_	
1	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
2	HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO
3	
4	STATE OF OHIO,
5	Plaintiff,)) CASE NO: B-1503961
6	VS.)
7	RAYMOND M. TENSING,)
8	Defendant.)
9	
10	
11	
12	TRANSCRIPT OF SIDEBAR CONFERENCES
13	HELD DURING THE RAYMOND TENSING TRIAL
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

June 7, 2017

2

3

1

(The following was held at sidebar.)

5

4

THE COURT: Go ahead.

6

7

8

9

MR. TIEGER: Judge, I mean, if the record could reflect he's excused an African-American male juror. And I know with Batson, you know, we've got to show a pattern, but I would ask the Court to ask the Defense team what the

10 11

race-neutral reason was for excusing

12 13

him.

14

THE COURT: I don't think they need one at this point.

15

But if you would like one, you

MR. MATHEWS: I will. And I

16

17 certainly can.

18

19 would argue that Batson does not apply

20

to the case the way I read it, number

21

But race-neutral reason is the one.

22

response to the questions about his DUI

23

conviction, and he mentioned that he was

24

stopped by law enforcement for speeding

25

and got into an argument, a verbal

altercation, with him that resulted in 1 2 some racial epithets being thrown. And 3 he had what I considered a very negative opinion of law enforcement. 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 He's excused. 7 MR. TIEGER: And I think Batson 8 does apply to the Defense. And he did 9 say he could be a fair juror, so if you 10 could just note my objection. 11 THE COURT: Well, he's excused. 12 Okay. 13 (Sidebar concludes.) 14 15 (The following was held at 16 sidebar.) 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. TIEGER: Judge, I think this 18 19 is getting to be a pattern now of excusing minorities. 20 21 And she was an extremely fair 22 juror. She was funny, she had banter 23 back with Mr. Mathews, laughing and 24 joking about different things. She absolutely said that she can be fair. 25

24

25

She could sign all the verdict forms, and I just don't see any race-neutral reason that he would have to bump her.

THE COURT: Mr. Mathews, your response.

MR. MATHEWS: My first response is, number one, I don't think that we're required to state a reason. Number two, I didn't like her answers on the questionnaire. I'm sure Mr. Tieger did, but I'll get specific and say that she indicated she watched the video, she had formed an opinion, but she could set She indicated she felt the that aside. shooting was not justified from what she saw on the video. She said she -- after having watched the trial, she has an opinion in reference to guilt or not quilty. And I don't like the rest of her responses.

THE COURT: Okay. She'll be excused.

I'm noting your objection.

MR. TIEGER: Judge, and I think there are a number of people that are on

_)
1	the jury that have had same or similar	
2	answers; that they've seen the video and	
3	had formed opinions that they can set	
4	aside.	
5	THE COURT: I know, but we're	
6	dealing with a very unique group of	
7	people, and very few of them have not	
8	heard about this. I think we have maybe	
9	three people who haven't heard about it,	
10	so	
11	MR. TIEGER: Judge, if he tries	
12	to excuse another African-American	
13	juror, I would hope that the Court would	
14	question that pretty severely.	
15	THE COURT: Okay. All right.	
16	MR. TIEGER: Thank you.	
17	(Sidebar concludes.)	
18		
19	(The following was held at	
20	sidebar.)	
21	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.	
22	MR. TIEGER: What's your feeling?	
23	THE COURT: Same thing one, one;	
24	two, two; three, three. You have	
25	already done these guys for cause. If	
	II	

we get somebody up for cause, then we'll 1 2 stop. 3 MR. TIEGER: Are you saying if we 4 do, let's say, take one, we can take any 5 one of the first four, take any one of 6 these first four? 7 THE COURT: Yes. Any of the four. 8 MR. TIEGER: Then the fifth one 9 10 would take their spot? 11 THE COURT: I don't have an 12 objection to that. Or do you want to 13 start with the first one? 14 MR. TIEGER: I don't think you 15 have to limit yourself to the first one. 16 I just want to make sure that we're 17 good. We're talking about our bumps 18 just with regard to these first four. 19 So I get a bump. Let's say we take one. 20 The fifth alternate would take -- I 21 think everybody would move up one. 22 THE COURT: Right. 23 MR. TIEGER: If we bumped 24 Number 1, that person wouldn't take 25 Number 1's spot.

