	Case 5:20-cv-05799-LHK	Document 219-1	Filed 09/28/20	Page 1 of 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JEFFREY BOSSERT CLARK Acting Assistant Attorney General ALEXANDER K. HAAS Branch Director DIANE KELLEHER BRAD P. ROSENBERG Assistant Branch Directors M. ANDREW ZEE ALEXANDER V. SVERDLOV DANIEL D. MAULER Trial Attorneys U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division - Federal Programs Bra 1100 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 305-0550	anch		
11	Attorneys for Defendants			
12 13 14 15	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION			
16	NATIONAL URBAN LEAGUE, et	t al., Case N	Io. 5:20-cv-05799-	-LHK
17 18	Plaintiff,		ARATION OF S T. CHRISTY	
19	v.			
20	WILBUR L. ROSS, JR., et al.,			
21	Defendants.			
22				
23				
24				
25 26				
20				
28				
	DECLARATION OF JAMES T. CHRISTY Case No. 5:20-cv-05799-LHK	0		

I, James T. Christy, make the following Declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, and state that under penalty of perjury the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- This is my third declaration in this lawsuit. I am making this declaration in response to the Court's "Order re: Response to Allegation of Noncompliance with Preliminary Injunction."
- Since September 7, 2020, the Census Bureau field operations have been guided by the instructions transmitted to the field in the "Guidance for Field Managers related to Action Required following the 9/5 Court Order". I understand those guidelines have previously been provided to the Court. *See* ECF 86.
- 3. Following receipt of the Court's September 24, 2020, Preliminary Injunction, on Friday, September 25 at 3:23pm, I sent an email to all managers working on field operations at Headquarters and in the regions notifying them of our intent to comply with the Court's Preliminary Injunction. In that statement, I instructed them to "continue to conduct NonResponse FollowUp (NRFU) and other field operations as planned. We will provide further instructions and guidance as necessary."
  - At 1:53pm on Sunday, September 27, I was provided with the Order Re: Communications with the Court (ECF 214) regarding alleged violations of the preliminary injunction.
  - 5. The information reflected in the communication referenced by the Court is not part of any instruction I have transmitted to the field, and not consistent with my understanding of what field offices should be doing. Upon receipt of the information provided to the Court and based on the references in that information to field activity in Texas, I contacted staff in the Dallas region to determine whether the information provided in the communication the Court referenced was accurate, and to determine why such information may have been transmitted.

 I learned the Regional Director in Dallas sent a note to staff that referenced the September 30 end date at 8:12am Central time on Friday, 9/25. Specifically, she said

28

"We are still working toward an end date of 9/30." This was sent in advance of the notice sent from HQ (by me) later on 9/25, that made no reference to the September 30 end date.

7. I confirmed with the Regional Director the correct guidance that I had provided was issued. She confirmed that she distributed this guidance again to managers in the Dallas RCC at 2:28pm on the same day, September 25. Further, I asked her to confirm again that she had discussed the guidance with managers in the Dallas region and that they had indicated an understanding that we are NOT working toward a 9/30 ending.

## There are multiple enumerators with the name referenced in the information from the Court. We believe, however, that this enumerator works in one of our Texas Area Census Offices.

I have read the foregoing and it is all true and correct.

DATED this \_28th\_\_ day of September, 2020

James T. Christy

Assistant Director for Field Operations

United States Bureau of the Census