

Smith, Kami

From: Viventi, Carol
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 1:21 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: What Really Happened

[REDACTED] so this, especially coming from you, is very touching to me! You are a very good coach//leader!

I figured out that Mosallum didn't learn how to be a team player. Instead of saying I'll have to get the other side, or learn more, he says if it's true, it's disgusting. His quarterback is under attack and the instinct is not to defend, but to go along. There's an assumption there that he believes it might be true, rather than an assumption that it couldn't be true and therefore he'll make a temporizing statement. So now that I figured out what was bothering me, I can go back to thinking about all the other problems we have.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 9:23 AM
To: Viventi, Carol <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: What Really Happened

Thank you for your strong defense. It is deeply appreciated. At least we know what really happened. The survivors now are being manipulated by trial lawyers who in the end will each get millions of dollars more than any of individual survivors with the exception of Denhollander who is likely to get kickback from Manley for her role in the trial lawyer manipulation.

It is too bad we can't have a debate about who is really trying to help those who were harmed by Nassar. At least, all of the positive changes are beginning to get some modest attention. It will be years before the use and abuse by trial lawyers point is understood.

Have a good Sunday. See you Tuesday morning.

John

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 15, 2018, at 8:53 AM, Viventi, Carol [REDACTED] wrote:

Appreciate the note. He corrected her trying to portray she was outnumbered by "his attorney" which is a fact, but he could not get into an argument about her wholly inaccurate portrayal of what was said 1, he's not supposed to respond during the comment period and 2, refuting her statement plays into their narrative that he's bullying and revictimizing a survivor. And in that room, with that audience there was no point in saying anything.

Victims can say anything and we have to dance around their "misperceptions". The plaintiff's attorneys are very adept at getting their narrative out there...if we respond, we just give them more opportunity to argue back or say we're denying responsibility, etc. Unfortunately, we're stuck trying to get real improvement (7 pages worth!) things done and getting to mediation. We can't defend ourselves in the press, but I wanted you all to know we were nowhere near being in the same room and discussion with her.

From: Mitch <mitch@lyonskitzrow.com>

Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 7:17 AM

To: Viventi, Carol <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Brian Breslin <[REDACTED]>; Brian Mosallam <[REDACTED]>; Dan Kelly <dkelly@gmhilaw.com>; Dianne Byrum <DByrum@byrumfisk.com>; Perles, George

<[REDACTED]>; Loel Ferguson <[REDACTED]>; Melanie Foster <[REDACTED]>;

<[REDACTED]>; Beekman, William <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Re: What Really Happened

Carol,

As I stated, if true, his comments would be deeply troubling. Evidently, as you have told us, this isn't true. I wish the President had refuted her claims immediately instead of letting the Perry Mason moment occur in the board meeting. He immediately refuted the fact that you are his attorney but yet these claims went undisputed. His silenced was deafening.

Be Prosperous,

Mitch Lyons

Lyons Kitzrow Wealth Management

5088 Corporate Exchange #200

Grand Rapids, MI 49512

800-584-6108 (office)

[REDACTED] cell)

Mitch@LyonsKitzrow.com

LyonsKitzrow.com

Prosperity is a Choice

Securities offered through GF Investment Services, LLC. Member FINRA/SIPC. 2080 Ringling Blvd., Third Floor, Sarasota, FL, 34237. (941) 441.1902. Investment advisory services offered through Global Financial Private Capital, LLC, an SEC Registered Investment

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 14, 2018, at 2:33 PM, Viventi, Carol <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Given the outrageously distorted Lorincz Board statement and media coverage of the meeting held with the Lorincz's and the President, I want you to have the accurate information about that meeting since I was present during the entire time.

1. The President talked to Kaylee's mom on the phone days earlier before they arrived in the reception area demanding a meeting with the President saying they would not leave until they met. The meeting began with their pleas (and pleas throughout the meeting) that this meeting be

kept "confidential" and they would "be in big trouble if it was known that they were meeting with us". I was surprised this was the same Mom that the President had talked to.

2. Kaylee's statements to the Board contained many false and inaccurate statements which we did not publicly contradict out of an abundance of concern for the survivors who are quick to claim "revictimization" or "shaming" survivors whenever they are falsely accusing members of the MSU community.

