## 190560161

### Virginia State Corporation Commission eFiling CASE Document Cover Sheet

Case Number (if already assigned)

PUR-2019-00094

Case Name (if known)

Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company, For approval of a 100 percent renewable energy tariff, designated Rider TRG, pursuant to 56-577 A 5 and

56-234 of the Code of Virginia

**Document Type** 

**APLA** 

**Document Description Summary** 

**Application and Supporting Testimony** 

**Total Number of Pages** 

53

**Submission ID** 

16755

eFiling Date Stamp

5/31/2019 12:22:23PM

McGuireWoods LLP Gateway Plaza 800 East Canal Street Richmond, VA 23219-3916 Tel 804,775,1000 Fax 804.775.1061 www.mcguirewoods.com

Elaine S. Ryan

Direct: 804.775.1090 McGUIREWOODS

eryan@mcgulrewoods.com

May 31, 2019

#### BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Joel H. Peck, Clerk State Corporation Commission c/o Document Control Center Tyler Building - First Floor 1300 East Main Street Richmond, Virginia 23219

> Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company For approval of a 100 percent renewable energy tariff, designated Rider TRG, pursuant to §§ 56-577 A 5 and 56-234 of the Code of Virginia Case No. PUR-2019-00094

Dear Mr. Peck:

Enclosed for electronic filing in the above-captioned proceeding, please find the Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company, For approval of a 100 percent renewable energy tariff, designated Rider TRG, pursuant to §§ 56-577 A 5 and 56-234 of the Code of Virginia.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Best regards,

Elaine S. Ryan

enc.

William H. Chambliss, Esq. cc: C. Meade Browder, Jr., Esq. Lisa S. Booth, Esq. David J. DePippo, Esq. Sarah R. Bennett, Esq.

#### COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

#### STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

APPLICATION OF	)	
VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY	)	
7	)	Case No. PUR-2019-00094
For approval of a 100 percent renewable energy tariff,	)	
designated Rider TRG, pursuant to §§ 56-577 A 5	)	
and 56-234 of the Code of Virginia	)	

#### APPLICATION OF VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

Pursuant to §§ 56-577 A 5 and 56-234 of the Code of Virginia ("Va. Code" or "Code"), as applicable, and Rule 80 A of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the State Corporation Commission of Virginia (the "Commission"), 5 VAC 5-20-80 A, Virginia Electric and Power Company ("Dominion Energy Virginia" or the "Company"), by counsel, hereby files its application ("Application") for approval of a 100 percent renewable energy tariff, designated Rider TRG, whereby participating customers can voluntarily elect to purchase 100 percent of their energy and capacity needs sourced from renewable energy resources. The Company further respectfully requests approval of the Application no more than six months from the date of filing for the reasons discussed below. In support of this Application, the Company states as follows:

#### I. GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Dominion Energy Virginia is a public service corporation organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia furnishing electric service to the public within its certificated service territory. The Company also supplies electric service to non-jurisdictional customers in Virginia and to the public in portions of North Carolina. The Company is engaged in the business of generating, transmitting, distributing, and selling electric power and energy to the public for compensation. The Company also is a public utility under the Federal Power Act,

and certain of its operations are subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. The Company is an operating subsidiary of Dominion Energy, Inc.

2. The Company's name and post office address are:

Virginia Electric and Power Company 120 Tredegar Street Richmond, Virginia 23219

3. The names, post office addresses, and telephone numbers of the attorneys for the Company are:

Lisa S. Booth
David J. DePippo
Dominion Energy Services, Inc.
120 Tredegar Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 819-2288 (LSB)
(804) 819-2411 (DJD)

Joseph K. Reid, III
Elaine S. Ryan
Jontille D. Ray
Sarah R. Bennett
McGuireWoods LLP
Gateway Plaza
800 East Canal Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219-3916
(804) 775-1198 (JKR)
(804) 775-1173 (JDR)
(804) 775-4730 (SRB)

#### II. BACKGROUND AND AUTHORITY

4. As a matter of public policy, Va. Code § 56-577 A 5 ("Subdivision A 5")

promotes the availability of 100 percent renewable energy for individual retail consumers of electric energy in the Commonwealth, and recognizes that such an offering may be provided by the incumbent electric utility serving the exclusive franchise territory in which such customers are located. Specifically, Subdivision A 5 recognizes that an incumbent electric utility may offer

its retail electric customers "electric energy provided 100 percent from renewable energy" pursuant to an approved tariff.

5. Va. Code § 56-576 defines the term "renewable energy" as it is used in Subdivision A 5:

energy derived from sunlight, wind, falling water, biomass, sustainable or otherwise, (the definitions of which shall be liberally construed), energy from waste, landfill gas, municipal solid waste, wave motion, tides, and geothermal power, and does not include energy derived from coal, oil, natural gas, or nuclear power. Renewable energy shall also include the proportion of the thermal or electric energy from a facility that results from the co-firing of biomass.

6. The Commission has clarified three basic principles to inform its analysis of a 100 percent renewable tariff under Subdivision A 5:

First, to be just and reasonable, the proposed tariff should include safeguards that hold non-participating customers substantially harmless.

Second, the tariff must supply the customer's full load requirements with electric energy provided 100 percent from "renewable energy" as defined by statute.

Third, the rates under such tariff should be reasonable for purposes of the renewable energy product that is being supplied.<sup>1</sup>

As to the second principle, the Commission has found that "it is reasonable, for purposes of supplying 100 percent renewable energy under this statute, to match renewable generation with a participating customer's load on a *monthly* basis."<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> APCo Order at 5-6 (emphasis in original).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Application of Appalachian Power Company, For approval of a 100% renewable energy rider pursuant to § 56-577 A 5 of the Code of Virginia, Case No. PUR-2017-00179, Order Approving Tariff at 4-5 (Jan. 7, 2019) (footnotes omitted) [hereinafter APCo Order].

- 7. Va. Code § 56-234 A provides that "[i]t shall be the duty of every public utility to furnish reasonably adequate service and facilities at reasonable and just rates to any person, firm or corporation along its lines desiring the same."
  - 8. In addition, Va. Code § 56-234 B provides that:
    - It shall be the duty of every public utility to charge uniformly therefor all persons, corporations or municipal corporations using such service under like conditions. However, no provision of law shall be deemed to preclude voluntary rate or rate design tests or experiments, or other experiments involving the use of special rates, where such experiments have been approved by order of the Commission after notice and hearing and a finding that such experiments are necessary in order to acquire information which is or may be in furtherance of the public interest.<sup>3</sup>
- 9. In order to better meet the needs and interests of its customers desiring renewable energy, and consistent with the public policy underlying Va. Code § 56-577 A 5 as well as the 100 percent renewable tariff framework approved by the Commission in Case No. PUR-2017-00179, the Company is proposing Rider TRG for approval by the Commission.

#### III. RIDER TRG

10. The Company is proposing a new voluntary tariff whereby participating customers can elect to purchase 100 percent of their energy and capacity needs sourced from qualifying renewable energy resources.

The Company is not proposing an experimental rate. However, to the extent that Va. Code § 56-234 B is found to be applicable to the Company's Application, Rider TRG is in the public interest for the reasons stated herein. Further, should the Commission approve Rider TRG as an experimental rate under Va. Code § 56-234 B, it is the Company's position that it also would qualify as "approved tariff for electric energy provided 100 percent from renewable energy" under Va. Code § 56-577 A 5. See also Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company, For approval of 100 percent renewable energy tariffs for residential and non-residential customers pursuant to §§ 56-577 A 5 and 56-234 of the Code of Virginia, Case No. PUR-2017-00157, Report of Alexander F. Skirpan, Jr., Senior Hearing Examiner at 48 (Dec. 14, 2018) ("[U]nless the Commission makes a finding that the Rate Schedules CRG—S do not constitute the provision of 100 percent renewable energy, then, the experimental rates would meet the 'approved tariff' language of Subsection A 5 . . . .").

11. On January 7, 2019, the Commission approved a voluntary 100 percent renewable energy tariff filed by Appalachian Power Company ("APCo") under Subdivision A 5 in Case No. PUR-2017-00179 (the "APCo Order"). The APCo Order contained significant guidance regarding the Commission's evaluation of utility-offered 100 percent renewable energy tariffs under Va. Code §§ 56-234 A and 56-577 A 5. With this Application, the Company is proposing a 100 percent renewable energy tariff that is structured, and that would operate and function, in the same manner as the 100 percent renewable energy tariff approved in the APCo Order.

#### A. Rider TRG Portfolio

- 12. Rider TRG customers will receive 100 percent of their energy and capacity from a portfolio of resources owned or contracted for by the Company that meet the definition of renewable energy in Va. Code § 56-576 (the "TRG Portfolio"). As proposed, the TRG Portfolio would currently include the following resources: Scott, Whitehouse, and Woodland (*i.e.*, US-2) solar facilities; Essex, Williamston Speight, HXOap, Cork Oak, and Sunflower solar power purchase agreements ("PPAs"); Gaston and Roanoke Rapids hydro facilities; and, Altavista, Hopewell, Southampton, and Virginia City Hybrid Energy Center ("VCHEC")<sup>4</sup> biomass units.
- 13. The Company is committed to owning and contracting for a significant amount of renewable energy in the coming years as system resources that will be available to include in the TRG Portfolio. Once these facilities begin commercial operations, they could be added to the TRG Portfolio.
- 14. As proposed, the Company expects that the TRG Portfolio will be able to meet the capacity and energy requirements of approximately 50,000 residential customers or their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> VCHEC (610 megawatts) is designed to co-fire with biomass fuel. The percentage of renewable biomass fuel consumed is expected to increase to 10% by 2023. Under Va. Code § 56-576, the term "renewable energy" includes the proportion of the thermal or electric energy from a facility that results from the co-firing of biomass.

commercial equivalent. As the Company continues to develop and contract for new renewable generation, the number of customers able to be served under this program will continue to increase.

- 15. The Company will manage Rider TRG subscriptions to provide enough energy and capacity within the existing TRG Portfolio to handle deviations from expected portfolio generation or expected customer load. On a monthly basis, the Company will compare the subscribed customer load to the monthly generation by the TRG Portfolio and ensure that the generation exceeds the load, with a reasonable margin for deviations.
- 16. The Company will retire the renewable energy certificates ("RECs") associated with each megawatt-hour ("MWh") generated by the TRG Portfolio that the Company sells to participating customers. To the extent the portfolio produces more RECs in any given month than participating customer load, those RECs will be handled as they are today, either retired for other purposes (e.g., to meet the voluntary Virginia renewable portfolio standard) or sold into the market.

