

1 JOSEPH H. HUNT
Assistant Attorney General
2 SCOTT G. STEWART
Deputy Assistant Attorney General
3 WILLIAM C. PEACHEY
Director
4 Office of Immigration Litigation
5 WILLIAM C. SILVIS
Assistant Director
6 Office of Immigration Litigation
7 SARAH B. FABIAN
Senior Litigation Counsel
8 NICOLE MURLEY
Trial Attorney
9 Office of Immigration Litigation
10 U.S. Department of Justice
11 Box 868, Ben Franklin Station
12 Washington, DC 20442
13 Telephone: (202) 532-4824
14 Fax: (202) 616-8962
15 ADAM L. BRAVERMAN
United States Attorney
16 SAMUEL W. BETTWY
Assistant U.S. Attorney
17 California Bar No. 94918
18 Office of the U.S. Attorney
19 880 Front Street, Room 6293
20 San Diego, CA 92101-8893
21 619-546-7125
619-546-7751 (fax)
22 *Attorneys for Federal Respondents-*
23 *Defendants*

Lee Gelernt*
Judy Rabinovitz*
Anand Balakrishnan*
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION
125 Broad St., 18th Floor
New York, NY 10004
T: (212) 549-2660
F: (212) 549-2654
lgelernt@aclu.org
jrabinovitz@aclu.org
abalakrishnan@aclu.org

Bardis Vakili (SBN 247783)
ACLU FOUNDATION OF
SAN DIEGO & IMPERIAL
COUNTIES
P.O. Box 87131
San Diego, CA 92138-7131
T: (619) 398-4485
F: (619) 232-0036
bvakili@aclusandiego.org

Stephen B. Kang (SBN 292280)
Spencer E. Amdur (SBN 320069)
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION
39 Drumm Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
T: (415) 343-1198
F: (415) 395-0950
skang@aclu.org
samdur@aclu.org

Attorneys for Petitioners-
Plaintiffs
**Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

24
25
26
27
28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 MS. L, et al.,

Case No. 18cv428 DMS MDD

4
5 Petitioners-Plaintiffs,

JOINT STATUS REPORT

6 vs.

7 U.S. IMMIGRATION AND
8 CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT, et
9 al.,

10 Respondents-Defendants.
11

12 The Court ordered the parties to file a joint status report on March 6, 2019, in
13 anticipation of the status conference scheduled at 3:00pm PST on March 7, 2019.
14 The parties submit this joint status report in accordance with the Court’s instruction.
15

16 **I. DEFENDANTS’ POSITIONS**

17 **A. Update on Reunifications**

18 As of March 4, 2019, Defendants have discharged 2,741 of 2,816 possible
19 children of potential class members.¹ See Table 1: Reunification Update. This is an
20 increase of six discharges reported in Table 1 since the Joint Status Report (JSR)
21
22
23

24
25 ¹ As explained in the data table below and in prior status reports, Defendants have
26 determined that some children originally counted in this number are not, in fact,
27 children of class members. Defendants continue to report this number to allow for
28 transparency in their data reporting, and to minimize confusion.

1 filed on February 20, 2019. *See* ECF No. 360. All six children were discharged under
2 other appropriate circumstances, such as discharges to appropriate sponsors or
3 discharges of minors who turned 18 years old.
4

5 There are now four children in ORR care proceeding towards reunification or
6 other appropriate discharge. The current status of these four children is as follows:

- 7 ▪ One child has a parent who is in the United States, but who is
8 unavailable because the parent is in other federal, state, or local
9 custody. Defendants are working to appropriately discharge the child,
10 and to identify any possible barriers to discharge, meeting and
11 conferring with Plaintiffs where appropriate for resolution.
12
- 13 ▪ Three children have parents who departed from the United States. The
14 Steering Committee has not yet provided notice of parental intent
15 regarding reunification (or declination of reunification) for two of these
16 children. For the third child, the Steering Committee has advised
17 Defendants that it is unable to obtain the parent's preference, and
18 regards this case as resolved for its purposes. Defendants are supporting
19 the efforts of the Steering Committee to obtain statements of intent from
20 the parents of the first two children. Once Defendants receive the
21 notices from the Steering Committee, Defendants will either reunify the
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 children or move them into the TVPRA sponsorship process, consistent
 2 with the intent of the parent.

