic; articles 2, 6 and 35 (I) of the Regulations of the Organic Law of the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic, acting pursuant to article 16 of the Federal Code of Criminal Procedure, along with attesting witnesses who sign at the end and attest.----------

----------**CERTIFIES:**----------

That the present photocopies are a faithful and exact reproduction of the Arrest Warrant issued by the Second Trial Judge of the Judicial District of Cancun, Quintana Roo, within criminal case 216/2010, which make up international extradition file number A/EUA/0014/06-10 brought in this General Division of Extraditions against **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN.** Said documents, which consist of **45** pages, were at sight and correspondingly compared for the pertinent legal effects.----------

----------**WE ATTEST**----------

[an illegible signature]

Attesting Witness
[an illegible signature]
Ixchel Carrillo Lima

Attesting Witness
[an illegible signature]
Daisy Alvarez Zavala

[SEAL: UNITED MEXICAN STATES, MEXICAN COAT OF ARMS, MEXICAN ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE GENERAL DIVISION OF EXTRADITIONS AND LEGAL ASSISTANCE]

FORMA CG-1A

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

[SEAL:
MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR,
GOVERNMENT UNIT,
UNITED MEXICAN STATES,
MEXICAN COAT OF ARMS]

021266

CARLOS ARMANDO REYNOSO NUÑO, HEAD OF THE GOVERNMENT UNIT OF THE MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR, PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 12 (IX) OF THE INTERNAL REGULATIONS OF THE MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR, **CERTIFIES** THAT GRACIELA LOPEZ CRUZ WAS A PUBLIC PROSECUTOR OF THE FEDERATION IN THE SERVICE OF THE GENERAL DIVISION OF EXTRADITIONS AND LEGAL ASSISTANCE OF THE OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL OF LEGAL AND INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS OF THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE REPUBLIC ON DECEMBER 1, 2010, AND THAT THE SIGNATURE APPEARING ON THE PRESENT DOCUMENT IS HER OWN.

MEXICO CITY, FEDERAL DISTRICT, DECEMBER 2, 2010.
REGISTRY **No. 21713**
TAX PAID $0.00
TAX EXEMPT

[an illegible signature]
SIGNATURE

CODE: **IFF2ZKBRZ**

THIS MINISTRY DOES NOT ASSUME ANY LIABILITY AS FOR THE CONTENTS OF THIS DOCUMENT.

*ADE/RCG/CARN

[SEAL:
UNITED MEXICAN STATES.
(Mexican Coat of Arms)
MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS,
CUAUHTEMOC DELEGATION]

[SEAL:
UNITED MEXICAN STATES.
(Mexican Coat of Arms)
MINISTRY OF FOREIGN
AFFAIRS
CONSULAR SERVICE
AUTHENTICATIONS]

F. 8

No. 477619

THE MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS CERTIFIES: THAT ***CARLOS ARMANDO REYNOSO NUÑO WAS HEAD OF THE GOVERNMENT UNIT OF THE MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR ON*** DECEMBER 3, 2010, and that the preceding signature is his own.

Mexico, Federal District, DECEMBER 9, 2010.

BY RESOLUTION OF THE MINISTER
The Delegate of the
Ministry of Foreign Affairs
[an illegible signature]
Myrna Granados Hernandez

THIS MINISTRY DOES NOT ASSUME ANY LIABILITY AS FOR THE CONTENTS OF THE DOCUMENT BEING AUTHENTICATED

EXHIBIT 2

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

CRIMINAL CODE FOR THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO

SECOND TITLE
THE PUNISHABLE FACT
CHAPTER I
DEFINITION AND MODALITIES

ARTICLE 14.- The crime can be perpetrated intentionally, negligently or recklessly.
A person acts intentionally if knowing the objective circumstances of the fact criminally defined by law, said person intends or accepts the result considered unlawful by Law.
A person acts negligently if such person commits an act criminally defined by law which was foreseeable and which the person failed to foresee or foresaw trusting that he could impede it, thus contravening a civic duty that he could and should have performed according to his personal circumstances and conditions.
A person acts recklessly if the perpetrator causes a result criminally defined by law which is more serious than the one intended or accepted, provided that the crime is committed negligently.

CHAPTER III
PERPETRATION AND PARTICIPATION

ARTICLE 16.- The responsible persons for a crime are:

I. Those who commit the crime by themselves.

II. Those who commit the crime jointly with another perpetrator;

III. Those who commit the crime by means of a third person;

IV. Those who intentionally persuade another to commit it;

V. Those who intentionally assist or help the perpetrator to commit the crime;

VI. Those who assist the perpetrator after the crime is perpetrated fulfilling a promise made before the crime was committed;

VII. Those who intervene with others in the perpetration of the crime even if there is no proof concerning which of them produced the resulting action.

SIXTH TITLE
STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS
CHAPTER I
GENERAL PROVISIONS

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

ARTICLE 76.- The statute of limitations extinguishes the criminal action and the penalties, it is personal and for it to take place the elapsing of time set forth by law is enough. Regarding the measures taken for non-chargeable persons, the provisions set forth in Article 78, paragraph four, and Article 84, second paragraph, of this Code shall be applicable.
The status of limitations shall be determined ex officio or at the request of a party.

CHAPTER II
STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS OF THE CRIMINAL ACTION

ARTICLE 77.- The term of the statute of limitations of the criminal action shall be continuous and it shall be counted:

I. From the moment in which the crime was perpetrated, if the crime is instantaneous;
II. From the day the last execution or omission act was carried out, if the crime was just attempted.
III. From the day the last criminal act was perpetrated, if it is a continuous crime.
IV. From the day the conduct stopped being carried out, if the crime is permanent.

ARTICLE 78.- The criminal action prescribes in a term equal to the arithmetic mean of the prison term established by law for that crime, but under no circumstance shall it be less than three years.

If the crime is penalized solely with a fine, the criminal action shall prescribe in one year.
If in addition to imprisonment, the crime is penalized with another or an alternative penalty, the imprisonment penalty is barred by the same statute of limitations as applicable to the criminal action.
In all other cases, the criminal action shall prescribe in two years.

ARTICLE 81.- If a prior decision or finding from the appropriate authority is necessary in order to exercise or continue to exercise the criminal action, the statute of limitations shall not start running until such requirement is met.

The statute of limitations of the criminal action shall be interrupted by the proceedings that are carried out in order to investigate the crime, notwithstanding that said proceedings are not carried out against any determined individuals since the perpetrators are unknown.

If the proceedings are suspended, the statute of limitations shall begin to run

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

again the day following the day that the last proceeding was carried out.

The statute of limitations shall also be interrupted if the accused commits another crime.

ARTICLE 82.- The provisions set forth in the preceding article do not include the cases in which the proceedings are carried out after half of the necessary term for prescription has elapsed. In such cases, the statute of limitations shall continue running and shall not be interrupted, unless the accused is arrested.

SECOND BOOK
SPECIAL SECTION
FIRST SECTION
CRIMES AGAINST LIFE AND PHYSICAL WELLBEING
FIRST TITLE
CHAPTER I
HOMICIDE

ARTICLE 88.- Whoever takes the life of another shall be penalized with six to eight years of imprisonment.

ARTICLE 89.- The perpetrator of aggravated homicide as defined in article 106 shall be penalized with twelve to thirty years of imprisonment.

CHAPTER V
GENERAL PROVISIONS FOR THE CRIMES OF HOMICIDE AND BODILY INJURIES

ARTICLE 106.- Homicide and bodily injuries are defined as aggravated:

I. If committed by means of malice aforethought, lying in wait, unfair advantage, or treachery.

There is malice aforethought if the offender has premeditated the commission of the crime of homicide or bodily injuries they intend to commit.

There is unfair advantage if the offender does not incur risk of death or injury by the victim.

There is lying in wait whenever the victim is intentionally taken by surprise by means of threats.

There is treachery if the trust promised to the victim, or the tacit trust that the victim should expect due to kinship, gratitude or friendship or any other relation which inspires trust with the offender is breached.

EXHIBIT 3

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

DIVISION : ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE NORTHERN ZONE
SECTION : OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
OFFICIAL LETTER NUMBER : PGJE/DP/SPZN/2010

"2010, TWO HUNDRED YEARS OF NATIONAL INDEPENDENCE. ONE HUNDRED YEARS SINCE THE REVOLUTION"

LEOPOLDO VELARDE ORTIZ
GENERAL DIRECTOR OF EXTRADITIONS
AND LEGAL ASSISTANCE OF THE OFFICE OF
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE REPUBLIC
Av. Paseo de la Reforma Num. 211-213 2° piso
Colonia Cuauhtemoc, CP 06500 Mexico, D. F.

In order to fulfill instructions given by the Attorney General for the State of Quintana Roo, Francisco A. Alor Quezada, I inform you of the legal provisions applicable to the criminal offense of aggravated homicide, the corresponding punishment and the calculation of the statute of limitations of the criminal action, in relation to the preliminary investigation commenced under number AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010 for the homicide of who during her lifetime answered to the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, said investigation was presented and filed before the Second Criminal Trial Court of the Judicial District of the city of Cancun; the judicial authority issued an arrest warrant for the crime of aggravated homicide, against BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN on May 29, 2010 within criminal case number 216/2010.

The criminal definition for the crime of homicide is provided in article 86 of the Criminal Code for the Free and Sovereign State of Quintana Roo, which literally establishes:

"Article 86.- Whoever takes the life of another shall be penalized with six to eight years of imprisonment."

The punishment for the crime of aggravated homicide, that is when it is committed with the aggravating elements described by the law, is provided for in article 89 of the Criminal Code for the Free and Sovereign State of Quintana Roo, which literally establishes:

"Article 89.- The perpetrator of aggravated homicide as defined in article 106 shall be penalized with twelve to thirty years of imprisonment."

The crime of homicide is aggravated whenever it is committed in malice aforethought, lying in wait, unfair advantage or treachery, in compliance with article 106 of the Criminal Code for the Free and Sovereign State of Quintana Roo, which literally establishes:

"Article 106.- Homicide and bodily injuries are defined as aggravated:

I. If committed by means of malice aforethought, lying in wait, unfair advantage, or treachery;

There is malice aforethought if the offender has premeditated the commission of the crime of homicide or bodily injuries they intend to commit.

There is unfair advantage if the offender does not incur risk of death or injury by the victim.

There is lying in wait whenever the victim is intentionally taken by surprise by means of threats.

There is treachery if the trust promised to the victim, or the tacit trust that the victim should expect due to kinship, gratitude or friendship or any other relation which inspires trust with the offender is breached."

In the Criminal Code for the State of Quintana Roo, the statute of limitations to bring criminal action is set forth in articles 76, 77 and 78, which literally establishes:

"Article 76.- The statute of limitations extinguishes the criminal action and the penalties, it is personal and for it to take place the elapsing of time set forth by law is enough. Regarding the measures taken for non-chargeable persons, the provisions set forth in Article 78, paragraph four, and Article 84, second paragraph, of this Code shall be applicable.

The status of limitations shall be determined ex officio or at the request of a party.
Article 77.- The term of the statute of limitations of the criminal action shall be continuous and it shall be counted:

I. From the moment in which the crime was perpetrated, if the crime is instantaneous;

II. From the day the last execution or omission act was carried out, if the crime was just attempted.

III. From the day the last criminal act was perpetrated, if it is a continuous crime.

IV. From the day the conduct stopped being carried out, if the crime is permanent.

Article 78.- The criminal action prescribes in a term equal to the arithmetic mean of the prison term established by law for that crime, but under no circumstance shall it be less than three years.

If the crime is penalized solely with a fine, the criminal action shall prescribe in one year.

If in addition to imprisonment, the crime is penalized with another or an alternative penalty, the imprisonment penalty is barred by the same statute of limitations as applicable to the criminal action.

In all other cases, the criminal action shall prescribe in two years."

In the Criminal Code for the State of Quintana Roo, the statute of limitations for penalties is set forth in articles 83, 84 and 85, which literally establish:

Article 83.- The terms of the statute of limitations of the penalties shall be continuous, and shall begin to run the day after the condemned person flees the administration of justice if the penalties or security measures deprive or restrict their liberty, and if the penalties do not, the statute of limitations shall start to run from the date the sentences becomes final.

Article 84.- The imprisonment penalties shall prescribe in a term equal to that stipulated in the sentence, but in no case shall the term be less than three years nor greater than fifteen. **The statute of limitations for a fine penalty shall run in two years, the statute of limitation for the other penalties and security measures shall run in a period equal to the term that they should last, but the period shall not be less than two years nor more than eight years, and for those penalties with no time term, they shall prescribe in two years. The periods shall be counted from the date on which the resolution becomes final.**

If the offender has served part of the imprisonment penalty, the statute of limitations shall operate when a period equal to the remainder of the sentence has run, taking into account the limits established in the previous paragraph.

Article 85.- The statute of limitations for the right to punish shall only be interrupted by the apprehension of the person sentenced or by the latter person's commission of a new crime. If the proceedings are suspended, the statute of limitations shall begin to run again on the day following the day the last act took place. If a legal impediment exists to the capture the perpetrator of the crime or to exercise the penalty imposed, the terms for the statute of limitations shall not run.

The statute of limitations to execute all other penalties and security measures shall be interrupted by an act of any authority which has jurisdiction to make the latter enforceable, and shall begin to run again the day following the day the last act took place.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

From the preceding it should be concluded that the criminal action exercised by the Attorney General for the State of Quintana Roo, was exercised for the crime of aggravated homicide against BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN committed to the harm of who during her lifetime answered to the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, as it is recorded in preliminary investigation commenced on April 8, 2010 with number AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010, and since article 77 section I and the first paragraph of article 78, both from the Criminal Code for the Free and Sovereign State of Quintana Roo, at no time did statute of limitations run in favor of the mentioned BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN, since the criminal action would have prescribed upon reaching the mathematical average of the corresponding maximum and minimum punishment for the committed crime. That is, in compliance with article 89 of the Criminal Code for the Free and Sovereign State of Quintana Roo, that the corresponding penalty is from twelve to thirty years of imprisonment penalty for those who commit aggravated homicide; which once added up is forty-two years and the resulting mathematical averages is twenty-one years, and therefore, the statute of limitations would run until April 8, 2031.

In this particular case, the statute of limitations for the penalty does not run in favor of the probably responsible person BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN, since said statute of limitations has not started to run because the first paragraph of article 85 of the Criminal Code expressly establishes: "If a legal impediment exists to the capture the perpetrator of the crime or to exercise the penalty imposed, the terms for the statute of limitations shall not run" taking into account that the formal extradition request is being presented..

Having nothing further to add, I take this opportunity to send you my regards in the name of the Attorney General and in my own name.

Cancun, Quintana Roo December 4, 2010.

RESPECTFULLY

[an illegible signature]

Rodolfo Garcia Pliego
Assistant Attorney General
for the State of Quintana Roo

EXHIBIT 4

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

STATEMENT RENDERED BY BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN. In the City of Cancun, Municipality of Benito Juarez, State of Quintana Roo, Mexico, it being 17:40 hours on April 6, 2010, appeared before the undersigned Investigative Public Prosecutor for Local Matters who legally acts with his Clerk, the citizen **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** who identified himself using the original document of his passport issued by the United States of America with folio number [redacted] which presents a photograph that tallies with the physical characteristics of the person appearing. It is attested to have at sight said document which is given back to the person appearing as requested. Likewise and given that the person appearing does not either speak or understand Spanish, this Public Prosecutor in compliance with article 527 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in force in the State, appointed ISABEL MEDINA PEREZ as interpreter and translator to assist the person appearing in the present proceeding. ISABEL MEDINA PEREZ is a 20 year old female person, who was born on [redacted] 1989; single; a student who has completed high school; she was born in Mexico therefore she has Mexican nationality and she is domiciled at [redacted], in this city. She accepted the appointment conferred to her and swore to act faithfully. Afterwards and through the appointed translator the person appearing is advised to tell the truth in the present proceeding. The person appearing stated regarding his personal information to be named as it has been written; born in New York, United States of America, 38 years old, born on [redacted] 1971; married; a professional; a television producer; currently domiciled at [redacted] RANCHO PALOS VERDES CA 90275; visiting this city of Cancun, Municipality of Benito Juarez, State of Quintana Roo; staying at Hotel MOON PALACE room 8716 and he may be located at telephone number 3103440777. And in regards to the facts he: - - -

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

that same day April 5, in the night. I state my wife's birthday was on Thursday, April 8, the year in progress. He provided the physical characteristics of his wife which are the following: she is 1 meter and 52 centimeters tall; 79 kilograms; chestnut hair, brown eyes; light brown skin; she speaks Spanish fluently. She was wearing a golden band. She was not wearing her watch when she went out. She has a suntanned line across the left wrist. She was wearing a blue dress and sandals. Likewise I present before the Public Prosecutor, and original copy of a passport issued by the United States of America with folio number [redacted], the original document of the tourist migratory form issued in favor of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN which she filled out when she entered this country; original document of the driver's license under the name MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN issued by the State of California with number [redacted] as well as photographs in color where the now missing person MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN appears. The aforementioned documents are presented to be compared with their original documents and then certified so as to attach the corresponding copies to the records. I have nothing further to add. With the foregoing the present proceeding is considered concluded after reading the present document to the person appearing who signed it for all duel legal records. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

- SO BE IT -

(an illegible signature appears)
Isabel Medina Perez
Interpreter

THE PERSON APPEARING

(an illegible signature appears)
BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR LOCAL MATTERS
(an illegible signature appears)
MILTON H. GUTIERREZ VELAZQUEZ

CLERK
(an illegible signature appears)
JOHNNY DE JESUS CANUL LORIA

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

The Secretary of State of the United States of America
hereby requests all whom it may concern to permit the citizen/national
of the United States named herein to pass without delay or hindrance
and in case of need to give all lawful aid and protection to him.

Le Secrétaire d'État des États-Unis d'Amérique,
par les présentes prie toutes autorités compétentes de laisser passer le citoyen/ressortissant des États-Unis titulaire du présent passeport, sans délai ni difficulté et, en cas de besoin, de lui accorder toute aide et protection légitimes.

El Secretario de Estado de los Estados Unidos de América por el presente solicita a las autoridades competentes permitir el paso del ciudadano o nacional de los Estados Unidos aquí mencionado, sin demora ni dificultades, y en caso de necesidad, prestarle toda la ayuda y protección lícitas.

SIGNATURE OF BEARER/SIGNATURE DU TITULAIRE/FIRMA DEL TITULAR

NOT VALID UNTIL SIGNED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

EXHIBIT 5

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

STATEMENT. In the City of Cancun, Municipality of Benito Juarez, State of Quintana Roo, Mexico, it being 16:21 hours on April 8, 2010, before the undersigned Investigative Public Prosecutor for Local Matters who legally acts, signs and attests with his Clerk, willingly appeared a person who stated to be named **ENRIQUE ARENA LOPEZ** who identified himself using VOTER'S CARD **issued by the Federal Electoral Institute with folio number** [redacted] **which presents a photograph in the right low corner.** I attested to the fact that said document is given back to the person appearing as requested. The person appearing is sworn to tell the truth in this proceeding and he is informed of the penalties imposed upon those who render false statement before this authority in compliance with the provisions set forth in article 222 of the Criminal Code in force in the State, in connection with articles 181, 183, 184, 185 and 190 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The person appearing was sworn to tell the truth and regarding his general information he stated to be: named as it has been written; MALE; 36 years old; BORN ON [redacted] 1973; LIVING TOGETHER; having completed JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL; a SUPERVISOR; born in CARDENAS, TABASCO, with MEXICAN Nationality; found at telephone [redacted] and domiciled at [redacted], facts he: ---------- And in regards to the

---------- STATED ----------

That I willingly appear before the Public Prosecutor in the capacity of witness, so as to state regarding the facts that I know for a fact and which are investigated in the present investigation: That I have been maintenance supervisor of the hotel denominated MOON PALACE GRAND located at kilometer 36.5 on federal highway Cancun – Tulum for the last seven years, covering a shift from 7:00 to 16:00 hours. That is the case that on April 6, the year in progress, I was informed by the supervisor of housekeepers named YOLIBETH, of a female guest who was missing in the facilities of hotel MOON PALACE NIZUC, without telling me the name of the person. Later on that same day while carrying out my duties and when I was passing in front of the maintenance workshop, I noticed the security personnel of hotel MOON PALACE GRAND was getting together with the security personnel of hotel MOON PALACE NIZUC to receive orders of their superiors. Therefore I got closer to see what was going on and that is when I found out that they were organizing a search squad to locate the missing person whom now I know is named MONICA BURGOS with BRAZILIAN nationality and who was a guest in the hotel. It is the case that on April 8, 2010, around 9:20 hours, I decided to be part of the search squad. Therefore when I began my search in the hotel, specifically in the

area of the sewage treatment plant; I noticed there was a lid of the cistern which was around 30 centimeters separated from its base. That is why I went closer and saw that one of two lids located on the left side of the cistern was not properly closed, so I got nearer without moving the lid and took a look. It was then when I saw a corpse floating there. I specifically saw the buttocks of the corpse. Therefore I immediately called through my Nextel the security chief named ARMANDO CETINA to get closer to the cistern to see the corpse in greater detail. ARMANDO CETINA proceeded to notify his superiors which are the chief of corporate security ARTURO ROSALES and the General Manager JOSE ANGEL MEDINA and approximately 40 minutes later, agents of the State Judicial Police and the ambulance of the Forensic Medical Service began arriving at the place of the finding. Hence I left the place and returned to my operating duties in the aforementioned area. Having nothing further to add, the present proceeding is considered concluded and the corresponding record is drafted prior being read and signed at the end and margin for its due legal certification. The personnel acting in this proceeding signed at the end and margin for all due legal record. ----------

---------- WE CERTIFY IT ----------

THE PERSON APPEARING

(an illegible signature appears)
ENRIQUE ARENA LOPEZ

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR LOCAL MATTERS
(an illegible signature appears)
CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS

CLERK
(an illegible signature appears)
GREGORIO NOH TAMAYO

FORMA CG-1A

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

[redacted]

NAME
ARENA
LOPEZ
ENRIQUE
DOMICILE
[redacted]
BENITO JUAREZ, Q. ROO.

AGE 23
GENDER M

(PHOTOGRAPH)

FOLIO [redacted] **REGISTRY YEAR** 1996 01
VOTER'S CODE: ARLPEN73041327H101
STATE: 23 **DISTRICT:**
MUNICIPALITY: 001 **LOCATION:** 0001 **SECTION:** 0011

[redacted]

THUMB
PRINT

A SIGNATURE

[redacted]

FORMA CG-1A

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

IN THE CITY OF CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO ON **APRIL 08, 2010**, THE UNDERSIGNED PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR LOCAL MATTERS ----------
---------- CERTIFIES ----------
THE PRESENT PHOTOCOPIES CONSISTING OF ONE PAGE, TALLIES WITH ITS ORIGINAL WHICH WAS AT SIGHT FOR THE CORRESPONDING COMPARISON. ----------

(an illegible signature appears)

CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO SOLIS

EXHIBIT 6

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

STATEMENT. In the City of Cancun, Municipality of Benito Juarez, Quintana Roo, it being 14:35 hours on April 8, 2010, before the undersigned Investigative Public Prosecutor for Local Matters who legally acts, signs and attests along with his Clerk, ***voluntarily*** appeared a person who stated to be named BERTHA ANGELICA ARROYO RAMIREZ, who identified herself using a VOTER'S REGISTRATION CARD issued by the Federal Electoral Institute **with folio number** [redacted], I attested to said document and the same is returned to the person making the appearance since it is so convenient (I attest to having done so). The person making the appearance is sworn to tell the truth in this proceeding and she is admonished of the penalties imposed on those who render false statement before this authority, pursuant to the provisions set forth in article 222 of the Criminal Code in force in the State, in connection with articles 181, 183, 184, 185 and 190 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Once under oath, regarding her general information, the deponent stated: that she is named as it has been written; FEMALE; 42 (FORTY-TWO) YEARS OLD since she was born on [redacted] 1967; marital status: SINGLE; having completed TECHNICAL STUDIES; occupation UNCLASSIFIED (CONCIERGE); a native of AZCAPOTZALCO, FEDERAL DISTRICT, with MEXICAN Nationality; found at telephone [redacted] and domiciled at [redacted] where she has lived for approximately THREE years. And before this authority, in regard to the facts under investigation, she: - - -

old, and both parents of approximately fifty. They are staying in another hotel room. And then, Mr. **COOK** went to me and complained about the guests staying in room **7816**, which is above his children's room. They had been making a lot of noise, sort of screams, crying and arguments for six hours and these terrible noises woke up his children. Thus, I proceeded to inquire who the guests of room **7816** were and corroborated that it was an American family formed by a woman named **MONICA BURGOS** and a man named **BERESFORD** both **AMERICAN**. Then Mr. **COOK** stated that his children told him they heard a lot of noise in room **7816**, as well as a middle-aged woman's crying, and it sounded like stuff was being dragged in room **7816**. And it was the **COOK's** son who told me that he went out of his room with number **7806** to make certain that the noise came from the room located above, then he went down to tell his parents—who stayed at room number **8415**—what happened. Then all of them went down to the **"lobby"** to report what had just occurred. Therefore, I told them they shouldn't worry and that I was going to report the incident to the hotel's security staff so that they started surveiling around room **7816** and checked that everything was in order. I also asked the **COOK** if they wanted to make a complaint in writing, they could do so at said moment; however, they said it was not necessary, that they just wanted the hotel staff to know about what happened. Then I reported the incident to the **SECURITY SUPERVISOR** on the phone and I told him what had happened so that he carried out the corresponding surveillance. Likewise, I called room **7816** with Mr. **BERESFORD**. I told him that some guests were complaining about the noise and racket occurring in his room, and I also asked him if everything was fine, to which he literally said **"YES, IT'S TRUE, I WAS ARGUING WITH MY WIFE ON OUR CHILDREN'S BEHAVIOR, BUT IT WON'T HAPPEN AGAIN"**. Then I thanked him and put the phone down. It is worth mentioning that Mr. **BERESFORD** sounded calmed and while we were talking I did not hear any noise in the room. I verified in the hotel computing system that in that room there were two minors and two adults—the man and his wife. Afterwards, I learned that on Tuesday April 6, 2010, Mr. **BERESFORD** reported **MONICA BURGOS** was missing and until today, April 8, 2010, approximately at eleven hours in the morning, I learned through Miss **NORMA GRANADOS, Nizuc Moon Grand Public Relations Manager**, my boss, that **MONICA BURGOS** had been found dead in a sewer. Then, this same person, **NORMA**

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

GRANADOS, asked me to go to the Public Prosecutor's Office in order to render my statement in connection with the facts under investigation. I have stated what I know for a fact **because it was personally me to whom the COOK presented their complaint arisen from the incident occurred on April 5, 2010, in room 7816 of MOON PALACE hotel. For this reason, I called Mr. BERESFORD—who was guest of the oft-cited room 7816—in order to inform him of the complaint that had been presented by other guests of the Hotel. Likewise, in this act, I wish to state that the Security Supervisor called LUIS DE LOS SANTOS provided me with a white sheet of paper on which a complaint was written by hand in English against guests in room 7816. Thus, in this moment I present it before this authority in this act.** Having nothing further to add, the present proceeding is considered concluded and the corresponding record is drafted after it was read and signed at the end and margin for its due ratification. The personnel acting in this proceeding signed at the end and margin for all due legal record.- - - - - - - -

- WE ATTEST -

THE WITNESS

[an illegible signature]
BERTHA ANGELICA ARROYO RAMIREZ

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR LOCAL MATTERS
[an illegible signature]
CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR'S CLERK
[an illegible signature]
GREGORIO NOH TAMAYO

[SEAL: Mexican Coat of Arms, UNITED MEXICAN STATES, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TH ESTATE OF QUINTANA ROO, NORTHERN ZONE OF THE STATE, AGENCY I OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR LOCAL MATTERS [illegible]]

FORMA CG - 1 A

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

FRONT

[redacted]

NAME
ARROYO
RAMIREZ
BERTHA ANGELICA
DOMICILE
[redacted]36
BENITO JUAREZ, Q. ROO.
FOLIO 0000009224103
VOTER'S CODE: ARRMBR67092409M700
STATE: 23
MUNICIPALITY: 001 LOCATION: 0001

AGE 36
GENDER FEMALE

REGISTRY YEAR 1991 01
DISTRICT:
SECTION: 0154

[PHOTOGRAPH]

BACK

[redacted]

[THUMB PRINT]

[A SIGNATURE]

IN THE CITY OF CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO, ON THE [illegible] DAYS OF APRIL OF 2010, THE UNDERSIGNED PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR LOCAL MATTERS

CERTIFIES

THAT THE PRESENT PHOTOCOPY(IES) FOUND ON 1 PAGE(S) ARE A FAITHFUL REPRODUCTION OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT(S) WHICH WAS/WERE AT SIGHT, AND WITH WHICH WAS/WERE DULY COMPARED.

Carlos Armando Pacheco Celis [an illegible signature]

[an illegible seal]

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

[a handwritten letter in English]
Room – 7806
Occurred – Monday 5th April around 6:00 a.m.

Me and my brother [redacted] were woken by
7816 screams, crying for help and extremely loud
banging from the room above. This carried on for
around 15 minutes.
It sounded like a women [sic] in extreme distress.
We were very alarmed and scared so we left
the room through the patio doors at 6:20 and
went to our parents room – 8415.

[redacted] and [redacted] Cook – room 7806.

[an illegible signature]

EXHIBIT 7

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO, NORTHERN AREA

DIVISION OF PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATIONS.

Processing Unit: UNIT IV OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR LOCAL MATTERS, HOTELS AREA.

A.C. PGJE/SPZN/DAP/MP/ZOHOT/009/2010

STATEMENT OF ERICK URIEL GONZALEZ REYES (WITNESS).- In the city of Cancun, municipality of Benito Juarez, Quintana Roo at 11:25 P.M. on April 7, 2010, the undersigned Agent of the Office of the Public Prosecutor for local matters, assisted by Clerk along with whom the undersigned legally acts, signs and attests that there is a **voluntary** appearance of a person who said to be named **ERICK URIEL GONZALEZ REYES**, who shows as identification a driver's license issued by the Government of the Federal District through the Secretary of Transport and Highways marked with folio [redacted] which has a color photograph which coincides with the physiognomy of the deponent, this document is attested and returned to the deponent since it is convenient for his interests (I attest having done it in such manner), the deponent is declared under affirmation to tell the truth in this proceeding informing him of the penalties applied upon those who give false statements before this authority under the terms of article 222 of the Criminal Code in force in the State, related to Articles 181, 183, 184, 185 and 190 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, after being declared under affirmation, the deponent stated as general information to be named as it has been recorded, to be male, having the age of 34 years since he was born on [redacted] 1975, to be married, and academic education up to University degree, to work as a maintenance and engineering supervisor, native to Mexico, Federal District, being a Mexican national, having telephone number for his location [redacted] and current domicile at [redacted] in this city of Cancun, municipality of Benito Juarez, Quintana Roo where he has lived for about four years. This authority questions the deponent whether he has blood relationship or friendship against the accused or whether he holds feelings of grudge or hatred against the victim, to which he answers: no, I do not have such feelings against any of the people involved in this investigation. And as for the facts under investigation he - - - - - - -

STATES

Regarding the facts under investigation I state that I have worked for two years at the Moon Palace Hotel in the area known as Moon Grand, as the person responsible for operation and maintenance of the areas and services of Moon Grand, and regarding the facts of this investigation I state that on Sunday April 4, 2010 at about 8:30 p.m. I was performing my duties onboard a golf car in company of my workmates ELIAS DZUB and JUAN CRUZ near the access of restaurant "LOS TACOS" by the side of building 85 and on heading towards the area of the workshops I realized that the female guest that I now know was named MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN who I can identify by the photos shown to me by the Police Officers and who was missing, was wearing a single-piece black dress, her hair was loose reaching below her shoulder, and she was walking on that occasion along with two young women of about twenty years old, slim body build, light skin, slightly taller than she was, and they were about to enter the aforementioned restaurant "LOS TACOS" and just over the entrance they met a male individual, sturdy body build, about 1.80 meters tall, wearing a polo-type shirt, without remembering the color, and I realized that because I was about six meters close to them and heard that the couple was having an argument and almost immediately, MONICA BURGOS started crying and they continued to argue for about ten minutes, so I did not leave, but that went to an area of jardinières and realized that the male individual tried to physically attack MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN on two occasions but he lifted his sight and looked at us being in front of them, therefore he refrained and because of this, MONICA BURGOS left the place without entering the restaurant heading to building 85 and the two young women remained with the male individual but I did not realized whether they entered the restaurant or not because when I saw that the situation did not become more serious I left the place and later on I did not see her again around the hotel, and that is all I have to state. Then the Public Prosecutor informs the deponent that he will be shown some photographs so he may watch them carefully and state if it is MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, to which he states that it is, she is the same person I saw on Sunday, April 4, 2010 in the company of two other women and the same who had an argument with the male individual. Then the deponent is shown a copy of the passport in the name of BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN so he may tell this Public Prosecutor whether he is the man who had an argument with MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN on Sunday, April 4, 2010 and he states: Yes, he is similar to the person with whom MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN was arguing the night I saw her at the entrance of the restaurant "LOS TACOS". With this, the proceeding is declared

finished and its record is registered and signed on the margin and at the bottom after being read for its ratification and the attesting personnel signed it at the bottom and on the margin for the pertinent legal effects.

WE LEGALLY ATTEST

THE WITNESS
[signature]
ERICK URIEL GONZALEZ REYES

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

[signature]

MILTON H GUTIERREZ VELAZQUEZ

CLERK OF THE OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

[signature]

JHONNY DE JESUS CANUL LORIA

[Sealed]

EXHIBIT 8

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

STATEMENT RENDERED BY RODOLFO MOGO ALMEIDA (WITNESS). In the City of Cancun, Municipality of Benito Juarez, State of Quintana Roo, Mexico, it being 01:00 hours on April 8, 2010, willingly appeared before the undersigned Investigative Public Prosecutor for Local Matters who legally acts, signs and attests with his Clerk, the citizen **RODOLFO MOGO ALMEIDA** who identified himself using the original document of his voter's card issued by the Federal Electoral Institute with folio number [redacted] which presents a photograph in color that tallies with the physical characteristics of the person appearing. I attested to the fact that said document is given back to the person appearing as requested. The person appearing is sworn to tell the truth in this proceeding and he is informed of the penalties imposed upon those who render false statement before this authority in compliance with the provisions set forth in article 222 of the Criminal Code in force in the State, in connection with articles 181, 183, 184, 185 and 190 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The person appearing was sworn to tell the truth and regarding his general information he stated to be: written as it has been written; male; 38 years old; born on [redacted] 1971; married; having completed junior high school; a security assistant; born in Alvarado, Veracruz, with Mexican Nationality; found at telephone [redacted] and currently domiciled at [redacted] in this city of Cancun, Quintana Roo, Municipality of Benito Juarez where he has been living for the last four years. This authority asked the person appearing if there is any kinship or friendship with the accused or if he bears any rancor or hate towards the accused and the person appearing answered: I have no hate or rancor against the persons involved in the present Investigation. And in regards to the facts he: - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

- STATED -

In regards to the events under investigation I state: That I have been working in the hotel denominated Moon Palace as security assistant for the last 11 years, covering a shift from 8:00 to 19:00 hours from Monday to Saturday. I state regarding the events that Moon Palace Hotel is located on highway Cancun-Chetumal at kilometer 340. I also want to state that security procedures regarding entrances and exits registries in the hotel are very strict and, because each guest may be registered while entering or exiting since there is only one access to the hotel by the federal highway where the barrier and the security booth are located to give access to vehicles. The license plates of each vehicle are registered as well as the color of the vehicle. The passengers are asked where they go and just the persons wearing bracelets, reservation forms or if they say they are going to pay for a "DAY PASS" may enter the hotel. Furthermore they are monitored because the facilities are approximately two kilometers away from the booth and the regulations of the hotel do not allow any person to pass walking or riding a bicycle. The number of persons inside each

vehicle is registered. The exit is also very strict because we are obligated to register each person that leaves the hotel by means of their bracelet, and in case the guest does not have one, they are asked their room number and he is located in a list provided by the reception of the hotel. Hence each person that leaves the hotel is registered even if they leaving the hotel for the airport. The only exit through the beach is located in Nizuc Park that is more than five kilometers away then it is quite difficult due to the distance. And it is not either easy to go out through a vessel because the Navy registers the persons who board ships in the dock and said ship is owned by Aqua World Corporation and it travels to Mujeres Island and I repeat that each guest who takes the ship is registered. I want to state that I do not know the missing person because I have never seen her and I do not know her. I just saw her in the videos we were shown in order to carry out the search. The present ministerial proceeding is considered concluded and the corresponding record is drafted prior being read and signed at the end and margin for its due legal certification. The personnel acting in this proceeding signed at the end and margin for due legal record. - - -
- - - -

EXHIBIT 9

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

STATEMENT RENDERED BY BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN MAKING AN APPEARANCE. In the City of Cancun, Municipality of Benito Juarez, Quintana Roo, it being 17:20 hours on April 7, 2010, the undersigned Investigative Public Prosecutor for Local Matters who legally acts, signs and attests with his Clerk, certifies that a person who stated to be named **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** is detained and duly guarded. He appears to be in normal state. He does not identify himself because he does not have a document at this moment to do so. Given that he is not proficient in Spanish and in compliance with article 527 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in force in the State of Quintana Roo, he appointed **MARIO ALFREDO MARQUEZ RUIZ** as interpreter. Said interpreter states he is proficient in English and Spanish and presents in this proceeding diploma number E032076 issued in his name by the Ministry of Public Education through the National Educational System. Such diploma is presented with their respective copies so as to be attached to the records of the present investigation (**we attested to have at sight** the original of the document previously presented by Mario Alfredo Marquez Ruiz, and I proceeded to compare said copies against the original document and they are identical; therefore **I certified** the copies to be attached to the records of the present proceeding and the original document is given back as requested by Mario Alfredo Marquez Ruiz) who swore to act faithfully during the present proceeding. The interpreter stated to be: named as it has been written; 31 years old; born on [redacted]978; born in Orizaba Veracruz; married; a professional; an attorney at law; identifying himself in this proceeding with professional license to practice number 3091621 issued by the Ministry of Public Education; domiciled at [redacted] in this city and with telephone number [redacted] Likewise the former person appearing stated through his interpreter to be: named as it has been written; 38 years old, born on [redacted]1971; born in New York, United States of America; son of DAVID PETER BERESFORD REDMAN AND JUANITA LOUIS BERESFORD REDMAN; without belonging to any indigenous or ethnic group; a professional; a Television producer; married; currently domiciled at [redacted] RANCHO PALOS VERDES, CALIFORNIA IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA where he has been living for the last 3 years; with a monthly income of $25,000.00 dollars; with three dependents who are his wife and two children; he does not smoke cigarettes; he does drink alcoholic beverages; he does not use drugs. He was asked if he has been previously arrested and he answered he has not. Likewise this authority attested to the psychosomatic integrity of the person appearing who is: a male person; representing 38 years of age; approximately 1.80 meters tall; strong build. He has oval face; straight chestnut hair; broad forehead; regular eyes; medium nose; medium mouth; square chin and he is wearing a white shirt with circular

neck with the logo of OAKLEY BEACH CULTURE, BRAZILIAN SOUL, red short with squares, white socks, gray tennis shoes with red motifs. Moreover it is attested to the presence in this proceeding of BRUCE GORDON who under oath to tell the truth and warned of the penalties imposed upon those who render false statement before and authority, stated regarding his general information to be: named as it has been written; born in Los Angeles, California; 55 years old; born on [redacted] 1954; a professional; a Consular agent and domiciled at plaza [redacted] km. 8.5 in the hotel zone of this city. This person identified himself with the original document of the card issued by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs with folio number [redacted] It is attested to have at sight the aforementioned document during this proceeding and the document is given back to the person appearing. Afterwards he is informed of the rights he is granted with by article 20 "A" of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States and article 28 of the Political Constitution of the Free and Sovereign State of Quintana Roo which state the following: - - -

in order to bring about and interrogate the witnesses he asks for to be present unless they are away from the court's location. -

VII. All data the defendant requests for his defense and which act within records shall be provided. -

IX. From the very beginning of the proceedings the defendant shall be informed of the constitutional rights established by this Constitution. He shall have the right to an adequate defense which can be carried out by a lawyer, by himself or by whomever he trusts. If the defendant does not want or cannot name a defense counsel, the judge shall appoint a public one on his behalf. The defense counsel shall be entitled to participate in every single stage of the trial and shall be obligated to participate in the trial whenever he is asked to do so."

And article 22 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for the State which reads: - - -
"Whenever the accused is arrested, detained or voluntarily appears before the authority with jurisdiction, immediately thereafter the following proceedings shall be carried out: -

I. It shall be attested to the day, hour and place of his arrest, detention or surrendering, as well as attesting to the name and position of the person who arrested him. -

II. The accused shall be informed of the criminal charges against him and of the name of the person who filed the complaint, as well as of the following rights. - -
a). The right of communicate with whomever he considers convenient. - - - - - - -
b). The right to appoint a defense counsel pursuant to the terms of the preceding article. -

c). The right of not rendering statement against himself. Consequently he shall not be pressured to render a statement. -

For the effects of letters a) and b), he shall be allowed to use a telephone or any other communication device. -
d). Should it be the case the defendant does not speak or understand Spanish, a translator shall be appointed to inform him of the rights he is granted with by this article. -

If is a foreign person, his detention shall be immediately notified to the corresponding diplomatic or consular representation. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
III. The Public prosecutor shall receive the evidence offered opportunely by the defendant or his defense counsel within the preliminary investigation and for

these purposes, they shall be taken into account as legally corresponds in the indictment or release record. In the case it shall not be possible according to the corresponding terms, to exhibit the evidence offered by defendant or his defense counsel, the judge shall decide upon their admission and the practice of the same, preferably within constitutional term or its extension." - - - - - - - - - -

The person appearing states he is informed of the foregoing and appoints as his defense counsel in the present proceeding **EUTIQUIO ALEJANDRO SALAS CASTILLO** who has a law degree and identified himself with professional license to practice number 2490031 issued by the Ministry of Public Education. Said defense counsel swore to carry out faithfully the charge he has been conferred and stated to be born in Merida, Yucatan; 37 years old; born on [redacted] 1972; a male person; currently domiciled at [redacted] in this city of Cancun; with telephone number [redacted] and in relation to the events under investigation the first of the persons appearing assisted by his defense counsel and the translator - - - - - - - - -

could get my identification. I was with my family in the bus and when we arrived at the hotel, I got off the bus and went inside Moon Palace hotel. It was at this moment when I noticed I had forgotten the keys inside the room where I was staying; therefore I went to the reception desk and the person in the reception gave him two more sets of keys. I went into the room and picked up my identification and camera to then go back to the bus which would take me back to Mayan Grand hotel. It was then when my wife told me the driver of the bus had asked their information to go back to the presentation. We went back to the hotel and my family and I saw the presentation. It is the case that during such event, my wife was checking the promotions of time-sharing plans they offered. Meanwhile I went to the swimming pool to play with my daughter. Afterwards I took my daughter out of the swimming pool and went to the place where my wife was given that she was signing documents and checking time-sharing's promotions while I was playing with my children. It was between 17:00 and 18:00 hours because I remember there was still sunlight, when we took a cab to go back to Moon Palace Hotel. Said service was paid by Mayan grand hotel. We arrived at Moon Palace hotel and I carried my daughter in my arms because she was asleep and I went back to our room together with my wife and my other son. We entered the room and we all took a nap, afterwards I and my wife gave the children a bath and we went down to the restaurant of the hotel to have dinner. There was a steak event in the restaurant of the hotel. Then we took some pictures inside the restaurant together with my wife and my two children. Then we went back to our room where I had sexual intercourse with my wife. The next morning on April 2, the year in progress, we woke up very early around 6:30 a.m., dressed the children and took a bus to Xcaret Park. The tour lasted until 7:30 p.m. but since around 5:30 p.m. my children were tired. Therefore I decided together with my wife to take a cab and go back to the hotel where we were staying. I paid for the cab and before getting at the hotel, the cab stopped at a pharmacy for my wife to buy a medicine for a vaginal infection. Meanwhile I waited for her with the children in the cab. Then my wife went back to the cab and we continued our way to the hotel. I noticed my two children had gotten asleep during the trip to the hotel. So when we arrived at the hotel we had to carry them in our arms. It was at that moment when the personnel of the hotel gave us a tour through the facilities of the same and after said tour we all went to sleep. I want to state that during the tour we took in the subterranean river in Xcaret, where I was holding my son because he was not swimming despite he was wearing a lifejacket; given that his son got cold and he asked me to go out of the river. Therefore I had to climb the rocky wall to get out of the river and all that time I was carrying my son in my arms and given that the wall is slippery I suffered different injuries in my hands and feet. I want to clarify that I suffered the injuries I had in the back part of the neck, two days later on April 4, the year in progress, when we were at the Jungle Tour and the boat stopped

and I dived into the water but since I went up to the surface very fast because I was wearing a lifejacket and I hit the back part of my head with the rope holding the boat. Continuing with my statement, my family and I returned to our room and I had sexual intercourse with my wife. On April 3, 2010, we woke up very early again, around 7:00 a.m. to take the tour that would take us to Xel-Ha, where we stayed until 5:00 p.m. My family and I got back on the bus which would take us back to Moon Palace hotel. On the way back to the hotel, my son was very active; thus I began talking to him as if I were a Japanese to keep him busy until he fell asleep. Therefore when we arrived at the hotel I had to carry my son in my arms to get him off the bus and take him to the room in company of my wife and daughter. After being for a while in the room, my son woke up; then I gave my son a bath and my wife, my two children and I went down to the restaurant of the hotel located next to the swimming pool to eat something. Afterwards we went to see a show inside the hotel. Then after the show we went to our room because both children were tired and fell asleep. I do not remember which of them I carried and my wife carried the other. We went back to the room to sleep and I had sexual intercourse with my wife again. On Sunday April 4, 2010, we had programmed the alarm clock to wake us up at 8:00 a.m. but I do not remember the exact time, but when we woke up my wife called the room service to order something, but they told her they could not give us the service because it was too late despite it was in the morning. We realized that the daylight saving time schedule had changed. We got ready for our trip to Jungle Tour and we took a taxi to go to said place. When got at the place, we boarded a boat. My wife and daughter where driving the boat. They were seated in the pilot and copilot seats respectively and when the boat stopped I dived into the water and it was there where I suffered the aforementioned accident. Then I got on the boat again and this time I drove such boat to return. I sat my son on the copilot's seat. Once we left the JUNGLE TOUR approximately at 15:00 hours since I was not carrying my watch, I and my family took a taxi and went back to Moon Palace hotel. Once we arrived at the hotel, we went to our room where my two kids wanted to open the door and they were playing by opening and closing the door of the room: Afterwards we went down to the swimming pool. I entered the swimming pool as well as my wife and my children who were also playing in the swimming pool. We left the pool and went to the room to get a shower. Then we walked down again to the restaurant located next to the swimming pool to eat something, after that we went to see the show presented by the hotel. Then the whole family went back to the room and I had sex again with my wife. I want to clarify that said night before getting asleep, I and my wife were talking about the activities we would have the following day; that is on Monday April 5, the year in progress. The argument took place due to the activities we would do the next Monday. Therefore I suggested taking a rest because all previous days we had had a daily activity to

do and this would allow the children to take a rest. My wife got angry because she wanted to have a day for her and that I stayed taking care of the children. This was because she told me when we are home I work the whole day and she stays with the children, and she wanted to trade places and that I would take care of the children. There were no punches or yelling. It was just an argument between any regular couple. At the end I accepted staying with the children and afterwards we had sex again and then we got asleep. I could not sleep well that night due to a stomachache and I was constantly going to the bathroom; that is why my wife and son woke up around 5:00 a.m. and I, my wife and my son stood awake until around 6:30 a.m. while my daughter was sleeping all this time. I want to state that all the time we stood awake and given that my son was very active, my wife, son and I were playing a game named MATER that consisted of crashing against the wall, using the bed or any other object. My son was laughing and screaming during the game. We were also playing by pretending being Japanese people. We were making a lot of noise during the game. We were jumping on the floor and bed and my son was the most active, he was the one who screamed most during this game which was played by son, wife and I. That day I was not under the influence of any alcoholic beverage. The morning of April 5, 2010, after I, my wife and son stopped playing; we all went to bed and given that my son is very affectionate, he hugged us and my wife, son and I fell asleep. We did not talk because we all wanted to sleep. It is the case that around 8:00 a.m. my wife left the bed and I and my son stood up to watch a DVD in a portable DVD player, while my wife began getting ready to take her day free as we had agreed the night before. Meanwhile my son and I were watching a DVD movie. My wife had told me she would do some shopping, go to a Spa to have a massage and get relaxed and find a place where our children would swim with dolphins. My wife left the room around 8:30 a.m. wearing dark sandals without remembering the color, a light blue dress and a bikini under it, a black and beige bag without knowing its contents; I just remember she had four hundred US dollars and around one hundred dollars in Mexican pesos because I had given her that amount the night before. She did not ask me more money and she did not speak Spanish perfectly but she would say some words and during the time we were married I did not learn Spanish completely. I understand and speak few words. I inform this authority that before the children were born I was more passionate, but after the children were born I became more patient and lovely. My wife was very jealous and that is why she had gotten angry some times. She was the love of my life and a wonderful woman. I state I am a producer of several television programs; one of them is denominated (SURVIVOR) of which I produced three seasons for the television chain CBS. I was the creator and producer of (PIMP MY RIDE) for the television chain MTV. I produced (THE RESTAURANT) for the television chain NBC, (THE CONTENDER) for the television chain NBC and (CRASH

COURSE) for the television chain ABC. That was the last time I saw her. I want to inform this authority that my wife had already being on vacation in Cancun and given that she was Brazilian she spoke Portuguese, English and a little Spanish. I want to make clear we never had a fight involving violence. The only thing I remember is that my wife may have hurt her hands while climbing the wall in Xcaret Park. The last day I saw my wife she was not carrying her cellular phone with her, and I stayed with my children during the whole morning my wife was out. I began getting desperate when I noticed she did not arrive because she had told me she would arrive around 10 p.m., but she always arrived late. I was sleeping at 10:00 p.m. together with my children because I was expecting she would wake me up when she arrived because I thought she had a key; however I cannot assure if she had a key. I do not know the exact time but I woke up around 11:00 p.m. and noticed my wife has not arrived yet and I felt so distressed but at the same time I knew she had a restaurant in California and sometimes she would arrive late. I noticed my wife did not have her cellular phone, so I could not call her. Since he was so worried, he began walking several times around the building where the room was located and one time I crossed the wall where a path to the lobby is found. It was still dark but it was already April 6, 2010. I never talked to anyone. I entered and left the room many times to check if my wife had arrived. I barely slept. I fell asleep around 5:00 a.m. I woke up at 7:00 a.m. and used the telephone in the room to call at the lobby. I reported what had happened but the person answering the phone told me I had to go to the Area of Customer Service that is located by the elevators. Therefore I gave the children a shower; I dressed them and went down to the lobby where I began showing them photographs of my wife to see if any of the employees had seen her. It was at that moment when the personnel of the hotel told me I had to go to the Public Prosecutor's Office located in the Hotel zone to report the disappearance of my wife. It might be around 11:00 hours and when I arrived there I was told I had to wait a little longer because the disappearance was taken place very recently. Hence I decided to go to the American consulate to notify them and they told me I had to go to the Public Prosecutor's Office to commence the corresponding proceeding. So I went to said office and filed the corresponding report regarding the disappearance of my wife. While I was waiting for commander Novelo, I called my sister-in-law named JEANE Z BURGOS who lives in Los Angeles, California to inform her of the events that had taken place. I told her that her sister was missing and she began crying. We talked about the passport of my daughter which has expired and I asked her to give me the social security number of my daughter. It was then when I went back to Moon Palace hotel. Later on, at the night of April 7, I do not remember the exact time; I printed photographs of my wife and went to place them at Plaza Kukulcan. I inform this authority that my wife and I had problems sometimes because she had met a person during our matrimony; she was the

girlfriend of such person, but that took place like 5 or 6 years ago. During our matrimony we acquired a life insurance of which my wife is the beneficiary. If I died, she would receive 5 million dollars and if she dies, my children would receive 500.000 dollars each, but I am not the beneficiary of any insurance. Likewise, in this proceeding the Authority informed me of a guest who reported he had heard screams asking for help coming out of my room number 7816 and I state I do not know anything about it. The only screams coming from my room were the ones made when I, my wife and my son were playing. I want to clarify that in April 5, between 9:00 and 9:30 a.m., I received a phone call made to my room from the concierge of the hotel who informed me there was a complaint reporting noise coming from my room. I apologized and explained her that I was playing with my son and we were screaming and making noise, but that would not happen again and I never told the concierge that I was fighting with my wife MONICA. Likewise I want to state that I have known JOY PIERCE for the last ten years. She has been working with me the last five years and we began a love relationship derived from said work relation. Such romance lasted from July to December 2009. My wife MONICA knew about my relation with JOY because she checked my telephone and read the text messages I had sent to and received from JOY. That is why we had a quarrel and she punched me in my face and told me to leave the house. I had to move to my parent's house and it was in February this year when MONICA went with my children to Hawaii and they came back four days later. I went to pick up MONICA and my children at the airport and we all went to the house. Once together, we talked about our differences. I apologized to her, she accepted my apologies and we continued our relationship. We went to family therapy. This trip we made to Cancun was because we want to be on vacation as a family and to celebrate MONICA's birthday. I also want to state that my work as a television producer consists in using the money I receive from the television chain to look for the personnel. I conceive the idea and the development of the same and then I gave it back to the television chain. I am the sole person in charge of the television chain. I have nothing further to add. Afterwards this authority place at sight of the person appearing a white t-shirt, make GAP, Size XL, as well as a sport orange t-shirt, Nike brand, size extra large with the Nike logo on the left part of the shirt at the chest area. An adhesive tape, make BERRY. (We attested to have placed the aforecited at his sight). The person appearing stated regarding the white t-shirt, make BERRY (sic), size XL, that he recognizes it as his property and I am not quite sure but I think I used when I went to visit Xcaret on April 2, the year in progress. Now in relation to the sport orange t-shirt, Nike brand, I recognize it as my property because I brought it from the Unites States and it is clean. Now in relation to the adhesive tape, I recognize it as my property because I bought it in plaza kukulcan the day of yesterday April 7, 2010, and I used it to place the advertisements regarding the disappearance of my wife

MONICA. Afterwards this authority proceeds to place the following at sight of the person appearing: 1. - a driver's license number [redacted] issued by the State of California, which displays on the bottom left side a photograph, in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, issued on July 4, 2008; on its back it can be seen a magnetic band, and a bar code on its bottom left side. 2. - a student ID issued by Camino College, which displays a photograph on the top left side, in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, number [redacted], on its back a magnetic band appears on the top along with instructions apparently written in English. 3. - four business cards of the restaurant club zabumba made out of plastic paper, with the legend on its front "live reggae Fridays" which have on its back back the text "live reggae music weekly" in black color. 4. - two business cards of the restaurant club zabumba made out of plastic paper, which display the Brazilian flag and the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN on the bottom right corner and below the number [redacted] 5. - an Office Max discount card, black in color, which has on its front several texts in English, while the back is white-colored and has a magnetic band in the middle. 6. - a "Starbucks" discount card, green color, which displays on its back the number [redacted] at the top edge and a grey magnetic band at the bottom. 7. - a plastic card that has the text "LOEHMANN'S" in yellow color and on the back it has a bar code at the top right corner. 8. - a "50% off" discount card in black-red color from JC PENNY. 9. - a blue-white color patient identification card from the MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. hospital in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN.

