```
Pages 1-14
 1
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
                         SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 3
 4
                                  ) Case No. 15-cv-03226-RS
    JAMES NEUROTH, et al.,
 5
                Plaintiffs,
                                   San Francisco, California
                                    Friday, January 25, 2019
 6
         VS.
 7
   MENDOCINO COUNTY, et al.,
 8
                Defendants.
 9
10
                        TRANSCRIPT OF SETTLEMENT
11
                   BEFORE THE HONORABLE LAURA BEELER
                     UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12
13
   APPEARANCES:
14
   For Plaintiffs:
                                 JULIA SHERWIN, ESQ.
                                 Haddad & Sherwin LLP
15
                                 505 Seventeenth Street
                                 Oakland, California 94612
16
                                 (510) 452-5500
17
   Also Appearing:
                                 PLAINTIFF JAMES NEUROTH
                                 BELLA NEUROTH
18
    For Defendant Mendocino
                                 MICHAEL VRANICAR, ESQ.
19
   County:
                                 Patton & Ryan LLC
                                 330 N. Wabash Avenue, Suite 3800
2.0
                                 Chicago, Illinois 60611
                                 (312) 261-5172
21
   Also Appearing:
                                 BRINA BLANTON
22
23
24
25
   Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript
   produced by transcription service.
```

2 1 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.) 2 For Defendant CFMG: PETER G. BERTLING, ESQ. Bertling Law Group, Inc. 3 15 West Carrillo Street, Suite 104 Santa Barbara, California 93101-8215 4 (805) 879-75585 For Defendant City of AMY S. WINTERS, ESQ. Willits, Officer Jeffrey Perry Johnson Anderson Miller & 6 Andrade, and Officer Moskowitz LLP Kevin Leef: 438 First Street, Fourth Floor 7 Santa Rosa, California 95401 (707) 525-8800 8 CHIEF OF POLICE SCOTT WARNOCK Also Appearing: 9 Transcription Service: Peggy Schuerger 10 Ad Hoc Reporting 2220 Otay Lakes Road 11 Suite 502-85 Chula Vista, California 91915 (619) 236-9325 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 21 22 23 24 25

```
3
    SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA FRIDAY, JANUARY 25, 2019 4:25 P.M.
 1
 2
                                --000--
         (Call to order of the Court.)
 3
              THE CLERK: Calling civil action 15-3226, Neuroth, et
 4
 5
    al. v. Mendocino County, et al.
         Counsel, please state your appearances for the record.
 6
              THE COURT: And the appearances of your clients, too,
 7
 8
   or the people with settlement authority.
9
                              This is Julia Sherwin appearing for
              MS. SHERWIN:
10
   Plaintiff James Neuroth, and Mr. Neuroth is also here.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. Oh, sorry.
12
              MR. BERTLING: This is Pete Bertling for California
13
   Forensic Medical Group, and this afternoon I've been conferring
14
   with Ben Rice regarding what we are going to be putting on the
15
   record this afternoon.
16
              THE COURT: And you have settlement authority for the
17
    terms we're going to put on the record?
              MR. BERTLING: Yes, although it is subject, Your Honor,
18
19
   to final approval by the Executive Team at Wellpath.
20
              THE COURT: Okay. So we'll say that, too, so I guess
21
    subject to contingency approval. That's fine with all that.
22
         All right. Next?
23
              MS. WINTERS: This is Amy Winters appearing for the City
   of Willits Defendants, and I have the Chief of Police, Scott
24
25
   Warnock here, who has settlement authority for the terms.
```

```
4
                           Okay. And, finally, for the County of
 1
              THE COURT:
 2
   Mendocino.
              MR. VRANICAR: Michael Vranicar appearing as counsel for
 3
 4
    the County of Mendocino and the various individuals from the
 5
    County.
 6
              MS. BLANTON: And this is Brina Blanton with the County
    Counsel's Office and I have settlement authority for the terms.
 7
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. All right. So I am going
9
    to recite the terms of the settlement agreement and ask the
10
    lawyers along the way if I've missed anything and ask the clients
11
    if they have settlement authority to accept the terms.
12
         One, the parties have for the -- the monetary agreement, the
13
   parties have actually executed a written settlement agreement.
14
    That written settlement agreement provides for payment by
15
    Wellpath, formerly CFMG, and the City of Willits by February 8th.
    The one issue is, for example, Willits has an insurance carrier,
16
17
    and the idea is everyone will try to make it happen by -- not
   everyone -- Willits and -- and Wellpath will do their best to make
18
19
    it happen by February 8th and expect that it can. But if it
20
    doesn't, will do it as soon as is practicable, work in good faith
21
    to make it happen as soon as is practicable after February 8th.
         Is that fair?
22
23
         (No response.)
24
         Okay. Hearing no disagreement.
```

