1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)	N, LLP
2	charles R. Vernoeven (Bai No. 170131) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)	
3	davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)	
4	melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com	
5	John Neukom (Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com	
6	Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com	
7	50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4788	
8	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
9	Attorneys for WAYMO LLC	
10	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCI	SCO DIVISION
13	WAYMO LLC,	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939
14	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
15	vs.	STATEMENT REGARDING QUESTIONS IT INTENDS TO ASK ANTHONY
16	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,	LEVANDOWSKI AT TRIAL
17	Defendants.	Judge: The Honorable William Alsup
18	Detendants.	Trial Date: October 10, 2017
19		
20		PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

The statement below identifies questions that Waymo currently intends to ask Levandowski at trial and describes circumstances and evidence in the record regarding the subject matter of those questions. Waymo's position regarding adverse inferences is stated in its memorandum submitted herewith.

Waymo is awaiting further production of documents from Defendants and third parties and is conducting numerous depositions this month in advance of its August 1 deadline to elect trade secrets for presentation at trial.

Waymo has not yet taken specific discovery (including depositions) based on Defendants' log listing oral and written communications wherein Mr. Levandowski mentioned LiDAR to any officer, director, employee, agent, supplier, or consultant of defendants. Defendants produced that log on June 23, substantially updated it on June 27, June 30, and July 3, and have represented that they plan to update it further.

Waymo is awaiting production of the due diligence report and related correspondence currently listed on Defendants' privilege logs and intends to take specific discovery regarding those documents. Waymo is also awaiting production of underlying due diligence investigation materials (including forensics information) held at Stroz. Waymo expects that its review of these materials will influence its trial strategy and its questions for Mr. Levandowski.

For all of these reasons, the list of questions below is necessarily incomplete and subject to substantial revision. Waymo reserves its rights to make changes to these questions as discovery proceeds and its trial strategy evolves (even with respect to information already in the record). To the extent necessary to further crystallize issues related to adverse inferences for purposes of motion practice, Waymo proposes that the parties submit lists of questions they intend to ask Anhony Levandowski at trial as of August 7, after the substantial completion of fact discovery, resolution of appeals regarding the production of materials currently withheld as privileged, and Waymo's election of trade secrets, but substantially in advance of the pretrial conference.

#### A. Work For Google/Waymo

- 1. When were you employed by Google?
- 2. You were employed by Google from 2007 until early 2016, correct?

1	3.	You were paid more than \$100 million during your employment at Google, right?
2	4.	You were paid approximately \$120 million by Google in late 2015 and mid 2016?
3	5.	What were your responsibilities during your employment at Google?
4	6.	Did you have responsibilities related to Google's self-driving car program?
5	7.	What were those responsibilities?
6	8.	Did you have responsibilities related to the development of LiDAR for self-driving
7		cars?
8	9.	What were those responsibilities?
9	10.	You were involved in developing Google's technology for self-driving cars, correct?
10	11.	You were involved in developing Google's software for self-driving cars, correct?
11	12.	You were involved in developing Google's LiDAR technology, correct?
12	13.	You oversaw aspects of Google's development of self-driving car technology over
13		several years, correct?
14	14.	You agree Google's self-driving car technology required years of research and
15		development?
16	15.	You agree that, in part, Google's self-driving car technology has been the result of trial
17		and error with respect to implementation details, manufacturing details, and the like?
18	16.	You agree Google invested significant resources into developing self-driving car
19		technology?
20	17.	You were familiar with Google's self-driving car technology at the time you left
21		Google, correct?
22	18.	You were familiar with how Google documented its self-driving car technology at the
23		time you left Google, correct?
24	19.	You knew how to access the details of Google's self-driving car technology and
25		documentation at the time you left Google, correct?
26	20.	You understand that the self-driving car program at Google was ultimately spun off
27		into a separate corporate entity called Waymo, right?
28	Description Of	Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski will

1	invoke his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination in response to most if not all of thes		
2	questions. Evidence of the answers to the questions above regarding Waymo's development of self		
3	driving cars and Mr. Levandowski's participation will be offered through at least testimony of Waymo		
4	witnesses, suc	ch as Pierre-Yves Droz and others. (See, e.g., Dkt. 25-31.)	
5	B. Comp	petition In The Self-Driving Car Market	
6	21.	Based on your experience at Waymo, you would agree with the statement that there is	
7		an ongoing race to be the first to successfully commercialize self-driving cars, right?	
8	22.	Based on your experience at Waymo, about how many competitors are engaged in that	
9		race?	
10	23.	Based on your experience at Waymo, what are some factors for success in	
11		commercializing self-driving cars?	
12	24.	Technology with a proven safety record is a factor, right?	
13	25.	Technology that drives down cost is a factor, right?	
14	26.	The performance of a self-driving car's LiDAR system affects safety, right?	
15	27.	The costs associated with LiDAR are a significant contributor to the overall cost of	
16		commercializing self-driving cars, right?	
17	Description O	of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski wil	
18	invoke his Fif	th Amendment privilege against self-incrimination in response to most if not all of these	
19	questions. Th	e answers to these questions will be corroborated by Waymo witnesses, such as Pierre-Yves	
20	Droz (see, e.	g., Dkt. 25-31), Ron Medford, Daniel Chu and others, documentary evidence from	
21	Google/Waymo (see, e.g., Dkt. 27-2 - 27-14), documentary evidence from Uber (see, e.g., Dkt. 27-15)		
22	including text messages between Travis Kalinick and Anthony Levandowski (e.g., LEV_002083		
23	LEV_002088	-90; LEV_002091; LEV_002094; LEV_002106; LEV_002017; LEV_002160 ), and/or	
24	expert opinion	1.	
25	C. Confi	<u>dentiality</u>	
26	28.	From your experience at Waymo, you understand that competitors in the self-driving	
27		car space do not freely share all of their technological developments with each other,	
28		right?	

1	29.	You were aware that Waymo considered certain of its technical information with
2		respect to self-driving cars to be highly confidential, right?
3	30.	You were aware that Waymo took steps to prevent the disclosure of confidential
4		technical information related to their self-driving car program, right?
5	31.	You are aware that Waymo required employees to password protect their
6		computers and other hardware, correct?
7	32.	You are aware that Waymo's networks and other digital storage repositories were
8		password protected, correct?
9	33.	You understand that Waymo monitored use and access to its devices/networks for
10		security purposes, correct?
11	34.	You understand that Waymo maintained security software to prevent unauthorized
12		access, correct?
13	35.	You are aware that Waymo provided network security training to employees with
14		access to its networks, correct?
15	36.	You are aware that Waymo limited application access and network access
16		containing sensitive material to users with "need to know," right?
17	37.	You are aware that Waymo had a policy requiring its employees to safeguard its
18		computer networks and digital information, right?
19	38.	You are aware that Waymo had a policy against its employees accessing its digital
20		information for reasons unrelated to business activities, right?
21	39.	You know that Google's SVN sever was password protected, right?
22	40.	You know that Google's SVN server was limited to only certain Google
23		employees, right?
24	41.	The SVN server required specialized software to access, right?
25	42.	As part of your work at Waymo, you had access to information that you understood to
26		be confidential, right?
27	43.	You were aware that you had a duty to Waymo to maintain the confidentiality of
28		competitively sensitive information, correct?
- 1	I	

51.

You were aware of all of those aspects of LiDAR development at Waymo, correct?

1	52.	Google and Waymo have spent at least seven years developing proprietary LiDAR
2		systems, right?
3	53.	Waymo's proprietary LiDAR systems improve the ability of self-driving cars to
4		navigate safely, correct?
5	54.	And that would include in city environments?
6	55.	City environments are the most difficult for self-driving cars to navigate, correct?
7	56.	By designing its own LiDAR systems, Waymo has driven down its cost to
8		commercialize self-driving cars, you would agree with that?
9	57.	You understand that Waymo considers cost to be a barrier to commercializing self-
10		driving technology, right?
11	58.	You understand Uber also considers cost to be a barrier to commercializing self-
12		driving technology, right?
13	59.	Waymo's LiDAR systems are made up of thousands of individual hardware and
14		software components that can be configured in millions of combinations and designs,
15		correct?
16	60.	In the course of Waymo's efforts to design its own LiDAR systems, some of Waymo's
17		work was based on trial and error, right?
18	61.	Can you provide some examples?
19	62.	One example is when the Waymo team had to abandon a LiDAR design that was
20		based in part on the use of a right?
21	63.	And that was abandoned because after a lot of effort, the Waymo team decided that it
22		was right?
23	64.	Even though the LiDAR design using the was not ultimately used,
24		the Waymo team still learned from its work on that design, right?
25	65.	And it utilized that learning in moving forward with different LiDAR designs, right?
26	Description	Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski will
27	invoke his	Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination in response to all of these
28	questions.	Waymo will offer evidence regarding the answers to these questions through Waymo
	1	

1	witnesses, such as Pierre-Yves Droz (Dkt. 25-31) and others, documentary evidence from Google/Waymo		
2	(e.g. Dkt. 25-33 - 25-41), potentially documentary evidence from Uber (e.g., UBER00072238), and expert		
3	opinion.		
4	E. <u>E</u>	Early C	Contacts With Uber
5	6	6.	At some point, did you begin talking to anyone at Uber regarding self-driving car
6			technology?
7	6′	7.	When did those conversations begin?
8	6	8.	Those conversations began as early as May 2015, right?
9	69	9.	That was eight months before you resigned from Waymo, correct?
10	70	0.	The discussion in May 2015 was with an executive at Uber, right?
11	7	1.	What did you discuss with that Uber executive in May 2015?
12	7:	2.	You specifically discussed LiDAR technology with that Uber executive in May 2015,
13			correct?
14	7:	3.	You had access to Waymo's confidential information related to LiDAR at that time,
15			correct?
16	7.	4.	Because you were still employed at Waymo at that time, correct?
17	7:	5.	You continued to have discussions with employees at Uber regarding self-driving car
18			technology in the fall and winter of 2015, correct?
19	70	6.	You had discussions with Uber executives in the fall and winter of 2015, correct?
20	7'	7.	You had discussions with Uber engineers in the fall and winter of 2015, correct?
21	7	8.	And all of those executives and engineers were involved in Uber's self-driving car
22			program, right?
23	79	9.	And you specifically discussed LiDAR with those Uber executives and engineers in
24			the fall and winter of 2015, right?
25	80	0.	You had access to Google's confidential information related to LiDAR at the time of
26			those conversations, correct?
27	8	1.	You were still employed at Waymo in the fall of 2015, correct?
28	82	2.	Beyond discussing LiDAR with Uber employees in the fall of 2015, did you discuss

