## Case 4:19-cv-02780 Document 1 Filed on 07/29/19 in TXSD Page 1 of 8

United States Courts Southern District of Texas FILED

JUL 29 2019

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DIVISION OF TEXAS

HOUSTON DIVISION

David J. Bradley, Clerk of Court

19 CV 2780

### KEDRIC JOHMARCO JEROME KIZZIE,

|                                | X  |            |
|--------------------------------|----|------------|
| Plaintiff,                     | )( | (          |
|                                | ¥  |            |
| V.                             | Ħ  | JURY TRIAL |
|                                | X  |            |
| CITY OF WILLIS, TEXAS;         |    | ¥          |
| John Mc'caffery, Individually; |    | Ħ          |
| Kenneth Elmore, Individually;  |    | Х          |
|                                |    |            |

)<del>(</del> Defendants. )(

#### PLAINTHEF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE COURT:

NOW COMES Plaintiff KEDRIC JOHMARCO JEROME KIZZIE and complains of the CITY OF WILLIS, TEXAS; JOHN MC'CAFFERY, individually; KENNETH ELMORE, individually; and will show the Court the

following:

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

) ( Civil Action

1. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal claims, under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988, and supplemental jurisdiction, under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), to hear Plaintiff's state law claims, if any. Venue is proper in this Court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because the incident at issue took place in Montgomery County, Texas within the United States Southern District of Texas.

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Kedric Johmarco Kizzie is a resident of Montgomery County, Texas

3. The City of Willis, Texas, is a municipality existing under the laws of the State of Texas and situated mainly in Montgomery County, Texas in the U.S. Southern District of Texas and can be served with process by serving the City of Willis Secretary at 200 N. Bell Street, Willis, TX 77378.

4. Defendant John Mc'caffery is sued in his individual capacity and can be served with process wherever he is found.

5. Defendant Kenneth Elmore is sued in his individual capacity and can be served with process wherever he is found.

#### INTRODUCTION

6. Two Willis police officers tasered Kedric Kizzie, a small man, for an unjustified reason and then violently abused him while he lied helpless on the ground including kneeing his back causing him a great deal of pain. To cover up the wrongdoing the WPD officers charged Kedric with evading arrest, but when the body camera videos came out the evading charge was dismissed. This incident was investigated by the Texas Rangers and the Montgomery County District Attorney Office Public Integrity Division. Officer Mc'caffery and officer Elmore was indicted and arrested. They were released on bond. As of July 25, 2019 they are awaiting criminal trial.

#### **ADDITIONAL FACTS**

7. Kedric Kizzie ("Kedric") is 22 years old. He is the father of 2 small children. He has a superb relationship with his god-mother.

8. July 29, 2017 officer Elmore was dispatched to 105 Will Street, Willis, Texas for an alleged assault. Upon arriving on the scene Elmore was informed by Kenjette Hector that her attacker had fled the scene. Kenjette stated that Kedric Kizzie participated in the attack and he remained inside of the house located on said address. Kedric Kizzie exited the house and engaged in a conversation with officer Elmore. Elmore handcuffed Kedric Kizzie. Officer Elmore place Kedric Kizzie in the back seat of the patrol car. Officer Elmore phoned the Montgomery County District Attorney's office whereby he was instructed to release Kedric Kizzie from detainment due to lack of probable cause. Kedric Kizzie was released from the patrol car and ordered to leave the premise by Elmore. Thereafter Elmore gave Kedric Kizzie a courtesy ride to Tinley park located within a half of mile from the scene in question.

Later on said date, officer Elmore and officer reeves was dispatched to the same location at 105 Will Street, Willis, Texas due-to being notified that Kedric Kizzie returned to said location. Upon the aforementioned officers arrival, Kedric Kizzie explained that he returned to gather the remainder of his personal property which included clothing and shoes. Kedric Kizzie exited the premises without incident.

Thereafter police officers re-engaged with Kedric Kizzie away from said premise. During the interaction Kedric made a statement that eluded to the police would-be unable to catch him if he decided to run. Thereafter Kedric Kizzie began to remove himself from the officers presence by attempting to leave the

### Case 4:19-cv-02780 Document 1 Filed on 07/29/19 in TXSD Page 4 of 8

scene. Officer Mc'caffery pursued Kedric Kizzie by chasing him via patrol car. Officer Mc'caffery utilized his Taser by striking Kedric Kizzie in the shoulder. Kedric Kizzie fell in a ditch due-to being struck by the Taser. Officer Mc'caffery and Officer Elmore proceeded by restraining Kedric Kizzie on the ground in a rough unnecessary manner. The officers searched Kedric Kizzie's person. The officers called Ems to the scene. The EMS staff provided medical treatment to Kedric Kizzie by treating the injuries Kedric Kizzie suffered from the Taser. Kedric Kizzie was transported to Montgomery county jail by officer Elmore.

