STEPHEN BERNARD, ESQ., SBN 56553 Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles SHANE BERNARD, ESQ., SBN 298662 BERNARD & BERNARD NOV 03 2017 10990 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1175 Sherri R. Carter, executive Officer/Clerk 3 Los Angeles, CA 90024-4305 Telephone: 310-312-0220 Facsimile: 310-312-0016 Sha mya Bolden Attorneys for Plaintiffs, CLAUDIO PALMIERI aka ETHAN KATH and; 5 CRYSTAL CASTLES 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 CASE NO.: BC 6 81 8 8 9 CLAUDIO PALMIERI aka ETHAN 10 KATH, an individual, and; CRYSTAL CASTLES, an Ontario VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR 11 General Partnership, **DAMAGES:** 12 1. **DEFAMATION PER SE;** Plaintiffs, 13 FALSE LIGHT; 14 3. **BREACH OF CONTRACT;** 15 MARGARET OSBORN aka ALICE TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE GLASS, an individual, JUPITER WITH CONTRACTUAL 16 KEYES, an individual, and DOES 1 RELATIONS; through 50, Inclusive, 17 5. TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE 18 Defendants. **ECONOMIC BENEFIT:** 19 6. CONSPIRACY. 20 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 21 COMES NOW, Plaintiff CLAUDIO PALMIERI aka ETHAN KATH, an 22 individual, and CRYSTAL CASTLES, an Ontario General Partnership, for a cause of 23 action against Defendants, and each of them, hereby alleges as follows: 24 (Preliminary Statement) 25 In or about 2012, Plaintiff CLAUDIO PALMIERI aka ETHAN KATH ended 26 his relationship with former girlfriend and bandmate MARGARET OSBORN aka 27 ALICE GLASS. OSBORN. After unsuccessfully trying to end CRYSTAL CASTLES, 28 إيت VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

11(03)1911

NOV OR 2017

Applying Chlookling

CIT/CASE: BC681889 LEA/DEF#:

RECEIPT #: CCH465980047

DATE PAID: 11/03/17 11:50 AM PAYMENT: \$435.00° 310

RECEIVED:

CHECK: \$435.00 CASH: \$0.00 CHANGE: \$0.00 CARD: \$0.00

Doc ID = 1715895168

4

567

8 9 10

. 13

11

12

17 18

19

16

2021

2223

.24 25 ·

26

2728

OSBORN formed the unfounded and untruthful assertion that PALMIERI was stealing from her share of CRYSTAL CASTLE profits. After demanding and completing FIVE AUDITS, no hint of wrongdoing by PALMIERI was ever found.

Despite the auditors findings, OSBORN, continued to untruthfully assert that PALMIERI had stolen from her, so she hatched a plan to ruin his good name and reputation in the industry, destroy CRYSTAL CASTLES (a well known and successful musical act), and in turn boost her own career. In order to effectuate this dastardly plan, OSBORN and her boyfriend, JUPITER KEYES, conspired and did reach out to persons in order to spread false and malicious lies that PALMIERI was physically and sexually abusive, and that the Plaintiff had taken advantage of OSBORN by drugging her.

A then fan of CRYSTAL CASTLES was asked by the Defendants to post on social media these horrific and humiliating untruths against PALMIERI. However, said fan learned of the Defendants false and malicious scheme and informed PALMIERI. What followed was a 2014 cease and desist letter dated December 2014 by an attorney acting on behalf of PALMIERI. Shortly after receiving the cease and desist, the fan received a phone call from KEYES yelling and screaming that the she (the fan) had ruined their ill begotten plan. Thereafter OSBORN stopped disseminating these disparaging and malicious lies until the events leading to this instant action.

However, in October 24, 2017, (now FIVE years after PALMIERI AND OSBORN ended their personal relationship) OSBORN released false and malicious lies to the online world. As a result, CRYSTAL CASTLES has suffered irreparable damage, very least of which was the cancellation of Plaintiff's North America tour.

# (Parties and Agency)

- 1. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff CLAUDIO PALMIERI aka ETHAN KATH (hereinafter to be referred to as "Plaintiff" or "PALMIERI") an individual residing in Los Angeles. Plaintiff has always maintained a positive reputation both in his personal and professional life, and is well respected in the musical community.
  - 2. CRYSTAL CASTLES, a Canadian Company (hereinafter to be referred to

as "CRYSTAL CASTLES" or "CC"), an Ontario General Partnership, founded and operated by PALMIERI.