10. - three cotton swabs surrounded by transparent plastic and display the text "OB". 11. - a 5 cm long lipstick, Whoel Foods brand. 12. - two black AAA batteries, ENERCELL brand; a red ink pen with golden trim, OUTRIGGERREEF brand. 13. - a red color plastic crayon. 14. - a black pair of headphones, no brand, found inside a plastic bag. 15. - an orange package of chewing gum, TRIDENT brand. 16. - a black glove, HARBINGER brand. 17. - several illegible documents. 18. - ten tablets inside a silver package which has the text "7 Days herbal Slim". 19. - $15 Mexican pesos. 20. - 22 foreign currency coins of different value. 21. - a navy blue woman wallet in white-green-beige color which contains a discount receipt of the *pollo loco* restaurant. 22. - a card from the Costco store, black-white color, with the number [redacted] in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. 23. - a white-blue card with a picture at the top left corner in the name of [redacted] BERESFORD REDMAN. 24. - a white discount card from the OFFICE MAX store. 25. - a brown card with the image of a tiger on its back in the name of MONICA BERESFORD REDMAN, issued by the Los Angeles zoo. 26. - a plastic gift card from BARNES & NOBLE and has on its back a magnetic band and on its front the number [redacted]. 27. - a purple-white plastic card from Palos Verdes

Art Center. 28. - a discount coupon from the ORGANIC VALLEY store. 29. - a black discount card from Office Max. 30. - three discount coupons from different stores, two in gray color and on in white color. 31. - a business card of BRET H. ENGESTON, white color. 32. - a white business card of Children's Medical Group. 33. - white business card of the company Punctual Money Transfer. 34. - a white card in the name of MONICA BERESFORD REDMAN from JC PENNY'S. 35. - a small notebook which displays on its front a photograph of a black-striped tiger. 36. - a metallic loop, apparently made out of gold, golden color. (WE ATTESTED TO THE FOREGOING). In relation to the aforecited objects which were placed at my sight. I state I recognize them without fear of being mistaken to be property of my wife MONICA BURGOS who was carrying said objects in her black and beige woman's bag which she always carried with her. Afterwards this authority proceeds to place at sight of the person appearing a ring allegedly made of gold (WE ATTESTED TO HAVE PLACED IT AT SIGHT OF THE PERSON APPEARING), and he recognized it as the one his wife MONICA BURGOS was wearing in the ring finger of the left hand. Afterwards this authority proceeds to place at sight of the person appearing a cellular telephone, make BackBerry, black in color, model 8360 with a black cellular cover (WE ATTESTED TO HAVE PLACED IT AT SIGHT OF THE PERSON APPEARING), and the person appearing stated in this regard: I recognize it without fear of being mistaken to be the cellular telephone I use for public and personal acts. Likewise this authority attested to the bodily injuries presented by the person appearing who presents the following: 1) lineal excoriation by the outer ear behind the right ear; 2) excoriations in both hands; 3) excoriations in the left shin, 4) excoriation in the right ankle. Afterwards the right to speak is granted to the defense counsel named EUTIQUIO ALEJANDRO SALAS CASTILLO who states that my client reserves his right to make an additional statement should it be necessary and when this authority requires so. Consequently, this authority proceeds to inform BRUCE GORDON, Consular Agent of the United States of America that person appearing **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN shall be placed under his custody and he shall accompany and present him every time this authority requested it, so as to carry out the pertinent proceedings to clarify the events. Informing him that his citizen BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN who is under his custody, shall not leave this city under any reason.** Afterwards the right to speak is granted to BRUCE GORDON, Consular Agent of the United States of America, who states to be informed that his citizen is has been placed under his custody and he commits himself to present and accompany him before this authority. Having nothing further to add the present proceeding is concluded of which the corresponding record is drafted. After reading the present, it is signed or the fingerprint is stamped (right thumb) at the

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

end and margin for its certification and the personnel intervening in it signs it at the end and margin for all due legal record. -

- WE CERTIFY IT -

PERSON APPEARING
(signed)
BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN

DEFENSE COUNSEL
(signed)
EUTIQUIO ALEJANDRO SALAS CASTILLO

CONSULATE
(an illegible signature appears)
TRANSLATOR
(an illegible signature appears)

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR LOCAL MATTERS
(signed)
CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS

CLERK
(signed)
ANGEL DE JESUS CUPUL GONZALEZ

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

IN THE CITY OF CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO ON **APRIL 07, 2010**, THE UNDERSIGNED PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR LOCAL MATTERS ---------
---------- CERTIFIES ----------
THE PRESENT PHOTOCOPIES CONSISTING OF ONE PAGE, TALLIES WITH ITS ORIGINAL WHICH WAS AT SIGHT FOR THE CORRESPONDING COMPARISON. ----------

CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS

EXHIBIT 10

FORMA C G 1 A

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL, NORTHERN AREA

DIVISION OF EXPERT SERVICES, N.A.
DEPARTMENT OF FORENSICS
CRIMINAL CASE NO, PROVISION
OFFICIAL LETTER PJE
NON-NUMBERED
MEDICAL LETTER NO. 3604/2010

MATTER: EXPERT OPINION ON PHYSICAL CONDITION
APRIL 8, 2010

STATE JUDICIAL POLICE
BY HAND

THE UNDERSIGNED FORENSIC EXPERT OF THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO ASSIGNED TO THE DIVISION OF EXPERT SERVICES IN THE NORTHERN AREA, IN THE CITY OF CANCUN, WITH OFFICIAL LETTER AND PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION NUMBERS CITED IN THE HEADING PROCEEDS TO ISSUE THIS OPINION.

OPINION OF PHYSICAL CONDITION.

TODAY AT 7:10 P.M. APPEARED BEFORE ME A PERSON OF MALE GENDER WHO SAID TO BE NAMED ***BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN*** AGED 38 YEARS, NATIVE TO NEW YORK.

THE RESULTS ARE AS FOLLOWS

THE PERSON UNDER EXAMINATION WAS CONSCIOUS, CALMED, WELL ORIENTED IN TIME, PLACE AND SPACE, COOPERATES PROPERLY DURING HIS QUESTIONING AND EXTERNAL PHYSICAL EXAMINATION, HE PRESENTS AN EXCORIATION IN POSTERIOR PART OF THE NECK, DHERMOEXCORIATION IN BOTH MIDDLE FINGERS, EXCORIATION IN LEFT LEG AND ANOTHER IN THE RIGHT ANKLE.
HE DENIES ANY DRUG ADDICTIONS, NO CONTAGIOUS DISEASE AND/OR CURRENT DISEASE.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

CONCLUSIONS

THE PERSON UNDER EXAMINATION IS IN GOOD CONDITION, WITHOUT REFERRING ANY ILLNESS, AND SHOWING THE AFOREMENTIONED WOUNDS.

RESPECTFULLY
[Signed]
Dr. ENRIQUE OROZCO SANCHEZ
FORENSIC EXPERT
PROFESSIONAL LICENSE 2000395

EXHIBIT 11

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

STATEMENT RENDERED BY FERREIRA BURGOS. In the City of Cancun, Municipality of Benito Juarez, Quintana Roo, it being 14:28 hours on April 8, 2010, before the undersigned Investigative Public Prosecutor for Local Matters who legally acts, signs and attests with his Clerk, willingly appeared a person who stated to be named **JEANE FERREIRA BURGOS** who identified herself using a driver's license issued by the State of California, United States of America with folio number [redacted] which presents a photograph in the corner...] I attested to the fact that said document is given back to the person appearing as requested. The person appearing is sworn to tell the truth in this proceeding and she is informed of the penalties imposed upon those who render false statement before this authority in compliance with the provisions set forth in article 222 of the Criminal Code in force in the State, in connection with articles 181, 183, 184, 185 and 190 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The person appearing was sworn to tell the truth and regarding her general information she stated to be: named as it has been written; FEMALE; 42 YEARS OLD; BORN ON [redacted] 1965; SINGLE; having completed COLLEGE; an ACCOUNTANT; born in BRAZIL, with BRAZILIAN Nationality; found at telephone [redacted] and domiciled at MOON PALACE HOTEL IN ROOM NUMBER 6606 where she has stayed for ONE DAY. This authority asked the person appearing if there is any kinship or friendship with the accused or if she bears any rancor or hate towards the accused and the person appearing answered: I have no hate or rancor against the persons involved in the present investigation. And in regards to the facts she: - STATED -

In regards to the facts under investigation, I willingly appear before this Public Prosecutor so as to render my ministerial statement: That approximately one month ago my sister MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN told me she had discovered that her husband BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN had an affair with other woman named JOY PIERCE. Therefore MONICA began checking the electronic mail of her husband where she found several messages they were sending each other containing love words or poems. So from that moment on, she did not trust her husband anymore and she began recording all telephone calls made by his husband through his cellular telephone. She also placed a GPS device in the cellular telephone of BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN to check his movements and location of his wife (sic). Likewise my sister MONICA told me, she had given to her husband several recordings of phone calls where he was talking to JOY, as well as an e-mail sent my brother-in-law BRUCE to JOY with whom he had an affair. The e-mail said "HE DID NOT WANT TO BE WITH MY SISTER MONICA ANY LONGER AND HE WAS GOING TO SEPARATE FROM HER TO BE TOGETHER WITH HER". Furthermore my sister MONICA BURGOS told me

she had copied various electronic mails sent from BRUCE to JOY. Some days later, my sister MONICA told me BRUCE had apologized for cheating on her and he had told her he would never do that again. He asked her a second chance to be together but my sister told me she did not trust him anymore. She went to the bank where she had deposited her money and withdrew everything to transfer the money to a different bank which was not known by my brother-in-law BRUCE. My sister also told me despite she had given BRUCE a second chance, he was still having communication with JOY PIERCE and that MONICA had found again messages in the mail that they were sending each other. And that the messages that BRUCE sent to JOY contained the same words or phrases he would say to my sister MONICA; that is that BRUCE was also asking JOY a second change because she was the love of his life. Due to this situation my sister told my brother-in-law BRUCE that it was not possible that they were together and it was better if they got divorced and my sister told my brother-in-law BRUCE that if he gave her the divorce, they would split in equal parts the money that was in the bank but if he did not want to give her the divorce, she would keep everything for her as a gift for all the things that had taken place. Moreover, my sister MONICA told me she had also discovered a bank transfer made by my brother-in-law BRUCE through which he had withdrawn a big amount of money from the account they both had, so as to give it to his father named DAVID with the purpose of investing such money in the stock exchange. My sister was surprised by the conduct carried out by her husband BRUCE because he had invested in the stock exchange as if he were a single person. My sister discovered the foregoing because she verified a receipt or bank statement which arrived at the domicile she has in Los Angeles, California in the United States of America. It is the case that in early March, my sister MONICA called me by telephone to tell me she was very worried and sad for everything that was happening in her relationship with BRUCE; therefore she asked me to make a reservation for her in a hotel in Hawaii for five days because she was going to take a rest with her two children. Due to the foregoing and since I worked for a travel agency, I made a reservation for her in hotel OUTRIGGER REEF ON THE BEACH from March 5 to March 8. Once I had made the reservation, she told me it was excellent and before going to Hawaii she would change all door-locks that give access to the house, so that brother-in-law BRUCE could not enter the house because he had keys of the doors and that she was going to change the locks because she feared that he would take documents that she keeps in the house. Once my sister returned from the travel she made to Hawaii, she told me brother-in-law BRUCE had gone to pick her up at the airport and that brother-in-law BRUCE was trying to win her heart again by giving flowers to her and she was completely rejecting him. He was also using love words to ask her to come back because she was the love of his life and he wanted to be back with her. My sister told me she had

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

said to my brother-in-law BRUCE that if he really wanted they were together again and rebuild family union; they should go on a cruise to see if there was any possibility for reconciliation. To this, my brother-in-law BRUCE answered he did not want to go on a cruise, but he wanted to go on vacation just with the condition that the room where they were would stay, should have a Jacuzzi. Thus she was not sure if she wanted to go on a cruise or on vacation to any place. It was then when my sister MONICA called me in March 30, without remembering the time, to inform me she had made a decision with BRUCE and that they would come to Mexico on vacation, specifically to the city of Cancun and they would stay at Moon Palace Hotel and they had gotten a room with jacuzzi as BRUCE wanted it. My sister had told BRUCE he would pay for the trip because he was the one who wanted to take the trip instead of going on the cruise. BRUCE accepted the deal and he paid for the hotel room.Then I told my sister that Moon Palace hotel was out of the city of Cancun and of the hotel zone and that she had no references stating it was s first class hotel. My sister told me the hotel was not a problem because they had seen it through internet; besides they already had the plane tickets and they would depart the following day which was March 31. And that is how I found out they would come on vacation with their children to this city of Cancun. I had communication with my sister MONICA in Easter Sunday, since she called me by telephone to tell me that things were wrong because she was angry at that moment due to the fact that my brother-in-law BRUCE had told her that JOY PIERCE had sent him three messages and my sister MONICA asked him to show her the messages but he replied he could not because he had already deleted them. I knew my sister was sad because my brother-in-law BRUCE was not decided to reestablish their relation. That was the way we ended the conversation and it was until March 6, when I received a phone call from my brother-in-law BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN who informed me that my sister MONICA was missing. That MONICA had left the hotel in the morning of April 5, without specifying the exact hour and she had said she was going to do shopping in the city of Cancun and she would come back by the afternoon. Therefore he had stayed to take care of the children. He did not remember the time he got asleep and when he woke up the next day he noticed MONICA had not arrived to sleep, that is why he called me approximately at 13:30 hours. I immediately asked him if he had already called my sister to find out where she was and what she was doing but my brother-in-law BRUCE replied MONICA had forgotten her cellular phone. I told my brother-in-law BRUCE that it was not possible she had forgotten her telephone when she knew she had left the children in the hotel. My brother-in-law did not say a word. Then I asked my brother-in-law if they had argued in the morning and he replied they had not. Then we ended the conversation and I went to my house in California. When I was on my way home I called my older sister CARLA to inform her that my brother-in-law

BRUCE had told me that my sister MONICA was missing and CARLA was very worried. I also called the nanny of the children of my sister MONICA named ELIZABETH, and I told her the same BRUCE had told me regarding the event that my sister was missing in Cancun, Mexico. Nanny ELIZABETH said she would immediately go to my house and she told me that they would argue every day and night and such fights were very serious, even the children got nervous about it. She also told me that my sister MONICA had told her that her relationship with her husband BRUCE was like a movie because BRUCE convinced my sister MONICA to buy a life-insurance to protect their children in case any of them died. That is why the same day my brother-in-law BRUCE called me, that is April 6 the year in progress, I looked for a way to travel to the city of Cancun to know about the situation of my sister MONICA; given that I heard my brother-in-law BRUCE very relaxed when we were talking and I believed he was very relaxed because he was with the children. Besides I felt that what he was telling was not true. I got my plane ticket to the city of Cancun to depart in April 7 the year in progress and my arrival was in the same day at 14:00 hours. I left the United States in company of my friend CLAUDIA who was going to help me taking care of my niece and nephew while I and my brother-in-law BRUCE carried out the search for her sister MONICA. I also want to state that I received in April 6, a phone call from my brother-in-law BRUCE who asked me to go the house of his father to pick up the birth certificate of his daughter [redacted] because her passport has expired and he had to renew it for the girl to leave Mexico and return to the United States. It was then when I went for the documents he needed to the house of his father, but I got angry because he wanted the documents of the girl and he did not tell me anything regarding my sister. Once being in the airport of this city of Cancun in company of friend CLAUDIA, we took a taxi which took us to Moon Palace hotel where I was interviewed by some police officers who asked me if my brother-in-law BRUCE had problems with my sister MONICA, and I told them what I have stated. The police officers told me they had information indicating that my brother-in-law BRUCE had left the room eleven times by the dawn and that my brother-in-law BRUCE had said that my sister MONICA had one of the four cards they had received from the hotel, but they have verified that my brother-in-law BRUCE used the four cards even after my sister MONICA had disappeared. The police also informed me that there was a report in the hotel made by some guests approximately in Monday, April 5 the year in progress, who were in the rooms located under the room where my sister MONICA was staying with my brother-in-law BRUCE. They reported that a person was yelling for help, and that an employee working for said hotel had called to the room of my sister MONICA and my brother-in-law BRUCE answered the telephone and said to the employee of the hotel that nothing was happening; that it was a family room and everything was fine. Thus, I have nothing further to add and I want to inform this

PROCURADURIA GENER
DE LA
REPUBLICA

authority that I file my formal accusation against BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN for the crime of HOMICIDE committed to the harm of her sister MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. Having nothing further to add, the present proceeding is considered concluded and the corresponding record is drafted prior being read and signed at the end and margin for its due legal certification. The personnel acting in this proceeding signed at the end and margin for all due legal record. ----------

---------- WE CERTIFY IT ----------

THE PERSON APPEARING

(an illegible signature appears)

JEANE FERREIRA BURGOS

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR LOCAL MATTERS
(signed)
CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS

CLERK

(signed)
GABRIELA PARECES GARCÍA

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

FORMA CG-1A

IN THE CITY OF CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO ON **APRIL 08, 2010**, THE UNDERSIGNED PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR LOCAL MATTERS ---------- ---------- CERTIFIES ----------
THE PRESENT PHOTOCOPIES CONSISTING OF ONE PAGE, TALLIES WITH ITS ORIGINAL WHICH WAS AT SIGHT FOR THE CORRESPONDING COMPARISON. ----------

(an illegible signature appears)

CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS

EXHIBIT 12

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

[Seal Reading: (Coat of Arms of the United Mexican States) Office of the Attorney General for the State of Quintana Roo]

INITIATING UNIT: CENTRAL AGENCY
UNIT: DESK VI CRIMES AGAINST LIFE
FILE: **AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010**

STATEMENT OF ELY FERREIRA BURGOS (COMPLAINER).- In the city of Cancun, municipality of Benito Juarez, Quintana Roo, at 21 (twenty-one) hours with 00 (zero) minutes on April 20 (twenty), 2010 (two thousand ten), the undersigned CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS, Public Prosecutor for Local Matters, Assigned to the Office of the Office of the Attorney General for the State, assisted by the Clerk with whom he legally acts, signs and attests, certifies that the person who said to be named ELY FERREIRA BURGOS *voluntarily* appears, who informs this authority that she does not speak nor does she understand the Spanish language, therefore, with legal grounds in the provisions established in article 527 related to articles 154 and 155 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in force in the State of Quintana Roo and other articles related, since this Institution does not have a Portuguese-Spanish and vice versa, and since there is no official expert with a title or a professor of the corresponding area from the state schools, ROSANE HUTZ is appointed to carry out this position she accepts and under oath to tell the truth she states be named as it has been written, identifying herself in this act with the original of FMDOS (Immigrant Sole Migratory Document) issued by the Ministry of Interior of the Government of the United Mexican States, with folio number [redacted] which has a color photograph which physical features are the same of the deponent, this document is presented in original and photocopy, after its comparison the former is returned and the latter is added to the records after its certification **(We attest we did it in this way)**. She states she was born in PORTO ALEGRE, BRASIL, aged 56, born on [redacted] 1956, occupation Management Auxiliary of the Brazilian Consulate in Mexico, with telephone number [redacted] and with domicile at calle [redacted], Nahucalpan, State of Mexico; immediately after, the person rendering the statement through her translator identifies herself with PASSPORT **issued by the Federative Republic of Brazil with folio number [redacted], which has a photograph in the central inferior angle**, in this act we attest having at sight said this document and it is returned to the person rendering the statement since it is convenient to her interests (I certified having done so) and after advising her about the penalties for those who state falsity before this authority or who present false complaints, in terms of articles 222 and 224 of the Criminal Code in force in the State, she stated: as for her personal information she stated to be named as it has been written, FEMALE, aged 70 (SEVENTY), born on [redacted] 1939 (NINETEEN, NINETY-NINE), marital status MARRIED, educational attainment BACHELOR'S DEGREE, occupation LAWYER, born ABROAD, nationality BRAZILIAN, with telephone number to be located at [redacted] and with domicile at [redacted], in like manner, the person rendering the statement is accompanied by ELGENI LOPES STRZELESKI, who states be named as it has been written, identifying herself in this act with the original of Passport number [redacted] in which there is a color photograph whose physical features are the same of the person rendering statement; this document is presented in original and photocopy, after its

comparison the former is returned and the latter is added to the records after its certification (**We attest we did it in this way**). She states she was born in MINAS GERAES, BRASIL, aged 66, born on [redacted]1944, occupation Brazilian Government official, with telephone number [redacted]5 and with domicile at calle [redacted], Mexico, Federal District, and about the facts under investigation she ----------
---------- STATES ----------
-

I appear before this office of the public prosecutor with the purpose of presenting the following documentary evidence 1) the original of the Birth Certificate in the name of my daughter who during lifetime was named MONICA FERRERIA BURGOS, documents which is duly accompanied with an apostille and has as an appendix an identification; this document is written in Portuguese; 2) the original of a Certificate of Marriage which consists of 6 letter size pages on one side of the page in the language (sic); 3) original of a document duly accompanied with an apostille through which I render a statement, this document consists of nine letter size pages only on one side of the page written in the English language and which have its translation into the Spanish language; 4) the original of an e-mail which consists of four letter size pages written in the English language; 5) the original of a document which contains a letter addressed to JOE, written in English, which is accompanied by its translation into Spanish; 6) the original of a document duly accompanied with an apostille and which consists of five pages, this document contains the written answer in English and it is accompanied by its due translation into Spanish; 7) original of an e-mail addressed to JEANE, which consists of four letter size pages written in the English language; 8) original document duly accompanied with an apostille which consists of nine letter size pages which contains a statement written in the English language duly translated into the Spanish language; 9) original of an e-mail which consists of three letter size pages addressed to JEANE BURGOS, dated March four, 2010 written in the English language; 10) the original document duly accompanied with an apostille issued by the Office of the Secretary of the State of California, which consists of four letter size pages and is related to a statement, certified on April twelve, two thousand and ten by NORMA PAULA DE AHLF, notary public of Los Angeles, California; 11) original of the document duly certified and with an apostille by the notary public ARTURO MONTIEL GARCIA, of Los Angeles, California, which consists of ten letter size pages which contains a statement rendered in the English language and duly translated into Spanish; 12) a document duly certified and accompanied with an apostille issued by SYVANEYDA BARBOSA CAMPBELL, notary public of Los Angeles dated April twelve, two thousand and ten, which consists of five pages which contains a statement in Spanish; 13) a document duly certified and accompanied with an apostille issued by the notary public of Los Angeles, California dated April twelve, two thousand and ten, which consists of eight letter size pages which contains a statement written in Spanish; 14) a document duly certified and accompanied with an apostille issued by the notary public of Los

Angeles, California dated April 12, two thousand and ten, which consists of four letter size pages which contains a statement written in Spanish; I submit these documents with the purpose of proving the mother-daughter blood link I have with the currently deceased MONICA FERRERIA BURGOS, as well as the letters that the currently accused BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN sent to my daughter and in which he apologized for having hurt her; I consider these constitute evidence to prove that BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN had reasons to deprive my daughter, who during lifetime was named MONICA REFFEIRA BURGOS, of life; I request the original documents, which I present accompanied of their respective photocopies to be compared and certifications, are returned to me and the photocopies are added to the records of this investigation; now that I have proven the legitimate kinship with my daughter who during lifetime was named MONICA FERREIRA BURGOS and as married woman was named MONICA BURGOS BEREFORD REDMAN, is that in this act **I file my formal denounce and/or complaint for the crime of HOMICIDE perpetrated to the detriment of who during lifetime was named MONICA FERREIRA BURGOS and/or MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN and against BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**; in like manner, I request in this act, after having carried out every paperwork appointed by law, that the body of my daughter MONICA FERREIRA BURGOS is delivered to me to bury her; in like manner, I want to request to this Office of the Public Prosecutor that they locate the computer, a silver laptop whose brand I do not recall which belonged to my daughter MONICA FERREIRA BURGOS, which I am sure she brought along to the trip she made to Cancun and that she used to keep the conversations she had with BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN, I want to request a Search is done to the properties, bank accounts, life insurance and e-mails of MONICA FERREIRA BURGOS and/or MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN and BRUCE AINSLEY BERESOFR REDMAN, if necessary request from the Yahoo! Mail classic suppliers the mails BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN sent to his lover JOYLYNN PIERCE: said accounts are the following ones: [redacted]@sbcglobal.net; [redacted]@yahoo.com; [redacted]@yahoo.com; [redacted]@mindcrime.com; [redacted]@yahoo.com; [redacted]@yahoo.com; in addition, I request a the Ministry of Foreign Affairs to inform this authority if the persons named JOYLYNN PIERCE and RICK HURVITZ were within the Mexican territory from April 5, two thousand and ten to April 9 , two thousand and ten; since these two persons, the former BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN's lover and the latter her associate and their telephone numbers are the following ones: JOYLYNN PIERCE, [redacted] and RICK HURVITZ [redacted] in like manner, I request to this authority if the FBI of the United States of America offers to cooperate with this acting authority, their collaboration is accepted; I also know that KATIE KADAR who is a travel agent of Travel agency Travelstore, with domicile at calle [redacted] PISO LOS ANGELES CALIFORNIA, with telephone number [redacted], when she talked on the telephone with MONICA FERREIRA BURGOS, she told her she

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

did not want to come to this touristic destination since she had been there before and it was BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN who determined to book the trip and they traveled to this city. It was BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN who paid for the tickets for this trip; in like manner, I want to request an eye inspection of the cistern where the body of my daughter MONICA FERREIRA BURGOS was found, after draining it to discard or found evidence about the facts under investigation. In like manner, I request this authority investigates the location of the IPHONE type telephone which belonged to MONICA FERREIRA BURGOS and/or MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN which is also missing. In this same act I authorize my daughter JEANE FERREIRA BURGOS so that in my name and representation, in the proper moment she receives the body of my daughter who during lifetime was named MONICA FERREIRA BURGOS and/or MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN to bury her in Los Angeles, California, United States of America. That is all I have to state for the moment, keeping myself the right to make an additional statement, with which the present ministerial proceeding is concluded after having read its content aloud, it is ratified and **signed** at the bottom and margin of this document by the parties which took part of the present proceeding. - - -

REPÚBLICA FEDERATIVA DO BRASIL

ESTE PASSAPORTE CONTÉM 32 PÁGINAS NUMERADAS
CE PASSEPORT CONTIENT 32 PAGES NUMÉROTÉES
THIS PASSPORT CONTAINS 32 NUMBERED PAGES

ESTE DOCUMENTO PERTENCE À
CE DOCUMENT APPARTIENT À LA
THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF THE

REPÚBLICA FEDERATIVA DO BRASIL

SÓ É VÁLIDO COM A ASSINATURA OU IMPRESSÃO DIGITAL DO TITULAR
Non-valable sans la signature ou l'empreinte digitale du titulaire
Not valid without the bearer's signature or fingerprint

Ely Ferreira Burgos

Os endereços do titular estão na página 32
Voir les adresses du titulaire en page 32
See information on the bearer's addresses on page 32

Nº [redacted]

Roga-se às autoridades estrangeiras que prestem ao titular deste passaporte auxílio e assistência em caso de necessidade

Les Autorités des Etats étrangers sont priées de bien vouloir prêter au titulaire de ce passeport aide et assistance au besoin

Foreign authorities are requested to afford the bearer such assistance and protection as may be necessary

NACIONALIDADE BRASILEIRA - *NATIONALITÉ BRÉSILIENNE - BRAZILIAN CITIZEN*

Nome / *Nom* / *Name*: ELY FERREIRA BURGOS

Sexo / *Sexe*: Feminino

Lugar e data do nascimento / *Lieu et date de naissance* / *Place and date of birth*: [redacted]

Filiação / *Nome dos pais* / *Father's and mother's name*: CLAUDIONOR FERREIRA e NEUSA DE MENDONÇA FERREIRA

Repartição expedidora - *Délivré par* - *Issued by*: SR/DPF/RJ DELEMIG - NUPAS Rio de Janeiro - RJ

Válido até - *Valable jusqu'au* - *Valid until*: 26 / 07 / 2011

Data da expedição - *Délivré le* - *Issued on*: 27 / 07 / 2006

Nome e função do funcionário que o concedeu / *Nom et qualité de l'agent expéditeur* / *Name and function of the issuing authority*

EN LA CIUDAD DE CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO A 26 DIAS DEL MES DE OCTUBRE DEL AÑO 2010 EL SUSCRITO AGENTE DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN ..... QUE LA(S) PRESENTE(S) ..... FOTOSTATICA(S) CONCIENTE DA(N) ..... ORIGINAL(ES) QUE SE TUVO ..... CON LA(S) CUAL(ES) FUE ..... A LA VISTA COTEJADA(S). ..... DEBIDAMENTE

"C. Cuba Amancio Pacheco Cetina"

POSTULADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA DEL ESTADO DE QUINTANA ROO
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA E
ZONA NORTE DEL ESTADO
AGENCIA I DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN, UNIDAD 6
CIUDAD CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO

16.5

16

MEXICO VISA
V<MEXLOPES<STRZELES<<ELGENI<<<<<<<<
<<<<<<<<<<
VISTOS - VISAS
31
DISTRITO FEDERAL
27 ENE 10
INM

EN LA CIUDAD DE CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO A LOS 20 DIAS DEL MES DE abril DEL AÑO 2010 EL SUSCRITO AGENTE DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN,----------

C E R T I F I C A----------

QUE LA(S) PRESENTE(S) COPIA(S) FOTOSTATICA(S) QUE VA(N) EN (dos) FOJA(S) UTIL(ES) CONCUERDA(N) FIELMENTE CON SU(S) ORIGINAL(ES) QUE SE TUV(O/IERON) A LA VISTA CON LA(S) CUAL(ES) FUE(RON) DEBIDAMENTE COTEJADA(S).----------

LIC. Carlos Armando Pacheco Cetina

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA DEL ESTADO DE QUINTANA ROO
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA EN ZONA NORTE DEL ESTADO
AGENCIA I DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN, UNIDAD 6
QUINTANA ROO

16
16

CONSTANCIA DE ADMISION

El extranjero
ROSANE HUTZ

(Nombre completo)

se interna al país con esta fecha, de acuerdo con la autorización expedida al efecto.

18 DE ENERO DE 2009 A.I.C.M.

(Puerto de entrada y fecha)

(Firma del funcionario de la Oficina de Población)

México, D.F 09/Mar/10

JEFE DEL DEPTO. DE INFORMACIÓN Y REGISTRO NACIONAL DE EXTRANJEROS

CESAR ALEJANDRO HERNANDEZ BELMONT

(Firma del funcionario)

SELLO

INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE MIGRACIÓN
DIRECCIÓN DEL REGISTRO NACIONAL DE EXTRANJEROS

ANOTACIONES DEL REGISTRO NACIONAL DE EXTRANJEROS

Nº [redacted]

ROSANE HUTZ

(Nombre completo del interesado)

fue inscrito en el Registro Nacional de Extranjeros bajo el número 392844 a fojas ..... del Libro número

CURP: HUCR531014MNETLS06

DOMICILIO ACTUAL

OCOTES NO. 9

COL. JARDINES DE SAN MATEO

C.P. 53040 NAUCALPAN, EDO. DE MEXICO

México, D.F. ..... de ..... de 20 .....

México, D.F. 09/Mar/10

JEFE DEL DEPTO. DE INFORMACIÓN Y REGISTRO NACIONAL DE EXTRANJEROS

CESAR ALEJANDRO HERNANDEZ BELMONT

(Firma del funcionario)

SELLO

INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE MIGRACIÓN
DIRECCIÓN DEL REGISTRO NACIONAL DE EXTRANJEROS

EN LA CIUDAD DE CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO A LOS 30 DIAS DEL MES DE abril DEL AÑO 2010 EL SUSCRITO AGENTE DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN.....

.....C E R T I F I C A.....

QUE LA(S) PRESENTE(S) COPIA(S) FOTOSTATICA(S) QUE VA(N) EN (dos) FOJA(S) UTIL(ES) CONCUERDA(N) FIELMENTE CON SU(S) ORIGINAL(ES) QUE SE TUV(O/IERON) A LA VISTA CON LA(S) CUAL(ES) FUE(RON) DEBIDAMENTE COTEJADA(S).....

Carlos Armando Pacheco

ROSANE HUTZ

(Huella digital del pulgar derecho)

IMPUESTOS

Pagado el impuesto $ 2800
según recibo oficial número S/N
de fecha 09/Mar/10
expedido por BANCO MERCANTIL DEL NORTE, S.A.

ROSANE HUTZ

DATOS COMPLEMENTARIOS

Nº T[redacted]

Oficio de autorizacion CRM 4006
Fecha de Despacho 09/Mar/10
Calidad y Caracteristica Ant.
No Inmigrante Visitante
Nueva Calidad y Caracteristica
INMIGRANTE FAMILIAR
Fundamento Juridico 39 Y 48 Fracc. VII
Vence el 08 DE MARZO DE 2011
Objeto

Ver Página 15

Se anotarán los nombres, edad y parentesco de los menores de 15 años, que en su caso lo acompañen a México, autorizados por la Secretaría de Gobernación.

1667

S/0769

ESTADO DA GUANABARA

Dr. Carlos Frederico Jouvin

Oficial do Registro Civil das Pessôas Naturais da 8.ª Circunscrição
Freguesia do Engenho Velho — Estado da Guanabara
RUA CONDE DE BONFIM, 214 — SOBRELOJA

Certidão de Nascimento

CERTIFICA que a fls. 280v do livro n.º A.E.26 sob o n.º 15.829 do registro de nascimentos consta o do " MÔNICA FERREIRA BURGOS"

nascido a [redacted] de [redacted] de mil [redacted] sesenta e oito, às 20 horas 15 minutos, na rua Campos Salles, nº 88-apto 502,

do sexo feminino, de côr branca, filha de João Burgos Filho e de Ely Ferreira Burgos,

sendo avós paternos João Burgos e Maria de N[redacted]

e maternos Claudinor Ferreira e Neusa de Mendonça Ferreira,

Foi declarante o pai

e serviram de testemunhas Milton Augusto Pereira e José Augusto Pereira.

Termo lavrado em 7/6/1968, de acôrdo com o decreto nº 16.148 de 20/7/44.

BRA

BRA

560472MA

Consulado-Geral do Brasil em Los Angeles
Solicitação nº 431.[illegible]-000002
Cópia fiel do documento original apresentado.

5,00

Pagou R$ 5,00 - Ouro
US$ 5.00 - TEC 431

Los Angeles, dezenove de abril de dois mil e dez

ANTONIO PEREIRA
Vice-Cônsul

Dispensada a legalização da assinatura consular de acordo com o art. 2º, do Dec. 84.451/80.

CONSULADO GERAL DA REPÚBLICA FEDERATIVA DO BRASIL - LOS ANGELES

State of California

SECRETARY OF STATE

Requested for use in Mexico.
Not for use within the United States of America.
The purpose of the Apostille is to certify the authenticity of the signature of the official signing the document, the capacity in which the official signing the document has acted, and, where appropriate, the identity of the seal or stamp.

APOSTILLE

(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1. *Country: United States of America*
   This public document
2. *has been signed by L. Moore*
3. *acting in the capacity of Deputy County Clerk, County of Los Angeles, State of California*
4. *bears the seal/stamp of the County of Los Angeles, State of California*

CERTIFIED

5. *At Los Angeles, California*
6. *the 16th day of April 2010*
7. *by Deputy Secretary of State, State of California*
8. *No. 808018*
9. *Seal/Stamp:*

10. *Signature*

Jena Bowen
Secretary of State

BY Bryan A. Bowen

NP-24 A (REV. 1-07)

OSP 06 99602

174

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES }

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in and for said County DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT NOEMI JANETH LOPEZ was, at time of signing a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgement or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the officer or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

(Valid only if the certification bears the embossed Seal of the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk.)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I execute this certificate and have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County this 16TH day of APRIL, 2010

DEAN C. LOGAN
Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk

By: _____, Deputy County Clerk

BFR03-3/3/2009

125

CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

State of California
County of Los Angeles

On April 16, 2010 (Date) before me, Noemi Janeth Lopez Notary Public (Here Insert Name and Title of the Officer),
personally appeared Arturo Manuel Garcia (Name(s) of Signer(s))

who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(~~s~~) whose name(~~s~~) is/~~are~~ subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/~~she~~/~~they~~ executed the same in his/~~her~~/~~their~~ authorized capacity(~~ies~~), and that by his/~~her~~/~~their~~ signature(~~s~~) on the instrument the person(~~s~~), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(~~s~~) acted, executed the instrument.

NOEMI JANETH LOPEZ
Commission # 1686167
Notary Public - California
Los Angeles County
My Comm. Expires Aug 5, 2010

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature: [signature]
Signature of Notary Public

Place Notary Seal Above

OPTIONAL

Though the information below is not required by law, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent fraudulent removal and reattachment of this form to another document.

Description of Attached Document

Title or Type of Document: Declaration and Certification
Document Date: April 16, 2010 Number of Pages: 1
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above:

Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s)

Signer's Name:	Signer's Name:
☐ Corporate Officer — Title(s):	☐ Corporate Officer — Title(s):
☑ Individual	☐ Individual
☐ Partner — ☐ Limited ☐ General	☐ Partner — ☐ Limited ☐ General
☐ Attorney in Fact	☐ Attorney in Fact
☐ Trustee	☐ Trustee
☐ Guardian or Conservator	☐ Guardian or Conservator
☐ Other:	☐ Other:
Signer Is Representing:	Signer Is Representing:
RIGHT THUMBPRINT OF SIGNER — Top of thumb here	RIGHT THUMBPRINT OF SIGNER — Top of thumb here

© 2009 National Notary Association • NationalNotary.org • 1-800-US NOTARY (1-800-876-6827)
Item #5907

LICENSE AND CERTIFICATE OF CONFIDENTIAL MARRIAGE

N C000637

STATE FILE NUMBER | LOCAL REGISTRATION NUMBER | LICENSE NUMBER

HUSBAND PERSONAL DATA

1A. NAME OF HUSBAND-First (Given): BRUCE
1B. MIDDLE: A
1C. LAST (FAMILY): BERESFORD-REDMAN
2. DATE OF BIRTH--Month, Day, Year: [redacted]1971
3. STATE OF BIRTH: NEW YORK
4. NUMBER OF PREVIOUS MARRIAGES: 00
5A. LAST MARRIAGE ENDED BY: ☐ DEATH ☐ DISSOLUTION ☐ ANNULMENT: -
5B. DATE--Month, Day, Year: -
6A. USUAL OCCUPATION: PRODUCER
6B. USUAL KIND OF BUSINESS OR INDUSTRY: ENTERTAINMENT
7. EDUCATION--Years Completed: 16
8A. FULL NAME OF FATHER: DAVID BERESFORD-REDMAN
8B. STATE OF BIRTH: N JERSEY
9A. FULL MAIDEN NAME OF MOTHER: JUANITA L FINELLI
9B. STATE OF BIRTH: NEW YORK

WIFE PERSONAL DATA

10A. NAME OF WIFE--First (Given): MONICA
10B. MIDDLE: -
10C. CURRENT LAST (FAMILY): SHUERT
10D. MAIDEN LAST (FAMILY) IF DIFFERENT THAN 10C: BURGOS
11. DATE OF BIRTH--Month, Day, Year: [redacted]/1968
12. STATE OF BIRTH: BRAZIL
13. NUMBER OF PREVIOUS MARRIAGES: 01
14A. LAST MARRIAGE ENDED BY: ☐ DEATH ☒ DISSOLUTION ☐ ANNULMENT
14B. DATE--Month, Day, Year: 12/20/1996
15A. USUAL OCCUPATION: RESTAURANTEUR
15B. USUAL KIND OF BUSINESS OR INDUSTRY: RESTAURANT
16. EDUCATION--Years Completed: 16
17A. FULL NAME OF FATHER: JOAO BURGOS FILHO
17B. STATE OF BIRTH: BRAZIL
18A. FULL MAIDEN NAME OF MOTHER: ELY FERREIRA
18B. STATE OF BIRTH: BRAZIL

RESIDENCE OF HUSBAND AND WIFE

19A. RESIDENCE--Street and Number: [redacted]
19B. CITY: GARDENA
19C. ZIP CODE: 90249
19D. COUNTY--Outside California, Enter State: LOS ANGELES
20A. MAILING ADDRESS--If Different: -
20B. CITY: -
20C. ZIP CODE: -
20D. COUNTY--Outside California, Enter State: -

AFFIDAVIT

We the undersigned declare that we are an unmarried man and an unmarried woman, not minors, and have been living together as husband and wife and that the foregoing information is true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief, that no legal objection to the marriage nor to the issuance of a license is known to us, and hereby apply for a License and Certificate of Confidential Marriage.

21. SIGNATURE OF HUSBAND ▶
22. SIGNATURE OF WIFE ▶

I, the undersigned, empowered by the laws of the State of California, do hereby certify that the above-named parties to be married have personally appeared before me, proved to me on the basis satisfactory evidence, have declared or affirmed that they meet all the requirements of the law, and the fees prescribed by law having been paid, do hereby authorize said parties to be married pursu[illegible] 4213, Civil Code OR that this license was issued to the person performing the ceremony upon that person's presentation of an affidavit signed by the person and the parties to be married [illegible] inability of one or both of the parties to be married to physically appear. The affidavit explains the reason for inability to appear in accordance with Section 4213.1, Civil Code.

23A. SIGNATURE AND TITLE OF ISSUING CLERK: DEPUTY
23B. COUNTY OF ISSUE: LOS ANGELES
23C. MAILING ADDRESS AND ZIP CODE: P.O. BOX 53120 L.A. CA.90053-0120
23D. ISSUE DATE-Month,Day,Year: 05/12/1999
23E. LICENSE EXPIRES AFTER-Month,Day,Year: 08/10/1999
24A. AFFIX NOTARY SEAL--(If Applicable)
24B. "SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME ON" MONTH DAY YEAR
SIGNATURE OF NOTARY ▶
TYPED NAME OF NOTARY

CERTIFICATION OF PERSON SOLEMNIZING MARRIAGE

25. I hereby certify that the above named man and woman were joined by me in marriage in accordance with the laws of the State of California
ON _____ MONTH _____ DAY 19__
AT _____ CITY OR TOWN _____ COUNTY CALIFORNIA
NOTE: THIS MARRIAGE MUST TAKE PLACE IN THE COUNTY IN WHICH THE LICENSE WAS ISSUED.
26A. SIGNATURE OF PERSON SOLEMNIZING MARRIAGE ▶
26B. RELIGIOUS DENOMINAT (IF CLERGY)
26C. NAME OF PERSON SOLEMNIZING MARRIAGE (TYPE OR PRINT)
26D. OFFICIAL TITLE
26E. MAILING ADDRESS (Include City and State)
26F. ZIP CODE

COUNTY CLERK

27A. SIGNATURE OF COUNTY CLERK ▶ CONNY B. MCCORMACK
27B. SIGNATURE OF DEPUTY CLERK (If Applicable) BY ▶ DEPUTY
28. DATE ACCEPTED FOR REGISTRATION--

15 96517 MUST BE LEGIBLE/MAKE NO ERASURES, WHITEOUTS OR OTHER ALTERATIONS—SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS VS 123(3-91

DECLARATION AND CERTIFICATION

I, Arturo Manuel García, declare that I am a
(Insert Name of Person Translating)
(Check one)

(✓) CERTIFIED/REGISTERED COURT INTERPRETER as described in GC 68561

( ) AN ACCREDITED TRANSLATOR REGISTERED WITH THE AMERICAN TRANSLATORS ASSOCIATION

I am certified/registered to interpret and translate from the Spanish / English language to the English / Spanish language.
My Certification/Registration Number(s) is/are: 300703.
I further declare that I have translated the attached document from the ENGLISH language to the SPANISH language.
I declare to the best of my abilities and belief, that this is a true and accurate translation of the ENGLISH language text of MARRIAGE CERTIFICATE.
(Insert title of document)

Specific Description of the Document

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
This declaration signed this 16 day of April, 2010 in Los Angeles, California.

