INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

LOIS HERRERA, JAYE MURRAY AND LAURA FEIJOO,

Index No.

Plaintiff(s),

Summons

-against-

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and RICHARD CARRANZA, Chancellor of New York City Department of Education, Individually,

Date Index No. Purchased:

Defendant(s).

To the above named Defendant(s)

Tweed Courthouse 52 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff's attorney within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

The basis of venue is Defendant's place of business & location of occurrence which is Tweed Courthouse 52 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007

Dated: New York, New York

May 28, 2019

Schwartz Perry & Heller, LLP

DAVIDA S. PERRY

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1)8

3 Park Avenue New York, New York 10016 (212) 889-6565

2019 MAY 28 P 2: 40

TINU SNOTADINUMNOS

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
-----X
LOIS HERRERA, JAYE MURRAY and

Index No.:

Plaintiffs,

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

-against-

LAURA FEIJOO,

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and RICHARD CARRANZA, Chancellor of New York City Department of Education, Individually,

Defendants,	
	V
	◮

Plaintiffs Lois Herrera, Jaye Murray and Laura Feijoo, as and for their Verified Complaint, respectfully allege, all upon information and belief, as follows:

IDENTITY OF PARTIES

- 1. At all relevant times mentioned herein, Plaintiff Lois Herrera ("Herrera"), who is a Caucasian woman, has been employed by Defendant New York City Department of Education ("DOE") since 1986 and, until her recent demotion, served as the Chief Executive Officer of the Office of Safety and Youth Development ("OSYD").
- 2. At all relevant times mentioned herein, Plaintiff Jaye Murray ("Murray"), who is a Caucasian woman, has been employed by Defendant DOE since 2006 and, until her recent demotion, served as Executive Director to the Office of Counseling Support Programs ("OCSP").



PS € A 85 YM 800

MARINA TREATMENT AND DAY

SCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

3. At all relevant times mentioned herein, Plaintiff Laura Feijoo ("Feijoo"), who is a

Caucasian woman, has been employed by Defendant DOE since 1989 and, until her recent demotion,

served as Senior Supervising Superintendent.

4. At all relevant times mentioned herein, Defendant New York City Department of

Education (the "DOE") was and is a New York City governmental agency responsible for the

administration of New York City's public school system, which is located in the City and State of

New York.

5. Since April 2018, Defendant Richard Carranza ("Carranza") has been the Chancellor

of DOE and in that role oversees the DOE, including the employment relationship between the DOE

and Herrera, Murray and Feijoo, respectively.

6. Plaintiffs bring this action against DOE and Carranza for unlawful race and gender

discrimination and retaliation under the New York City Human Rights Law because Carranza has

improperly conflated the daunting task of addressing tough socioeconomic challenges facing many

of the students in the New York City public school system with a discriminatory belief that

Caucasians in the DOE workplace, particularly more senior Caucasian women, are causing or

exacerbating those challenges, even though Plaintiffs and other similarly situated DOE employees

have led meaningful change for students attending all of New York City's public school districts for

decades, and their achievements have been and are being celebrated by DOE and the New York City

government to this day.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.) INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

> 7. In acting to address his misguided belief, Carranza has completely disregarded the

> Human Rights Law by targeting and stripping Caucasian employees, particularly women, from the

ranks of DOE senior management on the basis of their race and gender.

8. The DOE and Carranza replaced Plaintiffs with non-Caucasians because of their race,

even though many if not all of these newly appointed leaders did not apply for their positions through

the standard managerial processes that require the public posting of vacancies, transparent

application submission process, as well as minimum educational and New York State certification

requirements.

9. As a result, the newly appointed leaders of DOE are woefully less prepared and

qualified than their predecessors, demonstrating that there was no legitimate reason for these

personnel changes other than discrimination.

10. DOE, under Carranza's leadership permits, condones and supports newly appointed

supervisors to systematically target their Caucasian colleagues on the basis of their race.

11. Furthermore, under Carranza's leadership, DOE has swiftly and irrevocably silenced,

sidelined and punished Plaintiffs and other Caucasian female DOE employees on the basis of their

race, gender and their unwillingness to accept their other colleagues' hurtful stereotypes about them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

12. Carranza's DOE has permitted newly appointed leaders to adopt Plaintiffs' successes

as their own victories and required Plaintiffs to accept degrading roles that do nothing more than

permit them to consult with their replacements on issues they once supervised and managed.

BACKGROUND RELEVANT TO HERRERA CAUSES OF ACTION

13. Over the course of her professional career, Herrera has earned a Masters Degree from

Harvard University Graduate School of Education, where she studied counseling and consulting

psychology, has studied at Columbia Teacher's College, received an undergraduate degree from

Mount Holyoke College and has earned professional certifications from the City and State of New

York related to School District Administration, School Building Leadership, Education

Administration, Supportive Services Instruction, Supervision of Guidance and Bilingual Guidance

Counselorship.

14. Herrera commenced her employment with DOE in or around 1986 as a Guidance

Counselor and was promoted numerous times, first to District Assistant Director of Pupil Personnel,

then a School Administrator, Central Director, Senior Executive Director, Deputy Chief Executive

Officer, and finally, Chief Executive Officer of DOE Office of Safety and Youth Development

("OSYD"), which position she held until she was unlawfully demoted in September 2018 because

of her race.

15. At all relevant times, Herrera was fully qualified for her position with DOE, as

confirmed by, among other things, her educational background, certifications, positive performance

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

evaluations, the feedback, promotions and increases in compensation she received, and her

remarkable longevity with DOE.

16. Further demonstrating her qualification and recent positive performance, while

Herrera was the CEO of OSYD, New York City Hall widely publicized that the 2017 school year

was the "safest year on record" in the New York City public schools.

17. At all relevant times, Herrera worked predominantly out of DOE Headquarters,

located at the Tweed Courthouse, 52 Chambers Street, in Manhattan ("Headquarters" or "Tweed").

18. In her role as Chief Executive Officer, Herrera reported to a Deputy Chancellor, who

in turn reported to the Chancellor.

19. In or around April 2018, New York City Mayor William DeBlasio appointed

Carranza to the position of Chancellor of DOE.