	I	
1		anything else with those employees?
2	83.	You also discussed forming a self-driving vehicle business that Uber could acquire,
3		correct?
4	84.	And you discussed what LiDAR technology could be obtained by Uber in the context
5		of such an acquisition, correct?
6	85.	You continued to have discussions with anyone at Uber regarding self-driving car
7		technology in early January 2016, right?
8	86.	Who did you have those conversations with?
9	87.	Some of those conversations were with Travis Kalanick, Uber's CEO, correct?
10	88.	What did you discuss with Mr. Kalanick in early January 2016?
11	89.	You specifically discussed LiDAR technology with Mr. Kalanick in early January
12		2016, correct?
13	90.	You had access to Google's confidential information related to LiDAR at the time of
14		your early January 2016 conversations with Mr. Kalanick, correct?
15	91.	You were still employed at Waymo in early January 2016, correct?
16	92.	Beyond discussing LiDAR with Mr. Kalanick in early January 2016, did you discuss
17		anything else with him?
18	93.	You discussed forming a self-driving vehicle business that Uber could acquire, correct?
19	94.	And you discussed LiDAR technology that could be obtained by Uber in the context of
20		such an acquisition, right?
21	Description Of	Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski will
22	invoke his Fift	h Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these questions. Waymo will
23	offer evidence	regarding the answers to these questions through Defendant's log of all oral and written
24	communication	ns wherein Mr. Levandowski mentioned LiDAR to any officer, director, employee, agent,
25	supplier, or con	nsultant of Defendants and through documentary evidence from Uber (including calendar
26	files, emails, a	nd other documents related to discussions surrounding the formation of "NewCo"). (See,
27	e.g., Dkt. 712,	, Ex. 1; Dkt. 176-3.) Waymo will also offer evidence regarding the answers to these
28	questions thro	ugh testimony by those Uber employees who met with Levandowski to discuss Uber's

1	acquisition of "New	vCo." (See, e.g., Poetzscher Tr. 35:23-:36:21, 77:21-64:10, 82:16-85:1; Qi Tr. 139:18-	
2	141:3, 148:20-149:3.) Adverse inferences regarding Levandowski's discussions with Uber – during hi		
3	employment at Go	ogle/Waymo - regarding LiDAR and a potential acquisition would supplement this	
4	evidentiary record.		
5	F. Theft Of Fi	<u>les</u>	
6	95. On	December 11, 2015, you installed software on your laptop to access the Waymo	
7	des	ign server, right?	
8	96. Tha	at design server holds detailed technical information related to Waymo's LiDAR	
9	syst	tems and other technology, right?	
10	97. Tha	at design server holds blueprints for the key hardware components of Waymo's	
11	LiD	OAR systems, correct?	
12	98. On	December 11, 2015, you downloaded the entirety of that design server, right?	
13	99. You	u downloaded over 14,000 from Waymo's design server, correct?	
14	100. Tha	at was more than 9.7 gigabytes of information?	
15	101. At 1	least 2 gigabytes of that download related to Waymo's LiDAR technology, right?	
16	102. Spe	ecifications for each version of every generation of Waymo's LiDAR circuit boards	
17	wer	re included in your download, correct?	
18	103. The	ese some examples of the files that you downloaded, right?	
19	104. Bef	Fore this, you never once installed software for accessing Waymo's design server,	
20	righ	nt?	
21	105. You	u never used this software as part of your regular job duties, right?	
22	106. In f	act, you had to look up on Google's intranet how to install the software because you	
23	had	never done it before, right?	
24	107. Afte	er downloading the files, on December 14, 2015, you attached an SD Card to the	
25	lapt	top containing the downloaded files, right?	
26	108. You	u left the SD Card attached to the laptop for approximately 8 hours, correct?	
27	109. You	u transfer the downloaded files to the SD card, right?	
28	110. Afte	er transferring the downloaded files to the SD Card, you reformatted the laptop?	

1	111.	You did that in an attempt to erase any evidence of what happened to the downloaded
	111.	
2		files, right?
3	112.	After reformatting the laptop, did you ever use the laptop again?
4	113.	You used it for a few minutes and then never used it again, right?
5	114.	On January 4 and January 11 of 2016, you used your corporate account credentials to
6		export six LiDAR documents to a personal device, right?
7	115.	These documents included five internal presentations containing proprietary technical
8		details regarding Waymo's LiDAR systems, correct?
9	116.	These are some examples of the presentations you downloaded, right?
10	117.	This presentation contains technical details regarding the manufacture of Waymo's
11		LiDAR sensors, right?
12	118.	This presentation contains technical details regarding the calibration of Waymo's
13		LiDAR sensors, right?
14	119.	This presentation contains technical details regarding the testing of Waymo's LiDAR
15		sensors, right?
16	120.	To your knowledge, at the time you downloaded these presentations in early January
17		2016, none of Waymo's competitors were aware of these technical details regarding
18		Waymo's LiDAR systems, right?
19	121.	Why did you download Waymo's entire design server and other materials in December
20		2015 and January 2016?
21	122.	It was because you were about to resign from Waymo to work on Uber's self-driving
22		car program, right?
23	123.	And because you intended to use the 14,000+ files from the design server as a
24		reference for work you and your company would be doing on Uber's self-driving car
25		program, right?
26	Description O	f Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski will
27		h Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these questions. Waymo will
28		regarding the answers to questions about the theft through its own witnesses, including

- 1			
1	Pierre-Yves Droz and Gary Brown, and through its own documents. Much of this evidence wa		
2	previewed in connection with Waymo's motion for a preliminary injunction. (See, e.g., Dkt. 25-3, 25-29		
3	25-31, 25-43, 25-49.) This same evidence, along with the evidence cited in Part E above and Part F		
4	below, corrob	orates adverse inferences with respect to the questions regarding Mr. Levandowski's intent	
5	G. <u>Form</u>	ation of Ottomoto and Resignation From Waymo	
6	124.	Four days after you finished downloading materials from Waymo's servers, you	
7		formed a company that you would later call Ottomotto, right?	
8	125.	That was on January 15, 2016?	
9	126.	Your plan was to use your new company to "replicate" Waymo's LiDAR technology,	
10		right?	
11	127.	Downloading the contents of Waymo's confidential design server was part of that plan,	
12		right?	
13	128.	And using the contents of the downloaded files to advance development of a	
14		"replicated" LiDAR system was part of that plan, correct?	
15	129.	You resigned from Waymo on January 27, 2016, correct?	
16	130.	You did not provide any notice to Waymo that you intended to resign, correct?	
17	Description C	Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: W aymo expects that Mr. Levandowski wil	
18	invoke his Fif	th Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these questions. Waymo wil	
19	offer evidence	e regarding the answers to questions regarding Ottomotto's formation and Mr. Levandowski's	
20	resignation th	rough publicly available information and its own witnesses and documents. (See, e.g., Dkt	
21	27-21.) Corro	oborating evidence regarding Mr. Levandowski's plan to replicate Waymo's technology wil	
22	also be offere	d through Waymo's own witnesses. (Dkt 25-31 (Droz Decl.) ¶ 27.) This evidence, and the	
23	evidence cited	d in Parts F above and H below, corroborate adverse inferences related to questions regarding	
24	the use of the	stolen files to replicate Waymo's LiDAR technology.	
25	H. Event	s Contemporaneous With Theft Of Files And Formation Of Ottomoto	
26	131.	While you were downloading more than 14,000 files from Waymo's design server in	
27		December 2015 and January 2016, you were having discussions with executives and	
28		engineers and Uber, right?	