All right. I'm going to go party by party. For the City --

25

and now the parties have also -- and that's been signed and it contains the release, and so that's all taken care of and so that's a contract on its own and that's been approved by everybody.

The -- for the non-monetary relief, which is -- has no contingencies from the County's perspective or from the City of Willits' perspective, but which for Wellpath does have the contingency of basically executive management approval, even though Ben Rice, who's in the General Counsel's Office, has been part of this and is a -- and has worked with you and you have, Mr. Bertling, approval authority to accept the terms of the settlement, subject to that contingency of executive approval. Is that correct?

MR. BERTLING: Correct, Your Honor. We've obviously been negotiating this in good faith, but it will require approval by the Executive Team and Board at Wellpath, so --

THE COURT: Okay. So why don't we start since -- well, since you're -- I'll read the rest of it, but why don't we start with your -- the agreements that you have reached on the non-monetary components for Wellpath subject to that contingency of approval.

MS. SHERWIN: And I'll read those, Your Honor, because we've been going back and forth in emails, so I've got it right here.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SHERWIN: CFMG, now known as Wellpath, requires when a registered nurse or higher level caregiver is present in the facility, absent extenuating circumstances, he or she will perform all receiving screens and patient assessments in California.

Wellpath has RNs on site 24 hours a day in a majority of the counties it serves in California.

Wellpath agrees to continue its practice that RNs or higher level caregivers perform all receiving screenings and all patient assessments whenever possible in California.

For the counties with current contracts that do not have RNs on site 24 hours a day, seven days a week, Wellpath has the LVN collect data and call the RN or higher level caregiver to make the decision about the disposition of patients.

Plaintiff's counsel has requested, and Wellpath's litigation counsel will recommend, that in those counties in California when an RN is not on site, Wellpath will have the RN speak to the patient by a remote video connection before making a disposition decision for that patient.

Wellpath and the County will review the feasibility and cost of using its OneCare Connect video system in those facilities, and it will be subject to the approval of that individual county.

Wellpath's counsel will provide Plaintiff's counsel a list of all of the counties in California that have LVNs working without an RN on site during any shift no later than January 30th of 2019.

7 In addition, on the CFMG non-monetary relief, Wellpath will 1 include in its ongoing training to all healthcare personnel in 2 California the dangers of prone maximal restraint and restraint 3 4 asphyxia as defined on the record in this case, to include 5 restraint asphyxia, compression asphyxia, and positional asphyxia. Wellpath's counsel will provide a copy of the training to 6 Plaintiff's counsel. 7 8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Is that fair, Mr. 9 Bertling? 10 MR. BERTLING: Yes, Your Honor. I believe that accurately reflects what we have negotiated in good faith today. 11 12 THE COURT: Great. So I'll do the City of Okay. 13 Willits next. The parties agree to the following non-monetary 14 relief: 15 The City of Willits is sending Officer Christopher Derosio (ph) to three-day Crisis Intervention Team, also known as CIT 16 training, in February 2019. Also, Derosio will then provide CIT 17 training to all sworn staff and the Community Service Officer. 18 19 The City's long-term goal is to eventually have every staff member 20 attend the CIT training. 21 The City of Willits has provided body-worn video cameras to 22 23

all sworn staff. The Chief of Police is working on the video recording policy and will provide the current draft to Plaintiff's counsel. Plaintiff's counsel may provide suggestions for changes to the draft policy, which the Chief of Police will consider.

