```
JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney
    DANIEL F. BAMBERG, Assistant City Attorney
    STACY J. PLOTKIN-WOLFF, Deputy City Attorney
    California State Bar No. 174793
         Office of the City Attorney
1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
3
         San Diego, California 92101-4100
 4
         Telephone: (619) 533-5800
         Facsimile: (619) 533-5856
 5
    Attorneys for Defendant
    CITY OF SAN DIEGO
7
8
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9
                      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
   DAVID ACEVES.
                                            Case No. 16cv865 BAS (DHB)
10
11
               Plaintiff,
                                            DEFENDANT CITY OF SAN
                                            DIEGO'S ANSWER AND
                                            AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES:
12
         V.
                                            AND JURY DEMAND
   CITY OF SAN DIEGO; and DOES 1-10,
13
                                            Judge: Hon. Cynthia Bashant
               Defendants.
                                            Court Room: 4B
14
                                            Trial: Not Yet Set
15
16
         Defendant City of San Diego (City) responds to Plaintiff's Complaint for
17
   Damages as follows:
18
                                         I.
19
         Responding to Paragraphs 1, 2, and 3 of the Complaint, Defendant
20
   affirmatively alleges that said Paragraph contains jurisdictional allegations that
21
   present legal conclusions and questions of law to be determined solely by the Court,
22
   to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant
23
   admits that Plaintiff purports to seek relief in this action pursuant to the various
24
   laws cited in said Paragraphs. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies,
25
   generally and specifically, each, all and every allegation contained in said
26
   Paragraphs.
27
   /////
28
   /////
   Document Number: 1273202
                                                              16cv865 BAS (DHB)
```

/////
Document Number: 1273202

II.

Responding to Paragraphs 4 and 14 of the Complaint, Defendants admit the substantial truth of the allegations contained therein.

III.

Responding to Paragraph 5 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that Plaintiff is a man, is 25 years old, and at the time of the incident was in the County of San Diego. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and based thereon, deny, generally and specifically, each, all and every remaining allegation contained therein.

IV.

Responding to Paragraphs 6, 7, and 8 of the Complaint, Defendant admits it is a public entity existing under the laws of the State of California but, except as expressly admitted, Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein and based thereon, deny, generally and specifically, each, all and every remaining allegation contained therein.

V.

Responding to Paragraph 9 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that Plaintiff was under the influence of a narcotic and/or a controlled substance in the morning of August 15, 2015 and that he was naked running back and forth through a canyon in the University City neighborhood of San Diego, California.

VI.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 10 and 12 of the Complaint.

/////

/////

/////

/////

Document Number: 1273202

VII.

Responding to Paragraph 11 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that Plaintiff was naked and did not have a weapon in his hand but denies, generally and specifically, that Plaintiff was unarmed.

VIII.

Responding to Paragraph 13 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that Plaintiff sustained an operational bite on his right leg and, except as expressly admitted, Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of remaining the allegations contained therein and based thereon, deny, generally and specifically, each, all and every remaining allegation contained therein.

IX.

Responding to Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and based thereon, deny, generally and specifically, each, all and every allegation contained therein.

X.

Responding to Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, Defendant incorporates herein its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 15.

XI.

Responding to Paragraphs 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, and 24 of the Complaint, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each, all and every allegation contained therein, and specifically denies any unlawful, unconstitutional, discriminatory, retaliatory, or otherwise wrongful conduct on the part of Defendant, or any agents, employees, officials, officers, offices, agencies, departments or divisions of the City of San Diego.

XII. 2 Responding to Paragraph 21 of the Complaint, Defendant incorporates herein 3 its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 20. 4 XIII. 5 Responding to Plaintiff's Prayer for Relief, no responsive pleading is 6 required. To the extent that said paragraph is deemed to allege facts to which a response is required, Defendant denies, generally and specifically, each, all and 8 every allegation contained in said Paragraph, and specifically deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief whatever. 10 FOR SUCH FURTHER ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, 11 Defendants allege as follows: **12** I. 13 Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of 14 action against this answering Defendant. 15 II. 16 Plaintiff failed to adequately mitigate his damages, if any, and any recovery 17 or any other award to which he is entitled should be reduced accordingly. 18 III. 19 Defendant, City of San Diego, is immune from liability in that a public entity 20 is not liable for an injury arising out of its acts or omissions or of a public 21 employee, in the absence of a statute declaring such liability 22 IV. 23 Defendant, City of San Diego, is not liable for an injury arising out of an act 24 or omission of its employees, where the subject employee is immune from liability. 25 V. **26** Income taxes must be deducted from all alleged past and future lost earnings, 27 if any. 28 ///// Document Number: 1273202

16cv865 BAS (DHB)

VI. To the extent the events of which Plaintiff complains were undertaken by 3 Defendant, Defendant denies any unlawful, unconstitutional, discriminatory, retaliatory, or otherwise wrongful motive and would have taken the same actions absent unlawful, unconstitutional, discriminatory, retaliatory, or otherwise wrongful motive. 7 VII. 8 Plaintiff's recovery, if any, is barred by the doctrine of unclean hands, laches, and estoppel. 10 VIII. 11 Some or all of Plaintiff's claims may be barred by the applicable statute of 12 limitations. 13 IX. 14 An employee is not liable for his acts or omissions, while exercising due 15 care, in the execution or enforcement of any law. 16 X. **17** Public employees are not liable for an injury caused by the act or omission of 18 another person. 19 XI. 20 Defendant is not liable for injuries resulting from acts or omissions which 21 were an exercise of discretion in the absence of a statute declaring such liability. 22 XII. 23 Defendant is not liable for actions taken by its police officers while acting 24 within the scope of their duties for injuries resulting from judicial or administrative 25 proceedings. **26** XIII. Defendant is not liable for actions taken by its police officers for the **27** 28 execution or enforcement of the California Penal Code where due care is exercised.

