

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
Southern Division**

**ROBYN KRAVITZ, et al.,**  
  
**Plaintiffs,**

**Case No.: GJH-18-1041**

**v.**

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
COMMERCE, et al.,**

**Defendants.**

\* \* \* \* \*

**LA UNIÓN DEL PUEBLO ENTERO, et al.,**  
  
**Plaintiffs,**

**Case No.: GJH-18-1570**

**v.**

**WILBUR ROSS, et al.,**

**Defendants.**

\* \* \* \* \*

**ORDER**

For the reasons to be stated in a forthcoming Memorandum Opinion, it is ordered by the United States District Court for the District of Maryland that Plaintiffs’ Request for Indicative Ruling Under Rule 62.1(a), ECF No. 162, is **GRANTED**. The Court concludes that Plaintiffs’ Rule 60(b)(2) Motion raises a substantial issue.

