1 2 3 4	Edmundo P. Robaina (No. 018125) ROBAINA & KRESIN PLLC 5343 N. 16 th Street, Suite 200 Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Telephone: (602) 682-6450 Facsimile: (602) 682-6455				
5	epr@robainalaw.com				
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Gary Elias				
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA				
9	Gary Elias,				
10	Plaintiff,	No.			
11	v.	COMPLAINT			
12	Arizona Board of Regents,				
13	Defendant.	(Jury Trial Demanded)			
14					
15					
16	Plaintiff Gary Elias ("Elias"), by and through undersigned counsel, alleges for				
17	his Complaint as follows:				
18	1. This action is brought put	rsuant to the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29			
19	U.S.C. § 701 et seq.				
20	2. This Court has jurisdiction of	over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.			
21	3. Venue is proper in the D	District of Arizona pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §			
22	1391(c).				
23	4. Defendant Arizona Board	of Regents ("ABOR") is a public "body			
24	corporate" which may sue and be sued pursuant to A.R.S. § 15-1625. ABOR has				
25	jurisdiction and control over Arizona's universities, including Arizona State University				
26	("ASU").				
27	5. ASU is a public metropolita	an research university on five campuses across			

28 the Phoenix, Arizona metropolitan area, and four learning centers throughout Arizona.

Elias therefore contacted ASU's Senior EEO Consultant and ADA

and Kennard required Elias to seek formal approval of his accommodations.

27

28

19.

9

8

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

- Coordinator Carlos Sanchez, who, in turn, began an interactive process with Elias to determine appropriate accommodations.
- 20. On November 7, 2013, Kennard and Sanchez approved of reasonable accommodations for Elias consisting of a flexible work schedule and the ability to work remotely when needed.
- 21. These accommodations worked well for Elias, and Elias was able to perform the essential functions of his job.
 - 22. In December of 2014, Lois Sayrs was assigned to be Elias' supervisor.
- 23. Shortly after Sayrs became Elias' supervisor, Elias advised Sayrs of his sleep apnea, his related difficulty getting to work generally before 10:00 a.m., and the accommodations ASU was providing him.
- Elias also requested to continue to use the flexible work schedule ASU 24. had previously approved.
- Sayrs refused Elias' accommodation requests, stating that she liked her 25. staff to all be in the office during regular work hours.
- 26. Sayrs also scheduled meetings at 9:00 a.m. that she required Elias to attend.
- 27. On February 5, 2015, Sayrs sent Elias an email saying she would no longer approve Elias to work remotely from home, and that Elias would now be expected to be in the office during regular work hours.
- 28. As a result of Sayrs' action, Elias experienced severe anxiety, which caused him to have to take a combination of Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA") leave and non-FMLA leave from approximately February 5, 2015 to September 8, 2015.
- 29. On or about February 9, 2015, March 26, 2015, May 14, 2015, June 19, 2015, August 7, 2015, Elias' psychiatrist, Matthew Markis, D.O., provided ASU documentation in which he noted, in essence, that Elias has a documented history of severe anxiety which, when not managed, causes him difficulties with concentration, managing tasks, completing projects and interacting with others.

- 30. On September 2, 2015, Dr. Markis filled out ASU's Health Care Provider Release to Return to Work/Certificate of Illness, which Elias was required to, and did, turn in to ASU's Human Resources Department.
- 31. In the September 2, 2015 Health Care Provider Release to Return to Work/Certificate of Illness, Dr. Markis noted in a box labeled "Date of Illness or Injury" that Elias' condition was "Chronic, several years"; and that the "[d]uration of symptoms leading to work restrictions cannot be determined."
- 32. Dr. Markis further wrote in an attachment to the September 2, 2015 Health Care Provider Release to Return to Work/Certificate of Illness under "Return to Work Restrictions" for Elias the following:
 - Flexible start and stop times to work, while allowing to work from home not to impede deliverables;
 - Ability to take breaks or retreat if experiencing anxiety/panic symptoms;
 - Personal work area to have minimal distractions which may exacerbate anxiety symptoms and affect ability to concentrate;
 - Reevaluate whom employee is reporting to and their management methods. Consider providing sensitivity training or changing who employee reports to if appropriate. Inform manager of panic attack symptoms experienced by employee which may include trembling/shaking, abdominal distress, rapid heart rate and difficulty concentrating/communicating;
 - Provide clear expectations regarding job duties and work priorities in writing, so employee is aware of what is expected of him.
 Provide constructive feedback prior to corrective action.
- 33. Upon Elias' return from FMLA leave on September 8, 2015, Elias was given a new position as a Data Analyst Research Aide, which required much lower job qualifications than Elias' previous position. Elias met all of the job qualifications for

- 34. However, neither Sayrs nor anyone else from ASU engaged in an interactive process with Elias in order to determine reasonable accommodations for his sleep apnea or his severe anxiety.
- 35. Instead, upon Elias' return to work, Sayrs communicated to Elias that he would have to maintain a regular work schedule of 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.
- 36. On or about September 16, 2015, Elias advised Sayrs that he was having difficulty making it to the office by 8:00 a.m., and requested as a reasonable accommodation that she adjust this requirement.
- 37. Sayrs denied Elias' request despite her knowledge of his sleep disorder, and required him to continue to work from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.
- 38. As a result, Elias could not manage his condition and experienced severe daytime sleepiness, difficulty concentrating, and significant anxiety.
- 39. On several occasions subsequent to his return to work, including September 24, 2015, Elias contacted ADA Coordinator Norma Abbi for assistance. However, Abbi referred Elias back to Sayrs.
- 40. On September 24, 2015, Sayrs and CABHP Office Manager Nick Klofkorn presented Elias with an undeserved, substandard Annual Performance Review for 2014 rating period.
- 41. The 2014 Annual Performance Review, pursuant to which Elias was given an overall rating of 1.6, which indicates a performance between "inconsistently fulfills performance expectations" and "fails to meet performance expectations" was authored by Sayrs, who had been Elias' supervisor for a total of 18 work days during the review period.
- 42. On September 24, 2015, Sayrs and Klofkorn also issued Elias a "Performance Improvement Plan" for the Database Manager/Program Manager IT position that Elias no longer held.
 - 43. On October 7, 2015, Sayrs and Klofkorn placed Elias on administrative

2

3

4

2015.