#### B. Rider TRG Rate

17. Customers electing to participate in Rider TRG will pay a premium over standard service that is based on the prevailing market value of retail renewable energy, using the market value of RECs as a proxy for this premium. The weighted average value of the RECs produced by the current TRG Portfolio in 2018 was \$4.21/MWh. This represents a premium over standard service of 3.6% for participating customers. The Company will update the Rider TRG premium on an annual basis to ensure that the premium reflects current market value. The premium will be calculated by reviewing the generation and market value of RECs for the renewable resources in the Rider TRG Portfolio in the prior year and calculating a weighted average.

- 18. Participating customers also will pay a balancing charge that credits the generation component of base rates, fuel, and generation riders in amounts to hold non-participants substantially harmless. The balancing charge will incorporate new generation riders as they are approved.
- 19. Customers electing to participate in Rider TRG will continue to pay their standard tariff for all wires-related charges for transmission and distribution service. Participating Rider TRG customers also will be subject to any existing and future distribution and transmission riders, unless otherwise exempt.
- 20. For a typical residential customer using 1,000 kilowatt-hours ("kWh"), selecting 100 percent renewable energy provided by the Company at the fixed rate of 0.421¢/kWh under Rider TRG would result in an increase to the monthly bill of \$4.21.

#### C. Eligibility, Enrollment, Terms, and Education

- 21. To be eligible to receive service under Rider TRG, customers must have a peak demand of less than five megawatts in the most recent twelve-month billing period prior to electing service under Rider TRG.
- 22. Customers will be able to make enrollment selections through the Company's typical program enrollment channels. The Company will maintain a dedicated Rider TRG program website to provide information to prospective customers and other stakeholders.

  Additionally, the Company will ensure that customer service representatives are equipped with Rider TRG program information, and that other Company representatives will be available to provide additional detail should customers have more in-depth questions.

23. The Company will not require customers to enter into contracts to participate in the voluntary Rider TRG. The Company will only require that customers give 30 days' notice to initiate and terminate service under Rider TRG.

#### D. Rider TRG Is Just, Reasonable, and in the Public Interest

- 24. The rate for Rider TRG is just and reasonable because it is a premium over standard tariff based on the prevailing market value of wholesale retail renewable energy, using the market cost of RECs as a proxy for this premium. Additionally, non-participating customers will not be required to pay for, or subsidize, the costs to serve the Rider TRG customers; like the tariff approved in the *APCo Order*, Rider TRG is designed to hold non-participating customers substantially harmless. The rates for distribution service and transmission service will be unaffected by Rider TRG—customers participating in Rider TRG will continue to pay the distribution service and transmission service as set forth in their principal tariff.
- 25. Rider TRG proposes a similar premium, linked with the payment of standard wires charges and a balancing charge, as the Commission recently approved in the *APCo Order*. This structure does not result in aggregate revenues that exceed aggregate costs plus a fair return, and is reasonable when compared to the premium approved in the *APCo Order*, as well as the other proxies the Commission found to be appropriate points of comparison. For example, the National Renewable Energy Laboratory publishes an annual report entitled "Status and Trends in the U.S. Voluntary Green Power Market." The most recent report published in October 2018, which includes data through 2017, showed the average premium over standard service for green pricing programs to be \$19/MWh for residential customers and \$13/MWh (large programs) or \$22/MWh (small programs) for non-residential customers. These average premiums represent a three to five times increase over the Company's proposed Rider TRG premium.

- 26. The implementation of Rider TRG is in the public interest because it is consistent with, and promotes, the policies set forth in Subdivision A 5. Subdivision 56-577 A 5 promotes the availability of 100 percent renewable energy for individual retail consumers of electric energy in the Commonwealth, and recognizes that such an offering may be provided by the incumbent electric utility serving the exclusive franchise territory in which such customers are located. The Company's proposed Rider TRG will advance this public policy objective by providing customers access to 100 percent renewable energy supplied directly from the Company. The Company will assemble a portfolio of qualifying renewable resources to meet 100 percent of the generation component of participating customers' electricity supply service energy and capacity needs on a monthly basis at just and reasonable rates.
- 27. The proposed Rider TRG is also in the public interest because it furthers the Commonwealth Energy Policy stated in Va. Code §§ 67-101 and 67-102. The Company will endeavor to use new renewable energy resources located within the Commonwealth for future expansion of the TRG Portfolio to the extent such resources are available and cost-effective. The Company's offering of Rider TRG and the development of the TRG Portfolio will support the objectives under § 67-101 to increase Virginia's reliance on sources of energy that, compared to traditional energy resources, are less polluting of the Commonwealth's air and water. In addition, the Company's provision of Rider TRG is consistent with the goals under § 67-102 to "[s]upport research and development of, and promote the use of, renewable energy sources;" and to "[p]romote the generation of electricity through technologies that do not contribute to greenhouse gases and global warming."

28. Implementation of Rider TRG also is in the public interest because it is consistent with the goals of the Virginia Energy Plan to accelerate the development of renewable energy sources in Virginia to ensure a diverse fuel mix and promote long-term economic health.

#### IV. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL

- 29. Rider TRG is consistent with the policies set forth in Subdivision A 5, which promote the availability of 100 percent renewable energy for individual retail consumers of electric energy in the Commonwealth.
- 30. With this Application, the Company seeks to offer a 100 percent renewable energy tariff that would be structured, and that would operate and function, in the same manner as the 100 percent renewable energy tariff approved in the *APCo Order*.
- 31. To facilitate the availability of 100 percent renewable energy for retail customers in the Commonwealth in an expeditious manner, the Company respectfully requests approval of this Application no more than six months from the date of filing.

#### V. CONCLUSION

- 32. Pursuant to Va. Code §§ 56-577 A 5 and 56-234, as applicable, the Company is proposing a voluntary tariff, designated Rider TRG, whereby participating customers can elect to purchase 100 percent of their energy and capacity needs sourced from renewable energy resources.
- 33. In support of this Application, the Company is submitting the direct testimonies of Company Witnesses Robert J. Trexler and James M. Billingsley.

WHEREFORE, the Company respectfully requests that the Commission issue an order no more than six months from the date of this filing: (i) approving Rider TRG as a 100 percent

renewable energy tariffs under Va. Code § 56-577 A 5; and, (ii) granting such other relief as deemed appropriate and necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

By: S. Counsel

Lisa S. Booth
David J. DePippo
Dominion Energy Services, Inc.
120 Tredegar Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 819-2288 (LSB)
(804) 819-2411 (DJD)
lisa.s.booth@dominionenergy.com
david.j.depippo@dominionenergy.com

Joseph K. Reid, III Elaine S. Ryan Jontille D. Ray Sarah R. Bennett McGuireWoods LLP Gateway Plaza 800 East Canal Street Richmond, Virginia 23219-3916 (804) 775-1198 (JKR) (804) 775-1090 (ESR) (804) 775-1173 (JDR) (804) 775-4730 (SRB) (804) 775-2083 (facsimile) jreid@mcgurewoods.com eryan@mcguirewoods.com jray@mcguirewoods.com sbennett@mcguirewoods.com

Counsel for Virginia Electric and Power Company

May 31, 2019

#### Witness Direct Testimony Summary <u>Case No. PUR-2019-00094</u>

Witness: Robert J. Trexler

<u>Title</u>: Director of Regulation

#### Summary:

Company Witness Robert J. Trexler presents testimony in support of the Company's application ("Application") for approval of a 100 percent renewable energy tariff for residential and non-residential customers, designated 100 Percent Total Renewable Generation Rider ("Rider TRG"), whereby participating customers voluntarily can elect to purchase 100 percent of their energy and capacity needs sourced from renewable energy resources.

As explained by Mr. Trexler, the Company is filing its Application pursuant to Va. Code § 56-577 A 5 ("Subdivision A 5"), which promotes the availability of 100 percent renewable energy for individual retail consumers of electric energy in the Commonwealth, and recognizes that such offerings may be provided by the incumbent electric utility serving the exclusive franchise territory in which such a customer is located. Specifically, Subdivision A 5 recognizes that an incumbent electric utility may offer its retail electric customers "electric energy provided 100 percent from renewable energy" pursuant to an approved tariff. Mr. Trexler describes Appalachian Power Company's 100 percent renewable tariff recently approved by the Commission under Subdivision A 5 (the "APCo Order"), and explains that with Rider TRG the Company is proposing a 100 percent renewable energy tariff that is structured, and that would operate and function, in the same manner as the 100 percent renewable energy tariff approved in the APCo Order.

To be eligible to receive service under Rider TRG, customers must have a peak demand of less than five megawatts ("MW") in the most recent twelve-month billing period prior to electing service under Rider TRG. Customers electing to participate in proposed Rider TRG will pay a fixed rate premium of 0.421¢/kWh, or \$4.21/MWh. Additionally, participating customers will pay a balancing charge that credits the generation component of base rates, fuel, and generation riders in amounts to hold non-participants substantially harmless. The balancing charge will incorporate new generation riders as they are approved. Finally, customers electing to participate in Rider TRG will continue to pay their standard tariff for all wires-related charges for transmission and distribution service. As a result of the balancing charge and the Rider TRG premium to be paid by participating customers, non-participating customers will be held substantially harmless. The weighted average value of RECs produced by the TRG Portfolio is currently \$4.21/MWh. Therefore, for a typical residential customer using 1,000 kWh, selecting 100 percent renewable energy provided by the Company at the fixed rate of 0.421¢/kWh under Rider TRG would result in an increase to the monthly bill of \$4.21.

Mr. Trexler submits that the rate for 100 percent renewable generation offered by the Company under Rider TRG is just and reasonable because the premium over the standard tariff is based on the prevailing market value of wholesale retail renewable energy, using the market cost of RECs as a proxy for this premium. Additionally, non-participating customers will not be required to pay for, or subsidize, the costs to serve the Rider TRG customers; like the tariff recently approved in the *APCo Order*, Rider TRG is designed to hold non-participating customers substantially harmless.

## DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ROBERT J. TREXLER ON BEHALF OF VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF VIRGINIA CASE NO. PUR-2019-00094

1	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and position with Virginia Electric and
2		Power Company ("Dominion Energy Virginia" or the "Company").
3	Ą.	My name is Robert J. Trexler, and my business address is One James River Plaza, 701
4		East Cary Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219. I am Director of Regulation for the
5		Company. A statement of my background and qualifications is attached as Appendix A
6	Q.	What are your responsibilities as Director of Regulation?
7	A.	I lead the team that is responsible for the Company's electric rate-related activities
8		involving development and implementation of customer rates. I also have the
9		responsibility for the development and administration of contracts with special contract
10		customers and non-jurisdictional customers, and for responding to customer requests
11		concerning their electric rates. Accordingly, I often work directly with customers who
12		are actively considering alternative rate design and alternatives for renewable energy.
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
14	A.	I am presenting testimony in support of the Company's application ("Application") for
15		approval of a 100 percent renewable energy tariff for residential and non-residential
16		customers, designated 100 Percent Total Renewable Generation Rider ("Rider TRG"),
17		whereby participating customers can elect voluntarily to purchase 100 percent of their
18		energy and capacity needs sourced from renewable energy resources.

1		Specifically, my direct testimony will explain the reasons the Company is presenting this
2		new voluntary tariff offering for Commission approval. I will introduce Rider TRG and
3		address the eligibility requirements for participation. In addition, I will describe the
4		safeguards that will hold non-participating customers substantially harmless.
5		Company Witness James M. Billingsley will describe the portfolio of renewable energy
6		resources that will be utilized to serve the Rider TRG customers (the "TRG Portfolio"),
7		and will address the calculation of the proposed fixed rate Rider TRG premium.
8	Q.	During the course of your testimony, will you introduce an exhibit?
9	A.	Yes. Company Exhibit No, RJT, consisting of Schedules 1 through 3, was prepared
10		under my supervision and direction and is accurate and complete to the best of my
11		knowledge and belief. Schedule 1 is the proposed Rider TRG tariff rate schedule.
12		Schedule 2 includes a sample bill of a residential customer participating in Rider TRG, as
13		well as the revenue allocation for Rider TRG. Finally, for comparison, Schedule 3 is a
14		sample bill for a typical residential bill as of May 1, 2019.
15	Q.	Why is the Company proposing Rider TRG?
16	A.	Dominion Energy Virginia's customers have become increasingly interested in renewable
17		energy offerings, including interest in purchasing 100 percent renewable energy.
18		Business customers looking at potential sites for expansion also often ask about the
19		availability of low cost renewable options offered by the incumbent utility. In response
20		to this growing interest, the Company continually has been engaged with its customers to
21		learn about their needs and desires, and to provide solutions where appropriate. The

1	Company's goals in working through these solutions include both serving customer needs
	•
2	and supporting renewable generation and economic development in the Commonwealth.

#### 3 Q. Under what authority is the Company filing its Application?

- 4 A. The Company is filing its Application pursuant to §§ 56-577 A 5 and 56-234 A of the 5 Code of Virginia ("Va. Code"). Va. Code § 56-577 A 5 ("Subdivision A 5") promotes 6 the availability of 100 percent renewable energy for individual retail consumers of 7 electric energy in the Commonwealth, and recognizes that such offerings may be 8 provided by the incumbent electric utility serving the exclusive franchise territory in 9 which such a customer is located. Specifically, Subdivision A 5 recognizes that an 10 incumbent electric utility may offer its retail electric customers "electric energy provided 100 percent from renewable energy" pursuant to an approved tariff. 11
- Q. Before getting into the specifics of Rider TRG, can you summarize why the
   Company is offering Rider TRG in the structure and manner proposed?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

Yes. As the Commission is aware, in attempting to meet its goal of serving customers' needs and interests in renewable energy offerings, and supporting renewable generation and economic development in the Commonwealth, the Company previously sought Commission approval of tariffs offering electricity provided 100 percent from renewable energy, in Case Nos. PUR-2017-00060 and PUR-2017-00157. Appalachian Power Company ("APCo") has also sought approval of various tariffs under Subdivision A 5 in Case Nos. PUE-2016-00051 and PUR-2017-00179. On January 7, 2019, the Commission approved a voluntary 100 percent renewable energy tariff filed by APCo under Subdivision A 5 in Case No. PUR-2017-00179 (the "APCo Order"). The APCo Order contained significant guidance regarding the Commission's evaluation of utility-

offered 100 percent renewable energy tariffs under Va. Code §§ 56-234 and 56-577 A 5.

A.

- In an attempt to develop and offer a tariff that the Commission will find meets the
  requirements of both Va. Code §§ 56-577 A 5 and 56-234, the Company has considered
  closely the Commission's guidance. With this Application, the Company seeks to offer a
  100 percent renewable energy tariff that would be structured, and that would operate and
  function, in the same manner as the 100 percent renewable energy tariff approved in the

  APCo Order.
- Q. Please identify the specific guidance and tariff characteristics set forth in the APCo
   Order that the Company considered in developing Rider TRG.
  - In the *APCo Order*, the Commission approved APCo's proposed Rider WWS, a voluntary renewable energy rider pursuant to which participating customers would purchase electric energy provided 100 percent from renewable energy provided from a portfolio of APCo-owned or -contracted energy and capacity resources that meet the definition of renewable energy in Va. Code § 56-576. APCo priced Rider WWS at a premium over standard service based on the prevailing market value of retail renewable energy, using the market cost of renewable energy certificates ("RECs") as a proxy for this premium. APCo proposed a premium of \$0.00425 (or 0.425¢) per kilowatt hour ("kWh") for all standard rate schedules, which, for a residential customer using 1,000 kWh per month who chooses to participate in Rider WWS, would result in a monthly bill increase of \$4.25. In addition to the premium, Rider WWS participants would pay for standard service plus all riders except the fuel factor rider, non-renewable generation rate adjustment clauses, and generation costs in base rates. Instead, participating customers

would pay a "balancing charge" that credits APCo's base rates and excluded riders in
amounts that keep non-participants unaffected by participation.

In the APCo Order, after setting out the statutory language of Subdivision A 5, the Commission set forth three principles for evaluating proposed Subdivision A 5 tariffs:

For purposes of instruction but not limitation, the Commission further clarifies that certain basic principles inform our analysis of a 100 percent renewable energy tariff proposed under this statute:

- First, to be just and reasonable, the proposed tariff should include safeguards that hold non-participating customers substantially harmless.
- Second, the tariff must supply the customer's full load requirements with electric energy provided 100 percent from "renewable energy" as defined by statute.
- Third, the rates under such tariff should be reasonable for purposes of the renewable energy product that is being supplied.

After laying out these basic principles, the Commission evaluated Rider WWS against them and found each one satisfied. Specifically, it found that Rider WWS held non-participants substantially harmless by the use of the balancing charge, and because the premium and balancing charge were subject to periodic revision. The Commission found that all of the resources in APCo's proposed portfolio to serve Rider WWS participants met the definition of "renewable energy" under Va. Code § 56-576, and that Rider WWS's proposal to supply participants with 100 percent renewable energy by matching renewable generation with a participating customer's load on a monthly basis was reasonable under Subdivision A 5. Finally, the Commission found that the proposed rates for Rider WWS were reasonable for the provision of supplying electric energy provided 100 percent from renewable energy because (1) they did not result in aggregate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> APCo Final Order at 4-5.

1		revenues that exceed aggregate costs plus a fair return and; (2) they were reasonable
2		when compared to available proxies such as: the market price of RECs; the premiums
3		paid by customers nationally in green pricing programs; and the rates charged to
4		customers by a competitive service provider providing 100 percent renewable energy in
5		APCo's service territory.
6		As discussed in detail below, the Company's Rider TRG is modeled after APCo's Rider
7		WWS, in structure and operation, and satisfies the three basic principles for approval
8		under Subdivision A 5 as outlined in the APCo Order for the same reasons Rider WWS
9		did.
10	Q.	Please provide an overview of Rider TRG.
11	A.	Proposed Rider TRG is designed to serve eligible customers who desire to utilize
12		renewable generation sources to serve 100 percent of the generation component of their
13		traditional tariff electricity supply service from the Company. The sources to be utilized
14		are the Company's existing and planned renewable energy sources that meet the
15		definition of renewable energy under Va. Code § 56-576, as discussed further by
16		Company Witness Billingsley.
17	Q.	Please describe the eligibility requirements for customers who wish to receive
18		service under Rider TRG.
19	A.	To be eligible to receive service under Rider TRG, customers must have a peak demand
20		of less than five megawatts in the most recent twelve-month billing period prior to
21		electing service under Rider TRG.

1	Q.	Please describe what a customer who chooses to participate in Rider TRG will pay
2		Dominion Energy Virginia.
3	A.	Customers electing to participate in proposed Rider TRG will pay a fixed rate premium
4		of 0.421¢ per kWh, or \$4.21 per megawatt-hour ("MWh"). The Rider TRG rate premium
5		will be fixed annually in accordance with the methodology set forth in Company Witness
6		Billingsley's testimony.
7		Additionally, like Rider WWS, participating customers will pay a balancing charge that
8		credits the generation component of base rates, fuel, and generation riders in amounts that
9		hold non-participants substantially harmless. The balancing charge also will incorporate
10		new generation riders as they are approved.
11		Finally, customers electing to participate in Rider TRG will continue to pay their standard
12		tariff for all wires-related charges for transmission and distribution service.
13	Q.	Will Rider TRG customers be subject to the Company's non-generation related rate
14		adjustment clauses?
15	A.	Yes. Participating Rider TRG customers also will be subject to any existing and future
16		distribution and transmission riders, unless otherwise exempt, which currently include the
17		following: (i) Riders C1A and C2A for the recovery of peak-shaving and energy
18		efficiency program costs, as well as the recently-approved Rider C3A; (ii) Rider U for the
19		recovery of strategic undergrounding program costs; and, (iii) Rider T1 for the recovery
20		of transmission costs.

