

TABLE 2: Quantitative evaluation of state infrastructures, by selected characteristics (ranked 1-5, with 5 as highest)

■ = Includes Barder Fund state-infrastructure grantee

■ = Includes group(s) that made declined RFP submission

■ = Includes state-infrastructure grantee in regular program

■ = Includes group(s) whose submission was declined, but staff initially thought merited further review

	Respected, dynamic leadership	Think tank(s)	Investigative Journalism	Opposition research	Legal component	Receptive policy- makers	Symbolic w/ grassroots groups	Local funding support	TOTAL "SCORE" (MAX. 40)
Alabama	3	4	2	2	1	5	4	3	24
Alaska	1	1	1	1	1	4	3	3	15
Arizona	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	38
Arkansas	2	3	2	2	2	5	4	2	22
California	4	4	3	3	5	1	3	4	27
Colorado	5	5	5	5	4	2	5	5	36
Connecticut	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	3	13
Delaware	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	12
Florida	4	4	4	3	5	4	4	3	31
Georgia	5	4	3	2	2	5	5	3	29
Hawaii	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
Idaho	2	3	2	2	2	4	3	3	21
Illinois	5	5	4	4	4	3	5	4	34
Indiana	4	3	3	2	2	5	4	3	26
Iowa	3	2	1	1	1	3	3	2	16
Kansas	3	3	2	2	1	5	5	4	25
Kentucky	2	3	2	2	1	3	3	3	19
Louisiana	4	3	2	2	1	2	3	3	20
Maine	2	3	2	2	1	3	3	2	18
Maryland	4	3	3	2	1	2	3	2	20
Massachusetts	4	5	3	3	1	1	2	3	22
Michigan	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	39
Minnesota	5	5	3	2	4	1	3	3	26
Mississippi	2	2	1	1	1	5	3	3	18
Missouri	3	3	2	1	1	3	5	2	20

(CMD reproduction of Bradley Foundation graph)

[TABLE 2: Quantitative evaluation of state infrastructures, by selected characteristics (ranked 1-5, with 5 as highest) - cont'd]

■ = Includes Border Fund state-infrastructure grantee

■ = Includes group(s) that made declined RFP submission

■ = Includes state-infrastructure grantee in regular program

■ = Includes group(s) whose submission was declined, but staff initially thought merited further review

	Respected, dynamic leadership	Think tank(s)	Investigative Journalism	Opposition research	Legal component	Receptive policy- makers	Symbolic w/ grassroots groups	Local funding support	TOTAL "SCORE" (MAX. 40)
Montana	2	3	4	3	1	3	3	3	22
Nebraska	2	3	2	2	1	4	3	3	20
Nevada	3	3	2	2	3	5	3	3	24
New Hampshire	3	3	4	3	1	4	3	4	25
New Jersey	2	2	1	1	1	2	5	3	17
New Mexico	2	2	1	1	1	3	2	2	14
New York	5	5	3	2	1	2	2	3	23
North Carolina	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	38
North Dakota	1	2	2	1	1	5	5	3	20
Ohio	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	30
Oklahoma	4	4	4	4	3	5	5	4	33
Oregon	2	2	2	1	1	1	4	3	16
Pennsylvania	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	4	27
Rhode Island	3	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	13
South Carolina	2	3	4	3	1	4	5	3	25
South Dakota	1	3	1	1	1	5	5	3	20
Tennessee	2	3	2	2	1	5	3	2	20
Texas	5	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	37
Utah	3	4	2	1	1	5	4	4	24
Vermont	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Virginia	4	4	3	2	3	3	5	3	27
Washington	5	4	4	4	5	2	5	3	32
West Virginia	3	2	1	1	1	3	2	1	14
Wisconsin	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	39
Wyoming	3	2	1	1	1	5	3	2	18

(CMD reproduction of Bradley Foundation graph)