20. Soon after he became Chancellor, in or around June 2018, Carranza appointed

LaShawn Robinson ("Robinson"), who is African American, as Deputy Chancellor of School

Climate and Wellness, and in that role Robinson supervised Herrera in her position as Chief

Executive Officer of OSYD.

accepted for filing by the County Clerk.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

21. Herrera learned from another Senior Administrator that Robinson expressed a

discriminatory bias against her Caucasian colleagues on a number of occasions leading up to her

promotion to Deputy Chancellor, including on or about May 4, 2018, while presenting at the "Border

Crossers" Training in her former role as the Executive Director of the Office of Equity and Access,

telling Caucasian colleagues that they "had to take a step back and yield to colleagues of Color" and

"recognize that values of White culture are supremacist," as well as saying that "We have all taken

on Whiteness," while referring to being exposed to a society that has become toxic with Whiteness.

22. Robinson also regularly advised her subordinates that she would "disrupt and

dismantle" the work of Caucasian employees, and the phrase "disrupt and dismantle" became a

mantra often used by Carranza's administration as justification to marginalize the roles and

responsibilities of Caucasian employees, especially Caucasian women in leadership positions.

23. On June 27, 2018, Carranza addressed the restructuring of his administration,

including Robinson's promotion, from the rotunda of Tweed, announcing to all DOE employees at

Tweed that "If you draw a paycheck from DOE," you will either "Get on board with [his] equity

platform or leave," a totalitarian threat to his employees' salaries and financial future that Carranza

used to silence the Caucasian DOE employees impacted by his discriminatory actions for no reason

other than their race.

24. On June 29, 2018, soon after Carranza was appointed Chancellor and Robinson was

appointed Deputy Chancellor, Carranza and Robinson further confirmed to Herrera that they held

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

discriminatory animus against Caucasians when, during a DOE event for graduating and college-

bound high school seniors who currently lived in temporary housing, they gave Superintendent

Donald Conyers ("Conyers") a standing ovation after he welcomed the audience by saying, "I'm so

glad to see a sea of Black and Brown children" and then gave another standing ovation when another

invited presenter read an original poem that warned racial minority students against Caucasians.

25. DOE condoned Conyers' message at its event, as confirmed by Carranza and

Robinson's standing ovation of Conyers, which distressed and marginalized Herrera, who was in the

audience.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

26. In or around June 2018, Chris Groll ("Groll"), the new Chief Operating Officer for

the Division where Herrera now worked, asked Herrera when she intended to retire.

27. On August 8, 2018, at another scheduled event called the "First Divisional

Professional Learning Session," Robinson asked other attendants at the event, including Herrera,

how long each of them had been working for DOE, and after each had responded, Robinson stated,

"If you've been with the DOE for more than 20 years, you are responsible for the problem," which

Robinson indicated was a problem regarding perceived racial inequities in the administration of

DOE.

accepted for filing by the County Clerk.

28. Robinson's statement made clear to Herrera that Robinson would stop at nothing to

rid her ranks of Caucasians, including Herrera, despite Herrera's body of anti-bias work including

7

This is a copy of a pleading filed electronically pursuant to New York State court rules (22 NYCRR §202.5-b(d)(3)(i)) which, at the time of its printout from the court system's electronic website, had not yet been reviewed and approved by the County Clerk. Because court rules (22 NYCRR §202.5[d]) authorize the County Clerk to reject filings for various reasons, readers should be aware that documents bearing this legend may not have been

8 of 45

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

her leading role in the DOE's "Respect For All" initiative.

29. From the moment Robinson had managerial authority over Herrera, she treated

Herrera less well than the non-Caucasian on her staff, including berating her in front of subordinate

members of her staff and removing critical functions of her role.

30. Soon thereafter, in or around August 2018, Groll again asked Herrera when she

intended to retire.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

31. On September 7, 2018, DOE and Carranza stripped Herrera of her position as CEO

of OSYD and replaced her with her subordinate Mark Rampersant ("Rampersant"), an African

American man who was promoted without conducting a formal search or interview process and was

demonstrably less qualified than Herrera and other similarly situated employees who underwent a

formal interview process with DOE before being appointed to their respective positions.

32. DOE relegated Herrera to essentially the bottom of the reporting structure of the

group she formerly led, as she was demoted three (3) administrative steps below her previous title,

from Chief Executive Officer to "Senior Administrator" and was told that she would "consult" with

the new reporting structure on issues that she used to supervise and manage, which was an

outrageous and humiliating demotion.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

When Herrera asked Robinson during the meeting in which she was informed of her

demotion whether the decision was performance based, Robinson indicated that it was not and that

the DOE had decided to go "in a different direction," which confirmed to Herrera that her race and

gender played a role in the decision to demote her.

34. Upon information and belief, Carranza, Robinson and DOE did not open Herrera's

position to other candidates (especially Caucasian women candidates), and did not conduct any

meaningful search for a replacement for Herrera, and instead focused its decision to demote Herrera

and promote Rampersant based on their respective racial identities.

35. Cruelly, DOE required Herrera to attend the celebration for Rampersant's promotion

into her former role and made no effort to move Herrera out of the shared office with the rest of the

team that she used to lead, even though Herrera immediately requested a new desk at Tweed, which

served to constantly remind Herrera of how far she had fallen.

36. Herrera was humiliated by this clear demotion, after 30 years of positive performance

in her many roles with the DOE.

37. Herrera's successor, Rampersant, even made a point to schedule a meeting with

Herrera on the same day that DOE demoted Herrera, to explain that he did not have anything to do

with the decision to demote Herrera and be promoted to her role, which confirmed that the top of

the DOE organizational structure was changing the reporting structure unilaterally.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

38. During the meeting with Herrera, Rampersant even confirmed to Herrera that "they

have a ton of people coming in, from what I understand, nine, including one that will be in charge

of counseling support programs," which was Co-Plaintiff Murray's role.

39. When Herrera asked whether there was a formal application process underway for

replacing DOE leaders like Murray, he became flustered and could not explain how these promotions

were possible without a formal interview process or consideration of the people who were currently

appointed, which alarmed Herrera that these decisions were being made on the basis of race and in

the shadows, without transparency expected and required for DOE promotions.

40. Even though Robinson demoted Herrera, she took credit for Herrera's work, as she

did at a hearing before the New York City Council on September 20, 2018 regarding DOE's report

on School Safety and Emergency Preparedness.

41. Before the aforementioned meeting at the New York City Council, Robinson required

Herrera to prepare the presentation, which highlighted the accomplishments that OSYD achieved

under Herrera's leadership, but otherwise completely excluded Herrera from any aspect of the

presentation, other than permitting Herrera to pass notes with answers to the questions the New York

City Council posed to the all-African-American presenters from DOE, effectively adopting Herrera

and her team's successes as their own.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

> 42. Despite suffering weeks of humiliation as a result of not having her workstation

reassigned from the room where she would have had to sit in close quarters with the individuals that

she supervised for many years, and having to work from other employees' stations, conference tables

and cafeteria tables, Herrera's follow up requests for a new work station were ignored, even though

there were open workstations.