3. Before Kaylee's spoke to the Board, a press release on her lawyer, Manly Steward Finaldi, letterhead was issued PRIOR to her actually giving her public statement. And, it was titled: Nassar Survivor Kaylee Lorincz Alleges Secret Payoff Attempt by MSU President John Engler. An inflammatory headline written specifically for the press. The President did not and NEVER would have thought to offer her money and certainly NEVER would have attempted to "payoff" an individual survivor.

4. The President has not met with Rachel DenHollander and did NOT in the meeting say he had. He absolutely did Not say she gave him a number. This accusation is pure fiction.

5. As to her assertions about Strampel, recall that The President is the person who wanted Strampel to be fired and lose his tenure... a process that was initiated long before the attorney general filed criminal charges. There is no way he made light of Strampel's actions.

What members of the Board say in public can, however unwittingly, advance the plaintiffs' goals and injure the University. If any of you have any questions, please call me or text me anytime. I am always available. The President is always available. When, as here, it is possible to verify whether public assertions made by plaintiffs are true, there should never be a statement in the press by Board members that gives credibility to sensational headlines or what can best be described as "false news".

Smith, Kami

From: Viventi, Carol
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 3:39 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: What Really Happened

Team, team, team. Where is our team. I almost think I shouldn't copy you as this is unnecessary...but since she copied all the board and Beekman I figure someone will ask you sometime.....

From: Viventi, Carol
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 3:35 PM
To: 'Dianne Byrum' <dbyrum@byrumfisk.com>; Mitch Lyons <Mitch@lyonskitzrow.com>
Cc: Brian Breslin <[REDACTED]>; Brian Mosallam <[REDACTED]>; Dan Kelly <dkelly@gmhlaw.com>; Perles, George <[REDACTED]>; Joël Ferguson <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED]; Melanie Foster <[REDACTED]>; Beekman, William <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: What Really Happened

[REDACTED]

John has been criticized extensively for "not meeting with survivors". The day he walked in the door he was met with a letter from Calley telling him to meet with survivors, drop all defenses, etc. He was criticized because he didn't meet with any of them for the first few weeks. He got an email from a Mom who lived in California (think that's the one the local lawyer mentioned) and since it was night our time, but earlier their time, he called. Since she contacted him, he was just responding. He has not sought out survivors to talk to. He did meet with some survivors who happened to be a part of a meeting that was about a totally different subject...the survivors just happened to be in the room and the topic was brought up. Kaylee's mom either sent an email or called (Je would remember but he's out of town) so he called her and they had a pleasant conversation. They showed up and saw him as he crossed the hall to the bathroom and insisted they weren't leaving without meeting with him. He remembered when they sat down that he had talked to her. I'm just sorry we didn't record the conversation. Given what's happened, it isn't likely that he will meet with Mom or a survivor again.

The timing is unfortunate as the meeting took place weeks ago and we were told they needed it to be confidential. Bob is working very hard to tell our side to the mediator given the press release sabotage by Manly. We are meeting tomorrow to talk about how we talk without appearing to be attacking or defensive.

Thanks Dianne. Appreciate your questions.

From: Dianne Byrum <dbyrum@byrumfisk.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 2:43 PM
To: Viventi, Carol <[REDACTED]>; Mitch Lyons <Mitch@lyonskitzrow.com>
Cc: Brian Breslin <[REDACTED]>; Brian Mosallam <[REDACTED]>; Dan Kelly <dkelly@gmhlaw.com>; Perles, George <[REDACTED]>; Joël Ferguson <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED]; Melanie Foster <[REDACTED]>; Beekman, William <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: What Really Happened

Carol,

I have serious concerns about the fact President Engler called Kaylee Lorincz's mother and met with both of them in his office. Given the upcoming mediation conference, it is very problematic and highly inappropriate to be speaking with the Survivors or their families. .

Over the weekend, the TV news carried another story about President Engler having contacted a different Survivor. Lansing attorney Jamie White was face-to-camera criticizing John for calling his client. Again, I am extremely concerned about John's contact with the Survivors.

I believe these actions were self-inflicted mistakes. I am not aware of any Board direction or the Board's advance knowledge of John's contact with the Survivors.

My question is: why is John contacting and/or speaking with the Survivors?