Document Number: 1273202

16cv865 BAS (DHB)

XIV.

Defendant is not liable for violation of the plaintiff's civil rights in that the alleged wrongful acts were not under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage of the City of San Diego.

XV.

The conduct in question did not constitute a violation of a federally protected right.

XVI.

At the time of the contact, the San Diego Police Officers attempted to persuade Plaintiff to follow directions and in doing so, only used force necessary for the occasion

XVII.

At all times, the conduct of the Defendants was reasonable, lawful, based on probable cause and within the scope of their official duties and employment.

XVIII.

Plaintiff was negligent in and about the matters alleged in the Complaint and said carelessness on his part proximately contributed to the happening of the alleged incident, injuries and damages complained of, if any such exist.

XIX.

The San Diego Police Officers were at all times alleged in the Complaint performing duties required by law under conditions required by law.

XX.

Any and all acts of Defendant or its officers at or near the time alleged in the Complaint were reasonable and Defendant and its officers had reasonable cause to act in the manner they did.

XXI.

At the time of the initial contact, the San Diego Police Officers at the scene were acting within the scope of their employment and had probable cause to believe Document Number: 1273202

28

that Plaintiff was engaging in, or had just engaged in, a prohibited activity. During the contact, the San Diego Police Officers were acting within the scope of their employment and had probable cause to believe that said person had committed a crime.

XXII.

The Court is without jurisdiction over the certain claims in the Complaint which therefore fails as a matter of law, as against this answering Defendant.

XXIII.

The injuries and damages, if any, were the result of the exercise of the discretion vested in the public entity/Defendant and each of them, and/or the officers, agents and/or employees of the public entity, and there is no liability therefore, including pursuant to the California Code, including the California Government Code, including sections 815.2(b) and 820.2.

XXIV.

This answering Defendant and/or a public employees are not liable for their acts or omissions, while exercising due care, in the execution or enforcement of any law, including pursuant to a California or federal code or law, including pursuant to the California Government Code, including sections 820.4 and/or 815.2.

XXV.

This answering Defendant and/or public employees are not liable for an injury caused by the act or omission of another person, including pursuant to the California Government Code, including section 820.8.

XXVI.

This answering Defendant and/or public employees are not liable for Plaintiff's own acts and conduct or the acts and conduct of a third party, which caused the underlying events at issue in the Complaint to occur, and but for the acts of the Plaintiff or the third party, the events alleged in the Complaint would not have occurred, and/or Plaintiff would not have been involved or engaged or Document Number: 1273202

otherwise subject to the matters alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint, including any citation, detention, apprehension, arrest, or control or force, if any, or otherwise having sustained the matters alleged, including any and all injuries, inconvenience and damages alleged in the Complaint.

XXVII.

If Plaintiff is entitled to recover for any damages suffered at the time and place alleged, then the total amount of damages to which Plaintiff would otherwise be entitled should be reduced in proportion to the amount of fault attributable to Plaintiff, or to a third person or persons, which fault directly and proximately contributed to Plaintiff's alleged damages.

XXVIII.

Pursuant to California Government Code section 985, any judgment entered herein may be reduced for collateral source payments paid or obligated to be paid for services or benefits that were provided before trial commenced.

XXIX.

All future damages, if any, must be reduced to present value.

XXX.

Plaintiff is not entitled to pretrial interest.

XXXI.

Plaintiff is not entitled to declaratory or injunctive relief, or prejudgment interest.

XXXII.

Defendant is not liable for punitive damages.

XXXIII.

This answering Defendant reserves the right to allege and does affirmatively allege and state the avoidance and affirmative defenses set forth in Rule 8 as if fully

7|| /////

/////

Document Number: 1273202

set forth herein, and particularly including assumption of risk, contributory negligence, negligence, estoppel, latches, res judicata, statute of limitations and 3 waiver XXXIV. 5 A reservation of right is made to allow amendment of the answer, to change or add an answer and/or affirmative defense as may become apparent during discovery in this action and reserve the right to amend this Answer to assert any 8 such defenses. WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that Plaintiff take nothing by his 10 Complaint and that Judgment be rendered in favor of Defendant and against 11 Plaintiff, for all costs of suit incurred herein, and for all other relief that the Court 12 deems proper. **13** JURY TRIAL DEMAND 14 Defendant demands a trial by jury in this action pursuant to Federal Rules of 15 Civil Procedure, Rule 38(d). 16 Dated: May 2, 2016 JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney **17** 18 19 Deputy City Attorney 20 Attorneys for Defendant 21 CITY OF SAN DIEGO 22 23 24 25 **26** 27 28

16cv865 BAS (DHB)

Document Number: 1273202