43. Before finding Herrera a new workstation, DOE used the opportunity of Herrera

avoiding her current desk to unilaterally move her belongings into boxes and put the boxes under

the stairwell for everyone in the office to see, which signaled to Herrera that she was not going to

be accommodated at Headquarters, and would instead either be forced to retire or be assigned

somewhere else.

44. Eventually, in or around October 2018, DOE reassigned Herrera to its Fordham Plaza

office in the Bronx, her clear second choice to her initial request to be moved within Headquarters

at Tweed.

45. In or around the beginning of October 2018, Herrera learned that OSYD staff

members attended a retreat with upper management, where DOE advised that new changes would

be made in the reporting structure of OSYD, with the three highest ranking Caucasian women in

OSYD being replaced by African Americans, who were each objectively less qualified for the roles

even by the metrics DOE adopted to promote individuals within its ranks.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

46. During this same retreat, DOE used a video for professional development that blamed

the proliferation of predominantly Caucasian suburbs for creating poverty, lack of education, and

poor job attainment for African Americans, without any substantive purpose for the development

of the professionals in the audience, which exacerbated the open hostility against Caucasian

employees within the ranks of DOE.

47. Over the subsequent months, OSYD engaged in a rapid hiring process, where little

to no Caucasian women were even considered for the vacant positions.

48. Many of the incoming candidates that were ultimately selected were less qualified

than their predecessors in education, work experience and New York State certifications, which are

metrics and requirements used by DOE to promote individuals.

49. Tellingly, many if not all of the positions were filled before they were posted

internally, meaning DOE, and specifically Carranza and Robinson, had selected candidates outside

of the process required for promotions in DOE and without regard for the standards set for

promotions.

50. During this same period of time, DOE senior leadership forced out older Caucasian

women from their roles and have rarely considered those same employees for promotion to new

roles, if at all.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

51. On or about October 24, 2018, Herrera complained in writing to DOE about the

discrimination she had been forced to endure on the basis of her race and gender since Carranza and

Robinson have led DOE.

52. Herrera specifically complained that even while she was being demoted, Robinson

told her, "I don't know where you stand but I will just have to accept you where you are," which in

context could only mean that Robinson was unsure whether, given Herrera's racial identity, she

could be trusted to work for the benefit of other racial identities, which was deeply hurtful and

upsetting to Herrera.

53. DOE did not take any steps to address Herrera's complaint, and instead left her on

the sidelines, with very little job responsibility or oversight, eviscerating her chances for promotions

within DOE.

54. Tellingly, in a professional development workshop within the DOE administration

subsequent to her complaint, entitled the "Election Day" training, which was organized by and

condoned by Carranza and Robinson, Herrera learned that an African American presenter stated that

there were a set of "White middle class values" that were plaguing society, sharing her message in

terms of "Us" vs. "Them" when referring to Caucasians, and another presenter crassly compared the

suspension of African American male students to the Holocaust.

13

This is a copy of a pleading filed electronically pursuant to New York State court rules (22 NYCRR §202.5-b(d)(3)(i)) which, at the time of its printout from the court system's electronic website, had not yet been reviewed and approved by the County Clerk. Because court rules (22 NYCRR §202.5[d]) authorize the County Clerk to reject filings for various reasons, readers should be aware that documents bearing this legend may not have been accepted for filing by the County Clerk.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

On or about November 16, 2018 the OSYD Twitter feed, now being administered

or condoned by Carranza, Robinson and other high ranking officials at DOE, tweeted that OSYD

is "Engaging in some courageous conversations about the systematic structures rooted in white

cultural norms that be must addressed from the top within our own organization,"which signaled to

Herrera that DOE believed that Caucasian people were a problem and that there was no place for

Caucasian employees to be leaders in a conversation about racial inequality, which was extremely

hurtful and degrading to Herrera, who had worked tirelessly for decades to make the DOE a better

opportunity for education and advancement for children of all backgrounds and identities.

56. As a result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct, Herrera has suffered the adverse

effects of discrimination, the quality of her life has been irreparably damaged and her self esteem,

self respect and well-being has been irreversibly harmed because she was subjected to the

humiliating and demeaning type of conduct described herein, all of which will continue and remain

a source of humiliation, distress and financial loss to Herrera into the future.

BACKGROUND RELEVANT TO MURRAY CAUSES OF ACTION

57. Murray repeats, re-alleges and incorporates in full paragraphs 1 through 56 of this

Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

58. Before beginning her career with DOE, Murray earned a Masters of Social Work

degree from Fordham University, a Masters of Arts in Writing degree from Manhattanville College

and her undergraduate degree from Concordia College.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

59. Murray also came to DOE as a licensed clinical social worker who has taught

graduate level courses in social work and educational leadership and published novelist of an award

winning young adult novel geared towards managing addiction at that age.

60. Murray commenced her employment with DOE in or around 2006 as a School Social

Worker and has been promoted numerous times, first to Social Worker/Dean of Discipline, then to

Director of Student Services/Youth Development, then Senior Administrator of Guidance and

School Counseling, and then, most recently in August 2015, to Executive Director of the DOE Office

of Counseling Support Programs ("OCSP"), a position that she held until she was unlawfully

demoted in September 2018 because of her race.

61. At all relevant times, Murray was fully qualified for her position with DOE, as

confirmed by, among other things, being awarded New York State School Social Worker of the Year

in role as Executive Director, her education, certifications, positive performance evaluations, the

feedback, promotions and increases in compensation she received, as well as her remarkable

longevity with DOE.

62. At all relevant times, Murray worked predominantly out of DOE Headquarters,

located at the Tweed Courthouse, 52 Chambers Street, in Manhattan.

63. In her role as Executive Director, Murray reported into a Deputy Chancellor, who in

turn reported into the Chancellor.

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

64. In or around June 2018, after New York City Mayor William DeBlasio appointed

Carranza as Chancellor of DOE, Carranza expressed an ongoing agenda targeted specifically at

placing individuals in positions of authority based on race, including but not limited to the ranks of

subordinates in positions of managerial authority at DOE.

65. Soon after Carranza became Chancellor, he appointed LaShawn Robinson

("Robinson"), who is African American, as the Deputy Chancellor that would supervise Murray in

her position as Executive Director.