1	132.	Including with Mr. Kalinick, Uber's CEO?
2	133.	You discussed LiDAR technology with those executives and engineers in December
3		2015 and January 2016, right?
4	134.	On the evening of December 2, 2015, you met in person for approximately half an
5		hour with Uber executive Brian McClendon at Uber's San Francisco headquarters in
6		San Francisco, correct?
7	135.	On the afternoon of December 4, 2015, you met in person for approximately an hour
8		with Uber executive Cameron Poetzscher and Uber employee Nina Qi at Uber's
9		headquarters in San Francisco, correct?
10	136.	On the afternoon of December 11, 2015, you met in person for approximately an hour
11		with Uber executives Brian McClendon, Cameron Poetzscher, and Emil Michael, and
12		Uber employee Nina Qi, at Uber's headquarters in San Francisco, correct?
13	137.	And at those meetings, you discussed forming a self-driving vehicle company for Uber
14		to acquire, right?
15	138.	And it was right after those meetings at Uber – on December 11, 2015 – that you began
16		downloading Waymo's confidential design server and other documents, right?
17	139.	Because you knew you would be leaving Waymo for Uber, correct?
18	140.	And you were taking Waymo's confidential materials to assist Uber with the
19		development of its self-driving car program, right?
20	141.	It was your understanding that Uber expected you to have access to Waymo's
21		confidential information and files, correct?
22	142.	It was your understanding that Uber was interested in acquiring your self-driving
23		vehicle company because it would be able to leverage Google's confidential
24		information?
25	Description Of	Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski will
26	invoke his Fift	h Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these questions. Waymo will
27	offer evidence	regarding the answers to questions regarding the timing and content of Mr. Levandowski's
$_{28}$	conversations	with Uber executives and employees through Defendants' log of all oral and written

1	communicatio	ns wherein Mr. Levandowski mentioned LiDAR to any officer, director, employee, agent,
2	supplier, or co	onsultant of Defendants (Dkt. 712, Ex. 1) and through documentary evidence from Uber
3	(including cale	endar files and emails and other documents related to discussions surrounding the formation
4	of "NewCo").	(Dkt. 176-3.) Waymo will also offer evidence regarding the answers to these questions
5	through testim	nony by Uber employees who met with Levandowski to discuss Uber's acquisition of
6	"NewCo." (Se	ee, e.g., Poetzscher Tr. 35:23-:36:21, 77:21-64:10, 82:16-85:1; Qi Tr. 139:18-141:3, 148:20-
7	149:3.) This	evidence, along with the evidence cited in the preceding Parts, corroborates adverse
8	inferences on o	questions regarding Mr. Levandowski's intent and his understanding of Uber's intent.
9	I. <u>Contin</u>	nuation Of Work Directly With Uber After Resignation From Waymo
10	143.	By the time you resigned from Waymo, you had had multiple discussions with Uber
11		executives and engineers regarding self-driving car technology, correct?
12	144.	And by the time you resigned from Waymo, you had had multiple discussions with
13		Uber executives and engineers regarding LiDAR technology, correct?
14	145.	Did you continue having those conversations with Uber executives and engineers
15		immediately upon your resignation from Waymo?
16	146.	You met with Uber employees on the very day you resigned from Waymo, correct?
17	147.	What did you discuss during that meeting?
18	148.	You discussed LiDAR technology, correct?
19	149.	And by that time, Waymo's entire design server was in your personal possession,
20		right?
21	150.	Because you had downloaded it the previous month?
22	151.	And you had just downloaded the Waymo confidential presentations we saw earlier,
23		right?
24	152.	Did you refer to those downloaded materials in preparation for your meeting with Uber
25		on the same day you resigned from Waymo?
26	153.	On February 8, you had a phone conversation with John Bares at Uber, correct?
27	154.	What was your understanding of Mr. Bares' role at Uber at the time?
28	155.	Mr. Bares was the Director and Founder of Uber's Advanced Technologies Center,

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 15 of 46

1		correct?
2	156.	What was your understanding of Mr. Bares' responsibilities?
3	157.	He had substantial responsibilities for Uber's self-driving car program, correct?
4	158.	You discussed LiDAR technology with Mr. Bares during your February 8 phone
5		conversation, right?
6	159.	You referred to the downloaded materials in preparation for your meeting with Mr.
7		Bares, correct?
8	160.	You continued to have conversations with Uber executives and engineers throughout
9		the period between your resignation from Waymo in January 2016 and Uber's
0		acquisition of Ottomotto in August 2016, correct?
1	161.	These conversations dealt with the terms of Uber's acquisition of Ottomotto, right?
2	162.	But these conversations also included brainstorming sessions on LiDAR development,
3		correct?
4	163.	You discussed LiDAR specifications?
5	164.	You discussed LiDAR simulations?
6	165.	You discussed LiDAR laser design plans?
7	166.	You discussed LiDAR ?
8	167.	During this time frame, after you left Waymo and before Uber acquired Ottomotto,
9		you had Waymo's entire design server in your personal possession, correct?
20	168.	You accessed those materials to assist you in your work on LiDAR for Uber, correct?
21	169.	You performed work for Uber from your home, right?
22	170.	And that's where you had your copy of Waymo's confidential materials, right?
23	171.	Uber knew that you were in possession of Waymo information during this period,
24		correct?
25	172.	On March 11, 2016, you reported to Uber's CEO and other Uber executives that you
26		had five discs in your possession containing Google information, correct?
27	173.	No one at Uber told you to return these discs to Google, did they?
28	174.	At Uber's direction, you then destroyed these five discs, correct?

- 175. You destroyed the discs in order to hide the fact that you had taken and were using Google's information for the benefit of Uber, correct?
- 176. During this time frame, you instructed Uber employees not to communicate with you by email, correct?
- 177. And you instructed Uber employees to destroy text messages received from you, correct?
- 178. Why did you instruct Uber employees not to send you emails?
- 179. Why did you instruct Uber employees to delete text messages received from you?
- 180. It was to hide evidence that you were using Waymo's confidential materials in connection with Uber's self-driving car program, right?
- 181. During this period, you were well aware that Waymo might bring a lawsuit regarding the events we have been discussing today, right?
- 182. And you personally destroyed documents regarding your use of Waymo's confidential files in anticipation of such a lawsuit, correct?

Description Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski will invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these questions. Waymo will offer evidence regarding the answers to questions regarding communications with Uber employees through Defendants' log of all oral and written communications wherein Mr. Levandowski mentioned LiDAR to any officer, director, employee, agent, supplier, or consultant of Defendants, documentary evidence from Uber (including calendar files, emails, and other documents) (Dkt. 712, Ex. 1), and admissions by Uber deponents. (*See, e.g.*, Dkt. 246-14, 246-15, Dkt. 246-16; Pennecot Tr. 42:15-45:10, 71:10-72:5; Linaval Tr. 35:13-14; Boehmke Tr. 12:1-4, 16:18-17:3, 20:11-16; Haslim Tr. 93:24-94:24; Qi Tr. at 177:4-23.) Evidence regarding Mr. Levandowski's instructions regarding emails and texts can be found in documents produced by Uber (including UBER00071620 at UBER00060169-22) and the deposition testimony of Nina Qi and Cameron Poetzscher. (Qi Tr. at 177:4-23; Poetzscher Tr. at 52:2-19, 152:1-10, 159:2-24.) Evidence regarding Mr. Levandowski's possession of 5 discs containing Waymo information, and Uber's knowledge of the same, is corroborated by Uber's responses to Waymo's Interrogatories. (*See 6/8/2017 Response to Waymo's First Set of Expedited Interrogatories*.) This

evidence, and the relative lack of emails and texts from Mr. Levandowski produced in this case,

corroborates Mr. Levandowski's more general practice of destroying documents. Mr. Levandowski's

awareness of the likelihood of litigation is corroborated by Defendants' privilege logs, the February 22

Term Sheet (which references super duper litigation), and the Uber / Ottomotto acquisition documents.

timing of that download, the destruction of evidence regarding the download, events contemporaneous to

the download (including Mr. Levandowski's discussions with Uber regarding LiDAR technology, the

formation of Ottomotto, and Mr. Levandowski's resignation from Waymo), and Mr. Levandowski's

efforts to avoid the creation/preservation of documentary evidence regarding his activities vis-a-vis Uber

support an adverse inference that Mr. Levandowski referenced the downloaded materials during his work

for Uber and Ottomotto between the time of the download and the time of the acquisition of Ottomotto by

Uber. Evidence currently withheld on privilege grounds may provide additional corroboration. The

absence of evidence regarding any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to prevent Mr.

Levandowski from accessing the stolen files during his work for Uber during this time frame and/or to

purge any materials derived from the stolen files from Ottomotto prior to the acquisition further

corroborates the adverse inference that Waymo's files were accessed and referenced between between

Generally, at least evidence regarding Mr. Levandowski's download of the 14,000+ files, the

2

1

5

6

4

7 8

10 11

9

12 13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### J. Acquisition Of Ottomotto

January and August 2016.

- 183. Do you recognize this term sheet dated February 22, 2016?
- 184. You signed it, correct?
- 185. Is it your understanding that this term sheet relates to the acquisition of your company Ottomotto by Uber?
- 186. There was less than a month between you resigning from Waymo and Uber entering into this term sheet to acquire Ottomotto, correct?
- 187. Ottomotto did not develop any of its own, proprietary self-driving car technology in less than a month?
- 188. Do you recognize this agreement dated April 11, 2016?
- 189. You signed it, correct?

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 18 of 46

1	190.	Is it your understanding that this agreement relates to the acquisition of your company
2		Ottomotto by Uber?
3	191.	There was less than three months between you forming Ottomotto on January 15, 2016
4		and Uber entering into this agreement to acquire Ottomotto, correct?
5	192.	Ottomotto did not develop any of its own, proprietary self-driving car technology in
6		less than three months, right?
7	193.	During these three months, Ottomotto's operations were run out of your home, correct?
8	194.	And that was where you had your copy of Waymo's confidential files, right?
9	195.	And you had been bringing over other former Waymo employees to join you at
10		Ottomotto, correct?
11	196.	And they worked out of your home, right?
12	197.	And you were discussing LiDAR designs, tools, and techniques with them, right?
13	198.	For example, on February 11, 2016, you hade a one-on-one meeting with Dan Gruver,
14		right?
15	199.	He was formerly of Waymo, correct?
16	200.	And you two brainstormed LiDAR designs, tools, and techniques during that meeting
17		on February 11, 2016, correct?
18	201.	And that meeting occurred at your home?
19	202.	And you referenced Waymo's confidential files in connection with that meeting,
20		correct?
21	203.	What specifically did you discuss with Mr. Gruver?
22	204.	You discussed issues related to right?
23	205.	You discussed issues related to the
24		right?
25	206.	You discussed, right?
26	207.	And these conversations were for the benefit of developing LiDAR technology for use
27		at Uber, right?
28	208.	Mr. Gruver went on to work at Uber, right?