1	THE COURT: Right; just move this
2	way.
3	THE BAILIFF: Everybody would
4	slide up.
5	MR. TIEGER: Is that right?
6	MR. MATHEWS: Yes, yes.
7	THE COURT: That way we know we
8	are going to get the ones who are in
9	line next.
10	MR. TIEGER: Right.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	(Sidebar concludes.)
13	
14	June 9, 2017
15	
16	(The following was held at
17	sidebar.)
18	THE COURT: We're here back on
19	the record with the Prosecution's next
20	witness. What is her name?
21	MR. TIEGER: Alicia Napier.
22	THE COURT: Alicia Napier.
23	Ms. Napier, it's my understanding
24	you do not want to be photographed. Is
25	that right?

_		
1	ALICIA NAPIER: Right.	
2	THE COURT: State your reason for	
3	the record for that.	
4	ALICIA NAPIER: Just don't want	
5	to be noticed outside in the public	
6	because I have been I have been in	
7	incidents where I've been seen at the	
8	gas station when I was pumping gas with	
9	my son and I've had looks and stuff.	
10	You know, on TV and stuff. I just	
11	didn't want to be noticed, or whatever.	
12	THE COURT: Okay. Are you in	
13	fear for your safety? Is that your	
14	ALICIA NAPIER: That's the main	
15	reason why I didn't want to be.	
16	THE COURT: Counsel, any	
17	questions?	
18	MR. TIEGER: No, Your Honor.	
19	THE COURT: I'll instruct them	
20	not to film you for your testimony,	
21	okay?	
22	Okay, thank you.	
23	ALICIA NAPIER: Thank you very	
24	much.	
25	(Sidebar concludes.)	

(The following was held at 1 2 sidebar.) 3 THE COURT: Your response to that? 4 MR. MATHEWS: Well, I was just 5 6 summarizing what I thought I just heard 7 her say, that based on her experience, 8 she thought it was justified. 9 THE COURT: In my opinion, that 10 can be brought in because she had an opinion, and they typically will provide 11 12 the opinion to the Prosecutor's Office, 13 and she did say she gives input to the Prosecutor's Office. 14 15 MR. TIEGER: Judge, I mean, I --16 I would be -- it would be extremely 17 improper of me ever to ask in a murder 18 case of a homicide detective, Do you 19 think he did it? You can never --20 THE COURT: But that's what she's 21 saying. You're asking -- she said what 22 her input was to the prosecutor. 23 MR. TIEGER: No; that wasn't the 24 question. 25 THE COURT: I thought that was

	10
1	the question.
2	MR. MATHEWS: I summarized what
3	she said.
4	MR. TIEGER: Judge, we can have
5	Colleen read back the question.
6	(The court reporter read back the
7	pending question.)
8	MR. TIEGER: I mean, I can never
9	ask anybody what your feelings are
10	whether or not he did it. I could never
11	ask that internally. I mean, that's her
12	opinion as to whether something it's
13	a legal opinion.
14	MR. MATHEWS: I'll withdraw it.
15	MR. TIEGER: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	(Sidebar concludes.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

June 12, 2017 1 2 3 (The following was held at sidebar.) 4 5 THE COURT: Go ahead, 6 Mr. Mathews. I didn't want you to say 7 this in front of the jury. Go ahead. 8 MR. MATHEWS: Right. Мy 9 objection to 2-A and -B are that I 10 believe Officer Pham is going to say 11 that that depicts the location of where 12 the car ended up at Rice and Valencia. 13 They also have the body of Sam DuBose laying in the street, and I don't think 14 15 that they need the body of Sam DuBose 16 laying in the street to show where the 17 car ended up. 18 THE COURT: They have a 19 face photo too. 20 MR. MATHEWS: I'm going to get to 21 -E; I think is highly prejudicial and 22 inflammatory, as I think -A and -B are, with reference to the body laying in the 23 24 street. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Tieger, what is

the point of him -- what is the point of 1 2 questioning with regard to these photos 3 for this witness? 4 MR. TIEGER: Judge, I think it 5 would assist the jury in understanding the crime scene as it unfolded that 6 7 evening and what he saw and what he did. 8 So I think it's definitely important to 9 help him explain his testimony and 10 assist them in understanding it. 11 I know that -- I know in the last 12 trial --13 THE COURT: Explain to me the 14 understanding of his body being covered 15 laying outside the car and his face 16 being all bloodied, I mean, what does 17 that show with regard to that testimony? 18 MR. TIEGER: I mean, it 19 identifies him as being the one that was at the crime scene. 20 21 MR. MATHEWS: I will stipulate 22 that. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. TIEGER: And I know at the 25 last trial, the Court -- not that you're