66. Murray very quickly feared that she would be targeted by Carranza because of her

race when, on June 27, 2018, Carranza addressed the restructuring of his administration, including

Robinson's promotion, from the rotunda of Tweed, announcing to all DOE employees at Tweed that

"If you draw a paycheck from DOE," you will either "Get on board with [his] equity platform or

leave."

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

67. Murray was dismayed two days later when, on June 29, 2018, soon after Carranza

was appointed Chancellor and Robinson was appointed Deputy Chancellor, Murray endured

Carranza and Robinson giving Superintendent Donald Convers ("Convers") a standing ovation after

he welcomed the audience by saying, "I'm so glad to see a sea of Black and Brown children," and

then gave another standing ovation when another invited presenter read an original poem that warned

racial minority students against Caucasians.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

68. Additionally, in Robinson's first and only one-to-one meeting with Murray, which

lasted 15 minutes, Robinson asked Murray "Where are you from?" and when Murray responded that

she was raised in a suburb town in Westchester County, New York, Robinson asked little else,

including anything related to her ongoing projects and work streams, which signaled to Murray that

Robinson was not interested in getting to know Murray or her work.

69. From the moment Robinson had managerial authority over Murray, she treated

Murray less well than the non-Caucasian women on her staff, including excluding Murray from

important work meetings, including meetings related to union negotiations regarding the social

workers and guidance counselors whose positions fell under the auspices of Murray's office.

70. Robinson's actions made clear to Murray that she would stop at nothing to rid her

ranks of Caucasians, including Murray and despite Murray's body of anti-bias work, which included

her leading role in developing the DOE's "Unpacking Racism" workshops, hiring the DOE's first

citywide LGBT Community Liaison and first Gender Equity Coordinator and designing and leading

the Mayor's Equity and Excellence initiative with Single Shepherd.

71. In an effort to convince Robinson of her value, Murray sent Robinson an Executive

Summary Report of the accomplishments of her office during the previous school year, including

a video recording of a racial equity presentation Murray gave at Medgar Evers College.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

> 72. Robinson completely ignored Murray, confirming that Robinson only saw Murray

as a Caucasian woman, not as a dedicated, contributing leader of the DOE.

73. Soon thereafter, Murray was notified that OCSP would no longer operate as a stand

alone office, and would instead report into OSYD.

74. Murray also learned that she would be reporting to Rampersant, an African American

man, who had been named CEO of OSYD in place of co-Plaintiff Herrera, who had already been

demoted from her leadership position with OSYD despite her more relevant experience and training.

75. By reporting into a CEO instead of a Deputy Chancellor, the DOE had already

demoted Murray one administrative step.

76. Robinson confirmed to Murray that her demotion was simply that DOE had decided

to go "in a different direction," as opposed to her performance, which confirmed to Murray that her

race and gender played a role in her demotion.

77. In or around September 2018, DOE further demoted Murray when Robinson told

Murray's subordinates that they would now report to Kenyatte Reid ("Reid"), who has no work

experience as a counselor or social worker and who also reports into Rampersant, before even telling

Murray.

18

This is a copy of a pleading filed electronically pursuant to New York State court rules (22 NYCRR §202.5-b(d)(3)(i)) which, at the time of its printout from the court system's electronic website, had not yet been reviewed and approved by the County Clerk. Because court rules (22 NYCRR §202.5[d]) authorize the County Clerk to reject filings for various reasons, readers should be aware that documents bearing this legend may not have been 19 of 45 accepted for filing by the County Clerk.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

78. Upon information and belief, Reid, who is an African American man, was promoted

without conducting a formal search or interview process, which was required of other similarly

situated employees who underwent a formal interview process with DOE for their respective

positions.

79. Another video used for professional development during the same training blamed

the proliferation of predominantly Caucasian suburbs for creating poverty, lack of education, and

poor job attainment for African Americans, which video did not provide any substantive purpose for

the development of the professionals in the audience other than to indoctrinate them into believing

that focusing on the race of DOE employees will improve its performance, which instead

exacerbated the open hostility against Caucasian employees within the ranks of DOE, including

Murray.

80. In October 2018, DOE removed Murray as Executive Director of OCSP and replaced

her with Gillian Smith ("Smith"), who immediately began a campaign to degrade Murray, including

requiring Murray to report her work in 30 minute intervals, which upon information and belief is not

a practice adopted by DOE, even as the DOE was receiving commendations for the programs that

OCSP developed under Murray's leadership.

81. As a result of this demotion, Murray is no longer an Executive Director, but rather

is now a lower designated Director, and reports three levels down from the Deputy Chancellor

position that she reported into before Robinson and Carranza took office.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

82. Upon information and belief, Smith was hired without a formal posting outside of the

DOE's managerial hiring process, is not a trained counselor or social worker and has no

demonstrable work experience with developing counseling programs, and is otherwise less qualified

than Murray to lead OCSP.

83. Further demonstrating the haphazard manner in which Caucasian employees were

being relegated and demoted at DOE, Rampersant notified Murray of her demotion no more than

five minutes before he announced her replacement at an OSYD retreat titled, "The Power of Us."

84. Murray has not received a title or clear job description since her demotion and

instead reports to Smith in a consultancy role, with very little work flow responsibility.

85. In or around October 2018, Murray complained to DOE's internal Office of Equal

Opportunity ("OEO") about the discrimination she has been forced to endure under Carranza's

leadership of DOE, which complaint has been ignored

86. Later that same month, Murray complained to her supervisors and DOE about the

discrimination that she has been forced to endure, on the basis of her race and gender, since Carranza

and Robinson have lead DOE.

accepted for filing by the County Clerk.

87. DOE has not taken any steps to address Murray's complaints and instead has left her

on the sidelines, with very little job responsibility or oversight, eviscerating her chances for stability

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

and promotions within DOE.

88. In early 2019, Smith began to regularly meet with Murray's last two subordinate

employees individually and gave them direction without Murray's input or knowledge, which has

impacted what little job assignment that the DOE has given to Murray, and fully relegated Murray

to the sidelines.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

89. Alarmingly, Murray's supervisors have recently began using Murray's known

disability and need for a reasonable accommodation as a means to further harass and bully Murray,

by using the interactive process to scrutinize Murray and otherwise treat Murray less well than other

DOE employees who require reasonable accommodations.

90. As a result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct, Murray has suffered the adverse

effects of discrimination, the quality of her life has been irreparably damaged and their self esteem,

self respect and well-being has been irreversibly harmed because she was subjected to the

humiliating and demeaning type of conduct described herein, all of which will continue and remain

a source of humiliation, distress and financial loss to Murray into the future.