9. The officers then charged Kedric with evading arrest when Kedric did not evade arrest but was calm and cooperative throughout. Kedric calmness was only broken by his severe injuries. Defendants falsely reported that Kedric had resisted arrest and wrote false statements in the police incident report supporting the false arrest.

### VIOLATION OF THE 4TH AND 14TH FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS

10. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as if set fully set forth herein.

11. The Fourth Amendments guarantees everyone the right "to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures." U.S. Const. amend. IV. The 14th Amendment allows for due process of law. Such violations are actionable pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 and 1988.

12. The force used by the individually named defendants, was in great excess to the need to use such force and constituted an Unreasonable Seizure of Kedric and violated his due process rights under the 14th Amendment. The defendants unlawfully detained, searched, and arrested Kedric which are violations of the 4th and 14th Amendments. The defendants maliciously prosecuted Kedric and the

## Case 4:19-cv-02780 Document 1 Filed on 07/29/19 in TXSD Page 5 of 8

criminal charge of evading arrest ended in Kedric's favor. The defendants made false statements in the police incident report.

13. The City of Willis has a custom, policy, practice, and procedure of using excessive force on individuals and not disciplining or training officers adequately and is therefore liable under 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 and 1988. There is a pattern and practice of excessive force and condoning excessive force. There is a pattern and practice of charging suspects with evading arrest, resisting arrest, failure to identify, assault on a peace officer, and other crimes to cover up excessive force.

#### ASSAULT AND BATTERY

14. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

15. The individually named defendants committed assault upon Kedric and caused the damages described herein.

#### LIABILTY FOR FAILURE TO INTERVENE

16. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

17. A law enforcement officer "who is present at the scene and does not take reasonable measures to protect a suspect from another officer's use of excessive force may be liable under section 1983." Hale v. Tinley, 45 F.3d 914, 919 (5th Cir. 1995). Although Hale most often applies in the context of excessive force claims, this Court recognized that other constitutional violations also may support a theory of bystander liability. Whitley v. Hanna, 726 F.3d 631, 646 n. 11 (5th Cir. 2013) (citing Richie v. Wharton County Sheriff's Dep't Star Team,

No. 12–20014, 2013 WL 616962, at \*2 (5th Cir. Feb. 19, 2013) (per curiam) (unpublished)(noting that plaintiff failed to allege facts suggesting that officers "were liable under a theory of bystander liability for failing to prevent ... other member[s] from committing constitutional violations")). Further, the Second Circuit has stated that "law enforcement officials have an affirmative duty to intervene to protect the constitutional rights of citizens from infringement by other law enforcement officers in their presence." Anderson v. Branen, 17 F.3d 552, 557 (2d Cir.1994). See also, Byrd v. Brishke, 466 F.2d 6, 11 (7th Cir. 1972)("we believe it is clear that one who is given the badge of authority of a police officer may not ignore the duty imposed by his office and fail to stop other officers who summarily punish a third person in his presence or otherwise within his knowledge."). Thus, an officer such as Elmore may be liable under § 1983 under a theory of bystander liability when the officer "(1) knows that a fellow officer is violating an individual's constitutional rights; (2) has a reasonable opportunity to prevent the harm; and (3) chooses not to act." Whitley, 726 F.3d at 646.

#### MENTAL ANGUISH

18. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as if set fully set forth herein.

19. Kedric suffered at least anxiety, fear, anger and depression because of the acts of the individually named defendants and the City of Willis and, therefore, Kedric seeks damages for mental anguish past and future as well as the pain and suffering, past and future, and other damages set forth above.

#### **PUNITIVE DAMAGES**

20. Kedric incorporates all preceding paragraphs as if set fully herein.

21. The individually named defendants actions and inactions cause them to be liable for punitive damages as they were consciously indifferent to the plaintiff's constitutional rights and they did the acts knowingly, such acts being extreme and outrageous and shocking to the conscious.

#### ATTORNEYS' FEES

22. Kedric is entitled to recover attorneys' fees and costs to enforce his Constitutional rights and under 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983 and 1988.