_		Т Э
1	bound by this at all excluded -E but	
2	allowed -A and -B.	
3	THE COURT: I'm going to exclude	
4	all three for the time being. I mean,	
5	they may come in at another point, but	
6	not right now.	
7	MR. MATHEWS: Thank you.	
8	(Sidebar concludes.)	
9		
10		
11	June 14, 2017	
12		
13	(The following was held at	
14	sidebar.)	
15	THE COURT: Okay, why are you	
16	objecting? What is your objection?	
17	MS. DEGRAFFENREID: Because he's	
18	trying to show that he would be charged	
19	with a felony, or something, to make	
20	some insinuation.	
21	THE COURT: Is this guy a police	
22	officer? I couldn't tell.	
23	MS. DEGRAFFENREID: No; he's a	
24	lab technician.	
25	THE COURT: So he wouldn't know.	
	!!	

MR. MATHEWS: But he testified he must know the weight. He said, I only tested enough to get up to the maximum charge we could get, which is over 200 grams. So I want to know what he's talking about, the maximum charge.

THE COURT: So I guess you have to explain this to me a little more.

The analysts are the ones who -- they weigh it, they figure out what's going on, and then they give it to the police department for the police department to give the charges?

MR. MATHEWS: Yes. If something is submitted to them, they take it in, they weigh it, and in this case the difference from one degree of felony to the next is -- if it's over 200 grams, it's a felony, but it's a lesser degree unless it's over 1,000 grams. Well, he knows there wasn't 1,000 grams, but he knows -- he weighed more than 200 because that's what would result in a felony.

THE COURT: Ms. DeGraffenreid?

_	13
1	MS. DEGRAFFENREID: He wanted to
2	insinuate that there was some felony
3	charge. Tensing didn't even know the
4	marijuana was in the car when this all
5	occurred, so whether it would be a
6	felony or a misdemeanor charge doesn't
7	matter.
8	THE COURT: Let's stick to why
9	can't he answer that? He's not saying
10	he would have been charged with it. It
11	sounds to me like he's simply giving the
12	levels.
13	MR. MATHEWS: That's exactly
14	right.
15	THE COURT: I'm going to overrule
16	the objection.
17	(Sidebar concludes.)
18	
19	(The following was held at
20	sidebar.)
21	THE COURT: We're on the record
22	outside the presence of the jury.
23	Dr. Looman is here to testify
24	from she works for the Coroner's
25	Office. She does not wish to be

10
photographed or videoed at this time.
Any questions
Is that correct?
DR. LOOMAN: That's correct.
THE COURT: And your reasoning
for that, Dr. Looman?
DR. LOOMAN: I'd rather not end
up on YouTube, which happened the first
time.
THE COURT: I see.
Do you have a fear of your
safety? Any concerns for your safety?
DR. LOOMAN: No.
MR. MATHEWS: Judge, I have no
objection to her not being photographed.
THE COURT: I just have to have a
valid reason. That's what I'm looking
for.
Mr. Tieger?
MR. TIEGER: I mean, I think she
was honest with the Court, Judge. She
just doesn't want to be
THE COURT: I have no objection
to Counsel, so hopefully that will
suffice.