BACKGROUND RELEVANT TO FEIJOO CAUSES OF ACTION

91. Feijoo repeats, re-alleges and incorporates in full paragraphs 1 through 90 of this

Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

21

This is a copy of a pleading filed electronically pursuant to New York State court rules (22 NYCRR §202.5-b(d)(3)(i)) which, at the time of its printout from the court system's electronic website, had not yet been reviewed and roved by the County Clerk. Because court rules (22 NYCRR §202.5[d]) authorize the County Clerk to reject filings for various reasons, readers should be aware that documents bearing this legend may not have been accepted for filing by the County Clerk.

22 of 45

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

92. Feijoo earned a Doctorate degree in School Leadership from New York University

and a Professional Diploma in School Administration, a Masters Degree in Special Education, and

a Bachelor's Degree in Education, all from Queens College.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

93. Feijoo commenced her employment with DOE during the 1989 academic year as a

Teacher and quickly ascended the ranks of the DOE, first to Assistant Principal, then Principal, then

Superintendent, then Executive Officer for Instruction, then Deputy Senior Supervising

Superintendent, then Senior Superintendent, and finally in or around the 2014 academic school year,

to the position of Senior Supervising Superintendent, which she held until she was unlawfully

demoted in or around June 2018 because of her race and gender.

94. At all relevant times, Feijoo was fully qualified for her position with DOE, as

confirmed by, among other things, her education, positive performance evaluations and the feedback,

promotions and increases in compensation she received.

95. At all relevant times, Feijoo reported to DOE Headquarters, located at the Tweed

Courthouse, 52 Chambers Street, in Manhattan.

96. In her role as Senior Supervising Superintendent, Feijoo supervised all 46 other

Superintendents in the New York City public school system and reported into the Senior Deputy

Chancellor, who reported into the Chancellor, although Feijoo was also a designated member of

Chancellor Farina's cabinet, where she had regular opportunity to meet directly with the Chancellor

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

to discuss and advise about expectations for the direction of DOE.

97. In or around April 2018, when New York City Mayor William DeBlasio appointed

Richard Carranza as Chancellor of DOE, Carranza skipped over Feijoo without even interviewing

her to appoint African American Cheryl Watson-Harris ("Watson-Harris") to the position of First

Deputy Chancellor.

98. At the time of her promotion to First Deputy Chancellor, Watson-Harris was a

member of Feijoo's Senior Deputy Chancellor team overseeing Field Support Centers.

99. Watson-Harris was not qualified to fulfill the role of First Deputy Chancellor when

she was appointed, as tellingly, she did not possess a necessary license for the role, so rather than

appoint the qualified Feijoo, Carranza and the DOE created a "transition period" between Feijoo and

Watson-Harris to permit Watson-Harris time to obtain a license that was a prerequisite for all

candidates to be considered for the role in the past.

100. Starting in or around May 8, 2018, Watson-Harris held meetings with only African

American Superintendents and began choosing her immediate subordinates from that group.

101. Soon thereafter, on June 5, 2018, Carranza met with Feijoo, and, while reading from

a pre-written script, he advised Feijoo that her role at DOE would "change" but did not offer

specifics.

23

This is a copy of a pleading filed electronically pursuant to New York State court rules (22 NYCRR §202.5-b(d)(3)(i)) which, at the time of its printout from the court system's electronic website, had not yet been reviewed and approved by the County Clerk. Because court rules (22 NYCRR §202.5[d]) authorize the County Clerk to reject filings for various reasons, readers should be aware that documents bearing this legend may not have been 24 of 45 accepted for filing by the County Clerk.

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED

102. Watson-Harris obtained her license on June 26, 2018, and one month later, on July

27, 2018, Feijoo was told that the "transition period" between Feijoo and Watson-Harris was over-

103. Soon thereafter, Feijoo learned that her new role following the "transition period"

would constitute a demotion, as Watson-Harris rid her ranks of Caucasian women, including Feijoo,

and appointed African American Donald Convers to the role of Senior Superintendent and promoted

nine (9) employees, some of whom had no prior superintendent experience, into a newly created role

of "Executive Superintendent."

104. None of the nine (9) appointed Executive Superintendents were Caucasian women.

105. Although the role of Senior Superintendent and Executive Superintendent combined

to perform some of the functions of Feijoo's old role, she was not appointed to any of those newly

created positions, despite her relevant experience and qualifications.

106. Given that Feijoo's former role was Senior Supervising Superintendent and she was

not appointed to the role of Senior Superintendent or Executive Superintendent, her new role

constitutes a demotion of at least three (3) administrative steps in the reporting structure.

107. On June 25, 2018, Feijoo again met with Carranza, who this time advised Feijoo that

her new role would be in labor relations and that she would be reporting into the Chief Operating

Officer's ("COO") line, but not into the COO directly, and that all of Feijoo's former functions

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

would be absorbed by Watson-Harris and the new non-Caucasian female ranks that she had

assembled.

In designating Feijoo for this role in labor relations, Carranza also attempted to 108.

remove Feijoo's designation as a Superintendent from her title, a title she had held for decades,

which was extremely demoralizing to Feijoo.

At least in part because she is a Caucasian woman, Carranza first relegated Feijoo to

the position of "Senior Advisor of Labor & Policy," a designation which all but assured Feijoo

would be sidelined from continuing the work she spent her career achieving because of her race.

110. Carranza made it clear to the employees of DOE, including Feijoo, that race had

motivated his employment decisions when, two days later, on June 27, 2018, he advised the Field

Support Center Executive Directors and Superintendents that they should "Get on board with his

equity platform or leave" and that "It was their time," which made it clear to Feijoo that Carranza

viewed his employees as an "Us vs. Them" situation based entirely upon racial identity.

111. Feijoo pleaded with Edie Sharpe, Carranza's Chief of Staff, to at least retain her

designation as a Superintendent, which reluctantly was permitted, changing her title to Senior

Superintendent of Labor and Policy, a title that carried no significant change in responsibility from

Senior Advisor, only title, confirming that despite her title Feijoo remained demoted in

responsibility.

25

is a copy of a pleading filed electronically pursuant to New York State court rules (22 NYCRR §202.5-b(d)(3)(i)) at the time of its printout from the court system's electronic website, had not yet been reviewed and roved by the County Clerk. Because court rules (22 NYCRR §202.5[d]) authorize the County Clerk to reject filings for various reasons, readers should be aware that documents bearing this legend may not have been 26 of 45 accepted for filing by the County Clerk.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

112. Carranza and Watson-Harris announced Feijoo's demotion to her team at

Headquarters without Feijoo even being present.