1	Q.	If the Commission approves Rider TRG as filed, what is the impact of the proposed
2		rate for a residential customer who chooses to participate?
3	A.	The weighted average value of RECs produced by the TRG Portfolio is currently
4		\$4.21/MWh. Therefore, for a typical residential customer using 1,000 kWh, selecting
5		100 percent renewable energy provided by the Company at the fixed rate of 0.421¢/kWh
6		under Rider TRG would result in an increase to the monthly bill of \$4.21.
7	Q.	Please provide an example of how Rider TRG would appear on customer bills.
8	A.	See page 1 of my Schedule 2 for a sample bill for a residential customer participating in
9		Rider TRG. For comparison, my Schedule 3 presents a sample bill for a typical
10		residential customer taking service under Residential Schedule 1 as of May 1, 2019.
11	Q.	Under the Company's proposal, will non-participating customers be held
12		substantially harmless by others' participation in Rider TRG?
13	A.	Yes. As a result of the balancing charge and the Rider TRG premium to be paid by
14		participating customers, non-participating customers will be held substantially harmless
15		in the same manner as non-participating APCo customers are with the approval and use
16		of Rider WWS in APCo's territory.
17	Q.	How will the Company allocate the balancing charge to ensure non-participating
18		customers are held substantially harmless?
· 19	A.	The balancing charge portion of Rider TRG will be credited to the fuel factor (Rider A),
20		the generation riders, and the generation component of base rates. The riders that would
21		receive the credit currently include Fuel Charge Rider A; Rider B: Biomass Conversions
22		Rider BW: Brunswick County Power Station; Rider GV: Greensville County Power

1		Station; Rider R: Bear Garden Generating Station; Rider S: Virginia City Hybrid Energy
2		Center; Rider US-2: Solar Projects; Rider US-3: Solar Projects; and, Rider W: Warren
3		County Power Station. Page 2 of my Schedule 2 provides an example of how the
4		balancing charge would be allocated for a typical residential customer.
5	Q.	How will customers enroll in Rider TRG?
6	A.	Customers will be able to make enrollment selections through the Company's typical
7		program enrollment channels. The Company will maintain a dedicated Rider TRG
8		program website to provide information to prospective customers and other stakeholders.
9		Additionally, the Company will ensure that customer service representatives are equipped
10		with Rider TRG program information, and that other Company representatives will be
11		available to provide additional detail should customers have more in-depth questions.
12	Q.	Will the Company require customers to enter into contracts to participate in the
13		voluntary Rider TRG?
14	A.	No. The Company will only require that customers give 30 days' notice to initiate and
15.		terminate service under Rider TRG.
16	Q.	Do you believe that the rate offered under Rider TRG is just and reasonable?
17	A.	Yes. The rate for 100 percent renewable generation offered by the Company under the
18		Rider TRG is just and reasonable because the premium over standard tariff is based on
19		the prevailing market value of wholesale retail renewable energy, using the market cost
20		of renewable energy certificates as a proxy for this premium. Additionally, non-
21		participating customers will not be required to pay for, or subsidize, the costs to serve the
22		Rider TRG customers. The rates for distribution service and transmission service will be

- unaffected by Rider TRG—customers participating in Rider TRG will continue to pay the distribution service and transmission service as set forth in their principal tariff.
  - Rider TRG proposes a similar premium, linked with the payment of standard charges and a balancing charge, as the Commission recently approved in the *APCo Order*. As such, this structure does not result in aggregate revenues that exceed aggregate costs plus a fair return, and is reasonable when compared to Rider WWS and the other proxies the Commission found to be appropriate in the *APCo Order*. For example, the National Renewable Energy Laboratory publishes an annual report entitled "Status and Trends in the U.S. Voluntary Green Power Market." The most recent report published in October 2018, which includes data through 2017, showed the average premium over standard service for green pricing programs to be \$19/MWh for residential customers and \$13/MWh (large programs) or \$22/MWh (small programs) for non-residential customers.<sup>2</sup> These average premiums represent a three to five times increase over the Company's proposed Rider TRG premium.<sup>3</sup>

#### Q. Is approval of Rider TRG in the public interest?

3.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Yes, Rider TRG will further the Commonwealth Energy Policy stated in Va. Code §§ 6717 101 and 67-102, as the Company will endeavor to use new renewable energy resources
18 located within the Commonwealth for future expansion of the TRG Portfolio to the extent
19 such resources are available and cost-effective. The Company's offering of Rider TRG
20 and the development of the TRG Portfolio will support the objectives under § 67-101 to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Available at https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy19osti/72204.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See APCo Final Order at 6-7 (finding Rider WWS just and reasonable when compared to proxies, such as the market price of RECs and premiums paid by customers in other green power pricing programs).

increase Virginia's reliance on sources of energy that, compared to traditional energy resources, are less polluting of the Commonwealth's air and water. In addition, the Company's provision of the Rider TRG is consistent with the goals under § 67-102 to "[s]upport research and development of, and promote the use of, renewable energy sources;" and to "[p]romote the generation of electricity through technologies that do not contribute to greenhouse gases and global warming." Implementation of Rider TRG also is in the public interest because it is consistent with the goals of the Virginia Energy Plan to accelerate the development of renewable energy sources in Virginia to ensure a diverse fuel mix and promote long-term economic health. Finally, the implementation of Rider TRG is in the public interest because it is consistent with, and promotes, the policies set forth in Subdivision A 5. As discussed above, Subdivision 56-577 A 5 promotes the availability of 100 percent renewable energy for individual retail customers, and directly contemplates that the incumbent electric utility may offer a tariff for electric energy provided 100 percent from renewable energy. Accordingly, the Company's proposed Rider TRG supports this objective by

- 18 Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed direct testimony?
- 19 A. Yes, it does.

reasonable rates.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

offering a 100 percent renewable energy tariff for eligible customers at just and

### BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS OF ROBERT J. TREXLER

Robert J. Trexler is a December 1985 graduate of The Pennsylvania State University with a Bachelor's degree in Electric Engineering.

Mr. Trexler joined Dominion Energy Virginia in January 1986 and has held various positions with the Company since that time. Those positions have included engineering and planning positions within various departments in the electric transmission and distribution side of the Company. Additionally, in 2010, after holding numerous positions in the Company's Power Contracts department, Mr. Trexler assumed the role of Director of Power Contracts where he oversaw the solicitation, negotiation, and administration of non-utility generation power purchase agreements, as well as the negotiation and administration of the Company's wholesale sales contracts.

In 2013, Mr. Trexler assumed his current position as Director – Regulation within the Customer Rates Department. His responsibilities include electric rates implementation, special contract, non-jurisdictional and wholesale contract negotiation and administration, wholesale account processing, and the Electric Distribution Company activities related to the Company's participation in PJM Interconnection, LLC.

Mr. Trexler has previously presented testimony before the State Corporation Commission of Virginia and the North Carolina Utilities Commission.

#### 100 PERCENT TOTAL RENEWABLE GENERATION

#### I. APPLICABILITY & AVAILABILITY

- A. This Rider is available on a voluntary basis to any Customer who meets all of the following criteria:
  - 1. The Customer is receiving Electricity Supply Service and Electricity Delivery Service from the Company in accordance with any applicable tariff for electric service ("Principal Tariff"):
  - 2. The Customer desires to displace 100% of the generation component of the Principal Tariff's Electricity Supply Service from the Company with the supply of 100% renewable energy (Renewable Energy) for all of the Customer's monthly consumption through a portfolio of dedicated Renewable Energy resources assembled by the Company for the customers served in accordance with this Rider: and.
  - 3. The Customer's peak measured demand has not exceeded 5,000 kW in the current or previous calendar year.
- Π. Electricity Supply (ES) Generation Related Service Charges
  - A. The Company will meet the Customer's capacity and energy requirements from resources that meet the definition of Renewable Energy.
  - B. Customers will remain on their current Principal Tariff, but will pay (i) a "Renewable Energy Premium" and (ii) a "Balancing Charge" in lieu of the Fuel Factor. Generation Riders, and the generation component of their Principal Tariff. Both the Balancing Charge and Renewable Energy Premium are subject to periodic revision.
    - 1. Renewable Energy Premium

All kWh

@ \$0.00421 per kilowatt-hour (kWh).

2. Balancing Charge

The Balancing Charge shall be the sum of the applicable units (kW and/or kWh) multiplied by the applicable rate in the following tables (identified by the Principal Tariff under which the Customer is billed):

# Virginia Electric and Power Company

## RIDER TRG

# 100 PERCENT TOTAL RENEWABLE GENERATION

## (Continued)

Rate Schechile	Bock	Energy per kWh On- Peak June - September (Summer)	Energy per kWh Off-Peak June - September (Summer)		Energy per kWh On- Energy per kWh Off- On Peak Iune-Peak October - May Peak October - May September (Sumer)	Demand per KW On Peak June - September (Summer)		Demand per kW  Off Peak June - Demand per kW KW off peak September on peak October October - May Adjustment (Summer) - May (Base) Demand	Demand per KW off peak October - May (Base)	Generation Adjustment Demand	Contract Demand Charge
	First 800 kWh	\$ 0.075349	\$ 0.075349	\$ 0.075349	\$ 0.075349   n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Schedule 1	Over 800 kWh	\$ 0.094023	\$ 0.094023	\$ 0.067155	\$ 0.067155 n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Schedule 1P		\$ 0.065041	\$ 0.044375	\$ 0.065041	\$ 0.044375	3.754 n/a	n/a	\$ 2.390 n/a		n/a	n/a
Schedule 1S		\$ 0.066362   \$	\$ 0.048161	\$ 0.066362	\$ 0.048161	\$ 3.842 n/a	n/a	\$ 2.203 n/a		n/a	n/a
				. '							
Schedule 1T		\$ 0.127093	\$ 0.048208	\$ 0.127093	\$ 0.048208 n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Cchadule 1W		\$ 0.047519 \$	\$ 0.047519	\$ 0.047519	s/n 8127519 n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

(Continued)

This Filing Effective For Bills Rendered On or After the First Day of the Month Following The Date of Commission Approval but not to Exceed Sixty (60) Days Following the Date of the Commission's Final Order in this Proceeding.