44.

leave and notified Elias that his employment would be terminated as of October 21,

On October 21, 2015, Elias' employment was terminated.

COUNT ONE

5	(Discrimination Pursuant to the Rehabilitation Act)		
6	45. Elias incorporates by reference all previous allegations as though set forth		
7	fully herein.		
8	46. Elias is an individual with a disability for purposes of the Rehabilitation		
9	Act.		
10	47. Elias was a qualified individual for purposes of the Rehabilitation Act in		
11	that with reasonable accommodations he could perform the essential functions of his		
12	positions with ASU.		
13	48. Elias suffered discrimination because of his disability when Sayrs refused		
14	to provide him reasonable accommodations that his supervisors had previously provided		
15	him, when she gave him an adverse performance evaluation, and when she and		
16	Klofkorn terminated Elias' employment.		
17	49. As a result of Sayrs' and Klofkorn's actions, Elias has suffered and		
18	continues to suffer lost income and lost earning capacity, lost fringe benefits, mental		
19	anguish, emotional distress and harm to reputation.		
20	COUNT TWO		
21	(Failure to Reasonably Accommodate)		
22	50. Elias incorporates by reference all previous allegations as though set forth		
23	fully herein.		
24	51. Elias requested of ABOR/ASU accommodations because of his		
25	disabilities.		
26	52. ABOR/ASU could have made reasonable accommodations that would		
27	have enabled Elias to perform the essential functions of his positions.		
28	53. ABOR/ASU failed to provide Elias reasonable accommodations.		
	- 6 -		

1	54.	As a result of ABOR/ASU's failure to provide Elias reasonable	
2	accommoda	tions, Elias could not manage his disabilities and experienced severe	
3	daytime sleepiness, difficulty concentrating, and severe anxiety, which ultimately led to		
4	his termination.		
5	55.	As a result of ABOR/ASU'S actions, Elias has suffered and continues to	
6	suffer lost	income and lost earning capacity, lost fringe benefits, mental anguish,	
7	emotional distress and harm to reputation.		
8	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Gary Elias prays for judgment against Defendant		
9	Arizona Board of Regents as follows:		
10	A.	For an award of economic damages in an amount sufficient to make Elias	
11		whole for past and future lost income and benefits, lost earning capacity,	
12		and other economic losses incurred by Elias resulting from ASU's	
13		conduct;	
14	B.	For an award of compensatory damages for mental anguish, emotional	
15		distress, pain and suffering, humiliation, harm to reputation and other	
16		losses incurred by Elias as a result of ASU's conduct;	
17	C.	For an award of attorneys' fees and related expenses pursuant to For an	
18		award of attorneys' fees and related expenses pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §	
19		794a(b);	
20	D.	For an award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest;	
21	E.	For an award of Elias' costs of suit incurred herein; and	
22	F.	For an award of such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.	
23	RES	PECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25th day of August 2017.	
24		ROBAINA & KRESIN PLLC	
25			
26		By <u>/s/ Edmundo P. Robaina</u> Edmundo P. Robaina	
27		Attorneys for Plaintiff Gary Elias	
28			

	Case 2:17-cv-02880-DMF
1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Plaintiff Gary Elias hereby demands a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(a) of the
3	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
4	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25th day of August 2017.
5	ROBAINA & KRESIN PLLC
6	
7	By <u>/s/ Edmundo P. Robaina</u> Edmundo P. Robaina
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Gary Elias
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
1617	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Civil Cover Sheet

This automated JS-44 conforms generally to the manual JS-44 approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. The data is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. The information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is authorized for use only in the District of Arizona.

The completed cover sheet must be printed directly to PDF and filed as an attachment to the Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Defendant Arizona Board of Regents

Plaintiff Gary Elias

County of Residence: Maricopa

County of Residence: Maricopa

County Where Claim For Relief Arose: Maricopa

Plaintiff's Atty(s):

Defendant's Atty(s):

Edmundo Robaina Robaina & Kresin PLLC 5343 North 16th Street, Suite 200 Phoenix, Arizona 85016 (602) 682-6450

II. Basis of Jurisdiction:

3. Federal Question (U.S. not a party)

III. Citizenship of Principal

Parties (Diversity Cases Only)

Plaintiff:-N/A Defendant:- N/A

IV. Origin:

1. Original Proceeding

V. Nature of Suit:

442 Employment

VI.Cause of Action:

Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 701 et seq.

VII. Requested in Complaint

Class Action: No Dollar Demand: Jury Demand: Yes

VIII. This case is not related to another case.

Signature: /s/ Edmundo P. Robaina

Date: <u>08/25/17</u>

If any of this information is incorrect, please go back to the Civil Cover Sheet Input form using the *Back* button in your browser and change it. Once correct, save this form as a PDF and include it as an attachment to your case opening documents.

Revised: 01/2014