

1 CHAD A. READLER  
Acting Assistant Attorney General

2  
3 MICHAEL C. ORMSBY  
United States Attorney

4  
5 TERRY M. HENRY  
Assistant Branch Director

6  
7 ANDREW I. WARDEN (IN Bar No. 23840-49)

8 TIMOTHY A. JOHNSON  
United States Department of Justice  
9 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
20 Massachusetts Avenue NW  
10 Washington, D.C. 20530  
11 Tel: (202) 616-5084  
12 Fax: (202) 616-8470  
andrew.warden@usdoj.gov

13 Attorneys for the United States of America  
14

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
16 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

17 JAMES E. MITCHELL and  
18 JOHN JESSEN,  
19  
20 Petitioners,  
v.  
21 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
22  
23 Respondent.

No. 16-MC-0036-JLQ

UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO  
COMPEL DEPOSITIONS OF CIA  
WITNESSES GINA HASPEL AND  
"JOHN/JANE DOE"

Motion Hearing:  
To Be Scheduled At Court's Discretion

**Related Case:**

SULEIMAN ABDULLAH SALIM, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. 15-CV-286-JLQ

JAMES E. MITCHELL and  
JOHN JESSEN,

Defendants.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Petitioners' (Defendants in related case No. CV-15-0286-JLQ) fourth motion to  
2 compel seeks the testimony of two purported CIA witnesses – Gina Haspel and  
3 John/Jane Doe – that Defendants allege held senior operational positions in the CIA's  
4 former detention and interrogation program. *See* ECF No. 64. The Government,  
5 however, has never officially acknowledged whether either witness had any role in the  
6 former detention and interrogation program. To confirm or deny that fact would itself  
7 disclose classified information. *See, e.g., Hunt v. CIA*, 981 F.2d 1116, 1118-19 (9th  
8 Cir. 1992). Accordingly, the Government anticipates asserting the state secrets  
9 privilege in opposition to Defendants' motion.  
10  
11

12 The legal issues raised by Defendants' fourth motion to compel are the same as  
13 those raised by Defendants' third motion to compel (ECF No. 54), which also seeks  
14 deposition testimony of another purported CIA witness (James Cotsana) who  
15 Defendants allege, but the Government has never confirmed or denied, held a senior  
16 position in former detention and interrogation program. The Court has ordered that the  
17 Government's formal assertion of privilege with respect to Defendants' third motion be  
18 due on March 8, 2017. *See* Order (ECF No. 70).  
19  
20  
21

22 Because Defendants' third and fourth motions to compel raise the same issues of  
23 privilege with respect to the discovery in this case, the Government seeks leave to  
24 address and formally assert all of its privileges, including the state secrets privilege as  
25 appropriate, in response to both motions on March 8, 2017, in a single consolidated  
26  
27  
28

1 response. Undersigned counsel for the Government has conferred with counsel for  
2 Defendants regarding this proposed briefing schedule, and Defendants do not object.

3 Litigating the privilege issues in a consolidated fashion will be the most efficient  
4 way for the parties and the Court to obtain expeditious resolution of these issues. The  
5 Government's submission on March 8 will address all of the outstanding disputed  
6 privilege issues in this case, including with respect to the Government's document  
7 productions and Defendants' requests to depose alleged Government witnesses. A  
8 single, consolidated brief addressing all of the privilege issues will promote the Court's  
9 efficient resolution of these issues in a single decision because the all of the relevant  
10 legal argument will be presented in one submission addressing issues common to both  
11 of Defendants' motions to compel, as opposed to multiple briefs on various issues  
12 presented over the course of a staggered, and perhaps more lengthy, schedule. Indeed,  
13 given the March 8 deadline for responding to Defendants' third motion to compel,  
14 consolidating the responses to both motions on that date will not delay resolution of this  
15 case.  
16

17 As represented in the Government's opposition to Defendants' third motion to  
18 compel (ECF No. 59), and during the telephonic hearing on February 14, 2016, the  
19 Government has initiated the internal process to obtain the requisite authorization to  
20 assert the state secrets privilege in opposition to Mr. Cotsana's deposition as well as in  
21 Defendants' request for unredacted copies of CIA documents. The Government has  
22 also recently taken steps to expand the authorization process to include the two  
23 additional depositions that Defendants' seek in their fourth motion to compel. The  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Government is expediting the necessary preparation and review process for assertion of  
2 the state secrets privilege as much as possible, consistent with the with the care and  
3 coordinated review at senior levels of government that policy and law require. *See*  
4 *Mohamed v. Jeppesen Dataplan, Inc.*, 614 F.3d 1070, 1080 (9th Cir. 2010) (en banc)  
5  
6 (emphasizing the importance of the Government following its rigorous internal policies  
7 and procedures regarding assertion of the state secrets privilege). Accordingly, and in  
8 light of the Government's ongoing diligent efforts to fulfill its responsibilities regarding  
9 a potential assertion of the state secrets privilege in this case, the Government  
10 respectfully requests that it be permitted to file its consolidated response to Defendants'  
11 third and fourth motions to compel on March 8, 2017.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Dated: February 22, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

2 CHAD A. READLER  
3 Acting Assistant Attorney General

4 MICHAEL C. ORMSBY  
5 United States Attorney

6 TERRY M. HENRY  
7 Assistant Branch Director

8 s/ Andrew I. Warden

9 ANDREW I. WARDEN  
10 TIMOTHY A. JOHNSON  
11 Indiana Bar No. 23840-49  
12 Senior Trial Counsel  
13 United States Department of Justice  
14 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
15 20 Massachusetts Avenue NW  
16 Washington, D.C. 20530  
17 Tel: (202) 616-5084  
18 Fax: (202) 616-8470  
19 andrew.warden@usdoj.gov

20 Attorneys for the United States of America  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 22, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Dror Ladin:  
Dladin@aclu.Org

Brian Paszamant:  
Paszamant@blankrome.Com

Hina Shamsi:  
Hshamsi@aclu.Org

Henry Schuelke, III:  
Hschuelke@blankrome.Com

Paul L Hoffman:  
Hoffpaul@aol.Com

James Smith:  
Smith-Jt@blankrome.Com

Steven Watt:  
Swatt@aclu.Org

Christopher Tompkins:  
Ctompkins@bpmlaw.Com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

*Attorneys for Defendants*

/s/ Andrew I. Warden

ANDREW I. WARDEN  
Indiana Bar No. 23840-49  
Senior Trial Counsel  
United States Department of Justice  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
Tel: (202) 616-5084  
Fax: (202) 616-8470

Attorney for the United States of America