Arturo Manuel García
Print Name of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

Signature of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

LICENCIA Y CERTIFICADO DE MATRIMONIO CONFIDENCIAL

NUMERO DE EXPEDIENTE ESTATAL

NUMERO LOCAL DE REGISTRO

N C000637
NÚMERO DE LICENCIA

INFORMACION PERSONAL DEL ESPOSO

NOMBRE DEL MARIDO (PILA)	SEGUNDO NOMBRE	APELLIDO (FAMILIAR)	FECHA NAC (MES. DIA, AÑO)
BRUCE	A	BERESFORD-REDMAN	[redacted]/971
ESTADO DE NACIMIENTO	NUM DE MATRIMONIOS PREVIOS	ULTIMO MATRIMONO TERMINO:	FECHA
NUEVA YORK	00	☐ MUERTE ☐ DISOLUCION ☐ ANULACION	
OCUPACION USUAL	GIRO USUAL	NIVEL DE ESCOLARIDAD – AÑOS COMPLETOS	
PRODUCTOR	ENTRETENIMIENTO	16	
NOMBRE COMPLETO DEL PADRE	ESTADO DE NACIMIENTO	NOMBRE COMPLETO DE MADRE	98 ESTADO DE NACIMIENTO
DAVID BERESFORD REDMAN	N JERSEY	JUANITA N FINELLI	NUEVA YORK

INFORMACION PERSONAL DE LA ESPOSA

NOMBRE DE LA ESPOSA (PILA)	SEGUNDO NOMBRE	APELLIDO (FAMILIAR)	APELLIDO DE SOLTERA
MONICA	-	SHUERT	BURGOS
11 FECHA NAC (MES. DIA, AÑO	12 EDO DE NACIMIENTO	NUM DE MATRIMONIOS PREVIOS	ULTIMO MATRIMONO ERMINÓ: ☐ MUERTE ☒ DISOLUCION ☐ ANULACION	FECHA (MES. DIA, AÑO)
[redacted]/1968	BRASIL	01		12/20/1996
OCUPACION USUAL	GIRO USUAL	NIVEL DE ESCOLARIDAD – AÑOS COMPLETOS	
RESTAURANTERA	RESTAURANT	16	
NOMBRE COMPLETO DEL PADRE	ESTADO DE NACIMIENTO	NOMBRE COMPLETO DE MADRE	ESTADO DE NACIMIENTO
JOAO BURGOS FILHO	BRASIL	ELY FERREIRA	BRASIL

RESIDENCIA DEL ESPOSO Y ESPOSA

RESIDENCIA – CALLE Y NUM	CIUDAD	CODIGO POSTAL	CONDADO – FUERA DE CALIF, ESTADO
[redacted]	GARDENA	90249	LOS ANGELES
RESIDENCIA – CALLE Y NUM	CIUDAD	CODIGO POSTAL	CONDADO – FUERA DE CALIF, ESTADO

DECLARACIÓN JURADA

Nosotros, los suscritos, declaramos que somos un hombre no casado y una mujer no casada, no menores de edad, y hemos estado viviendo juntos como marido y mujer y que la información anterior es verdadera y correcta hasta donde nosotros sabemos, que no hay impedimento para nuestro matrimonio o para la expedición de la licencia hasta donde sabemos, y por medio de la presente solicitamos la expedición de una licencia y Certificado de Matrimonio Confidencial.

FIRMA DEL MARIDO	FIRMA DE LA ESPOSA
▶ (FIRMA ILEGIBLE)	▶ (FIRMA ILEGIBLE)

Yo, el Suscrito, en funciones que me conforen las leyes del estado de California, certifico que las personas a casarse comparecieron frente a mí, y comprobaron por medio de pruebas satisfactorias han declarado que cumplen los requisitos legales, han pagado los cuotas correspondientes, los autorizo a contraer matrimonio de acuerdo con lo dispuesto por el artículo 4213 del Código Civil, O que esta licencia fue expedida a la persona que oficia la ceremonia al haber presentado una declaración jurada de los solicitantes, dado el impedimento de una o ambas partes para comparecer personalmente, La declaración jurada explica los motivos por los cuales no pudieron comparecer, en cumplimiento a la sección 4213.1 del Código Civil.

Firma y título del Secretario que Expide	SELLO DEL NOTARIO	SUSCRITO O JURADO ANTE MI
▶ (FIRMA ILEGIBLE) ASISTENTE		
CONDADO DE EXPEDICIÓN: LOS ANGELES	DIRECCIÓN Y C.P.: PO BOX 63120 L.A., CA 90063-0120	DIA, MES, AÑO
Fecha de Expedición: 05/12/1999	Licencia Vence después de: 06/10/1999	FIRMA DEL NOTARIO ▶

CERTIFICACION DE LA PERSONA QUE SOLEMNIZA EL MATRIMONIO

Por medio de la presente certifico que el hombre y mujer arriba mencionados fueron unidos por mí en matrimonio de acuerdo con las leyes del estado de California

Firma de la persona que solemniza el matrimonio	Denominación religiosa
Nombre de la persona que solemniza el matrimonio	Título
Domicilio Postal	Código Postal

SECRETARIO DEL CONDADO

FIRMA DEL SECRETARIO DEL CONDADO	FIRMA DEL ASISTENTE AL SECRETARIO	FECHA DE ACEPTACIÓN PARA REGISTRO
▶ CONNY VB. MCCORMACK	▶	

05 96517 DEBE SER LEGIBLE, NO HACER BORRONES, CORRECCIONES CON LIQUIDO BLANCO U OTRAS ENMENDADURAS – INSTRUCCIONES AL REVERSO VS123 / 3-91

3 179

State of California

SECRETARY OF STATE

Requested for use in Mexico.
Not for use within the United States of America.
The purpose of the Apostille is to certify the authenticity of the signature of the official signing the document, the capacity in which the official signing the document has acted, and, where appropriate, the identity of the seal or stamp.

APOSTILLE

(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1 *Country: United States of America*
This public document

2. *has been signed by M. FISHER*

3. *acting in the capacity of Deputy Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk, County of Los Angeles, State of California*

4. *bears the seal/stamp of the County of Los Angeles, State of California*

CERTIFIED

5. *At Los Angeles, California*

6. *the 14th day of April 2010*

7 *by Deputy Secretary of State, State of California*

8. *No. 806513*

9. *Seal/Stamp:*

10. *Signature*

Jena Bowen
Secretary of State

BY Carmelle M. Guy

OSP 06 99602

18C

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES }

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in and for said County DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT J. Mulligan was, at time of signing a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgement or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the officer or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

(Valid only if the certification bears the embossed Seal of the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk.)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I execute this certificate and have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County this 13th day of April, 2010

DEAN C. LOGAN
Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk

By: Meekie Fisher, Deputy County Clerk
M. FISHER

BFR03-3/3/2009

DECLARATION AND CERTIFICATION

I, ARTURO MANUEL GARCIA, declare that I am a
(Insert Name of Person Translating)

(Check one)

(✓) CERTIFIED/REGISTERED COURT INTERPRETER as described in GC 68561

( ) AN ACCREDITED TRANSLATOR REGISTERED WITH THE AMERICAN TRANSLATORS ASSOCIATION

I am certified/registered to interpret and translate from the ENGLISH

language to the SPANISH language. My Certification/Registration Number(s) is/are: 300703.

I further declare that I have translated the attached document from the ENGLISH language to the SPANISH, language.

I declare to the best of my abilities and belief, that this is a true and accurate translation of the ENGLISH language text of E-mail. (Insert title of document)

Specific Description of the Document

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

This declaration signed this 12 day of April, 2009 in LOS ANGELES, California.

Print Name of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

ARTURO MANUEL GARCIA, CALIFORNIA CERTIFIED COURT INTERPRETER # 300703

Signature of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

NOTE: The signature of the Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association must be acknowledged by a notary public.

State of California, County of Los Angeles
On 04-12-10 before me, J. Mulligan
Notary Public, personally appeared Arturo Manuel Garcia
who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.
I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.
WITNESS my hand and official seal.

J. MULLIGAN
COMM. # 1804746
NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
MY COMM. EXP. JULY 4, 2012

Rev. 01/01/08

I hope that you will honor the fort and keep this confidential. Even writing that feels so odd and uncomfortable, only a few days ago I would not have even thought that for a second it would be anything other than a lead pipe cinch that you would, but here we are.

Let me start by saying that I am really torn about writing this, part of me thinks it would be better or easier for you if I just let you hate me and stay away from you – perhaps this is just more selfishness on my part to want to share with you how I feel and what happened here from my perspective. I don't know and I am not enjoying much clarity right now, so hopefully you will receive this in the spirit it is intended, to explain.

Clearly I am a piece of shit. What I did to Monica was lousy and as you know it required me to lie to her for months. In fact her hatred for you (which was wholly unjustified for years) has had me lying to her wherever you were concerned for years. Again, I am shit – I should have just told her that I was going over to help you move, or to have dinner with you, or hiring you because you are superb at your job and my friend. But I didn't. This is not about me and her, though, it is about me and you.

I want to talk a little about Ride or Die and what that means. I also want to be clear with you that I wish I had conducted myself better throughout this mess and that I take responsibility for what happened and where we are now. You said many things that hurt me very deeply the other night, I felt that it was best to let you say them and hate me, but I don't want you to think that everything we had was meaningless or a lie or false or whatever you currently think about it. My greatest regret is that I did not keep you closer during what I have been going through the last several days, perhaps if I had things would be different now. I did not want you to know what I was going through and the things I felt I had to do, my error.

You said to Monica and clearly believe that I am not a man of my word, perhaps you are right, but I want to recount actions – as they speak louder I am told. I told you that I would not leave my family. That was true and honest I was not misleading you. Then that changed and I told you that I didn't feel I would be happy without you and that I was going to leave my family so that we could be together. This was perhaps the most important thing I have ever told anyone – and it was true and in my own lousy and shitty way – I took steps toward doing just that. And you were there for me Ride or Die.

Along the path there were some times that you freaked out, and there is no question at all that I was having a very difficult time. I am not proud of the way that I conducted this. It was and is extremely painful for me and something I have no experience with. Regardless I want to talk about the last few days. I brought Monica to work, I knew it would be awkward, I didn't realize that it would hurt you so much and when you told me I went to extraordinary lengths to see that it wouldn't happen again. I have been dealing with her and fearful of what she would do to me – it is now clear that I was correct about what she would do and she has gone even further than I thought she would: she had denied me access to my children (and continues to do so), she has shut me out of my home, she has liquidated all my money and going even further than I thought she would she has alleged at my daughters school that I am abusive and unfit and should not be allowed to pick up my daughter. I am

devastated and broken by not being able to see my kids and even the hint that I might have to prove that I am not abusive in some future custody battle is terrifying to me. So I was right about who I was dealing with and what I was facing. Your rational and largely correct reasoning about my custody situation has been cold comfort, right now I am hurting and needed support.

The night I was thrown out of my house and Monica sent you the recording – you were hurt and angry. Ok. You have subsequently told me that it didn't even sound like me on the tape. I was crushed that night, crushed and scared – I needed my little wing and I needed my Ride or Die girl. I told you I couldn't talk, but you were stung by what you heard and you needed to push and prod and interrogate me. I understand, but you didn't hold what we have high, you jumped in where Monica left off and believed what she wrote and sent to you. As crushed by everything else as I was then, I never thought that my little wing would be in the pile on. It felt that you were so ready to view everything that happened through Monica's lens – that I was just a liar and a shit. And I am I guess; I didn't tell you what was going on with me at home, part of me didn't want to and part of me didn't think I needed to. I made a big mess and wanted you and me to be as clean as possible – my flailing methods for getting there were ugly and I wanted you apart from that. Anyway as low and hurt as I was that night on the phone with you I died. Again I am obviously selfish but that was the least Ride or Die thing ever, you had no faith in me, or us or what was happening.

So the next day at work we have a moment or two and now you are talking about us perhaps having a friendship. That was really quick. Not Ride or Die. You made it clear that I had blown it with you, because my methods in trying to manage the hardest thing I'd ever done were objectionable and ugly (and they were). Where was my little wing? Buckethead was suffering so much and as alone as I have ever felt.

So to fast forward a little: you ichat me that our friendship is at an end too. Not Ride or Die either; everything changed on a dime, I thought it was much much stronger than that.

You come into my office and I guess I look like death, you sit with me and talk a little, not as my little wing certainly and now as someone with whom I might or might not have a friendship in the future. Your advice is good, but it is coming to me from some great distance, or underwater, not from my little wing, not even from Joy.

You leave and you call the office and here is where everything I thought I knew and understood is completely smashed. Monica is texting you again with questions and details – AND YOU ARE ON HER SIDE. You aren't going to ignore it, you are going to tell the woman who has taken my kids, and is accusing me of being an abusive father – whatever she wants to know. Why does she deserve some special consideration from you? You can't just delete it? Where are you little wing? What is Ride or Die? As far down as I had gone before that and as low and far from you as I felt I was really shocked. I guess I should have known that Ride or Die either didn't mean what I thought it did or was over, but I sat there stunned, everything I though I knew as an absolute certainty was nothing, like it had been written on water, just gone.

I spoke to you later that night and you told me that I had thown away the best thing I ever had and I agree, what we had was the most special connection I could imagine. I didn't throw it away, I may well have lost it for reasons that are poor and not fully clear to me, but which I no doubt deserve. You said some awful things and what little part of me was still feeling anything hurt, but I could feel that you were hurt and suffering and I will own that. For everything we had and everything little wing meant to me I will take that and if you need to hate me and believe I am no better than how I behaved with Monica, then Ok. I am Ride or Die for you forever and if that means having you hate me and staying away I will do that too.

monica, i have now read the letter bruce wrote to you. His general description of the events between us are accurate. There are many events, emotions, promises and love that he chose to leave out, for obvious reasons i'm sure. Many of the words he wrote to you, I have heard and read as well. Only proving to me even further, that he is not a man of his word. It breaks my heart, which I'm sure is nothing in comparison to yours, to walk away. But that is exactly what I have chosen to do.

If you need anything from me please don't hesitate to call or write. I completely understand. And I apologize for my involvement in this situation, but please know I was acting out of what I believed was pure love between the two of us.

joy

Now I read this and I am destroyed, from my Ride or Die little wing, the one safe place I thought I could be myself to someone who offers "anything" to someone who has my children.

Ride or Die.

I want you to know, and you can believe or not, that I felt everything between us as the most real and true thing imaginable. It was not false, there was not an agenda or angle. It happened and it was beautiful. It was not lies it was not empty. My goal in this is to give you my perspective; if it is easier for you to excoriate me and devalue all that we had and accuse me of anything you want – go ahead I still love you Ride or Die and I will stay away and let you move ahead and rebuild and I will suffer and try to be happy for you.

There is no question that I suck and I take full responsibility for letting this happen.

R o D

Espero que honres *the fort* y mantengas esto confidencial. Incluso escribir eso se siente tan raro e incómodo, hace solo unos días ni siquiera hubiera pensado que por un segundo sería cualquier otra cosa que una total certeza que lo harías, pero aquí estamos.

Permíteme comenzar por decir que me rompe el corazón escribir esto, parte de mí siente que más fácil o mejor para ti si simplemente te permitiera odiarme y me mantuviera alejado de ti – quizás esto es simplemente más egoísmo de mi parte, querer compartir contigo cómo me siento y qué pasó aquí desde mi punto de vista. No sé y no estoy muy claro en este momento, así que espero que recibas esto con la intención que lleva, para explicar.

Claramente soy una mierda. Lo que le hice a Mónica fue ruin y como tú sabes requirió que le estuviera mintiendo durante meses. De hecho su odio hacia ti (que estuvo totalmente injustificado por años) me ha mantenido mintiéndole en lo tocante a ti por años. Nuevamente, soy una mierda – Debí haberle dicho sencillamente que te iba a ayudar a mudarte, o que iba a cenar contigo, o que te iba a contratar porque eras excelente en tu trabajo y eras mi amiga. Pero no lo hice. Pero esto no es entre ella y yo, es entre tú y yo.

Quiero hablar un poco acerca de *Ride or Die* y lo que significa. También quiero ser claro contigo de que yo quisiera haberme comportado mejor durante éste desastre y que acepto responsabilidad por lo que pasó y por dónde estamos ahora. Tú dijiste muchas cosas que me lastimaron hondamente la otra noche, yo pensé que era mejor dejarte decirlas y odiarme, pero no quiero que pienses que todo lo que hubo entre nosotros no significó nada o fue una falsedad o mentira, o lo que estés ahora pensando acerca de ello. Mi pesar más grande es que no te mantuve más cerca durante lo que he estado pasando estos últimos días, quizás si lo hubiera hecho las cosas serían diferentes ahora. No quería que supiera por lo que estaba pasando y las cosas que consideraba que tenía que hacer, mi error.

Tú le dijiste a Mónica, y claramente crees que no soy un hombre de palabra, quizás tienes razón, pero quiero relatar acciones – ya que hablan más fuerte, me dicen. Yo te dije que no dejaría a mi familia. Esto fue verdadero y honesto y no te estaba engañando. Entonces eso cambió y te dije que no pensaba que fuera a ser feliz sin ti y que iba a dejar a mi familia para que pudiéramos estar juntos. Esto fue quizás lo más importante que jamás le dije a nadie – y era verdad y de mi manera sucia y ruin – tomé medidas para hacer justamente eso. Y tú estabas ahí apoyándome *Ride or Die*.

A lo largo del camino hubo ocasiones en las que te espantaste, y no hay duda de que yo estaba pasando por momentos difíciles. No me siento orgulloso de la manera como manejé esta situación. Era y es muy doloroso para mí y es algo con lo cual no tengo experiencia. Sin embargo, quiero hablar acerca de los últimos días. Traje a Mónica al trabajo, sabía que sería incómodo, no me daba cuenta que te lastimaría tanto y cuando me lo dijiste tomé medidas extraordinarias para asegurarme de que no volviera a ocurrir. He estado tratando con ella y he tenido miedo de lo que ella pudiera hacerme – ahora es claro que tenía razón respecto a lo que ella haría y ella ha llegado más lejos de lo que yo pensaba: me ha negado el acceso a mis hijos (y sigue haciéndolo), me ha dejado fuera de mi casa, ha liquidado todo mi dinero y yendo más allá de lo que pensaba ha alegado en la escuela de mis

hijas que soy abusivo y un mal padre y que no se me debe permitir recoger a mi propia hija. Estoy desbaratado y desesperado de no poder ver a mis hijos y aun la insinuación de que tuviera que probar que no soy abusivo en algún pleito por la custodia en el futuro me aterroriza. Así que tenía razón respecto a lo que enfrentaba. Tu razonamiento racional y en gran parte correcto respecto a mi situación actual en cuanto a la custodia ha sido comodidad fría, en este momento estoy lastimado y necesitaba apoyo.

La noche que me echaron de mi casa y que Mónica te envió la grabación – tú estabas dolida y enojada. Ok. Después de ello me has dicho que ni siquiera sonaba como yo en la cinta. Estaba desbaratado esa noche, apabullado y temeroso – necesitaba mi apoyo y necesitaba mi niña *Ride or Die*. Te dije que no podía hablar, pero tú estabas dolida por lo que habías escuchado y necesitabas insistir, escarbar e interrogarme. Lo entiendo, pero no mantuviste en alto lo que tenemos, tú seguiste donde se había quedado Mónica y creíste lo que te escribió y lo que te envió. Tan apabullado por todo lo demás como estaba entonces, nunca pensé que mi pequeño apoyo estaría ahí para seguirme apabullando. Se sentía como si tú estuvieras preparada para ver todo lo que había ocurrido por medio de los ojos de Mónica – que yo era simplemente un mentiroso y una mierda. Y supongo que lo soy; no te dije lo que me estaba pasando en la casa, parte de mí no quería hacerlo y parte de mí no lo consideraba necesario. Hice un enorme desastre y quería que tú y yo estuviéramos tan limpios como fuera posible – mis métodos para lograrlo fueron sucios y yo te quería lejos de eso. De cualquier manera, tan bajo y tan lastimado como estaba esa noche en el teléfono contigo yo morí. Nuevamente, soy obviamente egoísta pero esa fue la cosa menos *Ride or Die* de todas, tu no me tenías fe, no creías en nosotros, o en lo que estaba ocurriendo.

De manera que al día siguiente en el trabajo tenemos un momento o dos y ahora hablas sobre que nosotros quizás habíamos tenido una amistad. Eso fue bien rápido. No fue *Ride or Die*. Tú dijiste bien claro que había tronado contigo, porque mis métodos de tratar de manejar lo más difícil de todo eran inaceptables y feos (y lo eran). ¿En dónde estaba mi apoyo? El cabeza de chorlito estaba sufriendo tanto y tan solo como jamás me había sentido.

Ahora adelantemos un poco. Tú me dijiste en *ichat* que nuestra amistad ha llegado al final también. Tampoco *Ride or Die*; todo dio vuelta en un instante, yo pensaba que era mucho más fuerte que eso.

Tú llegaste a mi oficina y supongo que me veo como la muerte misma, te sientas conmigo y hablas un poco, ciertamente no como mi apoyo y ahora como alguien con quien pude haber o pude no haber tenido una amistad en el futuro. Tus consejos son buenos, pero vienen a mí desde muy lejos, o del fondo del mar, no de mi apoyo, ni siquiera de Joy.

Te vas y llamas a la oficina y aquí es donde todo lo que pensaba que sabía y entendía, se desbarata completamente. Mónica está mandándote mensajes de texto con preguntas y detalles – Y TÚ ESTÁS DE SU LADO. No lo vas a ignorar, le vas a decir a la mujer que se ha llevado a mis hijos, y me está acusando de ser un padre abusivo – todo lo que quiera saber. ¿Por qué merece consideraciones especiales de tu parte? ¿No puedes simplemente borrarlo? ¿En dónde estás, pequeño apoyo? ¿Qué es *Ride or Die*? Tan bajo como había llegado antes de eso y tan lejos como me

sentía de ti me sentí realmente sorprendido. Supongo que debí haber sabido que *Ride or Die* ya sea no significaba lo que yo creía o se había terminado, pero estaba ahí atolondrado, todo lo que pensaba que sabia con absoluta certeza no era nada o había sido escrito sobre agua, sencillamente esfumado.

Hablé contigo más tarde esa noche y tú me dijiste que había echado por la borda lo mejor que jamás había tenido, y estoy de acuerdo, lo que tuvimos fue la conexión más especial que me pueda imaginar. No la tire por la borda, muy bien la pude haber perdido por razones que son pobres y no tengo muy claras, pero que sin duda merezco. Tú dijiste algunas cosas horribles y la partecita de mí que aun sentía estaba lastimada, pero podía sentir que tú estabas lastimada y yo tengo la culpa Porque todo lo que tuvimos y por lo que mi apoyo significaba para mi, tomo todo esto y si necesitas odiarme y creer que no soy mejor de lo que fue mi comportamiento con Mónica, entonces está bien. Yo soy *Ride or Die* para ti por siempre y si eso significa que me odies y estar alejado de ti, también hare eso.

mónica, he leído la carta que bruce te escribió. Su descripción general de los eventos entre nosotros es veraz. Hay muchos eventos, emociones, promesas y amor que el optó por dejar fuera, por razones obvias, estoy segura. Muchas de las palabras que te escribió, las he escuchado y leído también. Solo probándome aun mas, que no es un hombre de palabra. Me rompe el corazón, lo cual estoy segura que no es nada comparado con lo que tú sientes, alejarme. Pero es algo que he decidido hacer.

Si necesitas cualquier cosa de mi, por favor no vaciles en llamarme o escribirme. Lo entiendo completamente. Y me disculpo por mi participación en esta situación, pero por favor, quiero que sepas que estaba actuando en base a lo que yo pensaba que era puro amor entre nosotros dos.

joy

Ahora he leído esto y estoy destruido, porque mi *Ride or Die* pequeño apoyo, el lugar seguro en el que pensaba que me podía refugiar se ha convertido en alguien que le ofrece "lo que sea" a alguien que tiene a mis hijos.

Ride or Die.

Quiero que sepas, y puedes creerlo o no, que yo sentí que lo que había entre nosotros era lo más real y verdadero imaginable. No era falso, no había agendas o ángulos. Ocurrió y fue hermoso. No eran mentiras ni era algo vacío. Mi meta en esto es darte mi punto de vista; es más fácil que me escories y devalúes todo lo que tuvimos y me acuses de todo lo que quieras – adelante. Todavía te quiero *Ride or Die*, me mantendré alejado de ti y te permitiré continuar tu camino y reconstruir y yo sufriré y trataré de ser feliz por ti.

No hay duda de que apesto y acepto toda la responsabilidad por dejar que ocurra esto.

R o D

/

YAHOO! MAIL Classic

Fw: new

Friday, April 9, 2010 8:38 AM

From: "Carla Burgos" <[redacted]a@yahoo.com>
To: "Jeane" <[redacted]yahoo.com>
1 File (41KB)

fort.doc

— On **Fri, 4/9/10, Carla Burgos** <[redacted]***@yahoo.com***> wrote:

From: Carla Burgos [redacted]@yahoo.com>
Subject: Fw: new
To: [redacted]@hotmail.com
Date: Friday, April 9, 2010, 8:37 AM

— On **Wed, 4/7/10, Carla Burgos** <[redacted]***@yahoo.com***> wrote:

From: Carla Burgos <[redacted]@yahoo.com>
Subject: Fw: new
To: [redacted]@lasd.org
Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2010, 5:44 PM

This was the letter he sent to the woman at the same time he was trying to get back to my sister. He wasn't expecting that my sister would find out about this letter.
That was when both of them my sister and the woman found out he was in a double game with them. But you can see how more emotional this one is than the other.

— On **Mon, 3/15/10, monica burgos** <[redacted]***@sbcglobal.net***> wrote:

From: monica burgos <[redacted]s@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: new
To: [redacted]@yahoo.com,[redacted]@yahoo.com,[redacted]@gmail.com
Date: Monday, March 15, 2010, 6:33 AM

I hope that you will honor the fort and keep this confidential. Even writing that feels so odd and uncomfortable, only a few days ago I would not have even thought that for a second it would be anything other than a lead pipe cinch that you would, but here we are.

Let me start by saying that I am really torn about writing this, part of me thinks it would be better or easier for you if I just let you hate me and stay away from you – perhaps this is just more selfishness on my part to want to share with you how I feel and what happened here from my perspective. I don't know and I am not enjoying much clarity right now, so hopefully you will receive this in the spirit it is intended, to explain.

Clearly I am a piece of shit. What I did to Monica was lousy and as you know it required me to lie to her for months. In fact her hatred for you (which was wholly unjustified for years) has had me lying to her wherever you were concerned for years. Again, I am shit – I should have just told her that I was going over to help you move, or to have dinner with you, or hiring you because you are superb at your job and my friend. But I didn't. This is not about me and her, though, it is about me and you.

I want to talk a little about Ride or Die and what that means. I also want to be clear with you that I wish I had conducted myself better throughout this mess and that I take responsibility for what happened and where we are now. You said many things that hurt me very deeply the other night, I felt that it was best to let you say them and hate me, but I don't want you to think that everything we had was meaningless or a lie or false or whatever you currently think about it. My greatest regret is that I did not keep you closer during what I have been going through the last several days, perhaps if I had things would be different now. I did not want you to know what I was going through and the things I felt I had to do, my error.

You said to Monica and clearly believe that I am not a man of my word, perhaps you are right, but I want to recount actions – as they speak louder I am told. I told you that I would not leave my family. That was true and honest I was not misleading you. Then that changed and I told you that I didn't feel I would be happy without you and that I was going to leave my family so that we could be together. This was perhaps the most important thing I have ever told anyone – and it was true and in my own lousy and shitty way – I took steps toward doing just that. And you were there for me Ride or Die.

Along the path there were some times that you freaked out, and there is no question at all that I was having a very difficult time. I am not proud of the way that I conducted this. It was and is extremely painful for me and something I have no experience with. Regardless I want to talk about the last few days. I brought Monica to work, I knew it would be awkward, I didn't realize that it would hurt you so much and when you told me I went to extraordinary lengths to see that it wouldn't happen again. I have been dealing with her and fearful of what she would do to me – it is now clear that I was correct about what she would do and she has gone even further than I thought she would: she had denied me access to my children (and continues to do so), she has shut me out of my home, she has liquidated all my money and going even further than I thought she would she has alleged at my daughters school that I am abusive and unfit and should not be allowed to pick up my daughter. I am

devastated and broken by not being able to see my kids and even the hint that I might have to prove that I am not abusive in some future custody battle is terrifying to me. So I was right about who I was dealing with and what I was facing. Your rational and largely correct reasoning about my custody situation has been cold comfort, right now I am hurting and needed support.

The night I was thrown out of my house and Monica sent you the recording – you were hurt and angry. Ok. You have subsequently told me that it didn't even sound like me on the tape. I was crushed that night, crushed and scared – I needed my little wing and I needed my Ride or Die girl. I told you I couldn't talk, but you were stung by what you heard and you needed to push and prod and interrogate me. I understand, but you didn't hold what we have high, you jumped in where Monica left off and believed what she wrote and sent to you. As crushed by everything else as I was then, I never thought that my little wing would be in the pile on. It felt that you were so ready to view everything that happened through Monica's lens – that I was just a liar and a shit. And I am I guess; I didn't tell you what was going on with me at home, part of me didn't want to and part of me didn't think I needed to. I made a big mess and wanted you and me to be as clean as possible – my flailing methods for getting there were ugly and I wanted you apart from that. Anyway as low and hurt as I was that night on the phone with you I died. Again I am obviously selfish but that was the least Ride or Die thing ever, you had no faith in me, or us or what was happening.

So the next day at work we have a moment or two and now you are talking about us perhaps having a friendship. That was really quick. Not Ride or Die. You made it clear that I had blown it with you, because my methods in trying to manage the hardest thing I'd ever done were objectionable and ugly (and they were). Where was my little wing? Buckethead was suffering so much and as alone as I have ever felt.

So to fast forward a little: you chat me that our friendship is at an end too. Not Ride or Die either; everything changed on a dime, I thought it was much much stronger than that.

You come into my office and I guess I look like death, you sit with me and talk a little, not as my little wing certainly and now as someone with whom I might or might not have a friendship in the future. Your advice is good, but it is coming to me from some great distance, or underwater, not from my little wing, not even from Joy.

You leave and you call the office and here is where everything I thought I knew and understood is completely smashed. Monica is texting you again with questions and details – AND YOU ARE ON HER SIDE. You aren't going to ignore it, you are going to tell the woman who has taken my kids, and is accusing me of being an abusive father – whatever she wants to know. Why does she deserve some special consideration from you? You can't just delete it? Where are you little wing? What is Ride or Die? As far down as I had gone before that and as low and far from you as I felt I was really shocked. I guess I should have known that Ride or Die either didn't mean what I thought it did or was over, but I sat there stunned, everything I though I knew as an absolute certainty was nothing, like it had been written on water, just gone.

I spoke to you later that night and you told me that I had thown away the best thing I ever had and I agree, what we had was the most special connection I could imagine. I didn't throw it away, I may well have lost it for reasons that are poor and not fully clear to me, but which I no doubt deserve. You said some awful things and what little part of me was still feeling anything hurt, but I could feel that you were hurt and suffering and I will own that. For everything we had and everything little wing meant to me I will take that and if you need to hate me and believe I am no better than how I behaved with Monica, then Ok. I am Ride or Die for you forever and if that means having you hate me and staying away I will do that too.

monica, i have now read the letter bruce wrote to you. His general description of the events between us are accurate. There are many events, emotions, promises and love that he chose to leave out, for obvious reasons i'm sure. Many of the words he wrote to you, I have heard and read as well. Only proving to me even further, that he is not a man of his word. It breaks my heart, which I'm sure is nothing in comparison to yours, to walk away. But that is exactly what I have chosen to do.

If you need anything from me please don't hesitate to call or write. I completely understand. And I apologize for my involvement in this situation; but please know I was acting out of what I believed was pure love between the two of us.

joy

Now I read this and I am destroyed, from my Ride or Die little wing, the one safe place I thought I could be myself to someone who offers "anything" to someone who has my children.

Ride or Die.

I want you to know, and you can believe or not, that I felt everything between us as the most real and true thing imaginable. It was not false, there was not an agenda or angle. It happened and it was beautiful. It was not lies it was not empty. My goal in this is to give you my perspective; if it is easier for you to excoriate me and devalue all that we had and accuse me of anything you want – go ahead I still love you Ride or Die and I will stay away and let you move ahead and rebuild and I will suffer and try to be happy for you.

There is no question that I suck and I take full responsibility for letting this happen.

R o D

1986
0

5

SECRETARY OF STATE

Requested for use in Mexico.
Not for use within the United States of America.
The purpose of the Apostille is to certify the authenticity of the signature of the official signing the document, the capacity in which the official signing the document has acted, and, where appropriate, the identity of the seal or stamp.

APOSTILLE

(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1. *Country: United States of America*
   This public document
2. *has been signed by M. FISHER*
3. *acting in the capacity of Deputy Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk, County of Los Angeles, State of California*
4. *bears the seal/stamp of the County of Los Angeles, State of California*

   CERTIFIED
5. *At Los Angeles, California*
6. *the 14th day of April 2010*
7. *by Deputy Secretary of State, State of California*
8. *No. 806511*
9. *Seal/Stamp:*

1859

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES }

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in and for said County DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT J. Mulligan was, at time of signing a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgement or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the officer or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

(Valid only if the certification bears the embossed Seal of the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk.)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I execute this certificate and have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County this 13th day of April, 2010

DEAN C. LOGAN
Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk

By: Meeke Fisher
M. FISHER, Deputy County Clerk

DECLARATION AND CERTIFICATION

I, ARTURO MANUEL GARCIA, declare that I am a
(Insert Name of Person Translating)

(Check one)

(✓) CERTIFIED/REGISTERED COURT INTERPRETER as described in GC 68561

( ) AN ACCREDITED TRANSLATOR REGISTERED WITH THE AMERICAN TRANSLATORS ASSOCIATION

I am certified/registered to interpret and translate from the ENGLISH

language to the SPANISH language. My Certification/Registration Number(s) is/are: 300703.

I further declare that I have translated the attached document from the ENGLISH language to the SPANISH, language.

I declare to the best of my abilities and belief, that this is a true and accurate translation of the ENGLISH language text of E-mail. (Insert title of document)

Specific Description of the Document

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true an correct.

This declaration signed this 12 day of April, 2009 in LOS ANGELES, California.

Print Name of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

ARTURO MANUEL GARCIA, CALIFORNIA CERTIFIED COURT INTERPRETER # 300703

Signature of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

NOTE: The signature of the [illegible]

Joy,

My last letter to you was not clear enough. I want to make myself understood. Our relationship was a mistake and is over. I am committing myself to being a husband and father and am making a number of changes in my life. I am going to write to many women who I am inappropriate with and tell them that I am making changes too. I am going to get therapy for being a liar. I will not be contacting you in the future and ask that you do not contact me either. It was just an affair for me, I am sorry that you became so involved. The love for you I mentioned in my letter to you was as a friend. With the changes I am making a friendship will no longer be possible either.

With regards to Monica, I lied to her about you and I from the start – I lied about the watch I bought you and about hiring you. I told her the watch was a group gift and that I had just paid for it. Because I lied to her about that,she wanted me to stay away from you

Monica offered me the option to be free of our marriage and try to peacefully live with the kids or with you. I said no and that I still wanted to be with her. That and my lying to her is the reason she took my kids to Hawaii. I now know that the happiest I have ever been in my life was with Monica and I know it will be very dificult, but I deserve it. I am sorry to involve one more person in my game.

Bruce

Joy,

Mi última carta para ti no fue lo suficientemente clara. Quiero que me entiendas. Nuestra relación fue un error y ha terminado. Me estoy comprometiendo a ser un esposo y padre y a hacer una serie de cambios en mi vida. Voy a escribirles a muchas mujeres con quienes me comporto de manera inapropiada y decirles también que estoy haciendo cambios. Voy a ir a terapia por ser un mentiroso. No me pondré en contacto contigo en el futuro y te pido que tampoco te pongas en contacto conmigo. Fue solamente un idilio para mí, siento que te hayas involucrado tanto. El amor hacia ti que mencioné en mi carta para ti es de amigo. Con los cambios que estoy haciendo una amistad ya no será posible tampoco.

Tocante a Mónica, le mentí acerca de ti desde el principio – mentí acerca del reloj que te compré y acerca de haberte contratado. Le dije que el reloj había sido un regalo del grupo y que yo simplemente lo había pagado. Debido a que le mentí a ella acerca de ti, ella quiso que me mantuviera alejado de ti.

Mónica me ofreció la opción de quedar libre de nuestro matrimonio y tratar de vivir pacíficamente con los niños, o contigo. Yo dije que no y que aún quería seguir con ella. Eso y mis mentiras hacia ella son la razón por la cual se llevó a mis niños a Hawaii. Ahora sé que lo más feliz que he sido en mi vida ha sido con Mónica y sé que será muy difícil, pero lo merezco. Siento involucrar a una persona más en mi juego.

Bruce

EN LA CIUDAD DE CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO A 20 DIAS DEL MES DE abril DEL AÑO 2010 EL SUSCRITO AGENTE DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN,

C E R T I F I C A

QUE LA(S) PRESENTE(S) COPIA(S) FOTOSTATICA(S) QUE VA(N) EN (cinco) FOJA(S) UTIL(ES) CONCUERDA(N) FIELMENTE CON SU(S) ORIGINAL(ES) QUE SE TUVO/IERON) A LA VISTA CON LA(S) CUAL(ES) FUE(RON) DEBIDAMENTE COTEJADA(S).

Carlos Armando Pacheco Solis

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA DEL ESTADO DE QUINTANA ROO
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA EN ZONA NORTE DEL ESTADO
AGENCIA I DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN, UNIDAD 6

State of California

SECRETARY OF STATE

Requested for use in Mexico.
Not for use within the United States of America.
The purpose of the Apostille is to certify the authenticity of the signature of the official signing the document, the capacity in which the official signing the document has acted, and, where appropriate, the identity of the seal or stamp.

APOSTILLE

(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1. *Country: United States of America*
   This public document

2. *has been signed by M. FISHER*

3. *acting in the capacity of Deputy Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk, County of Los Angeles, State of California*

4. *bears the seal/stamp of the County of Los Angeles, State of California*

CERTIFIED

5. *At Los Angeles, California*

6. *the 14th day of April 2010*

7. *by Deputy Secretary of State, State of California*

8. *No. 806512*

9. *Seal/Stamp:*

10. *Signature*

Debra Bowen
Secretary of State

BY Carmelita M. Guy

NP-24 A (REV. 1-07)

OSP 06 8960

198

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES }

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in and for said County DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT J. Mulligan was, at time of signing a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgement or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the officer or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

(Valid only if the certification bears the embossed Seal of the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk.)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I execute this certificate and have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County this 13th day of April, 2010

DEAN C. LOGAN
Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk

By: Meekie Fisher
M. FISHER, Deputy County Clerk

BFR03-3/3/2009

DECLARATION AND CERTIFICATION

I, ARTURO MANUEL GARCIA, declare that I am a
(Insert Name of Person Translating)

(Check one)

(✓) CERTIFIED/REGISTERED COURT INTERPRETER as described in GC 68561

( ) AN ACCREDITED TRANSLATOR REGISTERED WITH THE AMERICAN TRANSLATORS ASSOCIATION

I am certified/registered to interpret and translate from the ENGLISH language to the SPANISH language. My Certification/Registration Number(s) is/are: 300703.

I further declare that I have translated the attached document from the ENGLISH language to the SPANISH, language.

I declare to the best of my abilities and belief, that this is a true and accurate translation of the ENGLISH language text of E-mail. (Insert title of document)

Specific Description of the Document

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

This declaration signed this 12 day of April, 2009 in LOS ANGELES, California.

Print Name of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

ARTURO MANUEL GARCIA, CALIFORNIA CERTIFIED COURT INTERPRETER # 300703

Signature of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

NOTE: The signature of the Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association must be acknowledged by a notary public.

Rev. 01/01/08

State of California, County of Los Angeles
On 04.12.10 before me, J. Mulligan
Notary Public, personally appeared Arturo Manuel Garcia
who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.
I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.
WITNESS my hand and official seal.

J. MULLIGAN
COMM. # 1804746
NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
MY COMM. EXP. JULY 4, 2012

I am sending this with the intent of rectifying my previous behavior. I have been overly familiar in my communications and for that I wish to apologize. I am married and a father and feel that the way I have communicated could lead to the wrong impression. All future communication will be more professional and again I apologize if I offended or was inappropriate.

Estoy enviando esto con la intencion de rectificar mi conducta anterior. He sido demasiado confianzudo en mis comunicaciones y por eso quiero disculparme. Estoy casado y soy padre y siento que la manera en la que me he comunicado puede prestarse a impresiones equivocadas. Todas mis comunicaciones en el future serán más profesionales y nuevamente ofrezco disculpas si fui ofensivo o me expresé de manera no apropiada.

EN LA CIUDAD DE CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO A LOS 20 DIAS DEL MES DE abril DEL AÑO 2010 EL SUSCRITO AGENTE DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN,

C E R T I F I C A

QUE LA(S) PRESENTE(S) COPIA(S) FOTOSTATICA(S) QUE VA(N) EN (— cinco —) FOJA(S) UTIL(ES) CONCUERDA(N) FIELMENTE CON SU(S) ORIGINAL(ES) QUE SE TUVO(IERON) A LA VISTA CON LA(S) CUAL(ES) FUE(RON) DEBIDAMENTE COTEJADA(S).

LIC. Carlos Armando Pacheco

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA DEL ESTADO DE QUINTANA ROO
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA EN ZONA NORTE DEL ESTADO
AGENCIA I DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN, UNIDAD 6
CIUDAD CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO

YAHOO! MAIL Classic

Fw: new

From: "Carla Burgos" <[redacted]@yahoo.com>
To: "Jeane" [redacted]@yahoo.com>
1 File (41KB)

Friday, April 9, 2010 8:38 AM

DOC

fort.doc

— On Fri, 4/9/10, Carla Burgos <[redacted]@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: Carla Burgos [redacted]@yahoo.com>
Subject: Fw: new
To: [redacted]@hotmail.com
Date: Friday, April 9, 2010, 8:37 AM

— On Wed, 4/7/10, Carla Burgos <[redacted]@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: Carla Burgos <[redacted]@yahoo.com>
Subject: Fw: new
To: [redacted]lasd.org
Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2010, 5:44 PM

This was the letter he sent to the woman at the same time he was trying to get back to my sister. He wasn't expecting that my sister would find out about this letter.
That was when both of them my sister and the woman found out he was in a double game with them. But you can see how more emotional this one is than the other.

— On Mon, 3/15/10, monica burgos <[redacted]@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

From: monica burgos <[redacted]@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: new
To: [redacted]@yahoo.com, [redacted]@yahoo.com, [redacted]@gmail.com
Date: Monday, March 15, 2010, 6:33 AM

I hope that you will honor the fort and keep this confidential. Even writing that feels so odd and uncomfortable, only a few days ago I would not have even thought that for a second it would be anything other than a lead pipe cinch that you would, but here we are.

Let me start by saying that I am really torn about writing this, part of me thinks it would be better or easier for you if I just let you hate me and stay away from you – perhaps this is just more selfishness on my part to want to share with you how I feel and what happened here from my perspective. I don't know and I am not enjoying much clarity right now, so hopefully you will receive this in the spirit it is intended, to explain.

Clearly I am a piece of shit. What I did to Monica was lousy and as you know it required me to lie to her for months. In fact her hatred for you (which was wholly unjustified for years) has had me lying to her wherever you were concerned for years. Again, I am shit – I should have just told her that I was going over to help you move, or to have dinner with you, or hiring you because you are superb at your job and my friend. But I didn't. This is not about me and her, though, it is about me and you.

I want to talk a little about Ride or Die and what that means. I also want to be clear with you that I wish I had conducted myself better throughout this mess and that I take responsibility for what happened and where we are now. You said many things that hurt me very deeply the other night, I felt that it was best to let you say them and hate me, but I don't want you to think that everything we had was meaningless or a lie or false or whatever you currently think about it. My greatest regret is that I did not keep you closer during what I have been going through the last several days, perhaps if I had things would be different now. I did not want you to know what I was going through and the things I felt I had to do, my error.

You said to Monica and clearly believe that I am not a man of my word, perhaps you are right, but I want to recount actions – as they speak louder I am told. I told you that I would not leave my family. That was true and honest I was not misleading you. Then that changed and I told you that I didn't feel I would be happy without you and that I was going to leave my family so that we could be together. This was perhaps the most important thing I have ever told anyone – and it was true and in my own lousy and shitty way – I took steps toward doing just that. And you were there for me Ride or Die.

Along the path there were some times that you freaked out, and there is no question at all that I was having a very difficult time. I am not proud of the way that I conducted this. It was and is extremely painful for me and something I have no experience with. Regardless I want to talk about the last few days. I brought Monica to work, I knew it would be awkward, I didn't realize that it would hurt you so much and when you told me I went to extraordinary lengths to see that it wouldn't happen again. I have been dealing with her and fearful of what she would do to me – it is now clear that I was correct about what she would do and she has gone even further than I thought she would: she had denied me access to my children (and continues to do so), she has shut me out of my home, she has liquidated all my money and going even further than I thought she would she has alleged at my daughters school that I am abusive and unfit and should not be allowed to pick up my daughter. I am

devastated and broken by not being able to see my kids and even the hint that I might have to prove that I am not abusive in some future custody battle is terrifying to me. So I was right about who I was dealing with and what I was facing. Your rational and largely correct reasoning about my custody situation has been cold comfort, right now I am hurting and needed support.

The night I was thrown out of my house and Monica sent you the recording – you were hurt and angry. Ok. You have subsequently told me that it didn't even sound like me on the tape. I was crushed that night, crushed and scared – I needed my little wing and I needed my Ride or Die girl. I told you I couldn't talk, but you were stung by what you heard and you needed to push and prod and interrogate me. I understand, but you didn't hold what we have high, you jumped in where Monica left off and believed what she wrote and sent to you. As crushed by everything else as I was then, I never thought that my little wing would be in the pile on. It felt that you were so ready to view everything that happened through Monica's lens – that I was just a liar and a shit. And I am I guess; I didn't tell you what was going on with me at home, part of me didn't want to and part of me didn't think I needed to. I made a big mess and wanted you and me to be as clean as possible – my flailing methods for getting there were ugly and I wanted you apart from that. Anyway as low and hurt as I was that night on the phone with you I died. Again I am obviously selfish but that was the least Ride or Die thing ever, you had no faith in me, or us or what was happening.

So the next day at work we have a moment or two and now you are talking about us perhaps having a friendship. That was really quick. Not Ride or Die. You made it clear that I had blown it with you, because my methods in trying to manage the hardest thing I'd ever done were objectionable and ugly (and they were). Where was my little wing? Buckethead was suffering so much and as alone as I have ever felt.

So to fast forward a little: you tchat me that our friendship is at an end too. Not Ride or Die either; everything changed on a dime, I thought it was much much stronger than that.

You come into my office and I guess I look like death, you sit with me and talk a little, not as my little wing certainly and now as someone with whom I might or might not have a friendship in the future. Your advice is good, but it is coming to me from some great distance, or underwater, not from my little wing, not even from Joy.

You leave and you call the office and here is where everything I thought I knew and understood is completely smashed. Monica is texting you again with questions and details – AND YOU ARE ON HER SIDE. You aren't going to ignore it, you are going to tell the woman who has taken my kids, and is accusing me of being an abusive father – whatever she wants to know. Why does she deserve some special consideration from you? You can't just delete it? Where are you little wing? What is Ride or Die? As far down as I had gone before that and as low and far from you as I felt I was really shocked. I guess I should have known that Ride or Die either didn't mean what I thought it did or was over, but I sat there stunned, everything I though I knew as an absolute certainty was nothing, like it had been written on water, just gone.

I spoke to you later that night and you told me that I had thown away the best thing I ever had and I agree, what we had was the most special connection I could imagine. I didn't throw it away, I may well have lost it for reasons that are poor and not fully clear to me, but which I no doubt deserve. You said some awful things and what little part of me was still feeling anything hurt, but I could feel that you were hurt and suffering and I will own that. For everything we had and everything little wing meant to me I will take that and if you need to hate me and believe I am no better than how I behaved with Monica, then Ok. I am Ride or Die for you forever and if that means having you hate me and staying away I will do that too.

monica, i have now read the letter bruce wrote to you. His general description of the events between us are accurate. There are many events, emotions, promises and love that he chose to leave out, for obvious reasons i'm sure. Many of the words he wrote to you, I have heard and read as well. Only proving to me even further, that he is not a man of his word. It breaks my heart, which I'm sure is nothing in comparison to yours, to walk away. But that is exactly what I have chosen to do.

If you need anything from me please don't hesitate to call or write. I completely understand. And I apologize for my involvement in this situation, but please know I was acting out of what I believed was pure love between the two of us.

joy

Now I read this and I am destroyed, from my Ride or Die little wing, the one safe place I thought I could be myself to someone who offers "anything" to someone who has my children.

Ride or Die.

I want you to know, and you can believe or not, that I felt everything between us as the most real and true thing imaginable. It was not false, there was not an agenda or angle. It happened and it was beautiful. It was not lies it was not empty. My goal in this is to give you my perspective; if it is easier for you to excoriate me and devalue all that we had and accuse me of anything you want – go ahead I still love you Ride or Die and I will stay away and let you move ahead and rebuild and I will suffer and try to be happy for you.

There is no question that I suck and I take full responsibility for letting this happen.

R o D

206 8

State of California

SECRETARY OF STATE

Requested for use in Mexico.
Not for use within the United States of America.
The purpose of the Apostille is to certify the authenticity of the signature of the official signing the document, the capacity in which the official signing the document has acted, and, where appropriate, the identity of the seal or stamp

APOSTILLE

(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1. *Country: United States of America*
   This public document

2. *has been signed by M. FISHER*

3. *acting in the capacity of Deputy Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk, County of Los Angeles, State of California*

4. *bears the seal/stamp of the County of Los Angeles, State of California*

CERTIFIED

5. *At Los Angeles, California*

6. *the 14th day of April 2010*

7. *by Deputy Secretary of State, State of California*

8. *No. 806514*

9. *Seal/Stamp:*

10. *Signature*

Debra Bowen
Secretary of State

BY Carmelle M. Guy

NP-24 A (REV. 1-07)

OSP 06 9960

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES }

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in and for said County DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT J. Mulligan was, at time of signing a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgement or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the officer or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

(Valid only if the certification bears the embossed Seal of the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk.)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I execute this certificate and have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County this 13th day of April, 2010

DEAN C. LOGAN
Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk

By: Meekie Fisher
M. FISHER, Deputy County Clerk

BFR03-3/3/2009

DECLARATION AND CERTIFICATION

I, ARTURO MANUEL GARCIA, declare that I am a
(Insert Name of Person Translating)

(Check one)

(✓) CERTIFIED/REGISTERED COURT INTERPRETER as described in GC 68561

( ) AN ACCREDITED TRANSLATOR REGISTERED WITH THE AMERICAN TRANSLATORS ASSOCIATION

I am certified/registered to interpret and translate from the ENGLISH

language to the SPANISH language. My

Certification/Registration Number(s) is/are: 300703.

