INDEX NO. 654668/2017 FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 02/07/2018 10:20 AM NYSCEF DOC. NO. 88 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/07/2018 1 2 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK: CIVIL TERM: PART - 61 3 MILO YIANNOPOULOS, 4 Plaintiff 5 INDEX NUMBER: 654668/2017 6 -against-7 SIMON & SCHUSTER, INC., 8 Defendant 9 60 Centre Street 10 New York, New York 10007 , January 18, 2018 11 BEFORE: 12 HONORABLE: Barry R. Ostrager, JSC 13 14 APPEARANCES: 15 Meister Seelig & Fein, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 125 Park Avenue, 7th Floor 16 New York, New York 10017 17 By: Stephen Meister, Esq. Jeffrey P. Weingart, Esq. 18 19 Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP Attorneys for Defendant 20 1251 Avenue of the Americas, 21st Floor New York, New York 10020 21 By: Elizabeth A. McNamara, Esq. + 22 23 24 25 Delores Hilliard Official Court Reporter 26 - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

COUNTY CLERK 02/07/2018 10:20 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 88

INDEX NO. 654668/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/07/2018

Proceedings

COURT CLERK: Index Number 654668/2017.

In the matter of MILO YIANNOPOULOS SIMON SCHUSTER, versus

All right. This is an application by THE COURT: plaintiff law firm, Meister Seelig & Fein to withdraw as counsel for the plaintiff. And it's my understanding that the defendant has not opposed the application. plaintiff has not opposed the application.

The only issue that needs to be discussed this afternoon is what happens with respect to documents that were marked attorneys eyes only once plaintiff's counsel withdraws.

And the short answer to that issue is that anything that has been designated attorneys eyes only is absolutely, positively, definitely not to be shared with anybody other than successor counsel.

Anything else that needs to be decided before I grant the motion to relieve counsel?

MR. MEISTER: Stephen Meister for outgoing counsel for the plaintiff.

I think we had also requested a stay of, a 30 day stay associated with the requested order. I don't think that was opposed. I could be wrong.

MS. McNAMARA: Your Honor, we don't oppose a 30 day stay regarding any obligations by the plaintiff under the

dh

2 of 8

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

26

25

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 02/07/2018 10:20 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 88

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

INDEX NO. 654668/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/07/2018

Proceedings

current schedule.

There is an outstanding subpoena to the plaintiff's agent Mr. Flannery which the documents are already over due to be produced.

They are not represented by this firm. And so, we would ask that they be, they should turn over those documents and that not be stayed. It doesn't involve the plaintiff's firm.

We have agreed with Mr. Flannery's counsel that we would hold in abeyance any deposition of the witness until he has substitute counsel named.

THE COURT: I think it is reasonable for the plaintiff to have time to secure replacement counsel if he so chooses.

MS. McNAMARA: Sure.

THE COURT: And I don't see any exigent circumstances which would require anything to happen for 30 days.

MS. McNAMARA: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, is it contemplated that Mr.

Yiannopoulos is going to secure successor counsel?

MR. MEISTER: May Mr. Yiannopoulos address the

Court on that question? May Mr. Yiannopoulos?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YIANNOPOULOS: Thank you, your Honor.

dh

YORK COUNTY CLERK 02/07/2018 10:20

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 88

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

INDEX NO. 654668/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/07/2018

Proceedings

I understand why I'm here and I would like to proceed with this. It's my intention in going forward to represent myself pro se.

Part of the reason for that is there has been a break-down between me and my representatives.

Partly, also, we are in situations where Simon & Schuster has grossly over designated documents in a variety of different ways. And I do not believe I want to spend half a million dollars grinding through that process with counsel. I would much rather do it myself.

It seems to me I'm going to represent myself. There is no reason why I should not be given access to documents that are absolutely essential in order for me to properly assess my own case.

THE COURT: That's not going to happen.

MR. YIANNOPOULOS: Okay.