- 3. Collectively, PALMIERI and CRYSTAL CASTLES shall be referred to as "Plaintiffs."
- 4. Defendant MARGARET OSBORN aka ALICE GLASS (hereinafter to be referred to as "Defendant") is an individual residing in the County of Los Angeles, California. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant was an individual over the age of consent.
- 5. On information and belief, Defendant JUPITER KEYES (hereinafter referred to as "KEYES") is an individual residing in the County of Los Angeles, California.
- 6. Whenever in this Complaint reference is made to "Defendants," such allegations shall collectively be deemed to refer to Defendants OSBORN, KEYES and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and shall mean the acts of Defendants acting individually, jointly, and/or severally.
- 7. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sue said Defendants by their fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believe. And based thereon allege, that each of the Defendants designated therein as DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to herein and caused injury and damages proximately thereby to Plaintiff as hereinafter alleged. Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of the Defendants designated hereinafter as DOES when the same have been finally ascertained.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed, believes and thereon alleges, that at all times mentioned herein, each of the Defendants was the agent, servant, employee, co-venturer and co-conspirator of each of the remaining Defendants, and was at all times herein mentioned, acting within the course, scope, purpose, consent, knowledge, ratification, and

authorization of such agency, employment, joint venture, and conspiracy, and that each and every Defendant, as aforesaid, when acting as a principal, was negligent in the selection and hiring of each and every other Defendant as an agent, employee and/or joint venturer.

#### (Venue)

9. Venue is properly located in Los Angeles County because Defendants' wrongful acts occurred in Los Angeles County, and, on information and belief, all Defendants reside in Los Angeles County.

# (Facts Common to All Counts)

- 10. Plaintiff PALMIERI first met Defendant MARGARET OSBORN aka ALICE GLASS in or about 2003 when PALMIERI was performing with a former band, Kill Cheerleader.
- 11. In or about 2005, PALMIERI had started a project named CRYSTAL CASTLES and asked Defendant OSBORN to sing vocals on some of the musical tracks. Ultimately this collaboration led to OSBORN becoming a member of the group.
- 12. From 2006 (when CRYSTAL CASTLES released their first single) to 2013, the band enjoyed commercial success. However, in October 2014, OSBORN decided to leave the band and start a solo career.
- 13. Thereafter, PALMIERI replaced OSBORN with a new singer named MARGO HAMMAN aka EDITH FRANCES and the band's popularity continued, headlining major concerts and festivals.
- 14. Sometime in or about 2014, OSBORN, under the unfounded belief that PALMIERI had embezzled or misrepresented their earnings, requested multiple audits pursuant to their Recording Agreement. (See Exhibit A for a true and correct copy of the Recording Agreement). Despite multiple audits by OSBORN, no evidence was ever discovered to substantiate her erratic and unfounded belief. To this date and in perpetuity, OSBORN and PALMIERI are contractually bound by way of the Recording Agreement and will both receive royalties in perpetuity for the first three (3) CRYSTAL CASTLES'

2

4 5

6

· 7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17<sup>-</sup> 18

19

2021

22

23

.24 25

26

27

albums.

- 15. On information and belief, OSBORN began to date DEFENDANT KEYES in or around October of 2012. After Defendants began their relationship, OSBORN's illicit drug abuse became substantial and her behavior erratic.
- 17. In or about 2014, Arianne Deyurre was operating a popular CRYSTAL CASTLES fan site, "magicspells.tumblr." Ms. Deyurre became acquainted with Defendant OSBORN after attending a show in which she was DJ'ing. At some point during the evening, KEYES approached Ms. Deyurre and apologized that OSBORN could not meet her, but that he did want Ms. Deyurre and OSBORN to take a photo together, which they did so later that night.
- 18. Thereafter, OSBORN contacted Ms. Deyurre somewhat regularly through social media, telephonically and occasionally in person. Ms. Deyurre, a fan of CRYSTAL CASTLES, was elated to have a relationship with a musician that she looked up to.
- 19. They began to develop a relationship and from the beginning OSBORN and KEYES made false allegations that PALMIERI had sexually assaulted her by use of force and illicit substances. In addition, Defendants also told Ms. Desurre another lie, that PALMIERI had stolen royalty money owed to her.
- 20. Defendants OSBORN and KEYES told Ms. Deyurre outright that they intended "to take down Palmieri" based on their unfounded belief PALMIERI was stealing from OSBORN.
- 21. In order to accomplish their goals, Defendants tried to persuade Ms. Deyurre to post on her blog the allegations against PALMIERI that were fed to her by both OSBORN and KEYES, namely the false accusations of sexual abuse by PALMIERI.
- 22. Despite initially agreeing to post the requested libel, Ms. Deyurre eventually determined that she was being manipulated and taken advantage of by Defendants.
- 23. In or around December 2014, Ms. Deyurre contacted PALMIERI and had infformed him of Defendants plans to disparage, discredit, and tarnish the good will and

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

reputation he had worked to build.