I further declare that I have translated the attached document from the

ENGLISH language to the SPANISH, language.

I declare to the best of my abilities and belief, that this is a true and accurate translation of the

ENGLISH language text of E-mail. (Insert title of document)

Specific Description of the Document

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

This declaration signed this 12 day of April, 2009 in LOS ANGELES, California.

Print Name of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

ARTURO MANUEL GARCIA, CALIFORNIA CERTIFIED COURT INTERPRETER # 300703

Signature of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

NOTE: The signature of the Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association must be acknowledged by a notary public.

State of California, County of Los Angeles
On 04.12.10 before me, J. Mulligan,
Notary Public, personally appeared Arturo Manuel Garcia
who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.
I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.
WITNESS my hand and official seal. J. Mulligan

J. MULLIGAN
COMM. # 1804746
NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
MY COMM. EXP. JULY 4, 2012

Rev. 01/01/08

209

Amor,

I want to start this by saying that I love you and I miss you and I want to be with you, I have done miserable things, but the other night I saw you again, the amor de minha vida who I have missed and mourned for so long. I do not know if you will ever be able to forgive me, but if there is ever to be anything real between us there can be no more lies from me and that is why I am writing this to you. I have been so far away from you for so long that I have forgotten what it is like to feel you as my wife my lover and my friend. I miss you as all of those things. I just spoke to [redacted] and [redacted] on skype; [redacted] asked me how Detroit was and I said it was OK, I didn't tell her that I was in town. That will be the last lie I ever tell her, you, [redacted] or anyone. I am miserable and exhausted from living a life that is not true and simple and little lies turn into big ones and there is the constant pressure of trying to keep it all afloat. I cannot do it anymore, I don't want to do it anymore, you and the kids deserve better from me. So much of my being miserable to be around and unhappy probably comes from my not ever feeling like I could relax, that there was something, big or small that I might get caught over. I do not think that you are a suspicious person by nature, and I am so very sorry for making you into someone who always needed to check up and follow up and dig deeper. I resented it and lied to cover and it was the wrong thing to do. We are not the products of our parents, but in my case, as in yours, I can clearly see the patterns and I see my mother and father influencing me and my choices and actions, just as I see your parents having the same effect on you. I never wanted to be my parents, and I never wanted to turn into my father and I know that you saw many things that you did not want to repeat in your own childhood. It is not fair and it is too easy to blame my parents for how I am, but as you often allude to, the way I grew up colors the way I am as an adult. My mother was afraid of my father and lied to him about silly things. My mom bought me a bike, it was a beautiful Schwinn dirt bike, and she was afraid that my father would be angry she had bought it for me, so we had to pretend it was from my grandmother. I took the wrong lesson from this the other instances where it was just easier to lie than it was to face my father's temper. It is far too late and under lousy circumstances and a reflection on just how poorly I have managed to grow up that I am trying to undo this now, but I will tell you the truth. Joy and I were lovers. The history of my relationship with her is as follows. We first met probably 10 years ago when Junior brought her to our house on his way to Brazil. We had nothing to do with each other at that time. When the Contender started I realized that she had been at our house and over the course of the casting process we became friends. We still had nothing to do with each other. You have fixated on the watch I bought her for so long and brought it up so many times that I want to put that issue to rest for once and all. I bought it for her as a birthday present, I was the only one buying it, I bought it myself at the store and it was purely an act of friendship – we had no relationship at that time other than she being a friend to me. The next time we were in close proximity was during my time at BBCW running Fortune, I brought Joy in to head up the casting team, again we worked together only as friends. When I was running that ridiculous dating show for MTV downtown I again hired Joy to do the casting, again we worked together only as friends. I think the next time we worked together was while I was at IMG and we brought her in to

cast for a concept we had. Again we worked together only as friends. It was not until the casting for Crash Course that our relationship moved beyond that of friends. When I hired her we were not and had not been involved, I had promised to you that I would not work with her anymore and I disregarded that promise and went ahead and hired her – I resented your telling me who and who not to work with. We first had sex in Detroit. Since that time we have had a sexual and emotional relationship, there have been periods where we stopped recognizing that everyone was going to get hurt but it restarted. I take full responsibility for this and I apologize to you, it was the wrong thing for me to do. I became involved with another woman and as a result you suffered, that is a betrayal of you and our marriage and love and my promise to you. We stopped being intimate several weeks ago and have not been since. I have lied to her too, and been in a nether world of trying not to disappoint two people. Joy and I talked here for a few minutes before she left about me making a choice for what I want, for tonight, tomorrow and the rest of my life and that is what I am doing. She called after she left and said that you were asking her to tell you what happened between us and answer questions.

The Friday night that you stayed home from work and we talked and cried was the first time in years I felt you, the you I first fell in love with and wanted to spend the rest of my life with. I am sure that much of the reason that I have not seen that you and not been able to be who I am with you is a result of my lies and hiding from you. I should have always had the courage to face you rather than lying and trying to get off easy. I have been only partially present for so long, but when we held each other and cried I reconnected with you and felt overpowering love for you, I am crying again now as I recall it. I had thought that we were going to end things that I had done too much to drive you away and that you were too far and that I was too closed, but then it happened and for a while we were one again, as we had been at the beginning and my memories and dreams and hopes and the deep gut love I had for you came flooding back and I want, in spite of what I have done to try to find that with you again. I couldn't hold on to it for long, by Sunday I was upset and distant – I felt confused and surprised and afraid. I was confused to find that feeling still there and afraid I would not see it again. When you insisted on coming to work with me I was so angry. I thought it was at you, but really it was at me. You wanted me to proclaim my choice of you and hurt someone else I care for – and I was furious at myself for putting all of us in this situation and being too weak to just tell you everything. Then I was lying to you and lying to her trying to placate everyone and buy some time. Weak and despicable. I really do hate myself for that.
Which brings me to what I want. Not that I feel any right to have what I want, but you deserve to hear it directly from me. I want to fix this marriage with you. If it is too late and too far gone I understand that and will have to live with the regret of having spoiled the purest love I have ever known. There are practical concerns, our lives together, the children etc., but you deserve much more than practical concerns, you deserve a man who adores you, like I once did and did again that Friday night; you deserve someone who is honest with you and loves you enough to fight to be with you. Our marriage drifted, as many do and that is probably the fault of both of us, you are still the woman who has touched me the deepest and if I could do it again

211

I would, of course I would not repeat my disgraceful behavior. I am crying as I write this, but no longer with fear, now it is relief and even hope. I have been careless with something of incredible value and for that I am sorry and I hope to undo some of the harm I have inflicted. We have still a great love for each other as we saw the other night, it needs to be nurtured and cultivated and cherished. If you are willing to do that I will give my best to you and us, I love you and you are the love of my life. If we can fix this and take the mess I have made and build something strong it will be worth it, we have more life to share with each other. I think there is no question that we need to continue counseling together and that I should seek it on my own as well. I do not deserve it but I would like one more chance to make a relationship with you that we can both be proud of. I am making a choice to be with you and fix what I have broken and build from there – that openness we felt the other night is beautiful and pure and I still feel so much love for you.

212

Amor,

Quiero comenzar ésta diciendo que te amo y te extraño y quiero estar contigo, he hecho cosas miserables, pero la otra noche te vi nuevamente, el amor de mi vida a quien he extrañado y a quien he extrañado por tanto tiempo. No sé si llegarás a poder perdonarme, pero si en algún momento ha de haber algo real entre nosotros no puede haber más mentiras de mi parte y es por eso que te estoy escribiendo esto. He estado tan lejos de ti por tanto tiempo que he olvidado lo que es sentirte como mi esposa, mi amante y mi amiga. Te extraño como todas esas cosas. Acabo de hablar con [redacted] y [redacted] por *skype*; [redacted] me preguntó cómo estaba Detroit y yo dije que estaba bien, no le dije que estaba en la ciudad. Esa será la última mentira que le diga a ella, a ti, a [redacted]o a nadie más. (Me siento) ruin y cansado de vivir una vida que no es verdad y las mentiras simples y sencillas se convierten en (mentiras) grandes y existe la presión constante de mantener todo a flote. No puedo seguir haciéndolo, no quiero seguir haciéndolo, los niños y tú merecen algo mejor de mí. Gran parte de que ustedes se sientan miserablemente y no estén felices a mi alrededor probablemente venga de que yo no sienta que me puedo relajar, que hubiera algo, grande o pequeño, en lo que me pudieran descubrir. No creo que seas una persona que dude por naturaleza, y siento mucho haberte convertido en alguien que sintiera la necesidad de revisar y volver a revisar y ahondar en las cosas. Lo resentía y mentía para taparlo y eso estuvo mal hecho. No somos los productos de nuestros padres, pero de cualquier manera, como en tu caso, puedo ver claramente las tendencias y veo la influencia de mi padre y madre y lo que opté por hacer y mis acciones, tal como veo el mismo efecto que tus padres tuvieron sobre ti. Yo nunca quise convertirme en mis padres, y nunca quise convertirme en mi padre y sé que viste muchas cosas que no querías repetir en tu propia infancia. No es justo y es muy fácil culpar a mis padres por cómo soy, pero como tú frecuentemente lo mencionas, el cómo crecí influye en mi manera de ser como adulto. Mi madre le tenía miedo a mi padre y le mentía acerca de cosas insignificantes. Mi madre me compró una bicicleta, era una hermosa Schwinn de pista, y ella temía mucho que mi padre se enojara de que me la hubiera comprado, así que tuvimos que hacer como si me la hubiera dado mi abuela. Aprendí la lección errónea de esto y de otros eventos en los cuales simplemente era más fácil mentir que enfrentar el mal genio de mi padre. Es demasiado tarde y bajo malas circunstancias y un reflejo de qué tan mal me las he ingeniado para madurar que esté tratando de deshacer esto ahora, pero te diré la verdad. Joy y yo fuimos amantes.

La historia de mi relación con ella es como sigue. Nos conocimos probablemente hace 10 años cuando Junior la trajo a nuestra casa de camino a Brasil. No tuvimos nada que ver uno con el otro en ese entonces. Cuando empezó *The Contender* me di cuenta de que ella había estado en nuestra casa y durante el proceso de selección del reparto nos hicimos amigos. Aún no había nada entre nosotros. Por tanto tiempo tú te has creado una fijación con el reloj que le compré y lo has sacado a colación tantas veces que quiero resolver ese asunto de una vez por todas. Se lo compré como regalo de cumpleaños, yo fui el único que lo compró, lo compré yo mismo en la tienda y fue simplemente un acto de amistad -- no teníamos una relación en aquel entonces aparte de que ella era mi amiga.

La siguiente vez que estuvimos muy cerca, fue durante mi época en *BBCW running Fortune*, yo traje a Joy para dirigir el equipo de selección de reparto, y una vez más trabajamos solamente como amigos. Cuando yo dirigía aquel ridículo programa de citas para *MTV* en el centro nuevamente contraté a Joy para llevar a cabo la selección de reparto, nuevamente

trabajamos solamente como amigos. Creo que la siguiente vez que trabajamos juntos fue cuando estaba yo en *IMG* y la trajimos a la selección de reparto para un concepto que teníamos. Nuevamente trabajamos juntos como amigos. No fue hasta la selección de reparto de *Crash Course* que nuestra relación pasó a ser de algo más que amigos. Cuando la contraté no teníamos ni habíamos tenido nada que ver, yo te había prometido que no volvería a trabajar con ella y no hice caso de esa promesa y la contraté de todas manera – yo resentía el que tú me dijeras con quién podía trabajar y con quién no. Inicialmente tuvimos relaciones en Detroit. Desde esa época hemos tenido una relación sexual y emocional, ha habido períodos en los que nos detuvimos reconociendo que todo mundo iba a salir lastimado pero volvió a empezar. Yo acepto toda la responsabilidad por esto y te pido disculpas, hice mal. Yo me involucré con otra mujer y como resultado tú sufriste, esta es una traición contra ti y contra nuestro matrimonio y nuestro amor y mi promesa hacia ti. Dejamos de estar íntimamente juntos hace varias semanas y no lo hemos estado desde entonces. Le he mentido a ella también, y he estado en otro mundo tratando de no desilusionar a dos personas. Joy y yo hablamos aquí por unos minutos antes de que ella se fuera, acerca de escoger lo que yo quisiera, para esta noche, para mañana y para el resto de mi vida, y es lo que estoy haciendo. Ella llamó después de que se fue y dijo que le estabas pidiendo que te dijera lo que había pasado entre nosotros y que contestara preguntas.

El viernes por la noche que tú te quedaste en casa y no fuiste a trabajar y hablamos y lloramos, fue la primera vez en años que te sentí, que sentí a la mujer de quien primero me enamoré y con quien quería pasar el resto de mi vida. Estoy seguro de que la razón de que no he visto a esa tú y no he podido ser quien soy contigo es resultado de mis mentiras y ocultamientos. Siempre debí haber tenido el valor de enfrentarte en lugar de mentirte y tratar de tomar el camino fácil. He estado presente solamente en parte por tanto tiempo, pero cuando nos abrazamos y lloramos volví a conectarme contigo y sentir irresistible amor por ti, estoy llorando ahora al recordarlo. Había pensado que íbamos a terminar las cosas y que había hecho demasiadas cosas para alejarte y que tú estabas demasiado distanciada y yo demasiado cerrado, pero entonces ocurrió eso y por un tiempo fuimos uno solo una vez más, como habíamos sido al principio y mis recuerdos y sueños y esperanzas y el profundo amor visceral que sentía por ti regresó y quiero, a pesar de lo que he hecho tratar de encontrar eso contigo nuevamente. No pude mantenerlo mucho tiempo, para el domingo estaba alterado y distante – me sentía confundido y sorprendido y tenía miedo. Estaba confundido de encontrar ese sentimiento aún ahí y temía que no volvería a verlo. Cuando tú insististe en venir a trabajar conmigo tenía tanto coraje. Pensaba que era contigo, pero en realidad era conmigo. Tú querías que proclamara mi preferencia por ti y lastimara a alguien más que me importaba – y estaba furioso conmigo mismo por ponernos a todos en ésta situación y ser demasiado débil para simplemente decírtelo todo. Entonces te estaba mintiendo a ti y mintiéndole a ella tratando de calmar a todo mundo y ganar algo de tiempo. Débil e infame. Realmente me odio a mí mismo por ello.

Lo cual me trae a lo que quiero, No que sienta que tengo el derecho de tener lo que quiero, pero tú mereces escucharlo directamente de mí. Quiero arreglar este matrimonio contigo. Si es demasiado tarde y está demasiado deteriorado yo lo entiendo y tendré que vivir con el arrepentimiento de haber arruinado el amor más puro que jamás he conocido. Hay aspectos prácticos, nuestras vidas en común, los niños, etc., pero tú mereces mucho más

214

que aspectos prácticos, tú mereces a un hombre que te adore, como yo lo hice alguna vez y lo hice nuevamente el viernes por la noche; tú mereces a alguien que sea honesto contigo y que te ame lo suficiente para luchar por estar contigo. Nuestro matrimonio se fue a la deriva, como les pasa a muchos, y es probablemente la culpa de ambos, tú eres aún la mujer que me ha tocado en lo más profundo y si pudiera hacerlo nuevamente lo haría, por supuesto que no repetiría mi conducta vergonzosa. Estoy llorando al escribir esto, pero ya no con temor, es ahora con alivio y aún con esperanza. He sido descuidado con algo de valor increíble y eso lo siento y espero poder deshacer algo del daño que he causado. Aun tenemos un gran amor el uno por el otro como lo vimos la otra noche, necesita ser nutrido y cultivado y valorado. Si estás dispuesta a hacerlo yo pondré lo mejor de mí para ti y para nosotros, te quiero y eres el amor de mi vida. Si podemos reparar esto y tomar el desastre que he causado y construir algo sólido valdrá la pena, tenemos más vida que compartir uno con el otro. Creo que no hay duda de que necesitamos seguir la consejería juntos y que debo también buscarla por mi cuenta. No la merezco pero me gustaría tener una oportunidad más de construir una relación contigo de la cual ambos podamos estar orgullosos. Estoy escogiendo estar contigo y reparar lo que he arruinado y construir a partir de ello – esa transparencia que sentimos la otra noche es hermosa y pura y aún siento tanto amor por ti.

EN LA CIUDAD DE CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO A LOS 20 DIAS DEL MES DE abril DEL AÑO 2010 EL SUSCRITO AGENTE DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN .....

C E R T I F I C A .....

QUE LA(S) PRESENTE(S) COPIA(S) FOTOSTATICA(S) QUE VA(N) EN (nueve) FOJA(S) UTIL(ES) CONCUERDA(N) FIELMENTE CON SU(S) ORIGINAL(ES) QUE SE TUV(O/IERON) A LA VISTA CON LA(S) CUAL(ES) FUE(RON) DEBIDAMENTE COTEJADA(S). .....

C. Carlos Armando Pacheco Cruz

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA DEL ESTADO DE QUINTANA ROO
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA EN ZONA NORTE DEL ESTADO
MINISTERIO PUBLICO DE

2 15 9

YAHOO! MAIL Classic

Fw: RE: First letter Friday, April 9, 2010 8:38 AM

From: "Carla Burgos" <[redacted]a@yahoo.com>
To: "Jeane" <[redacted]1@yahoo.com>

— On **Wed, 4/7/10, Harris, Diane M. <[redacted]g>** wrote:

From: Harris, Diane M. <[redacted]asd.org>
Subject: RE: First letter
To: "Carla Burgos" <[redacted]a@yahoo.com>
Date: Wednesday, April 7, 2010, 5:17 PM

Thank you.

From: Carla Burgos [mailto:[redacted]@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 07, 2010 5:14 PM
To: Harris, Diane M.
Subject: Fwd: First letter

This letter was the letter sent to my sister.

— On **Mon, 3/8/10, Monica <[redacted]@gmail.com>** wrote:

From: Monica <[redacted]@gmail.com>
Subject: Fwd: First letter
To: [redacted]@yahoo.com
Cc: [redacted]1@yahoo.com
Date: Monday, March 8, 2010, 11:47 AM

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Bruce Beresford-Redman <[redacted]e@mindcrimeinc.com>
Date: March 4, 2010 5:42:10 PM HST
To: <[redacted]@gmail.com>
Subject: First letter

Amor,
I want to start this by saying that I love you and I miss you and I want to be with you, I have done miserable things, but the other night I saw you again, the amor de minha vida who I have missed and mourned for so long. I do not know if you will ever be able to forgive me, but if there is ever to be anything real between us there can be no more lies from me and that is why I am writing this to you. I have been so far away from you for so long that I have forgotten what it is like to feel you as my wife my lover and my friend. I miss you as all of those things. I just spoke to [redacted] and [redacted] on skype; [redacted] asked

216

me how Detroit was and I said it was OK, I didn't tell her that I was in town. That will be the last lie I ever tell her, you, [redacted] or anyone. I am miserable and exhausted from living a life that is not true and simple and little lies turn into big ones and there is the constant pressure of trying to keep it all afloat. I cannot do it anymore, I don't want to do it anymore, you and the kids deserve better from me. So much of my being miserable to be around and unhappy probably comes from my not ever feeling like I could relax, that there was something, big or small that I might get caught over. I do not think that you are a suspicious person by nature, and I am so very sorry for making you into someone who always needed to check up and follow up and dig deeper. I resented it and lied to cover and it was the wrong thing to do. We are not the products of our parents, but in my case, as in yours, I can clearly see the patterns and I see my mother and father influencing me and my choices and actions, just as I see your parents having the same effect on you. I never wanted to be my parents, and I never wanted to turn into my father and I know that you saw many things that you did not want to repeat in your own childhood. It is not fair and it is too easy to blame my parents for how I am, but as you often allude to, the way I grew up colors the way I am as an adult. My mother was afraid of my father and lied to him about silly things. My mom bought me a bike, it was a beautiful Schwinn dirt bike, and she was afraid that my father would be angry she had bought it for me, so we had to pretend it was from my grandmother. I took the wrong lesson from this the other instances where it was just easier to lie than it was to face my father's temper. It is far too late and under lousy circumstances and a reflection on just how poorly I have managed to grow up that I am trying to undo this now, but I will tell you the truth. Joy and I were lovers.

The history of my relationship with her is as follows: We first met probably 10 years ago when Junior brought her to our house on his way to Brazil. We had nothing to do with each other at that time. When the Contender started I realized that she had been at our house and over the course of the casting process we became friends. We still had nothing to do with each other. You have fixated on the watch I bought her for so long and brought it up so many times that I want to put that issue to rest for once and all. I bought it for her as a birthday present, I was the only one buying it, I bought it myself at the store and it was purely an act of friendship – we had no relationship at that time other than she being a friend to me.

The next time we were in close proximity was during my time at BBCW running Fortune, I brought Joy in to head up the casting team, again we worked together only as friends. When I was running that ridiculous dating show for MTV downtown I again hired Joy to do the casting, again we worked together only as friends. I think the next time we worked together was while I was at IMG and we brought her in to cast for a concept we had. Again we worked together only as friends. It was not until the casting for Crash Course that our relationship moved beyond that of friends. When I hired her we were not and had not been involved, I had promised to you that I would not work with her anymore and I disregarded that promise and went ahead and hired her – I resented your telling me who and who not to work with. We first had sex in Detroit. Since that time we have had a sexual and emotional relationship, there have been periods where we stopped recognizing that everyone was going to get hurt but it restarted. I take full responsibility for this and I apologize to you, it was the wrong thing for me to do. I became involved with another woman and as a result you suffered, that is a betrayal of you and our marriage and love and my promise to you. We stopped being intimate several weeks ago and have not been since. I have lied to her too, and been in a nether world of trying not to disappoint two people. Joy and I talked here for a few minutes before she left about me making a choice for what I want, for tonight, tomorrow and the rest of my life and that is what I am doing. She called after she left and said that you were asking her to tell you what happened between us and answer questions.

217

The Friday night that you stayed home from work and we talked and cried was the first time in years I felt you, the you I first fell in love with and wanted to spend the rest of my life with. I am sure that much of the reason that I have not seen that you and not been able to be who I am with you is a result of my lies and hiding from you. I should have always had the courage to face you rather than lying and trying to get off easy. I have been only partially present for so long, but when we held each other and cried I reconnected with you and felt overpowering love for you, I am crying again now as I recall it. I had thought that we were going to end things that I had done too much to drive you away and that you were too far and that I was too closed, but then it happened and for a while we were one again, as we had been at the beginning and my memories and dreams and hopes and the deep gut love I had for you came flooding back and I want, in spite of what I have done to try to find that with you again. I couldn't hold on to it for long, by Sunday I was upset and distant – I felt confused and surprised and afraid. I was confused to find that feeling still there and afraid I would not see it again. When you insisted on coming to work with me I was so angry. I thought it was at you, but really it was at me. You wanted me to proclaim my choice of you and hurt someone else I care for – and I was furious at myself for putting all of us in this situation and being too weak to just tell you everything. Then I was lying to you and lying to her trying to placate everyone and buy some time. Weak and despicable. I really do hate myself for that. Which brings me to what I want. Not that I feel any right to have what I want, but you deserve to hear it directly from me. I want to fix this marriage with you. If it is too late and too far gone I understand that and will have to live with the regret of having spoiled the purest love I have ever known. There are practical concerns, our lives together, the children etc., but you deserve much more than practical concerns, you deserve a man who adores you, like I once did and did again that Friday night; you deserve someone who is honest with you and loves you enough to fight to be with you. Our marriage drifted, as many do and that is probably the fault of both of us, you are still the woman who has touched me the deepest and if I could do it again I would, of course I would not repeat my disgraceful behavior. I am crying as I write this, but no longer with fear, now it is relief and even hope. I have been careless with something of incredible value and for that I am sorry and I hope to undo some of the harm I have inflicted. We have still a great love for each other as we saw the other night, it needs to be nurtured and cultivated and cherished. If you are willing to do that I will give my best to you and us, I love you and you are the love of my life. If we can fix this and take the mess I have made and build something strong it will be worth it, we have more life to share with each other. I think there is no question that we need to continue counseling together and that I should seek it on my own as well. I do not deserve it but I would like one more chance to make a relationship with you that we can both be proud of. I am making a choice to be with you and fix what I have broken and build from there – that openness we felt the other night is beautiful and pure and I still feel so much love for you.

EN LA CIUDAD DE CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO A LOS 20 DIAS DEL MES DE abril DEL AÑO 2010
EL SUSCRITO AGENTE DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN,----------
C E R T I F I C A
QUE LA(S) PRESENTE(S) COPIA(S) FOTOSTATICA(S) QUE VA(N) EN (tres) FOJA(S) UTIL(ES) CONCUERDA(N) FIELMENTE CON SU(S) ORIGINAL(ES) QUE SE TUV(O/IERON) A LA VISTA CON LA(S) CUAL(ES) FUE(RON) DEBIDAMENTE COTEJADA(S).
Cinto Amanda Pacheco

State of California

SECRETARY OF STATE

Requested for use in Mexico.
Not for use within the United States of America.
The purpose of the Apostille is to certify the authenticity of the signature of the official signing the document, the capacity in which the official signing the document has acted, and, where appropriate, the identity of the seal or stamp.

APOSTILLE

(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1. *Country: United States of America*
   This public document

2. *has been signed by M. FISHER*

3. *acting in the capacity of Deputy Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk, County of Los Angeles, State of California*

4. *bears the seal/stamp of the County of Los Angeles, State of California*

CERTIFIED

5. *At Los Angeles, California*

6. *the 14th day of April 2010*

7. *by Deputy Secretary of State, State of California*

8. *No. 806517*

9. *Seal/Stamp:*

10. *Signature*

Debra Bowen
Secretary of State

BY Bryan A. Solinea

NP-24 A (REV. 1-07)

OSP 06 99602

219

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES }

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in and for said County DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT J. Mulligan was, at time of signing a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgement or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the officer or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

(Valid only if the certification bears the embossed Seal of the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk.)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I execute this certificate and have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County this 13th day of April, 2010

DEAN C. LOGAN
Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk

By: Meekie Fisher, Deputy County Clerk

M. FISHER

BFR03-3/3/2009

Mi nombre es Norma Ahlf, Yo empecé a trabajar en Zabumba en el 2001, y estuve trabajando ahí hasta el 2004, regresando a trabajar en el 2007 hasta el presente. Ella era una muy buena amiga, y la amistad se fortaleció en el 2007, cuando me convertí en la mano derecha de ella en el restaurant, y ella sabía que podía contar conmigo como administradora, solíamos pasar mucho tiempo juntas, y Mónica me tenía la confianza para compartir sus asuntos privados conmigo. Cuando Mónica estaba embarazada con [redacted], ella encontró un recibo de la compra de un reloj para dama muy caro. Mónica fue a la tienda y se enteró de que la persona que había comprador el reloj había sido Bruce. Sin embargo, hubo una mujer que regresó a la tienda, devolvió el reloj, y obtuvo un reembolso por el reloj. La dependiente de la tienda describió a la mujer que devolvió el reloj como Joy Pierce, la asistente de Bruce. Mónica empezó a pensar que había algo raro en la relación de esos dos.

El nunca lo admitió. El siempre se refirió a ella como una amiga y compañera de trabajo. Bruce viajaba mucho a los lugares donde se grababan los programas de televisión. Una vez, Mónica decidió ir a Costa Rica para darle una sorpresa. Ella estaba muy preocupada respecto a esta supuesta "amistad" y quería ver cómo era la supuesta amistad de ellos dos. Cuando ella llegó a Costa Rica, el no quería estar con ella, él rechazó su presencia, diciendo que estaba muy ocupado. El dijo que era un viaje internacional, y no podía prestarle atención a ella.

El año pasado, Mónica me dijo que Bruce se tornó muy agresivo verbalmente y muy impaciente cuando la familia estaba reunida, no solamente en la casa, sino en todas partes. Yo vi a Bruce varios viernes por las noches, durante los últimos meses en Zabumba. Bruce estaba sentado en la obscuridad, en medio de música muy estridente, leyendo un libro, como si estuviera en su propio mundo, totalmente desconectado respecto a lo que ocurría dentro del restaurant, con la excepción de cuando veía a una mujer atractiva. Dejaba el libro detrás y encontraba la manera de ir y coquetear con la mujer, con la excusa de que iba a usar el baño. Mónica estaba prestando atención a todo esto.

El día de Acción de Gracias del 2008, mi esposo y yo fuimos a la casa de Mónica, donde había una fiesta, y Bruce había invitado a algunos productores y compañeros de trabajo con sus respectivas esposas. El se aisló con su grupo, ignorando completamente al resto de los asistentes a la fiesta. Mi esposo también es norteamericano, y se sintió sumamente incómodo con la actitud de Bruce, ya que siendo que no era parte de nuestro círculo de amigos, no entendía por qué Bruce estaba tratando a los demás de esa manera.

Normalmente, Bruce, siempre me había hablado con una voz suave y moderada. Sin embargo, las últimas veces que habló conmigo por teléfono, buscando a Mónica, fue grosero y gritaba, lo cual me llamó mucho la atención. Una vez, el fue personalmente a

Zabumba, y nuevamente, mantuvo su actitud descortés hacia mí cara a cara. Yo lo vi ser extremadamente grosero con Mónica, y Mónica le contestó que no había razón para actuar de esa manera con ella, ya que ella había estado en el doctor.

Cuando los rumores de divorcio se convirtieron en una realidad en la vida de la pareja, Bruce le ofreció a Mónica un viaje de reconciliación. Su cumpleaños se aproximaba y ella escogió Dubái como su destino. El se rehusó. El dijo que era muy caro. El paquete costaba alrededor de $ 10,000 dólares. Además, el dijo que tenía una reunión el miércoles 7 de abril. Bruce ofreció un viaje a Cancún. Ella me llamó, diciendo que Bruce estaba haciendo las reservaciones en ese preciso momento. Ella estaba escuchando la conversación, y dijo que el paquete costaría $ 7,000 dólares. Mónica se sorprendió mucho con su actitud, porque nunca durante de su relación de 13 años él había hecho reservaciones para nada. El dijo que siempre había una primera vez para todo en la vida, y estaba esforzándose. Lo que realmente me sorprendió, fue el hecho de que repentinamente la reunión había dejado de ser un problema.

04/12/10.

Norma Paula P. Ahlf

State of California, County of Los Angeles
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 12 day of April, 2010, by Norma Paula De Ahlf proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.

(Signature of Notary)

J. MULLIGAN
COMM. # 1804746
NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
MY COMM. EXP. JULY 4, 2012

EN LA CIUDAD DE CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO A 20 DIAS DEL MES DE abril DEL AÑO 2010
EL SUSCRITO AGENTE DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN,
CERTIFICA
QUE LA(S) PRESENTE(S) COPIA(S) FOTOSTATICA(S) CONSTA EN ( ) FOJA(S) UTIL(ES) COTEJADA(N) FIELMENTE CON SU(S) ORIGINAL(ES) QUE SE TUV(O/IERON) A LA VISTA, DE LA(S) CUAL(ES) FUE(RON) DEBIDAMENTE COTEJADA(S).
LIC. Carlos Amando Pacheco Cetina

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA DEL ESTADO DE QUINTANA ROO
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA EN ZONA NORTE DEL ESTADO
AGENTE DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN, UNIDAD 5
CIUDAD CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO

FORMA CG-1A

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

State of California

SECRETARY OF STATE

Requested for use in Mexico.
Not for use within the United States of America.

APOSTILLE

(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1. *Country: United States of America*
   This public document
2. *has been signed by M. FISHER*
3. *acting in the capacity of Deputy Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk, County of Los Angeles, State of California*
4. *bears the seal/stamp of the County of Los Angeles.*
   State of California

CERTIFIED

5. *At Los Angeles, California*
6. *the 14th day of April 2010*
7. *by Deputy Secretary of State, State of California*
8. *No 80651*
9. Seal/Stamp:

10. *Signature*

John Bowen
Secretary of State

BY

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES }

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in and for said County DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT J. Mulligan was, at time of signing a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgement or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the officer or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

(Valid only if the certification bears the embossed Seal of the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk.)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, 1 execute this certificate and have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County this 13th day of April , 2010

DEAN C. L.OGAN
Registrar-Recorder/County

By: (Illegible Signature)
M. FISHER, Deputy Clerk

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

My name is Norma Ahlf, I started working in Zabumba in 2001 and worked there until 2004, then came back to work there from 2007 to the date. She was a very good friend and our friendship became stronger in 2007 when I became her right-hand woman at the restaurant and she knew she could count on me as administrator, we used to spend a lot of time together and Monica trusted me in sharing her private matters with me. When Monica got pregnant with [redacted] she found a receipt of the purchase of a very expensive watch for ladies. Monica went to the store and found out that the person who had bought the watch had been Bruce. However, there was a lady who came by the shop, returned the watch and kept the reimbursement of it. The saleswoman of the shop described the woman who had returned the watch as Joy Pierce, Bruce's assistant. Monica started suspecting there was something strange in the relationship of both of them.

He never admitted it. He always described her as a friend and a work mate. Bruce used to travel a lot to locations where the TV shows were being recorded. Once Monica decided to travel to Costa Rica to surprise him. She was very concerned towards this "friendship" and wanted to see for herself how this supposed friendship was. When she arrived in Costa Rica he did not want to be with her, he rejected her presence, telling her that he was very busy. He said that it was an international trip and that he could not pay attention to her.

Last year, Monica told me that Bruce started to behave verbally aggressive and very impatient when the family was gathered together, not only at home, but everywhere. I saw Bruce some Friday nights at Zabumba during the last months. Bruce was sat in the darkness when very loud music was playing, reading a book, as if he were in his own world, totally disconnected from what happened in the restaurant, with the exception of times when he would notice a beautiful woman. He would put the book away and find the manner to approach and flirt with the woman, using the excuse that he had to go to the bathroom. Monica would pay attention to all of this.

On thanksgiving day in 2008, my husband and I went to Monica's home where there was a party and Bruce had invited some producers and colleagues from work with their respective wives. He gathered apart with his own group, completely ignoring everyone else at the party. My home is also American and he felt very uncomfortable with Bruce's attitude, since as he was not part of our circle of friends, he did not understand why Bruce was treating the rest in such a manner.

Usually Bruce would address to me in a soft and moderate voice. However, the last times he talked to me on the phone looking for Monica, he was rude and

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

yelled, and that called my attention a lot. Once he went personally to Zabumba, and again, he kept that impolite attitude towards me, face to face. I saw him being extremely rude with Monica and Monica told him that there was no reason to be like that with her, since she had been with the doctor.

When the divorce rumors became a reality in the life of the marriage, Bruce offered a reconciliation trip to Monica. Her birthday was drawing near and she picked Dubai as destination. He refused. He said it was very expensive. The package cost around $10,000 dollars. Besides, he said he had a meeting on Wednesday, April 7. Bruce offered a trip to Cancun. She called me, and told me that Bruce was making reservations at that precise moment. She was listening to the conversation and said that the package would cost around $7,000 dollars. Monica was so surprised with his attitude because never in their 13-year relationship he made any reservations for anything. He said that there was always a first time for everything in life and he was trying. What really surprised me is that all of sudden, the meeting had ceased to be a problem.

(handwriting: 04/12/10.)
Illegible Siganture.

Seal reading: State of California, County of Los Angeles
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this
12 day of April, 2010 by Norma Paula de Ahif
Proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence
To be the person(s) who appeared before me.
(Signature of Notary)

Seal of the Notay Public

Seal reading: In the city of Cancun, Quintana Roo on
April 20th, 2010 the undersigned Agent of the Office of the
Public Prosecutor for local matters ----------
----------CERTIFIES----------
That this set of xerographic copies which consist of four
Written pages are the exact reproduction of its originals shown
To me for the due comparison.....
Carlos Armando Pacheco (Signed)

Seal of the Office of the Attorney General of the State
Of Quintana Roo.
Office of the Assistant Attorney General for the Northern Area
Office of the Public Prosecutor for Local Matters
City of Cancun, Quintana Roo.

222

State of California

SECRETARY OF STATE

Requested for use in Mexico.
Not for use within the United States of America.

The purpose of the Apostille is to certify the authenticity of the signature of the official signing the document, the capacity in which the official signing the document has acted, and, where appropriate, the identity of the seal or stamp.

APOSTILLE

(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1. *Country: United States of America*
   This public document

2. *has been signed by M. FISHER*

3. *acting in the capacity of Deputy Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk, County of Los Angeles, State of California*

4. *bears the seal/stamp of the County of Los Angeles, State of California*

CERTIFIED

5. *At Los Angeles, California*

6. *the 14th day of April 2010*

7. *by Deputy Secretary of State, State of California*

8. *No. 806519*

9. *Seal/Stamp:*

10. *Signature*

Debra Bowen
Secretary of State

BY

NP-24 A (REV. 1-07)

OSP 06 99602

223
8
8

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES }

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in and for said County DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT J. Mulligan was, at time of signing a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgement or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the officer or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

(Valid only if the certification bears the embossed Seal of the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk.)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I execute this certificate and have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County this 13th day of April, 2010

DEAN C. LOGAN
Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk

By: Mellie Fisher, Deputy County Clerk
M. FISHER

BFR03-3/3/2009

224

DECLARATION AND CERTIFICATION

I, ARTURO MANUEL GARCIA, declare that I am a
(Insert Name of Person Translating)

(Check one)

(✓) CERTIFIED/REGISTERED COURT INTERPRETER as described in GC 68561

( ) AN ACCREDITED TRANSLATOR REGISTERED WITH THE AMERICAN TRANSLATORS ASSOCIATION

I am certified/registered to interpret and translate from the ENGLISH

language to the SPANISH language. My

Certification/Registration Number(s) is/are: 300703.

I further declare that I have translated the attached document from the

ENGLISH language to the SPANISH, language.

I declare to the best of my abilities and belief, that this is a true and accurate translation of the

ENGLISH language text of Sworn Statement. (Insert title of document)

Specific Description of the Document

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

This declaration signed this 12 day of April, 2009 in LOS ANGELES, California.

Print Name of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

ARTURO MANUEL GARCIA, CALIFORNIA CERTIFIED COURT INTERPRETER # 300703

Signature of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

NOTE: The signature of the Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association must be acknowledged by a notary public.

State of California, County of Los Angeles
On 04-12-10 before me, J. Mulligan,
Notary Public, personally appeared Arturo Manuel Garcia
who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.
I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.
WITNESS my hand and official seal.

J. MULLIGAN
COMM. # 1804746
NOTARY PUBLIC-CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
MY COMM. EXP. JULY 4, 2012

Rev. 01/01/08

My name is Carmem Lucia Matos Palmieri. .My address is [redacted] Los Angeles, CA 90034 USA.

I'm originally from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. I've been living in Los Angeles since 1998. I've been very close friends with The Burgos family over 20 years.

I've moved to Los Angeles invited by Jeane, (Monica's sister) and immediately went to help Monica at her restaurant for over two years. I actually catered to Monica and Bruce's wedding dinner. Needles to say that I've been around since Monica and Bruce were still dating, meaning more than 10 years. Unfortunately, I can't tell that I know who Bruce is even after all these years, even after all the Christmas and Thanksgiving dinners, weddings parties, birthdays parties, barbecues, family gatherings, their kids baptisms, etc. we spent together, either at my house or theirs.

I still don't KNOW Bruce. At the beginning I thought the language barrier would be the reason why Bruce and I never had a conversation, since English is my second language, but years went by, my speech had improved, and we still never had a nice conversation as everybody does. Our communication was limited to a greeting, to a small talk, to a comment when he made himself available for that.

Bruce and Monica were absolutely in love. It was amazing to see how happy the couple was at the beginning of their marriage. They were very caring to each other, he was always at her restaurant, lots of kisses and hugs. Everything seemed to be perfect until Monica found out that Bruce was spending hours per day using sex-phone for quite some time. At the time, he wasn't working. She was the one who was supporting him. She couldn't believe it and shared her disappointment with me. They were recently married. She had never suspected or imagined that he would be interested in something like that. When she confronted him., he just denied, saying that he would never done something like that with her. But when Monica showed him the proves, he cried and asked for forgiveness on his knees saying that he was bored at home.

Monica had always been very social, friendly, happy, talkative person. She always liked to be surrounded by people. She used to love to entertain. When she became a mother, she focused her life to her family. Her family was her main priority. She wanted to make sure that her kids were happy and loved, dedicating her time giving them lots of attention. In the other hand, Bruce was the opposite. Very quite, reserved, many times he rather confine himself at the bedroom than enjoy the family and friends.

In many occasions at my home, for dinner parties, Bruce showed up in

separate cars, because he never stayed too long. Always quiet and isolated. Rarely showed affection to his wife. Monica tried to always be on his side e give him attention, serving his plate, making sure he was comfortable. I always felt that Bruce went to places with Monica because it was "appropriate", because he had to. Because he wanted to show the image of a present and "good" husband, but I don't think he enjoyed her company.
Last time I saw Bruce, Monica and their kids together, was at Carla's birthday (Monica's sister) at a restaurant for lunch on February 28th 2010. We were about 13 people including 5 kids. We were all happy, having a good time, except him.... Bruce was sitting at the end of the table near his family silently except when he was talking to his kids. Somebody tried to bring him into a conversation, but he just used a couple of words. He wasn't interested as usual. He seemed to me that he was there, but he wish he wasn't. It's hard to identify Bruce's emotions. He never show them. He always have that plain face... he can be mad, he can be happy... the expression is the same. But I could see the impatience that day. As soon he finished his meal, he found a way the get out of the table, saying that he was taking the kids to a little play ground behind the restaurant.
That is actually his normal behavior. He always had to escape. On my weeding party, which happened to be at Monica and Bruce's house. Bruce didn't attend. Even though he was at home. The guests were asking Monica where Bruce was, and with a little embarrassment she had to say that he wasn't feeling too well that day. At the end of the party, Monica's sisters, a few girlfriends, my husband and I were cleaning up the house, when Bruce came out of the bedroom, looking healthy and well. I gave him a hug, asked him if he was feeling better. He said yes. I thank him for the great present, which was to offer the house for our wedding party. He said welcome. And that was it. He never congratulate us, he never asked how the party was, if everything went well. He didn't seem to care much. He just sat in front the fireplace, waiting for us to live.

Seems to me that Bruce didn't want to be part of Monica's life. He wasn't showing any affection to Monica, always distant, dry and bored. He is definitely a mysterious person. He doesn't show his emotions easily. He has very feel friends whom he rarely socialize with Monica. Very seldom he brought those friends at their house, and when he did, he kept himself with his friends in a isolated group, laughing and apparently having a lot of fun, but never including Monica or others. Feels like he didn't want Monica to get too involved with his very feel friends. Maybe because he was trying to

227

keep his secrecy protected. Maybe he had something to hide.

Jeff Palmieri
04/12/10

State of California, County of Los Angeles
On 04.12.10 before me, J. Mulligan
Notary Public, personally appeared Carmen Matos Palmieri
who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.
I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.
WITNESS my hand and official seal.

J. MULLIGAN
COMM. # 1804746
NOTARY PUBLIC-CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
MY COMM. EXP. JULY 4, 2012

State of California, County of Los Angeles
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 12 day of April, 2010, by Carmen Matos Palmieri
proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.

(Signature of Notary)

J. MULLIGAN
COMM. # 1804746
NOTARY PUBLIC-CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
MY COMM. EXP. JULY 4, 2012

Me llamo Carmen Lucia Matos Palmieri. Mi domicilio es [redacted]
[redacted] Los Angeles, CA 90034 USA.
Soy originaria de Rio de Janeiro, Brasil. He estado viviendo en Los Angeles He estado viviendo en Los Angeles desde 1998. He sido amiga muy cercana de la familia Burgos durante más de 20 años.
Yo me mudé a Los Angeles invitada por Jeane, (la hermana de Mónica) e inmediatamente fui a ayudar a Mónica en su restaurant por más de dos años. De hecho yo hice el banquete para la boda de Mónica y Bruce. Sobra decir que he estado cerca desde que Mónica y Bruce eran novios, lo cual significa más de diez años. Desafortunadamente, no puedo decir que sé quien es Bruce aun después de todos estos años, incluso después de todas las cenas de Navidad y del día de Acción de Gracias, bodas, cumpleaños, carnes asadas, reuniones familiares, los bautizos de sus hijos, etc., que pasamos juntos, ya fuera en mi casa o en la de ellos.
Aún no CONOZCO a Bruce. Al principio pensé que la barrera del idioma sería la razón por la cual Bruce y yo nunca teníamos una conversación, ya que el Inglés es mi segundo idioma, pero pasaron los años, mi dicción ha mejorado, y nunca tuvimos una conversación agradable entre nosotros como todo mundo lo hace. Nuestra conversación se limitaba a un saludo, comentarios breves, cuando estaba dispuesto a ello.
Bruce y Mónica estaban completamente enamorados. Era sorprendente ver lo feliz que era la pareja al principio de su matrimonio. Eran muy amables el uno con el otro, el siempre estaba en el restaurante de ella, muchos besos y abrazos. Todo parecía perfecto hasta que Mónica se enteró de que Bruce se pasaba horas usando servicios sexuales telefónicos por mucho tiempo. En ese entonces, el no estaba trabajando, Ella era la que lo mantenía. Ella no podía creerlo y me confió su desilusión. Estaban recién casados. Ella nunca sospechó o se imaginó que él pudiera estar interesado en algo así. Cuando lo enfrentó, el simplemente lo negó, diciendo que nunca le hubiera hecho nada de ese tipo a ella. Pero cuando Mónica le mostró las pruebas, el lloró y pidió perdón de rodillas diciendo que estaba aburrido en la casa.
Mónica siempre había sido una persona muy sociable, amigable, feliz, parlanchina. Siempre le gustaba estar rodeada por la gente. Le encantaba ser la anfitriona de reuniones. Cuando se convirtió en madre, enfocó su vida a su familia. Su familia fue siempre su principal prioridad. Quería asegurarse de que sus hijos se sintieran felices y amados, dedicándoles su tiempo y dándoles mucha atención. Por otro lado, Bruce era lo contrario. Muy callado, reservado, muchas veces prefería confinarse a la recámara que disfrutar con familia y amistades.
En muchas ocasiones, en mi casa, para cenas, Mónica y Bruce llegaban en

carros separados, porque él nunca se quedaba mucho tiempo. Siempre callado y aislado. Rara vez le mostraba afecto a su esposa. Mónica siempre trataba de estar a su lado y darle atención, asegurarse de que estaba cómodo. Siempre sentí que Bruce iba a los lugares con Mónica porque era "lo apropiado", porque tenía que hacerlo. Porque quería proyectar la imagen de un "buen" esposo, presente, pero no creo que disfrutara de su compañía.
La última vez que vi a Bruce, Mónica y los niños juntos fue en el cumpleaños de Carla (la hermana de Mónica) en el restaurant para el almuerzo el 28 de febrero del 2010. Éramos como 13 personas incluyendo a 5 niños. Estábamos todos contentos, pasándolo bien, excepto él... Bruce estaba sentado a la cabecera de la mesa, en silencio excepto cuando hablaba con sus hijos. Alguien trató de incluirlo en la conversación, pero él solamente dijo un par de palabras. No estaba interesado como de costumbre. Me parecía que estaba ahí pero deseaba no estarlo. Es difícil identificar las emociones de Bruce. Nunca las muestra, siempre tiene esa cara sin expresión... puede estar disgustado, puede estar contento... la expresión es siempre la misma. Pero pude ver la impaciencia ese día. Tan pronto terminó su comida, encontró la manera de retirarse de la mesa, diciendo que iba a llevar a los niños a un área de juegos detrás del restaurant.
Esa es de hecho su conducta normal. Siempre tenía un escape. En la fiesta de mi boda, que de hecho fue en la casa de Bruce y Mónica, Bruce no estuvo ahí, aun cuando estaba en la casa. Los invitados le preguntaban a Mónica donde estaba Bruce, y con un poco de pena ella tuvo que decirles que él no se estaba sintiendo muy bien ese día. Al final de la fiesta, las hermanas de Mónica, algunas amigas, mi esposo y yo, estábamos limpiando la casa, cuando Bruce salió de la recámara, viéndose bien y saludable. Le di un abrazo, preguntándole si se sentía mejor. El dijo que sí. Yo le agradezco el gran regalo, que fue haber ofrecido su casa para la recepción de la boda. El dijo de nada, y fue todo. Nunca nos felicitó, nunca preguntó cómo había sido la fiesta, si todo había salido bien. No parecía importarle mucho. Sencillamente se sentó frente a la chimenea, esperando que nosotros viviéramos.

Me parece que Bruce no quería ser parte de la vida de Mónica. No mostraba afecto por Mónica, siempre distante, seco y aburrido. Es definitivamente un individuo misterioso. No muestra sus emociones fácilmente. Tiene muy pocos amigos los cuales rara vez socializan con Mónica. Muy rara vez traía a esos amigos a su casa, y cuando lo hacía, se mantenía con sus amigos en un grupo aislado, riendo y aparentemente divirtiéndose mucho, pero nunca incluyendo a Mónica y a otros. Se siente como que no quería que Mónica se

involucrara mucho con sus muy pocos amigos. Quizás porque estaba tratando de mantener su secreto protegido. Quizás porque tenía algo que esconder.

