Subject: Re: NASSCO Clarification
From: Brad Racino <bradracino@inewsource.org>
Date: 12/6/18, 2:07 PM
To: "Nieri, Kathryn" <Kathryn.Nieri@nassco.com>
CC: "DuBard, Dennis" <DDuBard@nassco.com>

Thank you Kathryn,

As I had mentioned on the phone, I'd appreciate some type of documentation showing that's indeed the case. Can you please send along any contract that spells out what you just said?

Thank you for your help,

-Brad

Nieri, Kathryn wrote on 12/6/18 1:57 PM:

> Hi Brad,
>
> We wanted to follow up on our phone call with one clarification.
>
> We had misstated that of the four vessels you observed two had contract terms that differed from the current NAVSEA Standard Item 009-72. Our records indicate the contract for one of the vessels, the USS Higgins in the floating dry dock, adheres to an earlier iteration of NAVSEA Standard Item 009-72 in which the protection requirements are different. NASSCO is in compliance with the contract's requirements for USS Higgins, and the current NAVSEA Standard Item 009-72 requirements for the other three ships.
>
> Thank you,
>
> **GENERAL DYNAMICS**
> NASSCO
>
> **Kathryn Nieri** • *Communications and Government Relations*
> General Dynamics NASSCO
> 2798 East Harbor Drive, San Diego, CA 92113
> **t** (619) 544-3560 **c** (661) 817-3638 **e** kathryn.nieri@nassco.com
>

--

BRAD RACINO | Senior Reporter & Assistant Director
inewsource.org

c. (845) 553-4170
t. @bradracino

Attachments:

image.png	0 bytes
image.png	0 bytes
image.png	0 bytes
image.png	0 bytes
image.png	0 bytes
image.png	0 bytes
image.png	0 bytes