

From Mike Browne, Deputy Director of One Wisconsin Now

From an email sent March 2, 2020

So far, Dan Kelly's ruled for WILL 100% of the time

Thus far, Kelly has sat on a case in which WILL represented a litigant, Kelly has ruled in consistent with WILL's client.

- [John Krueger v. Appleton Area School District Board of Education, 2015AP231](#) - joined majority in ruling for WILL
- [Vincent Milewski v. Town of Dover, 2015AP1523](#) - wrote lead opinion in ruling for WILL
- [Voters with Facts v. City of Eau Claire, 2015AP1858](#) - dissented, would have ruled in WILL's favor
- [E. Glen Porter, III v. State of Wisconsin, 2016AP1599](#) - dissented, would have ruled in WILL's favor
- [John McAdams v. Marquette University, 2017AP1240](#) - wrote lead opinion and wrote concurrence in ruling for WILL
- [Kristi Koschkee v. Carolyn Stanford Taylor, 2017AP2278](#) (re: authority, not re: attorney choice) - joined majority opinion in ruling for WILL & reversing precedent from just a few years prior
- [Nancy Bartlett v. Tony Evers, 2019AP1376](#) - *pending case about Evers' veto power, no rulings yet*

This includes the two cases in which WILL has submitted an amicus brief as well.

- [Tetra Tech](#) - Kelly wrote the majority/lead opinion (it was a majority as to some parts of his analysis and conclusions, and a lead as to others).
- [DWD v. LIRC](#) - Kelly joined the unanimous ruling in this case, which was decided after *Tetra Tech*.