231

CARMEN LUCIA MATOS PALMIERI

[redacted]

Los Angeles, CA 90034

Driver license

[redacted]

232
00
8

State of California

SECRETARY OF STATE

Requested for use in Mexico.
Not for use within the United States of America.
The purpose of the Apostille is to certify the authenticity of the signature of the official signing the document, the capacity in which the official signing the document has acted, and, where appropriate, the identity of the seal or stamp

APOSTILLE

(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1. *Country: United States of America*
   This public document

2. *has been signed by M. FISHER*

3. *acting in the capacity of Deputy Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk, County of Los Angeles, State of California*

4. *bears the seal/stamp of the County of Los Angeles, State of California*

5. *At Los Angeles, California*

6. *the 14th day of April 2010*

7. *by Deputy Secretary of State, State of California*

8. *No. 806516*

9. *Seal/Stamp:*

CERTIFIED

10. *Signature*

Debra Bowen
Secretary of State

BY Carmelle M. Guy

NP-24 A (REV. 1-07)

OSP 06 99602

233

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES }

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in and for said County DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT J. Mulligan was, at time of signing a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgement or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the officer or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

(Valid only if the certification bears the embossed Seal of the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk.)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I execute this certificate and have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County this 13th day of April, 2010

DEAN C. LOGAN
Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk

By: Meeke Fisher
M. FISHER, Deputy County Clerk

BFR03-3/3/2009

Mi nombre es Silvianeide Barbosa Campbell, pero me dicen Naygee. Yo conozco a Mónica hace casi 12 años. Al principio no éramos muy unidas, pero después que tuvimos a nuestros hijos, nuestra amistad creció.

Recuerdo la primera vez que escuché que Mónica estaba teniendo problemas en su matrimonio. Esto fue acerca de un cobro por llamadas telefónicas a una línea telefónica de servicios sexuales. El cobro fue extremadamente elevado, recuerdo que ella me dijo que se sentía muy humillada por toda esta situación. Su preocupación creció cuando decidió darle una sorpresa en su trabajo en Costa Rica. Ella dijo que se sintió mal, que no sentía ser apreciada. El tomó esa visita como una molestia, como que no quería que ella estuviera allá. Pasó el tiempo, y Mónica empezó a sospechar que Bruce no quería que ella lo visitara en el trabajo porque Joy Pierce estaba siempre con él.

Otra ocasión fue cuando ella encontró un recibo por la compra de un reloj que Bruce compró para Joy Pierce. El se disculpó diciendo que había sido un regalo del grupo, Mónica estaba muy enojada por el precio del reloj. Mónica le pidió que ya no trabajara con Joy Pierce, y el estuvo de acuerdo con ello. Sin embargo, realmente Bruce nunca dejó de trabajar con Joy, Posteriormente, en otro viaje, Mónica se enteró de que Bruce seguía trabajando con Joy, recuerdo que Mónica me dijo que sentía que Bruce no la estaba respetando. Empezaron a separarse. El empezó a estar más y más ocupado, y no tenía tiempo para ella y para los niños. Recuerdo que Mónica siempre se quejaba de que preferiría que Bruce hubiera tenido otro tipo de trabajo, porque nunca tenía tiempo para ella y para los niños.

La memoria más vívida que tengo de los problemas matrimoniales ocurrió hace como dos meses, cuando Mónica empezó a grabar las llamadas telefónicas de Bruce, había muchas de ellas, especialmente alrededor del día de San Valentín. Ella siempre venia y lo confrontaba acerca de esas llamadas, que confesara que estaba teniendo un idilio, pero el siempre decía que no, Hubo momentos en los que él dijo que Joy simplemente era una amiga muy especial.

Después de un tiempo en la discusión, él dijo que era un evento de una sola vez, y finalmente reconoció que había tenido una relación con Joy durante un año y medio. De ahí en adelante, la relación en el matrimonio nunca volvió a ser la misma. Había momentos que ella pensaba que él estaba diciendo la verdad, que el idilio había terminado, pero ella terminaba averiguando que él había estado mintiendo y que el idilio continuaba.

Mónica me dijo que se sentía impotente, y necesitaba vengarse de él, es cuando decidió sacar una cantidad de dinero del banco. Ella le dijo que si quería ver el dinero tendrían que divorciarse y él obtendría la mitad del dinero.

Después de escuchar tanto acerca de lo que Mónica había tenido que soportar por este idilio, yo le dije que ya había aguantado más que suficiente, que debía castigarlo y correrlo de la casa.

Dos horas más tarde, ella me llamo y dijo que lo había hecho, que había empacado parte de la ropa de él y la había puesto en bolsas de plástico fuera de la casa.

Siempre me sentí incómoda alrededor de Bruce. Yo he conocido a Bruce por aproximadamente 10 años, antes de que ellos se casaran. Mónica y yo éramos muy buenas amigas, pero después de que tuvimos a nuestros hijos, nos unimos aun más. Íbamos juntas al zoológico, a Disneylandia, a los parques, a los eventos, a los shows.

Hace alrededor de dos años, en el cumpleaños de Mónica en su casa, fui a ver a los niños en el cuarto de juegos, y cuando iba saliendo del cuarto, Bruce me acosó tratando de besarme, me sorprendió y me dio mucho coraje esa conducta, le dije que se alejara, le dije que estaba loco.

Después de eso, traté de evitar venir a casa de ellos tanto como pude, y cada vez que estaba ahí con mis hijos, me aseguraba de no estar alrededor de Bruce, y no quería ni saludarlo.

A como yo vi la relación entre Mónica y Bruce, Bruce nunca quería convivir con las amistades de ella. Cada vez que había una fiesta o reunión social en la casa, Bruce se encerraba en un cuarto. Como a las 11, salía y le decía a Mónica que terminara la fiesta, haciéndolo de manera agresiva. Eso cambiaba el ambiente de la fiesta y los invitados se sentían muy incómodos.

Una semana antes del domingo de Pascua, fui con mis hijos, Mónica, Bruce y sus hijos, mi amigo, a pasear en lancha a Castaic Lake. Todos nos estábamos divirtiendo, pero Bruce estaba siendo sarcástico y nos criticaba a Mónica y a mí porque estábamos hablando en portugués y riendo. No lo tomamos en cuenta, y eso lo hizo enojar y entonces quería irse a la casa. Terminar el viaje, Arruinó el viaje porque cambió su manera de ser. Parecía que quería controlar la situación. Cuando regresamos del paseo en lancha, Bruce decidió que quería invitar a mi amigo a cenar en agradecimiento por el viaje. Pero Mónica le dijo que no podía porque tenía que trabajar pero a el no le importó. El siempre quería que se hiciera lo que él decía.

Bruce siempre favorecía a [redacted] y siempre me parecía que trataba a [redacted] como si no fuera su propio hijo.

En las actividades de los niños, Mónica tenía que convencer a Bruce para que participara. Cuando él iba, no podía creer qué tan infeliz se le veía. Parecía siempre estar incómodo y que odiaba el hecho de estar ahí con nosotros.

Mónica estaba aterrorizada ante la posibilidad de que los niños crecieran sin tener a su padre con ellos. Ella dijo que sus hijos serian su prioridad, así que se sacrificaría por ellos y trataría de trabajar para salvar su matrimónio.

Yo le sugerí que fueran a un consejero matrimonial, y eso fue lo que hicieron.

A mí me parecía que este viaje a Cancún era inapropiado. De hecho estaba segura de ello. No estaban preparados todavía. Eso me preocupaba mucho.

Yo fui la que llevó a Mónica a la clínica de abortos. Ella se había embarazado el año pasado y estaba realmente emocionada de ello. Pero Bruce no quería otro hijo. Su esposo no la acompañó en este momento tan terrible. Ella tuvo que resolver lo de su aborto sola.

Siento que a él no le importaba mucho ella.

4.12.2010

State of California, County of Los Angeles
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 12 day of April 2010, by Silvaneida barbosa Campbell
proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.
(Signature of Notary)

J. MULLIGAN
COMM. # 1804746
NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
MY COMM. EXP. JULY 4, 2012

EN LA CIUDAD DE CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO A LOS 20 DIAS DEL MES DE Abril DEL AÑO 2010
EL SUSCRITO AGENTE DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN.....
C E R T I F I C A.....
QUE LA(S) PRESENTE(S) COPIA(S) FOTOSTATICA(S) QUE VA(N) EN (— Cinco —) FOJA(S) UTIL(ES) CONCUERDA(N) FIELMENTE CON SU(S) ORIGINAL(ES) QUE SE TUV(O/IERON) A LA VISTA CON LA(S) CUAL(ES) FUE(RON) DEBIDAMENTE COTEJADA(S).....
Carlos Armando Pacheco Cel...

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA DEL ESTADO DE QUINTANA ROO
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE JUSTICIA EN ZONA NORTE DEL ESTADO
AGENCIA I DEL MINISTERIO PUBLICO DEL FUERO COMUN, UNIDAD 6
CIUDAD ... QUINTANA ROO

237
13

State of California

SECRETARY OF STATE

Requested for use in Mexico.
Not for use within the United States of America.
The purpose of the Apostille is to certify the authenticity of the signature of the official signing the document, the capacity in which the official signing the document has acted, and, where appropriate, the identity of the seal or stamp.

APOSTILLE

(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1. *Country: United States of America*
   This public document
2. *has been signed by M. FISHER*
3. *acting in the capacity of Deputy Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk, County of Los Angeles, State of California*
4. *bears the seal/stamp of the County of Los Angeles, State of California*
5. *At Los Angeles, California*
6. *the 14th day of April 2010*
7. *by Deputy Secretary of State, State of California*
8. *No. 806515*
9. *Seal/Stamp:*

CERTIFIED

10. *Signature*

Jena Bowen
Secretary of State

BY Carmelle M. Guy

NP-24 A (REV. 1-07)

OSP 06 99602

23.8
0341

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES }

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in and for said County DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT J. Mulligan was, at time of signing a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgement or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the officer or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

(Valid only if the certification bears the embossed Seal of the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk.)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I execute this certificate and have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County this 13th day of April, 2010

DEAN C. LOGAN
Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk

By: Meekie Fisher
M. FISHER, Deputy County Clerk

8FR03-3/3/2009

Mi nombre es Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar. Yo soy hermana de Mónica. Mónica conoció a Bruce en el restaurant Zabumba. A ella le gustó mucho. Ella me lo dijo. Yo estaba viviendo en Brasil en esa época. Hablábamos por teléfono todo el tiempo. El era un estudiante. Tenía un carro muy viejo. Ella lo estuvo manteniendo antes de que se casaran. Ella mantenía la casa y los padres de Bruce le ayudaban a pagar sus tarjetas de Crédito.

Mi hermana quería comprar una casa con él, pero ninguno de los dos tenía mucho crédito. Le pidieron al padre de Bruce fuera el fiador, pero él no quiso hacerlo. Mónica logró obtener ayuda de algunos amigos para ello.

De manera que compraron la casa y estuvieron viviendo juntos. Fueron a Brasil a que el conociera a mis padres y a mí. Realmente nos cayó bien. Siempre fue muy cortés y una persona muy agradable. (Muy guapo, también).

Yo vine a Los Angeles con mi hijo de 8 meses para asistir a su boda. El siempre fue muy agradable pero nunca mostró muchas emociones. Era siempre muy reservado. Un tiempo después de que se casaron, Mónica estaba reclamando porque descubrió que estaba usando servicios de líneas telefónicas de sexo. Él estaba trabajando como independiente desde casa, de manera que pasaba mucho tiempo ahí. Los cobros del teléfono fueron muy elevados, de manera que ella se los envió a la madre de Bruce para que los pagara. Ellos las pagaban.

Un día me dijo que llamó a un número de servicios sexuales telefónicos y dejó el teléfono conectado con esa línea todo el día, de manera que el cobro llegó de más de $5,000 y el no lo pagó por que no tenía dinero y casi lo arresta la policía, ella tuvo que ir a la estación de policía, donde los oficiales le preguntaron "¿de manera que usted es la esposa del tipo de las llamadas de sexo telefónico? Ella se vio muy apenada y le dijo a él, y le dijo: ¿ves lo que me haces pasar por lo que estás haciendo?

En 2004 Mónica estaba embarazada con su primera hija, [redacted] se enteró de que él había comprado un reloj caro, de $5,000, para una mujer con la que él estaba trabajando. La persona en la tienda describió a Bruce como el comprador, y dio la descripción de la mujer que fue a devolver el reloj por dinero. La descripción coincide con Joy Pierce.

Mónica estaba realmente alterada y triste. Estaba embarazada y le preguntó acerca de ello, y él dijo que era un regalo de todo el equipo, pero él lo había pagado. Él le dijo que era solamente una amiga. Ella estaba muy triste porque él nunca le había dado nada, un anillo, un reloj, nada que tuviera un valor de más de $ 500. Dólares. De manera que ella fue y se gastó 2000 dólares en un anillo de diamantes para ella.

Después de eso, Bruce tuvo a esa mujer trabajando con él, aun si Mónica no estaba de acuerdo. Ella era muy feliz de ser madre. Fue lo mejor que le pudo haber pasado, y ella no quería que nada le destruyera a su familia.

Le pregunté a Mónica si él estaba saliendo con alguien más, pero ella no quería saber nada de eso. No quería creerlo tampoco. Yo misma no estaba muy segura.

Yo estaba todavía en Brasil, tramitando mi separación, y Mónica me estaba convenciendo de mudarme nuevamente a Los Angeles. Estaba preocupada respecto a trabajar aquí, y ella me dijo que Bruce me conseguiría un trabajo. En febrero decidí mudarme a Los Angeles, pero todavía tenía trabajo que terminar en Brasil. En mayo, ella quedó embarazada, y fue otra razón por la que decidí mudarme para Los Angeles. Vine a vivir a casa de ellos, y el siempre fue muy amable conmigo. El siempre se comportó muy distante pero siempre le leía libros a Camila, yo pensé que él era buen padre en esa época.

Durante el primer mes que estuve viviendo en casa de ellos, fuimos a una fiesta con personas de su trabajo, Mónica no pudo ir porque ella estaba trabajando en Zabumba, de manera que cuando llegué a la fiesta, vi que esta mujer (Joy) brinco a sus brazos y el la levantó en peso, con las piernas de ella alrededor de la cintura de él. Estoy segura que ella sabía que yo era hermana de Mónica. Me sorprendió mucho eso. Después de eso, ella estuvo constantemente muy cerca de él, actuando con cierta intimidad, casi colgándose de su hombro. Le pedí que se separara de él. Era demasiado para mí. Por supuesto que nunca obtuve trabajo en la oficina de Bruce.

Me mudé de casa de ellos y ellos se mudaron a otra casa en Palos Verdes. El siempre fue muy distanciado, no se encontraba presente en la casa. Aun si estaba en la casa, nunca se comunicaba con la gente y no hablaba mucho. Era amable pero se podía saber lo que estaba pensando. No expresaba sus sentimientos.

El verano pasado, pude ver más cambios en él. Me dio la impresión de que estaba raro, Cada vez más distanciado, muy diferente. El fue a pasar un mes en Detroit, para un programa que se estaba produciendo. Mi hermana se estaba quejando de que Bruce no hablaba mucho con ella o con los niños. Quería ir a Detroit a verlo y llevar a los niños, y el dijo NO. No vengan. Estoy ocupado. Entonces ella se enteró de que él había pagado $500 dólares diarios por un cuarto de hotel para que Joy Pierce se quedara con él en Detroit. Mónica le dijo a él que no le diera más trabajo a ella, y él no estaba muy contento acerca de eso. Parece que ella no estaba trabajando en ese programa, pero ella estuvo ahí con él.

Mi hermana Mónica quedó embarazada una tercera vez. El dijo: "No quiero tener más hijos, tienes que someterte a un aborto" ella no estaba muy contenta sobre esto pero lo

hizo de todas maneras. El aborto tuvo complicaciones, pero a fin de cuentas todo salió bien. Fue un proceso difícil para ella.

El empezó a portarse verbalmente abusivo con ella. Le decía que estaba muy gorda, que ya no la veía como mujer, que su vagina estaba muy alargada, y ella estaba pensando en someterse a una operación para corregir eso. No estaba teniendo relaciones sexuales con ella. Normalmente, la relación era muy sexual, y el sexo para ella era muy importante, casi imprescindible.

A partir de Enero 2010, el nunca estaba en la casa, se portaba de manera rara, ella decidió averiguar que estaba ocurriendo en realidad con él. Mónica empezó a revisar las llamadas telefónicas de el por medio de la computadora para ver a quien estaba llamando. El 14 de febrero, el llamó a Joy Pierce como diez veces o más. Ella puso una grabadora en el auto de él y él le decía que él iba para allá, como que iba para casa de ella. Mónica se enteró de que él estaba teniendo un idilio con Joy Pierce, y él seguía mintiéndole, diciendo que iba a casa de ella a platicar, pero solamente como amigos.

En este punto, esta mujer obtuvo un empleo en la oficina de él, para hacer la selección del reparto con un salario por ese trabajo de $ 57,000 dólares.

Mónica estaba segura de que Bruce estaba teniendo un idilio con Joy Pierce, de manera que una noche fue y le dijo "seamos amigos, quiero que seas feliz, si amas a esta mujer vete con ella, nos divorciamos, y yo también puedo hacer mi vida con alguien más" Inmediatamente el empezó a llorar como loco, y dijo que la amaba, cuanto la amaba, y que quería seguir con ella. Mónica me dijo que le parecía que el estaba empezando a ser con ella de la manera que era cuando recién se conocieron. También empezaron a tener relaciones sexuales muy frecuentemente, como tres veces al día, y diciendo que la amaba, y rogándole que volviera con él. En este punto, Joy Pierce iba a empezar a trabajar en la oficina de Bruce, con una oficina dentro de la oficina de Bruce, de manera que Mónica le dijo que ella iba a ir a trabajar con él. Mónica estaba dentro de la oficina con esta mujer. La mujer estaba sorprendida de verla, fue una situación muy difícil para Mónica estar dentro de esa oficina con Joy Pierce. Ella todavía tenía la grabadora en el auto de Bruce grabando todo lo que Bruce decía en el teléfono. Ella escuchó la grabación donde Joy Pierce le pregunta a Bruce por qué Mónica fue a la oficina. Bruce le dijo "Lo siento, lo siento que ella estuviera ahí. Yo le dije que nosotros teníamos una relación y yo quería el divorcio, pero ella dijo que todavía me ama y no quiere el divorcio". Entonces Mónica estaba muy enojada porque Bruce seguía mintiendo y manteniendo un doble juego, además fue la confirmación de que Bruce tenía una relación sexual con Joy Pierce. Esa noche Bruce le rogó a Mónica que no fuera a la oficina al día siguiente. Mónica dijo que estaba bien, que solo iría a la hora del almuerzo. En la mañana, Mónica fue al banco y retiró todo el dinero de su

cuenta bancaria mancomunada y dejo una carta en la escuela de los niños diciendo que Bruce no podría recogerlos porque estaba siendo un padre abusivo con ellos. Entonces fue a almorzar con Bruce y le preguntó qué pensaba acerca de Joy, grabando su respuesta donde decía que no le gustaba, que era fea, que amaba a Mónica. Mónica fue a su casa, cambió todas las cerraduras, puso la ropa de Bruce en bolsas de plástico fuera de la casa y cuando Bruce le llamó, puso la grabación donde Bruce le decía a Joy que Mónica sabia que Bruce y Joy tenían una relación y que le había pedido el divorcio. Al mismo tiempo, le envió la grabación de Bruce diciendo que Joy no le gustaba, que era fea, que amaba a Mónica, a Joy. Entonces le dijo a Bruce que se fuera a otro lado porque ella no lo quería más y quería el divorcio. Bruce se fue a dormir a casa de su padre y solamente repetía que no quería divorciarse, que amaba a Mónica, que quería que fueran a terapia con un consejero matrimonial, y le envió una carta diciéndole cuánto la amaba. Mónica se fue a Hawaii con los niños. Cuando ella estaba en Hawaii, no estaba contestando las llamadas telefónicas de Bruce pero él estaba enviando mensajes de texto constantemente. Todavía le decía cuanto la amaba y cuanto quería estar con ella. Cuando ella regresó se unieron nuevamente porque dijo que realmente había terminado con la mujer. Dos días después de que ella regresó, Mónica encontró una memoria USB en la mochila de Bruce que tenía una carta de amor para Joy, escrita minutos después de haber estado enviando textos de amor a Mónica en Hawaii. Ella decidió el divorcio, pero él dijo que esa no era una carta de amor, sino una carta para decirle que estaba terminando la relación entre ellos. Mónica dijo: Vamos a divorciarnos, pero entonces el dijo, no, no, no. Entonces Mónica dijo: Vamos a estar separados e ir a terapia por un año pero tú vas a pagar la casa y ponerla a mi nombre. Tuvieron que ir a terapia con un consejero, lo estaban haciendo dos días por semana, y él iba a la casa a ver a los niños. Pero un día, el tenía prisa de irse de la casa en una visita con los niños y Mónica pensó que él iba a salir con alguien, así que se puso muy celosa y le dijo que se quedara en la recamara de los huéspedes. Entonces se reunieron nuevamente. Cuando cambió las cerraduras, había guardado muchos documentos en cajas y los había enviado a casa de mi hermana Jeane, y ella tenía boletos de avión para ir a Brasil para su cumpleaños. El estaba muy "amoroso" con ella pero ella le estaba repitiendo constantemente lo que había hecho. El dijo que no quería ir a Brasil, pero quería viajar con ella y con los niños. Ella podía planear el viaje para su cumpleaños. A todos los lugares que ella quería ir, el no quería ir con ella. El quería ir a Cancún pero ella no quería ir. Ella iba a pagar otro viaje a alguna otra parte, pero el domingo por la noche (28 de marzo) él decidió a donde ir y compró el viaje a Cancún con su dinero. El pedía específicamente una habitación con jacuzzi dentro de la habitación y lo pagó con su dinero. Ella dijo que pensaba que estaba en una película porque él le había dicho que obtuviera una póliza de seguro de vida para los dos en caso de muerte durante el viaje. Al día siguiente Mónica me llamó y me dijo que Bruce quería recoger la caja con los documentos que estaban en casa de Jeanie,

pero Mónica le dijo a Bruce que los documentos no estaban en casa de Jeanie sino en mi casa. Llegue a mi casa con mi hijo de 11 años, Nicolas, y cuando acabábamos de llegar a la casa vimos a alguien azotando la puerta. Pregunte quien era y me contestó "BRUCE!". Abrimos la puerta y dijo en voz alta "¿Dónde están las cajas? Mi hijo no sabía nada sobre ello porque las cajas nunca habían estado aquí. De manera que yo le dije "Mónica se las llevó" y el preguntó ¿Cuándo? Y le contesté "anoche" el dijo "No, no se las llevó" muy enojado. Se dio la vuelta y azotó la puerta. Yo estaba realmente asustada. Para mí me parecía como un animal enjaulado. Llamé a Mónica más de veinte veces pero ella nunca me contestó. Hablé con ella esa noche, pero le dije que no se acercara mucho a él, pero ella estaba riendo y fue a hablar con él sobre ello. Después de eso, me llego un largo y cortés mensaje de texto a mi teléfono, disculpándose profundamente por su reacción. Y ella me dijo que se iban a Cancún la mañana siguiente.

Ellos se fueron a Cancún y no supe más de ella hasta el domingo, no parecía muy contenta, aun cuando hicieron varios tours, y ella había comprado un tiempo compartido que Bruce puso a su nombre. También me dijo que Bruce había llorado, llorado y llorado mucho, que se arrepentía de todo lo que había hecho, que era la mejor mujer del mundo, que él la amaba profundamente, pero no parecía creerle mucho. Mónica también me dijo que Bruce había recibido varios mensajes texto de Joy antes de salir de Los Angeles, pero los había borrado. Nunca más supe de Mónica.

04-12-10

State of California, County of Los Angeles
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 12 day of April 2010, by Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar
proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.

(Signature of Notary)

J. MULLIGAN
COMM. # 1804746
NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
MY COMM. EXP. JULY 4, 2012

248

CARLA BURGOS VAN BASTELAAR [redacted]

ADDRESS: [redacted]

~~Tel~~: [redacted]

SISTER OF the VICTIM

245

State of California

SECRETARY OF STATE

Requested for use in Mexico.
Not for use within the United States of America.
The purpose of the Apostille is to certify the authenticity of the signature of the official signing the document, the capacity in which the official signing the document has acted, and, where appropriate, the identity of the seal or stamp.

APOSTILLE

(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1. *Country: United States of America*
   This public document

2. *has been signed by M. FISHER*

3. *acting in the capacity of Deputy Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk, County of Los Angeles, State of California*

4. *bears the seal/stamp of the County of Los Angeles, State of California*

CERTIFIED

5. *At Los Angeles, California*

6. *the 14th day of April 2010*

7. *by Deputy Secretary of State, State of California*

8. *No. 806518*

9. *Seal/Stamp:*

10. *Signature*

Jerra Bowen
Secretary of State

BY

OSP 06 99602

246

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES }

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in and for said County DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT J. Mulligan was, at time of signing a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgement or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the officer or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

(Valid only if the certification bears the embossed Seal of the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk.)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I execute this certificate and have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County this 13th day of April, 2010

DEAN C. LOGAN
Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk

By: Meekie Fisher, Deputy County Clerk
M. FISHER

BFR03-3/3/2009

Me llamo Raquel Urey, y yo he conocido a Mónica desde 1993. Me considero parte de su familia porque mi relación con su hermana Carla es muy sólida. Ella es mi mejor amiga.

Yo siempre he estado en eventos sociales, como Navidad, el Día de Acción de Gracias, y cumpleaños. Bruce siempre tiene una cara sin expresión alguna, no expresaba emociones. Nunca sabía si está contento o triste. Una vez, el Día de Acción de Gracias del 2005, [redacted] estaba recién nacida y yo no vi felicidad alguna en su cara, de hecho, lo vi dentro de su recámara leyendo un libro. Nunca vi a Bruce mostrar ningún gesto de cariño hacia Mónica, era muy frío. Cuando Mónica se enteró del idilio con su asistente, Joy Pierce, hablamos varias veces por teléfono, y no podía yo creer como alguien pudiera llevar una doble vida durante tanto tiempo. Mónica era muy fuerte, muy feliz, con una mente muy ágil. Ella siempre tenía en consejo o solución para los problemas de todos. Desde que se convirtió en madre, debo decir que fue la época más feliz de su vida.

Cuando el escándalo se hizo parte de su vida diaria, se volvió frágil y siempre estaba triste. Yo le dije que en estos momentos críticos, lo peor que podía ocurrir era una separación de prueba. Le dije algunas veces que cuando las personas se separan pueden poner las cosas en perspectiva, y las soluciones llegan mas claras. Ella no quería dejarlo ir. Su amor por él y su familia era intenso e incondicional. Ella relmente creía que las cosas se solucionarían.

Pero debo decir que la Mónica a la que yo conocía, fuerte y decidida, no estaba ya ahí. Ella estaba destruida.

Raquel Urey

APRIL 12TH 2010

State of California, County of Los Angeles
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 12 day of April, 2010, by Raquel Urey proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.

J Mulligan
(Signature of Notary)

J. MULLIGAN
COMM. # 1804746
NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
MY COMM. EXP. JULY 4, 2012

We The People
Of the United States,
UNITED STATES OF AM
PASSPORT
PASAPORTE
UREY
CLAUDIA RAQUEL
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BRAZIL
SEE PAGE 21
CALIFORNIA
DRIVER LICENSE
B4617420
EXPIRES 10-07-12
CLAUDIA RAQUEL UREY
7229 HILLOUGHBY AVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90046

EXHIBIT 13

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

FORMA CG-1A

AGENCY: **OFFICE OF THE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL**
DIVISION: **JUDICIAL POLICE**

DEPARTMENT: **HOMICIDES**
OFFENSE: **HOMICIDE**
OFFICIAL LETTER: **PJE 610/2010**

MATTER: INVESTIGATION REPORT

CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO, APRIL 8, 2010

CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR
LOCAL MATTERS
BY HAND.

In response to your official letter number **CAN-01/06-1469/2010** dated April 8, 2010 in which you request that the corresponding investigations of preliminary investigation **ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010** be made; such investigation was initiated for the offense of **HOMICIDE** committed to the detriment of **MONICA BURGOS** against **WHOEVER RESULTS LIABLE,** I hereby inform you the following:

At about 9:00 a.m. there was information by ARTURO ROSALES DELGADO, personnel of the Security of the Moon Palace Hotel that inside the sewage pit of Moon Grand, Enrique Arenas Lopez, maintenance supervisor, on opening the pit found a corpse, reason for which the undersigned in the company Jose Idelfonso Sanchez Chan and Hugo Gaspar Gonzalez Pamplona, commander and officer of the Police assigned to the Homicides Department on board of a black Nissan X-Trail vehicle assigned for the State service we appeared in said place where we could see personnel of the Red Cross under the charge of paramedic Jeremy Smith, who told me they would take the corpse out of the sewage pit. Let me also inform that in the same place also appeared **Carlos Armando Pacheco Celis,** Public Prosecutor for Local Matters, accompanied by **Luis Martin Romero Padilla,** Expert in Criminalistics who were on board the Forensics ambulance. Then, after some maneuvers, the body of the murdered person was taken out of the said pit, wounds on the body were not visible at first sight, the body was naked and in a state of saponification. The prosecutor attested to the facts.

In like manner, I inform you that the undersigned had knowledge by SAUL ALFREDO NOVELO CHI Commander of the State Judicial Police for the Hotels area, who was present in the place of occurrence that due to the characteristics of the corpse it could be the missing woman, reason for which the fact-finding report

PGJE/SPZN/DAP/MPZH/009/2010 had been initiated, those facts were reported by BRUCE AINSLEY BERESNFORD REDMAN, who is the husband of the missing person, regarding such facts, an inform has already been made, with official letter 201/2010 mentioning regarding your investigation, that as the facts took place and based on the statements of the witnesses mentioned in his report JESUS SOBERANO RAMON officer of the State Judicial Police assigned to his Precinct infers that the person who killed the deceased was her husband BRUCE AINSLEY BERESNFORD REDMAN.

Therefore, following up the investigation there was an interview in the place of occurrence with a person who said to be named **Enrique Arena Lopez,** 38, living in common-law marriage, native to Cardenas, Tabasco, with academic education to High School, working as supervisor and with domicile in [redacted] Avenida Leona Vicario, in this city, who mentioned that on April 6, this year, he was informed by the supervisor of housekeepers named Yolibeth, of a guest who was missing in the facilities of Moon Palace Nizuc. He stated that same day when he was passing by the maintenance workshop, he noticed the security personnel of getting together and on asking for the reason of such gathering he found out about a search for the missing guest so he joined the search, therefore, today April 8 at about 9:00 a.m. while performing search sweeps, on passing by an area of sewage water treatment, he realized that a lid of the pit was not properly closed, and he thought that was strange because when one of the lids is not closed, fetid smells emanate and when he took a look inside the sewage, he saw a body floating and therefore he inferred the body was lifeless and that it could be the missing person, who he now knows said person is named **MONICA BURGOS** then he immediately informed through radio to the Security chief named **ARMANDO CETINA** who in turn, informed the other corporate chiefs of the Hotel and then the witness mentioned that after that, he left the place.

Then we went to the lobby of the Hotel where there was a man named BRUCE AINSLEY BERESNFORD REDMAN and we interviewed him in company of SAUL ALFREDO NOVELO CHI Chief of the State Judicial Police of the Hotels Area, who was also the translator since he speaks English and on telling him the motive of the interview on the facts under investigation he first referred he had had a strong argument with his wife due to the behavior of his children on April 5 about 8:30 a.m. and regarding the disappearance of his wife he said he would give information only if his lawyer and the Consul of his country were present, and that he was not to give any further information since he had already been interviewed. At first sight the he showed excoriations in the fingers of both hands, and the back part of the neck, also behind the right ear and tibia of left leg, wounds that seemed to have been inflicted by fingernail scratches, and on being questioned about it he said he would not provide any further information without his lawyer and the American consul.

Continuing with the investigation we went to the Lobby of said place since there was information provided by the Chief of Police in his previous report and also in the records of the public prosecutor that there was a complaint filed by the guests of room 8415 where they say in said report that they heard very loud noises, like people fighting and then they heard the voice of a woman who was screaming for help, and since we were there we interviewed a person named **BERTHA ANGELICA ARROYO RAMIREZ**, 42, single, academic education up to technical superior studies, working as an employee of the place (Concierge), native to Azcapotzalco, Federal District, with domicile in [redacted], in this city, when informed of the reason of our presence there and regarding the facts under investigation she said that at about eight hours and thirty minutes on Monday April 5, 2010, guests of room 7806 with last names COOK. Mr. COOK went to her and complained about the guests staying in room 7816, which is above his children's room. They were making a lot of noise, sort of screams, crying and arguments since 6:00 a.m. and these terrible noises woke up his children. Thus, she proceeded to inquire who the guests of this room were and corroborated that it was an American family formed by a woman named MONICA BURGOS and a man named BERESFORD both AMERICAN. Then Mr. COOK stated that his children told him they heard a lot of noise, as well as a middle-aged woman's crying, and it sounded like stuff was being dragged in the aforementioned room. This was corroborated by the son of said family. She asked the COOKS if they wanted to file a complaint in writing; however, they said it was not necessary, they just wanted the hotel staff to know about what happened. Then she reported the incident to the SECURITY SUPERVISOR on the phone and told him what happened so that he carried out the corresponding surveillance. Likewise, she called room seventy-eight sixteen and BERESFORD answered. She told the latter person of the guest's complaint about the noise and racket occurring in his room. She asked him if everything was fine, to which she literally said **"YES, IT'S TRUE, I WAS ARGUING WITH MY WIFE ON OUR CHILDREN'S BEHAVIOR, BUT IT WON'T HAPPEN AGAIN"**. The witness states that the man sounded calmed. Afterwards, she learned that on Tuesday April 6, 2010, BERESFORD reported MONICA BURGOS was missing and until that day April 8, 2010, approximately at eleven hours in the morning, she learned through NORMA GRANADOS, Nizuc Moon Grand Public Relations Manager, who is her boss, that MONICA BURGOS had been found dead in a sewage pit.

In like manner, I inform you that we also interviewed at the hotel, a person who said to be named **ERICK URIEL GONZALEZ REYES**, 34, native to Mexico, Federal District, married, having a college degree and residing at [redacted] regarding the facts under investigation he said that on Monday April 5, 2010 at about 8:30 to 9:00 p.m. he was performing his duties onboard a golf car since he works as a maintenance supervisor and that while passing by the access of restaurant "LOS TACOS" by the side of building 85 and on heading towards the area of the workshops he realized that three persons were

arriving at the restaurant being in front of him a couple of which he remembers that the female in the company of the man was missing and was found dead today inside a sewage pit is the same female who was arguing and crying with the male individual when the latter was trying to hit her, but that was not accomplished by the male who he now knows is his husband because said person (the male) realized that he was being watched by the person now interviewed, telling that the argument lasted about 15 minutes and after that he left heading towards building 85 of the Moon Grand.

Continuing with the investigation and at the same hotel we had an interview with ARAMANDO JESUS CETINA BEH, Chief of Security at the Moon Palace Hotel who regarding the facts under investigation corroborated the foregoing, also stating before the undersigned that on the 5th and the 6th day of April, this year during the duration of the night and on the early hours of the day, BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN he was leaving room 7816 on multiple occasions and at different hours since the reading of the electronic lock registers all the information about the access to the room. Then he provides a copy of such register to the undersigned and I hereby attach those records to the investigation.

And that is all I have to inform for your knowledge and the pertinent legal effects.

RESPECTFULLY,
JUSTICE FOR ALL
THE OFFICER OF THE STATE JUDICIAL POLICE
[SIGNED]
JUAN GABRIEL CHUC MAY

[Sealed]

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Section: 2
Floor: 0
Group: 0
Zone: 0
Area: 0
Batteries: 4.66V
Surpass: NO
Common Door Surpass: NO
Filter: None

04/07/2010 1:35 P 1
PROGRAMMING
Aut 175 # FDU 51
ID Card 41194-165
Creation 04/07/2010 11:01A

04/07/2010 1:32P 2
HOST
Aut 55 #FUD 36
ID Card 32547-228
Creation 04/01/2010 10:54A

04/07/2010 1:31P 3
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/07/2010 1:31P 4
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-140
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/07/2010 1:30P 5
HOST
Aut 55 # FDU 36
ID Card 32547-228
Creation 04/01/2010 10:54A
04/07/2010 1:00P 6
SECTION

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Aut 171 #FUD 21
ID Card 16647-177
Creation 03/21/2010 9:54A

(illegible)/07/2010 12:32P 7
SECTION
Aut 171 # FDU 21
ID Card 16647-117
Creation 03/21/2010 9:54A

04/07/2010 12:15P 8
HOST
Aut 55 # FDU 36
ID Card 32547-228
Creation 04/01/2010 10:54A

(illegible) 9
HOST
Aut 55 # FDU 36
ID Card 32547-228
Creation 04/01/2010 10:54A

04/07/2010 10:47A 10
SECTION
Aut 172 # FDU 13
ID Card 29951-041
Creation 03/30/2010 3:38P

04/07/2010 10:09A 11
SECTION
Aut 171 # FDU 21
ID Card 16632-153
Creation 03/21/2010 9:39A

04/07/2010 7:45P 12
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-140
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/06/2010 11:58A 13
SECTION

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Aut 171 # FDU 21
ID Card 16632-153
Creation 03/21/2010 9:39A

(Illegible)/06/2010 14
SECTION
Aut 171 # FDU 21
ID Card 16632-153
Creation 03/21/2010 9:39A

04/06/2010 11:12A 15
SECTION
Aut 171 # FDU 21
ID Card 16654-183
Creation 03/21/2010 10:01A

04/06/2010 11:24A 16
PROGRAMMING
Aut 175 # FDU 51
ID Card 39719-159
Creation 04/06/2010 10:26A

04/06/2010 7:15A 17
HOST
Aut 55 # FDU 36
ID Card 32547-227
Creation 04/01/2010 10:54A

04/06/2010 4:22A 18
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

(Illegible) 19
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/06/2010 4:09A 20
HOST

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/06/2010 4:04A 21
HOST
Aut 60 # FUD 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/06/2010 3:34A 23
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/06/2010 2:54A 24
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/06/2010 1:58A 25
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/06/2010 12:54A 26
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/06/2010 12:33A 27
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/05/2010 11:19P 28
HOST

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

(illegible) 29
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/05/2010 11:01P 30
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 306/82-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/05/2010 10:25P 31
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/05/2010 9:35P 32
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-140
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/05/2010 9:31P 33
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-140
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

(illegible)/05/2010 9:28P 34
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-140
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/05/2010 9:02P 35
HOST

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Aut 60 # 36
ID Card 30680-140
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/05/2010 6:05P 36
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/05/2010 4:40P 37
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/05/2010 4:01P 38
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

(illegible) 39
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/05/2010 2:30P 40
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-140
Creation 03/31/2010 3.49A

04/05/2010 2:27P 41
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-140
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/05/2010 12:01P 42
HOST

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-140
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/05/2010 10:37A 43
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-140
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/04/2010 9:57P 44
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/04/2010 8:46P 45
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/04/2010 7:01P 46
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-140
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/04/2010 11:23A 47
SECTION
Aut 171 # FDU 21
ID Card 16632-153
Creation 03/21/2010 9:39A

04/04/2010 11:09A 48
SECTION
Aut 171 # FDU 21
ID Card 16632-153
Creation 03/21/2010 9:39A

04/04/2010 10:10A 49
SECTION

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Aut 171 # FDU 21
ID Card 16647-119
Creation 03/21/2010 9:54A

04/03/2010 11:00P 50
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/03/2010 7:49P 51
HOST
Aut 60 # FDU 36
ID Card 30682-139
Creation 03/31/2010 3:49A

04/03/2010 5:05P 52
HOST
Aut 55 # FDU 36
ID Card 32547-227
Creation 04/01/2010 10:54A

04/03/2010 2:04P 53
SECTION
Aut 171 # 21
ID Card 16632-153
Creation 03/21/2010 9:39A

04/03/2010 11:36A 54
SECTION
Aut 171 # FDU 21
ID Card 16654-173
Creation 03/21/2010 10:01A

04/03/20101 10:31A 55
SECTION
Aut 171 # FDU 21
ID Card 16647-119
Creation 03/21/2010 9:54A

04/03/2010 10:09A 56
HOST

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Aut 55 # FDU 36
ID Card 32547-227
Creation 04/01/2010 10:54A

04/02/2010 8:00P 57
HOST
Aut 55 # FDU 36
ID Card 32547-227
Creation 04/01/2010 10:54A

04/02/2010 5:18P 58
SECTION
Aut 171 # FDU 21
ID Card 16632-153
Creation 03/21/2010 9:39A

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Report generated on April 9, 2010 at 10:28 Page 1

>>>*** HOTEL MOON PALACE*** - PHASE NIZUC <<<

Calls made from March 31, 2010 to April 09, 2010

Auditory report ordered by folios – TELMEX

Hosts: LDI LDN xCob. Cel.

CONDITION "SELECTED EXTENSIONS": 57616

P1.	FOLIO	ROOM	DATE	TIME	LAST	No. DIALED	MAIN	CITY	S.M.	CHARGE	SERV.	IVA	TOTAL	EXT2	COM
X	036088	57316	04/07	11:57	3'	0449981097549	T002003	CEL CALL	0.12	0.54	2.04	0.30	3.00	Post.	
H	372344	57816	03/31	22:12	2'	0013102818476	T003025	CALIFORNIA	0.12	-0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	Post.	
H	380949	57816	04/05	08:16	1'	0013239446027	T003001	CALIFORNIA	0.12	-0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	Post.	
H	387422	57816	04/04	21:27	55'	0013105980747	T003025	CALIFORNIA	0.12	-0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	Post.	
H	387463	57816	04/04	22:54	28'	0013109937739	T003021	CALIFORNIA	0.12	-0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	Post.	
H	387525	57816	04/05	00:20	1'	0013104299509	T003004	CALIFORNIA	0.12	-0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	Post.	
H	388988	57816	04/05	12:19	1'	0013103543951	T003024	CALIFORNIA	0.12	-0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	Post.	
H	389003	57816	04/05	12:20	3'	0013102279943	T003018	CALIFORNIA	0.12	-0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	Post.	
H	389528	57816	04/05	14:26	3'	0013102279943	T003026	CALIFORNIA	0.12	-0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	Post.	
H	389883	57816	04/05	16:03	4'	0013102279943	T003015	CALIFORNIA	0.12	-0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	Post.	
H	391129	57816	04/05	21:10	7'	001203777407	T003001	CALIFORNIA	0.12	-0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	Post.	
I	396021	57816	04/07	10:56	2'	8830272	T002066	Local Call	0.00	0.13	0.23	0.04	0.04		A.CONS
H	397330	57816	04/07	15:50	4'	0017023799992	T003016	NEVADA	0.12	-0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	Post.	
H	398825	57816	04/07	21:37	12'	0013105980747	T003021	CALIFORNIA	0.12	-0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	Post.	
E	398987	57816	04/07	22:34	16'	0018002527522	T003002	COLLECT CALL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Post.	ALASKA AIRL

HOSTS' CALLS TOTAL:

15 calls Posted calls total: 14	142 minutes Non-posted calls total: 0	1.56	-0.77	2.27	0.34	3.40

GENERAL CALLS TOTAL:

15 calls	142 minutes	1.56	-0.77	2.27	0.34	3.40

EXHIBIT 14

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF JUSTICE NORTH ZONE
INITIATING UNITY: CENTRAL AGENCY
UNIT: DESK VI CRIMES AGAINST LIFE.
FILE: **AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4/2010.**

MINISTERIAL ATTESTATION OF THE CORPSE AND REMOVAL PROCEEDING- In the city of Cancun, municipality of Benito Juarez, Quintana Roo, at 10 (ten) hours and 07 (seven) minutes, on the month of April 08 (eight), 2010 (two thousand ten), the undersigned CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS Public Prosecutor on Local matters, along with the court clerk with whom he acts legally , signs and attests, duly convened at the facilities in which these offices are located, in AVENIDA XCARET ESQUINA AVENIDA KABAH, SUPERMANZANA 21 of this city.- -

HAVING REVIEWED.- That in this date and hour abovementioned we received a formal notice of the presence of a corpse, in the facilities of Hotel Moon Palace, located in the kilometer 36.5, on the federal highway of Cancun-Tulum. For which, in order to duly pursuit the present investigation, it is important to offer the evidence of **the Ministerial Inspection**, and in any case, the **removal of the corpse**, since the facts observed by the senses have a great importance within the investigation of the facts.- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Therefore, pursuant to the provisions established in articles 16, 21 of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States, 7, 24 and 20 of the Political Constitution of the Sovereign Free State of Quintana Roo, 24, 71, 72, 73, 84, 90, 129, 130, 131, 133 and 134 of the Criminal Code of Federal Proceeding in force in the State, 5 index "a" and 26 of the Organic Law of the Attorney General Justice of the State; for which it is important to remember how it is - - - -

- **DECIDED:**- -

FIRST.- Practice the proceeding of **sight inspection and removal of the corpse,** using according to each case, drawings, topographic maps, ordinary or metric photographs, modeling or any other means to reproduce things, noting in such record, which of those objects were used and in which way they were used.- -

SECOND.- Call the **FORENSIC MEDICAL, FIELD CRIMINALISTIC, CHEMICAL, AND DACTYLOSCOPY EXPERT** , in order to convene along with the Public Prosecutor at the facilities of Hotel Moon Palace, located in kilometer 36.5, on the federal highway Cancun-Tulum in order to practice the tests

regarding their science and with the assistance of the Public Prosecutor, carry out the **sight inspection and removal of the corpse** proceeding and in case **it seems that the death was probably originated by some crime, order the autopsy, as well as the corresponding inhumation or exhumation; ordering the corresponding elaboration of the death certificate,** having to remit copies of it, to the present investigation AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010, for all due legal effects derived.- -

THIRD.- BE IT FULFILLED. -

RECORD OF THE TELEPHONE NOTICE -

Then, acting personnel, proceeded to establish intern telephone communication with the **Expert and Technical Investigations Division of this Attorney General of Justice,** and the security guard named **Luis Romero Padilla,** who answered our call is informed that we made the phone call to report the aforementioned decision, to what he stated: That he is informed and that he will immediately inform the assigned experts, according to their work shifts, as of the obligation to assist the Public Prosecutor.- -

SIGHT INSPECTION AND MINISTERIAL ATTESTATION OF THE REMOVAL OF THE CORPSE.- Immediately after, the acting personnel, legally convenes on board of a Ford make vehicle, Transit line, ambulance with circulation plates **TA-74-781** from the State of Quintana Roo, which belongs to this institution, along with the Criminalistic expert Luis Romero Padilla, to the facilities of **Hotel Moon Palace,** located in kilometer **36.5,** on the federal highway of Cancun-Tulum, place in which the denominated area Moon Grand, in front of the building **"PERICOS"** also known as **building 79,** in la Fase Nisuc, they met with the Head of Homicides Division of the Judicial Police for the State, Idelfonso Sanchez Chan, as well as with the Judicial Police Officer for the State in charge of the proceeding, Juan Gabriel Chuc May, who escorted them to the back of a one-story building, salmon color, which is a wastewater treatment plant; at the back end of such story was located a sump of wastewater and behind it a street was located. Facing towards the sump from the street, it is noticed that it has four entrances and two wastewater treatment pumps. The first two entrances are located horizontally at the left end, and the other two entrances are located at the right end, each one located vertically next to a wastewater treatment pump. Forthwith, we walk towards the right horizontal entrance which was surrounded by personnel of the Red Cross, commanded by Jeremy Smith who put on a yellow plastic suit, an oxygen tank, and a mask; then, using a metallic ladder he submerged his feet into the aforementioned access and from that location he pull out, firstly, a leather-zipper purse, brown and black color. Forthwith, it was attested to have at sight

the lifeless body of a FEMALE person, partially submerged, her face pointing towards the south and her feet towards the north, her limbs aligned to her body. Her body presents a chop wound of approximately 8 x 2 cm at the right temple; her body was found fully unclothed and swollen. The hair belonging to the frontal part of the skull was pulled out, the fingernails and toenails were painted red. It presents signs of real death, no pulse, and has a temperature lower than that of the one carrying out this proceeding. It shows signs of cyanosis, saponification, and putrefaction. Due to her physical characteristics, she is approximately 42 years-old, medium build, approximately 1.74 meters tall, and has light brown complexion, large light brown eyes, medium lips, narrow eyebrows, oval chin, medium forehead, wavy light chestnut hair, straight nose, no tattoos, no scars, no distinguishing marks, no amputations, no deformities, does not have a disability, no moles, and no prosthesis. Likewise, the proceedings for the recovery of the corpse, it is attested that C. Jeremy Smith, Mexican Red Cross Commander, assigned to the Delegation of Cancun Quintana Roo, tied the lifeless body floating inside the access of the stump, to a purple rope and holding up his arms, he was able to pull it out of that place and once on the surface, the forensic medical expert with the help of the personnel of the Red Cross, took the corpse to the vehicle Ford make, Transit Line, ambulance with driving plates TA-74-781 from the State of Quintana Roo, then the acting personnel, with the purpose to know the historic truth of the facts, Afterwards, with the purpose of learning the truth about the facts, the acting personnel appeared at the front of the Electrical Power Sub-station on the south end which faces the front of building number 78, also known as **Pelicanos building of the fase Nizuc.** At such location, a yellow sign which textually reads **"ECOLAB,** central chemical service center for rodents 037" was located at the wall of a jardinière, along with blood stains which were attested by the acting personnel. The Criminalistics expert, **Luis Romero Padilla,** took the corresponding samples thereof. We observe footprints on a small white wall, attesting that such expert took photographs; then they went up a metallic ladder that was located inside the electrical power sub-station at its south end, then they observed that on top of where they found the blood stains there were some damaged plants and vegetation, amongst them, a purple plant known as *Bella en Barco.* Forthwith, the acting personnel appeared at room number **7816,** located inside building number **78,** known as **Pelicanos** building **of the Fase Nizuc,** which in turn is located straight across from the electrical power sub-station on its south end. The abovementioned room is located at the second level of a three-story building, salmon color, which displays the number 78. Such room has a wooden, brown color door that has a metal lock which opens only by using a keycard. After the hotel personnel opened it, members of the Judicial Police for the State entered the room and found on the left a closet with a safe, on the right it was located the bathroom and the vanity; on the front,

there were two beds with bed sheets and pillows, across from the beds there was a white hot tub, and an apothecary table which contained the following: **1.-** An original passport, number [redacted] issued by the U.S. government in the name of Bruce Ainsley Beresford Redman, **2.-** An original passport, number [redacted] issued by the U.S. government (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) in the name of **Monica Burgos Beresford Redman, 3.-** Original migration form **FM-T**, number **01** [redacted] issued by the **National Migration Institute** in the name of **Monica Beresford Redman, 4.-** Original migration form FM-T, number **01** [redacted] issued by the **National Migration Institute** in the name of **Bruce Ainsley Beresford Redman. 5.-** Gray color duct tape, National TM brand. The preceding objects were secured by the acting personnel with the purpose of carrying out the corresponding legal procedures; moreover, the abovementioned room had beige curtains, a room telephone, and a balcony which had a crystal sliding door, in which two plastic white chairs and a small white plastic table were located. One of the chairs had on it an extra large orange shirt, GAP brand, white color (sic), and a sport shirt, Nike brand, extra large orange color. The preceding items were secured with the purpose of carrying out the corresponding proceedings of this investigation. Finally, this authority went on board of the aforementioned vehicle, in which the present proceeding was carried out, towards the facilities of the forensic medical service which belongs to this institution and once there a brown-black leather purse with zippers that shows a letter V, made in Italy, recovered and seized by the member of the Red Cross, Jeremy Smith from the same sump, in which the body was also found, that purse contained the following belongings: 1.- a driver's license number [redacted] issued by the State of California, which displays on the bottom left side a photograph, in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, dated July 4, 2008; on its back it can be seen a magnetic band, and a bar code on its bottom left side. 2.- A student ID issued by the Camino College, which displays a photograph on the top left side, in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, number [redacted], a magnetic band is on the top along with instructions apparently written in English. 3.- Four business cards of the restaurant club zabumba made out of plastic paper, which have on their back the text "live reggae music weekly". 4.- Two business cards of the restaurant club zabumba made out of plastic paper, which display the Brazilian flag and the name of **MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN** on the bottom right corner and below the number [redacted] 5.- An Office Max discount card, black color, which has on its front several texts in English, while the back is white-colored and has a magnetic band in the middle. 6.- A "Starbucks" discount card, green color, which displays on its back the number [redacted] at the top edge and a grey magnetic band at the bottom. 7.- A plastic card that has the text "LOEHMANN'S" in yellow color and on the back it has a bar code at the top

right corner. 8.- A "50% off" discount card in black-red color from JC PENNY. 9.- A blue-white color patient identification card from the MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. hospital in the name of **MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN**. 10.- Three cotton swabs surrounded by transparent plastic and display the text "OB". 11.- A 5 cm long lipstick, Whoel Foods brand; 12.- two black AAA batteries, ENERCELL brand; 13.- a red color plastic crayon; 14.- a black pair of headphones, no brand, found inside a plastic bag; 15.- an orange package of chewing gum, TRIDENT brand; 16.- a black glove, HARBINGER brand; 17.- several illegible documents; 18.- ten tablets inside a silver package which has the text "7 Days herbal Slim;" 19.- $15 Mexican pesos; 20.- twenty two foreign currency coins of different value; 21.- a navy blue woman wallet in white-green-beige color which contains a discount receipt of the *pollo loco* restaurant; 22.- a card from the Costco store, black-white color, with the number [redacted] in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN; 23.- a white-blue card with a picture at the top left corner in the name of [redacted] BERESFORD REDMAN; 24.- a white discount card from the OFFICE MAX store; 25.- a brown card with the image of a tiger on its back in the name of MONICA BERESFORD REDMAN, issued by the Los Angeles zoo; 26.- a plastic gift card from BARNES & NOBLE and has on its back a magnetic band and on its front the number [redacted] 27.- a purple-white plastic card from Palos Verdes Art Center; 28.- a discount coupon from the ORGANIC VALLEY store; 29.- a black discount card from Office Max; 30.- three discount coupons from different store, two in gray color and on in white color; 31.- a business card of BRET H. ENGESTON, white color; 32.- a white business card of Children's Medical Group; 33.- white business card of the company Punctual Money Transfer; 34.- a white card in the name of MONICA BERESFORD REDMAN from JC PENNY'S, 35.- a small notebook which displays on its front a photograph of a black-striped tiger; 36.- a metallic ring, apparently made out of gold, golden color; 37.- a red ink pen with golden trim, OUTRIGGERREEF brand. - - -

when the proceeding is ended, in order to order the corresponding inhumation of the corpse, prior having done its legal identification.- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