Company Exhibit No. \_\_\_, RJT Schedule 1 Page 2 of 18

# 100 PERCENT TOTAL RENEWABLE GENERATION

## (Continued)

			Energy per KWh			Demand per kW	Demand per kW		Demand per		
	i	Energy per KWh On-		Energy per kWh On-	Energy per kWh On- Energy per kWh Off-	On Peak June -	Off Peak June -	Demand per kw		Generation	Contract
Kate Schedule	Block	Peak June -	September	Peak October - May	Peak October - May Peak October - May	September	September	on peak Octobe October - May Adjustment	October - May	Adjustment	Demand
		September (Summer)	(Summer)	(Base)	(Base)	(Summer)	(Summer)	- May (Base)	(Base)	Demand	Charge
	First 1400 ES kWh	\$ 0.072057	2 0.072057	\$ 0.072057	\$ 0.072057n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	e/u
Schedule GS-1	Next 1400 ES kWh		Ş	\$ 0.059576 \$	\$ 0.059576n/a		. e/u	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Schedule DP-1	See TOU DP-1 Tab										
Schedule GS-2 Non- Demand		\$ 478770.0	\$ 478774	\$ 12017070	\$ 0.0710710/a		n/a	e/u	n/a	n/a	,
	Each kW Demand					\$ 5.828	\$ 5.828	\$ 4.471	\$ 4.471		
	First 150 kWh per kW	\$ 0.070587	\$ 0.070587	\$ 0.070587	\$ 0.070587n/a		u/a	e/u	e/u	n/a	n/a
Schedule GS-2 Demand	Next 150 kWh per kW	\$ 0.051432 \$					n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Neg 150 kWh per kW			\$ 0.037564	\$ 0.037564n/a		e/u	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Additional kWh			Ş	\$ 0.029568n/a		u/a	e/u	n/a	n/a	. e/u
Schedule GS-2T		\$ 0.067480 \$	\$ 0.042642	\$ 0.067480 \$	\$ 0.042642	\$ 9.043 n/a	e/u	\$ 7.713 n/a	n/a	s (0.699)n/a	e/u
Schedule DP-2	See TOU DP-2 Tab										
Schedule GS-3		\$ 0.030814 \$	\$ 0.029568	\$ 0.030814 \$	\$ 0.029568	\$ 14.344	\$ 4.274	\$ 14.344	\$ 4.274	\$ (0.604)n/a	n/a
											n/a
	First 5000 kW Demand	\$ 0.030814 \$	\$ 0.029568	\$ 0.030814 \$	\$ 0.029568	\$ 14.114	\$ 4.174	\$ 14.114	\$ 4.174	\$ (0.397)	
Schedule GS-4 (Frimary)	Additional kW Demand	\$ 0.030814 \$		\$ 0.030814 \$	\$ 0.029568	\$ 14.114	\$ 4.174	\$ 14.114	\$ 4.174	\$ (0.300)n/a	n/a
Schedule GS-4	First 5000 kW Demand	\$ 0.030814 \$	\$ 0.029568 \$	\$ 0.030814 \$	\$ 0.029568	\$ 13.749	\$ 4.081	\$ 13.749 \$	\$ 4.081	s (0.397)/a	u/a
(Transmission)	Additional kW Demand				\$ 892620 5	\$ 13.749 \$	\$ 4.081	\$	\$ 4.081   \$	s (0.300)h/a	n/a

(Continued)

This Filing Effective For Bills Rendered On or After the First Day of the Month Following The Date of Commission Approval but not to Exceed Sixty (60) Days Following the Date of the Commission's Final Order in this Proceeding.

Company Exhibit No. \_\_, RJT Schedule 1 Page 3 of 16

> Filed 05-31-2019 Electric-Virginia

# 100 PERCENT TOTAL RENEWABLE GENERATION

	I
ô	۱
ă	ı
₫	l
ĕ	i
۲	١

				וניס	Communal)						
			Energy per KWh		_	Demand per kW	_		Demand per		
Date Calculation	Ę.	Energy per kWh On-	Off-Peak June -	Energy per kWh On-	Energy per kWh Off-	On Peak June -	Off Peak June -	Demand per kW	kw off peak	Generation	Contract
vare seneralic	7000	Peak June -	September	Peak October - May	Peak October - May	September	September	on peak October	October - May	Adjustment	Demand
		September (Summer)	_	(Base)	(Base)	(Summer)	(Summer)	- May (Base)	(Base)	Demand	Charge
	100 kW or Less of ES										
	Demand	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	All kW over 100 of ES										
Schedule 5	Demand	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 2,670	\$ 2.670	\$ 2.670	\$ 2,670	n/a	n/a
•	First 3000 ES kWh <sup>3</sup>	\$ 0.089776	\$ 0.089776	\$ 0.089776	\$ 0.089776	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Excess over 3000 ES KWh	\$ 0.069753	\$ 0.069753	\$ 0.069753	\$ 0.069753	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
										, ,	
0,11	First 3000 ES kWh	\$ 0.083856	\$ 0.083856	\$ 0.083856	\$ 0.083856 n/a		u/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ocuedure oc	Excess over 3000 ES kWh	\$ 0.086216	\$ 0.086216	\$ 0.080627	\$ 0.080627 n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Schedule SP		\$ 0.059783	\$ 0.045480	\$ 0.059783	\$ 0.045480	\$ 7.028	n/a	\$ 4.256	n/a	n/a	n/a
	All kW of ES Demand	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 10.285	\$ 10.285	\$ 10.285	\$ 10.285 n/a		n/a
	First 700 kW Demand	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	e/u	\$ (1.016) n/a	n/a
	Next 4300 kW Demand	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	. e/u	\$ (0.811) n/a	n/a
Schedule 6	Additional kW Demand	lu/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ (0.699) n/a	n/a
	First 24,000 ES kWh	\$ 0.048976	\$ 0.048976	\$ 0.048976	\$ 0.048976 n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Next 186,000 E5 kWh 2	\$ 0.042094	\$ 0.042094	\$ 0.042094	\$ 0.042094	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Additional ES KWh		\$	\$ 0.037694	0.037694	n/a	u/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	All kW of ES Demand	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 9.144	\$ 9.144	\$ 9.144	\$ 9.144	n/a	n/a
	First 700 kW Demand	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ (1.148) n/a	n/a
0-1-1-1	Next 4300 kW Demand	e/u	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ (0.918) n/a	n/a
Schedule of S	Additional kW Demand	e/u	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ (0.789) n/a	n/a
	First 210 kWh per kW Dem	\$ 0.040980	\$ 0.040980	\$ 0.040980	S			n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Additional ES kWh	\$ 0.037364	\$ 0.037364	\$ 0.037364	\$ 0.037364 n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
							,				
Schedule 7	All kW over 100 kW	5 0.091702   5	1 \$ 0.091702	5 0.074643	5 0.074643 \$	2480	2 2480	2 7480	5 2480 ln/a	In/a	e/u
				•							1

(Continued)

Filed 05-31-2019 Electric-Virginia

This Filing Effective For Bills Rendered On or After the First Day of the Month Following The Date of Commission Approval but not to Exceed Sixty (60) Days Following the Date of the Commission's Final Order in this Proceeding.

npany Exhibit No. \_\_, RJT Schedule 1 Page 4 of 16

# 100 PERCENT TOTAL RENEWABLE GENERATION

				3	(Continued)						
	i	Energy per KWh On-	Energy per kWh Off-Peak June -	Energy per kWh On-	Energy per kWh Off-	On Peak June -	Demand per kW Off Peak June -	Demand per kw	Demand per kW off peak	Generation	Contract
Kate Schedile	Bock	Peak June -	September	Peak October - May		September	September	on peak October	<u> </u>	Adjustment	Demand
		September (Summer)	(Summer)	(Base)	(Base)	(Summer)	(Summer)	- May (Base)	(Base)	Demand	Charge
	Supplementary Service Billing Denand Charge										
	Primary	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 10.537	\$ 10.537	\$ 10.537	\$ 10.537	u/a	n/a
	Supplementary Service Billing Demand Charge -										
	Transmission	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 10.265	5 10.265	\$ 10.265	\$ 10.265	n/a	n/a
	Supplementary Service	\$ 0.036765	\$ 0.186765	\$ 0.035765	\$ 0.036765	n/a	6/0	e/u	n/a	6/2	6/6
	Supplementary Service Energy charge - Off Peak		j		\$ 0.035519		n/a	n/a			n/a
	3										
	Standby Svc Demand Charge										
	Contract Available Hours: 175	п/а	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 0.510	\$ 0.510	\$ 0.510	\$ 0.510	n/a	n/a
S elmberto S	Contract Available Hours: 350	n/a	n/a		e/u	\$ 0.983	\$ 0.983	\$ 0.963	e/u 896:0 \$		n/a
	Contract Available Hours:	,,	1	c/a	n/c	İ	1 548		1 549	-,	
	Contract Available Hours:	p/ii	P/ii	n/a	0/17				4	11/4	PAL
	700	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 2.067	\$ 2.067	\$ 2.067	\$ 2067	n/a	n/a
	Maintenance Service Charge On Peak	\$ 0.052502	\$ 0.052502	\$ 0.052502	\$ 0.052502 n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Maintenance Service Charge Off Peak	\$ 0.051247	\$ 0.051247	\$ 0.051247	\$ 0.051247	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Standby Service Charge On Peak	\$ 0.044846	\$ 0.044846	\$ 0.044846	\$ 0.044846	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Standby Serivce Charge Off Peak	T 0.039097	<b>180680'0</b> \$	25062010 \$	\$ 0.039097	e/u	ι√a	n/a	u/a	n/a	n/a
										lł	
	First 5000 kW Denmd	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ (0.397)[n/a	
	Additional kW Demand	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ (0.300) n/a	
				<b>ී</b>	(Continued)					,	pany Exhib
Filed 05-31-2019 Blectric-Virginia					T. Da	This Filing Effective For Bills Rendered On or After the First Day of the Month Following The Date of Commission Approval but not to Exceed Sixty (60) Days Following the Date of the Commission's Final Order in this Proceeding.	ive For Bills R Following Th to Exceed Sixt nission's Final	endered On o E Date of Con y (60) Days F Order in this	r After the Fi nmission ollowing the Proceeding.	irst	olt No, RJT Schedule 1 Page 5 of 16

Filed 05-31-2019 Electric-Virginia

190560161

# 100 PERCENT TOTAL RENEWABLE GENERATION

		on peak October October - May Adjustment Demand		n/a \$ (0.074)	\$ (0.604)		n/a   n/a   n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	(\$ (0.074)	n/a \$ (0.397) n/a	n/a (0.300) n/a		n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
		_	- May (Base)				n/a	n/a	n/a		e/u	e/u		e/u	e/u	n/a
	Demand per kW	September	(Summer)				n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a
	Demand per kW		(Summer)				n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a	n/a
(Continued)	Although Commence	Peak October - May	(Base)				\$ 0.065808 n/a	\$ 0.049004 n/a	\$ 0.045823 n/a		n/a	e/u		\$ 0.064180 n/a	\$ 0.047376 n/a	\$ 0.044195 ln/a
(Cont	0.00	Peak October - May   Peak October - May	(Base)				\$ 0.276993	\$ 0.055254	\$ 0.049004 \$		u/a e/u	u e/u		\$ 0.275365	\$ 0.053626 \$	\$ 0.047376 \$
	Energy per kWh	ber					\$ 0.061569 \$	\$ 0.048032   \$	\$ 0043774 \$		n/a n/	n/a n/		\$ 0.059941  \$	\$ 0.046404	\$ 0.042146 \$
		Peak June -	September (Summer)				0.276993	0.055254	0.048032					0.275365	0.053626	0.046404 \$
	u	Bock	Se	All kW Contract Demand			A Day	B Day \$	C Day	All kW Contract Demand	First 5000 kW Demand n/a	Additional kW Demand n/a		A Day	B Day S	C Day
		Rate Schedule				Schedule 10 (Secondary)						Set-of-the 10 CB: mad Towns Additional kW Demand	פתובחות (גוו שוח זושווי)	. •		

(Continued)

Filed 05-31-2019 Electric-Virginia

This Filing Effective For Bills Rendered On or After the First Day of the Month Following The Date of Commission Approval but not to Exceed Sixty (60) Days Following the Date of the Commission's Final Order in this Proceeding.