#### JURY TRIAL

23. Kedric requests a trial by jury on all issues triable to a jury.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Kedric Kizzie requests that the Court:

A. Enter judgment for the plaintiff and against the individual defendants and the City

of Willis holding them jointly and severally liable;

B. Find that Plaintiff is the prevailing party in this case and award attorneys' fees and

costs, pursuant to federal law, as noted against all defendants;

- C. Award damages to Plaintiff for the violations of his Constitutional rights;
- D. Award Pre- and post-judgment interest;
- E. Award Punitive demoges against each and every individually named defendant,

#### and

F. Grant such other and further relief as appears reasonable and just, to which

plaintiff shows himself entitled.

**Respectfully** Submitted,

Earl Oaks Esq.

# Case 4:19-cv-02780 Document 1-1 Filed on 07/29/19 in TXSP 990 27 7 8 0 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| مستخدي ويوفعان ويبيبا ستجهز ومناتاته ويستعانا   |   |  |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS   | Kedric Johrnarco Je                             | rome Kizzie  | DEFENDAN  | TS City of Willis; John M   | c'caffery; Kenneth Elmore  |
| (b) County of Residence of (E.  | of First Listed Plaintiff                       | Aontgomery<br>(SES)  | NOTE: IN LAN  | ence of First Listed Defendant<br>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)<br>D CONDEMNATION CASES, USE 1<br>ACT OF LAND INVOLVED. |  |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, )<br>Earl Campbell Oaks<br>PO BOX 396813 Miami I<br>786-373-8402                                |   | æji  | Attorneys (if Kno   | (nwn)   |  |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI  | CTION (Place an "X" in C                        | Ine Box Only)  | III. CITIZENSHIP O  | F PRINCIPAL PARTIES   | (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaimiff  |
| D 1 U.S. Government<br>Pleintiff  | 3 Federal Question<br>AU.S. Government          | Not a Party!   | (For Diversity Cases Of<br>Citizen of This State                      | nhy)<br>PTF DEF<br>[] ] [] ] Incorporated or P  | and-One Bax for Defendant)<br>PTF DEF<br>siscinal Place (J 4 (J 4              |
| C) 2 U.S. Government  | CI 4 Diversity                                  |  | Citizen of Another State  | of Business In<br>O 2 () 2 Incorporated and   | This State   |
| Defendant   |   | ip of Parties in Hem III)                                    |   | of Basiness In  | Another State  |
|   |   |  | Citizen or Subject of a<br>Foreign Country                            | CI 3 CI 3 Foreign Nation  | <u> </u>   |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT  |   |  |   | Click here for:   |  |
| CONTRACT  |   | MATS   | FORFEITURE/PENALT   |   | OTHER STATUTES   |
| H0 Insumate     120 Marine     130 Miller Act   | D 310 Airplane                                  | PERSONAL INJUR<br>365 Personal Injury -<br>Product Liability | Y CI 425 Drug Related Seisure<br>of Property 21 USC 1<br>CI 690 Other | CI 422 Appeni 28 USC 158<br>181 CI 423 Withdrawal<br>28 USC 157   | D 375 False Claims Act<br>376 Qui Tam (31 USC<br>3729(a))                      |
| <ul> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment</li> <li>&amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> </ul> | Lisbility<br>[] 320 Assault, Libel &<br>Slander | 367 Health Care/<br>Pharmaceutical<br>Personal Injury        |   | PROPERTY RIGHTS   | 400 State Reapportionment     J 410 Antimust     J 430 Banks and Banking       |
| 151 Medicare Act     152 Recovery of Defaulted  | 330 Federal Employers'<br>Liability             | Product Liability<br>D 368 Asbestos Personal                 |   | D 830 Patent<br>D 835 Patent - Abbreviated  | 1 450 Commerce   |
| Student Loans<br>(Excluder Veterant)  | 1 340 Marine<br>345 Marine Product              | Injury Product   |   | New Drug Application  | 470 Racketeer Influenced and<br>Corrupt Organizations                          |
| 153 Recovery of Overpayment   | Liability                                       | PERSONAL PROPER  |   | SOCIAL SECURITY   | 1 480 Consumer Credit  |
| of Voteran's Benefits   | 359 Mator Vehicle     355 Motor Vehicle         | 3 370-Other Frend<br>3 371 Truth in Lending                  | C 710 Feir Laber Standards<br>Act                                     | [] 861 HLA (13952) [] 862 Black Lung (923)  | 1 485 Telephone Communer<br>Protection Act                                     |
| 190 Other Contract     195 Contract Product Liability   | Product Linbility<br>360 Other Personal         | 380 Other Personal   | CI 720 Labor/Management<br>Relations                                  | 1 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))  | Cl 490 Cable/Sat TV  |
| 195 Contract Product Linding  | lajury  | Property Damage  | C 740 Railway Labor Act   | <ul> <li>864 SSID Title XVI</li> <li>865 RSI (405(g))</li> </ul>  | [] 850 Securities/Commodities/<br>Exchange                                     |
|   | (1 362 Personal Injury -<br>Medical Malpractice | Product Liability  | D 751 Family and Medical<br>Leave Act                                 |   | <ul> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acta</li> </ul> |
| REAL PROPERTY   | CIVIL RIGHTS                                    | PRISONER PETITION  | S 790 Other Labor Litigation  |   | 17 893 Environmental Matters   |
| 210 Land Condemnation     220 Foreclosure   | 25 440 Other Civil Rights                       | Habeas Corpus:   | 791 Employee Retirement<br>Income Security Act                        | or Definidant)  | D 895 Freedom of Information<br>Act  |
| 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment  | 1 442 Employment                                | D 510 Motions to Vacate                                      |   | C 871 IRS-Third Party   | C 896 Arbitration  |
| 240 Torts to Land     245 Tort Product Liability  | 1 443 Housing/<br>Accommodations                | Sentence<br>I 530 General                                    | 1   | 26 USC 7609   | Administrative Procedure<br>Act/Review or Appeal of                            |
| 3 290 All Other Real Property   | D 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -<br>Employment      | CI 535-Death Penalty<br>Other:                               | 1 462 Naturalization Applic   |   | Agency Decision<br>950 Constitutionality of                                    |
|   | 1 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -                    | 3 540 Mandamus & Oth   | er [] 465 Other Immigration   |   | State Statutes   |
|   | Other<br>O 448 Education                        | 550 Civil Rights<br>555 Prison Condition                     | Actions   |   |  |
|   |   | Conditions of  |   |   |  |
|   | Ť   | Confinement  |   |   |  |
|   | n One Bax Only)<br>moved from 3<br>ite Court    | Remanded from (<br>Appellate Count                           | Respond An  | ansferred from 6 Multidist<br>other District Litigation<br>centry Transfer  | a - Litigation -   |
|   | I Civil Right under                             |  | filing (Do not also justaliziona                                      |   | Direct File  |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO  | Inside accomption of A                          |  | lation, Civil Right Violatic  | on under section 1983   | •••• <b>•</b> •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••                                  |
| VII. REQUESTED IN<br>COMPLAINT:   |   |  |   |   |  |
| VIII. RELATED CASI  | E(S)<br>(See Instructions):                     | JUDGE  |   | DOCKET NUMBER   |  |
| DATE  |   |  | FORNEY OF REGORD  |   | ·  |
| 07/29/2019<br>FOR OFFICE USE ONLY   |   | Earl Campbell  |   |   |  |
| RECEIPT # A   | IOUNT   | APPLYING IFP   |   | E MAG. AA   | DGE  |