113. Since being demoted, DOE and Carranza have permitted Watson-Harris and others

to sideline Feijoo and only permit her to consult with the decision makers who now control the work

flow she once supervised and managed as Senior Supervising Superintendent, which has had

devastating effect on Feijoo and her career.

114. As a result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct, Feijoo has suffered the adverse

effects of discrimination, the quality of her life has been irreparably damaged and their self esteem,

self respect and well-being has been irreversibly harmed because she was subjected to the

humiliating and demeaning type of conduct described herein, all of which will continue and remain

a source of humiliation, distress and financial loss to Feijoo into the future.

AS FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF HERRERA AGAINST DOE AND CARRANZA FOR RACE DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF CHAPTER 1, TITLE 8, §8-107(1)(a) OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

115. Herrera repeats, re-alleges and incorporates in full paragraphs 1 through 114 of this

Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

116. At the time Herrera was subjected to the discriminatory conduct described herein, she

was in a protected class under the New York City Human Rights Law because of her race.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

117. Throughout the time of her employment with Defendants, Herrera was fully qualified for her position and was in a position to continue working in that capacity for the remainder of her

career.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

118. Defendants treated Herrera less well because of her race and took adverse

employment action against her by demeaning her because of her race, demoting her and denying her

employment opportunities, all of which was permitted and condoned by Defendants.

119. The circumstances surrounding Defendants' conduct towards Herrera, including the

condonation of racist professional development trainings and other presentations, gives rise to a very

real inference that the actual basis for Defendants' actions towards Herrera was race discrimination.

120. The aforementioned acts of Defendants constitute unlawful discrimination against

Herrera in violation of Chapter I, Title 8 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, §8-

107(1)(a) (referred to herein as "The New York City Human Rights Law"), which provides, inter

alia that:

It shall be unlawful discriminatory practice . . .[f]or an employer or an employee or agent thereof, because of the actual or perceived ...

race... of any person... to discharge from employment such person or to discriminate against such person in compensation or in terms,

conditions or privileges of employment.

121. As a result of Defendants' violations of the New York City Human Rights Law §8-

107(1)(a), Defendants are liable to Herrera pursuant §8-502(a) of said statute for "damages,

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

including punitive damages," and pursuant to §8-502(f) of the statute for "costs and reasonable

attorney's fees," as provided for under the law.

122. Herrera has been caused to suffer injuries resulting in emotional anguish and

suffering, and has been humiliated, demeaned and otherwise degraded because of Defendants'

outrageous conduct in violation of Herrera's human rights, all of which has impacted her well-being

and the quality of her life.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

123. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct complained

of herein, Herrera has suffered damages, injuries and losses, both actual and prospective, which

include damage to her career and the emotional pain and suffering she has been caused to suffer and

continues to suffer, all of which Herrera alleges to be in the amount of Ten Million Dollars

(\$10,000,000).

124. Herrera, therefore, seeks judgment against Defendants on this cause of action,

including, among other things, for compensatory damages in the sum of Ten Million Dollars

(\$10,000,000).

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

AS FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
ON BEHALF OF HERRERA AGAINST DOE AND CARRANZA
FOR GENDER DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF CHAPTER 1, TITLE 8,
§8-107(1)(a) OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

- 125. Herrera repeats, re-alleges and incorporates in full paragraphs 1 through 124 of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 126. At the time Herrera was subjected to the discriminatory conduct described herein, she was in a protected class under the New York City Human Rights Law because of her gender.
- 127. Throughout the time of her employment with Defendants, Herrera was fully qualified for her position and was in a position to continue working in that capacity for the remainder of her career.
- 128. Defendants treated Herrera less well because of her gender and took adverse employment action against her by demeaning her because of her gender, demoting her and denying her employment opportunities, all of which was permitted and condoned by Defendants.
- 129. The circumstances surrounding Defendants' conduct towards Herrera gives rise to a very real inference that the actual basis for Defendants' actions towards Herrera was gender discrimination.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

130. The aforementioned acts of Defendants constitute unlawful discrimination against

Herrera in violation of Chapter I, Title 8 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, §8-

107(1)(a) (referred to herein as "The New York City Human Rights Law"), which provides, inter

alia that:

It shall be unlawful discriminatory practice . . . [f]or an employer or an employee or agent thereof, because of the actual or perceived ...

gender . . . of any person . . . to discharge from employment such

person or to discriminate against such person in compensation or in

terms, conditions or privileges of employment.

131. As a result of Defendants' violations of the New York City Human Rights Law §8-

107(1)(a), Defendants are liable to Herrera pursuant §8-502(a) of said statute for "damages,

including punitive damages," and pursuant to §8-502(f) of the statute for "costs and reasonable

attorney's fees," as provided for under the law.

132. Herrera has been caused to suffer injuries resulting in emotional anguish and

suffering, and has been humiliated, demeaned and otherwise degraded because of Defendants'

outrageous conduct in violation of Herrera's human rights, all of which has impacted her well-being

and the quality of her life.

133. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct complained

of herein, Herrera has suffered damages, injuries and losses, both actual and prospective, which

include damage to her career and the emotional pain and suffering she has been caused to suffer and

continues to suffer, all of which Herrera alleges to be in the amount of Ten Million Dollars

(\$10,000,000).

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

134. Herrera, therefore, seeks judgment against Defendants on this cause of action, including, among other things, for compensatory damages in the sum of Ten Million Dollars (\$10,000,000).

AS FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF MURRAY AGAINST DOE AND CARRANZA FOR RACE DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF CHAPTER 1, TITLE 8, §8-107(1)(a) OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

- 135. Murray repeats, re-alleges and incorporates in full paragraphs 1 through 134 of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 136. At the time Murray was subjected to the discriminatory conduct described herein, she was in a protected class under the New York City Human Rights Law because of her race.
- 137. Throughout the time of her employment with Defendants, Murray was fully qualified for her position and was in a position to continue working in that capacity for the remainder of her career.
- 138. Defendants treated Murray less well because of her race and took adverse employment action against her by demeaning her because of her race, demoting her and denying her employment opportunities, all of which was permitted and condoned by Defendants.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

143. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct complained

of herein, Murray has suffered damages, injuries and losses, both actual and prospective, which

include damage to her career and the emotional pain and suffering she has been caused to suffer and

continues to suffer, all of which Murray alleges to be in the amount of Ten Million Dollars

(\$10,000,000).