SEIZURE OF THE OBJECTS AND EVIDENCES REGARDING THE FINDING. The Public Prosecutor attests that within the place of the finding the following objects were found:- -

1.- a driver's license number [redacted] issued by the State of California, which displays on the bottom left side a photograph, in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, dated July 4, 2008; on its back it can be seen a magnetic band, and a bar code on its bottom left side. 2.- A student ID issued by the Camino College, which displays a photograph on the top left side, in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, number [redacted], a magnetic band is on the top along with instructions apparently written in English. 3.- Four business cards of the restaurant club zabumba made out of plastic paper, which in the front has the text "live reggae Fridays" and on their back on black color they have the text "live reggae music weekly". 4.- Two business cards of the restaurant club zabumba made out of plastic paper, which display the Brazilian flag and the name of **MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN** on the bottom right corner and below the number [redacted] 5.- An Office Max discount card, black color, which has on its front several texts in English, while the back is white-colored and has a magnetic band in the middle. 6.- A "Starbucks" discount card, green color, which displays on its back the number [redacted]3 at the top edge and a grey magnetic band at the bottom. 7.- A plastic card that has the text **"LOEHMANN'S"** in yellow color and on the back it has a bar code at the top right corner. 8.- A "50% off" discount card in black-red color from JC PENNY. 9.- A blue-white color patient identification card from the MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. hospital in the name of MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. 10.- Three cotton swabs surrounded by transparent plastic and display the text "OB". 11.- A 5 cm long lipstick, Whoel Foods brand; 12.- two black **AAA** batteries, ENERCELL brand; 13.- a red color plastic crayon; 14.- a black pair of headphones, no brand, found inside a plastic bag; 15.- an orange package of chewing gum, TRIDENT brand; 16.- a black glove, HARBINGER brand; 17.- several illegible documents; 18.- ten tablets inside a silver package which has the text "7 Days herbal Slim;" 19.- $15 Mexican pesos; 20.- twenty two foreign currency coins of different value; 21.- a navy blue woman wallet in white-green-beige color which contains a discount receipt of the *pollo loco* restaurant; 22.- a card from the Costco store, black-white color, with the number [redacted]6 in the name of **MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN**; 23.- a white-blue card with a picture at the top left corner in the name of [redacted] **BERESFORD**

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

REDMAN; 24.- a white discount card from the OFFICE MAX store; 25.- a brown card with the image of a tiger on its back in the name of **MONICA BERESFORD REDMAN**, issued by the Los Angeles zoo; 26.- a plastic gift card from BARNES & NOBLE and has on its back a magnetic band and on its front the number [redacted]; 27.- a purple-white plastic card from Palos Verdes Art Center; 28.- a discount coupon from the ORGANIC VALLEY store; 29.- a black discount card from Office Max; 30.- three discount coupons from different store, two in gray color and on in white color; 31.- a business card of BRET H. ENGESTON, white color; 32.- a white business card of Children's Medical Group; 33.- white business card of the company Punctual Money Transfer; 34.- a white card in the name of **MONICA BERESFORD REDMAN** from JC PENNYS; 35.- a small notebook which displays on its front a photograph of a black-striped tiger; 36.- a metallic ring, apparently made out of gold, golden color. - - -

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

FORMA CG-1A

---------- **WE ATTEST** ----------

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR ON L.M.	**THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR'S CLERK ON L.M.**
(SIGNATURE)	**(SIGNATURE)**
CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS	JOSE ABRAHAM LOPEZ RODRIGUEZ

EXHIBIT 15

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

The Secretary of State of the United States of America
hereby requests all whom it may concern to permit the citizen/national
of the United States named herein to pass without delay or hindrance
and in case of need to give all lawful aid and protection.

Le Secrétaire d'État des États-Unis d'Amérique,
prie par les présentes toutes autorités compétentes de laisser passer le citoyen/ressortissant des États-Unis titulaire du présent passeport, sans délai ni entrave et, en cas de besoin, de lui accorder toute aide et protection légitimes.

El Secretario de Estado de los Estados Unidos de América por el presente solicita a las autoridades competentes permitir el paso del ciudadano/nacional de los Estados Unidos aquí nombrado, sin demora ni dificultades, y en caso de necesidad, prestarle toda la ayuda y protección lícitas.

SIGNATURE OF BEARER/SIGNATURE DU TITULAIRE/FIRMA DEL TITULAR

NOT VALID UNTIL SIGNED

PASSPORT
PASSEPORT
PASAPORTE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Type/Type/Tipo: P
Code/Code/Clave: USA
Passport No./No. du passeport/No. de pasaporte: [redacted]
Surname/Nom/Apellidos: BELMAN
Given Names/Prénoms/Nombres: BRUCE AINSLEY
Nationality/Nationalité/Nacionalidad: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Date of birth/Date de naissance/Fecha de nacimiento: [redacted]1971
Place of birth/Lieu de naissance/Lugar de nacimiento: NEW YORK U.S.A.
Date of issue/Date de délivrance/Fecha de expedición: 21 OCT 2002
Authority/Autorité/Autoridad: DEPARTMENT OF STATE
Expiry date/Date d'expiration/Fecha de caducidad: 20 OCT 2012
Sex/Sexe/Sexo: M
Height/Taille/Estatura: 6'0"
Eyes color/Yeux/Ojos: BLUE
Passport No./No. du passeport/No. de pasaporte: 214973054

P<USA<BELMAN<<BRUCE<AINSLEY<<<<<<<<<<
214973054USA7101206M0209305<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

EXHIBIT 16

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE
IN THE NORTH ZONE
EXPERT SERVICES DIVISION
SECTION: **CRIMINALISTICS**
PRELIMINARY: **AC/ZN/CAN/01/2384/4-2010**
REQUEST OFFICIAL LETTER: **CAN/01/06/1465/2010**
CONTROL OFFICIAL LETTER: **3647/2010**

SUBJECT: **CRIMINALISTICS AND PHOTOGRAPHY EXPERT REPORT**

CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
FOR LOCAL MATTERS
BY HAND

The undersigned expert in Criminalistics designated to take part in this proceeding by the Director of Expert Services in order to support the Public Prosecutor for Local Matters in compliance with the provisions of Articles 28, (II), 45 (I) and 76 of the Organic Law of the Office of the Attorney General for the State, I hereby render the present:

EXPERT OPINION

At 10:40 hours on April 8, 2010, in connection to your request, I legally arrived in the crime scene related to the preliminary investigation cited in the heading above in order to carry out the corresponding study.

LOCATION OF THE CRIME SCENE

The crime scene is located at the 338 km of the Cancun-Chetumal highway, to the south end of the Moon Palace Hotel at an opening located next to the Las Americas ranch, in this city of Cancun, Municipality of Benito Juarez, Quintana Roo.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

At the moment when we arrived and intervened, there were already there members of the Mexican Red Cross under the orders of Jeremy Smith who preserved the place and were in charge of the maneuvers to rescue the body.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

(ILLEGIBLE) CRIME SCENE

It is a green area located inside the facilities of HOTEL MOON PALACE, where there is at sight two registry lids of a wastewater sump, both painted in green color, where the body of a person was found.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

III. ORIENTATION AND POSITION OF THE BODY

The body was found, partially submerged and in a supine position, the head pointing towards the south, the lower limbs were extended and pointing towards the north, not being able to see the position of the upper limbs due to the color and thickness of the liquid.

NAME	**MONICA BURGOS**
GENDER	FEMALE
AGE	42 YEARS OLD
CONSTITUTION	UNKNOWN
HEIGHT	174 CENTIMETERS APPROXIMATELY
FACE SHAPE	NOT VISIBLE
SKIN	NOT VISIBLE
HAIR	NOT VISIBLE
FOREHEAD	NOT VISIBLE
EYEBROWS	NOT VISIBLE
EYES	NOT VISIBLE
NOSE	NOT VISIBLE
MOUTH	NOT VISIBLE
LIPS	NOT VISIBLE
CHIN	NOT VISIBLE
EARS	NOT VISIBLE

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

V. DISTINGUISHING MARKS

- There were no visible distinguishing marks due to the advanced state of putrefaction and saponification.

VI. CLOTHING ITEMS.

- Unclothed

VII. BELONGINGS

- A brown-blue purse which contained diverse documents as well as a blue wallet, which in turn contained diverse identification and business cards as well as coins of different value.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

VIII.- CADEVERIC SIGNS

- Saponification.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

IX.- EXTERNAL BODILY INJURIES

- A chop wound at the right temporal region.

X.- SEARCH FOR TRACES

An on-site inspection is carried out pursuant to the bases and techniques used in Field Criminalistics, in which the following is established:

1. It is important to mention that when the undersigned legally arrives to the crime scene, he can observe that the area had been dully sealed off and cordoned off.
2. He found on the east wall of the top green area red liquid stains, apparently blood that had been spilled; he then took samples thereof for future study in the forensic chemistry laboratory. Likewise, the decorative plants located in the top level jardinières were stepped on.

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS

PROCURADURÍA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

3. The jardinière presents a footprint apparently made by tennis shoes; then, a photograph thereof is taken; likewise, a photograph of the sole of the shoes owned by **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** were taken, without having found any coincidences between them.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

4. Later on, at 11:15 hours on April 8, 2010, **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN**, Ministerial Personnel, Judicial Police Personnel, as well hotel personnel entered the room 7816 of the PELICANO COMPLEX, and had at sight two passports on top of a table, one in the name of **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN** and the other one in the name **MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN**, taking photographs of them and remaining under the custody of the Public Prosecutor.

5. In the terrace area there were several clothes, amongst them t-shirts set on the back of the chairs, standing out an orange t-shirt since it had been recently washed and because a dark stain could be seen on the front left side.

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

6. At the bottom right side of the hot tub had on top of it several clothes, standing out among them a gray shirt that has red liquid stains on the front; the abovementioned clothes were packaged and transferred to the facilities of the Public Prosecutor for their study.

7. During the development of the proceedings, Bruce Ainsley Beresford Redman was present at all times, who suffered from excoriations on the upper part of his right ear, right side of his neck, back of the neck, middle finger of the right hand, middle finger of the left hand, left side of the pectoral region, and middle third of left leg, it can be inferred these bodily injuries were caused during a fight.

FORMA CG-1 A

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

At 13:30 hours on April 8, 2010, in the facilities of the Forensic Medical Service, the forensic medical autopsy to the body of who during lifetime was called **MONICA BURGOS** was carried out, in which the following was observed:

1.- A blunt instrument blow with a chop wound at the right temporal region, without fracture, it also presents liquefaction of the encephalic mass caused due to the advance putrefaction state.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

2.- The lungs and heart are congested, in like manner the trachea is free.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

CHRONOTHANATODIAGNOSTICS TABLE

| | | |

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

XI. CONCLUSIONS

From the criminalistics viewpoint, by using an inductive-deductive method, pursuant to the foregoing and bearing in mind the corresponding considerations, it is determined:

A. The place and position in which the body of **MONICA BURGOS** ***DOES NOT*** correspond to the original position of her death.

B. **MONICA BURGOS'** death occurred approximately between 72 to 96 hours prior to the undersigned's intervention.

C. The cause of the death of **MONICA BURGOS** shall be determined by the Forensic Medical Expert through the results emerging from the lawful autopsy.

This expert opinion is issued on April 8, 2010, in the city of Cancun, Municipality of Benito Juarez, Quintana Roo.

RESPECTFULLY
(signed)

LUIS MARTIN ROMERO PADILLA
EXPERT IN CRIMINALISTICS

[SEAL READING: (Coat of Arms of the United Mexican States) OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL IN THE NORTH ZONE, DIVISION OF EXPERT SERVICES IN THE NORTH ZONE, CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO]

EXHIBIT 17

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

[A seal that bears the Mexican Coat of Arms and reads: United Mexican States, JUSTICE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE OF THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO.

[An illegible seal that reads: JUSTICE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE OF THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO, PGJ.

JUSTICE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE FOR THE STATE
OFFICE OF THE ASSITANT GENERAL ATTORNEY
NORTHERN ZONE
DIVISION EXPERT SERVICES
SECTION FORENSIC MEDICINE
FILE NUMBER AP/AN/CAN/01/06/2384/04-2010
OFFICIAL LETTER No: CAN/01/06/1464/2010
EXPERT IN FORENSIC MEDICINE OFFICIAL LETTER No. 3594/2010.

CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS **MATTER: AUTOPSY REQUIRED BY LAW**

AGENT OF THE INVESTIGATIVE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.

BY HAND,

THE UNDERSIGNED EXPERT IN FORENSIC MEDICINE OF THE JUSTICE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE OF THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO, ASSIGNED TO THE DIVISION OF EXPERT SERVICES OF THE OFFICE OF THE ASSITANT GENERAL ATTORNEY NORTHERN ZONE, IN THE CITY OF CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO, AT 14:15 HOURS OF THURSDAY, APRIL 08, 2010, I APPEARED IN THE MORGUE OF THE FORENSIC MEDICAL SERVICES, LOCATED IN SUPERMANZANA 44, BLOCK 1, LOT OF LAND 12, PROLONGACION PASEO KUKULCAN WITHOUT NUMBER, IN ORTER TO PRACTICE THE AUTOPSY REQUIRED BY LAW TO THE CORPSE OF THE FEMALE PERSON NAMED MONICA BURGOS, WHICH WAS REMOVED FROM THE WATER SUMP LOCATED AT THE FACILITIES OF THE HOTEL MOON PALACE, LOCATED OVER THE ROAD OF THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY CANCUN-PUERTO MORELOS, IN THIS CITY OF CANCUN AND SENT TO THE AFOREMENTIONED FORENSIC MEDICAL SERVICES TO PRACTICE THE REQUESTED STUDY WITH THE AFOREMENTIONED OFFICIAL LETTER AT THE TOP OF THIS DOCUMENT ISSUED BY THE INVESTIGATIVE AGENT IN HOMICIDES OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

THE RESULT WAS THE FOLLOWING:

ANTHROPOMETRICAL AND THANATOLOGICAL MEASURES.

THE CORPSE CORRESPONDED TO A FEMALE PERSON, APPROXIMATELY 40 YEARS OLD, IN THE MORGUE WAS FOUND ON A METALLIC TABLE, THE BODY LYING IN A SUPINE POSITION WITH THE THORAX AND PELVIS ORGANS SET ALONG THE BODY, THE HEAD POINTING NORTH, WHOSE MEASURES WERE 174 CENTIMETERS LONG, 114 CENTIMETERS AROUND THE THORAX, 106 CENTIMETERS AROUND THE ABDOMEN; HEAVY BUILD, WEIGHTING APPROXIMATELY 115 KILOGRAMS, WHITE COMPLEXION; RED, STRAIGHT, THIN, SHORT, AND ABUNDANT HAIR; BROAD FOREHEAD, DOES NOT HAVE EYEBROWS DUE TO PUTREFACTION, LARGE LIGHT-GREEN EYES, SMALL STRAIGHT NOSE, LARGE MOUTH, THIN LIPS, FULL SET OF TEETH, A SLIGHTLY PROTRUDING OVAL CHIN, AND SHORT, THICK NECK.

SIGNS OF NON-RECENT, REAL DEATH:

THE BODY WAS FOUND SHOWING CONGESTED CONJUNCTIVA, CYANOSED NAILS, FINGERS, AND TOES, OPAQUE CORNEAS, BILATERAL PUPIL MYDRIASIS, CLOUDING IN THE CORNEA, WELL DEVELOPED LIVOR SIGNS FORMED ON THE ANTERIOR WALL OF THE THORAX, LINGUAL PROTRACTION ON A GENERALIZED FLACCID STAGE IN A DESCENDING POSITION, IRREVERSIBLE LACK OF VITAL SIGNS, AND TEMPERATURE LOWER THAN THAT OF THE ONE CARRYING OUT THIS AUTOPSY.

[An illegible seal that reads: Quintana Roo, Always Forwards, Avenue Adolfo Lopez Mateos, Col. Italia, Zip Code 77030, Telephone (983) 83 50050 ext. 1150, www.pgje.qroo.gob.mx]

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

IN REGARDS TO THE EXTERNAL EXAMINATION

THE BODY IS METICULOUSLY EXAMINED FROM HEAD TO FEET, AND IT SHOWS THE FOLLOWING POST-MORTEM SIGNS:

WELL-DEVELOPED CYANOSIS ON THE FACE, LIPS, SPREADING TO THE NECK AS WELL AS TO THE ANTERIOR REGION OF THE THORAX; THE ORAL CAVITY WITH LINGUAL PROTRACTION; PUTRID LIQUID DRIPPING FROM THE NOSTRILS; PERMEABLE EAR CHANNELS WITH SIGNIFICANT GENERALIZED SUBCUTANEOUS CELLULAR EMPHYSEMA, GENERALIZED AFTER-DEATH VENOMOUS NET; GENERALIZED EPIDERMIS DETACHMENT, BILATERAL GREEN ABDOMINAL STAIN, ACTUAL SIGNS OF PUTREFACTION. MOREOVER, THE BODY SHOWS THE FOLLOWING EXTERNAL WOUNDS.

A CHOP WOUND AT THE RIGHT TEMPORAL-PARIETAL REGION, MEASURING 8 X 2 CENTIMETERS LOCATED AT 6.5 CM TO THE RIGHT OF THE MIDDLE LINE OF THE SKULL, DAMAGING SUCH REGION'S SCALP, WOUND RELATED TO THE TIME AND DATE OF HER DECEASE.

AFTER THE EXAMINATION OF THE EXTERNAL GENITALIA, LABIA MAJORA, LABIA MINORA AND THE VAGINAL CAVITY, THESE ORGANS DID NOT SHOW RECENT WOUNDS WITH SIGNIFICANT SUBCUTANEOUS CELLULAR EMPHYSEMA.

THE MUCUS AND THE RECTUM FOLDS DID NOT SHOW ANY RECENT WOUNDS, AND WITH SUBCUTANEOUS CELLULAR EMPHYSEMA.

ONCE THE THREE LARGE CAVITIES OF THE BODY AND THE NECK WERE OPENED THE FOLLOWING WAS OBSERVED:

IN REGARDS TO THE NORMOCHEPALIC CRANIUM, A CUT WAS MADE THAT GOES FROM THE MASTOID APOPHYSIS, LIFTING THE FRONTAL OR ANTERIOR REGION OF THE SCALP AND PULLING IT OVER THE FACE; THE OCCIPITAL OR POSTERIOR REGION IS LIFTED AND PULLED TOWARDS THE BACK OF THE NECK, RENDERING IN THIS WAY THE SKULL UNCOVERED. THE SKULL WAS OBSERVED SHOWING BLOOD INFILTRATION INTO THE SCALP AND INTO THE PERICRANIAL REGION UNDERNEATH THE AFOREMENTIONED WOUND; HOWEVER, THERE WERE NO OSSEOUS CRANIAL INJURIES. ONCE THIS CAVITY WAS OPENED, THE ENCEPHALON AND CEREBELLUM WERE OBSERVED IN A

LIQUEFACTION STATE, SHOWING SIGNS OF HEMORRHAGE; ONCE THIS CAVITY WAS CLEANSED, THERE WERE NO VISIBLE OSSEOUS FRACTURE AT THE BASE OF THE CRANIUM.

THE NECK IS NORMAL, WHICH HAD A CYLINDRICAL SHAPE AND WHERE A CUT IS MADE, WHICH STARTS AT THE SYMPHYSIS OF THE CHIN, PASSES THROUGH THE ANTERIOR MEDIUM LINE OF THE THORAX, ABDOMEN, AND ENDS AT THE SYMPHYSIS OF THE PUBIS. A CUT WAS MADE AT THE TRACHEA, REVEALING THE NECK MUSCLES, WHICH SHOWED NO BLOOD INFILTRATION; AFTER OPENING THE TRACHEA, ITS MUCUS COULD BE SEEN UNCONGESTED; AFTER OPENING THE ESOPHAGUS, ITS MUCUS COULD BE SEEN CONGESTED; FREE IN ITS LUMEN,THE CERVICAL PORTION OF THE SPINAL CORD WAS EXAMINED, PRESENTING NO FRACTURE OR DISLOCATION.

THE THORAX WAS UNREMARKABLE IN SHAPE AND SIZE; AT THE SAME CUT LINE DESCRIBED ABOVE ANOTHER CUT IS MADE TO THE THORAX MUSCLES, THE PECTORAL AND DORSAL MUSCLES DO NOT SHOW ANY SIGNS OF INJURIES; THE SAME THING APPLIES TO THE SPINAL COLUMN AS WELL AS TO THE COSTAL MARGIN. AFTER OPENING THIS CAVITY, ITS INTERIOR CONTAINED THE LUNGS WHICH WERE COMPLETELY CONGESTED; WHEN MAKING A BILATERAL PARENCHYMA CUT, LIQUID BLACK BLOOD WAS OBSERVED COMING OUT OF THEM. THE HEART LOOKED LARGE, TOTALLY CYANOTIC AND WAS COVERED BY A THIN LAYER OF FATTY TISSUE, DILATED CORONARY VESSELS, THE CARDIAC MUSCLE WAS FLACCID AND HAD MULTIPLE SUBPERICARDIAL HEMORRHAGIC AREAS SPREAD ON THE SURFACE OF SUCH ORGAN; ONCE THIS ORGAN WAS OPENED, THE AURICLE AND VENTRICLE CAVITIES SHOWED NO INJURIES BUT PRESENTED BLACK LIQUID BLOOD, THE VALVES WERE PERMEABLE.

THE ABDOMEN SEEMED NORMAL; FOLLOWING THE CUT LINE MENTIONED ABOVE, THAT CAVITY IS OPENED AT THE ABDOMEN, WHICH CONTAINS THE LIVER, SPECIFICALLY CONGESTED AND IN A PUTRID STATE; THE KIDNEYS, SPLEEN, AND PANCREAS ARE ALSO CONGESTED AND IN A STATE OF PUTREFACTION; THE PRECEDING ORGANS ARE UNREMARKABLE IN TERMS OF SHAPE AND SIZE; NO DATA NOR ANATOMOMACROSCOPY EXIST SHOWING THAT A PATHOLOGY WAS PRESENT IN SUCH ORGANS. THE STOMACH WAS EMPTY AND ITS MUCUS CONGESTED, THERE WAS NO PUTRID ODOR DUE TO PUTREFACTION; EMPTY BLADDER; GRAVID UTERUS IN A

STATE OF PUTREFACTION. THE ANSAE WERE CONGESTED AS WELL AS THE COLON, WHICH CONTAINED NORMAL FECAL MATTER, THE PERITONEUM AND OMENTA SHOWED NO INJURIES AND WERE IN STATE OF PUTREFACTION.

CONCLUSIONS

CHRONO-TANATOLOGICAL DIAGNOSE

MONICA BURGOS DIED AS A CONSEQUENCE OF:

A) ASPHYXIA
B) THROUGH SUFFOCATION.

THE LINGUAL PROTRACTION, THE TOTAL CONGESTION OF THE LUNGS, AND THE HEMORRHAGIC CYANOSIS OF THE HEART ARE ALL CLEAR SIGNS OF ASPHYXIA.

THE EVENT PROBABLY TOOK PLACE ON APRIL 5, 2010, AT APPROXIMATELY 23:40 HOURS AT THE WATER SUMP LOCATED IN THE FACILITY OF THE MOON PALACE HOTEL, WHICH IS LOCATED ON THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY CANCUN-PUERTO MORELOS IN THIS CITY OF CANCUN, MUNICIPALITY OF BENITO JUAREZ, QUINTANA ROO.

SINCERELY
[an illegible signature]
DR. ROBERTO RIOS PONCE
EXPERT IN FORENSIC MEDICINE
LICENSE TO PRACTICE 862767

EXHIBIT 18

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

[Seal Reading: (Coat of Arms of the United Mexican States) OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO]

[Letterhead: Logo Office of the Attorney General for the State Quintana Roo/PGJ]

Office of the Attorney General for the State North Zone

DIVISION: EXPERT SERVICES

SECTION: FORENSIC CHEMISTRY

OFFICIAL LETTER NUMBER: 1467-2010

PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION: AP-ZN-CAN-01-06-2384-04-2010

FOLIO: 3649
WITH DETAINEE

CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS,
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR LOCAL MATTERS
BY HAND

The undersigned Expert in Chemistry, assigned by the Director of Expert Services to intervene in the present proceeding providing assistance to the public prosecutor for local matters, in compliance with the provisions established by articles 16 and 21 of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States; articles 7, 24 and 29 of the political constitution of the State of Quintana Roo; articles 5 "a", 6, 7, 26 and 28 (II), 45 (I), 76 and 77 of the Organic Law of the Office of the Attorney General for the State of Quintana Roo; and with the purpose of establishing the facts under investigation in preliminary investigation number : **AP-ZN-CAN-01-06-2384-04-2010.**

On April 8, 2010, we carry on to fulfill the present expert opinion request, for which we issued the following:

REPORT

REQUEST:

a).- Practice a toxicological analysis.
b) Practice a blood alcohol level test.
c) Practice an HIV test.

I hereby inform you that it was not possible for us to fulfill the request since the body under examination in this expert opinion investigation was in putrefaction state and, under these circumstances the analytes to be determined decompose due to the hemolysis and bacterial degradation.

The present expert opinion is rendered in the City of Cancun, Quintana Roo **on April 8, 2010.**

RESPECTFULLY
[an illegible signature] [Illegible Seals]
Joaquin Luciano Rodriguez Maza
CED: 517591
Expert in Chemistry

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

[Seal Reading: (Coat of Arms of the United Mexican States) OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO]

[Letterhead: Logo Office of the Attorney General for the State Quintana Roo/PGJ]

FOLIO: 3649
WITH DETAINEE

CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS,
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR FOR LOCAL MATTERS
BY HAND

The undersigned Expert in Chemistry, assigned by the Director of Expert Services to intervene in the present proceeding providing assistance to the public prosecutor for local matters, in compliance with the provisions established by articles 16 and 21 of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States; articles 7, 24 and 29 of the political constitution of the free and sovereign State of Quintana Roo; articles 16, 71, 84, 119, 148, 151 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in force in the State Quintana Roo; articles 5 "a", 6, 7, 26 and 28 (II), 45 (I), 76 and 77 of the Organic Law of the Office of the Attorney General for the State of Quintana Roo; and with the purpose of clarifying the facts under investigation in preliminary investigation number : **AP-ZN-CAN-01-06-2384-04-2010.**

On April 8, 2010, we carry on to practice a hematic tracing in 1.- a gray duct tape, used in plumbing, BERRY, PLASTICOS CORPORATION brand, 2.- An orange sport T-shirt, NIKE brand, size XI, 3.- a white T-shirt, GAP brand, size XL, 4.- four swabs contained in a fulfill the present expert opinion request, for which we issued the following:

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

EXPERT OPINION

REQUEST:

a) Practice a hematic tracing.

FIGURE 1. WHITE T-SHIRT FRONTAL IMAGE

FIGURE 2. WHITE T-SHIRT BACKIMAGE

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

FIGURE # 3. ORANGE T-SHIRT FRONTAL IMAGE

FIGURE # 4. ORANGE T-SHIRT BACK IMAGE

FIGURE # 5. TAPE SIDE IMAGE

FIGURE # 6. TAPE FRONTAL IMAGE

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

FIGURE # 6. IMAGE OF SWABS CONTAINED IN THE TUBES.

METHODOLOGY:
A blood identification analysis was carried out through a reactive strip in compliance with the standards established for the Hemastix test.

SWAB RESULTS: POSITIVE TO BLOOD.

CONCLUSION: It is concluded that the red stains in the swabs to be identified, they are blood since the identification of the present of traces of hemoglobin (globular protein structurally formed by pirrolic rings with an iron central heteroatom which is the main blood pigment, which evidently indicates the presence of blood; therefore, I inform that the aforementioned bemolized blood stains are insufficient to define the blood type, by the method of absortion-elusion method, and that in this moment the chemical area of this Office of the Attorney General does not have the technology in molecular biology to carry out a specialized test on genetics with the purpose of establishing a DNA analysis, if the aforementioned stains identified in the swabs come from the deceased. To conclude, I refer that the textiles and duct tape presented in photograph in this document do not have traces of hematic content and/or hair.

NOTE: I hereby inform that all the aforementioned objects are sent back enclosed to this document, as well as the bemolized blood sample obtained from the body involved in the present preliminary investigation.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

This expert opinion is rendered in the city of Cancun, Quintana Roo on April 8, 2010.

RESPECTFULLY
[an illegible signature]
Joaquin Luciano Rodriguez Maza
CED: 517591
Expert in Chemistry

[sealed]

EXHIBIT 19

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

[Seal Reading: (Coat of Arms of the United Mexican States) Office of the Attorney General for the State of Quintana Roo]

INITIATING UNIT: CENTRAL AGENCY
UNIT: DESK VI CRIMES AGAINST LIFE
FILE: **AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010**

DECISION FOR MINISTERIAL ATTESTATION CRIME SCENE AND/OR PLACE OF THE FINDINGS: In the city of Cancun, municipality of Benito Juarez, Quintana Roo, at 21 (twenty-one) hours with 32 (thirty-two) minutes on April 9, 2010 (two thousand and ten), the undersigned CARLOS ARMANDO PACHECO CELIS, Public Prosecutor for Local Matters, assisted by the Clerk with whom he legally acts, signs and attests, duly arrived in the PREMISES OF THE HOTEL NAMED RESORT MOON PALACE, KILOMETER 36.5 ROAD CANCUN-TULUM, CANCUN, QUINTANA ROO; -

HAVING REVIEWED.- For this investigation's due prosecution, it is our duty to carry out a Ministerial inspection proceeding, for the circumstances perceived through the senses are relevant for the investigation of the facts. Therefore, with legal grounds in the provisions established by articles 16, 21 of the Political Constitution of the Unites Mexican States, articles 7, 24 and 29 of the Political Constitution of the Free and Sovereign State of Quintana Roo, article 16 (II), 71, 84, 129, 131 and 134 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in force in the State; articles 5 ("a") and 26 of the Organic Law of the Office of the Attorney General for the State; therefore it is pertinent to decide as it is - **DECIDED** -

SOLE: Carry out the Inspection proceeding making use accordingly drawings, topographic plans, ordinary or metric photographs, moldings or any other means to reproduce the items, registering in the record which of them were used and how they were used as well as the purpose of its usage. - **BE IT FULFILLED** -

MINISTERIAL ATTESTATION.- Thereafter, the acting personnel, with the collaboration of the Fedral Public Prosecutor, Guadalupe Cano Zurita, the Expert in Criminalistics Maribel Martinez y Vallez, the Expert in photograph Gonzalo Mendiola, the Expert in Chemistry, Jorge Barragan of the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic, as well as the expert in Criminalistics and photograph Luis Romero Padilla, and the expert in Chemistry Joaquin Luciano Rodriguez Maza as well as the Director of Expert Services, North Zone, Luis Fernando Ventura Nah and the coordinator of the Public Prosecutor for Local Matters, we carry on to legally arrive in **room 7816, building Tucanes Segundo piso of the Hotel named Resort Moon Palace, place which is cordoned off and under the custody of Jose Gabriel Novelo Santos and Ruben Alfredo Uxul Santos, officers of the Preventive Police of the Muncipality of Benito Juarez; therefore, at twenty-one hours with thirty-two minutes on April 9, 2010, it is ordered the opening of room 7816 in which it can be observed a brown wooden door, with a security porthole on the upper center part as well as an electronic security lock as well as, at the left side of the door, attached to the wall number "7816" (seven thousand sixteen) can be seen carved on some material similar to wood**

and framed on a gray oval tile, apparently granite rock and when entering to the aforementioned room, which approximately measures fifteen meters long by twelve wide, one can observe at the bottom and in front a "Jacuzzi" type tub apparently made of granite rock with a crystal "display case" around it, a "king size" type bed on the right side of the room, on which there are bed linen and diverse clothing items for man and woman, a dark gray suitcase and a blue towel; in front of the bed, on the left side of the room, there is a mirror and dresser with several beauty objects. In addition, at the start of the room, to the right, there is a white cylindrical pillar measuring approximately two meters tall by sixty centimeters wide, which creates a hallway which goes from north to south, there is, in first place, on its right side, a sink and a mirror, with several items for personal care, along the hallway, in front of it, there is a bathroom measuring four meters wide by two meters long, inside there is furniture according to the room; once the recognition of the place has finished, it is ordered to suspend its artificial illumination, so as to leave almost a null visibility of the room, initiating in this way the chemiluminescence test with a substance known as "luminal". It is important to mention that said substance was applied to different parts of the room and specifically referring to the parts of the premises. The substance luminal was applied over the cement pillar which reacted positively to the "iron ion", that is to say, it could be observed the liquid reacted to the contact with small particles of iron contained in human hemoglobin; therefore, it was determined to apply it to three different parts of the aforementioned pillar, the first at an approximate distance of a meter fifty centimeters (1.50 meters) over the pillar, the second at approximately a meter with sixty-five centimeters over the pillar and the third at approximately a meter and seventy centimeters over the pillar. In like manner, it was applied over the floor at approximately ten centimeters from the pillar; later the same application of the chemiluminescence test was carried out which was positive to "iron ion" with numbers sixteen, seventeen and eighteen on a green metallic banister which is in front of the room involved in this investigation and which goes along the hall and the stairs. In like manner, the substance "luminol" was applied to the entrance of the room at an approximate distance of thirty centimeters from the room's door. Immediately after, it is ordered to conclude the chemiluminescence tests in that area so to transport ourselves to the cistern area where the body of who during lifetime was named MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, of American nationality, where the substance "luminol" was applied had a positive result to "iron ion". In like manner, we transported ourselves to the jardinière area where blood traces were taken without locating evidence since the area was altered; therefore, there were no other places

PROCURACURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

to carry out more tests to exhaust the substance named "luminol", at 01:54 minutes, this proceeding is concluded. With the foregoing, the present proceeding is concluded, the undersigned Public Prosecutor for Local Matters and the Clerk with who he legally acts sign at the margin and bottom of this document. - - -

EXHIBIT 20

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

STATE COORDINATION OF EXPERT SERVICES
STATE OFFICE OF QUINTANA ROO
DEPARTMENT OF FORENSIC CHEMISTRY

FOLIO.:1169
E.I.:13S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185
A.C./PGR/QROO/CAN/161/2010-V and
AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

MATTER: ISSUANCE OF EXPERT OPINION ON FORENSIC CHEMISTRY
2010, Year of the Nation, Bicentennial of the Beginning of the Independence and Centennial of the Beginning of the Revolution

Quintana Roo on May 12, 2010

GUADALUPE CANO ZURITA
Federal Public Prosecutor
Assigned to the Deputy State Office of Criminal Proceedings "A"
Head of Desk V.
BY HAND

The undersigned official expert on Chemistry working for this Office of the Attorney General assigned to the State Office in Cancun Quintana Roo has been assigned to conduct this report regarding your request cited above in official letter 1352/2010 based on the COOPERATION AGREEMENT held amongst the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic, the Office of the Attorney General for Military Justice, the Office of the Attorney General of the Federal District and the 31 Offices of the Attorney General for the States of the Nation published in the Federal Official Gazette on Thursday May 17, 2001 before you I hereby present the following:

EXPERT OPINION

Problem posed:

I was requested to conduct a hematic trace inside and outside room 7816 of the Hotel Moon Palace, located in the Hotels Area, Km. 36.5 of the highway Cancun Quintana Roo – Mexico in order to find a potential presence of blood.

To carry out the foregoing, at 9:00 P.M. on April 9, 2010 I legally appeared in the facilities of the Hotel Moon Palace located in the Hotels Area, Km. 36.5 of the highway **Cancun Quintana Roo – Mexico** accompanied by public prosecutors for local matters assigned to the Special Agency for the Attention of

the Offenses of Homicides dependant on the Office of the State Attorney General of Cancun, Quintana Roo and the Federal Public Prosecutor of the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic assigned to the State Office in Cancun, Quintana Roo. We entered the premises of the aforementioned place which was divided into four areas (appendix 2 and appendix 3) for the search and collection of possible items of evidence, which are mentioned as follows:

- **Area 1,** the interior of the bathroom,
- **Area 2,** the base of the column of the wash basin, the washbasin and the adjacent area,
- **Area 3,** the beds and the middle of the room and
- **Area 4,** the outer part of the room

TECHNIQUES USED

Macroscopic inspection
Ultraviolet light tracing
Reaction with Luminol
Kastle-Mayer Reaction
Enzymatic Immunoassay in Layer

RESULTS

AREA 1

On applying Luminol reagent on the floor and walls of the inner area of the bathroom one could observe chemiluminescence on the floor around the drainer, indicating a group of stains which were insufficient to confirm the presence of blood; (appendix 1, page 4)

AREA 2

On applying Luminol reagent on the column of the washbasin, the washbasin and adjacent area there was chemiluminescence indicating the presence of the following stains:

1. A group punctiform stains located in the external surface of the inferior part of the column, 21.5 cm from the floor of the room which were marked as **item 1** (*APPENDIX 1*, page 5).

2. A group of punctiform stains located on the external surface of the inferior part of the column 3 cm from the floor which were marked as **item 2** (*APPENDIX 1*, pages 5 and 6).
3. A group punctiform stains located in the external surface of the inferior part of the column, 10 cm from the floor which were marked as **sample 3** (*APPENDIX 1*, page 6).
4. A group punctiform stains located in the external surface of the inferior part of the column, 17 cm from the floor which were marked as **sample 4** (*APPENDIX 1*, page 7).
5. A group punctiform stains located in the external surface of the superior part of the column, 147 cm from the floor which were marked as **sample 5** (*APPENDIX 1*, page 7).
6. A group punctiform stains located in the external surface of the column, 132 cm from the floor which were marked as **sample 6** (*APPENDIX 1*, page 8).
7. A group punctiform stains located in the external surface of the column, 40 cm from the floor located on the right side of the washbasin, which were marked as **sample 7** (*APPENDIX 1*, page 8 and 9).

- A group of stains located on the surface of the washbasin which is at the entrance of the bathroom (*APPENDIX 1*, page 12).

Reagent Kastle-Mayer was applied on the samples marked 1 to 7 in order to identify blood, and in all the cases it turned **POSITIVE.**

AREA 3

On carrying a macroscopic inspection and using ultraviolet light the following was observed:

8. Irregular reddish stain located on the surface of a white pillow placed in the superior right part of the bed located in the right side of the room which was marked as **item 8** (*APPENDIX 1*, pages 13 and 14).
9. Hair located on the surface of a white pillow placed on the superior left of the bed located on the right of the room and which was marked as **sample 9** (*APPENDIX 1*, pages 14 and 15).
10. Hair located on the surface of a white pillow placed on the superior left of the bed located on the right of the room and which was marked as **sample 10** (*APPENDIX 1*, pages 14 and 15).
11. Irregular stain located on the corner of a brown piece of wooden furniture located 39 cm from the floor and 19 cm from the wall, marked as **sample 11** (*APPENDIX 1*, pages 14 and 15).

12. Irregular stain located on the corner of a brown piece of wooden furniture located 39 cm from the floor and 19 cm from the wall, marked as **sample 11** (*APPENDIX 1*, pages 14 and 15).
13. Irregular stain located on the wall on the left side of the room located 197 cm from the floor which was marked as **sample 13** (*APPENDIX 1*, pages 19 and 20).
14. Irregular stain located on the surface of the soles of a brown pair of sandals brand "**crocs**" placed by the shower of the room, marked as **sample 14** (*APPENDIX 1*, pages 21, 22, 23 and 24).
15. A piece of fabric located on the surface of a black piece of clothing (Boxer) placed on the bed on the left side of the room, marked as **sample 15** (*APPENDIX 1*, pages 24 and 25).

Reagent Kastle-Mayer for blood identification was applied on the group of stains marked as 11, 12, 13 and 14 returning a **NEGATIVE** result in all cases.

AREA 4

On conducting macroscopic inspection the following was observed:

16. irregular reddish stain located in the upper of a green handrail 1.41 m from the floor and 1.97 m from the access door of the room of the Moon Palace Hotel, marked as **sample 16** (*APPENDIX 1*, page 27).
17. irregular reddish stain located in the upper of a green handrail 1.41 m from the floor and 2.80 m from the room of the Moon Palace Hotel, marked as **sample 17** (*APPENDIX 1*, page 28).
18. irregular reddish stain located in the upper of a green handrail 1.41 m from the floor and 3.79 m from the room of the Moon Palace Hotel, marked as **sample 18** (*APPENDIX 1*, page 29 and 30).

On applying Kastle-Mayer reagent for blood identification to the **stains** marked as **16, 18 and 19 (Area 4)** the results were **POSITIVE.**

Using the technique known as a one-step Enzymatic Immunoassay with kits ABA card Hema Trace on the samples marked as **16, 17** and **18** extracted in buffer solution they resulted **POSITIVE** for confirmation of human blood.

On applying Luminol in the outer part of the room there was chemiluminescence in an approximate diameter of 30 cm on the floor of the access to the room (by the side of the frame of the door) which was insufficient for the application of the Kastle-Mayer reagent (*APPENDIX 1*, pages 31 and 32).

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Based on the foregoing, I present the following:

CONCLUSIONS

FIRST.- On the floor and around the strainer there were results presumptively positive for the identification of blood, however, confirmation was not possible since the samples were insufficient. **Area 1.**

SECOND.- In the samples marked as **1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7** previously described and the reason of this analysis the results were **POSITIVE for** blood identification. **Area 2.**

THIRD.- The samples marked as **16, 17 and 18** in **Area 4,** previously described and also motive for this analysis the results were positive for the presence of human blood.

FOURTH.- On the floor of the outer part of the room (by the side of the door frame) **Area 4** there were results presumptively positive for the identification of blood.

Notes:

1. There is an attachment of the photographs for tracing representative purposes, marked as *APPENDIX 1.*
2. There is an attachment of a plain sketch of the place of investigation for representative purposes marked as APPENDIX 2 consisting of one (1) page.
3. There is an attachment of a plain sketch of the place of investigation for representative purposes marked as APPENDIX 3 consisting of one (1) page.
4. The sample marked with number **8 of Area 3,** was not analyzed with chemical reagents so as not to exhaust it; in consequence, it was packed in order to be sent to the Genetics Department.
5. The hairs marked as samples 9 and 10, as well as the piece of fabric marked as sample 15 identified in area 3 are delivered into the custody of the Federal Public Prosecutor, Guadalupe Cano Zurita for further comparison.
6. The samples marked as 16, 17, and 18 of Area 4 were collected in FTA paper in order to be sent to the Genetics Department for the corresponding analysis.
7. The presence of **Chemiluminescence** due to Luminol reagent was attested by the Public Prosecutor for Local Matters assigned to the Special Agency for the attention of homicides dependant on the Office of the State Attorney General in Cancun, Quintana Roo and the Federal Public Prosecutor assigned to the State Office in Cancun, Quintana Roo.

RESPECTFULLY
[Signed]
JORGE BARRAGAN VARGAS
EXPERT ON CHEMISTRY

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUÍMICA FORENSE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

ANEXO I

360

361

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA FORENSE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

DOS MEXICANOS
IA GENERAL DE
PUBLICA
GENERAL DE
CIONES Y
JURIDICA

PGR

Direccion General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales.

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA [illegible]

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

PGR

Direccion General de Coordinacion de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA FORENSE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

GENERAL
LICA
ERAL DE
IES Y
IRIDICA

364

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA FORENSE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

ENERA
ICA
RAL D
ES Y
RIDICA

365
241

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

. GENERAL
BLICA
IERAL DE
IES Y
URIDICA

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA FORE

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

366 240

3625

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA FORENSE

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

:NERAL
ICA
IAL DE
S Y
JDICA

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUÍMICA FORENSE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

36835

I GENI
IBLICA
ERAL DE
NES Y
IRIDICA

252

369

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN DRENSE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

[redacted]

[redacted]

253

370

PGR

PROCURADURÍA GENERAL DE LA REPÚBLICA

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA FORENSE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

[redacted]

PGR
PROCURADURÍA GENERAL DE LA REPÚBLICA
Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.
COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.
ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA FORENSE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

371 254

RIA GENERAL
PUBLICA
GENERAL DE
CIONES Y
JURIDICA

372 255

PGR

Direccion General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA FORENSE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

[redacted]

UNIDO
IA GENER
UBLICA
ENER
ONES Y
URIDICA

373 256

PGR

Direccion General de Coordinacion de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN [illegible]

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN [illegible]

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

DOS MEXICANOS
IA GENE
PUBLICA
ENERAL DE
IONES Y
JURIDICA

PGR

Direccion General de Coordinacion de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN [illegible]

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010

37254

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUÍMICA FORENSE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

377 26

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

S UNIDOS

JRIA GE
EPUBL
GENER
CIONES Y
A JURID

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN [illegible] FORENSE

Folio 1169

E.I. 13 S 2 21/QROO-185-10/185

AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V

AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010

261

278

I GENE
BLICA
IERAL
NES Y
IRIDIC

262
379

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

IDOS MEXICANOS
GENERA
BLICA
IERAL DE
NES Y
JRIDICA

263

380

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA FORENSE.

Folio : 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

ENERA
ICA
RAL DE
S Y
IDICA

381 264

PGR

Direccion General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP:/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

GENERAL
LICA
RAL DE
ES Y
RIDICA

382265

PGR

Dirección General de Coórdinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA [illegible] SE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA FORENSE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

383266

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA FORENSE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

IA GEN
PUBLIC
ENERA
IONES Y
JURIDICA

PGR

Direccion General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIM[illegible] SE.

Folio .: 1169
Ej.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

UNIDOS MEX
URIA GE
REPUBL
I GENERA
DICIONES
CIA JURID

262
385

PGR

Direccion General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA FORENSE.

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP:/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

386

PGR

Direccion General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN

Folio .: 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

UNIDOS MEX
RIA GENE
PUBLIC
ENERAL
IONES Y
JURIDIC

387272

388 271

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA F[illegible].

Folio .: 1169.
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

IDOS MEXICANOS

A GENERAL
UBLICA
NERAL DE
ONES Y
JURIDICA

PGR

Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUIMICA FORENSE.