- 24. After learning of their plan, PALMIERI sought legal counsel and a cease and desist letter was issued on or about December 26, 2014. As noted in the cease and desist letter, it was obvious that OSBORN was making these claims - more than two years after ending their relationship (at that time in 2014) - for the purpose of shamelessly attracting attention to further her own musical career. (See Exhibit B for a true and correct copy of cease and desist letter dated December 26, 2014).
- 25. Sometime after the New Year, Ms. Devurre received a phone call from KEYES stating to her that "by informing Mr. Palmieri of their plan I had betrayed them and ruined their lives." (See Exhibit C for a true and correct copy of Declaration of Arianne Deyurre).
- 26. Thereafter, to PALMIERI's knowledge, OSBORN did cease and desist from making further false accusations until October 24, 2017 when OSBORN posted on her website http://www.alice-glass.com/cc/that she was the victim of sexual and physical abuse by PALMIERI.
  - 27. Specifically, OSBORN stated:
  - He [PALMIERI] gave me drugs and alcohol and had sex with me in an abandoned room at an apartment he managed. It wasn't always consensual and he remained sober whenever we were together; and He became physically abusive. He held me over a staircase and threatened to throw me down it. He picked me up over his shoulders and threw me onto concrete. (See Exhibit D for a true and correct copy of Statement of OSBORN posted on website http://www.alice-glass.com/cc).
- 28. Despite their relationship ending over four (4) years ago at the time of this publication, and the fact that OSBORN had tried to falsely accuse PALMIERI in 2014, publication of these false allegations is all the more egregious.
  - 29. Since the release of OSBORN's statement, CRYSTAL CASTLES

management company, C3 Management, contacted PALMIERI and informed him that the CRYSTAL CASTLES tour had been cancelled due to the nature of OSBORN's statements against PALMIERI. Since receiving this call from C3 Management, PALMIERI has tried numerous times to reach out to the management company without success.

- 30. On information and belief, the gross profit from this tour (excluding merchandise) would be estimated at \$300,000.00.
- 31. Aside from the lost revenue due to the tour being cancelled, both PALMIERI and CRYSTAL CASTLES have suffered irreparable harm to their reputation and good will.

## **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

### **DEFAMATION AND DEFAMATION PER SE**

# (Against Defendant OSBORN and DOES 1-50)

- 32. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though fully set forth in detail herein.
- 33. On or about October 24, 2017, OSBORN aka ALICE GLASS publicized to the online community, statements that Plaintiff had sexually and physically abused her and that on some occasions sexual acts were perpetrated by PALMIERI against OSBORN by use of illicit substances.
- 34. OSBORN, knowing said statements were false and despite having attempted to defame PALMIERI in the past (and being foiled in the attempt), knowingly made false statements about PALMIERI.
- 35. The online community has reasonably understood the statements to mean that PALMIERI used violence against OSBORN, sexually assaulted her, and on at least some occasions drugged OSBORN in order to engage in forced sexual activity.
  - 36. The statements were and are false.
- 37. As a result of publicizing these falsities, Plaintiffs have suffered harm to their intellectual property, business, trade, and reputation.
  - 38. Each of the statements in paragraph 27 (highlighted) are defamatory on their

face constituting defamation per se.

- 39. Defendant OSBORN knew that each of the statements and depictions set forth above were false, reasonably should have known they were false, and acted maliciously or with oppression with the intent, or with despicable conduct, to harm Plaintiffs.
- 40. As a direct and proximate cause of the above-described statements, Plaintiffs have suffered and will suffer emotional distress and have been, and continue to be, embarrassed and humiliated by the false statements and implications and reasonably fear that they will be shunned, avoided and subject to ridicule.
- 41. Defendants, and each of them, have acted with knowledge that their depictions of Plaintiffs were false and with a reckless disregard of truth or falsity. Defendants' conduct was intended by them to cause injury to Plaintiffs, and was despicable conduct carried on with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights, reputation, and safety of Plaintiffs. As such, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover punitive and exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendants and deter them from conduct in the future.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **FALSE LIGHT**

# (Against Defendant OSBORN and DOES 1-50)

- 42. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though fully set forth in detail herein.
- 43. Defendants, and each of them, publicized online to the world at large information or material showing Plaintiff in a false light. Specifically:

The first time he took advantage of me was when I was around 15. He was 10 years older than me. I came to in the back of his car extremely interiored (from driple he had given me that night)