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 19 of 46

1	209.	And do you know how many discussions he had with Uber employees regarding
2		LiDAR designs, tools, and techniques?
3	210.	And the guidance you gave Mr. Gruver was incorporated in the development work
4		done at Uber, correct?
5	211.	In connection with Uber's acquisition of Ottomotto, you were to be paid based on
6		achieved for Uber, correct?
7	212.	Do you recognize this statement of those ?
8	213.	Several of these relate to LiDAR development, correct?
9	214.	Was it your understanding that if you reached these on the dates specified,
10		you and the other founders at Ottomotto would receive up to \$680 million?
11	215.	At the same time you were negotiating these , you were providing
12		information to Uber regarding your downloading of confidential materials from
13		Waymo, right?
14	216.	Do you recognize these provisions of the acquisition agreements?
15	217.	They refer to "Bad Acts"?
16	218.	Do you understand that "Bad Acts" are specifically defined to include the
17		misappropriation of trade secrets from Waymo?
18	219.	And you provided information regarding those Bad Acts to Uber in connection with
19		the acquisition, right?
20	220.	So, again, Uber was aware that you had downloaded Waymo's confidential design
21		server and other confidential materials, right?
22	221.	And Uber was aware that you had those materials at your home while you were
23		working with Uber on their self-driving car technology, right?
24	222.	And Uber was aware that Ottomotto was operating out of your home prior to the
25		acquisition, right?
26	223.	Ottomotto was created to transition information derived from Waymo's confidential
27		files to Uber, right?
28	224.	Ottomotto could use the downloaded files to guide its brainstorming efforts on LiDAR
- 1		

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 20 of 46

1		technology for the ultimate benefit of Uber, correct?	
2	225.	Otherwise, there was no reason at all for the formation of Ottomotto, correct?	
3	226.	Uber could have just hired you, right?	
4	227.	There was no need for Ottomotto to exist for just over a month before Uber agreed to	
5		acquire it except to filter Waymo's confidential information, right?	
6	228.	Because you and Uber were well aware that litigation was a distinct possibility, right?	
7	229.	You and Uber were discussing that very possibility leading up to the signing of the	
8		February 22, Term Sheet, right?	
9	<u>Description O</u>	f Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski will	
0	invoke his Fift	th Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these questions. Waymo will	
1	offer evidence	e regarding the answers to questions regarding Mr. Levandowski's communications with	
2	employees at U	Uber through the testimony of Uber witnesses (see Poetzscher Tr. at 333:17-337:21; Ron Tr.	
3	at 138:2-139:18, 141:7-18; Bares Tr. at 21:5-24:10), the answers to questions regarding the acquisition of		
4	Ottomotto through documentary evidence (including the Term Sheet, Put/Call Agreement, and Exhibits)		
5	(Dkt. No. 515-11, 510-3), and the answers to questions about Ottomotto's activities through, for example,		
6	documentary	evidence (or the lack thereof) regarding proprietary technology developed by Ottomotto	
7	between Janua	ary and April 2016 (as well as the timing of the acquisition discussions). Evidence regarding	
8	awareness of	litigation includes Defendants' privilege logs and the acquisition documents. All of this	
9	evidence supp	orts adverse inferences with respect to the purpose for the formation of Ottomotto.	
20	K. Work	At Uber	
21	230.	After Ottomotto was acquired by Uber, you became an Uber employee, correct?	
22	231.	What was your title?	
23	232.	Was it Vice President of Engineering?	
24	233.	What were your responsibilities?	
25	234.	You were in charge of Uber's self-driving car program, right?	
26	235.	You were involved in the development of LiDAR technology at Uber, right?	
27	236.	You had a workspace at Uber's offices in San Francisco, right?	
28	237.	You brought a personal computer with you to Uber's offices while you were working	
- 1			

1		there, correct?	
2	238.	You used that computer to access the files you downloaded from Waymo while you	
3		were working at Uber's offices, correct?	
4	239.	You also worked from home from time to time during your employment at Uber,	
5		right?	
6	240.	You accessed the files you downloaded from Waymo while you were working on	
7		Uber's self-driving car technology from home, right?*	
8	Description O	f Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski will	
9	invoke his Fif	th Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these questions. Evidence	
10	regarding the	answers to these questions will be offered through testimony and documentary evidence	
11	from Uber in	addition to testimony from Waymo witnesses, such as Pierre-Yves Droz, regarding Mr.	
12	Levandowski'	s practices with respect to personal computers and working from home. (See, e.g., Dkt. 682-	
13	3; Haslim Tr.	157:18-158:22; Feldman Tr. 135:21-136:6, 136:25-137:13; Ron Tr. 157:2-158).)	
14	With respect to Mr. Levandowski's access of the stolen documents, at least evidence regarding Mr.		
15	Levandowski's download of the 14,000+ files, the timing of that download, the destruction of evidence		
16	regarding the	download, events contemporaneous to the download (including Mr. Levandowski's	
17	discussions wi	th Uber regarding LiDAR technology, the formation of Ottomotto, and Mr. Levandowski's	
18	resignation fr	om Waymo), and Mr. Levandowski's efforts to avoid the creation/preservation of	
19	documentary	evidence regarding his activities vis-a-vis Uber support an adverse inference that Mr.	
20	Levandowski	referenced the downloaded materials during his employment by Uber. Evidence currently	
21	withheld on pr	ivilege grounds may provide additional corroboration. The absence of evidence regarding	
22	any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to prevent Mr. Levandowski from accessing the		
23	stolen files du	uring his work at Uber further corroborates the adverse inference that those files were	
24	accessed and r	referenced in the post-acquisition time period.	
25	L. <u>Circui</u>	t Board Designs	
26	241.	Please take a look at this file, named ""	
27	242.	This is one of the files that you downloaded from Waymo's design server in December	
28		2015, right?	

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 22 of 46

1	243.	What does this file show?
2	244.	This is a schematic of used in Waymo's
3		proprietary mid-range LiDAR system, GBR3, right?
4	245.	This file shows the ?
5	246.	The circuit board has a ?
6	247.	This is what Waymo refers to as Circuit Board in the of its GBR3
7		LiDAR system, right?
8	248.	This shows the on Waymo's Circuit Board
9	249.	?
0	250.	This shows that there are on Waymo's Circuit Board
1	251.	This shows that the lasers the circuit board?
2	252.	This shows show that the lasers are specifically for self-driving car
3		applications?
4	253.	This shows that the
5		
- 1		
6		?
6 7	254.	? The in this GBR3 design were specifically modified from the
	254.	
17	254. 255.	The in this GBR3 design were specifically modified from the
17		The in this GBR3 design were specifically modified from the prior GB2 design based on Waymo's data to improve self-driving car perception, right?
17 18 19		The in this GBR3 design were specifically modified from the prior GB2 design based on Waymo's data to improve self-driving car perception, right? That improvement was done using Waymo's unparalleled self-driving car data to
17 18 19 20	255.	The in this GBR3 design were specifically modified from the prior GB2 design based on Waymo's data to improve self-driving car perception, right? That improvement was done using Waymo's unparalleled self-driving car data to determine the for self driving car for mid-range LIDAR, right.
17 18 19 20 21	255.	The in this GBR3 design were specifically modified from the prior GB2 design based on Waymo's data to improve self-driving car perception, right? That improvement was done using Waymo's unparalleled self-driving car data to determine the for self driving car for mid-range LIDAR, right.  No one else in the industry had or has this for self-driving,
17 18 19 20 21	255. 256.	The in this GBR3 design were specifically modified from the prior GB2 design based on Waymo's data to improve self-driving car perception, right? That improvement was done using Waymo's unparalleled self-driving car data to determine the for self driving car for mid-range LIDAR, right.  No one else in the industry had or has this for self-driving, right?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	255. 256.	The in this GBR3 design were specifically modified from the prior GB2 design based on Waymo's data to improve self-driving car perception, right? That improvement was done using Waymo's unparalleled self-driving car data to determine the for self driving car for mid-range LIDAR, right.  No one else in the industry had or has this for self-driving, right?  When you spoke with Uber in Jan. 2016, you specifically offered to build them a mid-
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	255. 256. 257.	The in this GBR3 design were specifically modified from the prior GB2 design based on Waymo's data to improve self-driving car perception, right? That improvement was done using Waymo's unparalleled self-driving car data to determine the for self driving car for mid-range LIDAR, right.  No one else in the industry had or has this for self-driving, right?  When you spoke with Uber in Jan. 2016, you specifically offered to build them a mid-range LIDAR design with for self-driving cars, right?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	255. 256. 257.	The in this GBR3 design were specifically modified from the prior GB2 design based on Waymo's data to improve self-driving car perception, right? That improvement was done using Waymo's unparalleled self-driving car data to determine the for self driving car for mid-range LIDAR, right.  No one else in the industry had or has this for self-driving, right?  When you spoke with Uber in Jan. 2016, you specifically offered to build them a mid-range LIDAR design with for self-driving cars, right?  When you communicated to Uber that you would build a mid-range LIDAR with
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	255. 256. 257.	The in this GBR3 design were specifically modified from the prior GB2 design based on Waymo's data to improve self-driving car perception, right?  That improvement was done using Waymo's unparalleled self-driving car data to determine the for self driving car for mid-range LIDAR, right.  No one else in the industry had or has this for self-driving, right?  When you spoke with Uber in Jan. 2016, you specifically offered to build them a midrange LIDAR design with for self-driving cars, right?  When you communicated to Uber that you would build a mid-range LIDAR with "you were offering and intending to use the "you were offering and intending to use the "you would build a mid-range LIDAR"

# Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 23 of 46

1	259.	After Uber agreed to buy Otto for millions of dollars, you then communicated how to
2		for self driving to Scott Boemkhe at Uber, right?
3	260.	At your direction, Uber then built a mid-range LIDAR, Fuji, with the same kind of
4		as shown in this file you took from Waymo, right?
5	261.	Let's look at more files.
6	262.	These are files that you downloaded from Waymo's design server in December 2015,
7		right?
8	263.	These are schematics of Circuit Boards in Waymo's LiDAR system,
9		right?
0	264.	of Waymo's circuit boards contain lasers, right? The boards labeled
1		?
2	265.	And of Waymo's circuit boards contain lasers, right? The boards labeled
3		
4	266.	You were working at Waymo when these were
5		designed?
6	267.	It took Waymo approximately six months to develop the
7		and arrangement of lasers?
8	268.	From your time at Waymo, are you aware that Waymo considered all of these
9		schematics to be confidential?
20	269.	When you first began working for Uber, you helped designed a long-range LiDAR
21		system called Spider, correct?
22	270.	The work on Spider continued through October 2016?
23	271.	In late October 2016, you and Uber decided to pivot to development of a new LiDAR
24		system?
25	272.	That new LiDAR system was called "Fuji"?
26	273.	Did you personally come up with the name Fuji for Uber's new LiDAR design?
27	274.	The name Fuji for Uber's LiDAR design was named after Mt. Fuji, correct?
28	275.	The convention for using names of mountains for LiDAR designs was something you
- 1		

# Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 24 of 46

1		continued from your time at Google, correct?
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	276.	Google also names its LiDAR designs after mountains, correct?
3	277.	Do you recognize these CAD drawings?
4	278.	Are they schematics of that are used in Uber's Fuji
5		LiDAR design?
6	279.	There are used in Uber's Fuji LiDAR system, right?
7	280.	The are designated in Uber's Fuji LiDAR
8		system?
9	281.	of them contain lasers, right?
10	282.	of them contain lasers, right?
11	283.	The lasers are shown to the circuit board, correct?
12	284.	The lasers on each printed circuit board
13		
14		, correct?
15	285.	For example, if we start with the , we see that it
16		is correct?
17	286.	Moving down to the next diode, we see that it is the
18	287.	The diodes generally are as you continue moving down the
19		printed circuit board?
20	288.	The between diodes is ?
21	289.	The between diodes is
22	290.	And there is a between the next two diodes?
23	291.	So, as the
24		
25	292.	The diodes are more ?
26	293.	The Fuji printed circuit board schematics show that each board contains a or
27		?
28	294.	What are the used for?
		-23-
I	1	-/. <b>)</b> -

WAYMO'S STATEMENT REGARDING QUESTIONS IT INTENDS TO ASK ANTHONY LEVANDOWSKI AT TRIAL

# Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 25 of 46

1	295.	Isn't it true that these are used to
2	296.	Isn't it true that these provide a so
3		that ?
4	297.	Isn't it true that this is critical to design performance?
5	298.	You were working at Uber when these were designed?
6	299.	You supervised the development of these ?
7	300.	You accessed the schematics of Waymo's LiDAR circuit boards during your work on
8		Fuji at Uber, right?
9	301.	You referred to the schematics of Waymo's to help you
10		guide Uber to the design of its circuit boards for Fuji, right?
11	302.	Uber knew that you were referring to schematics of Waymo's
12		to help you guide Uber to the design of its circuit boards for Fuji?
13	303.	Uber expected you to refer to schematics of Waymo's to
14		help you guide Uber to the design of its circuit boards for Fuji?
15	304.	Uber's design for the Fuji could not have been
16		accomplished without your reference to schematics for Waymo's circuit boards?
17	305.	Uber knew that the design for Fuji's could not have been
18		developed without you accessing the schematics for Waymo's circuit boards?
19	306.	The circuit board layout and configuration for Fuji was developed by December 2016,
20		correct?
21	307.	That is just weeks after the pivot from Spider, correct?
22	Description Of	Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski will
23	invoke his Fiftl	h Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these questions. Waymo will
24	offer evidence	regarding the answers to these through testimony and documentary evidence from Waymo
25	and Uber in ac	ddition to testimony from Waymo and Uber witnesses and expert witnesses. (See, e.g.,
26	Haslim Decl. E	Ex. B; WAYMO-UBER00000635; Fuji device produced for inspection; photographs of the
27	Fuji device, inc	cluding UBER00006244-254, 272-274, 289-296; SolidWorks Part and Assembly Files for
28	the Fuji device	, and Altium electrical schematics for the Fuji device, including UBER00011690-708; third

party Document Production of Gorilla Circuits, including GOR 000001-174; SVN schematic repository folder [: Linaval Tr. 34:13-14; Dkt. 246-17; Dkt 25-31 (Droz Decl.) ¶ 22; UBER00076110; UBER00008543; UBER00008494; UBER00071508.) Evidence regarding the questions pertaining to the configuration of and arrangement of components on Uber's Fuji is located in produced design documents from Uber as well as from the testimony of Uber engineers. (See, e.g., WAYMO-UBER00000635; Fuji device produced for inspection; photographs of the Fuji device, including UBER00001690-708; Document Production of Gorilla Circuits, including GOR 000001-174; Boehmke Decl. IOT Prelim. Inj.; Haslim Decl. IOT Prelim Inj. ¶¶ 13, 15 & Ex. B; May 4, 2017 Haslim Tr. at 70:16-71:9, 89:5-17, 125:19-126:1, 174:4-10; Apr. 18, 2017 Haslim Tr. at 60:18-62:6, 76:9-12; Linaval Tr. at 60:1-9; Apr. 17, 2017 Boehmke Tr. at 65:14-66:25; Apr. 20, 2017 Gruver Tr. at 52:14-54:5.)

With respect to questions regarding Mr. Levandowski's access to the stolen files, at least evidence

With respect to questions regarding Mr. Levandowski's access to the stolen files, at least evidence regarding Mr. Levandowski's download of the 14,000+ files, the timing of that download, the destruction of evidence regarding the download, events contemporaneous to the download (including Mr. Levandowski's discussions with Uber regarding LiDAR technology, the formation of Ottomotto, and Mr. Levandowski's resignation from Waymo), and Mr. Levandowski's efforts to avoid the creation/preservation of documentary evidence regarding his activities vis-a-vis Uber further corroborate an adverse inference that Mr. Levandowski referenced the downloaded materials during his work on Fuji. Evidence regarding Uber's pivot to Fuji after Levandowski provided input on LiDAR design and the speed with which the for the Fuji design were developed are additional corroborating evidence. Evidence currently withheld on privilege grounds may provide additional corroboration. The absence of evidence regarding any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to prevent Mr. Levandowski from accessing the stolen files during his work on Fuji further corroborates the adverse inference that those files were accessed and referenced in the post-acquisition time period.

#### M. <u>Uber's Use of Waymo's Trade Secret No. 1 & 4</u>

308. As discussed you took 14,000 files from Waymo, which include Waymo's highly proprietary information concerning its LIDAR designs, right?

1	309.	You then went to Uber and used those files to build additional LIDAR designs that
2		include information contained in and derived from Waymo's trade secrets, right?
3	310.	The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described lasers on
4		each printed circuit board
5		
6		, correct?
7	311.	You communicated this confidential and proprietary design technique to the other
8		LIDAR engineers at Uber, right?*
9	312.	This confidential and proprietary design technique is now used in Uber's Fuji LIDAR
0		that you were in charge of creating at Uber, right?**
1	313.	The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described lasers on
2		each printed circuit board
3		, correct?
4	314.	You communicated this confidential and proprietary design technique to the other
5		LIDAR engineers at Uber, right?*
6	315.	This confidential and proprietary design technique is now used in Uber's Fuji LIDAR
7		that you were in charge of creating at Uber, right?**
8	316.	This confidential and proprietary design technique improves
9		, right?
20	317.	improves long-range resolution,
21		correct?
22	318.	So,
23		right?
24	319.	This design technique is a better solution than , right?
25	320.	Because would lead to more complexity, right?
26	321.	?
27	322.	?
28	323.	?

1	324.	increase cost?	
2	325.	So you agree that GBr3 derives technical benefit from	
3		?	
4	326.	And you agree that Google did not publically reveal this design feature of GBr3?	
5	327.	And you agree that the secrecy of the	
6		derives economic value?	
7	Descr	iption Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr.	
8	Levandowski	will invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these	
9	questions. Co	rroborating evidence for the questions describing Waymo's design includes the content of	
10	the 14,000 files themselves and testimony from Waymo's engineers as well as Uber engineers formerly a		
11	Waymo. Corr	oborating evidence for the starred questions is found in Uber's LIDAR log, showing a large	
12	number of cor	nmunications between Mr. Levandowski and the engineers implementing his direction to	
13	create Uber's LIDAR designs, including the Fuji LIDAR. With regard to the double starred questions		
14	corroborating evidence is available through testimony and documentary evidence from Uber in addition to		
15	testimony from Waymo and Uber witnesses and expert witnesses. (See, e.g., Boehmke Decl.; Haslin		
16	Decl. Ex. B; May 4, 2017 Haslim Tr. at 125:19-126:1; WAYMO-UBER00000635; Fuji device		
17	produced for	inspection; photographs of the Fuji device, including UBER00006244-254, 272-274,	
18	289-296; CAI	O drawings of the Fuji device, including UBER00011690-708; Document Production of	
19	Gorilla Circu	its, including GOR 000001-174.) Corroborating evidence for the remaining questions is	
20	available through testimony from Waymo and Uber witnesses who formerly worked at Waymo, as well a		
21	expert witnesses. (See, e.g., Droz Decl.)		
22	Evidence currently withheld on privilege grounds may provide additional corroboration. The		
23	absence of evi	dence regarding any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to prevent Mr.	
24	Levandowski	from accessing the stolen files during his work on Fuji further corroborates the adverse	
25	inference that	those files were accessed and referenced in the post-acquisition time period.	
26	N. <u>Uber'</u>	s Use of Waymo's Trade Secret No. 2, 3, & 6	
27	328.	As discussed you took 14,000 files from Waymo, which include Waymo's highly	
28		proprietary information concerning its LIDAR designs, right?	

# Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 29 of 46

1	329.	You then went to Uber and used those files to build additional LIDAR designs that
2		include information contained in and derived from Waymo's trade secrets, right?
3	330.	The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described
4		, right?
5	331.	And the right?
6	332.	And there were right?
7	333.	And right?
8	334.	You communicated this confidential and proprietary design to the other LIDAR
9		engineers at Uber, right?*
10	335.	This confidential and proprietary design is now used in Uber's Fuji LIDAR that you
11		were in charge of creating at Uber, right?**
12	336.	The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described
13		right?
14	337.	And the right?
15	338.	And there were , right?
16	339.	And right?
17	340.	You communicated this confidential and proprietary design technique to the other
18		LIDAR engineers at Uber, right?*
19	341.	At most, a minor modification of this confidential and proprietary design technique is
20		now used in Uber's Fuji LIDAR that you were in charge of creating at Uber, right?**
21	342.	The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described
22		, right?
23	343.	And the , right?
24	344.	And there were , right?
25	345.	And right?
26	346.	
27		right?
28	347.	You communicated this confidential and proprietary design technique to the other
		20

1		LIDAR engineers at Uber, right?*	
2	348.	This confidential and proprietary design technique is now used in Uber's Fuji LIDAR	
3		that you were in charge of creating at Uber, right?**	
4	349.	This design was selected in order to	
5		right?	
6	350.	This design was developed after	
7		, correct?	
8	351.	So you agree that Google invested time, effort, and money into arriving at	
9		, right?	
10	352.	And you agree that GBr3 derives technical benefits from	
11		?	
12	353.	And you agree that Google did not publically reveal this design feature of GBr3?	
13	354.	And you agree that the secrecy of	
14		derives independent economic value?	
15	Description Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr.		
16	Levandowski will invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these		
17	questions. Corroborating evidence for these questions describing Waymo's design includes the content of		
18	the 14,000 files themselves and testimony from Waymo's engineers as well as Uber engineers formerly at		
19	Waymo. Corroborating evidence for the starred questions is found in Uber's LIDAR log, showing a large		
20	number of communications between Mr. Levandowski and the engineers implementing his direction to		
21	create Uber's LIDAR designs, including the Fuji LIDAR. With regard to the double starred questions		
22	corroborating evidence is available through testimony and documentary evidence from Uber in addition to		
23	testimony from Waymo and Uber witnesses and expert witnesses. (See, e.g., Haslim Decl. & Ex. B		
24	WAYMO-UBER00000635; Apr. 13, 2017 Linaval Tr. at 60:1-9; Apr. 17, 2017 Boehmke Tr. at 65:14		

 $66:25; Apr.\ 18, 2017\ Haslim\ Tr.\ at\ 60:18-62:6, 76:9-12; Apr.\ 20, 2017\ Gruver\ Tr.\ at\ 52:14-54:5; May$ 

4, 2017 Haslim Tr. at 70:16-71:9, 89:5-17, 174:4-10; WAYMO-UBER00000635; Fuji device

produced for inspection; photographs of the Fuji device, including UBER00006244-254, 272-274,

289-296; CAD drawings of the Fuji device, including UBER00011690-708; Document Production of

25

26

27

28

Gorilla Circuits, including GOR 000001-174.) Corroborating evidence for the remaining questions is available through testimony from Waymo and Uber witnesses who formerly worked at Waymo, as well as expert witnesses. (*See, e.g.*, Droz Decl.)

Evidence currently withheld on privilege grounds may provide additional corroboration. The absence of evidence regarding any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to prevent Mr. Levandowski from accessing the stolen files during his work on Fuji further corroborates the adverse inference that those files were accessed and referenced in the post-acquisition time period.

#### O. <u>Uber's Use of Waymo's Trade Secret No. 5</u>

- 355. As discussed you took 14,000 files from Waymo, which include Waymo's highly proprietary information concerning its LIDAR designs, right?
- 356. You then went to Uber and used those files to build additional LIDAR designs that include information contained in and derived from Waymo's trade secrets, right?
- 357. The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described LIDAR

, right?

- 358. You communicated this confidential and proprietary design technique to the other LIDAR engineers at Uber, right?\*
- 359. This confidential and proprietary design technique is now used in Uber's Fuji LIDAR that you were in charge of creating at Uber, right?

Description Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski will invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these questions. Corroborating evidence for these questions describing Waymo's design includes the content of the 14,000 files themselves and testimony from Waymo's engineers as well as Uber engineers formerly at Waymo. Corroborating evidence for the starred question is found in Uber's LIDAR log, showing a large number of communications between Mr. Levandowski and the engineers implementing his direction to create Uber's LIDAR designs, including the Fuji LIDAR. With regard to the last question, corroborating evidence is available through testimony and documentary evidence from Uber in addition to testimony from Waymo and Uber witnesses and expert witnesses. (See, e.g., Haslim Decl. Ex. B; WAYMO-

UBER0000635; Fuji device produced for inspection; photographs of the Fuji device, including UBER00006244-254, 272-274, 289-296; SolidWorks Part and Assembly Files for the Fuji device, and Altium electrical schematics for the Fuji device, including UBER00011690-708; third party Document Production of Gorilla Circuits, including GOR 000001-174).

Evidence currently withheld on privilege grounds may provide additional corroboration. The absence of evidence regarding any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to prevent Mr. Levandowski from accessing the stolen files during his work on Fuji further corroborates the adverse inference that those files were accessed and referenced in the post-acquisition time period.

#### P. Uber's Use of Waymo's Trade Secret No. 7

- 360. As discussed you took 14,000 files from Waymo, which include Waymo's highly proprietary information concerning its LIDAR designs, right?
- 361. You then went to Uber and used those files to build additional LIDAR designs that include information contained in and derived from Waymo's trade secrets, right?
- 362. The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described LIDAR

, right?

- 363. The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described that the diodes \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, right?
- 364. You communicated this confidential and proprietary design technique to the other LIDAR engineers at Uber, right?\*
- 365. Then you supervised Uber building LiDAR devices that used this trade secret information, right?
- 366. This confidential and proprietary design technique is now used in Uber's Fuji LIDAR that you were in charge of creating at Uber, right?

<u>Description Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence:</u> Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski will invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these questions. Corroborating evidence for these questions describing Waymo's design includes the content of the 14,000 files themselves and testimony from Waymo's engineers as well as Uber engineers formerly at

1	Waymo. Corroborating evidence for Mr. Levandowski's theft of Waymo's trade secrets, which Uber does		
2	not dispute, can be obtained from testimony of Waymo's witnesses, including Gary Brown and Waymo's		
3	documents, including the stolen files. Corroborating evidence for the starred question is found in Uber's		
4	LIDAR log, showing a large number of communications between Mr. Levandowski and the engineers		
5	implementing his direction to create Uber's LIDAR designs, including the Fuji LIDAR. With regard to		
6	the last question, corroborating evidence is available through testimony and documentary evidence from		
7	Uber in addition to testimony from Waymo and Uber witnesses and expert witnesses. (See, e.g., SVN		
8	schematic repository folders		
9			
10			
11	; GBr3 design review 2015/11/02 presentation (WAYMO-UBER-00003341);		
12	Haslim Tr. (Vol. 1) 62:8-20, 64:49; Linaval Tr. 55:11-17; Pennecot Tr. (Vol. 1) 21:12-22:1, 24:2-15, (Vol.		
13	2) 214:5-19); Fuji device produced for inspection; photographs of the Fuji device, including		
14	UBER00006244-254, 272-274, 289-296; SolidWorks Part and Assembly Files for the Fuji device, and		
15	Altium electrical schematics of the Fuji device, including UBER00011690-708).		
16	Evidence currently withheld on privilege grounds may provide additional corroboration. The		
17	absence of evidence regarding any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to prevent Mr.		
18	Levandowski from accessing the stolen files during his work on Fuji further corroborates the adverse		
19	inference that those files were accessed and referenced in the post-acquisition time period.		
20	Q. <u>Uber's Use of Waymo's Trade Secret No. 8</u>		
21	367. As discussed you took 14,000 files from Waymo, which include Waymo's highly		
22	proprietary information concerning its LIDAR designs, right?		
23	368. You then went to Uber and used those files to build additional LIDAR designs that		
24	include information contained in and derived from Waymo's trade secrets, right?		
25	369. The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described LIDAR		
26	, right?		
27	370. The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described that the		
28	right?		
- 1			

371. You communicated this confidential and proprietary design technique to the other LIDAR engineers at Uber, right?\*

- 372. Then you supervised Uber building LiDAR devices that used this trade secret information, right?
- 373. This confidential and proprietary design technique is now used in Uber's Fuji LIDAR that you were in charge of creating at Uber, right?

**Description Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence:** Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski will invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these questions. Corroborating evidence for these questions describing Waymo's design includes the content of the 14,000 files themselves and testimony from Waymo's engineers as well as Uber engineers formerly at Waymo. Corroborating evidence for Mr. Levandowski's theft of Waymo's trade secrets, which Uber does not dispute, can be obtained from testimony of Waymo's witnesses, including Gary Brown and Waymo's documents, including the stolen files. Corroborating evidence for the starred question is found in Uber's LIDAR log, showing a large number of communications between Mr. Levandowski and the engineers implementing his direction to create Uber's LIDAR designs, including the Fuji LIDAR. With regard to the last question, corroborating evidence is available through testimony and documentary evidence from Uber in addition to testimony from Waymo and Uber witnesses and expert witnesses. (See, e.g., SVN schematic repository folders

GBr3 design review 2015/11/02 presentation (WAYMO-UBER-00003341);

WAYMO-UBER00000635; Fuji device produced for inspection; photographs of the Fuji device, including UBER00006244-254, 272-274, 289-296; SolidWorks Part and Assembly Files for the Fuji device, and Altium electrical schematics of the Fuji device, including UBER00011690-708); Document Production of Gorilla Circuits, including GOR 000001-174.

