

August 7, 2020

Rideshare Drivers United Via email: info@drivers-united.org

Veena Dubal 200 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Complaint No. COM-08072020-01332; Rideshare Drivers United, Dubal

Dear Rideshare Drivers United and Veena Dubal:

The Enforcement Division of the Fair Political Practices Commission has received a sworn complaint against you. It appears the complainant is alleging you have violated the Political Reform Act's lobbying disclosure requirements. The information filed in the complaint is below and any attachments filed are enclosed. The complaint was filed against all those listed above.

The person filing the Complaint is:

Judah Bell

Via email:

The violations alleged are: Lobbying Violation

Hello, I was instucted to contact you due to an Assembly Ethics violation. Rideshare Driver United has lobbied Assemblywoman Lorena Gonzalez several times regading AB5. They have also lobbied Councilman Herb Wesson regarding legislation. They are not a registered entity with the state of CA. I have checked the Secretary of States Office, LA County info and the IRS. They are labeled. Ivan Pardo (founder) has a company called Solidarity Tech that states that it is a 501c3 but is not registered with the IRS. According to my knowledge Rideshare Drivers United is simply a domain name. https://drivers-united.org/Veena Dubal helped found their SF chapter. They also have a San Diego Chapter.

¹ The Political Reform Act is contained in Government Code sections 81000 through 91014, and all statutory references are to this code. The regulations of the Fair Political Practices Commission are contained in Sections 18110 through 18997 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations, and all regulatory references are to this source.

At this time, we have not made any determination about the allegation(s) made in the complaint. Within 14 days, the complainant will be notified of our intent to:

- investigate the allegations of the complaint;
- refer the complaint to another governmental agency;
- take no action on the complaint because, on the basis of the information provided, the Commission does not appear to have jurisdiction to investigate; or
- take no action on the complaint because the allegations of the complaint do not warrant the Commission's further action.

A copy of that letter will be forwarded to you. If you have any comments on the allegation(s), your comments must be submitted in writing directed to Chloe Hackert at the address shown above or by email to chackert@fppc.ca.gov. Please include the complaint number referenced above in your response.

Sincerely, *GWest*

Galena West

Chief, Enforcement Division

GW:ch