3 The current reunification status for the 2,816 children ages 0 through 17 who
 4 have been the focus of Defendants' reporting to date is further summarized in Table
 5 1. The data in Table 1 reflects approximate numbers on these children maintained
 6 by ORR at least as of March 4, 2019. These numbers are dynamic and continue to
 7 change as more reunifications, determinations on class membership, or discharges
 8 occur.
 9
 10

11 **Table 1: Reunification Update**

<u>Description</u>	<u>Phase 1 (Under 5)</u>	<u>Phase 2 (5 and above)</u>	<u>Total</u>
Total number of possible children of potential class members	107	2709	2816
<u>Discharged Children</u>			
Total children discharged from ORR care:	106	2635	2741
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children discharged by being reunified with separated parent 	82	2073	2155
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children discharged under other appropriate circumstances (these include discharges to other sponsors [such as situations where the child's separated parent is not eligible for reunification] or children that turned 18) 	24	562	586

<u>Children in ORR Care, Parent in Class</u>			
Children in care where the parent is not eligible for reunification <u>or</u> is not available for discharge at this time:	0	4	4
• Parent presently outside the U.S.	0	3	3
○ Steering Committee has advised that resolution will be delayed	0	2	2
○ Steering Committee has advised that it is unable to obtain parental preference	0	1	1
• Parent presently inside the U.S.	0	1	1
○ Parent in other federal, state, or local custody	0	1	1
○ Parent red flag case review ongoing – safety and well being	0	0	0
<u>Children in ORR Care, Parent out of Class</u>			
Children in care where further review shows they were not separated from parents by DHS	1	12	13
Children in care where a final determination has been made they cannot be reunified because the parent is unfit or presents a danger to the child	0	17	17
Children in care with parent presently departed from the United States whose intent not to reunify has been confirmed by the ACLU	0	36	36
Children in care with parent in the United States who has indicated an intent not to reunify	0	5	5

B. Update on Removed Class Members

The current reunification status of removed class members is set forth in Table 2 below. The data presented in this Table 2 reflects approximate numbers maintained by ORR as of at least March 4, 2019. These numbers are dynamic and continue to change as the reunification process moves forward.

Table 2: Reunification of Removed Class Members

<u>REUNIFICATION PROCESS</u>	<u>REPORTING METRIC</u>	<u>NO.</u>	<u>REPORTING PARTY</u>
STARTING POPULATION	Children in ORR care with parents presently departed from the U.S.	39	Def.
PROCESS 1: Identify & Resolve Safety/Parentage Concerns	Children with no “red flags” for safety or parentage	39	Def.
PROCESS 2: Establish Contact with Parents in Country of Origin	Children with parent contact information identified	39	Def.
	Children with no contact issues identified by plaintiff or defendant	39	Def. & Pl.
	Children with parent contact information provided to ACLU by Government	39	Def.
PROCESS 3: Determine Parental Intent for Minor	Children for whom ACLU has communicated parental intent for minor:	36	Pl.
	• Children whose parents waived reunification	36	Pl.
	• Children whose parents chose reunification in country of origin	0	Pl.
	• Children proceeding outside the reunification plan	0	Pl.
	Children for whom ACLU has not yet communicated parental intent for minor:	2	Pl.
	• Children with voluntary departure orders awaiting execution	0	Def.
	• Children with parental intent to waive	0	Def.

	reunification documented by ORR		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Children whose parents ACLU has been in contact with for 28 or more days without intent determined 	0	Pls.
	Children for whom the Steering Committee is unable obtain parental preference	1	Pls.
PROCESS 4: Resolve Immigration Status of Minors to Allow Reunification			
	Total children cleared Processes 1-3 with confirmed intent for reunification in country of origin	0	Pls.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Children in ORR care with orders of voluntary departure 	0	Defs.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Children in ORR care w/o orders of voluntary departure 	0	Defs.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Children in ORR care whose immigration cases were dismissed 	0	Defs.

As discussed in the last JSR, Plaintiffs have requested that the government submit to them and to the Court a “baseline” number for removed parents. Defendants understand the “baseline” number to refer to the total number of possible class members who were removed from the United States without their children, and without being given the opportunity to elect or waive reunification in accordance with the preliminary injunction. Defendants have identified 471 such parents.²

² This number represents all class members whose children are reported in Table 1

1 Defendants reiterate that since the preliminary injunction was issued they have
 2 regularly updated the Steering Committee regarding the status of departed parents
 3 who have children remaining in ORR care, including updates and explanations about
 4 why their calculation of that number has changed over time. Defendants sent the last
 5 such update on February 21, 2019, and plan to send another update later this week.
 6

7 **C. Update Regarding Government's Implementation of Settlement**
 8 **Agreement**

9 **Settlement Agreement Implementation**

10 SETTLEMENT	11 DESCRIPTION	12 NUMBER
13 PROCESS		
14 Election Forms³	Total number of executed election forms received by the Government	344 (220 Parents/124 Children)⁴
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number who elect to receive settlement procedures 	188 (121 Parents/67 Children)

15
 16
 17
 18
 19 (2,816 total) and who had departed the United States on or before June 26, 2018.
 20 Any parents of separated children referred to ORR after June 26 would have been
 21 subject to the preliminary injunction, and thus had the opportunity to elect or waive
 22 reunification.