The Court has recommended that the policy have a provision that sworn personnel shall, when practicable, record field contacts with individuals.

The City of Willits is currently working on its training outline to the POST-Certified Class for Arrest and Control, and will include training on the dangers of prone or maximal restraint and restraint asphyxia, compression asphyxia, and/or positional asphyxia, which we will identify here under the umbrella of restraint asphyxia in the Perishable Skills Training for officers.

Plaintiff's counsel will send the City of Willits' counsel information on restraint, which the Chief of Police will review and consider.

Is that -- from the City of Willits' perspective, is that a fair reading of what you guys have negotiated today?

MS. WINTERS: Yes. I will just note that it's Crisis
Intervention Training, not Team, but that's --

THE COURT: Did I say Team? I --

MS. WINTERS: It says Team --

THE COURT: Okay. That's why I said that. Okay.

MS. WINTERS: Yes.

MS. SHERWIN: That is correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Yes. The idea, of course, is sending the officer to do that crisis intervention training. Okay. All right.

So for Mendocino County -- and so you guys read along with

me -- Mendocino County will provide a CIT training program for all actively-employed sworn staff of the Mendocino County Sheriff's Office who are not on an extended leave of absence. The program will be completely in place and all training of current employees will be completed no later than January 31st, 2021.

Mendocino County will provide training to all sworn staff of the Sheriff's Office who are not on an extended leave of absence on the dangers of compression asphyxia, with training beginning as soon as the training is available, and the training of all current employees being completed no later than January 31, 2021.

The County's defense counsel will recommend that new employees will be trained within a reasonable time after their hire. The County's defense counsel will recommend that the County provide refresher CIT training to all sworn staff consistent with generally-accepted CIT training protocols and compression asphyxia training every two years.

Did I get that paragraph right?

MS. SHERWIN: Yes.

MR. VRANICAR: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And then the second -- then there's more. Mendocino County will report to Plaintiff's counsel in writing on the progress of the non-monetary relief no later than January 31, 2020 and will report to the Court and Plaintiff's counsel in writing on the progress for completion of the non-monetary relief no later than January 31, 2021.

Mendocino County -- this is the next provision. Mendocino County now requires that all receiving screenings and patient assessments in jail be performed by registered nurses or higher level caregivers.

Sheriff Allman will recommend to the Board of Supervisors for approval that this requirement stay in place for at least the next ten years.

Finally, the parties have agreed that the Court -- that's me -- will retain jurisdiction of the case for a period -- the matter for a period of three years to enforce the terms of the settlement agreement which will be the completion of the agreed-upon non-monetary relief because I don't think we anticipate that there's any problems funding the cash payment, but -- and we described that there are two ways to do this. One, you can just consent to my jurisdiction by filing the consent forms because our minute order today will reflect that the case is settled fully, and that means you submit your stipulated dismissal on whatever schedule you want. And the stipulated dismissal must say, for me to retain jurisdiction, "The Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement agreement," period, that one line.