AO 399 (01/09) Waiver of the Service of Summons

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

for the

Southern District of Texas

Kedric Johmarco Kizzie Plaintiff v. City of Willis Defendant

Printed name of party waiving service of summons

Civil Action No.

19 CV 2780

#### WAIVER OF THE SERVICE OF SUMMONS

To: Earl Oaks

(Name of the plaintiff's attorney or unrepresented plaintiff)

I have received your request to waive service of a summons in this action along with a copy of the complaint, two copies of this waiver form, and a prepaid means of returning one signed copy of the form to you.

I, or the entity I represent, agree to save the expense of serving a summons and complaint in this case.

I understand that I, or the entity I represent, will keep all defenses or objections to the lawsuit, the court's jurisdiction, and the venue of the action, but that I waive any objections to the absence of a summons or of service.

I also understand that I, or the entity I represent, must file and serve an answer or a motion under Rule 12 within 60 days from , the date when this request was sent (or 90 days if it was sent outside the United States). If I fail to do so, a default judgment will be entered against me or the entity I represent.

Date:

HAS. Earl Oaks

Signature of the attorney or unrepresented party

Earl Oaks Printed name

P.O.Box 398813 Miami Beach, FL 33239

Address

olawfirm@gmail.com E-mail address

(786) 373-8402

Telephone number

#### Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Expenses of Serving a Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain defendants to cooperate in saving unnecessary expenses of serving a summons and complaint. A defendant who is located in the United States and who fails to return a signed waiver of service requested by a plaintiff located in the United States will be required to pay the expenses of service, unless the defendant shows good cause for the failure.