23 ³ The number of election forms reported here is the number received by the
 24 Government as of March 2, 2019.

25 ⁴ The number of children's election forms is lower than the number of parent election
 26 forms because in many instances a parent electing settlement procedures submitted
 27 an election form on his or her own behalf or opposing counsel e-mailed requesting
 28 settlement implementation for the entire family, but no separate form was submitted
 on behalf of the child.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number who waive settlement procedures 	156 (99 Parents/57 Children)⁵
Interviews	Total number of class members who received interviews	138⁶
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parents who received interviews 	72
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Children who received interviews 	66
Decisions	Total number of CF/RF decisions issued for parents by USCIS	65⁷
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of parents determined to establish CF or RF upon review by USCIS 	65⁸
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of parents whose CF or RF 	0

⁵ The number of children's waivers is lower because some parents have submitted waivers only for themselves and some parents who have waived reunification also waived settlement procedures and have therefore not provided a form for the child.

⁶ Some individuals could not be interviewed because of rare languages; these individuals were placed in Section 240 proceedings.

⁷ This number is the aggregate of the number of parents whose negative CF/RF determinations were reconsidered, the number of parents whose negative CF/RF determination was unchanged, and the number of individuals who were referred to Section 240 proceedings without interview because of a rare language. This number excludes 12 cases where a parent already had a Notice to Appear from ICE or was already ordered removed by an IJ (which are included in the interview totals).

⁸ This number includes parents who received positive CF/RF determinations upon reconsideration, parents who received a Notice to Appear based on their child's positive CF determination, and parents who were placed in Section 240 proceedings due to a rare language.

1		finding remains negative upon review by USCIS	
2			
3		Total number of CF decisions issued for children by USCIS	73 ⁹
4			
5		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of children determined to establish CF by USCIS 	73 ¹⁰
6			
7		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of children determined not to establish CF by USCIS 	0
8			
9			
10			
11	Removals	Number of class members who have been returned to their country of origin as a result of waiving the settlement procedures	94 ¹¹
12			
13			
14			

Discrepancies in the Reported numbers of Settlement Election Forms

The parties have discussed Plaintiffs’ inquiries regarding the discrepancy in the numbers of settlement election forms reported by the parties, and Defendants

⁹ This number is the aggregate of the number of children who received a positive CF determination, the number of children who received a negative CF determination, and children who were referred to Section 240 proceedings without interview because of a rare language.

¹⁰ This number includes children who received a positive CF determination, children who received a Notice to Appear as a dependent on their parent’s positive CF determination, and children who were placed in Section 240 proceedings due to a rare language.

¹¹ This number is as of March 2, 2019.

1 have provided an explanation to Plaintiffs as to why they believe the discrepancy
2 exists. Plaintiffs stated that they would further review this issue and follow up with
3 the Government. Defendants continue to notify the Plaintiffs in any case where a
4 settlement election form is received for a non-class member.
5

6 **D. Pending Motion Regarding Released Settlement Class Members**

7 In accordance with the Court's February 22, 2019 order, on February 28,
8 Defendants provided counsel for Plaintiffs with a list of settlement class members
9 who may be subject to final removal orders. ECF No. 362 ¶ 1. Also in accordance
10 with that order, ICE has provided guidance advising its personnel that "class
11 members subject to the settlement agreement in this case may not be removed
12 without first providing them with notice of the settlement and an opportunity to
13 decide whether they wish to take advantage of the settlement procedures or waive
14 those procedures." *Id.* ¶ 2. Counsel for Plaintiffs have informed counsel for
15 Defendants that they are reviewing the list that Defendants provided to them, and
16 that they intend to follow up with the government on this issue. Defendants will
17 continue to meet and confer with Plaintiffs on this issue as appropriate.
18
19
20
21

22 **E. Children Awaiting Placement.**

23 On February 12, 2019, Plaintiffs provided Defendants a list of 22 children
24 who Plaintiffs believed were awaiting placement with a sponsor after their parent
25 waived reunification. On February 16, 2019, Defendants provided Plaintiffs with
26
27
28

1 information about each of these 22 children. Plaintiffs have not submitted any
2 follow-up requests with regard to these children.