Folio : 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

[redacted]

GENERAL
JURIDICA
GENERAL DE
ES Y
RIDICA

273

390

PGR

Direccion General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales

DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.

ESPECIALIDAD EN QUI... CA FORE E.

Folio : 1169
E.I.: 13.S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185.
AC/PGR/QROO/161/2010-V
AP./ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

[redacted]

MEXICANOS

:NERAL
:CA
IAL DE
S Y
IDICA

COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.
DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.
ESPECIALIDAD DE QUÍMICA FORENSE.

FOLIO . : 1169
E.I. : 13S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185
A.C./PGR/QROO/CAN/161/2010-V y
AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.

391

ANEXO 2.

PGR PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA Dirección General de Coordinación de Servicios Periciales	**COORDINACIÓN ESTATAL DE SERVICIOS PERICIALES.** **DELEGACIÓN ESTATAL EN QUINTANA ROO.** **ESPECIALIDAD DE QUÍMICA FORENSE.** **FOLIO . : 1169** **E.I. : 13S.2.21/QROO-185-10/185** **A.C./PGR/QROO/CAN/161/2010-V y** **AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010.**

392

ANEXO 3.

EXHIBIT 21

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

GENERAL DIVISION FOR THE COORDINATION OF EXPERT SERVICES.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTION OF LABORATORIES
DEPARTMENT OF FORENSIC GENETICS
FOLIO No. 36232 AND 37564
FILE A. C. PGR/QROO/CAN/161/2010-V
AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010
MATTER: EXPERT OPINION

Mexico, Federal District, on April 27, 2010.

GUADALUPE CANO ZURITA
Agent of the Federal Public Prosecutor
State Delegation in Quintana Roo
By hand

The undersigned, expert in Forensic Genetics, in response to the nomination made by the Executive Director of Laboratories and to the official letter number 1357/2010 dated on day 10 of the present month and year, in relation to the folio number and preliminary investigation described in the upper right corner of the present document. I address you to render the following:

EXPERT OPINION

Presentation of the problem.

"... To appoint and expert in GENETICS to issue expert opinion in the matter, in order to compare and determine whether the samples sent to this laboratory have a genetic pattern corresponding to one same/single person..."

On April 11 of the present year, an unmarked brown paper bag containing eleven plastic bags and two brown paper bags with samples, they were received in the Laboratory of Forensic Genetics of the General Division for the Coordination of Expert Services of the PGR[1] through Carlos Quintana Pacheco and Maribel Martinez Ibañez, Assistant Director of the State Delegation in

[1] Translator's note. Spanish initial letters for the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Quintana Roo and Executive Expert Technician "B", both assigned in the State Delegation in Quintana Roo. The samples are described ahead:

Code number given to the biological sample by this Laboratory	DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLE	MARK OF THE SAMPLE
23MI8076	One swab with one single tip with a light brown stain, packaged in test tube.	"**TRACE 1,** AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010, **INVESTIGATION SITE:** FEDERAL HIGHWAY CANCUN-TULUM KM. 36.5 HOTEL MOON PALACE. DEVELOPMENT NIZUC, BUILD. PELICANOS, **LOCATION OF THE TRACE:** GARDENS OF THE FACER NIZUC (sic) OF MOON PALACE HOTEL APR/09/2010 AT 18:00 HOURS. **FEATURES OF THE TRACE:** SWAB WITH HEMATIC STAIN".
23MI8077	One swab with one single tip with a light brown stain, packaged in test tube.	"**TRACE 2,** AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010, **INVESTIGATION SITE:** FEDERAL HIGHWAY CANCUN-TULUM KM. 36.5 HOTEL MOON PALACE. DEVELOPMENT NIZUC, BUILD. PELICANOS, **LOCATION OF THE TRACE:** GARDENS OF THE FACER NIZUC (sic) OF MOON PALACE HOTEL APR/09/2010 AT 18:00 HOURS. **FEATURES OF THE TRACE:** SWAB WITH HEMATIC STAIN".
23MI8078	One swab with one single tip with a light brown stain, packaged in test tube.	"**TRACE 3,** AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010, **INVESTIGATION SITE:** FEDERAL HIGHWAY CANCUN-TULUM KM. 36.5 HOTEL MOON PALACE. DEVELOPMENT NIZUC, BUILD. PELICANOS, **LOCATION OF THE TRACE:** GARDENS OF THE FACER NIZUC (sic) OF MOON PALACE HOTEL APR/09/2010 AT 18:00 HOURS. **FEATURES OF THE TRACE:** SWAB WITH HEMATIC STAIN".
23MI8079	One swab with one single tip with a light brown stain, packaged in test	"**TRACE 4,** AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010, **INVESTIGATION SITE:** FEDERAL HIGHWAY CANCUN-TULUM KM. 36.5 HOTEL MOON PALACE. DEVELOPMENT

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

	tube.	NIZUC, BUILD. PELICANOS, **LOCATION OF THE TRACE:** GARDENS OF THE FACER NIZUC (sic) OF MOON PALACE HOTEL. **FEATURES OF THE TRACE:** SWAB WITH HEMATIC STAIN".
23MI8080	Approximately 1 millimeter of dark brown liquid packaged in a test tube with purple lid.	"**TRACE 5,** AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010, **INVESTIGATION SITE:** FEDERAL HIGHWAY CANCUN-TULUM KM. 36.5 HOTEL MOON PALACE. DEVELOPMENT NIZUC, BUILD. PELICANOS, **LOCATION OF THE TRACE:** ROOM IN THE MOON PALACE HOTEL. **FEATURES OF THE TRACE:** HEMOLYZED BLOOD FROM THE DECEASED MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN".
23MI8081	One white t-shirt, GAP brand, size XL, and with small dark brown stains of irregular shape on the front, packaged in a paper bag.	"**TRACE 6,** AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010, **INVESTIGATION SITE:** FEDERAL HIGHWAY CANCUN-TULUM KM. 36.5 HOTEL MOON PALACE. DEVELOPMENT NIZUC, BUILD. PELICANOS, **LOCATION OF THE TRACE:** ROOM MARKED WITH NUMBER 7816 IN THE MOON PALACE HOTEL. **FEATURES OF THE TRACE:** WHITE COLOUR T-SHIRT MARKED WITH THE INSCRIPTION: GAP XL CLASSIC FIT MADE BANGLADESH".
23MI8082	One orange t-shirt, NIKE brand, size XL, and with white-like and dark stains of irregular shape, packaged in a paper bag.	"**TRACE 7,** AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010, **INVESTIGATION SITE:** FEDERAL HIGHWAY CANCUN-TULUM KM. 36.5 HOTEL MOON PALACE. DEVELOPMENT NIZUC, BUILD. PELICANOS, **LOCATION OF THE TRACE:** ROOM MARKED WITH NUMBER 7816 IN THE MOON PALACE HOTEL. **FEATURES OF THE TRACE:** WHITE COLOUR T-SHIRT MARKED WITH THE INSCRIPTION: NIKE XL NIKE FITDRY MADE MALAYSIA FABRIQUE IN MALAYSIE".
23MI8083	One piece of FTA paper with two useful circles containing two stains, brown and green colour,	"**TRACE 8, INVESTIGATION SITE:** Q. ROO, **LOCATION OF THE TRACE:** SEMEFO: **FEATURES OF THE TRACE:** EXTENDED OF ORAL EXUDATES COLLECTED FROM THE DECEASED MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD

	packaged in transparent plastic bag.	REDMAN. A. P. AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010. TIME 17:10".
23MI8084	One piece of FTA paper with two stains of irregular shape, brown and green colour, packaged in transparent plastic bag.	"**TRACE 9,** A. P. AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010. **INVESTIGATION SITE:** PGJE Q. ROO, **LOCATION OF THE TRACE:** SEMEFO: **FEATURES OF THE TRACE:** EXTENDED OF VAGINAL EXUDATES COLLECTED FROM THE DECEASED MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. 04/09/10 TIME 17:10".
23MI8085	Light colour hair threads with small red tissue fragments adhered to the threads, packaged in transparent plastic bag.	"**TRACE 10,** A. P. AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010. **INVESTIGATION SITE:** PGJE Q. ROO, **LOCATION OF THE TRACE:** SEMEFO: **FEATURES OF THE TRACE:** HAIR THREADS COLLECTED FROM THE DECEASED MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. 04/10/10 TIME 13:45".
23MI8086	A fragment of tissue about 4 by 4 centimeters, dark brown colour with light yellow liquid with odor seemingly ether, packaged in a plastic jar with red lid.	"**TRACE 11,** A. P. AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010 FOLIO NUMBER 05. **INVESTIGATION SITE:** PGJE Q. ROO, **LOCATION OF THE TRACE:** SEMEFO: **FEATURES OF THE TRACE:** HEART BIOPSY (CORONARY) COLLECTED FROM THE DECEASED MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. 04/10/10 TIME 14:00".
23MI8087	A fragment of tissue about 5 by 3 centimeters, dark brown colour with light yellow liquid with odor seemingly ether, packaged in a plastic jar with red lid.	"**TRACE 12,** A. P. AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010 FOLIO NUMBER 04. **INVESTIGATION SITE:** PGJE Q. ROO, **LOCATION OF THE TRACE:** SEMEFO: **FEATURES OF THE TRACE:** LUNG BIOPSY (CORONARY) COLLECTED FROM THE DECEASED MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. 04/10/10 TIME 13:45".
23MI8088	A fragment of tissue about 5 by 1 centimeter, black and white colour with light yellow	"**TRACE 13,** A. P. AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010 FOLIO NUMBER 03. **INVESTIGATION SITE:** PGJE Q. ROO, **LOCATION OF THE TRACE:** SEMEFO: **FEATURES OF THE**

	liquid with odor seemingly ether, packaged in a plastic jar with red lid.	**TRACE:** SCALP BIOPSY COLLECTED FROM THE DECEASED MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN. 04/10/10 TIME 14:00".

On April 15, of the present year, Alejandro Torres Dominguez Executive Professional Expert "B" assigned in the State Delegation in Quintana Roo, turned in the Laboratory of Forensic Genetics of the General Division for Coordination of Expert Services of PGR[2], he turned in a compact disc brand Verbatim, marked with the reading "photograph fixing A.C./PGR/QROO/CAN/161/2010-V" and an ice bucket Coleman brand containing the sample described ahead (HR-GF-01):

23MI8246	A fragment of bone (rib) 28 centimeters long packaged in an ice bucket brand Coleman, blue colour and white lid.	"STATE COORDINATION FOR EXPERT SERVICES, STATE DELEGATION IN QUINTANA ROO. SPECIALTY FORENSIC CHEMISTRY. AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010. BONE SAMPLE CORRESPONDING TO THE LEFT TENTH RIB COLLECTED FROM THE FEMALE CORPSE OF WHO IN HER LIFETIME WAS NAMED MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN.

Method.

a) Presumption tests

Kastle-Meyer technique for blood identification.

The chemical indicator phenolphthalein was used for blood identification in the samples marked with codes **23MI8076** trace 1, light brown stain on cotton swab, marked as hematic stain; **23MI8077** trace 2, light brown stain on cotton swab, marked as hematic stain; **23MI8078** trace 3, light brown stain on cotton swab, marked as hematic stain; **23MI8079** trace 4, light brown stain on cotton swab, marked as hematic stain; **23MI8080** trace 5, dark brown liquid in test tube, marked as hemolyzed blood of the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford

[2] Translator's note. Spanish initial letters for the Office of the Attorney General of the Republic.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Redman; **23MI8081** trace 6, dark brown stains with irregular shape, on a white colour t-shirt, GAP brand, size XL, located in: lower area of the right front ; **23MI8082** trace 7, white-like and dark stains with irregular shape, on an orange colour t-shirt, NIKE brand, size XL, located in: **23MI8082A** on the front on the right shoulder, **23MI8082B** on the front 8 centimeters below the left underarm, **23MI8082C** on the back 9 centimeters away from the neck and **23MI8082D** on the back 5 centimeters away from the hem line.

Search technique for nucleated cells.
In order to carry out the present test, a staining was performed on the samples marked: **23MI8083** trace 8 two stains with irregular shape brown and green colour in a piece of FTA paper marked exudates of oral mucosa collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman and **23MI8084** trace 9 two stains of irregular shape brown and green colour in a piece of FTA paper marked exudates of vagina mucosa collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman. The staining was performed to observe the samples under the microscope seeking for nucleated cells.

The sample marked **23MI8085** trace 10, hair threads collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman, they were analyzed, assisted by a microscope, seeking for hair follicles.

b) DNA Test (Desoxirribonucleic Acid)

1) The Organic Technique was used to extract DNA from biological samples with codes: **23MI8080** trace 5, dark brown liquid in test tube, marked as hemolyzed blood of the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman; **23MI8083** trace 8 two stains with irregular shape brown and green colour in a piece of FTA paper marked exudates of oral mucosa collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman; **23MI8084** trace 9 two stains of irregular shape brown and green colour in a piece of FTA paper marked exudates of vagina mucosa collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman; **23MI8085** trace 10, hair threads collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman; **23MI8086** trace 11 fragment of tissue about 4 by 4 centimeters, dark brown colour marked as heart biopsy collected from the now deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman; **23MI8087** trace 12 fragment of tissue about 5 by 3 centimeters, dark brown colour marked as lung biopsy collected from the now deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman; **23MI8088** trace 13 fragment of tissue about 5 by 1 centimeter, black and white colour marked as scalp biopsy collected from the now deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman; and **23MI8246** a fragment of bone (rib) 28 centimeters long marked with the reading bone sample corresponding to the left tenth rib collected

from the corpse of who in her lifetime was named Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.

2) DNA isolated from the previously described samples was quantified through PCR real time Technique, using the Applied Biosystems Quantifier kit. The fifteen STR type (Short tandem Repeat), fifteen genetic markers (D8S1179, D21S11, D7S820, CSFIPO, D3S1358, THO1, D13S317, D16S539, D2S1338, D19S433, vWA, TPOX, D18S51, D5S818 AND FGA) and the amelogenine contained in the kit for forensic use Identifiler™, they were amplified in every sample where DNA presence was detected **(table 4).** The amplification was carried out through the technique of the Chain Reaction of Polymerase (PCR) using a thermocycler Geneamp PCR System 9600®.

3) The test of Genetic Profiles was carried out from the products of amplification using LIZ 500 Size Standard® and Genetic Analyzer 3130®.

4) A positive control and a negative control were included in every stage of the process.

Obtaining the following:

Results.

Table No. 1 Results obtained with the Kastle-Meyer Technique for blood identification.

Code assigned to the biological sample	DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLE	Technique to obtain phenophtalei n
23MI8076	Trace 1, light brown stain on cotton swab marked as hematic stain.	Negative
23MI8077	Trace 2, light brown stain on cotton swab marked as hematic stain.	Negative
23MI8078	Trace 3, light brown stain on cotton swab marked as hematic stain.	Negative
23MI8079	Trace 4, light brown stain on cotton swab marked as hematic stain.	Negative
23MI8080	Trace 5, dark brown liquid marked as hemolyzed blood from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	Positive
23MI8081	Trace 6, dark brown stains of irregular shape on white colour t-shirt GAP brand size XL, they are located on: - The lower right front area.	Negative
23MI8082A	Trace 7, white-like and dark stains of irregular shape on	Negative

	orange colour t-shirt NIKE brand size XL, they are located on: - The front 8 centimeters below the left underarm.	
23MI8082B	- The front on the right shoulder	Negative
23MI8082C	- The back 9 centimeters away from the neck	Negative
23MI8082D	- The back 5 centimeters away from the hem line.	Negative

Table No. 2 Results obtained with the search technique for nucleated cells.

23MI8083	Trace 8, two stains of irregular shape brown and green colour in a piece of FTA paper marked as: exudates from oral mucosa collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	Positive
23MI8084	Trace 9, two stains of irregular shape brown and green colour in a piece of FTA paper marked as: exudates from vagina mucosa collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	Positive

Table No. 3 Results from the search of hair follicles.

Code assigned to the biological sample	DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLE	RESULT
23MI8085	Trace 10, hair threads collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman	Positive

Table No. 4 Quantification through PCR real time technique.

Code assigned to the biological sample	DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLE	RESULT
23MI8080	Trace 5, dark brown liquid packaged in test tube marked as: hemolyzed blood from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	Positive
23MI8083	Trace 8, two stains of irregular shape brown and green colour in a piece of FTA paper marked as: exudates from oral mucosa collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	Positive
23MI8084	Trace 9, two stains of irregular shape brown and green colour in a piece of FTA paper marked as: exudates from vagina mucosa collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	Positive
23MI8085	Trace 10, hair threads collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman	Positive
23MI8086	Trace 11 a fragment of tissue about 4 by 4 centimeters,	Negative

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

	dark brown colour soaked in yellow liquid, packaged in a plastic jar marked as: heart biopsy collected from the now deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	
23MI8087	Trace 12 a fragment of tissue about 5 by 3 centimeters, dark brown colour with yellow liquid, packaged in a plastic jar marked as: lung biopsy collected from the now deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	Negative
23MI8088	Trace 13 a fragment of tissue about 5 by 1 centimeter, black and white colour with light yellow liquid, packaged in a plastic jar marked as: scalp biopsy collected from the now deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	Negative
23MI8246	A fragment of bone (rib) 28 centimeters long marked as: bone sample corresponding to the left tenth rib collected from the female corpse of who in her lifetime was named Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	Positive

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Table No. 5 Genetic profiles obtained using Identifiler™ forensic identification system

GENETIC MARKERS	Sample No. **23MI8080** Trace 5, dark brown liquid packaged in test tube marked as: hemolyzed blood from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	Sample No. **23MI8083** Trace 8, two stains of irregular shape brown and green colour in a piece of FTA paper marked as: exudates from oral mucosa collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	Sample No. **23MI8084** Trace 9, two stains of irregular shape brown and green colour in a piece of FTA paper marked as: exudates from vagina mucosa collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	Sample No. **23MI8085** Trace 10, hair threads collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.	Sample No. **23MI8246 A** fragment of bone (rib) 28 centimeters long marked as: bone sample corresponding to the left tenth rib collected from the female corpse of who in her lifetime was named Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.
D8S1179	8-14	8-14	8-14	8-14	8-14
D21S11	28-30	28-30	28-30	28-30	28-30
D7S820	9-11	9-11	9-11	9-11	9-11
CSFIPO	10-11	10-11	10-11	10-11	10-11
D3S1358	14-14	14-14	14-14	14-14	14-14
THO1	9.3-9.3	9.3-9.3	9.3-9.3	9.3-9.3	9.3-9.3
D13S317	12-12	12-12	12-12	12-12	12-12
D16S539	9-10	9-10	9-10	9-10	9-10
D2S1338	17-20	17-20	17-20	17-20	17-20
D19S433	12-14.2	12-14.2	12-14.2	12-14.2	12-14.2
vWA	15-16	15-16	15-16	15-16	15-16
TPOX	8-11	8-11	8-11	8-11	8-11
D18S51	12-12	12-12	12-12	12-12	12-12
AMELOGENINE	X-X	X-X	X-X	X-X	X-X
D5S818	10-11	10-11	10-11	10-11	10-11
FGA	23-24	23-24	23-24	23-24	23-24

Analysis and interpretation of results.

a) Presumption tests for blood identification.

In **Table 1** we show that traces marked with codes: **23MI8076** trace 1, light brown stain on cotton swab marked as hematic stain; **23MI8077** trace 2, light brown stain on cotton swab marked as hematic stain; **23MI8078** trace 3, light brown stain on cotton swab marked as hematic stain; **23MI8079** trace 4, light brown stain on cotton swab marked as hematic stain; **23MI8081** trace 6, dark brown stains of irregular shape on white colour t-shirt GAP brand size XL, they are located on the lower right front area; 23MI8082 trace 7 white-like and dark stains of irregular shape on orange colour t-shirt NIKE brand size XL, they are located on: **23MI8082A** the front 8 centimeters below the left underarm; **23MI8082B** the front on the right shoulder; **23MI8082C** the back 9 centimeters away from the neck and **23MI8082D** The back 5 centimeters away from the hem line, they do not contain blood and therefore the DNA test is not possible in these samples.

b) DNA test

DNA is the bio-molecule responsible for inheritance and is present in every cell in the organism. This bio-molecule has highly preserved regions and polymorphic regions; these latter represent our "identity card", which identifies ourselves as unique beings since these regions are different for every person, except for univitelline twins.

DNA tests in forensic field are based on the analysis of polymorphic regions of this bio-molecule. In such purpose, diverse systems of human identification are used such as Identifier™; with the latter, 15 highly polymorphic genetic markers located in diverse places of the autosomal chromosomes, they may be identified as well as the amelogenine marker located in the sexual chromosomes which indicates the gender of an individual, represented as XX for female gender and XY for male gender.

For every individual, a genetic marker or locus is formed by two alleles which compose a genotype; they are given by the father (father allele) and by the mother (mother allele). This information is transmitted in the Mendel manner to the descendants; this allows the studies of fatherhood and makes it possible to identify a person.

Table 4 shows that the samples marked with codes: **23MI8086** a fragment of tissue about 4 by 4 centimeters, dark brown colour, marked as: heart biopsy collected from the now deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman; **23MI8087** a fragment of tissue about 5 by 3 centimeters, dark brown colour, marked as: lung biopsy collected from the now deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman and **23MI8088** a fragment of tissue about 5 by 1 centimeter, black and white colour, marked as: scalp biopsy collected from the now deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman, the samples are negative to quantification through PCR real

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

time technique and using Quantifier KIT from Applied Biosysems. This kit is specific to quantify HUMAN DNA from between 100 and 500 pairs of bases and it detects amounts from 0.21 ng. and therefore a negative result establishes the absence of DNA. Therefore it is impossible to obtain genetic profiles from these samples.

In relation to IDENTIFILER™ SYSTEM

Table 5 shows that **the genetic profile obtained from isolate DNA samples marked with codes 23MI8080** trace 5, dark brown liquid packaged in test tube marked as: hemolyzed blood from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman; **23MI8083** trace 8, two stains of irregular shape brown and green colour in a piece of FTA paper marked as: exudates from oral mucosa collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman; **23MI8084** trace 9, two stains of irregular shape brown and green colour in a piece of FTA paper marked as: exudates from vagina mucosa collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman; **23MI8085** trace 10, hair threads collected from the deceased Monica Burgos Beresford Redman and **23MI8246** a fragment of bone (rib) marked as: bone sample corresponding to the left tenth rib collected from the female corpse of who in her lifetime was named Monica Burgos Beresford Redman, **the genetic profile is the same;** that is, these profiles **containing equal alleles and genotypes** and according to X-Y marker from amelogenine, they correspond to female gender.

Based on the previous results interpretation, we issue the following:

Conclusions

ONE: The genetic profiles obtained using IDENTIFILER system from the biological samples marked with code numbers **23MI8080, 23MI8083, 23MI8084, 23MI8085** and **23MI8246** they belong to a woman who in her lifetime was named Monica Burgos Beresford Redman.

TWO: In the samples marked with code numbers **23MI8076, 23MI8077, 23MI8078, 23MI8079, 23MI8081, 23MI8082A, 23MI8082B, 23MI8082C, 23MI8082D, 23MI8086, 23MI8087** and **23MI8088,** in those samples, NO (ILLEGIBLE) BIOLOGICAL ELEMENTS WERE IDENTIFIED , due to which it is not possible to obtain a genetic profile from these samples.

THREE: The genetic profiles were kept in the database in this laboratory for future comparisons.

Note: The biologic samples are sent back and a series of twenty photographs is enclosed.

SINCERELY,
(signed)
BIOLOGIST MARIA ANGELICA VALENCIA ACEVEDO
EXPERT IN FORENSIC GENETICS

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

142

FOTOGRAFIA 3

FOTOGRAFIA 2

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

S/103

PGR

FOTOGRAFIA 6

FOTOGRAFIA 7

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPÚBLICA

FOTOGRAFIA 1

[redacted]

FOTOGRAFIA 2

[redacted]

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

115

FOTOGRAFIA 9

FOTOGRAFIA 10

[redacted]

FORMA CG-1A

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

186
59

PGR [redacted]

FOTOGRAFIA 1

[redacted]

REF 8

Pieza Bajo Custodia
4384-04-2010

[redacted]

FOTOGRAFIA 1B

[redacted]

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

117
55

FOTOGRAFIA 15

FOTOGRAFIA 16

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

PGR

FOTOGRAFIA 15

FOTOGRAFIA 14

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

5-149

PGR

FOTOGRAFIA 17

FOTOGRAFIA 18

FORMA CG-1A

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

[redacted]

STATE OFFICE IN QUINTANA ROO.
STATE EXPERT SERVICES COORDINATION.

EXHIBIT 22

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

GENERAL DIVISION OF EXPERT SERVICES COORDINATION
EXECUTIVE DIVISION OF FORENSIC GENETICS
FOLIO No. 36233.
FILE A.C. PGR/QROO/CAN/161/2010-V
SUBJECT: EXPERT OPINION.
Mexico, Federal District on April 27, 2010.

GUADALUPE CANO ZURITA.
Federal Public Prosecutor
Quintana Roo State Delegation
By hand.

The undersigned Expert in Forensic Genetics, to the proposal made by the Laboratory Executive Director (FO-GF-03), and in connection with your official letter NO. 1360/2010 dated on the 10 of the present month and year, with folio number and PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION cited at the margin, writes to you to render the following:

EXPERT OPINION

Problem posing.

"...It is requested your collaboration so you appoint an expert in FORENSIC GENETICS with the purpose of issuing an expert opinion on the subject matter, to compare and determine if the samples described hereof correspond to the one same person's genetic pattern, which must be compared with sample number five which consists in a plastic container in which there is blood taken from the body of who during lifetime was named MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN..."

Material for examination

A paper bag with samples of traces is received in the Forensic Genetics Laboratory (as described in the reception format HR-GF-01) taken at hotel Moon Palace located in the hotel zone at 36.5 kilometer Cancun, Quintana Roo, Mexico an which is herein described as follows:

Table 1

SAMPLE CODE	SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION
23MI8089	Small brown reddish stains on a pillow case	Paper bag marked with number 8 with PGR institutional seals and captioned Quintana Roo State delegation, Forensic Chemistry Specialty, Folio 1169, E.I. 185/2010 A.C./PGR/QROO/CAN/161/2010 AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010. 1.- which contains small brown reddish stains on a white pillow case.
23MI8090	Green-light brownish stains on a FTA paper card.	Paper bag marked with number 16 with PGR institutional seals and captioned Quintana Roo State delegation, Forensic Chemistry Specialty, Folio 1169, E.I. 185/2010 A.C./PGR/QROO/CAN/161/2010 and AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010 2.- A brown-reddish stain located in the upper part of a metallic banister with foundations in the right side column. The bag contains a FTA paper card with green-light brown stains. The stains are dry.
23MI8091	Green-light brown stains on a FTA paper card.	Paper bag marked with number 17 with PGR institutional seals and captioned Quintana Roo State delegation, Forensic Chemistry Specialty, Folio 1169 E.I. 185/2010 A.C./PGR/QROO/CAN/161/2010 and AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010, 3. A red-brownish stain located in the upper part of a metallic banister with

		foundations in the right side column. The bag contains a FTA paper card with green-light brown stains. These stains are wet.
23MI8092	Green-light brown stains on a FTA paper card.	Paper bag marked with number 17 with PGR institutional seals and captioned Quintana Roo State delegation, Forensic Chemistry Specialty, Folio 1169 E.I. 185/2010 A.C./PGR/QROO/CAN/161/2010 and AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010, 4. A red-brownish stain located in the upper part of a metallic banister with foundations in the left side column. The bag contains a FTA paper card with green and light brown stains. These stains are wet.

Method

1.- Presumptive blood tests were carried out.

1.1- A presumptive blood test was carried out to determine by means of the Kastle Mayer solution (reduced sodic phenolphthalein) which consists of reactive of phenolphthalein on the brown-reddish stains with internal code 23MI8089, and the green-light brown stains of FTA paper cards with internal codes 23MI8090, 23MI8091 and 23MI892 (sic).

2. Brown and green blood stain samples with internal code 23MI8089 on FTA cards with internal codes 23MI8090, 23MI8091 and 23MI8092 **were extracted DNA** with the organic technique phenol-chloroform.

3. PCR (specific fragment amplification) **was carried out** with the DNA of the abovementioned samples with internal codes 23MI8089, 23MI8090, 23MI8091 AND 23MI8092 with the forensic use kit AmpFl Identifier ®, of Applied Biosystems in different chromosomes **(D8S1179, D21S11, D7S820, CSF1PO,**

D3S13S317, D16S539, D2S1338, D19S433, vWA, TPOX, D18S51, D5S818, FGA) and amelogenin in order to determine gender providing a genetic profile with 16 markers.

6. PCR products analysis. The detection of the Genetic Profiles was systematically carried out with instrument ABI PRISM 3130 Genetic Analyzer using GeneMapper software.

7. In each amplification stage, positive and negative controls were applied.

8. A comparison of the genetic profile found in the blood stains on a pillow case with internal code 23MI8089 and the genetic profile found in the samples of: **a)** liquid blood contained in a vacutainer tube trace 5 captioned as "hemolized blood of deceased person Monica Burgos Beresford Redman" with internal code 23MI8080, **b)** brown and green satins on a FTA paper card, trance 8, captioned "oral mucosa exudates taken from deceased person Monica Burgos Beresford Redman" with internal code 23MI8083, **c)** brown and green stains on a FTA paper card, trace 9, captioned "vaginal mucosa exudates taken from the deceased person Monica Burgos Bereford Redman" with internal code 23MI8084, **d)** pilose elements, trace 10, captioned "pilose elements taken from deceased person Monica Burgos Beresford Redman" with internal code 23MI8085 and **e)** a rib fragment captioned "bone sample corresponding to the tenth rib on the left side, taken from the body of who during lifetime was named Monica Burgos Beresford Redman" with internal code 23MI8246.

Results

TABLE 2.- Presumptive reaction for blood, Kastle Mayer technique (phenolphthalein).

CODE	SAMPLE	RESULT
23MI8089	Small brown-reddish stains on a pillow case	**POSITIVE**
23MI8090	A green, light-brown stain on a FTA paper card.	NEGATIVE
23MI8091	A green, light-brown stain on a FTA paper card.	NEGATIVE
23MI8092	A green, light-brown stain on a FTA paper card.	NEGATIVE

TABLE 3.- Reaction to PCR.

CODE	SAMPLE	RESULT

23MI8089	Small brown-reddish stains on a pillow case	**POSITIVE TO PCR AMPLIFICATION.**
23MI8090	A green, light-brown stain on a FTA paper card.	NEGATIVE TO PCR AMPLIFICATION.
23MI8091	A green, light-brown stain on a FTA paper card.	NEGATIVE TO PCR AMPLIFICATION.
23MI8092	A green, light-brown stain on a FTA paper card.	NEGATIVE PCR AMPLIFICATION.

TABLE 4.- Genetic Profiles by AmpFl Identifiler® System

		GENETIC PROFILE RESULT, ISSUED IN THE EXPERT OPINION DATED 27-04-2010 FOLIO 36232 FILE A.C. PGR/QROO/CAN/161/2010-V BY THE EXPERT IN GENETICS ANGELICA VALENCIA ACEVEDO, OF THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES:
GENERIC MARKERS	**BLOOD STAINS ON A PILLOW CASE** **TRACE 8** **CODE 23MI8089**	**a) HEMOLIZED BLOOD TRACE 5, CLODE 23MI8080** **b) ORAL MUCOSA EXUDATE STAINS TRACE 8, CODE 23MI8083** **c) VAGINAL MUCOSA EXUDATE STAINS TRACE 9 CODE 23MI8084** **d) PILOSE ELEMENTS TRACE 10 CODE 23MI8246 OF THE DECEASED PERSON MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN.**
D8S1179	**13-16**	**8-14**
D21S11	**30.2-31**	**28-30**
D7S820	**11-11**	**9-11**
CSF1PO	**9-10**	**10-11**
D3S1358	**16-18**	**14-14**
THO1	**9.3-9.3**	**9.3-9.3**
D13S317	**8-11**	**12-12**
D16S539	**12-12**	**9-10**
D2S1338	**17-24**	**17-20**
D19S433	**14-14**	**12-14.2**

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

vWA	16-18	15-16
TPOX	8-10	8-11
D18S51	12-15	12-12
AMELOGENINE	X-Y	X-X
D5S818	11-13	10-11
FGA	22-25	23-24

Results Analysis and Interpretation

1. **Presumptive phenolphthalein test.** The Presumptive phenolphthalein test is an orientation test to the presence of blood which helps to rapidly locate biological fluids which are more common in stains on different kinds of support.

   In table 2 the results to the presumptive presence of blood are shown, it was observed a positive reaction for stains with internal code 23MI8089 on a pillow case trace No. 8. As well negative results are presented to the presence of blood in stains with internal codes 23MI8090, 23MI8091 and 23MI8092.

2. **DNA Study.**
   DNA is the biomolecule responsible for inheritance, it is present in every body-cell and it does not change. This biomolecule contains highly preserved regions and polymorphic regions, when these latter are analyzed with the forensic identification systems they render the genetic profiles representing out "genetic print", which identifies us as unique beings, since these regions are different for each individual but for univitelline twins . A forensic identification system is composed of several markers which identify specific DNA zones.

   For each individual, a genetic marker or locus has two alleles, which compose a genotype, which are given by the father (called father's allele) and by the mother (called mother's allele), this information is inherited in Mendelian's form to the descent, which allows for paternity tests and makes possible the identification on an individual.

   In table 4, the alleles and genotypes forming the genetic profile in the AmpFl Identifier® System of the blood stains on a pillow case with internal code 23MI8089 are shown.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Also alleles and genotypes conforming the genetic profile found in AmpFl Identifiler® System of the blood stains with internal code 23MI8080, oral mucosa exudate stains with internal code 23MI8083, vaginal mucosa exudates stains with internal code 23MI8084, pilose elements with internal code23MI8085 and the rib fragment with internal code 23MI8246 which belong to the currently deceased person **MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN** are shown.

Pursuant to genotype X,Y of genetic profile of the stains on a pillow case with internal code 23MI8080, it belongs to the male gender.

It can be observed that alleles and genotypes of genetic profile of a male individual of the blood samples on a pillow with internal code 23MI8089 and alleles and genotypes of genetic profile of the stains described above with internal codes 23MI8080, 23MI8083, 23MI8084, 23MI8085 and 23MI8246 belong to the currently deceased person **MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN**, each and every of the markers are different.

With ground in the foregoing, we come to the following:

Conclusions.

1.- The genetic profile found in blood stains on a pillow case with internal code 23MI8089 belongs to a man.

2.- The genetic profiles compared in blood stains on a pillow case with internal code 23MI8089 **is differeht** to the genetic profile found in the samples with internal code 23MI8080, oral mucosa exudates stains with internal code 23MI8083, vaginal mucosa exudates stains with internal code 23MI8084, pilose elements with internal code 23MI8085 and the rib fragment with internal code 23MI8246 belonged to the currently deceased person **MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN.**

3.- In the green and light-brown blood samples on FTA cards with internal code 23MI8090, 23MI8091 and 23MI8092, biological elements to carry out a comparison are not identified.

Note: A paper bag containing three FTA minicards, a pillow case and a series of photographs are herein attached.

RESPECTULLY
THE EXPERT

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

FORMA CG-1A

(an illegible signature)
GLORIA VILCHIS DORANTES

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

FORMA C G - 1 A

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

EXHIBIT 23

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

STATE DELEGATION IN QUINTANA ROO
COORDINATION OF EXPERT SERVICES
SPECIALTY: MEDICAL EXAMINATION
FOLIO NUMBER: 1666
E.I.: -10
IFFICIAL LETTER: 1697/2010
A.P/ZN/CAN/01/06/2834/4-2010
MATTER: AN EXPERT OPINION ON **WOUNDS MECHANICS** IS ISSUED.

Cancun, Quintana Roo on April 13 2010.
2010 YEAR OF THE NATION, TWO HUNDRED YEARS OF INDEPENDENCE AND ONE HUNDRED YEARS SINCE THE REVOLUTION

GUADALUPE CANO ZURITA
AGENT OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
"A" CHIEF OF DESK FIVE
BY HAND

The undersigned, expert in medical examination, assigned in this Office of the Attorney General of the Republic, I was appointed by the State Coordinator of Expert Services to issue the present:

EXPERT OPINION

PROBLEM. "... so you please determine the mechanics of the wounds of the deceased **MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN** in accordance with the documentary evidences in the file and the direct observation of the corpse and of the inside of the room..."

STUDY METHOD:

The scientific method will be used: analytic, descriptive and deductive.

MATERIAL TO BE STUDIED:

Ministerial request.

Ministerial file (Medical documents, expert opinion on Criminalistics and Photography fixings).

BACKGROUNDS:

1.- EXPERT OPINION ON CRIMINALISTICS: I had at sight Preliminary Investigation number 2384/4-2010 with official letter number 1697/2010 with the following information: **MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN,** about 41 years old. Somatometry: 1.74 centimeters tall, 69 kilograms.

2.- EXPERT MEDICAL DOCUMENTS:

A.- AUTOPSY FOLLOW-UP REPORT (INSIDE WOUNDS CHECK-OUT) CARRIED OUT BY THE UNDERSIGNED: At 13:00 hours on Monday April 12, 2010 inside the facilities of the Medical Examiner of the city of Cancun,

Quintana Roo I had at sight the body of the now deceased **MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN** in which I performed a search for external wounds.
External findings:

1) Ecchymosed right malar (cheek bone), of irregular shape, of 3 by 2 centimeters, black-purple colored.
2) Ecchymosed left malar (cheek bone), of irregular shape, of 3 by 2 centimeters, black-purple colored.
3) Ecchymosed nose region, of irregular shape, of 2 by 1 centimeters, black-purple colored.
4) Ecchymosed left gluteus, of 15 centimeters, black-purple colored.
5) Ecchymosed right gluteus, of 15 centimeters, black-purple colored.
6) A wound of 8 by 2 centimeters in the right parietal-temporal region located 6.5 centimeters away from and parallel to the mid sagittal line; the wound has the following shape characteristics: irregular and blunt edges with skin bridge and blood infiltration in the pericranial bone under the wound.

B.- MEDICAL EXAMINER'S AUTOPSY ON MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN, WHO IS ABOUT 41 YEARS OLD, IT IS SIGNED BY ROBERTO RIOS PONCE OF THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE. PAGE 56 AND 57 "... At 14:15 hours on April 8 of the present year. **THE RESULT WAS AS FOLLOWS: THANATOLOGY ANTHROPOLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS.**

"... The corpse corresponded to a female person about 40 years old: It was found on a table in supine position with thoracic and pelvic limbs well articulated along the body with the head to the North; 174 centimeters long, 114 centimeters of thorax perimeter 106 centimeters of abdominal perimeter, with robust complexion, and with approximate weight of 115 kilograms. White skinned, red thin straight abundant short hair, with wide intermediate forehead, with no eyebrows due to putrefaction, big light green eyes, small straight nose, big mouth with thin lips, full set of teeth, oval and slightly prominent chin, short thick neck..."

"... signs of non recent death; the corpse had conjunctiva congestion, cyanosed nails and fingers, with corneal opacity, bilateral pupil mydriasis with clouding of the cornea, only with well formed lividities in the front regions and thorax slops, with protrusion of the tongue, in the stage of general flaccidity in descent and easily reducible, with irreversible absence of vital signs, temperature lower than the exploring hand..."

EXTERNAL EXAMINATION OF THE BODY:

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

"... The corpse is carefully examined from the head to the feet and the following post-mortem data in the outside of the body they are found.
"... It presented well formed cyanosis in the face, in the lips irradiating the neck and the whole front region of the thorax; tongue protrusion in the oral cavity, the nose fossae with leaking of putrid liquid and the ear ducts were seen permeable and with important general subcutaneous cell emphysema, with general post-mortem vein net, general epidermis detachment, green bilateral abdominal stain with clear signs of putrefaction, with the following visible external wounds; **it presents a sharp-blunt wound in the right parietal-temporal region of 8 by 2 centimeters, it is located 6.5 centimeters away from and parallel to the mid cranial line, which interested only the scalp of the region; the wound is related to the time and date of her death..."**
"... The external genital organs, labia majora, labia minora, and vagina cavity they do not present recent wounds, with signs of important subcutaneous cell emphysema ..."
"... The mucosa and anus pleats are likewise examined; they do not present recent injuries and it has subcutaneous cell emphysema ..."
The three cavities of the body and neck open.
"... Normocephalic cranium with an incision from the mastoid process, lifting the scalp on the front towards the face end the scalp on the back to the back of the neck, leaving the cranium uncovered; **it is shown with blood infiltrations in the scalp and with blood infiltrations in per cranial region under the previously described wound,** with no cranial bone wounds, **once the cavity is opened the brain is seen inside and the cerebellum in liquefaction stage with signs of hemorrhage.** After cleaning the cavity, the cranium base had no trace of bone fractures..."
"... Normal neck with cylinder shape where an incision is made, going from the symphysis of the chin through the front mid line of the thorax, abdomen, and up to the pubis symphysis. In the level of the trachea the incision of the neck muscles is made, they have no blood infiltrations; the open trachea has congestion in the mucosa with open airway; the open esophagus shows congestion in the mucosa, open airway; the cervical column was observed without fracture or luxation..."
"... Thorax. The thoracic box with normal shape and size; on the same described line of incision, the thorax muscles are cut, there are no injuries observed to the chest muscles and there are no injuries to the back muscles, with no fractures in the rachidial column, with no traces of fracture in the rib arches. Once this cavity is open, the lungs are fully observed completely ... MISSING PAGE... subpericardial hemorrhage zones well distributed in the surface of such organ; once this organ was opened, the auricles and ventricles are observed without injuries with black liquid blood inside those cavities; the valve orifices were permeable..."

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

"... Abdomen with normal shape; following the incision line earlier described and at the level of the abdomen, this cavity is opened and inside, there is special congestion and putrefaction stage in the liver; the kidneys, the spleen, and the pancreas equally with congestion and in putrefaction stage, with normal shape and size, with no macroscopic anatomical data of any pathology in those organs. Empty stomach with congested mucosa without putrid odor for putrefaction, empty bladder, non-gravid uterus in stage of putrefaction, congested loops; congested colon with normal fecal contents; the peritoneum and omentum with no injuries and in putrefaction stage..."

...Conclusions:

CHRONOTHANATODIAGNOSE:

Monica Burgos died from:

a) Asphyxia
b) By suffocation.

"... The protrusion of the tongue, the total congestion of the lungs, the cyanosis with pericardial hemorrhage of the heart, they are clear signs of asphyxia..."

BASED ON THE EXAMINATION OF THE BODY OF THE DECEASED, I MENTION THE FOLLOWING:

WOUNDS MECHANICS

From the review of the medical documents, follow up and medical examiner autopsy of the person who in her lifetime was named **MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN,** she presents the following wounds:

EXTERNAL:

1.- Irregular shape ecchymosed right and left malar regions as well as the irregular shape ecchymosed nose region, they were caused by a direct contusion mechanism applying a force with a direction compatible or similar to those produced by a blunt sharpless object, of irregular shape, hard and with ledges, that is, it may be a closed fist. Given the black-purple chromatic characteristics of such injuries, they suggest that they were caused 2 or 3 days before her death.

PHOTOGRAPHS

2.- The line-like ecchymosed right and left gluteus, they were caused by direct contusion mechanism in a projection dynamics, being her gluteus regions in touch with flat surface, blunt edges, regular, which can be compatible with the surface or parts of a bath tub or Jacuzzi. Given the black-purple chromatic characteristics of such injuries, they suggest that they were caused 2 or 3 days before her death.

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

PHOTOGRAPHS

Bath tub or Jacuzzi 2.16 long, 1.60 wide and 71 centimeters high.
3.- Post-mortem findings: blackish ecchymosed area of 8 by 2 centimeters, with wound of about 3.5 centimeters in right parietal-temporal; this wound is produced by direct contusion mechanism, by applying a force and direction that are compatible to those produced with a hard and solid object with irregular edges, for example a metallic pipe, a baseball bat or a stick.

PHOTOGRAPHS

Note: It must be outstood that the medical examiner of the Office of the General Attorney of the State describes it as a pre-mortem wound; nevertheless, as the photo shows, there are no such infiltrations.
4.- In the same parietal-temporal region, there is a wound of about 5 centimeters; this was possibly caused by a blunt object with hard flat edges, for example, the edge of a sidewalk or any other surface with similar characteristics.
INTERNAL WOUNDS:
1.- CRANIAL REGION: No blood infiltrations were observed in the pericranial bone in the right parietal-temporal region.

PHOTOGRAPHS

2.- CAVITIES SEARCH: NECK (Cartilage structures, muscles and bones, no trauma wounds were found, the mucosa in trachea and esophagus was found to be congested). **THORAX** (Lungs and heart), no trauma wounds were found; lungs completely congested so that black liquid blood leaks from the cut of bilateral lung parenchyma; the heart is big, completely cyanotic with dilated coronary vessels, the heart muscle is flaccid with multiple subpericardial hemorrhagic zones, they are well distributed on the surface of said organ. AND **ABDOMEN REGION** (LIVER, SPLEEN, KIDNEYS, PANCREAS); no trauma wounds were found, the liver was congested, kidneys, spleen and pancreas, likewise, they are congested.
3.- LIMBS: Upper limbs without any sign of trauma wound.
4.- INFORMATION REGARDING ASPHYXIA: 1: Congested conjunctiva 2: Cyanosed ungues (nails) 3: tongue protrusion 4: Congested trachea and esophagus mucosa 5: heart with multiple subpericardial hemorrhagic zones

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA
FORMA C G - 1

well distributed in the surface of said organ, 6: lungs that are completely congested and with black blood leak when they are cut.

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS:

ASPHYXIA It is commonly defined as a hypoxic status in the organism, caused by a wide variety of events, from Oxygen insufficiency in the atmosphere to a cell fail in the use of Oxygen.

Asphyxia may be classified as follows:

1.- Neck compression with or without airway block
- — Hanging
- — Strangulation

2.- Airway obstruction (suffocation).
- — Occlusion of breathing orifices
- — Aspiration of foreign material
- — Edema to the pharynges mucosa
- — Position asphyxia
- — Thoracic-abdominal compression
- — Lack of breathable air
- — Crucifixion
- — Self-erotic asphyxiation

3.- Oxygen exclusion by its reduction and substitution for another gas, or by chemical interference with oxygen gathering and use by the organism.
- — Intoxication with carbonic anhydride
- — Intoxication by methane
- — Intoxication by carbon monoxide
- — Intoxication with cyanide
- — Intoxication with hydrogen sulfur

Asphyxia by obstruction of the upper airways (suffocation):

It can be caused by solid or pasty objects, by food or by anatomy structure alterations of the upper airways, for example of these latter we have: a) edema in larynges mucosa can be present for anaphylactic shock, breathing of overheated air (fires), stimulation of the carotid sinus, fracture of the thyroid cartilage; b) the crushing of the larynges with fracture of the thyroid cartilage and sometimes of the hyoid bone. Immediately, several degrees of airways obstruction occur, and they grow rapidly due to hemorrhage or edema; c) wounds in any of the two sides or in both sides of the recurring larynges nerve. Bilateral paralysis immediately produces serious larynges obstruction.

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

Strangulation: Strangulation may be defined as the constriction of the neck through the application of active force acting through a lace made with the hands, the forearm or any other rigid structure.
In deaths by strangulation the way of the strangulation must be tried to establish (with one or the two hands placed in the front and the back of the victim, pressure with two thumbs on the larynges, by ligature or pressure on the neck with the forearm or the inside part of the elbow or with an instrument).
Identification of the strangler. In such purpose nail marks in the suspect's face, right shoulder, on the dorsum of the forearm near the radius and on the dorsum of the hands especially on the thumb and forefinger, they may be useful.

TRAUMATOLOGY

Traumatology is the study and treatment of wounds. The Legal or Forensics Traumatology it studies, besides, other aspects such as classification and identification of the wounding agent, its action mechanism, the wounds certification and whatever might aid Justice.
Traumatism: Any damage to the anatomy or function of the human body provoked by an external cause.
Type of agent:
By mechanical agent: Excoriation, ecchymoses, blunt force wounds.
By sharp weapon: Penetrating, cutting, penetrating-cutting, cutting-blunt, penetrating-blunt wounds.
By firearm: Wounds by firearm projectile, sole, multiple, perforating and with entrance and exit orifices.

GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE WOUNDS:

ECCHYMOSIS.

Ecchymoses are internal hemorrhages due to the breaking of small vessels; they are characteristic in injuries caused to a living person. They are superficial contusions that do not affect the skin, their effects are limited to the laceration of the subcutaneous cell tissue; the natural hemorrhage that infiltrates the tissue and coagulates within it is shown through the skin. In the formation of ecchymoses, the breaking of veins and small arteries is necessary as well as blood circulation, adequate vein or artery pressure, blood coagulation, leak of red and white blood cells from the vessels. It is a living injury par excellence in which hemoglobin changes will be present and there will be colours that will allow diagnosing the time of the injury.
Therefore, we know that:

- Red in the first day.
- Black on the second and third day for hemoglobin shed.
- Blue from the fourth to the sixth day for hemosiderin liberation.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

- Green from the seventh to the twelfth day for hematoidin.
- Yellow from the thirteenth to the twentieth day for hematin.

WOUNDS.

By stabbing instrument: In these kind of wounds, the diameter is smaller than the used weapon itself since the skin fibers are separated in penetration and the skin, due to its elasticity, leaves a small orifice; deepness predominates in them wounds.

By sharp instrument: In this wound, extent is more important than deepness, the edges are straight and neat, it is even possible to distinguish the end of the wound which indicates the production mechanism and shows the position of the victim in relation to the perpetrator.

By penetrating-cutting instrument: these kinds of wounds have as major characteristic to have bigger deepness than extent and the presence of their edges (acute and/or obtuse) and even distinguishable end of the wound which leads us to the causing object as well as to the production mechanism and shows the position of the victim in relation to the perpetrator.