"Good cause" does not include a belief that the lawsuit is groundless, or that it has been brought in an improper venue, or that the court has no jurisdiction over this matter or over the defendant or the defendant's property.

If the waiver is signed and returned, you can still make these and all other defenses and objections, but you cannot object to the absence of a summons or of service.

If you waive service, then you must, within the time specified on the waiver form, serve an answer or a motion under Rule 12 on the plaintiff and file a copy with the court. By signing and returning the waiver form, you are allowed more time to respond than if a summons had been served. AO 399 (01/09) Waiver of the Service of Summons

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Texas

Kedric Johmarco Kizzie Plaintiff v. Kenneth\_Elmore Defendant

Civil Action No.

19 CV 2780

#### WAIVER OF THE SERVICE OF SUMMONS

To: Earl Oaks

(Name of the plaintiff's attorney or unrepresented plaintiff)

I have received your request to waive service of a summons in this action along with a copy of the complaint, two copies of this waiver form, and a prepaid means of returning one signed copy of the form to you.

I, or the entity I represent, agree to save the expense of serving a summons and complaint in this case.

I understand that I, or the entity I represent, will keep all defenses or objections to the lawsuit, the court's jurisdiction, and the venue of the action, but that I waive any objections to the absence of a summons or of service.

I also understand that I, or the entity I represent, must file and serve an answer or a motion under Rule 12 within 60 days from , the date when this request was sent (or 90 days if it was sent outside the United States). If I fail to do so, a default judgment will be entered against me or the entity I represent.

Date:

Earl Oaks FAP

Printed name of party waiving service of summons

Earl Oaks Printed name

P.O.Box 398813 Miami Beach, FL 33239

Address

olawfirm@gmail.com E-mail address

(786) 373-8402

Telephone number

#### Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Expenses of Serving a Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain defendants to cooperate in saving unnecessary expenses of serving a summons and complaint. A defendant who is located in the United States and who fails to return a signed waiver of service requested by a plaintiff located in the United States will be required to pay the expenses of service, unless the defendant shows good cause for the failure.

"Good cause" does not include a belief that the lawsuit is groundless, or that it has been brought in an improper venue, or that the court has no jurisdiction over this matter or over the defendant or the defendant's property.

If the waiver is signed and returned, you can still make these and all other defenses and objections, but you cannot object to the absence of a summons or of service.

If you waive service, then you must, within the time specified on the waiver form, serve an answer or a motion under Rule 12 on the plaintiff and file a copy with the court. By signing and returning the waiver form, you are allowed more time to respond than if a summons had been served. AO 399 (01/09) Waiver of the Service of Summons

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Texas

Kedric Johmarco Kizzie Plaintiff v. Kenneth\_Elmore Defendant

) ) Civil Action No. **19** CV 2780

#### WAIVER OF THE SERVICE OF SUMMONS

To: Earl Oaks

(Name of the plaintiff's attorney or unrepresented plaintiff)

I have received your request to waive service of a summons in this action along with a copy of the complaint, two copies of this waiver form, and a prepaid means of returning one signed copy of the form to you.

I, or the entity I represent, agree to save the expense of serving a summons and complaint in this case.

I understand that I, or the entity I represent, will keep all defenses or objections to the lawsuit, the court's jurisdiction, and the venue of the action, but that I waive any objections to the absence of a summons or of service.

I also understand that I, or the entity I represent, must file and serve an answer or a motion under Rule 12 within 60 days from , the date when this request was sent (or 90 days if it was sent outside the United States). If I fail to do so, a default judgment will be entered against me or the entity I represent.

Date:

Earl Oaks FAP

Printed name of party waiving service of summons

Earl Oaks Printed name

P.O.Box 398813 Miami Beach, FL 33239

Address

olawfirm@gmail.com E-mail address

(786) 373-8402

Telephone number

#### Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Expenses of Serving a Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain defendants to cooperate in saving unnecessary expenses of serving a summons and complaint. A defendant who is located in the United States and who fails to return a signed waiver of service requested by a plaintiff located in the United States will be required to pay the expenses of service, unless the defendant shows good cause for the failure.

"Good cause" does not include a belief that the lawsuit is groundless, or that it has been brought in an improper venue, or that the court has no jurisdiction over this matter or over the defendant or the defendant's property.

If the waiver is signed and returned, you can still make these and all other defenses and objections, but you cannot object to the absence of a summons or of service.

If you waive service, then you must, within the time specified on the waiver form, serve an answer or a motion under Rule 12 on the plaintiff and file a copy with the court. By signing and returning the waiver form, you are allowed more time to respond than if a summons had been served.