3 **F. Government Processes, Procedures, and Tracking, for Separations Since**
4 **June 26, 2018.**

5 *1. Data Requested by Plaintiffs*

6
7 Defendants have provided Plaintiffs with a report containing information
8 regarding families separated since the Court's June 26, 2018 preliminary-injunction
9 order. Defendants intend to provide updated reporting to Plaintiffs on a regular basis.
10

11 *2. Processes and Procedures*

12 In the last joint status report, Defendants submitted a summary outline
13 memorializing the processes, procedures, tracking, and communication between the
14 agencies that have been adopted by the agencies since June 26, 2018. The outline
15 also provided an overview of the options for separated parents and children to obtain
16 information and assess their options for reunification. Since the last joint status
17 report, as requested by the Court at the February 21, 2019 status conference, counsel
18 for Defendants has also reached out to representatives for the Bureau of Prisons and
19 the U.S. Marshals Service to ensure that those entities are included in further
20 discussions regarding these processes and procedures.
21
22
23

24 On March 4, 2019, Plaintiffs and lawyers for the children's service providers
25 sent comments and questions in response to the government's proposals. Defendants
26
27
28

1 are reviewing those comments and questions, and will continue to meet and confer
2 with Plaintiffs on these issues.

3 **F. Additional Information Requests.**

4 Plaintiffs have submitted numerous additional requests for information related
5 to various individual class members. Defendants have been working with Plaintiffs
6 to provide the requested information.
7

8
9 **II. MS. L. PLAINTIFFS' POSITION**

10 **1. Centralized Database and Procedures and Standards to Govern Further**
11 **Separations**

12 The parties are meeting and conferring on how to addressing the continuing
13 separations. Plaintiffs are consulting with stakeholders, including legal service
14 providers for both parents and children. With their input, Plaintiffs have sent the
15 government initial responses to the outline included in the February 20 JSR.

16 **2. Information Regarding Parents Separated from Children After June 26**

17 Plaintiffs have requested additional information and clarification from the
18 government regarding details of the post-June 26 separations. The parties are
19 meeting and conferring on this issue.

20 **3. Steering Committee Progress**

21 The Steering Committee has successfully contacted and confirmed the
22 preferences of nearly all removed parents with respect to reunifications. On
23 February 21, the government reported that, as of February 14, 43 children with
24 removed parents remained in ORR custody.¹² The Committee has delivered

25
26 ¹² As discussed at the October 25 Status Conference, in this Joint Status Report
27 Plaintiffs are reporting a set of detailed numbers based only on the government's
28 most recent list of children in ORR custody with removed parents.

1 preferences for the parents of 40 of those children, and those children are awaiting
 2 placement with sponsors in accordance with their parents' submitted preferences.
 3 The circumstances for the remaining three children are as follows: (i) one child's
 4 parent is seeking to return to the United States under the Settlement Agreement,
 5 (ii) the Steering Committee has been continuing efforts to regain communication
 6 with the parent of one child, and (iii) the Steering Committee has advised the
 7 government that no parental preference will be forthcoming from the parent of one
 8 child due to complex and individualized family circumstances.

9 The status of efforts based on the government's February 14 list of 43
 10 children in ORR custody with removed parents appears in the table immediately
 11 below.

12	Removed parents identified by the government to the Steering	43
13	Committee as of 2/14/2019	
14		
15	Parent's final preference has been communicated to the government	40 ¹³
16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parent has elected reunification in Country of Origin 	0
17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parent has elected to waive reunification in Country of Origin 	40
18	Total number of cases that the Steering Committee has indicated to	2
19	the government should be set aside	
20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No parental preference will be forthcoming due to complex and individualized family circumstances 	1
21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parent with whom the Steering Committee is continuing efforts to regain communication 	1
22	Total number of cases where the parent seeks to return to the U.S.	1
23	under the Settlement Agreement and has thus not yet made an	
24	election	

25
 26 ¹³ As noted above, for one child, the Steering Committee has determined
 27 that, due to its inability to reach the removed parent, reporting the preference of the
 28 non-removed parent is appropriate.