Company Exhibit No. \_\_, RJT Schedule 1 Page 6 of 18

### RIDER TRG 100 PERCENT TOTAL RENEWABLE GENERATION

Schedule 1EV	Et	nergy per	Er	nergy per
		<u>k</u> Wh		kWh _
	Apr	il 16 -	Octo	ober 16 -
	Oct	ober 15	Apri	ii 15
All On-peak ES kWh	\$	0.129217	\$	0.082997
All Intermediate ES kWh	\$	0.075567		n/a
All Off-peak ES kWh	\$	0.055119	\$	0.059405
All Super Off-peak ES kWh	\$	0.043715	\$	0.052626

Schedule EV	Ei	nergy per kWh
All On-peak ES kWh	Ś	0.141188
All Off-peak ES kWh	\$	0.053014
All Super Off-peak ES kWh	\$	0.045980

1

### RIDER TRG 100 PERCENT TOTAL RENEWABLE GENERATION

(Continued)

			(Con	tinued)		
Schedule DP-R		Ener	gy per kWh		Enei	gy per kWh
	April 16	Octo	ber 15	October 16	- Apr	il 15
	1 pm - 7pm	\$	0.458031			
A Day	10 am — 1 pm & 7 pm — 10 pm	\$	0.115906	5 am - 11 am & 5 pm -10 pm	\$	0.298562
	All Other Hours	\$	0.061850	All Other Hours	\$	0.084602
B Day	10 am - 10 pm	\$	0.088463	5 am - 11 am & 5 pm -10 pm	\$	0.089256
в Бау	All Other Hours	\$	0.051701	All Other Hours	\$	0.066636
C Day	10 am - 10 pm	\$	0.062473	5 am - 11 am & 5 pm -10 pm	\$	0.061359
C Day	All Other Hours	\$	0.042402	All Other Hours	\$	0.047736

### RIDER TRG 100 PERCENT TOTAL RENEWABLE GENERATION

(Continued)

Schedule DP-1	<u> </u>	Ener	gy per kWh		Ener	gy per kWh
	April 16	- Octo	ber 15	October 16	5 - Ap	ril 15
	1 pm - 6 pm	\$	0.129795			
A Day	10 am - 1pm & 6 pm — 10 pm	\$	0.099340	5 am - 11 am & 5 pm -10 pm	\$	0.108072
	All Other Hours	\$	0.057971	All Other Hours	\$	0.080723
	1 pm - 6 pm	\$	0.094686			
B Day	10 am - 1nm & 6 pm – 10 pm	\$	0.077372	5 am - 11 am & 5 pm -10 pm	\$	0.085377
	All Other Hours	\$	0.047823	All Other Hours	\$	0.062758
	1 pm - б pm	\$	0.061502			
C Day	10 am - 1pm & 6 pm – 10 pm	\$	0.056508	5 am - 11 am & 5 pm -10 pm	\$	0.057480
	All Other Hours	\$	0.038524	All Other Hours	\$	0.043858
Critical Peak ES kWh	All CPP Hours	\$	0.527827	All CPP Hours	\$	0.527827

### RIDER TRG 100 PERCENT TOTAL RENEWABLE GENERATION

(Continued)

Schedule DP-2		Energ	y per kWh		Energ	gy per kWh
	April 16	- Octol	ber 15	October 16	5 <b>-</b> Ap	ril 15
	1 pm - 6 pm	\$	0.127633			
A Day	10 am - 1pm & 6 pm – 10 pm	\$	0.097178	5 am - 11 am & 5 pm -10 pm	\$	0.105910
	All Other Hours	\$	0.055809	All Other Hours	\$	0.078561
	1 pm - 6 pm	\$	0.092523			
B Day	10 am - 1pm & 6 pm — 10 pm	\$	0.075209	5 am - 11 am & 5 pm -10 pm	\$	0.083215
	All Other Hours	\$	0.045661	All Other Hours	\$	0.060595
	1 pm - 6 pm	\$	0.059340			
C Day	10 am - 1pm & 6 pm — 10 pm	\$	0.054346	5 am - 11 am & 5 pm -10 pm	\$	0.055318
	All Other Hours	\$	0.036362	All Other Hours	\$	0.041696
Critical Peak ES kWh	All CPP Hours	\$	0.526977	All CPP Hours	\$	0.526977

(Continued)

#### Schedule 27 - Outdoor Lighting

Area Lighting S	Service Ba	asic Fixtures	Per Unit Per Month
Approximate Lumens	Input Wattage	Monthly kWh	Plus Generation Charge
5,000	82	30	\$2.18
8,000	120	40	\$2.91
14,000	202	70	\$5.07
23,000	315	105	\$7.61
42,000	490	160	\$11.58
127,000	1,130	380	\$27.52

Area Light	ng Service Fixtures	Premium	ľ	ration Charge nit Per Month
Approximate Lumens	Input Wattage	Monthly kWh	Non- decorative Pole	Decorative Fluted Pole
5,000	82	30	\$2.18	\$2.18
8,000	120	40	\$2.91	\$2.91
14,000	202	70	\$5.07	\$5.07
23,000	315	105	\$7.61	Not
42,000	490	160	\$11.58	Available

(Continued)

## Schedule 27 - Outdoor Lighting (Continued)

Directional L	ighting		1	neration Cha Unit Per M	_
Approximate Lumens	Input Wattage	Monthly kWh	First Unit Per Pole		Each Added Unit on the Same Pole
42,000	490	160	\$11.58		\$11.58
127,000	1,130	380	\$27.52		\$27.52

	ea Lighting ressway fix			neration Cha Unit Per M	•
Approximate Lumens		Monthly kWh	First Unit Per Pole		Each Added Unit on the Same Pole
23,000	315	105	\$7.61		\$7.61
42,000	490	160	\$11.58		\$11.58

	n Lighting S al Undergro		Per Unit Per Month
Approximate Lumens	Input Wattage	Monthly kWh	Plus Generation Charge
5,000	82	, 30	\$2.18
8,000	120	40	\$2.91

(Continued)

Schedule 28 - Outdoor Lighting

Wa	tchlite, Area, an	d Roadway Light	ing	Rate Per Unit Per Month
Approximate Lumens	Туре	Input Wattage	Monthly . KWh	Plus Generation Charge
3,300	Mercury Vapor	125	40	\$2.91
7,000	Mercury Vapor	208	70	\$5.07
11,000	Mercury Vapor	294	100	\$7.25
20,000	Mercury Vapor	452	150	\$10.86
33,000	Mercury Vapor	765	250	\$18.10
53,000	Mercury Vapor	1,080	360	\$26.06
5,000	Sodium Vapor	82	30	\$2.18
8,000	Sodium Vapor	120	40	\$2.91
14,000	Sodium Vapor	202	70	\$5.07
23,000	Sodium Vapor	315	105	\$7.61
42,000	Sodium Vapor	490	160	\$11.58
127,000	Sodium Vapor	1,130	380	\$27.52

(Continued)

### Schedule 28 - Outdoor Lighting (Continued)

Urbanlites - Recta	ngular shaped lu	ıminaires which pr	ovide sharp cut-	
off light patterns	s along with dec	orative, environme	ental qualities,	Rate Per Unit
applic	cable to Area ar	nd Roadway Lighti	ng.	Per Month
Approximate		Input	Monthly	Plus Generation
Lumens	Туре	Wattage	KWh	Charge
		-		
20,000	Mercury Vapor	452	150	\$10.86
14,000	Sodium Vapor	202	70	\$5.07
23,000	Sodium Vapor	315	105	\$7.61
42,000	Sodium Vapor	490	160	\$11.58

O)

## RIDER TRG 100 PERCENT TOTAL RENEWABLE GENERATION

(Continued)

Schedule 28 - Outdoor Lighting (Continued)

	Direction	al Lighting		Rate Per Unit Per Month
Approximate Lumens	Туре	Input Wattage	Monthly KWh	Plus Generation Charge
20,000	Mercury Vapor	452	150	\$10.86
53,000	Mercury Vapor	1,080	360	\$26.06
42,000	Sodium Vapor	490	160	\$11.20
127,000	Sodium Vapor	1,130	380	\$26.94

#### RIDER TRG

#### 100 PERCENT TOTAL RENEWABLE GENERATION

(Continued)

#### III. DEFINITIONS

"Renewable Energy" is defined in Section 56-576 of the Virginia Code to mean "energy derived from sunlight, wind, falling water, biomass, sustainable or otherwise, (the definitions of which shall be liberally construed), energy from waste, landfill gas, municipal solid waste, wave motion, tides, and geothermal power, and does not include energy derived from coal, oil, natural gas, or nuclear power. Renewable energy shall also include the proportion of the thermal or electric energy from a facility that results from the co-firing of biomass."

#### IV. ADDITIONAL TERMS

- A. The Balancing Charge shall be calculated in accordance with all provisions of the Principal Tariffs including (but not limited to):
  - 1. The minimum charge as may be contracted for.
  - 2. Determination of On-Peak and Off-Peak Hours
  - 3. Determination of Distribution Demand
  - 4. Determination of On-Peak Electricity Supply Demand
  - 5. Determination of Off-Peak Electricity Supply Demand
  - 6. Determination of Electricity Supply Adjustment Demand
  - 7.. Definition of Transmission, Primary, and Secondary Voltage
- B. Meter Reading and Billing shall be in accordance with the Principal Tariff.

#### V. TERM OF CONTRACT

The Customer may terminate service under this Rider by giving the Company at least thirty (30) days' prior notice. After receiving notice, the Company will terminate service under this Rider effective with, or prior to, the Customer's next meter read date.

