September 11, 2019
(Via email)

Damon Circosta, Chair
Stella Anderson
David C. Black
Jeff Carmon III
Kenneth Raymond

North Carolina State Board of Elections
430 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

Re: Recent Voting System Certifications

Dear Members of the North Carolina State Board of Elections:

We write to urge you to review the governing statutory law concerning state certification of new voting systems and to require full compliance with the scientifically well-grounded election security requirements that North Carolina enacted into law in 2005.

By taking this step long before most national leaders understood the significant vulnerabilities of electronic voting systems and the threats to our nation’s election infrastructure, North Carolina established itself as a key national leader on these issues that are critical to our national security and to the State’s electoral integrity.

Implementing and complying with the law as written to achieve the General Assembly’s objectives to assure accurate, secure elections, will require some time and worthwhile effort when done well. Reliance on the vendors’ assurances as to the quality and security of their equipment is not an option that North Carolina law and policy permits.

Statutory Charge to the State Board of Elections

The General Assembly mandated that before the NCSBE certifies a voting system for use within the State, it must conduct a review that includes the source code and “[a]t a minimum” includes review of:

1 §163A-1115(e) “Prior to certifying a voting system, the State Board shall review, or designate an independent expert to review, all source code made available by the vendor pursuant to this section and certify only those voting systems compliant with State and federal law. At a minimum, the State Board's review shall include a review of security, application vulnerability, application code, wireless security, security policy and processes, security/privacy program
• security,
• application vulnerability,
• application code,
• wireless security,
• security policy and processes,
• security/privacy program management,
• technology infrastructure and security controls,
• security organization and governance, and
• operational effectiveness, as applicable to that voting system.

As nationally recognized experts in voting system security and election administration, we commend North Carolina for identifying and requiring analytic attention to this set of points. The provision also authorizes these assessments by retaining an independent expert or an in-house expert qualified to examine source code and other components with regard to the bulleted features.

The Current Noncompliance with Statute

However, it appears based on the information supplied to us, that for the three systems certified on August 23, 2019, the State may have failed to conduct the essential security testing and source code review as part of its certification process. For example, the functional test report delivered by Pro V+V for the ES&S EVS 5.2.2.0 system does not constitute a source code review, nor does it address cybersecurity issues the law specifies. It is our understanding that all recently certified systems had similar Pro V+V reports, but no security or source code review.

The General Assembly’s statutory mandate to require an independent review of the voting system source code is a sound practice that more states should employ, following North Carolina’s lead.

The EAC testing program for VVSG 1.0, under which the recently certified systems were apparently certified, does not require a detailed source code review

management, technology infrastructure and security controls, security organization and governance, and operational effectiveness, as applicable to that voting system.”
nor does it emphasize security in the manner in which North Carolina law wisely contemplates.

We urge the State Board to promptly undertake a robust source code review and the required election system security review as required by statute. You may find it helpful to review an example source code review of a voting system from California Secretary of State’s “Top to Bottom Review,” available at https://votingsystems.cdn.sos.ca.gov/oversight/ttbr/diebold-source-public-jul29.pdf

As you undertake the security reviews, we urge the Board to reconsider the use of electronic ballot marking devices such as the ExpressVote as a universal voting device. This new touchscreen voting technology cannot be meaningfully audited and cannot be relied on to produce verifiable results. Such deficiencies could be exposed in the security review. We will write you separately to share some of the scientific findings that explain why such equipment should not be used as standard equipment in public elections.

As members of the scientific community deeply interested in election security, we and our colleagues stand ready to offer our experience and information that may be helpful to you as the Board moves forward to address North Carolina’s election security protections. Our professional affiliations are listed below for identification only, as we each sign this letter in our individual capacities.

Respectfully submitted,

Dr. Duncan Buell
NCR Professor of Computer Science and Engineering
Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering
University of South Carolina

Dr. Richard DeMillo
Charlotte B. and Roger C. Warren Professor of Computing
Georgia Tech

Dr. Philip B. Stark
Associate Dean, Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences
Regional Associate Dean (Interim), College of Chemistry and Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences (ChaMPS) 
Professor, Department of Statistics 
University of California, Berkeley, CA

Harri Hursti 
Security Researcher 
Nordic Innovation Labs 
New York, New York

Dr. Candice Hoke 
Founding Co-Director, Center for Cybersecurity & Privacy Protection C|M Law, Cleveland State University

Dr. David Jefferson 
Computer scientist, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory 
Board of Directors, Verified Voting

Kevin Skoglund 
Chief Technologist 
Citizens for Better Elections, and 
Senior Technical Advisor 
National Election Defense Coalition 
Philadelphia, PA

Susan Greenhalgh 
Vice President of Policy and Programs 
National Election Defense Coalition 
Davis, CA

cc: Karen Brinson Bell 
Katelyn Love