1 **1. Children Whose Parents Have Submitted Preferences Are Still**
2 **Detained**

3 On February 12, the Steering Committee provided to the government
4 information regarding 22 children who had been in ORR custody for at least five
5 months following the submission of a final reunification election. The government
6 provided detailed information regarding these children on February 16, which the
7 Steering Committee appreciates. Nine of these 22 children had been discharged to
8 a sponsor as of February 14. The Steering Committee will continue to meet and
9 confer with the Government regarding the remaining children.

10 **2. Identifying the Population of Removed Parents**

11 At the November 30 Status Conference, the Court requested the parties to
12 agree upon a baseline of the total number of parents who were removed following
13 separation from their children, so as to provide the Court with a complete
14 accounting of the reunification process. Although the Steering Committee has
15 conferred with the government regarding how to calculate the baseline, the
16 government has not yet provided the proposed baseline to the Steering Committee.

17 With respect to the 149 additional separated children in ORR custody,
18 identified by the government in the December 12 Joint Status Report, the
19 information provided by the government to the ACLU and Steering Committee
20 shows that 64 of these separated children have a parent who was removed from the
21 United States following separation. The Steering Committee will continue to meet
22 and confer with the government regarding this population and intends to contact
23 these parents to ensure that their reunification preferences have been satisfied and
24 their rights have been respected.
25
26
27
28

1 **III. MMM Plaintiffs' Positions**

2
3 On February 28, 2019, per the Court's February 22, 2019 Order (ECF No.
4 362), the Government provided *Dora* and *M.M.M.* counsel with a list of class
5 members with removal orders, which includes individuals with either expedited
6 removal orders or final removal orders. The list was created as of September 24,
7 2018. Plaintiffs' counsel are examining the list to determine how many of the
8 individuals on the list have provided signed settlement forms, and how many have
9 not. The next step will be determining the best way to identify persons on the list
10 with expedited removal orders. As the Court directed in its February 22 Order,
11 Plaintiffs' counsel plan to meet and confer with the Government on this issue.
12
13

14
15 In addition, the parties continue to work together to implement the
16 settlement agreement approved on November 15, 2018. Counsel for Plaintiffs are
17 providing the government with signed waiver forms as they are received from
18 class members (detained and released). The parties are meeting and conferring
19 on settlement implementation issues as they arise. The parties are working
20 together to resolve the discrepancy between the number of waiver forms
21 submitted by class counsel and the number of forms reported by the
22 Government. The parties are also working together to identify and resolve
23 settlement issues for the small number of parents who are still in detention but
24
25
26
27
28

1 who have not submitted waiver forms. The parties will alert the Court of any
2 issues that require the Court's guidance.

3
4 DATED: March 6, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

5 /s/ Lee Gelernt

6 Lee Gelernt*

7 Judy Rabinovitz*

8 Anand Balakrishnan*

9 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
10 FOUNDATION

11 125 Broad St., 18th Floor

12 New York, NY 10004

13 T: (212) 549-2660

14 F: (212) 549-2654

15 *lgelernt@aclu.org*

16 *jrabinovitz@aclu.org*

17 *abalakrishnan@aclu.org*

18 Bardis Vakili (SBN 247783)

19 ACLU FOUNDATION OF SAN DIEGO
20 & IMPERIAL COUNTIES

21 P.O. Box 87131

22 San Diego, CA 92138-7131

23 T: (619) 398-4485

24 F: (619) 232-0036

25 *bvakili@aclusandiego.org*

26 Stephen B. Kang (SBN 292280)

27 Spencer E. Amdur (SBN 320069)

28 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
FOUNDATION

39 Drumm Street

San Francisco, CA 94111

T: (415) 343-1198

F: (415) 395-0950

skang@aclu.org

samdur@aclu.org

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Attorneys for Petitioners-Plaintiffs
**Admitted Pro Hac Vice*

JOSEPH H. HUNT
Assistant Attorney General
SCOTT G. STEWART
Deputy Assistant Attorney General
WILLIAM C. PEACHEY
Director
WILLIAM C. SILVIS
Assistant Director

/s/ Sarah B. Fabian
SARAH B. FABIAN
Senior Litigation Counsel
NICOLE MURLEY
Trial Attorney
Office of Immigration Litigation
Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station
Washington, DC 20044
(202) 532-4824
(202) 616-8962 (facsimile)
sarah.b.fabian@usdoj.gov

ADAM L. BRAVERMAN
United States Attorney
SAMUEL W. BETTWY
Assistant U.S. Attorney

Attorneys for Respondents-Defendants