BARRY REIFERSON (*pro hac vice*)
Email: barry.reiferson@cfpb.gov
MEGHAN SHERMAN CATER (*pro hac vice*)
Email: meghan.sherman@cfpb.gov
JADE A. BURNS (*pro hac vice*)
Email: jade.burns@cfpb.gov
LEANNE E. HARTMANN, CA Bar #264787
Email: leanne.hartmann@cfpb.gov
Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
1700 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20552
Telephone: (212) 328-7020
Facsimile: (202) 435-7329
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Consumer Financial Protection Bureau

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, Plaintiff, v. D and D Marketing, Inc., d/b/a T3Leads, *et al.*, Defendants.	**Case No. 2:15-cv-9692-PSG (Ex) (consolidated for pretrial purposes with Case Nos. 2:16-cv-02724-PSG(Ex) and 2:16-cv-02725-PSG(Ex))** **NOTICE OF INTENT TO RESUBMIT FOR RECONSIDERATION APPLICATIONS OF NONRESIDENT ATTORNEYS TO APPEAR *PRO HAC VICE***

1. On July 7, 2017, the Court denied requests by three Bureau attorneys to be admitted *pro hac vice* because their proposed local counsel, while a member of this Court's bar, does not maintain an office in this District. *See* D.E. 120, 121, 122.

2. Currently, the Bureau's attorneys in this case are supported by local counsel Kent Kawakami, an Assistant United States Attorney who has an office in this District.
3. The United States Attorney's Office has informed the Bureau that it has a conflict and will no longer be able to serve as local counsel for the Bureau in this case.
4. Due to budgetary constraints, the Bureau does not maintain an office in this District. The Bureau does, however, have an office in San Francisco. That office is staffed with several attorneys who are members of this Court's bar.
5. Given Mr. Kawakami's conflict, and that the Bureau is an agency of the federal government with limited resources, the Bureau seeks for the Court to permit its San Francisco attorneys who are members of this Court's bar to serve as local counsel in support of the attorneys in this case, who wish to continue their representation *pro hac vice*.
6. Accordingly, the Bureau intends to submit for reconsideration the applications that the Court denied on July 7.
7. Several similar applications in other cases in this District have recently been granted. In each case, non-member attorneys were admitted *pro hac vice* based on local counsel who were members of this Bar but did not maintain an office in this District. *See Consumer Financial Protection Bureau v. Prime Marketing Holdings, LLC*, Case No. 2:17cv04721, D.E. 80, 81,82; *Consumer Financial Protection Bureau v. CashCall, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 2:15-cv-07522-JFW-RAO, D.E. 252; *Consumer Financial Protection Bureau v. Vincent Howard, et al.*, 17-cv-00161-JLS-JEM, D.E. 46.

Dated: July 11, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
/s/ Barry Reiferson
Barry Reiferson (*pro hac vice*)
Attorney for Plaintiff