February 26, 2019

Mary L. Kendall
Deputy Inspector General
U.S. Department of the Interior Office of Inspector General
1849 C Street NW - Mail Stop 4428
Washington, D.C. 20240

Dear Ms. Kendall:

We write to express our significant concern about potential ethics violations committed by David Bernhardt, the Acting Secretary of the Department of the Interior (DOI), and to ask for an Inspector General investigation of Mr. Bernhardt’s actions. This request follows recent reports that Mr. Bernhardt is involved in Department decisions to roll back long-standing protections for endangered wildlife, which would directly benefit one of his former clients. This matter is particularly urgent because Mr. Bernhardt has been nominated by President Trump to serve as Secretary of the Interior and is currently awaiting a Senate confirmation hearing.¹

On July 24, 2017, the Senate confirmed Mr. Bernhardt to the position of Deputy Secretary of the Interior Department. The *Los Angeles Times* reported that day about how this position would give Mr. Bernhardt the opportunity to oversee Interior policies that directly affected his former clients, who paid his firm millions to lobby on their behalf.² The article specifically mentioned Mr. Bernhardt’s work on behalf of the Westland Water District, the nation’s largest irrigation district, which ranged from drafting letters and executive orders to personally arguing an appeals case on their behalf.³ Mr. Bernhardt was named as Acting Secretary of the Department in February 2019, following the resignation of Secretary Ryan Zinke.

Earlier this month, the *New York Times* published an article confirming that Mr. Bernhardt’s significant involvement in Department proposals that revise Endangered Species Act rules that protect the delta smelt and the Chinook salmon.⁴ Just four months after starting as Deputy Secretary at the Interior Department in 2017, he began taking “a hands-on approach” in the rerouting of river water in the San Francisco Bay Delta, which endangers the habitat of these two species — that are listed as “threatened” and “endangered” respectively — to support the local agricultural industry.⁵

³ *Id.*
⁵ *Id.*
Since that time, the DOI has announced the release of proposals to weaken federal protections in the Central Valley Project (which covers the San Francisco Bay Delta) on December 29, 2017, and a subsequent report on how water diversion in the area will take place on February 4, 2019. These actions directly benefit Westlands Water District, which is the entity that is “most penalized under the current system.” Prior to his appointment at the Department in 2017, Mr. Bernhardt represented the Westlands Water District as a lobbyist, which paid his law firm $320,000 to advocate for the water needs of California farmers. In that position, he pursued litigation to undermine the Endangered Species Act and challenged federal scientific findings on endangered species such as the delta smelt.

On January 28, 2017, President Donald Trump signed an executive order that required “every appointee in every executive agency appointed on or after January 20, 2017” to sign and “be contractually committed to” an ethics pledge. This pledge requires adherence to the following rule:

“If I was a registered lobbyist within the 2 years before the date of my appointment, in addition to abiding by the limitations of paragraph 6, I will not for a period of 2 years after the date of my appointment participate in any particular matter on which I lobbied within the 2 years before the date of my appointment or participate in the specific issue area in which that particular matter falls.”

In addition to the ethics pledge, federal ethics law also restricts federal employees’ involvement in matters in which they have a conflict of interest. Section 2635.502 of the Code of Federal Regulations states the following:

“Where an employee knows that a particular matter involving specific parties is likely to have a direct and predictable effect on the financial interest of a member of his household, or knows that a person with whom he has a covered relationship is or represents a party to such matter, and where the employee determines that the circumstances would cause a reasonable person with knowledge of the relevant facts to question his impartiality in the matter, the employee should not participate in the matter.

---
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unless he has informed the agency designee of the appearance problem and received authorization from the agency designee in accordance with paragraph (d) of this section."

As an executive agency appointee, Mr. Bernhardt signed the pledge and was also subject to the federal ethic restrictions under 5 CFR 2635.502. Mr. Bernhardt would subsequently recuse himself from actions involving 26 former clients, including Westlands Water District, to abide by these rules.13

However, Mr. Bernhardt may have violated these rules when former Interior Secretary Ryan Zinke tasked him with drafting a new plan for managing federal and state water supplies. According to press reports, Mr. Bernhardt reportedly received a "verbal approval" by Interior ethics officials to conduct such actions because his recent lobbying efforts on behalf of Westlands Water District — though focused only on passing a specific provision targeting smelt and salmon — was viewed "technically" to be part of a broad water bill.14 Virginia Canter, the Chief Ethics Counsel for Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington, stated that this argument was a "real reach" and that if "he didn't receive it in writing, it's still an open question of whether he violated the pledge, and [it is] worthy of an investigation."15

We are concerned by what appears to be clear evidence that Mr. Bernhardt, while acting to weaken Endangered Species Act rules, may have violated his ethics pledge and federal conflict of interest regulations by participating in decisions that directly affect a former client.

As the acting head of a major government agency, it is incumbent upon Mr. Bernhardt to be held to the highest standards of ethical conduct and to avoid any appearance of impropriety, including the perception that he has given his former client an unfair advantage and favorable treatment in the formulation of government policy. These concerns are heightened when they involve contentious matters on which he previously lobbied, received financial remuneration and that could result in a controversial outcome.

Therefore, we request that you conduct a thorough investigation of the Department's process for interpreting and enforcing ethics and conflict of interest regulations when they apply to the work of Mr. Bernhardt in his position as Acting Secretary. This review should include an analysis of:

1. The role Mr. Bernhardt played in Interior Department decisions affecting the Westland Water District, or any other former clients.

2. The ethics review process for Mr. Bernhardt, including the intake and due diligence process to ensure all relevant facts have been collected and documented, the advice he received during this process, when and how he requested and received that advice, and whether he complied with all advice that he received.
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3. Whether Mr. Bernhardt may have violated his ethics pledge, or any other federal ethics rule via his involvement in decisions affecting Westland Water District or any other former client.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Warren
United States Senator

Richard Blumenthal
United States Senator