144. Murray, therefore, seeks judgment against Defendants on this cause of action,

including, among other things, for compensatory damages in the sum of Ten Million Dollars

(\$10,000,000).

AS FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF MURRAY AGAINST DOE AND CARRANZA FOR GENDER DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF CHAPTER 1, TITLE 8, §8-107(1)(a) OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

145. Murray repeats, re-alleges and incorporates in full paragraphs 1 through 144 of this

Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

146. At the time Murray was subjected to the discriminatory conduct described herein, she

was in a protected class under the New York City Human Rights Law because of her gender.

147. Throughout the time of her employment with Defendants, Murray was fully qualified

for her position and was in a position to continue working in that capacity for the remainder of her

career.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

148. Defendants treated Murray less well because of her gender and took adverse employment action against her by demeaning her because of her gender, demoting her and denying her employment opportunities, all of which was permitted and condoned by Defendants.

149. The circumstances surrounding Defendants' conduct towards Murray gives rise to a very real inference that the actual basis for Defendants' actions towards Murray was gender discrimination.

150. The aforementioned acts of Defendants constitute unlawful discrimination against Murray in violation of Chapter I, Title 8 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, §8-107(1)(a) (referred to herein as "The New York City Human Rights Law"), which provides, *inter alia* that:

It shall be unlawful discriminatory practice . . .[f] or an employer or an employee or agent thereof, because of the actual or perceived ... gender . . . of any person . . . to discharge from employment such person or to discriminate against such person in compensation or in terms, conditions or privileges of employment.

- 151. As a result of Defendants' violations of the New York City Human Rights Law §8-107(1)(a), Defendants are liable to Murray pursuant §8-502(a) of said statute for "damages, including punitive damages," and pursuant to §8-502(f) of the statute for "costs and reasonable attorney's fees," as provided for under the law.
- 152. Murray has been caused to suffer injuries resulting in emotional anguish and suffering, and has been humiliated, demeaned and otherwise degraded because of Defendants'

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED

outrageous conduct in violation of Murray's human rights, all of which has impacted her well-being

and the quality of her life.

153. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct complained

of herein, Murray has suffered damages, injuries and losses, both actual and prospective, which

include damage to her career and the emotional pain and suffering she has been caused to suffer and

continues to suffer, all of which Murray alleges to be in the amount of Ten Million Dollars

(\$10,000,000).

154. Murray, therefore, seeks judgment against Defendants on this cause of action,

including, among other things, for compensatory damages in the sum of Ten Million Dollars

(\$10,000,000), together with costs, pre-judgment interest and reasonable attorney's fees.

AS FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF FEIJOO AGAINST DOE AND CARRANZA FOR RACE DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF CHAPTER 1, TITLE 8, §8-107(1)(a) OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

155. Feijoo repeats, re-alleges and incorporates in full paragraphs 1 through 154 of this

Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

156. At the time Feijoo was subjected to the discriminatory conduct described herein, she

was in a protected class under the New York City Human Rights Law because of her race.

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT HAS NOT YET BEEN REVIEWED BY THE COUNTY CLERK. (See below.)

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

157. Throughout the time of her employment with Defendants, Feijoo was fully qualified

for her position and was in a position to continue working in that capacity for the remainder of her

career.

158. Defendants treated Feijoo less well because of her race and took adverse employment

action against her by demeaning her because of her race, demoting her and denying her employment

opportunities, all of which was permitted and condoned by Defendants.

159. The circumstances surrounding Defendants' conduct towards Feijoo, including

ignoring Feijoo's candidacy for newly restructured positions, despite her track record and experience

leading the team that handled the operations later assigned to at least eleven (11) newly formed roles,

as well as the condonation of racist professional development trainings and other presentations, gives

rise to a very real inference that the actual basis for Defendants' actions towards Feijoo was race

discrimination.

160. The aforementioned acts of Defendants constitute unlawful discrimination against

Feijoo in violation of Chapter I, Title 8 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, §8-

107(1)(a) (referred to herein as "The New York City Human Rights Law"), which provides, inter

alia that:

It shall be unlawful discriminatory practice . . .[f]or an employer or

an employee or agent thereof, because of the actual or perceived ... race . . . of any person . . . to discharge from employment such person

or to discriminate against such person in compensation or in terms,

conditions or privileges of employment.

SCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

161. As a result of Defendants' violations of the New York City Human Rights Law §8-

107(1)(a), Defendants are liable to Feijoo pursuant §8-502(a) of said statute for "damages, including

punitive damages," and pursuant to §8-502(f) of the statute for "costs and reasonable attorney's

fees," as provided for under the law.

162. Feijoo has been caused to suffer injuries resulting in emotional anguish and suffering,

and has been humiliated, demeaned and otherwise degraded because of Defendants' outrageous

conduct in violation of Feijoo's human rights, all of which has impacted her well-being and the

quality of her life.

163. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct complained

of herein, Feijoo has suffered damages, injuries and losses, both actual and prospective, which

include damage to her career and the emotional pain and suffering she has been caused to suffer and

continues to suffer, all of which Feijoo alleges to be in the amount of Ten Million Dollars

(\$10,000,000).

164. Feijoo, therefore, seeks judgment against Defendants on this cause of action,

including, among other things, for compensatory damages in the sum of Ten Million Dollars

(\$10,000,000).

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

AS FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF FEIJOO AGAINST DOE AND CARRANZA FOR GENDER DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF CHAPTER 1, TITLE 8, §8-107(1)(a) OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

165. Feijoo repeats, re-alleges and incorporates in full paragraphs 1 through 164 of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

166. At the time Feijoo was subjected to the discriminatory conduct described herein, she was in a protected class under the New York City Human Rights Law because of her gender.

167. Throughout the time of her employment with Defendants, Feijoo was fully qualified for her position and was in a position to continue working in that capacity for the remainder of her career.

168. Defendants treated Feijoo less well because of her gender and took adverse employment action against her by demeaning her because of her gender, demoting her and denying her employment opportunities, all of which was permitted and condoned by Defendants.

169. The circumstances surrounding Defendants' conduct towards Feijoo gives rise to a very real inference that the actual basis for Defendants' actions towards Feijoo was gender discrimination.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

170. The aforementioned acts of Defendants constitute unlawful discrimination against

Feijoo in violation of Chapter I, Title 8 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York, §8-

107(1)(a) (referred to herein as "The New York City Human Rights Law"), which provides, inter

alia that:

It shall be unlawful discriminatory practice . . . [f]or an employer or

an employee or agent thereof, because of the actual or perceived ... gender . . . of any person . . . to discharge from employment such

person or to discriminate against such person in compensation or in

terms, conditions or privileges of employment.