Company Exhibit No. \_\_, RJT Schedule 2 Page 1 of 2

DOMINION ENERGY VIRGINIA 1,000 KWH SEASONALLY WEIGHTED RESIDENTIAL BILL RIDER TRG

BILL COMPONENTS	MAI	RCH_2018
TRG RENEWABLE PREMIUM	\$	4.21
TRG BALANCING CHARGE	\$	75.49
DISTRIBUTION - BASE	\$	25,84
TRANSMISSION	\$	13.01
OTHER A6	\$	1,84
DSM/EE A6	<u>\$</u>	0,61
TOTAL BILL	\$	121.00

		DATEO		DATES		1,000		KWH 1,000	I	
		RATES		RATES	ι	1,000		1,000	J	
BILL COMPONENTS	S	UMMER	NOI	-SUMMER	su	MMER	101	I-SUMMER	WEIGI	HTEO
TRG RENEWABLE PREMIUM	\$	0.00421	\$	0.00421	\$	4.21	\$	4,21	\$	4,21
TRG BALANCING CHARGE - BASE (UNDER 800 KWH)	\$	0.03583	\$	0.03583	,\$	28.66	\$	28.66	\$	28,66
TRG BALANCING CHARGE - BASE (OVER 800 KWH)	\$	0.05450	\$	0.02763	5	10.90	\$	5,53	\$	7.32
TRG BALANCING CHARGE -OTHER (ALL KWH)	\$	0.03952	\$	0.03952	\$	39.51	\$	39,51	\$	39.51
TRG BALANCING CHARGE -TOTAL						•			\$	75.49
BASIC CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$	6.68	\$	6.68	\$	6.58	\$	6.58	\$	8,58
DISTRIBUTION 800 KWH	\$	0.02109	\$	0.02109	\$	16.87	\$	16.87	\$	16.87
DISTRIBUTION OVER 800 KWH	\$	0.01194	\$	0.01194	\$	2.39	\$	2.39	\$	2.39
TRANSMISSION	\$	0.00970	\$	0.00970	\$	9.70	\$	9.70	\$	9.70
RIDER T1 - TRANSMISSION	\$	0.003311	\$	0.003311	\$	3.31	\$	3,31	\$	3,31
RIDER C1A (A5)	\$	0.000008	\$	0.000008	\$	0.01	\$	0.01	\$	0.01
RIDER C2A (A5)	\$	0,000595	\$	0.000595	\$	0.80	\$	0.60	\$	0,60
RIDER U - STRATEGIC UNDERGROUND PROGRAM (A8)	\$	0.001843	\$	0.001843	\$	1.84	\$	1.84	\$	1.84
BILL AMOUNT					\$	124,68	\$	119,21	\$	121.00
BLEND (SUMMER x 4 - NON-SUMMER x 8)					\$	498,32	\$	953,68		
AVQ		•					\$	121.00		

	•	KAIES		KAIES		000,1	000,		
BILL COMPONENTS	(V)	SUMMER	Š	NON-SUMMER	S	SUMMER NON-SUMMER		WEIGHTED	ALLOCATION OF REVENUE
BASIC CUSTOMER CHARGE	69	6.58	•	6.58	4	6.58 \$	6.58 \$	6.58	BASE RATE DISTRIBUTION REVENUE
DISTRIBUTION 800 KWH	69	0.021086	•	0.021086	w	16.87 \$	16.87 \$	16.87	BASE RATE DISTRIBUTION REVENUE
DISTRIBUTION OVER 800 KWH	ø	0.011943	ø	0.011943	•	239 \$	2.39 \$	2.39	BASE RATE DISTRIBUTION REVENUE
TRG CREDIT FOR ELECTRICITY SUPPLY SERVICE 800 KWH	69	0.035826	69	0.035826	w	. 28.66 \$	28.66 \$	28.66	CREDITED TO BASE RATES
TRG CREDIT FOR ELECTRICITY SUPPLY SERVICE OVER 800 KWH	Έ	0.054500	69	0.027632	4	10.90 \$	5.53 \$	7.32	CREDITED TO BASE RATES
TRANSMISSION	69	0.009700	•	0.009700	•	9.70 <b>s</b>	9.70 \$	9.70	BASE RATE TRANSMISION REVENUE
RIDER T1 - TRANSMISSION	•	0.003311	69	0.003311	w	3.31 \$	3.31 \$	3.31	RIDER 11 REVENUE
TRG CREDIT FOR FUEL FACTOR RIDER A	*	0.027000	•	0.027000	•	27.00 \$	27.00 \$	27.00	CREDITED TO FUEL FACTOR
RIDER C1A (A5)	49	0.000008	69	0.000008	4	. 0.01	0.01 \$	0.01	RIDER CLA REVENUE
RIDER C2A (A5)	'n	0.000595	69	0.000595	•	0.60 \$	\$ 09.0	0.60	RIDER CZA REVENUE
TRG CREDIT FOR RIDER B - BIOMASS (A6)	•	0.000728	•	0.000728	ø	0.73 \$	0.73 \$	0.73	CREDITED TO RIDER 8
TRG CREDIT FOR RIDER R - BEAR GARDEN (A6)	ø	0.001093	69	0.001093	w	1.09 \$	1.09 \$	1.09	CREDITED TO RIDER R
TRG CREDIT FOR RIDER S - VCHEC (A6)	Ø	0.004084	69	0.004084	vs	4.08 \$	4.08 \$	4.08	CREDITED TO RIDER S
TRG CREDIT FOR RIDER W - WARREN COUNTY (A6)	69	0.001993	cs	0.001993	w	. 1.99 \$	1.99 \$	1.99	СЯЕВІТЕВ ТО ЯІВЕЯ W
TRG CREDIT FOR RIDER BW-BRUNSWCK COUNTY (A6)	Ø	0.002102	S	0.002102	v	2.10 \$	2.10 \$	2.10	CREDITED TO RIDER BW
TRG CREDIT FOR RIDER GV - GREENSVILLE (A6)	S	0.002289	69	0.002289	ø	2.29 \$	2.29 \$	2.29	CREDITED TO RIDER GV
RIDER U - STRATEGIC UNDERGROUND PROGRAM (A6)	w	0.001843	ø	0.001843	v	1.84 \$	1.84 \$	1.84	RIDER U REVENUE
TRG CREDIT FOR RIDER US2	49	0.000234	ø	0.000234	•	0.23 \$	0.23 \$	0.23	CREDITED TO RIDER US-2
TRG RENEWABLE PREMIUM		0.00421		0.00421	•	4.21 \$	421 \$	421	CREDITED TO APPROPRIATE RIDER
BILL AMOUNT							*	121,00	OR GADE KALE UZPERDUNG UR KEL 1177.

Company Exhibit No. \_\_\_, RJT Schedule 3 Page 1 of 1

DOMINION ENERGY VIRGINIA 1,000 KWH SEASONALLY WEIGHTED RESIDENTIAL BILL RIDER TRG

BILL COMPONENTS	MAY 2019		
DISTRIBUTION - BASE	\$	25.84	
GENERATION - BASE	Š	35.98	
TRANSMISSION	Ś	13.01	
FUEL	Š	27.00	
GENERATION AS	Ś	12.61	
OTHER A6	Š	1.84	
DSM/EE A5	\$	0,81	
TOTAL BILL	\$	116.79	

					KWH		KWH		
		RATES		RATES	1,000		1,000	1	
BILL COMPONENTS	ŝ	BUMMER	NO	N-SUMMER	SUMMER	NO	N-SUMMER	W	EIGHTED
BASIC CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$	6.58	\$	6.58	\$ 6.58	\$	6.58	\$	6.58
DISTRIBUTION 800 KWH	\$	0.021086	\$	0.021088	\$ 16.87	\$	16.87	\$	16.87
DISTRIBUTION OVER 800 KWH	\$	0.011943	\$	0.011943	\$ 2.39	\$	2.39	\$	2.39
ELECTRICITY SUPPLY SERVICE 800 KWH	\$	0.035828	\$	0.036828	\$ 28.68	\$	28.66	\$	28.68
ELECTRICITY SUPPLY SERVICE OVER 800 KWH	\$	0.054500	\$	0,027632	\$ 10,80	\$	5.53	\$	7.32
TRANSMISSION	\$	0.009700	\$	0.009700	\$ 9.70	\$	9.70	\$	9,70
RIDER T1 - TRANSMISSION	\$	0.003311	\$	0.003311	\$ 3,31	\$	3,31	\$	3.31
FUEL FACTOR RIDER A	\$	0.027000	\$	0.027000	\$ 27.00	\$	27.00	\$	27.00
RIDER C1A (A5)	\$	0.000008	\$	8000008	\$ 0.01	\$	0.01	\$	0.01
RIDER C2A (A5)	\$	0.000595	\$	0.000595	\$ 0.60	\$	0.60	\$	0.60
RIDER B - BIOMASS (A6)	\$	0.000728	\$	0.000728	\$ 0.73	\$	0.73	\$	0.73
RIDER R - BEAR GARDEN (A6)	\$	0.001093	\$	0.001093	\$ 1.09	\$	1.09	\$	1.09
RIDER S - VCHEC (A6)	\$	0.004084	\$	0.004084	\$ 4,08	\$	4,08	\$	4.08
RIDER W - WARREN COUNTY (A8)	\$	0,001993	\$	0.001993	\$ 1.99	\$	1.99	\$	1.99
RIDER BW - BRUNSWICK COUNTY (A6)	\$	0.002102	\$	0.002102	\$ 2,10	\$	2.10	\$	2.10
RIDER GV - GREENSVILLE (A8)	\$	0.002289	\$	0,002289	\$ 2.29	\$	2.29	\$	2.29
RIDER U - STRATEGIC UNDERGROUND PROGRAM (A6)	\$	0.001843	\$	0.001843	\$ 1.84	\$	1.84	\$	1.84
RIDER US2	\$	0.000234	\$	0.000234	\$ 0.23	\$	0.23	\$	0.23
BILL AMOUNT					\$ 120.37	\$	115,00	\$	118.79
BLEND (SUMMER x 4 - NON-SUMMER x 8)					\$ 481.48	\$	920,00		
AVG						\$	116.78		

## WITNESS DIRECT TESTIMONY SUMMARY <u>Case No. PUR-2019-00094</u>

Witness:

James M. Billingsley

Title:

Manager of Power Contracts and Origination

#### Summary:

Company Witness James M. Billingsley describes Rider TRG and the portfolio of renewable energy resources that will serve the Rider TRG customers (the "TRG Portfolio"). He also provides an overview of the Company's methodology for calculating the Rider TRG premium.