Evidence currently withheld on privilege grounds may provide additional corroboration. The absence of evidence regarding any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to prevent Mr.

27

1	Levandowski	from accessing the stolen files during his work on Fuji further corroborates the adverse	
2	inference that those files were accessed and referenced in the post-acquisition time period.		
3	R. <u>Uber's Use of Waymo's Trade Secret No. 9-10</u>		
4	374.	You and others left Waymo with the intent of replicating Waymo's LIDAR	
5		technology, including	
6			
7		right?	
8	375.	You communicated this confidential and proprietary design technique to the other	
9		LIDAR engineers at Uber, right?*	
10	376.	This confidential and proprietary design technique is now used in Uber's Fuji LIDAR	
11		that you were in charge of creating at Uber, right?**	
12	377.	You and others left Waymo with the intent of replicating Waymo's LIDAR	
13		technology, including	
14			
15		right?	
16	378.	And this	
17		right?	
18	379.	You communicated this confidential and proprietary design technique to the other	
19		LIDAR engineers at Uber, right?*	
20	380.	This confidential and proprietary design technique is now used in Uber's Fuji LIDAR	
21		that you were in charge of creating at Uber, right?**	
22	381.	You and others who left Waymo selected and engaged the same	
23		, at Uber as you used at Waymo in order to facilitate replication of this	
24		confidential and proprietary design technique at Uber, right?**	
25	Descr	iption Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr	
26	Levandowski	will invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these	
27	questions. Co	prroborating evidence for these questions describing Waymo's design includes testimony	
28	from Waymo's engineers as well as Uber engineers formerly at Waymo. Corroborating evidence for the		

1	starred questic	ons is found in Uber's LIDAR log, showing a large number of communications between Mr.	
2	Levandowski	and the engineers implementing his direction to create Uber's LIDAR designs, including the	
3	Fuji LIDAR.	With regard to the double starred questions, corroborating evidence is available through	
4	testimony and	documentary evidence from Uber in addition to testimony from Waymo and Uber witnesses	
5	and expert wit	nesses. (See, e.g., Haslim Supp. Decl.; Lebby Supp. Decl., including cited CAD drawing	
6	and Zemax sin	mulation; Apr. 18, 2017 Haslim Tr. at 161:8-165:14, 194:6-17; May 4, 2017 Haslim Tr.	
7	at 49:16-51:20	0; June 14, 2017 Pennecot Tr. at 201:6-206:18, 213:21-214:4; 246:19-247:14, 254:17-	
8	256:8; UBER	R00006248; UBER00006251; UBER00011317; UBER00011473; UBER00011612;	
9	UBER000116	613; UBER00011263; Fuji device produced for inspection; photographs of the Fuji	
10	device, inclu	ding UBER00006244-254, 272-274, 289-296; CAD drawings of the Fuji device,	
11	including UB	ER00011690-708; Uber's Responses to Waymo's Second Set of Expedited Interrogatory	
12	Nos. 10 and 1	1.)	
13	Evide	nce currently withheld on privilege grounds may provide additional corroboration. The	
14	absence of evidence regarding any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to prevent Mr.		
15	Levandowski from accessing the stolen files during his work on Fuji further corroborates the adverse		
16	inference that	those files were accessed and referenced in the post-acquisition time period.	
17	S. <u>Uber'</u>	s Use of Waymo's Trade Secret No. 13	
18	382.	As discussed you took 14,000 files from Waymo, which include Waymo's highly	
19		proprietary information concerning its LIDAR designs, right?	
20	383.	You then went to Uber and used those files to build additional LIDAR designs that	
21		include information contained in and derived from Waymo's trade secrets, right?	
22	384.	The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described LIDAR	
23		in a LiDAR system, right?	
24	385.	The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described a technique	
25		that used , right?	
26	386.	The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described that the	
27		would be configured to	
28		of the PCBs, right?	

- 387. You communicated this confidential and proprietary design technique to the other LIDAR engineers at Uber, right?\*
- 388. Then you supervised Uber building LiDAR devices that used this trade secret information, right?
- 389. This confidential and proprietary design technique is now used in Uber's Fuji LIDAR that you were in charge of creating at Uber, right?

**Description Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence:** Waymo expects that Mr. Levandowski will invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these questions. Corroborating evidence for these questions describing Waymo's design includes the content of the 14,000 files themselves and testimony from Waymo's engineers as well as Uber engineers formerly at Waymo. Corroborating evidence for Mr. Levandowski's theft of Waymo's trade secrets, which Uber does not dispute, can be obtained from testimony of Waymo's witnesses, including Gary Brown and Waymo's documents, including the stolen files. Corroborating evidence for the starred question is found in Uber's LIDAR log, showing a large number of communications between Mr. Levandowski and the engineers implementing his direction to create Uber's LIDAR designs, including the Fuji LIDAR. With regard to the last question, corroborating evidence is available through testimony and documentary evidence from Uber in addition to testimony from Waymo and Uber witnesses and expert witnesses. (See, e.g., SVN schematic repository folders

GBr3 design review 2015/11/02 presentation (WAYMO-UBER-00003341);

WAYMO-UBER00000635; UBER00006246; WAYMO-UBER00000635; Fuji device produced for inspection; photographs of the Fuji device, including UBER00006244-254, 272-274, 289-296; SolidWorks Part and Assembly Files for the Fuji device, and Altium electrical schematics of the Fuji device, including UBER00011690-708; Document Production of Gorilla Circuits, including GOR 000001-174; Pennecot (Vol. 2) 261:19-265:11; Depo Exhibit 106 (UBER0059852).

Evidence currently withheld on privilege grounds may provide additional corroboration. The absence of evidence regarding any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to prevent Mr.

1	Levandowski	from accessing the stolen files during his work on Fuji further corroborates the adverse	
2	inference that those files were accessed and referenced in the post-acquisition time period.		
3	T. <u>Uber's</u>	s Use of Waymo's Trade Secret No. 14	
4	390.	As discussed you took 14,000 files from Waymo, which include Waymo's highly	
5		proprietary information concerning its LIDAR designs, right?	
6	391.	You then went to Uber and used those files to build additional LIDAR designs that	
7		include information contained in and derived from Waymo's trade secrets, right?	
8	392.	The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described LIDAR	
9		, right?	
10	393.	It is important for	
11		, correct?	
12	394.	The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described a technique	
13		that ,	
14		right?	
15	395.	The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described that the	
16		diodes would be , right?	
17	396.	The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described	
18		, right?	
19	397.	You communicated this confidential and proprietary design technique to the other	
20		LIDAR engineers at Uber, right?*	
21	398.	Then you supervised Uber building LiDAR devices that used this trade secret	
22		information, right?	
23	399.	This confidential and proprietary design technique is now used in Uber's Fuji LIDAR	
24		that you were in charge of creating at Uber, right?	
25	Descri	iption Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr.	
26		will invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these	
27		rroborating evidence for these questions describing Waymo's design includes the content of	
28	the 14,000 files themselves and testimony from Waymo's engineers as well as Uber engineers formerly a		

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 39 of 46

1	Waymo. Corroborating evidence for Mr. Levandowski's theft of Waymo's trade secrets, which Uber does		
2	not dispute, can be obtained from testimony of Waymo's witnesses, including Gary Brown and Waymo'		
3	documents, including the stolen files. Corroborating evidence for the starred question is found in Uber'		
4	LIDAR log, showing a large number of communications between Mr. Levandowski and the engineers		
5	implementing his direction to create Uber's LIDAR designs, including the Fuji LIDAR. With regard to		
6	the last question, corroborating evidence is available through testimony and documentary evidence from		
7	Uber in addition to testimony from Waymo and Uber witnesses and expert witnesses. (See, e.g., SVN		
8	schematic repository folders		
9			
10			
11	GBr3 design review 2015/11/02 presentation (WAYMO-UBER-00003341)		
12	WAYMO-UBER00000635; emails from Uber engineers to vendors; Haslim Decl. Ex. B; May 4, 2017		
13	Haslim Tr. at 114:4-115:23; WAYMO-UBER00000635; Fuji device produced for inspection; photographs		
14	of the Fuji device, including UBER00006244-254, 272-274, 289-296; SolidWorks Part and Assembly		
15	Files for the Fuji device, and Altium electrical schematics of the Fuji device, including UBER00011690		
16	708; Document Production of Gorilla Circuits, including GOR 000001-174; ; Linaval Tr. 59:4-23		
17	UBER00000727.		
18	Evidence currently withheld on privilege grounds may provide additional corroboration. The		
19	absence of evidence regarding any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to prevent Mr		
20	Levandowski from accessing the stolen files during his work on Fuji further corroborates the adverse		
21	inference that those files were accessed and referenced in the post-acquisition time period.		
22	U. <u>Uber's Use of Waymo's Trade Secret No. 19</u>		
23	400. As discussed you took 14,000 files from Waymo, which include Waymo's highly		
24	proprietary information concerning its LIDAR designs, right?		
25	401. You then went to Uber and used those files to build additional LIDAR designs that		
26	include information contained in and derived from Waymo's trade secrets, right?		
27	402. The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described		
28			