By contusion: These kinds of wounds are irregular with anfractuous edges, ecchymosed and excoriating, with tissue bridges, the main differences between the wounds caused to a living person and those caused to a dead person are: in the wounds to a living person, there is bleeding, coagulation and retraction of the wound edges due to the elasticity of the skin fibers; in contusions, in the case of wounds to a living person, there is only clots hematomas or ecchymoses formation.

Based on what was earlier established, and on the analysis of documents, we reach the following:

CONCLUSIONS

FIRST wounds mechanics: The wounds present in the person who in her lifetime was named **MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN.** She had wounds in the face, which, given their shape, they correspond to those produced by direct contusion mechanism compatible with those produced by a fist. The observed wounds in the gluteus region were produced by a contusion by projection mechanism when her gluteus region was in touch with a hard surface, i. e. a bath tub-jacuzzi; given the colour of these wounds, they were caused ante-mortem and had a 2 to 3 days evolution. (The wound damage of about 3.5 centimeters) in right parietal-temporal was caused by direct contusion mechanism applied with force and direction and they are compatible with a hard and solid object of irregular edges, i. e. a metallic pipe, a baseball bat or a stick.

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
PROCURADURIA GENERAL DE LA REPUBLICA

SECOND: The review of the corpse showed macroscopic signs of mechanic asphyxia; the modality cannot be established since we lack medical information such as the microscopic tests of the muscles of the neck, carotid arteries and the cut of hyoid bone as well as the studies of the lungs and heart.

TRULY
The official Medical Expert
(Illegible signature)
ARIADNA MENDEZ GARCIA

Translator's Note: The photographs inserted in this section of the file, were illegible, thus, it was deemed not to insert them.

EXHIBIT 24

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

[A legend that reads:
Classification Date: June 01, 2010
Administrative Unit: Legal Affairs Department
Classification: Confidential
Classification period: 5 years
Legal Grounding: Article 146 of the General Population Law, Article 13 Section V and 14 Section I of the Federal Transparency and Access to Public Governmental Information Law
Paraph of the Public Officer: (signed)]-

"2010, Year of our Nation. Two hundred years of Independence and One hundred years since the Revolution."

MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL MIGRATION INSTITUTE
REGIONAL DELEGATION IN QUINTANA ROO
OFFICIAL LETTER No.: INM/DRQR/OD/1508/2010
Re: RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL LETTER No. CAN-01/06-2439/2010

Cancun, Quintana Roo; June 01, 2010

Carlos Armando Pacheco Celis
Agent for the Public Prosecutor in Local Matters
Office of the Assistant Attorney General for the North Zone
Office of the Attorney General for the State of Quintana Roo
By Hand

I hereby refer to official letter number CAN-01/06-2439/2010, dated May 24, 2010, related to file number AP/ZN/CAN/01/06/2384/4-2010, through which this Regional Delegation of the National Migration Institute in the State of Quintana Roo is requested to inform whether Mr. Bruce Ainsley Beresford Redman is found in Mexican Territory, as well as the city and domicile where this person may be located and whether he has carried out any migration proceedings since the month of April.

On the matter at issue, I hereby inform you as follows:

- This office does not have records proving that Mr. Bruce Ainsley Beresford Redman has left the country; therefore, this authority is not able to inform whether Mr. Beresford is still in Mexican territory; likewise, and derived from the fact that said individual entered the country as a tourist, I inform you that there is no migration file with a domicile registered in the Mexican Republic for due location.

- As for carrying out a migration proceedings, it is worth pointing out that this Regional Delegation does not have any background recorded with migration proceedings of Mr. Bruce Ainsley Beresford Redman; however, we must highlight that the revision

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

made to the system referred to in the preceding paragraph demonstrated that the person in question is a United States national born on [redacted], (illegible year), and he entered Mexican territory on March 31, 2010 through the Cancun International Airport in Quintana Roo.

The above has been informed for the pertinent legal effects, grounded on the provisions set forth in articles 7 (I), (II) and (III) and 13 of the General Population Law; 2 (IV), 3 (V), 89, 91 (I) (A) (a), (b), (e) and (h), 97, 99 first paragraph, 134 (II) and 136 of its Regulations; 55 first paragraph, 56 first paragraph, 57 (XXIII), 62 (VI) in fine and 64 (I) of the Internal Regulations of the Ministry of the Interior.

SINCERELY,
NATIONAL DELEGATE IN QUINTANA ROO
(a signature)
FERNANDO DIAZ MARTINEZ

Grounded on the provisions of articles 103 of the Internal Regulations of the Ministry of the Interior and Official Letter INM/DRQR/OD/3398/2009, MARTHA EUGENIA LUNA GUERRA, Person in Charge of the Office of the Assistant Director of Migratory Regulation of the National Migration Institute in Cancun, Quintana Roo, signs in absence of the Regional Delegate of Quintana Roo, FERNANDO DIAZ MARTINEZ.

[A seal that reads:
UNITED MEXICAN STATES
(Mexican Coat of Arms)
MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL MIGRATION INSTITUTE
REGIONAL DELEGATION
CANCUN Q. ROO]

[A seal that reads:
NATIONAL MIGRATION INSTITUTE
REGIONAL DELEGATION IN CANCUN, Q. ROO
OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF MIGRATORY REGULATION
DESK TWO
JUNE 1, 2010 8:45 pm (handwritten)
DISPATCHED

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

THE UNDERSIGNED EDUARDO OVANDO MARTINEZ, MINISTER OF THE INTERIOR FOR THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO, MEXICO, BASED ON ARTICLES 19, SECTION I AND 31 SECTION XXXIV OF THE PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION ORGANIC LAW FOR THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO AND ARTICLE 8 SECTION XXXVI OF THE INTERNAL REGULATIONS OF THE MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR OF THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO.

CERTIFIES

THAT THE PRESENT PACKAGE CONSISTS OF THIRTY-FOUR (34) WRITTEN PAGES ON THE FRONT AND BACK IS A TRUE AND EXACT COPY OF THE CRIMINAL CODE FOR THE FREE AND SOVEREIGN STATE OF QUINTANA ROO, IN FORCE IN THIS FEDERATED STATE, AND WHICH I CERTIFY FOR THE APPROPRIATE LEGAL EFFECTS, IN THE CITY OF CHETUMAL, CAPITAL OF THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO, ON THE 4th DAY OF THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER OF 2010.

(Illegible Signature)

Seal of:
MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR
ASSISTANT MINISTER FOR LEGAL AFFAIRS
CHETUMAL, Q. ROO, MEXICO

EXHIBIT 25

State of California

SECRETARY OF STATE

Requested for use in Mexico.
Not for use within the United States of America.
The purpose of the Apostille is to certify the authenticity of the signature of the official signing the document, the capacity in which the official signing the document has acted, and, where appropriate, the identity of the seal or stamp

APOSTILLE

(Convention de La Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1. *Country: United States of America*
   This public document

2. *has been signed by M. FISHER*

3. *acting in the capacity of Deputy County Clerk, County of Los Angeles, State of California*

4. *bears the seal/stamp of the County of Los Angeles,*
   State of California

CERTIFIED

5. *At Los Angeles, California*

6. *the 1st day of June 2010*

7. *Deputy Secretary of State, State of California*

8. *No. 824844*

9. *Seal/Stamp*

10. *Signature*

Jerry Bowen
Secretary of State

BY_____

P-24 A (REV. 1-07)

OSP 06 99602

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES }

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, in and for said County DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT Daniel Barraza was, at time of signing a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, County of Los Angeles, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgement or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the officer or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

(Valid only if the certification bears the embossed Seal of the Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk.)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I execute this certificate and have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County this 1st day of June, 2010

DEAN C. LOGAN
Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk

By: Meekie Fisher
M. FISHER, Deputy County Clerk

ADURA
A REPU
ION GENERA
RADICIONES Y
ENCIA JURID

33

CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

State of California

County of LOS ANGELES

On 6-1-2010 (Date) before me, DANIEL BARRAZA, NOTARY PUBLIC (Here Insert Name and Title of the Officer)

personally appeared ARTURO M GARCIA (Name(s) of Signer(s))

who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(~~s~~) whose name(~~s~~) is/~~are~~ subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/~~she/they~~ executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(~~ies~~), and that by his/~~her/their~~ signature(~~s~~) on the instrument the person(~~s~~), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(~~s~~) acted, executed the instrument.

DANIEL BARRAZA
Commission # 1867923
Notary Public - California
Los Angeles County
My Comm. Expires Oct 11, 2013

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature: (Signature of Notary Public)

Place Notary Seal and/or Stamp Above

OPTIONAL

Though the information below is not required by law, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent fraudulent removal and reattachment of this form to another document.

Description of Attached Document

Title or Type of Document: DECLARATION AND CERTIFICATION

Document Date: 6-1-2010 Number of Pages: 1

Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: NONE

Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s)

Signer's Name:	Signer's Name:
☐ Corporate Officer — Title(s):	☐ Corporate Officer — Title(s):
☐ Individual	☐ Individual
☐ Partner — ☐ Limited ☐ General	☐ Partner — ☐ Limited ☐ General
☐ Attorney in Fact	☐ Attorney in Fact
☐ Trustee	☐ Trustee
☐ Guardian or Conservator	☐ Guardian or Conservator
☐ Other:	☐ Other:
Signer Is Representing:	Signer Is Representing:
RIGHT THUMBPRINT OF SIGNER — Top of thumb here	RIGHT THUMBPRINT OF SIGNER — Top of thumb here

© 2006 National Notary Association • 9350 De Soto Ave., P.O. Box 2402 • Chatsworth, CA 91313-2402 • www.NationalNotary.org Item #5907 Reorder: Call Toll-Free 1-800-876-6827

336

DECLARATION AND CERTIFICATION

I, Arturo Manuel García, declare that I am a
(Insert Name of Person Translating)
(Check one)

(✓) CERTIFIED/REGISTERED COURT INTERPRETER as described in GC 68561

( ) AN ACCREDITED TRANSLATOR REGISTERED WITH THE AMERICAN TRANSLATORS ASSOCIATION

I am certified/registered to interpret and translate from the Spanish / English language to the English / Spanish language.
My Certification/Registration Number(s) is/are: 300703.
I further declare that I have translated the attached document from the ENGLISH language to the SPANISH language.
I declare to the best of my abilities and belief, that this is a true and accurate translation of the ENGLISH language text of SWORN STATEMENT.
(Insert title of document)

Specific Description of the Document

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
This declaration signed this 1st day of June, 2010 in Los Angeles, California.

Arturo Manuel García
Print Name of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

Signature of Certified/Registered Court Interpreter or Accredited Translator registered with the American Translators Association

AL DE JUSTICIA DEL
ITA
RAL DE JUSTICIA DE
EL ESTADO
ERIO PUBLICO DEL
UNIDAD 6
LOS ANGELES

URIA GENE
IEPUBLICA
I GENERAL DE
ICIONES Y
IA JURIDICA

DECLARATION OF BRUCE A. BERESFORD-REDMAN

I, BRUCE A. BERESFORD-REDMAN, declare as follows:

I know the following of my own knowledge and could testify competently thereto if called upon as a witness to do so. I make this Declaration in support of my parents', David Beresford-Redman and Juanita Beresford-Redman's, *Ex Parte Application To (1) Shorten The Time Within Which To Set The Hearing On Petitioners' Petition For Termination Of Guardianship, and (2) Modify The Weekend Visitation Of May 30, 2010*, filed concurrently herewith.

STATISTICAL INFORMATION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

1. Monica Beresford-Redman (hereinafter "Monica") and I were married on May 30, 1999. Monica and I remained married until her death during a family trip to Mexico on or around April 5, 2010.

2. During our marriage, Monica and I had two children, [redacted] Burgos Beresford-Redman, born [redacted] 2004 (age 5) and [redacted] Burgos Beresford-Redman, born [redacted] 2007 (age 3).

3. Prior to Monica's death, the children, Monica and I resided in our family residence in Rancho Palos Verdes, California (hereinafter "Family Residence").

4. On or around April 8, 2010, while I was still in Mexico, the children's maternal aunt, Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar (hereinafter "Carla"), filed a Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the person and the estate of Camila and Alec.

5. On or around April 9, 2010, I appeared before the Court via telephone from Mexico in this matter and requested that the Court award temporary guardianship of my children to my parents, David and Juanita Beresford-Redman. The Court granted my request.

6. On or around April 19, 2010, my parents filed a competing Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the children. I requested that my parents file such Petition based on my absence from the United States.

7. While I did not appear, it is my understanding that the competing guardianship

BUTER, BUZARD, FISH & ROYCE LLP
A LAW PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS
11601 Wilshire Blvd. - Suite 820
Los Angeles, California 90049-6508
(310) 820-9700

petitions were heard by the Court on May 20, 2010. It is my further understanding that, on that date, the Court extended my parents' temporary guardianship of [redacted] and [redacted] until further order of Court.

REQUEST FOR TERMINATION OF GUARDIANSHIP

8. While I was in Mexico following Monica's death, I am now back in the United States and have been residing in the Family Residence with [redacted] and [redacted] since Sunday, May 23, 2010.

9. As [redacted] and [redacted] biological parent, it is my desire to immediately resume full custodial and parental responsibility of [redacted] and [redacted], and I am capable and fit to do so. I believe that it is in [redacted] and [redacted] best interest for me to resume such responsibilities forthwith. Thus, I do not believe there is any reason to continue the guardianship of my parents.

10. In light of the above, I respectfully request that the Court grant my parents' *Ex Parte* Application To Shorten The Time Within Which To Set The Hearing On Petitioners' Petition For Termination of Guardianship, filed concurrently herewith.

REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION OF THE WEEKEND VISITATION OF MAY 30, 2010

11. It is my understanding that pursuant to the Court's orders issued at the hearing on May 20, 2010, [redacted] and [redacted] are to visit with their maternal aunts, Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar and Jeane Burgos, on Sunday, May 30, 2010, from 9:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m. It is my further understanding that Carla and Jeane have planned a memorial service for Monica on that day.

12. I agree with the clinical opinion and recommendations of the [redacted] and [redacted] therapist, Cathleen Carlson, whose Declaration is attached hereto, and I believe that it is contrary to [redacted] and [redacted] best interests to attend Monica's memorial service. It is not that I do not want [redacted] and [redacted] to honor their mother's memory. Rather, it is that I agree with Ms. Carlson's opinion set forth in her Declaration that, psychologically, it would be healthier for the children to participate in a small, quiet and private memorial for their mother that is more tailored to their needs, as opposed to a large public service that will likely

BUTER, BUZARD, FISHBEIN & ROYCE LLP
A LAW PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS
11611 San Vicente Boulevard - Suite 820
Los Angeles, California 90049-6508
(310) 820-6700

attract media attention. I have already received numerous calls from the media regarding the Sunday memorial service, which leads me to believe that they anticipate attending the service.

13. In light of the above, request that the Court modify the current visitation schedule such that the children's visitation with their maternal aunts occur on Saturday, May 29, 2010, instead of Sunday, May 30, 2010.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 26th day of May, 2010, at Los Angeles, California.

BRUCE A. BERESFORD-REDMAN

BUTER, BUZYAN, ROYCE LLP
A LAW PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL CORPORATIONS
11611 San Vicente Boulevard - Suite 820
Los Angeles, California 90049-6508
(310) 826-6700

DECLARACIÓN DE BRUCE A. BERESFORD-REDMAN

Yo, Bruce A. Beresford Redman, declaro lo siguiente:

Yo sé lo siguiente por conocimiento propio y podría testificar de manera competente sobre lo mismo si se me llama como testigo para hacerlo. Yo estoy haciendo esta declaración en apoyo a la Solicitud Ex Parte presentada por mis padres, David Beresford-Redman y Juanita Beresford-Redman, para (1) Reducir el período de tiempo en el cual fijar Audiencia sobre la petición del Solicitante para la Terminación de la Tutela, y (2) Modificar la Visita de fin de semana del 30 de mayo del 2010, presentada de manera conjunta aquí mismo.

INFORMACIÓN ESTADÍSTICA E HISTORIA PROCESAL

1. Mónica Beresford-Redman (de aquí en adelante "Mónica") y yo nos casamos el 30 de mayo de 1999. Mónica y yo permanecimos casados hasta su muerte durante un viaje a México en o alrededor del 5 de Abril del 2010.
2. Durante nuestro matrimonio, Mónica y yo tuvimos dos hijos, [redacted] Burgos Beresford-Redman, nacida el [redacted] del 2004 (5 años de edad), y [redacted] Burgos Beresford-Redman, nacido el [redacted] del 2007 (3 años de edad).
3. Antes de la muerte de Mónica, los niños, Mónica y yo residimos en nuestra residencia familiar en Rancho Palos Verdes, California (de aquí en delante, "la residencia familiar").
4. Alrededor del 8 de Abril del 2010, cuando yo aún me encontraba en México, la tía materna de los niños, Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar (de aquí en delante "Carla") presentó una solicitud para ser nombrada como Tutora de las personas y el Patrimonio de Camila y Alec.
5. Alrededor del 9 de Abril del 2010, yo comparecí ante el Juez por via telefónica desde México sobre este caso y solicité que el Juez cediera la tutela temporal de mis hijos a mis padres, David y Juanita Beresford-Redman. El Juez concedió mi petición.

AL DE JUSTICIA DE
UINTANA ROO
AL DE JUSTICIA
EL ESTADO
ERIO PUBLICO DE
UNIDAD 6
QUINTANA ROO
UNIDOS MEXICANOS
URIA GENERAL
EPUBLICA
GENERAL DE
ICIONES Y
LA JURIDICA

6. Alrededor del 19 de Abril del 2010, mis padres presentaron una solicitud paralela para ser nombrados Tutores de los niños. Yo solicité que mis padres presentaran dicha solicitud debido a mi ausencia de los Estados Unidos.
7. Aunque yo no comparecí, tengo entendido que las solicitudes paralelas fueron vistas ante el tribunal el 20 de Mayo del 2010. Tengo entendido además que, en esa fecha, el Juez extendió la tutela temporal de mis padres sobre [redacted]a y [redacted] hasta nueva orden del Juez.

SOLICITUD DE TERMINACIÓN DE LA TUTELA

8. Aunque yo me encontraba en México después de la muerte de Mónica, ahora estoy de regreso en los Estados Unidos y he estado residiendo en la residencia familiar con [redacted]a y [redacted] desde el domingo 23 de mayo del 2010.
9. Como el padre biológico de [redacted]a y [redacted], es mi deseo reanudar inmediata y completamente mi responsabilidad de custodia y de padre de [redacted] y [redacted] y estoy capacitado y soy apto para hacerlo. Creo que es para el bien de [redacted] y de [redacted] que yo reanude tales responsabilidades inmediatamente. Por lo tanto, no creo que haya ninguna razón para que continúe la tutela de mis padres.
10. En vista de lo anterior, respetuosamente le solicito al Juez que conceda la petición Ex Parte de mis padres para reducir el período de tiempo en el cual fijar Audiencia sobre la petición del Solicitante para la Terminación de la Tutela, presentada en paralelo con ésta.

SOLICITUD DE MODIFICACIÓN DE LA VISITA DEL FIN DE SEMANA EL 30 DE MAYO DEL 2010

11. Tengo entendido que de confirmidad con las órdenes dictadas por el Juez en la audiencia del 20 de mayo del 2010, Camila y Alec deben visitar a sus tias maternas, Carla Burgos Van Bastelaar y Jeane Burgos, el domingo 30 de Mayo del 2010, de las 9:00 am a las 7:00 pm. Tengo además entendido que Carla y Jeanne tienen planeado un funeral para Mónica ese día.
12. Estoy de acuerdo con la opinión clínica y las recomendaciones de la terapeuta de [redacted] y [redacted] Cathleen Carlson, cuya declaración se adjunta a la presente, y creo que es contrario al bienestar de [redacted]a y [redacted] asistir al funeral de Mónica.

DE JUSTICIA DE
ANA ROO
DE JUSTICIA DE
EL ESTADO
ERIO PUBLICO DE
UNIDAD 6
S UNIDOS
RIA GENERAL DE
PUBLICA
GENERAL DE
IONES Y
JURIDICA

No es que yo no quiera que [redacted]a y [redacted]onren la memoria de su madre. Más bien es que estoy de acuerdo con la opinión de la Srita Carlson, mencionada en su declaración, en el sentido de que, psicológicamente, sería más saludable que los niños participaran en un funeral pequeño, tranquilo y privado para su madre, que sea mas adecuado a sus necesidades, en lugar de un gran funeral público que probablemente atraiga la atención de los medios masivos de comunicación. Ya he recibido numerosas llamadas telefónicas de los medios respecto al funeral del domingo, lo cual me hace creer que planean asistir al funeral.

13. En vista de lo anterior, solicito que el Juez modifique el programa actual de visita de tal manera que la visita de los niños con sus tías maternas tenga lugar el sábado 29 de mayo del 2010 en lugar del domingo 30 de mayo del 2010.

Declaro bajo protesta de decir verdad bajo las leyes del Estado de California que lo anterior es verdadero y correcto.

Firmado este 26 de Mayo del 2010 en Los Angeles, California.

BRUCE A. BERESFORD-REDMAN

L DE JUSTICIA DE
TANA ROO
AL DE JUSTICIA
L ESTADO
RIO PUBLICO DE
UNIDAD

Yo, el suscrito, Arturo Manuel Garcia, Intérprete y Traductor Certificado por el Consejo Judicial del Estado de California (Certificación Núm. 300703), así como por la Administración de los Tribunales Federales de los Estados Unidos, certifico que ésta es una traducción fiel y exacta del documento que me fue enviado, que tengo frente a mí. Certificado en Los Angeles, California, Mayo 31, 2010

Arturo Manuel García
Federally Certified Court Interpreter
California Certified Court Interpreter
California Certification Number 300703
Phone (310) 402-6090

NIDOS MEXICANOS

A GENERAL
UBLICA
ENERAL DE
ONES Y
JURIDICA

EXHIBIT 26

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 05/02/2010

MARIA BEATRIZ OAXACA, having a date of birth of [redacted] 1963, was interviewed telephonically. OAXACA was advised of the Agents identity and the nature of the interview. The interview was conducted in Spanish and was translated by Special Agent Miguel Luna. OAXACA provided the following information:

OAXACA has been employed by Bruce and Monica Beresford Redman for the last six years as a nanny for their two children. She was hired by Monica and lives at the Redman's home in Rancho Palos Verdes, California, Monday through Friday. When she is not at the Redman home, she resides at [redacted] Los Angeles, California [redacted]

On or about March 29, 2010, she was told by Bruce that he, Monica, and the children would be going to Cancun, Mexico the following day. This surprised her because she knew Monica had been talking about a vacation to Brazil to see her family and there had been no mention of traveling to Mexico. She did not have any knowledge that the plans had changed and they were now going to Cancun because that is where Bruce wanted to go.

Monica had not been happy with her marriage in a long time and had told OAXACA about Bruce's affair. She learned of Bruce's extra marital affair from Monica about a month and a half prior to this interview. Shortly after Monica discovered the affair Bruce was having, Monica changed the locks to the house and took the children to Hawaii. Monica had told her that she was going to get a divorce from Bruce.

About one or two days prior to the trip to Cancun, Bruce wanted to purchase life insurance for Monica and himself. Monica laughed off the suggestion. She did not know if Bruce actually obtained life insurance policies.

She has never observed any physical altercations between Bruce and Monica but there have been many loud arguments between them. Bruce and Monica had a "big fight" the night before their trip to Cancun. The fight centered around the fact that Bruce was having an affair.

Investigation on 04/25/2010 at Los Angeles, California (telephonically)

File # [redacted] Date dictated

by SA David M. Cloney
SA Miguel Luna

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

DOS MEXICANOS
IA GENERAL
ENERAL DE
IONES Y
JURIDICA

[redacted]

Prior to leaving for Cancun, Monica brought a box of jewelry to OAXACA and asked her to keep it for her in a safe place. OAXACA thought that this was a strange request because she had never done that before. OAXACA took the box of jewelry but she never removed it from the Redman residence. OAXACA did not know the value of the jewelry. OAXACA stated that Monica took her laptop computer with her to Mexico.

OAXACA was asked by the interviewing Agent if Monica had any intentions of taking the children to Brazil on a permanent basis if she obtained a divorce. Monica mentioned many times that the children's lives where here in the United States. Monica had no intention of permanently taking the children to Brazil if she and Bruce divorced.

While Bruce, Monica, and the children were in Cancun, OAXACA did not hear from any of them. This was not unusual when they went on trips. Since the death of Monica in Mexico, Bruce calls into the house (Rancho Palos Verdes) from Mexico almost daily to speak with his parents and the children. On one telephone call with OAXACA, Bruce was crying because he was conberned about what was going to happen with him in Mexico. He did not mention anything about Monica or the homicide. The children have not mentioned anything to her about their mother's death. The young boy cries every night asking for his mother.

OS UNIDOS MEXICANOS
IRIA GENERAL
EPUBLICA
GENERAL DE
CIONES Y
JURIDICA

FD-302 (Rev. 10-6-95)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 04/14/2010

TIM BLIXSETH, having a date of birth of [redacted] 1950, was interviewed telephonically. BLIXSETH was advised of the Agents identity and the nature of the interview. BLIXSETH provided the following information:

BLIXSETH met Bruce Redman about five years ago. Redman wanted BLIXSETH to be involved in some type of realty show that never worked out. Over the last five years, he communicated with Redman about once a year. BLIXSETH had not heard from Redman in a year when he received an e-mail last Wednesday (April 7, 2010) from him saying that he was missing his wife. BLIXSETH responded to the e-mail and asked Redman to give him a call. BLIXSETH was residing in Palm Desert at the time of the call.

Redman called BLIXSETH on April 7, 2010 at approximately 6:30 p.m (PST) and said, "I lost my wife." BLIXSETH stated that it was like Redman was reading from a script. There was no emotion. BLIXSETH stated that he felt that he was being used by Redman as a cover. BLIXSETH stated that Redman knew that BLIXSETH had Mexican contacts as Redman had been to his resort in Mexico. When BLIXSETH got off the telephone with Redman, he turned to his wife and said, "I think Bruce just killed his wife." Redman's tone and content didn't make sense to BLIXSETH.

BLIXSETH called Redman back a second time. This time he put the call on speaker phone so his wife, Jessica, could hear the conversation as well. Redman told BLIXSETH that Monica left to go out shopping on Monday (April 5, 2010) at 8:30 a.m. and he expected her back by 10:00 p.m. Redman implied that Monica was getting a ride into town via the hotel shuttle but he told BLIXSETH that the hotel records were checked and there weren't any records of her leaving the resort. Each guest was checked in and out of the resort by hotel employees. Monica did not take her cellphone with her. Bruce was very calm. "It was like he had just lost his dog." BLIXSETH asked Redman if he and Monica were arguing or having fights in Cancun. Redman responded by saying, "security was called to someone at the hotel but it was just my son and I jumping on the bed." BLIXSETH did not specifically answer whether he and Monica were fighting. Redman reported his wife missing around 10:00 a.m., the next day (April 6, 2010).

Investigation on 04/13/2010 at Los Angeles, California (telephonically)

File # [redacted] Date dictated

by SA David M. Cloney

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

[redacted]

BLIXSETH stated that he asked Redman to send him an e-mail with all details as it related to his wife's disappearance. Redman sent BLIXSETH and e-mail and he advised the interviewing agent that he would forward the e-mail when he could access an Internet computer, as he was presently in Mexico.

Redman was concerned that the Mexican police believed that he was involved with his wife's disappearance. Redman told BLIXSETH that he had scratched on his neck from coral during a dive and a scratch on his head from where he had hit it on a buoy while swimming.

Redman told BLIXSETH that he had left his hotel room several times during the night to look for Monica. He did not say what he did with the children while he was out looking for Monica. Redman said that he looked for Monica around the resort.

Descriptive Data:

Name: TIM BLIXSETH

Address: [redacted]

Telephone:

DOB:

GENE
BLICA
ERAL DE
NES Y
JRIDICA

346

FD-302 (Rev. 10-6-95)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 04/14/2010

On April 14, 2010, Special Agent David M. Cloney received three e-mails from Tim Blixseth that contained attachments. The attachments were e-mails that Blixseth had received from Bruce Redman dated April 7 and 8, 2010. The e-mail address from Redman was [redacted]mindorimaing.com. Blixseth's e-mail address displayed was [redacted]aol.com. The three e-mails and their attachments were printed and placed in a 1A envelope.

Investigation on 04/14/2010 at Los Angeles, California

File # 9C-LA-255416 Date dictated

By SA David M. Cloney

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

IDOS MEXICANOS
JURIDICA
ENERAL DE
IONES Y
JURIDICA

FD-302 (Rev. 10-6-95)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 04/12/2010

JEANE FERREIRA BURGOS, having a date of birth of [redacted] 1965, and further identified by United States passport number [redacted], was interviewed at the Los Angeles Field Office. BURGOS was advised of the Agents identity and the nature of the interview. Also present with BURGOS, where her attorneys, Alison Triessl and Sharon Beth Morris. BURGOS provided the following information;

BURGOS is the sister of Monica Beresford Redman (Monica) who was recently killed in Cancun, Mexico. BURGOS resides at [redacted] Los Angeles, California, [redacted] 7739, [redacted] 8909, and [redacted] 9134 (work). She is employed as a travel agent. Her email address in [redacted]

BURGOS stated that her sister, Monica, met Bruce Beresford Redman (Bruce) about thirteen years ago. They have been married for approximately ten years. They have two children together, ages five and three. Approximately six months into the marriage, Bruce began having an affair with Joy Lynne Pierce. Pierce is a casting director and Bruce is a producer in the entertainment business. Monica owned an restaurant/night club in Venice called Zabumba. When they (Bruce and Monica) first got together she was the one with the majority of the money. Over the years that has changed and he is the primary "breadwinner."

Approximately three months ago, Bruce traveled to Detroit to work on a reality show. BURGOS stated that he brought his mistress, Joy Pierce, with him. The children and Monica wanted to go to Detroit to be with him but he did not want them in Detroit.

Monica and Bruce have been having marital problems and have been to a therapist. Bruce was concerned that Monica was going to divorce him and take the two children with her to Brazil. Monica has dual citizenship (Brazil and U.S.). BURGOS stated that she had put her sister in touch with a divorce attorney within the last month or so. She stated that Monica got to the point where should couldn't take Bruce's lies and his affair any longer. Monica changed the locks on their residence in Palos Verdes and told him to stay away. Monica further notified the schools their children attended that Bruce shouldn't be allowed to pick them up from school. According to Burgos, Bruce pleaded with Monica to let him return to the house and attempt to reconcile. It was about

Investigation on 04/12/2010 at Los Angeles, California

File # [redacted] Date dictated

By SA David M. Cloney
SA Kelly L. Decker

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

UNIDOS
AGENCIA
PUBLICA
NERAL DE
ONES Y
JURIDICA

FD-302a (Rev. 10-6-95)

[redacted]

four weeks ago when she left for Hawaii with the kids to get away from Bruce. While she was there (Hawaii), he asked her to let him come to be with her and the children but Monica refused. BURGOS stated that this was a short trip to Hawaii that was about three or four days.

The latter part of March, BURGOS stated that she learned from Monica that they were going to take a trip. Initially, Monica wanted help booking a trip to Dubai. Prior to this plan to go to Dubai, Monica was going to go to Brazil to visit her family but Bruce talked her out of it. Monica cancelled plans to go to Brazil and never completed the reservation for Dubai. On or about March 29, 2010, Bruce booked the trip to Cancun. Monica had told Bruce that if he wanted to go on the trip and attempt to "patch up" their marriage, she wanted him to pay with his own money.

Monica, Bruce, and the two children left Los Angeles on March 30, 2010 on what she believed was Alaska Airlines. BURGOS has learned that Bruce obtained trip life insurance for this trip to Cancun. Life insurance in the amount of $5 million for himself and $500,000 for Monica.

About three days into their trip, Burgos had a telephone conversation with Monica. She told BURGOS that she was looking into purchasing a timeshare in Mexico. Monica did not express any concerns during this conversation. Burgos spoke again with Monica on Easter Sunday (April 4, 2010). Monica told her that she had bought a timeshare and that Bruce had paid for it. Monica said that everything was okay but she was not happy. Joy Pierce was still e-mailing Bruce. This was the last time Burgos spoke to her sister.

Bruce called Burgos on Tuesday (April 6, 2010) at approximately 1:45 p.m. (PST) and told her that Monica was missing. Bruce told her that Monica had gone shopping Monday morning and said she was going to be back late that evening. Monica left her passport and cellphone in the hotel room. Burgos stated that Monica did not go anywhere without her cellphone and certainly would not have left her cellphone behind when she didn't have the children with her. This call lasted approximately three to four minutes. Burgos stated that she believed that Bruce first made contact with the police in Cancun to report Monica missing at about 4:00 p.m. (PST). The time in Cancun, Mexico is two hours ahead of the time in Los Angeles. Burgos told Bruce to start checking Monica's credit cards but he said he didn't know the card

A GENERAL
UBLICA
NERAL DE
ONES Y
URIDICA

information. Bruce was more concerned about his daughter's passport that had expired while they were in Mexico. He asked Burgos to get a $1000 cash from his father and bring it to Mexico when she came.

Burgos arrived in Cancun on Wednesday (April 7, 2010). Prior to her arriving, Bruce had told her not to come to Cancun to search for her sister. He didn't want her coming to Cancun and getting emotional and subsequently get the children upset about their missing mother. When she got to the hotel she saw Bruce. Burgos saw scratches on his body. She observed scratches on his left hand middle finger, back of his neck, and back of his arm. She said that Bruce was upset with her because of an interview she had done with the media before she left for Cancun explaining that they (Monica and Bruce) were having marital problems and they had gone to Cancun to reconcile. Bruce told her that she was making him out to be the next "Scott Peterson."

Burgos also learned while she was in Cancun that room 7806 had contacted the hotel personnel sometime on Monday advising that they had heard screams coming from the room above them which Burgos stated was Monica's room (#7816). Bruce purportedly stated that he did not leave the hotel during the night but hotel information indicated their records showed eleven entries into the room Monday night. There were four keys issued by the hotel when they arrived. Bruce stated that Monica had one of the keys. Mexican police told Burgos that hotel records showed that all four keys were used to access the room Monday. Hotel records showed that Monica never left the hotel property. Bruce has already paid for Monica's body to be cremated.

Junior Azzi, [redacted]9992, who is a friend of Monica's also flew down to Cancun to help Burgos look for her sister. Azzi told Burgos that he remembered a conversation that he had with Bruce in 2004 or 2005 when he confided in Bruce that his (Azzi) marriage wasn't working. Bruce told him that he should take her to Mexico to get rid of her.

Monica was found by a maintenance worker at the resort on Thursday (April 8, 2010) morning. Her body was stuffed in a sewer drain. BURGOS showed the interviewing Agents photographs of the resort and the area where her sister was found in relationship to their hotel room. From the area the body was recovered, their hotel room could be seen.

UNIDO MEXICANOS

IA GENERAL
UBLICA
NERAL DE
NES Y
URIDICA

FD-302a (Rev. 10-6-95)

[redacted]

Before Monica went on her trip to Hawaii, Monica gave Burgos four boxes that contained financial records and other miscellaneous paperwork. Bruce was quite upset that she had removed the information and wanted it back. Monica told him that she had given it to her sister, Carla, for safe keeping. Bruce went to Carla's house and banged on the door looking for the four boxes. Carla didn't know anything about the boxes and told him she didn't know what he was talking about. Bruce was extremely angry.

Burgos was informed by Monica's nanny/babysitter, Elizabeth (LNU), [redacted]027, that Monica had given her all her jewelry before she left for Cancun. Elizabeth also stated there was a lot of fighting going on between Bruce and Monica the night before they left for Cancun. Elizabeth tried to dissuade Monica from going on the trip. Monica took her laptop computer (possibly a Compaq or HP) but it has not been recovered.

Bruce has a cellphone number of [redacted]0777. His e-mail account is [redacted]enindorimeinc.com. His parents are David and Juanita Redman and they live on [redacted], Gardena, California. Monica had two e-mail accounts, [redacted]gmail.com and [redacted]yahoo.com. Burgos identified Rick Hurvitz, [redacted]2517, as a good friend of Bruce's who flew down to Cancun and obtained lawyers for him.

Burgos provided the interviewing Agent with a five-page synopsis/timeline of the events surrounding Bruce and Monica. In addition, she provided the wills of Monica and Bruce dated November 23, 2004, and numerous e-mails that occurred between Monica, Bruce, and Joy Pierce.

OS UNIDOS MEX
URIA GENE
REPUBLICA
GENERAL DE
ICIONES Y
A JURIDICA

EXHIBIT 27

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

DIVISION OF EXTRADITIONS

STATEMENT OF JEANE FERREIRA BURGOS

In Mexico City, Federal District at 11:15 a.m. on June 4, 2010 before the undersigned Carlos Alberto Caravantes Acevedo, Federal Public Prosecutor assigned to the Office of the Assistant Attorney General for Legal and International Matters, who legally acts under the terms of Articles 2, 102 "A", and 119 of the Mexican Constitution; Articles 1, 2, 3, 16, 180, 206 and 208 of the Federal Code of Criminal Procedure along with attesting witnesses who sign at the bottom for the pertinent legal effects, appears voluntarily a person who said to be named **JEANE FERREIRA BURGOS** who in this moment accredits her identity with passport number [redacted] issued in her name by the government of the United States of America and her migration multiple form issued in her name by the National Immigration Institute dependant on the Ministry of the Interior having a validity for stay in Mexican territory for one hundred eighty days; therefore, in this act, it is attested to having such identification and migration form before me; it is also attested that the identification shows a color photograph in the lower left which coincides with the physiognomy of the bearer; also in this act, such documentation is returned to the owner after being compared and certified and a record of them is added to the file for the pertinent legal effects. Continuing with the development of this proceeding in this moment the deponent is informed of the content of Articles 247 of the Federal Criminal Code and 247 of the Federal Code of Criminal Procedure having her declare under affirmation to tell the truth in the proceeding she is about to take part in, being warned of the penalty applied to those who give false statements before an authority other than the judicial authority on duty; to which the deponent answers; **I DO DECLARE UNDER AFFIRMATION TO TELL THE TRUTH.** As general information she states that she is named as it has been recorded, having been born on [redacted] 1965, consequently, to be 44 years old, native to Rio de Janeiro, being a Brazilian national, having an academic education up to postgraduate degree in Psychology, currently working as an employee, having the marital status of single, and professing the Catholic religion; stating that her domicile to hear and receive all kinds of notifications in this Capital city is located at [redacted] Building of the World Trade Center, Postal Code 03810, Federal District, telephone numbe[redacted]

Having the foregoing duly established, the deponent is informed that under the terms of Article 127 bis of the Federal Code of Criminal Procedure she has the right to make her statement assisted by an attorney, and she states that it is her desire to be assisted by a defense attorney, in this act appointing

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Tomas Flores Allende as her attorney, who after having declared under affirmation and being aware of the penalties applied to those who give false statements before an authority other than the judicial authority being on duty, as an expert on the matter he declares under affirmation to tell the truth and shows as his identification his professional license number 1087561 issued in his name by the General Division of Professions of the Ministry of Public Education, such license accredits him as attorney at law and coincides faithfully with the physiognomy of the bearer, the identification is attested, certified and added to the records of the file for the pertinent legal effects. Then the attorney states as his general information being named as it has been recorded, having been born on [redacted] 1949, being 61 years old, native to the Federal District, being a Mexican national, having a degree in Law, working as attorney at Law, having the marital status of married, professing the Catholic religion, having as delegate domicile in this capital city Montecito 38, office 14 of the 36th floor, colonia Napoles, Building of the World Trade Center, Postal Code 03810, Federal District, telephone number 90007461. Now then, the attorney Tomas Flores Allende carries out the undertaking of the appointment and affirms the position in order to assist the deponent during this proceeding.

WE LEGALLY ATTEST
UNDERTAKING AND AFFIRMATION OF THE POSITION
[Signature]

TOMAS FLORES ALLENDE

ATTESTING WITNESSES

GONZALO NAVA TRUJILLO
[Signature]

ALFREDO CARRADA HERRERA
[Signature]

Then, in this act, the deponent **JEANE FERREIRA BURGOS** is granted the use of the floor, who regarding the motive of her appearance, she does it in a voluntary manner to take part in this proceeding for the identification of **BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN;** then this Federal Public Prosecutor shows the deponent a photographic round containing six color photographs of male individuals and asks her: **SOLE QUESTION: DO YOU IDENTIFY THE PERSON NAMED BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN AMONGST THE PHOTOGRAPHS SHOWN TO YOU? ANSWER: YES, I DO FULLY IDENTIFY BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN, WHO APPEARS IN PHOTOGRAPH NUMBER FIVE, I IDENTIFY HIM WITHOUT ANY HESITATION SINCE THIS INDIVIDUAL HAS BEEN THE HUSBAND OF**

MY SISTER MONICA BURGOS BERESFORD REDMAN FOR ELEVEN YEARS AND THEY HAD TWO CHILDREN NAMED [redacted] AND [redacted] BERESFORD REDMAN; I KNOW FOR A FACT THAT HIS CURRENT DOMICILE IS [redacted], RANCHO PALOS VERDES, CALIFORNIA, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. I WANT TO MAKE CLEAR THAT WE HAD A FAMILIAR RELATIONSHIP WITH THIS INDIVIDUAL, THAT IS WHY I KNOW HIM AND IDENTIFY HIM WITHOUT ANY HESITATION; ON THE OTHER HAND, I WOULD LIKE TO ADD THAT REGARDING THE CRIMINAL FACTS UNDER INVESTIGATION, DETAILS HAVE ALREADY BEEN PROVIDED FOR THE INVESTIGATION PROCESSED IN THE OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL NORTHERN AREA OF THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF QUINTANA ROO, MEXICO, THEREFORE, I REQUEST THIS INSTITUTION THAT AFTER THE CORRESPONDING PROCEEDINGS ARE PROCESSED IT CONDUCT THE NECESSARY PROCEEDINGS TO REQUEST THE PROVISIONAL ARREST SEEKING EXTRADITION AGAINST BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN SINCE I HAVE A GROUNDED FEAR THAT, IN THE SAME MANNER THAT HE EVADED THE ACTION OF JUSTICE IN MEXICO, ON KNOWING ABOUT THE ARREST WARRANT AGAINST HIM, HE MAY LEAVE THE COUNTRY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, BESIDES BECAUSE IN THE COURT OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA THERE IS A PROCEEDING WHERE BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN HAS REQUESTED THE CUSTODY OF MY NEPHEW AND NIECE, I ALSO HAVE A GROUNDED FEAR THAT IF HE IS GRANTED THE CUSTODY OF MY NEPHEW AND NIECE HE FLEES TO ANOTHER COUNTRY; CONSEQUENTLY, I CONSIDER IT IS URGENT THAT THE PROVISIONAL ARREST TAKES PLACE TO PREVENT HIM FROM CONTINUING TO EVADE THE ACTION OF JUSTICE, AND THAT IS ALL I HAVE TO STATE, I RATIFY EVERYTHING I HAVE STATES SINCE IT IS THE TRUTH THAT I KNOW FOR FACT.

Continuing with the proceeding, in this act, the assisting attorney is granted the floor and he stated **THAT IT IS NOT MY DESIRE TO USE THIS RIGHT SINCE THE CORRESPONDING STATEMENTS OF MY CLIENT HAVE ALREADY BEEN GIVEN.**

Due to the foregoing, this Federal Public Prosecutor informs the deponent and her attorney that her statements have been officially recorded and the petitions shall be accomplished in due time of the process under the capacities and attributions of the General Division of Extraditions and Legal Assistance of the Office of the Mexican Attorney General; in consequence, that is all that has to be legally recorded and after having read the record, the

deponent and her attorney ratified it and signed it at the bottom for the pertinent legal effects.

WE LEGALLY ATTEST

THE DEPONENT
[SIGNATURE]
JEANE FERREIRA BURGOS

ASSISTING ATTORNEY
[SIGNATURE]
TOMAS FLORES ALLENDE

ATTESTING WITNESSES

[Signature]
GONZALO NAVA TRUJILLO

[Signature]
ALFREDO CARRADA HERRERA

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Handwriting reading: I identify the photograph marked as number five as the one corresponding to BRUCE AINSLEY BERESFORD REDMAN

JEANE FERREIRA BURGOS

ESTADOS UNIDOS MEXICANOS

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

FORMA CG-1A

UNITED MEXICAN STATES

IMMIGRATION MULTIPLE FORM (FMM)

THIS FORM MUST BE FILLED OUT INDIVIDUALLY BY ANY FOREIGNER ENTERING MEXICO, INCLUDING DIPLOMATIC PERSONNEL AND SHALL BE DELIVERED WHEN EXITING THE COUNTRY

NATIONAL IMMIGRATION INSTITUTE

EXIT REGISTRATION

[redacted]

1. Name	2. Last Name (s)	3. Nationality
JEANE	**BURGOS**	**AMERICAN**

4. Date of Birth	5. Sex
dd [redacted] mm [redacted] yyyy **1965**	**X** Female _Male

6. Passport number **401878168**

7. Usual residence	8. Airline, flight, ship number through which you exit the country (illegible)
_Mexico **x** Abroad	**407469**

FOR OFFICIAL USE

(ILLEGIBLE FIELDS)

Tourist X

(ILLEGIBLE FIELDS)
(ILLEGIBLE FIELDS)
(ILLEGIBLE FIELDS)
(ILLEGIBLE FIELDS)
(ILLEGIBLE FIELDS)
(ILLEGIBLE FIELDS)
(ILLEGIBLE FIELDS)
(ILLEGIBLE FIELDS)
(ILLEGIBLE FIELDS)
(ILLEGIBLE FIELDS)

FOR OFFICIAL USE

FORMA CG-1A

PROCURACURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

Mexican coat of arms Seal: Jun/02/10 Entry seal (illegible signature)	Valid for stay (does not apply to diplomats nor foreigners with FM2 or FM3) **180 DAYS** Additional days granted ≠

IMPORTANT

- The foreigner must keep this part of the form at all times. In case of loss conduct the proceeding for replacement.
- This FMM does not accredit legal stay, it is just for statistical purposes, for the case of non-ordinary passport holder, as well as for holders of valid immigration forms.
- For the foreigner holder of a Mexican visa that allows them to obtain a FM3 or FM2, this form only accredits legal stay for 30 days from the entrance day. **IT IS VERY IMPORTANT** that you exchange this FMM for an immigration form FM2 or FM3 within the term set forth, in the Regional Office nearest to your domicile. Otherwise you will be in an irregular status and shall be subject to the penalties established in the Population General Law.
- For the foreigner documented as Transmigrant, Local visitor, Provisional visitor or as any immigration characteristic or modality that involves a maximum stay of 180 days, the FMM is proof of their legal stay in Mexico and shall return it when exiting the country.
- An immigration permit is necessary to conduct profitable activities. Tourists, Transmigrants, Local visitors and Provisional visitors CANNOT CONDUCT PROFITABLE ACTIVITIES. Transmigrants CANNOT CHANGE THEIR IMMIGRATION STATUS OR CHARACTERISTIC.
- The student or Cult minister or Religious associate documented with FMM has the obligation of subscribing the National Foreigners Registry within 30 days after entering the country.
- The visitor under the status of business visitor that wishes to extend their stay in Mexico and their status or characteristic which motivated his visit has not changed may request grant of a FM3.
- On entering the country, the foreigner must strictly comply with the conditions set forth in their immigration status, regarding the activities they will conduct in the country and the place of their residence as provided in Articles 34 and 43 of the Population General Law. In compliance with Articles 9, 11 and 33 of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States they shall not involve in political affairs in the country; they may enter, exit and change of place of residence anywhere in the Republic without security letter, passport, safe-conduct or other similar requirements, this right is subject to the attributions of the judicial authority; in the cases of criminal or civil liability and to the attributions of the administrative authority regarding the restrictions imposed by the laws of emigration, immigration and general health throughout the Republic. The head of the Executive branch has the exclusive attribution to order the departure of pernicious foreigners residing in the country from the Mexican territory, immediately and without trial whenever the head of the Government deems it convenient.
- The holder of this document is entitled to the rights stipulated in the Mexican constitution, without being discriminated; also, with the exception of the Transmigrant, has the right to acquire fixed or variable yield equities and to carry out bank deposits, as well as to acquire urban real estate, and in rem rights over the latter with the restrictions stipulated in Article 27 of the Constitution.

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

FORMA CG-1A

The Secretary of State of the United States of America
hereby requests all those it may concern to permit the citizen (national)
of the United States named herein to pass without delay or hindrance
and in case of need to give all lawful aid and protection.

La Secrétaire d'État des États-Unis d'Amérique
prie par les présentes toutes autorités compétentes de laisser passer le citoyen
(ressortissant) des États-Unis désigné ci-dessous porteur du présent passeport, sans délai ni
difficulté et, en cas de besoin, de lui accorder toute aide et protection légitimes.

El Secretario de Estado de los Estados Unidos de América por el presente solicita a las
autoridades competentes permitir el libre tránsito a nacional de los Estados Unidos
aquí mencionado, sin demora ni dificultades, y en caso de necesidad, prestarle toda la
ayuda y protección lícitas.

SIGNATURE OF BEARER/SIGNATURE DU TITULAIRE/FIRMA DEL TITULAR

NOT VALID UNTIL SIGNED

PASSPORT
PASSEPORT
PASAPORTE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

USA

[redacted]

PROCURADURIA GENERAL
DE LA
REPUBLICA

FORMA CG 1

PROFESSIONAL LICENSE 1087561
SECRETARY OF PUBLIC
EDUCATION

Mexico City, August 27, 1986

PHOTO

HOLDER'S
SIGNATURE

SECRETARY OF PUBLIC EDUCATION

PROFESSIONAL LICENSE 1087561

BY VIRTUE THAT
TOMAS FLORES ALLENDE

FULFILLED THE REQUIREMENTS BY LAW SET FORTH IN ARTICLE 5 OF THE CONSTITUTION IN RELATION TO PROFESSIONS IN THE FEDERAL DISTRICT AND ITS REGULATIONS THIS **LICENSE** HIGHER EDUCATION TYPE IS ISSUED INDIVIDUALLY FOR THE EXERCISE OF THE PROFESSION IN
LAWS

[SIGNATURE]
ANTONIO CUELLAR SALAS
DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PROFESSIONS