THE COURT: That's not going to happen.

You're entitled to represent yourself. documents that were given to your counsel for attorneys eyes only were given to your counsel for attorneys eyes only on the basis of a good faith representation that those documents would not be shared with you and would only be used by counsel.

Effectively, your Honor, MR. YIANNOPOULOS: engaging in gamesmanship with these designations.

dh

COUNTY CLERK 02/07/2018 10:20 AM

INDEX NO. 654668/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/07/2018

Proceedings

THE COURT: Those are documents that have information about proprietary financial information relating to Simon & Schuster. And those documents, frankly, have nothing whatsoever to do with the substantive merits of your case.

MR. YIANNOPOULOS: Well, your Honor, that's what they claim, but I disagree. I think they actually do contain information very pertinent.

THE COURT: Again, you're entitled to proceed pro You are not entitled to secured documents that were explicitly provided with the understanding that they be for attorneys eyes only.

MR. YIANNOPOULOS: Would the Court be willing to offer me some assistance in renegotiating?

> THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. YIANNOPOULOS: Would the Court be willing to offer me some assistance in renegotiating their position if there are documents that are clearly critical to my case?

What has been happening in the case so far is some issues have been entered, public record documents that are very embarrassing to me and leaking those to journalist. And at the same time marked documents for attorneys eyes only.

Your claim is that Simon & Schuster THE COURT: declined to publish your book for political reasons.

dh

5 of 8

1

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 88

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

YORK COUNTY CLERK 02/07/2018 10:20 AM

INDEX NO. 654668/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/07/2018

1

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 88

2

3

4 5

6 7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

Proceedings

that Simon & Schuster's parent company was involved in that political decision.

The financial records of Simon & Schuster have nothing whatsoever to do with that claim.

MR. YIANNOPOULOS: Well, sir, I don't think it was just a financial political decision. I think there was a financial potential to it. Certainly, my name coming up in the negotiations would be pertinent to those kinds of arguments.

Since we have a summary judgment motion pending, which is that they did not give me various things that I was allowed in the contract 45 days to review the manuscript that they decided it was --

Whatever. You know, we have that summary judgment motion pending. I understand.

But, moving beyond that if I'm to argue on the merits of the trial I cannot properly assess my own case if I'm not given full access to those documents.

Because, it isn't simply the case that they did so for political reasons. I believe it is also the case that they did so to save revenue lines elsewhere in the business. And they are not entitled to do that according to the contract I signed with them.

So, any negotiations that might, for instance, show them representing and intentionally cancelling my book no

dh

YORK COUNTY CLERK 02/07/2018 10:20 AM

INDEX NO. 654668/2017

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/07/2018

1

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 88

2

4

5

3

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

Proceedings

matter what, or shows them saying to other offices don't worry, he is going to be gone and you don't have to worry about signing with us, those kinds of documents are extremely pertinent to some of the lines of arguments I'm allowed to make.

THE COURT: Look, you've made the decision to proceed pro se.

The practice in this court is that if you have discovery disputes you write a letter to the Court identifying the concerns that you have about the state of discovery. Opposing counsel responds to that letter. And then we have a conference at which we discuss the merits of dispute relating to discovery.

Insofar as today's proceedings the only thing that is before me is your counsel's request to withdraw, which you don't oppose and the defendants don't oppose.

So, it is granted on consent.

And the only other thing that is before me is a request for a 30 day stay of the proceedings, which opposing counsel does not oppose.

So, that is also granted on consent.

And that is all that is before me today.

MR. YIANNOPOULOS: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. That is the order of the Court.

INDEX NO. 654668/2017 YORK COUNTY CLERK 02/07/2018 10:20 AM NYSCEF DOC. NO. 88 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 02/07/2018 Proceedings Please, order a copy of the transcript. MS. McNAMARA: Thank you, your Honor. Certified to be a true and accurate transcription of said stenographic notes Court Reporter

8 of 8

dh