- intoxicated (from drinks he had given me that night).
- 44. There is ample, clear and convincing evidence that OSBORN knew that the publication would create a false impression about PALMIERI.

|   | 45.    | Moreover, the false light created was that Plaintiff engaged in sexual activity |  |  |
|---|--------|---|--|--|
| with O  | SBOR   | N while under the age of 18. However, both PALMIERI and OSBORN were             |  |  |
| esidents of Canada and at the time the sex acts were alleged to have occurred, OSBORN |        |   |  |  |
| vas of  | the ag | e of consent.   |  |  |

- 46. Defendants knew of the falsity of the publicized matter and the false light in which Plaintiffs would be placed.
- 47. Defendant OSBORN knew that each of the statements and depictions set forth above were false, reasonably should have known they were false, and acted maliciously or with oppression with the intent, or with despicable conduct, to harm Plaintiffs.
- 48. As a direct and proximate cause of the above-described statements, Plaintiffs have suffered and will suffer emotional distress and have been, and continue to be, embarrassed and humiliated by the false statements and implications and reasonably fear that they will be shunned, avoided and subject to ridicule.
- 49. Defendants, and each of them, have acted with knowledge that their depictions of Plaintiffs were false and with a reckless disregard of truth or falsity. Defendants' conduct was intended by them to cause injury to Plaintiffs, and was despicable conduct carried on with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights, reputation, and safety of Plaintiffs. As such, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover punitive and exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendants and deter them from conduct in the future.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **BREACH OF CONTRACT**

#### (Against Defendant OSBORN and DOES 1-50)

- 50. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though fully set forth in detail herein.
- 51. PALMIERI and OSBORN entered into a contract, attached herein as Exhibit A.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH

### PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC RELATIONS

### (Against Defendant OSBORN and DOES 1-50)

- 60. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs above as though fully set forth in detail herein.
- 61. On information and belief, Defendants knew that their existed an agreement with a management company, namely C3 Management and Paradigm Booking Agency.
- 62. Defendants knew that their existed an agreement with a management company, namely C3 Management and Paradigm Booking Agency.
- 63. Defendants engaged in making defamatory and false accusations against PALMIERI, with the intent to disrupt their relationship or that Defendants knew that such disruption of the relationship was certain or substantially certain to occur.

# SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **CONSPIRACY**

#### (Against All Defendants)

- 64. Plaintiff re-alleges the information set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 62 above, and incorporates these paragraphs into this cause of action as if they were fully alleged herein.
- 65. Defendant KEYES was aware that OSBORN planned to wrongfully defame and harm the reputation of Plaintiffs PALMIERI and CRYSTAL CASTLES.
- 66. KEYES agreed with OSBORNE agreed and on at least one occasion attempted to involve Arriane Duyerre in order to have said defamatory comments made public.
- 67. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' fraudulent conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damage in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limit of this Court according to proof at time of trial.
  - WHEREFORE Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants jointly and

| 1        | severally a      | is follows:  |
|----------|------------------|--|
| 2        | 1.               | For general and special damages in the amount to be proven at trial;       |
| 3        | 2.               | For exemplary and punitive damages;  |
| 4        | 3.               | For attorney's fees as allowed by law;                                     |
| 5        | ·4.              | For interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal rate;           |
| 6        | 5.               | For costs of suit herein incurred.   |
| 7        | 6.               | For restitution of all monies and profits to be disgorged from Defendants' |
| 8        |                  | unfair business practices in an amount according to proof at time of trial |
| 9        | 7.               | For such other and further relief as the court may deem appropriate.       |
| 10       |                  | Respectfully submitted, BERNARD & BERNARD                                  |
| 11       |                  | DEKNAKU & BEKNAKU  |
| 12       | Dated:           | M// ,2017 By: STEPHEN BERNARD ESO.   |
| 13       |                  | SHANE BERNARD, ESQ.  Attorney for Plaintiff,                               |
| 14       |                  | CLAUDIO PALMIERI<br>aka ETHAN KATH and;                                    |
| 15       |                  | CRYSTAL CASTLES  |
| 16       |                  |  |
| 17       |                  |  |
| 18       |                  | •  |
| 19       |                  |  |
| 20       | <u>}</u>         | ·  |
| 21<br>22 | ·                |  |
| 22       |                  |  |
| 24       |                  |  |
| 25       |                  |  |
| 26       | ) <del>cel</del> |  |
| 27       | 100              |  |
| 28       | <u></u>          | •  |
|          | E17              | 12   |
| İ        | V .              | VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES   |