_	17
1	You will not be photographed.
2	DR. LOOMAN: Okay. Thank you.
3	(Sidebar concluded.)
4	
5	(The following was held at
6	sidebar.)
7	MR. TIEGER: Judge, these are
8	photos, if you want to see them.
9	THE COURT: So what's your
10	objection?
11	MR. MATHEWS: Judge, my objection
12	is that the photos are highly
13	prejudicial, inflammatory, and serve no
14	useful purpose. She's already testified
15	he died as a result of the gunshot, and
16	I don't see where they add anything of
17	probative value to her testimony.
18	MR. TIEGER: Judge, and I think
19	they assist Dr. Looman in explaining her
20	testimony and also assist the jury in
21	understanding
22	THE COURT: Do they really have
23	to show that? Come on now.
24	MR. TIEGER: Judge, these were
25	all admitted in the last trial, so I'm

_	10
1	just bringing you what was admitted last
2	time.
3	MR. MATHEWS: Over objection.
4	MR. TIEGER: I understand that.
5	THE COURT: I don't know. What
6	does this tell me? Seriously.
7	MR. TIEGER: It will just show
8	the gunshot wounds, Judge, and how they
9	affected the skull and the brain.
10	THE COURT: We already know he
11	died. I mean, I don't mean to be flip,
12	but she already said he died
13	instantaneously. Do we really have to
14	add insult to injury?
15	MR. TIEGER: This is evidence to
16	show what people testified to.
17	THE COURT: They're admitted.
18	Don't keep it up there long.
19	MR. MATHEWS: Please note my
20	objections.
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	(Sidebar concluded.)
23	
24	
25	

(The following was held at 1 2 sidebar.) 3 THE COURT: I'm really torn about 4 these last couple pictures. You need to 5 warn them, and they better be up there 6 for a second. 7 MR. TIEGER: Sure, Judge. 8 THE COURT: Make sure they're prepared for that, because I don't see 9 10 those being -- I can't -- I just -- I'm struggling to allow those in, so you 11 12 better make sure they're up for a 13 limited time and they're ready for it. MR. MATHEWS: Again, I'll take 14 15 one more opportunity to object. 16 THE COURT: Why does she need to 17 have those? They're -- come on. 18 MR. TIEGER: Judge, again, the 19 bullets went through the head and 20 through the brain. 21 THE COURT: She can show that 22 with the other picture of the head. 23 You know what? I'm going to exclude those. I don't want those up. 24 (Sidebar concluded.) 25

(The following was held at 1 2 sidebar.) 3 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead, 4 Mr. Tieger. MR. TIEGER: Judge, I think we're 5 6 getting very close to what the Court had already ruled, and I know that there's a 7 8 couple of drugs in his system. One is 9 like an antifungal and the other is like 10 an antibiotic. There were two drugs, 11 and I think there was some marijuana 12 found. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. TIEGER: I think there was a 15 hearing last time that was actually on the record with Mr. Topmiller that was 16 17 at least describing the marijuana, and I 18 would ask that maybe after court today, 19 the Court could look at that, because I don't know where Mr. Mathews is going 20 21 with this, but --22 THE COURT: Well, if he had drugs in his system, that's admissible. 23 24 well, what MR. TIEGER: 25 Mr. Topmiller would say is --

THE COURT: Who is Mr. Topmiller?

MR. TIEGER: He's actually the

one that did the tests.

THE COURT: Oh; got it, got it.

MR. TIEGER: But he said, Judge,
with the marijuana, that there was
marijuana in his system, but when
somebody dies and they didn't take the
blood for, like, 20 hours after he died,
that even if you had smoked days and
days before, when you die, the blood
changes, and that could give a false
positive for what was actually in the
blood.

THE COURT: Can she answer that question if you ask her that on redirect?

MR. TIEGER: I don't think she can because that's not her area of expertise. And they had a hearing last time in front of Judge Shanahan, and I know you're not bound by her rulings, but Judge Shanahan excluded it, the marijuana in his system, and I would ask that go also for the antibiotic and

antifungal, because we're getting into 1 what the Court had ordered. 2 3 THE COURT: Well, they don't know 4 what it's for unless she says what it's 5 for. 6 MR. TIEGER: Still. 7 MR. MATHEWS: Number one, I don't think there's been a ruling made in this 8 9 case, in this trial. 10 THE COURT: No, it hasn't. 11 And I get his argument, but what 12 is your reasoning for this? 13 MR. MATHEWS: Well, again, he --14 if the toxicology report shows that he 15 had marijuana in his system -- and I 16 agree Dr. Topmiller testified last time 17 that --18 MR. TIEGER: In limine. 19 MR. MATHEWS: In limine. -- that 20 upon death, the metabolites get 21 redistributed from the fat into the 22 blood, and there's no way to know 23 accurately what the level is, but it 24 still shows it's in his system. 25 THE COURT: Could you clarify