As explained by Mr. Billingsley, Rider TRG customers will receive 100 percent of their energy and capacity from a portfolio of resources owned or contracted by the Company that meet the definition of renewable energy in Va. Code § 56-576 (the "TRG Portfolio"). As proposed, the TRG Portfolio would currently include the following resources: Scott, Whitehouse, and Woodland (i.e., US-2) solar facilities; Essex, Williamston Speight, HXOap, Cork Oak, and Sunflower solar power purchase agreements ("PPAs"); Gaston and Roanoke Rapids hydro facilities; and, Altavista, Hopewell, Southampton, and Virginia City Hybrid Energy Center biomass units. The Company is committed to owning and contracting for a significant amount of renewable energy in the coming years as system resources that will be available to include in the TRG Portfolio. Once these facilities begin commercial operations, they could be added to the TRG Portfolio. As proposed, the Company expects that the TRG Portfolio will be able to meet the capacity and energy requirements of approximately 50,000 residential customers or their commercial equivalent. As the Company continues to develop and contract with new renewable generation, the number of customers able to be served under this program will continue to increase.

Mr. Billingsley explains that the Company will manage Rider TRG subscriptions to provide enough energy and capacity within the existing TRG Portfolio to handle deviations from expected portfolio generation or expected customer load. On a monthly basis, the Company will compare the subscribed customer load to the monthly generation by the TRG Portfolio and ensure that the generation exceeds the load, with a reasonable margin for deviations. The Company also will retire the RECs associated with each megawatt-hour ("MWh") generated by the TRG Portfolio that the Company sells to participating customers.

Finally, Mr. Billingsley supports the methodology for calculating the Rider TRG premium. Specifically, customers electing to participate in Rider TRG will pay a premium over standard service that is based on the prevailing market value of retail renewable energy, using the market value of RECs as a proxy for this premium. The weighted average value of the RECs produced by the current TRG Portfolio in 2018 was \$4.21/MWh. This represents a premium over standard service of 3.6% for participating customers. The Company will update the Rider TRG premium on an annual basis to ensure that the premium reflects current market value. The premium will be calculated by reviewing the generation and market value of RECs for the renewable resources in the TRG Portfolio in the prior year and calculating a weighted average.

### DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES M. BILLINGSLEY

### ON BEHALF OF VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

# BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF VIRGINIA CASE NO. PUR-2019-00094

1	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and position with Virginia Electric and				
2		Power Company ("Dominion Energy Virginia" or the "Company").				
3	Α.	My name is James M. Billingsley, and I am a Manager of Power Contracts and				
4		Origination for the Company. My business address is 5000 Dominion Boulevard, Glen				
5		Allen, Virginia 23060. A statement of my background and qualifications is attached as				
6		Appendix A.				
7	Q.	Please describe your areas of responsibility with the Company.				
8	A.	In my current position, I am responsible for the negotiation, origination, and day-to-day				
9		administration of the Company's non-utility generation power contracts.				
10	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?				
11	A.	I am presenting testimony in support of the Company's application ("Application") for				
12		approval of a 100 percent renewable energy tariff for residential and non-residential				
13		customers, designated 100 Percent Total Renewable Generation Rate ("Rider TRG"),				
14		whereby participating customers can elect voluntarily to purchase 100 percent of their				
15		energy and capacity needs from renewable energy resources.				
16		Specifically, my direct testimony will describe Rider TRG and the portfolio of renewable				
17		energy resources that will serve the Rider TRG customers (the "TRG Portfolio"). I will				

1		also provide an overview of the Company's methodology for calculating the Rider TRG
2		premium.
3	Q.	Are you sponsoring an exhibit in this proceeding?
4	A.	Yes. Company Exhibit No, JMB, consisting of Schedule 1, was prepared under my
5		supervision and direction and is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and
6		belief. Schedule 1 includes the calculation of the Company's proposed Rider TRG
7		premium.
8	Q.	Please provide an overview of the Company's proposed voluntary Rider TRG.
9	A.	The proposed Rider TRG is designed to serve eligible customers who desire to have their
10		energy and capacity needs met by 100 percent renewable energy resources. The
l 1		Commission has determined that, for the purposes of supplying 100 percent renewable
12		energy, it is reasonable under Va. Code § 56-577 A 5 for the energy be matched with a
13		participating customer's load on a monthly basis.
14	Q.	Please describe the renewable energy resources that will make up the TRG
15		Portfolio.
16	A.	Rider TRG customers will receive 100 percent of their energy and capacity from a
17		portfolio of resources owned or contracted for by the Company that meet the definition of
18		renewable energy in Va. Code § 56-576. As proposed, the TRG Portfolio currently
19		would include the:
20		• Scott, Whitehouse, and Woodland (i.e., US-2) solar facilities;
21		• Essex, Williamston Speight, HXOap, Cork Oak, and Sunflower solar power
22		purchase agreements ("PPAs");

• Gaston and Roanoke Rapids hydro facilities; and,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A.

Altavista, Hopewell, Southampton, and Virginia City Hybrid Energy Center
 ("VCHEC")¹ biomass units.

#### 4 Q. Will additional renewable resources be added to the TRG Portfolio in the future?

The Company is committed to owning and contracting for a significant amount of
renewable energy in the coming years as system resources that will be available to
include in the TRG Portfolio. Once these facilities begin commercial operations, they
could be added to the TRG Portfolio. For example, the Company has contracted for the
output from the Water Strider solar facility to be constructed in Halifax County, Virginia,
and may add it to the TRG Portfolio once commercial operations begin (expected by late

# Q. Given the TRG Portfolio as currently constructed today, what level of customer load can it serve?

To analyze the potential customer load the TRG Portfolio could serve, the Company examined actual historical load for residential customers who have elected to have 100 percent of their energy usage matched with renewable energy certificates ("RECs") through the Company's Green Power Program. Looking at actual generation during the calendar years 2012 through 2018 (to the extent available and adjusting for an outage anomaly in 2017 for the Company's biomass conversion units) and comparing to the maximum monthly residential customer load for the subset of Green Power customers

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> VCHEC (610 megawatts) is designed to co-fire with biomass fuel. The percentage of renewable biomass fuel consumed is expected to increase to 10% by 2023. Under Va. Code § 56-576, the term "renewable energy" includes the proportion of the thermal or electric energy from a facility that results from the co-firing of biomass.

1		described above over the same time period, the Company expects that the TRG Portfolio				
2		will be able to meet the capacity and energy requirements of approximately 50,000				
3		residential customers or their commercial equivalent. As the Company continues to				
4		develop and contract for new renewable generation, the number of customers able to be				
5		served under this program will continue to increase.				
6	Q.	How will the Company ensure that the TRG Portfolio is meeting 100 percent of the				
7		energy and capacity needs of participating customers?				
8	A.	The Company will manage Rider TRG subscriptions to provide enough energy and				
9		capacity within the existing TRG Portfolio to handle deviations from expected portfolio				
10		generation or expected customer load. On a monthly basis, the Company will compare				
11		the subscribed customer load to the monthly generation by the TRG Portfolio and ensure				
12		that the generation exceeds the load, with a reasonable margin for deviations.				
13	Q.	What will the Company do with the RECs produced by the TRG Portfolio				
14		renewable energy resources?				
15	A.	The Company will retire the RECs associated with each megawatt-hour ("MWh")				
16		generated by the TRG Portfolio that the Company sells to participating customers. To				
17		the extent the TRG Portfolio produces more RECs in any given month than participating				
18		customer load, the excess RECs will be handled just as they are today, either retired for				
19		other purposes (e.g., to meet the voluntary Virginia renewable portfolio standard) or sold				

into the market.

1 Q. Please explain the pricing for Rider T	IKG.
---	------

- A. The price customers will pay to participate in Rider TRG will be a premium over

  standard service that is based on the prevailing market value of retail renewable energy,

  using the market value of RECs as a proxy for this premium. The weighted average

  value of the RECs produced by the current TRG Portfolio in 2018 was \$4.21 per MWh,

  which is the initial pricing the Company is proposing in its filing as shown in my

  Schedule 1. This represents a premium over standard service of 3.6% for participating

  customers.
- 9 Q. How often will the Company update Rider TRG's pricing?

19

20

21

22

23

- 10 A. The Company will update the Rider TRG premium on an annual basis to ensure that the
  11 premium reflects current market value. The premium will be calculated by reviewing the
  12 generation and market value of RECs for the renewable resources in the TRG Portfolio in
  13 the prior year and calculating a weighted average.
- 14 Q. The Company currently is selling RECs produced by the US-2 Solar Facilities,
  15 VCHEC biomass, and biomass conversion facilities and crediting the proceeds back
  16 to those facilities' respective riders. How will the Company ensure that non17 participating customers are held substantially harmless because these RECs will
  18 now potentially be retired through the TRG Portfolio?
  - A. As previously described in my testimony, the Rider TRG premium will use the market value of RECs as a proxy for the prevailing market value of renewable energy.

    Therefore, instead of transacting with third-party market participants for the RECs from the US-2, VCHEC biomass, and biomass conversion facilities, the Company is transacting with Rider TRG customers. Any Rider TRG premium proceeds will be

1	credited to the US-2, VCHEC, and biomass conversion facilities' respective riders, just as
2	currently happens with third party sales today. In addition, the Company will ensure that
3	the US-2, VCHEC, and biomass conversion facility riders are credited with the
4	appropriate market value by transferring RECs associated with these facilities to Rider
5	TRG customers at the same solar/biomass proportion and pricing as the premium was
6	based upon, as shown in my Schedule 1.

- 7 Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed direct testimony?
- 8 A. Yes, it does.

# BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS OF JAMES M. BILLINGSLEY

James M. Billingsley joined the Company in 2005 as an Associate Financial Analyst in the Financial and Business Services – Generation Consolidated Department. Since then he has held various financial roles within the power generation, natural gas transmission and distribution, and corporate financial analysis areas of the Company. In 2014, Mr. Billingsley was promoted to Manager of Energy Infrastructure Financial Management and Commercial Support. In October 2017, he assumed his current position of Manager of Power Contracts and Origination. In his current role, Mr. Billingsley is responsible for the negotiation, origination, and day-to-day administration of the Company's non-utility generation power contracts.

Mr. Billingsley graduated from the University of Virginia in 2005 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Commerce with concentrations in Finance and Management.

Mr. Billingsley has previously presented testimony before the State Corporation Commission of Virginia.

Company Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_, JMB Schedule 1

Page 1 of 1

Renewabl	<u>e Generator</u>	2018 Generation	
Solar		239,387	MWh
Biomass		<u>1,069,679</u>	MWh
	Total	1,309,066	MWh
	Percentage of Portfolio	60.10%	
Hydro		869,200	MWh
	Percentage of Portfolio	39.90%	
2018 Sola	r/Biomass REC Value	\$6.72	\$/MWh
2018 Hydr	o REC Value	\$0.42	\$/MWh
		4	<b>A</b> (a. a. a. )
Proposed	Rider TRG Premium	\$4.21	\$/MWh