Dianne

From: Viventi, Carol [mailto: [REDACTED]]
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 8:54 AM
To: Mitch Lyons
Cc: Brian Breslin; Brian Mosallam; Dan Kelly; Dianne Byrum; Perles, George; Joel Ferguson; Melanie Foster; Beekman, William
Subject: RE: What Really Happened

Appreciate the note. He corrected her trying to portray she was outnumbered by "his attorney" which is a fact, but he could not get into an argument about her wholly inaccurate portrayal of what was said 1, he's not supposed to respond during the comment period and 2, refuting her statement plays into their narrative that he's bullying and revictimizing a survivor. And in that room, with that audience there was no point in saying anything.

Victims can say anything and we have to dance around their "misperceptions". The plaintiff's attorneys are very adept at getting their narrative out there...if we respond, we just give them more opportunity to argue back or say we're denying responsibility, etc. Unfortunately, we're stuck trying to get real improvement (7 pages worth!) things done and getting to mediation. We can't defend ourselves in the press, but I wanted you all to know we were nowhere near being in the same room and discussion with her.

From: Mitch <mitch@lyonskitzrow.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 7:17 AM
To: Viventi, Carol < [REDACTED] >
Cc: Brian Breslin < [REDACTED] >; Brian Mosallam < [REDACTED] >; Dan Kelly <dkelly@gmhlaw.com>; Dianne Byrum <DByrum@byrumfisk.com>; Perles, George < [REDACTED] >; Joel Ferguson < [REDACTED] >; Melanie Foster < [REDACTED] >; Beekman, William < [REDACTED] >
Subject: Re: What Really Happened

Carol,

As I stated, if true, his comments would be deeply troubling. Evidently, as you have told us, this isn't true. I wish the President had refuted her claims immediately instead of letting the Perry Mason moment occur in the board meeting. He immediately refuted the fact that you are his attorney but yet these claims went undisputed. His silenced was deafening.

Be Prosperous,

Mitch Lyons

Lyons Kitzrow Wealth Management
5088 Corporate Exchange #200
Grand Rapids, MI 49512
800-584-6108 (office)
616-540-5564 (cell)
Mitch@LyonsKitzrow.com
LyonsKitzrow.com

Prosperity is a Choice

Securities offered through GF Investment Services, LLC. Member FINRA/SIPC. ~~2080 Ringling Blvd., Third Floor, Sarasota, FL 34237, (941) 441.1902.~~ Investment advisory services offered through Global Financial Private Capital, LLC, an SEC Registered Investment

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 14, 2018, at 2:33 PM, Viventi, Carol <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Given the outrageously distorted Lorincz Board statement and media coverage of the meeting held with the Lorincz's and the President, I want you to have the accurate information about that meeting since I was present during the entire time.

1. The President talked to Kaylee's mom on the phone days earlier before they arrived in the reception area demanding a meeting with the President saying they would not leave until they met. The meeting began with their pleas (and pleas throughout the meeting) that this meeting be kept "confidential" and they would "be in big trouble if it was known that they were meeting with us". I was surprised this was the same Mom that the President had talked to. [REDACTED]

2. Kaylee's statements to the Board contained many false and inaccurate statements which we did not publicly contradict out of an abundance of concern for the survivors who are quick to claim "revictimization" or "shaming" survivors whenever they are falsely accusing members of the MSU community.

3. Before Kaylee's spoke to the Board, a press release on her lawyer, Manly Steward Finaldi, letterhead was issued PRIOR to her actually giving her public statement. And, it was titled: Nassar Survivor Kaylee Lorincz Alleges Secret Payoff Attempt by MSU President John Engler. An inflammatory headline written specifically for the press. The President did not and NEVER would have thought to offer her money and certainly NEVER would have attempted to "payoff" an individual survivor.

4. The President has not met with Rachel DenHollander and did NOT in the meeting say he had. He absolutely did Not say she gave him a number. This accusation is pure fiction.

5. As to her assertions about Strampel, recall that The President is the person who wanted Strampel to be fired and lose his tenure...a process that was initiated long

before the attorney general filed criminal charges. There is no way he made light of Strampel's actions.



What members of the Board say in public can, however unwittingly, advance the plaintiffs' goals and injure the University. If any of you have any questions, please call me or text me anytime. I am always available. The President is always available. When, as here, it is possible to verify whether public assertions made by plaintiffs are true, there should never be a statement in the press by Board members that gives credibility to sensational headlines or what can best be described as "false news".