

ASSEMBLY FLOOR ALERT

DATE:	August 29, 2018
TO:	Members of the California State Assembly
SUBJECT:	SB 328 (Portantino)
POSITION:	OPPOSE

On behalf of the undersigned organizations representing California statewide groups and local education agencies, we are writing to respectfully oppose SB 328 (Portantino) which is currently on the Assembly Floor. This measure would require all middle and high schools in California (with the exception of those in rural school districts) to change their school day start time to 8:30 a.m. or later by 2021.

Our organizations recognize the importance of maximizing student sleep. However, we must oppose SB 328 because it fails to recognize the negative and disproportionate safety, fiscal, family and community impacts of imposing a one-size-fits all mandate to the determination of when our local schools begin the regular school day. We firmly believe that, in a state as large, geographically and socio-economically diverse as ours, this is a decision that should only be made by locally elected school district trustees—informed by input from parents, teachers and other stakeholders—who know the needs of their students and community.

In many non-rural communities, this bill will not actually result in students getting more sleep. In fact, the bill could have a disproportionately negative impact on lower-income and working families, particularly laborers and service industry employees who don't have the option of starting their work day later. We know from experience that many of these parents will drop their children off at school at the same time they do now, regardless of whether there is supervision, and there is not enough funding from the state for before school programs to ensure the safety of students who will be dropped off early.

Home-to-school transportation throughout California is also underfunded – an issue affecting all urban, suburban and rural California school districts. The ability of school districts to manage and maximize the efficient use of their bus fleet to serve all students is contingent upon being able to stagger school start times. Additional buses and drivers would be necessary to meet local needs if the range of available school start times is reduced, and the bills does not provide funding to cover the associated costs.

It is important to note that examples of out-of-state schools that have implemented later start times, frequently cited by proponents of this bill, may not be applicable given the greater resources available in other states to address these unintended consequences.

For all of these reasons, we must respectfully continue to oppose SB 328 (Portantino) and ask that you once again vote "NO" when it comes before you on the Assembly Floor.

Sincerely,

Nancy Chaires Espinoya

Nancy Chaires Espinoza Legislative Advocate California School Boards Association

Jeffrey Frost Legislative Advocate California Association of Suburban School Districts

Michael Helsiger Michael Hulsizer

Chief Deputy for Governmental Affairs Kern County Superintendent of Schools

Jeffrey a Vera

Jeffrey A. Vaca Chief Governmental Relations Officer Office of the Riverside County Superintendent of Schools.

Ernie Silva, JD Executive Director of External Affairs SIATech

Sara Bache

Assistant Executive Director California Association of School Business Officials

Seth Bramble Legislative Advocate California Teachers Association

Cathy McBride

Legislative Advocate Association of California School Administrators

Pam McDonald President, California Association of School Transportation Officials