Alternatively -- and you can do whatever is easier for you -- alternatively, if you just file a stipulated dismissal, it must say, "The parties consent to Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler's retaining jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement agreement." Either one, but the parties have agreed to that final

```
11
1
   term.
         All right. From the lawyers' perspective, have I missed
 2
 3
   anything?
 4
              MR. VRANICAR: No.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, Mr. Neuroth, do you
 5
 6
   -- and you should speak into the microphone. Mr. Neuroth, do you
 7
   understand the terms of the settlement that I've just read into
   the record --
9
              MR. NEUROTH: Yes.
10
              THE COURT: -- with Ms. Sherwin's help? Do you
11
   understand if you accept the terms of the settlement, it ends the
12
   case and you can't reopen it?
13
              MR. NEUROTH: Yes.
14
              THE COURT: Do you accept the terms of the settlement?
15
              MR. NEUROTH: Yes.
              THE COURT: And, Ms. Sherwin, do you join in your
16
17
   client's acceptance?
18
              MS. SHERWIN: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. So we'll go next just in order
19
20
   around the table. Chief Warnock, do you understand and accept the
21
   terms of the settlement?
              CHIEF WARNOCK: Yes.
22
23
              THE COURT: All right. And Ms. Winters, do you join in
24
   your client's acceptance?
25
              MS. WINTERS: Yes.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Bertling, subject to the
1
    contingency that we discussed and based on your representation
 2
    that you otherwise have settlement authority, do you understand
 3
    and accept the terms of that settlement, subject to that
 4
 5
    contingency?
              MR. BERTLING: Yes, Your Honor, with the exception based
 6
 7
    on what you just said a few moments ago about the Court retaining
8
    jurisdiction, --
9
              THE COURT: Yes.
10
              MR. BERTLING: -- I don't think any of that really
11
    applies to CFMG. We're not doing -- I don't think we're required
12
    to do any kind of reporting, so I just wanted to make that clear.
13
              THE COURT: Right. I guess -- well, I mean, actually
    -- well, if you breach the terms of the settlement, technically
14
15
    the -- you retain the benefit of it and you tell me -- you don't
16
   have to do any reporting. No, you're not going to do anything.
    But to the extent you have agreements and all of a sudden you said
17
    "Pound sand," then otherwise --
18
19
              MR. BERTLING: Oh, no, no, no.
20
              THE COURT: Otherwise, Ms. Sherwin would file a separate
21
    state court lawsuit and I think you might rather be here.
22
              MR. BERTLING: And I don't anti- -- but you -- there was
23
    a number of things where the County and Willits have to do certain
24
    things as far as reporting.
25
              THE COURT: Yes.
```

```
13
              MR. BERTLING: Okay. I just --
1
              THE COURT: I agree. You don't have to do any of that.
 2
              MR. BERTLING: All right. Thank you.
 3
              THE COURT: Exactly. It's the Willits' specifically,
 4
 5
   so I think that the parties do anticipate that I would retain
 6
   jurisdiction just because there's going to be ongoing stuff. But
 7
   as soon as you do it, then you're finished and it's all done.
 8
              MR. BERTLING: So with that caveat, yes, --
9
              THE COURT: Okay. Fine.
10
              MR. BERTLING: -- I agree.
              MS. WINTERS: And, Your Honor, if I may, I think the
11
12
   reporting is just for the County, not for -- I think somebody said
13
   for Willits, but for the County. Willits does not have any
14
   reporting --
15
              THE COURT: No, no. The terms are precisely what I read
   into the record, which will be available on FTR for your --
16
17
              MS. WINTERS: Yes.
              THE COURT: -- listening pleasure.
18
19
              MS. WINTERS:
                            Thank you.
20
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. So from -- Ms.
21
   Blanton and you -- there are no contingencies on the County's end
   and you have settlement authority; is that correct?
22
23
              MS. BLANTON: Yes, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: And do you understand and accept the terms
25
   of the settlement?
```

```
14
              MS. BLANTON:
 1
                            Yes.
 2
                           And Mr. Vranicar, do you join in your
              THE COURT:
 3
   client's acceptance --
              MR. VRANICAR: Yes.
 4
              THE COURT: -- of the settlement? All right.
 5
   that, we have a binding and enforceable settlement agreement.
 6
   Just when do you think you'll get your contingency satisfied on
 7
8
   your end, Mr. Bertling?
9
              MR. BERTLING: Within the next 30 days.
10
              THE COURT: Okay. Okay. That's fine.
11
              MS. SHERWIN:
                            That's fine.
12
              THE COURT: All right. So that will be a minute order.
13
   So we'll go off the record.
14
         (Proceedings adjourned at 4:40 p.m.)
15
              I, Peggy Schuerger, certify that the foregoing is a
16
17
   correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording
   provided to me of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
18
19
2.0
      /S/ Peggy Schuerger
                                           February 12, 2019
21
   Signature of Approved Transcriber
                                           Date
22
   Peggy Schuerger
   Typed or Printed Name
23
   Ad Hoc Reporting
   Approved Transcription Provider
   for the U.S. District Court,
24
   Northern District of California
25
```