1		
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	right?	
	, right?	
3	403. You communicated this confidential and proprietary design technique to the other	
4	LIDAR engineers at Uber, right?*	
5	404. This confidential and proprietary design technique is now used in Uber's Fuji LIDAR	
6	that you were in charge of creating at Uber, right?**	
7	Description Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr	
8	Levandowski will invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these	
9	questions. Corroborating evidence for the questions describing Waymo's design includes the content of	
0	the 14,000 files themselves and testimony from Waymo's engineers as well as Uber engineers formerly a	
1	Waymo. Corroborating evidence for the starred questions is found in Uber's LIDAR log, showing a large	
2	number of communications between Mr. Levandowski and the engineers implementing his direction to	
3	create Uber's LIDAR designs, including the Fuji LIDAR. With regard to the double starred questions	
4	corroborating evidence is available through testimony and documentary evidence from Uber in addition to	
5	testimony from Waymo and Uber witnesses and expert witnesses. (See, e.g., UBER00011242; Fuj.	
6	device produced for inspection; photographs of the Fuji device, including UBER00006261-264, 275	
7	277, 279-258; CAD drawings of the Fuji device produced for inspection.)	
8	Evidence currently withheld on privilege grounds may provide additional corroboration. The	
9	absence of evidence regarding any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to prevent Mr	
20	Levandowski from accessing the stolen files during his work on Fuji further corroborates the adverse	
21	inference that those files were accessed and referenced in the post-acquisition time period.	
22	V. <u>Uber's Use of Waymo's Trade Secret No. 48 &amp; 90</u>	
23	405. As discussed you took 14,000 files from Waymo, which include Waymo's highly	
24	proprietary information concerning its LIDAR designs, right?	
25	406. One of the files you also improperly retained from your employ at Waymo included a	
26		
27	, right?	
28	407. You then went to Uber and used those files to build additional LIDAR designs that	

1		include information contained in and derived from Waymo's trade secrets, right?	
2	408.	The files you improperly retained from your employ at Waymo described a long-range	
3		LiDAR system comprising	
4		right?	
5	409.		
6		, right?	
7	410.	You communicated this confidential and proprietary design technique to the other	
8		LIDAR engineers at Uber, right?*	
9	411.	Specifically, you communicated this design technique to James Haslim while he was at	
10		Tyto LiDAR, right?**	
11	412.	By personally sketching it out for him?**	
12	413.	This confidential and proprietary design technique was used in Uber's Spider LIDAR	
13		that you were in charge of creating at Uber, right?**	
14	<u>Descri</u>	iption Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that Mr.	
15	Levandowski	will invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to these	
16	questions. Corroborating evidence for the questions describing Waymo's design includes the content o		
17	the 14,000 files themselves and testimony from Waymo's engineers as well as Uber engineers formerly a		
18	Waymo. Corroborating evidence for the starred questions is found in Uber's LIDAR log, showing a larg		
19	number of communications between Mr. Levandowski and the engineers implementing his direction to		
20	create Uber's LIDAR designs, including the Spider LIDAR. With regard to the double starred questions		
21	corroborating of	evidence is available through testimony and documentary evidence from Uber in addition to	
22	testimony from	m Waymo and Uber witnesses and expert witnesses. (See, e.g., UBER00005076;	
23	UBER000050	076; UBER00005077; UBER00011676; UBER00011678; UBER00016399;	
24	UBER000173	89; UBER00017831-38; UBER00017839-51; UBER00017854-55; UBER00017856-57;	
25	UBER000178	358-76; UBER00017877-89; UBER00017890; UBER00017891; UBER00017892;	
26	Kshirsagar Tr. at 34:6-37:4; Haslim Supp. Decl.; May 4, 2017 Haslim Tr. at 17:24-24:24 & Ex. 150		
27	Spider device produced for inspection; photographs of the Spider device, including UBER00006265		
28	71; SVN sche	matic repository folder	

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 42 of 46

- 1		
1	Evide	nce currently withheld on privilege grounds may provide additional corroboration. The
2	absence of evidence regarding any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to prevent Mr.	
3	Levandowski from accessing the stolen files during his work on Fuji further corroborates the adverse	
4	inference that	those files were accessed and referenced in the post-acquisition time period.
5	W. <u>Uber'</u>	s Use of Additional Waymo's Trade Secret Information
6	414.	During your time at Waymo, you developed know-how regarding the risks and costs of a
7		?
8	415.	One LiDAR design Waymo explored but ultimately discarded in light of significant risks
9		and costs was a ?
10	416.	You went to Uber and used this know-how to decide which LiDAR devices not to
11		develop?
12	417.	You used this know-how to save Uber time and money by not focusing on a risky and
13		costly ?
14	418.	During your time at Waymo, you developed know-how regarding the risks and costs of a
15		?
16	419.	Another LiDAR design Waymo explored but ultimately discarded in light of significant
17		risks and costs was a ?
18	420.	You went to Uber and used this know-how to decide which LiDAR devices not to
19		develop?
20	421.	You used this know-how to save Uber time and money by not focusing on a risky and
21		costly ?
22	422.	During your time at Waymo, you developed know-how regarding the risks and costs of a
23		?
24	423.	Another LiDAR design Waymo explored but ultimately discarded in light of significant
25		risks and costs was a ?
26	424.	You went to Uber and used this know-how to decide which LiDAR devices not to
27		develop?
28		
	I	

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 43 of 46

- 1		
1	425.	You used this know-how to save Uber time and money by not focusing on a risky and
2		costly ?
3	426.	During your time at Waymo, you developed know-how regarding the risks and costs of a
4		?
5	427.	Another LiDAR design Waymo explored but ultimately discarded in light of significant
6		risks and costs was a ?
7	428.	You went to Uber and used this know-how to decide which LiDAR devices not to
8		develop?
9	429.	You used this know-how to save Uber time and money by not focusing on a risky and
10		costly ?
11	430.	During your time at Waymo, you developed know-how regarding the risks and costs of a
12		
13		?
14	431.	Another LiDAR design Waymo explored but ultimately discarded in light of significant
15		risks and costs employed a
16		?
17	432.	You went to Uber and used this know-how to decide which LiDAR devices not to
18		develop?
19	433.	You used this know-how to save Uber time and money by not focusing on a risky and
20		costly LiDAR system that employed
21		?
22	434.	During your time at Waymo, you developed know-how regarding the risks and costs of a
23		
24		?
25	435.	Another LiDAR design Waymo explored but ultimately discarded in light of significant
26		risks and costs was a
27		?
28		

### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 44 of 46

1	436.	You went to Uber and used this know-how to decide which LiDAR devices not to
2		develop?
3	437.	You used this know-how to save Uber time and money by not focusing on a risky and
4		costly ?
5	438.	During your time at Waymo, you developed know-how regarding the risks and costs of a
6		
7		?
8	439.	Another LiDAR design Waymo explored but ultimately discarded in light of significant
9		risks and costs was a design in which
0		?
1	440.	You went to Uber and used this know-how to decide which LiDAR devices not to
2		develop?
3	441.	You used this know-how to save Uber time and money by not focusing on a risky and
4		costly LiDAR
5		?
6	442.	During your time at Waymo, you developed know-how regarding the risks and costs of
7		
8		?
9	443.	Another LiDAR design Waymo explored but ultimately discarded in light of significant
20		risks and costs was a design in which
21		?
22	444.	You went to Uber and used this know-how to decide which LiDAR devices not to
23		develop?
24	445.	You used this know-how to save Uber time and money by not focusing on a risky and
25		costly ?
26	446.	During your time at Waymo, you developed know-how regarding the risks and costs of a
27		using a ?
28		

# Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 835 Filed 07/07/17 Page 45 of 46

1	447.	Another LiDAR design Waymo explored but ultimately discarded in light of significant
2		risks and costs was using a ?
3	448.	You went to Uber and used this know-how to decide which LiDAR devices not to
4		develop?
5	449.	You used this know-how to save Uber time and money by not focusing on a risky and
6		costly ?
7	450.	During your time at Waymo, you developed know-how regarding the risks and costs of a
8		?
9	451.	Another LiDAR design Waymo explored but ultimately discarded in light of significant
10		risks and costs was a ?
11	452.	You went to Uber and used this know-how to decide which LiDAR devices not to
12		develop?
13	453.	You used this know-how to save Uber time and money by not focusing on a risky and
14		costly ?
15	454.	During your time at Waymo, you developed know-how regarding the risks and costs of a
16		?
17	455.	Another LiDAR design Waymo explored but ultimately discarded in light of significant
18		risks and costs was a ?
19	456.	You went to Uber and used this know-how to decide which LiDAR devices not to
20		develop?
21	457.	You used this know-how to save Uber time and money by not focusing on a risky and
22		costly ?
23	458.	During your time at Waymo, you developed know-how regarding the risks and costs of a
24		?
25	459.	Another LiDAR design Waymo explored but ultimately discarded in light of significant
26		risks and costs was a ?
27	460.	You went to Uber and used this know-how to decide which LiDAR devices not to
28		develop?

- 1	$\Pi$	
1	461. You used this know-how to save Uber time and money by not focusing on a risk	cy and
2	costly ?	
3	Description Of Corroborating Circumstances and Evidence: Waymo expects that	ıt Mr
4	Levandowski will invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination in response to	these
5	questions. Corroborating evidence for the questions describing Waymo's design includes the cont	tent o
6	the 14,000 files themselves and testimony from Waymo's engineers as well as Uber engineers form	erly a
7	Waymo. (See, e.g., Droz Decl.) Corroborating evidence for the questions is also found in Uber's L	IDAR
8	log, showing a large number of communications between Mr. Levandowski and the eng	ineer
9	implementing his direction to create Uber's LIDAR designs, including the Fuji and Spider LID	)ARs
0	Public statements made by Anthony Levandowski further corroborate these questions. (See, e.g., Di	kt. 27
1	33.)	
2	Evidence currently withheld on privilege grounds may provide additional corroboration	. The
3	absence of evidence regarding any precautionary or prophylactic measures taken by Uber to preven	nt Mr
4	Levandowski from accessing the stolen files during his work on Fuji and Spider further corroborat	tes the
5	adverse inference that those files were accessed and referenced in the post-acquisition time period	1.
6		
7		
8		
9		
20		
21		
22	DATED: July 7, 2017 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN,	, LLP
23	By /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven	
24	Charles K. Verhoeven	
25	Attorneys for WAYMO LLC	
26		
27		
28		