171. As a result of Defendants' violations of the New York City Human Rights Law §8-

107(1)(a), Defendants are liable to Feijoo pursuant §8-502(a) of said statute for "damages, including

punitive damages," and pursuant to §8-502(f) of the statute for "costs and reasonable attorney's

fees," as provided for under the law.

Feijoo has been caused to suffer injuries resulting in emotional anguish and suffering, 172.

and has been humiliated, demeaned and otherwise degraded because of Defendants' outrageous

conduct in violation of Feijoo's human rights, all of which has impacted her well-being and the

quality of her life.

173. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct complained

of herein, Feijoo has suffered damages, injuries and losses, both actual and prospective, which

include damage to her career and the emotional pain and suffering she has been caused to suffer and

continues to suffer, all of which Feijoo alleges to be in the amount of Ten Million Dollars

(\$10,000,000).

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED

174. Feijoo, therefore, seeks judgment against Defendants on this cause of action, including, among other things, for compensatory damages in the sum of Ten Million Dollars (\$10,000,000), together with costs, pre-judgment interest and reasonable attorney's fees.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, AGAINST CARRANZA, INDIVIDUALLY, FOR AIDING AND ABETTING **DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF CHAPTER I, TITLE 8, §8-107(6)** OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

- 175. Plaintiffs repeat, re-alleges and incorporate in full paragraphs 1 through 174 of this Complaint, as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 176. As more specifically detailed in prior paragraphs of this Complaint, all of which are deemed a part hereof, Carranza aided, abetted and compelled the discrimination against Plaintiffs, so that Carranza should be held personally liable.
- 177. The aforementioned acts of Carranza constitute unlawful aiding and abetting against Plaintiffs in violation of §8-107(6) of the New York City Human Rights Law, which states, inter alia:

It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for any person to aid, abet, incite, compel or coerce the doing of any of the acts forbidden under this chapter, or to attempt to do so.

178. Carranza aided and abetted the City of New York to engage in the conduct complained of and, as a direct result, Plaintiffs each has and will continue to suffer, among other things, a significant loss of income and benefits, emotional injuries, as well as other losses associated with the effects of Carranza's conduct upon Plaintiffs' respective employment, career and life's

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

normal pursuits.

179. As a direct and proximate result of Carranza's violation of the New York City Human

Rights Law, Carranza is individually liable to each Plaintiff pursuant to §8-502(a) of said statute for

damages and pursuant to §8-502(f) of said statute for "costs and reasonable attorney's fees," as has

been judicially established.

180. Plaintiffs, therefore, seek compensatory damages in this cause of action including,

among other things, for loss of earning capacity and for the emotional pain and suffering each have

been caused to suffer, which they each allege to be in the amount of Ten Million Dollars

(\$10,000,000).

181. Plaintiffs each therefore, seek compensatory damages in this Fifth Cause of Action

in the sum of Ten Million Dollars (\$10,000,000) in damages, for a total of Thirty Million Dollars

(\$30,000,000) plus attorney's fees, pre-judgment interest and the costs of this action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Lois Herrera demands judgment against Defendants on the first cause of action in the sum of Ten Million (\$10,000,000) Dollars in compensatory damages; Plaintiff Lois Herrera demands judgment against Defendants on the second cause of Action in the sum of Ten Million (\$10,000,000) Dollars in compensatory damages; Plaintiff Jaye Murray demands judgment against Defendants on the third cause of action in the sum of Ten Million (\$10,000,000) Dollars in compensatory damages; and on the fourth cause of action in the sum of Ten Million (\$10,000,000) Dollars in compensatory damages; Plaintiff Laura Feijoo demands judgment against Defendants on the fifth cause of action in the sum of Ten Million (\$10,000,000) Dollars in compensatory damages; and on the sixth cause of action in the sum of Ten Million (\$10,000,000) Dollars in compensatory damages; and, in the alternative, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendant Richard Carranza, individually, on the fifth cause of action in the sum of Thirty Million Dollars (\$30,000,000) in compensatory damages so that, for all causes of action, Plaintiffs seek a total of Ninety Million

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

(\$90,000,000) Dollars, plus costs, pre-judgment interest and attorney's fees as permitted under the law, and for such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

SCHWARTZ PERRY & HELLER, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By:

DAVIDA S. PERRY DANIEL H. KOVEL 3 Park Avenue, 27th fl. New York, New York 10016 (212) 889-6565

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

LOIS HERRERA, JAYE MURRAY and

LAURA FEIJOO,

Index No.:

Plaintiffs,

VERIFICATION

-against-

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and RICHARD CARRANZA, Chancellor of New York City Department of Education, Individually,

Defendants.

STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JAYE MURRAY, being duly sworn, says:

I am the Plaintiff in the within action; I have read the foregoing Complaint and know the contents thereof; the same is true to my knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Sworn to me this day of May 2019

NOTARY PUBLIC

JOONG J. LEE

Notary Public, State of New York

No. 01LE6220280

Qualified in Rockland County

Commission Expires April 12, 20 22

INDEX NO. UNASSIGNED

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

LOIS HERRERA, JAYE MURRAY and LAURA FEIJOO,

Index No.:

Plaintiffs,

VERIFICATION

-against-

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and RICHARD CARRANZA, Chancellor of New York City Department of Education, Individually,

Defendants.

STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

LOIS HERRERA, being duly sworn, says:

I am the Plaintiff in the within action; I have read the foregoing Complaint and know the contents thereof; the same is true to my knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Sworn to me this 25th day of May 2019

NOTARY PUBLIC

JOONG J. LEE Notary Public, State of New York No. 01LE6220280

Qualified in Rockland County

Commission Expires April 12, 20 Z

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/28/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
LOIS HERRERA, JAYE MURRAY and LAURA FEIJOO,

Plaintiffs,

VERIFICATION

Index No.:

-against-

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION and RICHARD CARRANZA, Chancellor of New York City Department of Education, Individually,

Defendants. STATE OF NEW YORK)ss. COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

LAURA FEIJOO, being duly sworn, says:

I am the Plaintiff in the within action; I have read the foregoing Complaint and know the contents thereof; the same is true to my knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Sworn to me this, day of May 2019

RAYMOND ARDITO NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF NEW YORK No. 02AR5038975 Qualified in Nassau County My Commission Expires February 13, 2019