that through her? Can you clarify? 1 2 MR. TIEGER: Judge, I would ask 3 that -- basically, he -- there can be no 4 scientific opinion as to whether there 5 was any kind of impairment. Maybe he 6 smoked a week before and it's totally 7 out of his -- it's in his metabolite. 8 MR. MATHEWS: I'm not trying to 9 prove that there was impairment, I'm 10 just trying to prove there was marijuana 11 in his system. 12 MR. TIEGER: I don't see the 13 relevance of that, Judge. THE COURT: Well, it could affect 14 15 his judgment. 16 MR. TIEGER: Well, no, because it 17 wouldn't, because nobody could say that it would have had an effect because the 18 19 blood was taken too long after his 20 death. 21 And I also ask to exclude the 22 antibiotic and antifungal medication. 23 THE COURT: Oh I don't care about 24 that. No one knows what that's for. Ι 25 wouldn't have known unless you guys

_	24
1	would have told me.
2	Okay. All right. Is what he's
3	saying true about this guy and what this
4	guy said without me reading it?
5	MR. MATHEWS: It's not that long
6	to read, but Megan
7	THE COURT: I'm not talking about
8	Megan's ruling; I'm talking about that
9	doctor, or whoever he is.
10	MR. MATHEWS: I have subpoenaed
11	Dr. Topmiller and he's supposed to be
12	here tomorrow.
13	THE COURT: You can ask him these
14	questions.
15	MR. MATHEWS: You can read that
16	tonight, and we can do it tomorrow.
17	THE COURT: That's easy, if he's
18	going to be here. That's much easier.
19	The objection will be held in
20	abeyance, for the record.
21	(Sidebar concluded.)
22	
23	
24	
25	
	II

1	June 15, 2017
2	
3	(The following was held at
4	sidebar.)
5	THE COURT: Hi, sir.
6	NATHAN ASBURY: Hello, Judge.
7	THE COURT: We're on the record
8	at sidebar, prior to starting the
9	jury-in-progress again.
10	Mr. Mathews has brought on
11	Sergeant
12	NATHAN ASBURY: Nathan Asbury.
13	THE COURT: Nathan Asbury.
14	And he wishes not to be filmed.
15	What is the reason for this, so
16	we can put it on the record.
17	NATHAN ASBURY: I'm currently in
18	a plainclothes assignment. We operate a
19	lot of undercover and use a lot of CIs,
20	and we have current investigations that
21	if I got put on TV would probably
22	jeopardize some of the safety of the
23	officers.
24	THE COURT: That's good.
25	Any objection from either party?

1	MR. TIEGER: No, Judge.
2	MR. MATHEWS: No objection.
3	THE COURT: Okay, good.
4	We'll keep you unfilmed. You'll
5	be heard, though, you know.
6	NATHAN ASBURY: Yes, ma'am.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	(Sidebar concluded.)
9	
10	June 16, 2017
11	
12	(The following was held at
13	sidebar.)
14	THE COURT: Let's stop this.
15	Please. This is too much for the
16	this jury doesn't need to hear this.
17	MR. MATHEWS: I understand,
18	Judge.
19	THE COURT: It's not going to
20	make anybody feel better about anybody's
21	side, all right? All it does is make me
22	upset.
23	And you guys are doing a great
24	job. Just keep it where it is.
25	And they can see what you think

```
you're seeing, okay?
 1
 2
                    MR. TIEGER: That's fine. Thank
 3
             you, Judge.
                     (Sidebar concludes.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I, COLLEEN R. O'CONNELL, the undersigned, a Registered Diplomate Reporter for the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas, do hereby certify that at the same time and place stated herein, I recorded in stenotype and thereafter transcribed the within 27 pages and that the foregoing Transcript of Proceedings is a true, complete, and accurate transcript of my said stenotype notes. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this 25th day of July, 2017. een R. O'Connell Registered Diplomate Reporter Court of Common Pleas Hamilton County, Ohio