IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CRIMINAL	
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. MALINDA S. CLATTERBUCK, DARRELL EUGENE YODER, ANN W. DE VITRY, ELIZABETH A. LUCABAUGH, ANTHONY JOSEPH PROVENZANO, BARBARA W. VANHORN and ELAM ZOOK	Nos. 6287 of 2017 6288 of 2017 6295 of 2017 6271 of 2017 6264 of 2017 6294 of 2017 6293 of 2017
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Before: HONORABLE HOWARD F. KNISELY Date: July 8, 2019 Place: Courtroom No. 3 Lancaster County Courthouse 50 North Duke Street Lancaster, Pennsylvania	
APPEARANCES: ANDREW T. LEFEVER, ESQUIRE Assistant District Attorney For - The Commonwealth PAUL JOSEPH HETZNECKER, ESQUIRE 1420 Walnut Street, Suite 911 Philadelphia, PA 19102 For - The Defendant	
ORDERED: 7/8/19 LODGED:	FILED:

<u>P R O C E E D I N G S</u> (9:06 a.m.) 1 2 Mr. Lefever. 3 THE COURT: MR. LEFEVER: Thank you, Your Honor. Again, 4 5 this is concerning seven defendants, Commonwealth versus Clatterbuck on 6287, Commonwealth versus De 6 7 Vitry on 6295, Commonwealth versus Lucabaugh, 6271, 8 Commonwealth versus Provenzano, 6264, Commonwealth 9 verse Vanhorn, 6294, Commonwealth versus Yoder, 6288, 10 and Commonwealth versus Zook, 6293, all of 2017. 11 Fortunately and happily, the Commonwealth and 12 defense counsel have reached a resolution on this matter, on all the matters. 13 14 The agreement was that the seven defendants 15 would complete community service hours, which the 16 attorney has provided me confirmation that was 17 completed. So based on that completion, the 18 Commonwealth would move to nol-pros the charges 19 against all the named defendants in the interest of 20 justice with costs on the County of Lancaster. 21 And just for the record, the Commonwealth will 22 not be seeking to re-file these charges at any time. 23 If I may approach, I have the nol-pros slips. 24 THE COURT: You may. 25 Counsel for the defense is in agreement; is

2

1 that correct?

2

3

MR. HETZNECKER: That is correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you.

Ladies and gentlemen, each of you are here, of course this was the time set for trial in this matter, each with a misdemeanor of the third degree, defiant trespass by actual communication.

8 That type of offense says that knowing you 9 were not licensed or privileged to do so, you entered 10 or remained in any place in which notice against 11 trespass had been given by actual communication by 12 someone in authority at that point.

Before we conclude this and I decide to accept
the nol-prosses, having done the community service, I
would offer a few words:

First, I would like to commend counsel for both sides in presenting their positions with highly professional approaches to issues that are both highly controversial and legally challenging.

20 Second, my efforts in ruling on these legal 21 motions and matters before me are strictly my 22 interpretation of the law and precedent in the area 23 of criminal law as presented to me.

My rulings are in no way contrary to anyone's
First Amendment rights to protest. Having been

1 involved with protests since the late 1960s, we 2 realized that peaceful, nonviolent protest is 3 something that the United States permits and 4 encourages more than any other place on this planet. 5 There are, however, under the criminal law, 6 limitations to even peaceful protesting. Such was 7 the case here. 8 Rules and laws as put in place by our 9 legislature control those limitations, as well as 10 Federal over State's rights issues. Those are the 11 bodies, not the courts, where changes to the laws and 12 limitations to prevent the abuse of our environment 13 and safety concerns become law. 14 We must all be more vigilant to elect to those 15 legislative positions persons who are highly 16 concerned with their local constituents and local 17 problems, and not those who merely look for personal 18 advancement or who look to industry to fill their 19 coffers for re-election. 20 I am more than pleased that the Commonwealth 21 and defense have reached a resolution short of trial. 22 I'm also fairly confident, and I think that -- had 23 significant conversations with counsel, that the 24 rulings I have made in this case were appropriate 25 based on the current status of the law in

4

1 Pennsylvania regarding criminal law only. With that, I am pleased, and I will agree with 2 3 each of these requests for nol-pros as presented by 4 Mr. Lefever, and costs to be paid -- outstanding 5 costs on the County. I will sign each of these nol-prosses. 6 I will 7 grant them, and as counsel has indicated, without charges to be re-filed, this matter has come to a 8 9 conclusion. 10 I will certainly grant counsel for either side 11 an opportunity if they wish to speak, but they need 12 not if they don't want. 13 Counsel for the defense, is there anything 14 you'd like to say? 15 MR. HETZNECKER: No, nothing other than thank 16 you, Your Honor. 17 Counsel for the prosecution? THE COURT: 18 MR. LEFEVER: Nothing further, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: With that, ladies and gentlemen, 20 we stand adjourned. Thank you. 21 (The proceedings concluded at 9:12 a.m.) 22 23 24 25

5

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
I hereby certify that I was present
upon the hearing of the above-entitled matter and
there reported stenographically the proceedings had
and the testimony produced; and I further certify
that the transcript is true and correct and meets the
format specifications established by the Supreme
Court of Pennsylvania in Rule 4010.
In testimony whereof, I have hereunto subscribed my
hand this 8th day of July, 2019.
Jamie F. Hackman
Official Court Reporter
AND NOW,, this
transcript is approved and ordered to be filed.
HOWARD F. KNISELY, JUDGE

6